

LEVEL


P

AD A108968

**SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN
SPRING GROVE DAM
P. H. GLATFELTER COMPANY**

**NDI NO. PA-01028
DER NO. 67-004**

**YORK COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM**



**DTIC
SELECTED
DEC 29 1981**

**PACW 31-81-C-0013
PREPARED FOR**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
Baltimore District, Corps of Engineers
Baltimore , Maryland 21203**

**BY Hendrik Jongsma
Berger Associates
Harrisburg , Pennsylvania 17105**

JULY 1981

*"Original contains color
plates. All DTIC reproductions
will be in black and
white"*

DTIC FILE COPY

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

**Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited**

81 12 28 163

411003

PREFACE

This report has been prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the spillway design flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The spillway design flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

DEC 29 1961

PHASE I REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

BRIEF ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Name of Dam: SPRING GROVE DAM
State & State No.: PENNSYLVANIA, 67-004
County: YORK
Stream: CODORUS CREEK
Date of Inspection: APRIL 27, 1981

Based on the visual inspection, past performance and the available engineering data, the dam and its appurtenant structures appear to be in fair condition.

In accordance with the Corps of Engineers' evaluation guidelines, the size classification of this dam is small and the hazard classification is significant. These classifications indicate that the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) should be in the range of the 100 year flood to one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The recommended SDF for this structure is the 100 year flood. The spillway capacity is sufficient for passing the SDF peak inflow without overtopping the dam. The spillway, therefore, is considered to be adequate.

The following recommendations are presented for immediate action by the owner.

1. That the narrow crest between the parapet wall and the building be widened and the slope flattened.
2. That heavy rock for erosion protection be placed downstream of the spillway weir slab to prevent undermining of the slab.
3. That the flood control plan be expanded to include a downstream warning system.

Accession For	☒	☐	☐
EMIS 67-004			
EMIS 67-004			
US 67-004			
Justification for	Jm 5/8/81		
By	Jm 5/8/81		
Distribution	Jm 5/8/81		
Availability Codes	Jm 5/8/81		
11 and/or	Jm 5/8/81		
Special	Jm 5/8/81		
A			

SPRING GROVE DAM

NDI NO. PA-01028

DER NO. 67-004

P.H. GLATFELTER CO.


YORK COUNTY

4. That an operation and maintenance manual be prepared for guidance in the operation of the dam during normal and emergency conditions, and that a schedule be maintained for the annual inspection of the dam and its appurtenant structures.

SUBMITTED BY:

BERGER ASSOCIATES, INC.
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

DATE: July 31, 1981




APPROVED BY:

James W. Peck
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
Commander and District Engineer

A handwritten signature of James W. Peck, written in dark ink over a horizontal line.

DATE:

7 Aug 81



OVERVIEW
SPRING GROVE DAM
Photograph No. 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION 1 - <u>PROJECT INFORMATION</u>	
1.1 GENERAL	1
1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT	1
1.3 PERTINENT DATA	2
SECTION 2 - <u>ENGINEERING DATA</u>	
2.1 DESIGN	5
2.2 CONSTRUCTION	5
2.3 OPERATION	5
2.4 EVALUATION	6
SECTION 3 - <u>VISUAL INSPECTION</u>	
3.1 FINDINGS	7
3.2 EVALUATION	8
SECTION 4 - <u>OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES</u>	
4.1 PROCEDURES	9
4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM	9
4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACILITIES	9
4.4 WARNING SYSTEM	9
4.5 EVALUATION	9
SECTION 5 - <u>HYDROLOGY/HYDRAULICS</u>	
5.1 EVALUATION OF FEATURES	10
SECTION 6 - <u>STRUCTURAL STABILITY</u>	
6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	12
SECTION 7 - <u>ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>	
7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT	13
7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS	13
 APPENDIX A - CHECK LIST OF VISUAL INSPECTION REPORT	
APPENDIX B - CHECK LIST OF ENGINEERING DATA	
APPENDIX C - PHOTOGRAPHS	
APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS	
APPENDIX E - PLATES	
APPENDIX F - GEOLOGIC REPORT	

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

SPRING GROVE DAM

NDI NO. PA-01028
DER NO. 67-004

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

A. Authority

The Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a program of inspections of dams throughout the United States.

B. Purpose

The purpose of this inspection is to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life and property.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

A. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

Note: All elevations have been referenced to a U.S.G.S. benchmark located in the left abutment of the spillway. The elevation of this benchmark, obtained from U.S.G.S., is 457.84. The inspection survey determined a normal pool elevation of 451.3, compared with a pool elevation 450.75 shown on Plate V, Appendix E, and elevation 457.0 shown on the U.S.G.S. quadrangle sheet.

Spring Grove Dam is located within the boundaries of the P.H. Glatfelter Company property. Extensive changes have been made to this facility in the recent years in order to provide flood protection for the industrial complex. Considerable damage was caused by the Agnes storm in June 1972. The left end of the dam abuts with one of the buildings within the complex. A railroad at this jointure is provided with a sandbag closure. An earth embankment with a 2.4 foot high concrete parapet wall extends 240 feet to the right. At the end of the parapet wall, the crest of the earth embankment is at the same elevation of the wall. The present alignment bends at the end of the parapet wall, curves around a building, then ties into the left spillway abutment wall. The spillway is 255 feet long between the left and right abutment. Both abutments are concrete. The right earth embankment consists of a

wide fill. A treatment plant is located in this area. The overall length of the facilities is about 800 feet. The maximum height of embankment is about 18 feet above the downstream toe.

- B. Location: Borough of Spring Grove and North Codorus Township, York County
U.S.G.S. Quadrangle - Seven Valleys, PA
Latitude 39°-52.1', Longitude 76°-52.0'
Appendix E, Plates I & II
- C. Size Classification: Small: Height - 18 feet
Storage - 589 acre-feet
- D. Hazard Classification: Significant (Refer to Section 3.1.E.)
- E. Ownership: P.H. Clatfelter Co.
Mr. C. Neal Carter, Environmental Director
228 South Main Street
Spring Grove, PA 17362
- F. Purpose: Industrial water supply
- G. Design and Construction History

The dam was constructed in 1863 to provide a water supply for the paper mill and the borough. The first inspection by representatives of the predecessor of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources (PennDER) occurred in 1914. The report following this inspection indicates that the 255 foot long spillway consisted of a rock ballasted timber A-frame structure with timber sheeting at the upstream and downstream side. A clay fill on the upstream side provided a watertight structure. The spillway was about 4.4 feet below the crest of the embankment. The spillway capacity was then considered to be inadequate. The spillway was later concreted and the crest of the embankment was raised. The embankment was overtopped in 1972 and the owner installed the concrete parapet wall and raised the earth embankment crest elevation to prevent future flooding of the industrial plant.

H. Normal Operating Procedures

All inflow is discharged over the uncontrolled spillway. Operating facilities are limited to pipe intakes for industrial purposes.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

A. Drainage Area (square miles)

From files:	67
Computed for this report:	73.4
Use:	73.4

B. Discharge at Dam Site (cubic feet per second)
See Appendix D for hydraulic calculations.

Maximum known flood (June, 1972)	19400
Spillway capacity at pool Elev. 457.5 (low point of dam)	11763
Spillway capacity at pool Elev. 460.1 (top of flood protection)	17494

C. Elevation (feet above mean sea level)

Top of dam (low point)	457.5
Top of dam (flood protection level)	460.1
Spillway crest	451.3
Streambed at downstream toe of dam (estimate)	439.3

D. Reservoir (miles)

Length of normal pool (Elev. 451.3)	0.5
Length of maximum pool (Elev. 457.5)	1.3

E. Storage (acre-feet)

Spillway crest (Elev. 451.3)	33.8
Top of dam (Elev. 457.5)	589

F. Reservoir Surface (acres)

Spillway crest (Elev. 451.3)	23
Top of dam (Elev. 457.5)	181

G. Dam

Refer to Plates II, III and IV in Appendix A for schematic plan and section.

Type: Earthfill.
Length: 800 feet.
Height: 18 feet.

Top Width: Design - varies; Survey - varies, minimum 8 feet.

Side Slopes:	<u>Design</u>	<u>Surveyed</u>
Upstream	Unknown	1.4H to 1V
Downstream	Unknown	1.7H to 1V

Zoning: None.

Cutoff: None.

Grouting: None.

H. Outlet Facilities

None

I. Spillway

Type: Concrete, broad crested weir with both faces inclined.

Length
of Weir: 255 feet (as surveyed).

Crest
Elevation: 451.3

J. Regulating Outlets

None.

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 DESIGN

Engineering data for the original construction of Spring Grove Dam does not exist. The available information is limited to reports prepared by PennDER and some drawings indicating proposed changes to the spillway and spillway abutment walls.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION

A report, prepared by PennDER in February 1914, indicates that the original dam was constructed in 1863. The dam had a 255 foot long, rock ballasted timber spillway which was backed on the upstream side with a clay fill. The heavy masonry abutments were founded on firm material. The crest of the dam was only three feet above the crest elevation of the spillway and overtopping occurred in 1889. When the water receded, the saturated embankment failed and breached. The embankment and the south spillway abutment wall were reconstructed and the crest of the dam was raised to 4.4 feet above the spillway elevation. It was reported that the embankment was founded on firm clay and was constructed of a good clay material. A concrete headrace was constructed in 1889 (Plate III, Appendix E).

PennDER considered the spillway capacity inadequate and requested that the abutments and embankment be raised about three feet.

In June 1915, concrete was placed on the upstream side of the spillway weir, replacing the planking. It is assumed that this concrete formed a new coping at the top of the weir. In 1924, a permit was issued by PennDER to replace the downstream planking with concrete (Plate IV, Appendix E).

In 1930, the crest of the right embankment was raised to the spillway abutment elevation under the permit issued in 1914. Heavy sedimentation in the reservoir occurred, making the average reservoir depth only 2 feet. During the 1930's the reservoir was excavated to a minimum depth of six feet. Additional construction occurred in the late seventies after the Agnes storm (June 1972). The flood protection was improved by the construction of a concrete parapet wall over a length of 240 feet and raising the embankment from the south end of this wall to the building. Sandbags are stored at the site for closing the openings in the wall.

2.3 OPERATION

The facilities are located within the industrial complex of the P.H. Glatfelter Co. An informal inspection of the facilities is made on a daily basis. Operating facilities are limited to valves for industrial water intake. Records of pool levels are not maintained. All inflow is discharged over the uncontrolled spillway.

2.4 EVALUATION

A. Availability

The available engineering data was obtained from the PennDER files in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The flood control plan (Plate V) was obtained from the owner.

B. Adequacy

Because of the limited available engineering and construction data, the assessment of the dam is based on the conditions as observed during the visual inspection.

C. Operating Records

Operating records have not been maintained.

D. Post Construction Changes

reference is made to Section 2.2 for a description of post construction changes.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

A. General

The general appearance of Spring Grove Dam is fair. Settling basins are located immediately downstream of the left embankment. There were no signs of sloughage or seepage. The embankment alignment has been changed over the years and is not straight. The spillway shows signs of deterioration.

The visual inspection check list and sketches of the general plan and profile of the dam, as surveyed during the inspection, are presented in Appendix A of this report. Photographs of the facilities taken during the inspection are reproduced in Appendix C.

Mr. Roth represented the owner and accompanied the inspectors on the day of inspection.

B. Embankment

The left embankment abuts one of the industrial buildings and a railroad. Sandbags are stored adjacent to the railroad to close off this opening during flood flows. A concrete parapet wall begins at a distance of fourteen feet from the building. This wall increases the flood protection by approximately 2.4 feet above the previous earthfill elevation (Photographs No. 3, 4 and 7). The embankment has an actual width of about 14 feet and an upstream slope of 1.4H to 1V. Several sheds or buildings are located on the downstream slope of the embankment. One opening in the wall, located near its center, is used for access to industrial intake valves. This opening can be closed off with stoplogs (Photographs No. 4 and 6).

At the end of the parapet wall the embankment alignment turns upstream towards the reservoir (Photograph No. 2). The crest of the embankment here is level with the elevation of the parapet wall. The embankment surface consists of stone, is pervious and is used regularly for access to different parts of the plant. A pipe is located on top of the fill. The crest in this area is only eight feet wide and the slopes are steep (Plate A-III, Appendix A). The embankment is warped around a new industrial building and ties into the left abutment of the spillway. The embankment on the right side of the spillway is short. The area beyond the embankment is the location of a treatment plant (Photographs No. 10 and 11).

Most of the embankment has a stone surface. Some riprap and weeds are located on the upstream slope. There were no indications of slides, sloughs or seepage.

C. Appurtenant Structures

The spillway is located near the present right abutment of the embankment. The spillway abutment walls are massive concrete structures (Photographs No. 11 and 13). The left abutment has been tied into the new building with steel sheetpiling. The weir has a concrete surface and has deteriorated slightly (Photograph No. 14). At the downstream end of the concrete slab, there is a 2.0 to 2.5 foot deep pool. This pool is about 15 feet wide and has been caused by erosion during high discharges.

Valves for control of industrial water supply are located at the upstream slope of the left embankment (Photograph No. 5).

D. Reservoir Area

The reservoir is bordered by nearly level land which is used for industrial purposes. The area is about five feet above normal pool. The slopes appear to be stable.

E. Downstream Channel

The immediate downstream channel of Codorus Creek below the dam is formed on the left side by an industrial building. This building overhangs the channel and is supported on piers in this area (Photograph No. 16). The right side is formed by an earth dike. A footbridge, which is also used to carry pipes, is located about 30 feet downstream from the spillway. The bridge is supported on three high piers. A two span bridge which carries Route 516 over Codorus Creek is located about 800 feet downstream of the dam. There are no other structures located in the floodplain of Codorus Creek over the next four miles.

A control plan has been initiated by the owner for surveillance of the dam when the depth of water exceeds twelve inches over the weir. Industrial buildings are located below the left embankment at or below normal pool elevation. A potential hazard to loss of a few lives and appreciable economic loss exists downstream if the dam fails. The hazard category is therefore considered to be "Significant."

3.2 EVALUATION

The overall visual evaluation of the facilities indicates that the Spring Grove Dam is in fair condition. The facilities has a "cluttered" appearance due to the presence of industrial facilities on and adjacent to the embankment. Although no seepage or sloughs were detected, the crest is narrow at several locations and should be brought to a uniform width and the embankment slope should be flattened. The concrete spillway shows signs of deterioration. Riprap should be placed at the toe of the spillway to prevent undermining of the slab.

SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES

There are no operating facilities at Spring Grove Dam other than the intake valves for industrial use. An extensive flood control plan dated August, 14, 1979, exists for protection of the industrial buildings. This plan is activated when the pool level reaches one foot above normal pool level and stipulates what actions shall be taken to protect the site for floods up to 100 inches above the normal pool. It dictates at what stage sandbags shall be placed in the low areas and who is responsible and where the bags are located. An annual inspection program for the dam is also in existence.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF EMBANKMENT

The embankment is covered with weeds on the upstream slope and some grass on the downstream slope. Most of the embankment, however, has a gravel surface.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACILITIES

Operating facilities are for industrial use only and were not inspected. There are no drawdown facilities.

4.4 WARNING SYSTEM

There is a formally organized surveillance system (flood control plan) which is activated when pool level reaches 12 inches above the spillway weir. A downstream warning system is not included in the write-up of the flood control plan.

4.5 EVALUATION

The operational procedures for Spring Grove Dam are limited to a flood control plan and an annual in-house inspection program. It is recommended to extend the flood control plan to include a formal downstream warning system for implementation during periods of heavy or prolonged rainfall.

SECTION 5 - HYDROLOGY/HYDRAULICS

5.1 EVALUATION OF FEATURES

A. Design Data

The hydrologic and hydraulic analyses available from PennDER for Spring Grove Dam were not very extensive. No stage-discharge curve, stage-storage curve, design flood hydrograph, nor flood routings were submitted by the designer to PennDER.

B. Experience Data

The maximum known flood at Spring Grove Dam occurred in June 1972, and caused the water level in the lake to reach an elevation of 98 inches above the spillway crest. This flood was recorded at the U.S.G.S. stream gage on Codorus Creek, a short distance downstream of the dam, as 19400 cfs. The embankment was overtopped by that event; however, the project passed that flood without damage to the dam.

C. Visual Observations

It was noted that there are no drawdown facilities for this dam. Water can be drawn from the lake for industrial water supply and processed waste water reenters the channel downstream.

An extensive flood protection plan exists for this dam. The plan calls for filling low areas in the dam and raising the top of dam to 8.8 feet above the spillway crest. Computations in Appendix D include the flood protection provisions.

D. Overtopping Potential

Spring Grove Dam has a total storage capacity of 589 acre-feet and an overall height of 18 feet, both referenced to the top of the dam, prior to flood protection measures. These dimensions indicate a size classification of "Small." The hazard classification is "Significant" (see Section 3.1.E.).

The recommended Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for a dam having the above classifications is in the range of the 100 year flood to one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Because of the small size of this dam, the recommended SDF is the 100 year flood. For this dam, the SDF peak inflow is 16600 cfs (see Appendix D for gage analysis computations).

Comparison of the estimated SDF peak inflow of 16600 cfs with the estimated total spillway discharge capacity of 17494 cfs, based on the flood protection elevation 460.1, indicates that a potential for overtopping of the Spring Grove Dam does not exist.

E. Spillway Adequacy

The small size and significant hazard categories, in accordance with the Corps of Engineers' criteria and guidelines, indicates that the SDF for this dam should be in the range of the 100 year flood to one-half the PMF. The recommended SDF is the 100 year flood.

Since the spillway discharge capacity can pass the SDF without overtopping, the spillway is considered to be adequate.

The hydrologic analysis for this investigation was based upon existing conditions of the watershed. The effects of future development were not considered.

SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

A. Visual Observations

1. Embankment

The visual inspection of Spring Grove Dam did not reveal any signs of seepage through the embankment. The slopes are apparently stable. The crest of the embankment over most of its length is only eight feet above the present downstream toe. The crest is narrow at several locations and the downstream slope is steep. The concrete parapet wall appears adequate for the 2.4 foot height.

2. Appurtenant Structures

The concrete face on the downstream side of the spillway weir shows signs of deterioration. Riprap should be placed downstream of the spillway weir to prevent possible undermining of the slab.

B. Design and Construction Data

Design and construction data for the embankment do not exist.

C. Operating Records

Operating records for this dam have not been maintained by the owner. Many changes have occurred since the dam breached in 1889. The overtopping in 1972 caused no major damage to the embankment.

D. Post Construction Changes

Reference is made to Section 2.2 for a discussion of the known post construction changes since its completion in 1863.

E. Seismic Stability

This dam is located in Seismic Zone 1, and it is considered that the static stability is sufficient to withstand minor earthquake-induced dynamic forces. No studies or calculations have been made to confirm this assumption.

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

A. Safety

The visual inspection indicates that Spring Grove Dam is in fair condition. Engineering design and construction data are very limited. The embankment appears to be stable. A small section of the embankment has a narrow crest and a steep downstream slope.

In accordance with the Corps of Engineers' evaluation guidelines, the size classification of this dam is small and the hazard classification is significant. These classifications indicate that the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) should be in the range of the 100 year flood to one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The recommended SDF for this structure is the 100 year flood.

The hydrologic and hydraulic computations indicate that the discharge capacity of the spillway is sufficient for passing the SDF. The spillway is therefore considered to be adequate.

B. Adequacy of Information

The visual inspection is considered to be sufficiently adequate for making a reasonable assessment of this dam.

C. Urgency

The recommendations presented below should be implemented immediately.

D. Additional Studies

Additional studies are not required at this time if the recommendations are implemented immediately.

7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to assure the continued satisfactory operation of this dam, the following recommendations are presented for immediate implementation by the owner:

1. That the narrow crest between the parapet wall and the building be widened and the slope flattened.

2. That heavy rock for erosion protection be placed downstream of the spillway weir slab to prevent undermining of the slab.
3. That the flood control plan be expanded to include a downstream warning system.
4. That an operation and maintenance manual be prepared for guidance in the operation of the dam during normal and emergency conditions, and that a schedule be maintained for the annual inspection of the dam and its appurtenant structures.

APPENDIX A

CHECK LIST OF VISUAL INSPECTION REPORT

APPENDIX A

CHECK LIST

PHASE I - VISUAL INSPECTION REPORT

PA DER # 67-004

NDI NO. PA-01028

NAME OF DAM Spring Grove Dam HAZARD CATEGORY Significant

TYPE OF DAM earthfill with concrete parapet wall, buildings, etc.

LOCATION Borough of Spring Grove
& North Codorus TOWNSHIP York COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

INSPECTION DATE 4/27/81 WEATHER sunny TEMPERATURE 60's

INSPECTORS: R. Houseal (Recorder) OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE(s):

H. Jongsma

Joe Roth

R. Shireman

A. Bartlett

NORMAL POOL ELEVATION: 451.3 (USGS) AT TIME OF INSPECTION: _____

BREAST ELEVATION: varies POOL ELEVATION: 451.3+

SPILLWAY ELEVATION: 451.3 TAILWATER ELEVATION: _____

MAXIMUM RECORDED POOL ELEVATION: 459.5

GENERAL COMMENTS:

VISUAL INSPECTION
EMBANKMENT

	OBSERVATIONS AND REMARKS
A. SURFACE CRACKS	None detected.
B. UNUSUAL MOVEMENT BEYOND TOE	None. Treatment plant immediately downstream.
C. SLOUGHING OR EROSION OF EMBANKMENT OR ABUTMENT SLOPES	None.
D. ALIGNMENT OF CREST: HORIZONTAL: VERTICAL:	See plan, Plate A-I and Plate V, Appendix E. Irregular alignment, interrupted with buildings.
E. RIPRAP FAILURES	Some riprap on upstream slope. No failures.
F. JUNCTION EMBANKMENT & ABUTMENT OR SPILLWAY	At left end butts into railroad. Opening can be sandbagged. Right abutment against building.
G. SEEPAGE	None detected.
H. DRAINS	None.
J. GAGES & RECORDER	None.
K. COVER (GROWTH)	Upstream slope weeds. Crest stone surface. Downstream slope mostly stone.

VISUAL INSPECTION
OUTLET WORKS


	OBSERVATIONS AND REMARKS
A. INTAKE STRUCTURE	None.
B. OUTLET STRUCTURE	None.
C. OUTLET CHANNEL	None.
D. GATES	None.
E. EMERGENCY GATE	None.
F. OPERATION & CONTROL	None.
G. BRIDGE (ACCESS)	None.

VISUAL INSPECTION
SPILLWAY

	OBSERVATIONS AND REMARKS
A. APPROACH CHANNEL	Direct from reservoir. Lily plants, indicating shallow reservoir.
B. WEIR: Crest Condition Cracks Deterioration Foundation Abutments	Narrow concrete crest. Considerable deterioration. Several cracks and small holes on downstream slope.
C. DISCHARGE CHANNEL: Lining Cracks Stilling Basin	Stone lined channed beyond the concreted weir. Erosion has caused a 2 to 2.5 foot deep pool at end of slab about 15 feet wide.
D. BRIDGE & PIERS	3 piers downstream of weir supporting a footbridge and pipe crossing.
E. GATES & OPERATION EQUIPMENT	None.
F. CONTROL & HISTORY	Maximum flow of 8'-2" over weir during Agnes (June, 1972).

VISUAL INSPECTION


	OBSERVATIONS AND REMARKS
<u>INSTRUMENTATION</u>	
Monumentation	On left spillway abutment B.M. elev. 457.84.
Observation Wells	None.
Weirs	None.
Piezometers	None.
Staff Gauge	Near valves for industrial intake.
Other	None.
<u>RESERVOIR</u>	
Slopes	Stable. Most about 2.5 to 1V.
Sedimentation	Considerable.
Watershed Description	Mostly cultivated land.
<u>DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL</u>	
Condition	Natural stream. Building on left side, dike on right side.
Slopes	Building on piles. Moderate slopes.
Approximate Population	Varies according to working hours.
No. Homes	Industrial plant, parking, highway.



SPRING GROVE DAM
PA-01028
INSPECTION SURVEY

SURVEYED 4-27-81


PLATE A-I




EMBANKMENT PROFILE

SPRING GROVE DAM
PA - 01028
INSPECTION SURVEY
PLATE A-II

SURVEYED 4-27-81



EMBANKMENT SECTION STA. 1+90



EMBANKMENT SECTION STA. 3+00

SPRING GROVE DAM

PA - 01028

INSPECTION SURVEY

SURVEYED 4-27-81

PLATE

A-III

APPENDIX B

CHECK LIST OF ENGINEERING DATA

APPENDIX B

CHECK LIST
ENGINEERING DATA

PA DER # 67-004

NDI NO. PA-01028

NAME OF DAM Spring Grove Dam

ITEM	REMARKS
AS-BUILT DRAWINGS	Not available.
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP	U.S.G.S. Quadrangle - Seven Valleys, PA See Plate II, Appendix E
CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	Original construction in 1863. Breached in 1889. Crest of embankment raised in 1930 and in 1975. Spillway abutment walls raised in 1915. Spillway weir concreted in 1924.
GENERAL PLAN OF DAM	Refer to Plate III, Appendix E. Many changes made since 1914. Refer to Plate V for general location.
TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM	Not available.
OUTLETS: PLAN DETAILS CONSTRAINTS DISCHARGE RATINGS	None.

ENGINEERING DATA

ITEM	REMARKS
RAINFALL & RESERVOIR RECORDS	No records.
DESIGN REPORTS	None.
GEOLOGY REPORTS	None.
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS: HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS DAM STABILITY SEEPAGE STUDIES	None.
MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS: BORING RECORDS LABORATORY FIELD	No records.
POST CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	None.
BORROW SOURCES	Unknown. Good clay available in area.

ENGINEERING DATA

ITEM	REMARKS
MONITORING SYSTEMS	None.
MODIFICATIONS	Many changes discussed in files. Refer to Section 2.2 of this report.
HIGH POOL RECORDS	Maximum recorded pool at 8.17' over spillway in June, 1972 (Agnes). Refer to Plate V, Appendix E.
POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING STUDIES & REPORTS	Inspection reports by PennDER.
PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURE OF DAM Description: Reports:	Breached 1889. Embankment crest 3 feet above spillway weir, overtopped by one foot. Embankment breached due to saturation after water receded.
MAINTENANCE & OPERATION RECORDS	None.
SPILLWAY PLAN, SECTIONS AND DETAILS	Plate III and IV, Appendix E.

ENGINEERING DATA

ITEM	REMARKS
OPERATING EQUIPMENT, PLANS & DETAILS	None.
CONSTRUCTION RECORDS	None.
PREVIOUS INSPECTION REPORTS & DEFICIENCIES	Inspection reports by PennDER indicating low embankment and trees on the embankment.
MISCELLANEOUS	

CHECK LIST
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC
ENGINEERING DATA

DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS: mostly farm land, some urban area, some forest

ELEVATION:

TOP NORMAL POOL & STORAGE CAPACITY: Elev. 451.3 Acre-Feet 33.8TOP FLOOD CONTROL POOL & STORAGE CAPACITY: Elev. 457.5 Acre-Feet 589MAXIMUM DESIGN POOL: Elev. 457.5 (460.1, with flood protection)TOP DAM: Elev. 457.5

SPILLWAY:

a. Elevation 451.3b. Type concrete, broad crested weirc. Width 255 feetd. Length --e. Location Spillover right end of damf. Number and Type of Gates none

OUTLET WORKS:


a. Type noneb. Location c. Entrance inverts d. Exit inverts e. Emergency drawdown facilities none

HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES:


a. Type noneb. Location c. Records MAXIMUM NON-DAMAGING DISCHARGE: 17494 cfs (with flood protection)

APPENDIX C

PHOTOGRAPHS



SPRING GROVE DAM
PA-01028
KEY MAP OF PHOTOGRAPHS
PLATE C-I




OVERVIEW LEFT EMBANKMENT - NO. 2
NOTE: CONCRETE WALL AND PIPELINES



LEFT EMBANKMENT AND PARAPET WALL - NO. 3
NOTE: BUILDING ON DOWNSTREAM SIDE


PA-01028
Plate C-II




PARAPET WALL AND CLOSURE OPENING - NO. 4



DETAIL OF VALVE CONTROLS - NO. 5



CLOSURE OPENING IN WALL - NO. 6



VIEW OF PARAPET WALL AND EMBANKMENT -- NO. 7
NOTE: EMBANKMENT AND BUILDING IN BACKGROUND




LOW AREA AT RAILROAD - NO. 8




WALL TIE-IN AT BUILDING AND SPILLWAY - NO. 9


PA-01028
Plate -V




SPILLWAY FROM LEFT ABUTMENT - NO. 10
NOTE: DOWNSTREAM PIPE/FOOT BRIDGE




RIGHT ABUTMENT OF SPILLWAY - NO. 11



DOWNSTREAM FACE OF SPILLWAY - NO. 12




LEFT ABUTMENT OF SPILLWAY - NO. 13




DETAIL OF DETERIORATED CONCRETE OF SPILLWAY - NO. 14




SETTLING POND DOWNSTREAM OF EMBANKMENT - NO. 15




DETAIL OF DETERIORATED CONCRETE OF SPILLWAY - NO. 14



SETTLING POND DOWNSTREAM OF EMBANKMENT - NO. 15



DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL OF SPILLWAY - NO. 16
NOTE: BUILDING ON PILE FOUNDATION



OVERVIEW OF RESERVOIR - NO. 17

APPENDIX D
HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

APPENDIX D

BY RLS DATE 6/22/81

BERGER ASSOCIATES

SHEET NO. 1 OF 7


CHKD. BY _____ DATE _____

PROJECT D0590

SUBJECT _____

SPRING GROUND DATA

CHANNEL CAPACITY



$$S = .0013$$

$$N = .045$$

$$Q = 1.486 \times A \times R^{2/3} \times S^{1/2} / N$$

AT WEIR ELEV 451.3

$$Q = 1.486 \times 1292 \times (8.67)^{.67} \times (.0013)^{.5} / .045$$

$$= 6492 \text{ CFS}$$

AT TOP OF DAM ELEV 457.5

$$Q = 1.486 \times 2272 \times (12.76)^{.67} \times (.0013)^{.5} / .045$$

$$= 14771 \text{ CFS}$$


BY RLS DATE 6/22/51
CHKD. BY _____ DATE _____
SUBJECT _____

BERGER ASSOCIATES

SHEET NO. 2 OF 7
PROJECT D0590

SPRING GROVE DAM

CHANNEL CAPACITY CURVE




BY RLS DATE 6/22/81
 CHKD. BY _____ DATE _____
 SUBJECT _____

BERGER ASSOCIATES

SHEET NO. 3 OF 7
 PROJECT D0590

SPRING GROVE DAM

WEIR TAILWATER



QA	Hc	H	H0	H0/H	(SMALL DAMS CW FIG. 25A)	Q
7000	12.7	4	3.3	.825	3.6	7344
		3.85	3.15	.818	3.6	6935
9000	14.5	5	2.5	.5	3.53	10064
		4.5	2	.44	3.49	8495
		4.75	2.25	.47	3.51	9266
12000	16.7	6	1.3	.22	3.17	11880
		6.5	1.8	.28	3.31	13987
		6.2	1.5	.24	3.24	12755
15000	18.8	7	0.2	.03	1.19	5620
		8	1.2	.15	2.81	16214
		7.6	0.8	.11	2.45	13090
18000	20.7	9	0.3	.03	1.19	8193
		10	1.3	.13	2.63	21208
		9.7	1.0	.10	2.02	15561
21000	22.3	11	0.7	.06	1.76	16374
		12	1.7	.14	2.77	29362
		11.5	1.2	.10	2.02	20088


BY RLS DATE 6/22/81
CHKD. BY _____ DATE _____
SUBJECT _____

BERGER ASSOCIATES

SHEET NO. 9 OF 7
PROJECT 00590

SPRING GROVE DAM

WEIR TAILWATER



USE MINIMUM $H_d = 1.1$



BY RLS DATE 6/23/81
CHKD. BY _____ DATE _____
SUBJECT _____

BERGER ASSOCIATES

SHEET NO. 5 OF 7
PROJECT D0590

SPRING GROVE DAM


SPILLWAY CAPACITY



$C = 3.6$ (KING'S HDBK)

WEIR IS SUBMERGED
BY DOWNSTREAM
TAILWATER DUE TO
CONTRACTING STREAM
CHANNEL.

USE MINIMUM $H_0 = 1.1$
(FIG. 254, SMALL DAMS)



$$H = 457.5 - 451.3 = 6.2'$$

C_s/C FROM FIG. 254 SMALL DAMS

$$Q = C_s/C \times C \times L \times H^{3/2}$$
$$= .83 \times 3.6 \times 255 \times (6.2)^{1.5}$$

$$= 11763 \text{ CFS}$$

AT ELEV. 460.1, FLOOD PROTECTION ELEVATION

$$H = 460.1 - 451.3 = 8.8'$$

$$Q = C_s/C \times C \times L \times H^{3/2}$$
$$= .73 \times 3.6 \times 255 \times (8.8)^{1.5}$$

$$= 17494 \text{ CFS}$$


BY BLS DATE 6/23/81
CHKD. BY _____ DATE _____
SUBJECT _____

BERGER ASSOCIATES

SHEET NO. 6 OF 7
PROJECT DQ590

SPRING GROVE DAM

SPILLWAY CAPACITY CURVE



STORAGE

33.8 AC-FT AT NORMAL POOL (451.3) (FROM PENNDER FILES)

PLANIMETERED AREA : ELEV. 451.3 = 23 A.
(QUAD SHEET) ELEV. 460 = 245 A

INTERPOLATED AREA : ELEV 457.5 = 181 A

$$\text{STORAGE} = 33.8 + \left(\frac{6\frac{2}{3}}{3} \times \left(23 + 181 + \frac{(23 \times 181)^{0.5}}{2} \right) \right) = 589 \text{ AC-FT}$$

BY RLS DATE 6/23/81
CHKD. BY _____ DATE _____
SUBJECT _____

BERGER ASSOCIATES

SHEET NO. 7 OF 2
PROJECT D0590

SPRING GROVE DAM

MAXIMUM KNOWN FLOOD AT DAMSITE

THE MAXIMUM KNOWN FLOOD AT MILL DAM OCCURRED IN JUNE 1972 WHEN THE DISCHARGE OVER THE SPILLWAY REACHED A DEPTH OF 98 INCHES. THIS FLOOD EVENT WAS RECORDED AS 19400 CFS AT THE STREAM GAGE LOCATED A SHORT DISTANCE DOWNSTREAM OF THE DAM. THE DAM WAS OVERTOPPED BY THIS FLOOD.

DESIGN FLOOD

SIZE CLASSIFICATION

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 589 ACRE-Feet

MAXIMUM HEIGHT = 18 FEET

SIZE CLASSIFICATION IS "SMALL"

HAZARD CLASSIFICATION

FACTORY LOCATED ON EMBANKMENT AND AT DOWNSTREAM TOE.

USE "SIGNIFICANT"

RECOMMENDED SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD

THE ABOVE CLASSIFICATIONS INDICATE USE OF AN SDF EQUAL TO THE 100 YEAR FLOOD TO ONE-HALF THE PROBABLE MAXIMUM FLOOD.

ITT SPRING GROVE DAM **** CODORUS CREEK
TT SPRING GROVE BOROUGH, YORK COUNTY, PA.
TT MOI # 01028 **** PA DER # 67-4

ID STATION 01574500 CODORUS CREEK AT SPRING GROVE, PA. : 1930 - 1979

GS	5745		.47
QR	5745	1931	1710
QR	5745	1932	685
QR	5745	1933	1620
QR	5745	1934	11200
QR	5745	1935	6070
QR	5745	1936	1010
QR	5745	1937	1380
QR	5745	1938	2210
QR	5745	1939	3180
QR	5745	1940	1620
QR	5745	1941	6190
QR	5745	1942	870
QR	5745	1943	3190
QR	5745	1944	5510
QR	5745	1945	2650
QR	5745	1946	2820
QR	5745	1947	3850
QR	5745	1948	1430
QR	5745	1949	1810
QR	5745	1950	1730
QR	5745	1951	1610
QR	5745	1952	4080
QR	5745	1953	1750
QR	5745	1954	2180
QR	5745	1955	1910
QR	5745	1956	3180
QR	5745	1957	1910
QR	5745	1958	1100
QR	5745	1959	2180
QR	5745	1960	1060
QR	5745	1961	1310
QR	5745	1962	1610
QR	5745	1963	1950
QR	5745	1964	2140
QR	5745	1965	2020
QR	5745	1966	1600
QR	5745	1967	1810
QR	5745	1968	1640
QR	5745	1969	691
QR	5745	1970	1810
QR	5745	1971	1960
QR	5745	1972	19400
QR	5745	1973	807
QR	5745	1974	1290
QR	5745	1975	10700
QR	5745	1976	1340
QR	5745	1977	1620
QR	5745	1978	3050
QR	5745	1979	2500

EO

0

*WEOF

1*****

* FLOOD FLOW FREQUENCY ANALYSIS *

* PRELIMINARY ----OCTOBER 1976 *

2

X	X	XXX	XXXXX	XXX	XXXXX	XXXXX	XXX	X	X	XXX	XXXXX	XXXX	XXX
XX	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	XXX	X	X	X	XXX	XXX
X	XX	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
X	X	XXX		XXX	XXX	XXXXX	X	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXXXX	X	X

1. THE WATER RESOURCES COUNCIL'S WORKGROUP ON FLOOD FLOW FREQUENCY ANALYSIS IS REVISING THE PROCEDURE FOR ADOPTING SKEW COEFFICIENTS FOR ANALYSES INVOLVING HIGH OUTLIERS AND/OR HISTORIC EVENTS. THE PROCEDURE WILL NOT BE AVAILABLE UNTIL DECEMBER 1976 AT THE EARLIEST
2. WHEN HIGH OUTLIERS OR HISTORIC EVENTS ARE PRESENT THIS VERSION OF THE PROGRAM WILLO
 - A. CALCULATE HISTORICALLY WEIGHTED STATISTICS ACCORDING TO EQUATIONS 6-1,6-2A,6-3A,6-4A CONTAINED IN APPENDIX 6 OF THE WRC GUIDELINES
 - B. THEN, THE HISTORICALLY WEIGHTED SKEW DETERMINED FROM EQUATION 6-4A IS WEIGHTED WITH GENERALIZED SKEW TO YIELD THE FINAL ADOPTED SKEW ACCORDING TO THE PROCEDURES DISCUSSED ON PAGE 11 OF THE WRC GUIDELINES
3. IF THE WORKGROUP ADOPTS A PROCEDURE DIFFERENT THAN THE ONE CONTAINED WITHIN THIS PROGRAM, THEN STUDIES INCLUDING HISTORIC OR HIGH OUTLIER ADJUSTMENTS WILL NOT BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE WRC GUIDELINES

4. ANY REVISIONS IN PROCEDURES WILL BE PROGRAMMED AND PROVIDED AS A PROGRAM MODIFICATION

 * FLOOD FLOW FREQUENCY ANALYSIS *
 * PRELIMINARY ---- OCTOBER 1976 *

0

0**TITLE CARD(S)**

TT SPRING GROVE DAM *** CODORUS CREEK
 TT SPRING GROVE BOROUGH, YORK COUNTY, PA.
 TT NDI # 01028 *** PA DER # 67-4

0**STATION IDENTIFICATION**

ID STATION 01574500 CODORUS CREEK AT SPRING GROVE, PA. 1930 - 1979

0**GENERALIZED SKEW**

GS .47

0**SYSTEMATIC FLOOD PEAKS**

OR 49 OR CARDS SUPPLIED

0**END OF INPUT DATA**

ED ++++++
 ++++++

FINAL RESULTS

-ANNUAL PEAKS - STATION 01574500 CODORUS CREEK AT SPRING

.....DATA ANALYZED..........ORDERED DATA.....*

				WATER		WEIBULL	
* MON	* DAY	* YEAR	* FLOW	* RANK	* YEAR	* FLOW	* PLOT POS
* 0	* 0	* 1931	* 1710.	* 1	* 1972	* 19400.	* .0200
* 0	* 0	* 1932	* 685.	* 2	* 1934	* 11200.	* .0400
* 0	* 0	* 1933	* 1620.	* 3	* 1975	* 10700.	* .0600
* 0	* 0	* 1934	* 11200.	* 4	* 1941	* 6190.	* .0800
* 0	* 0	* 1935	* 6070.	* 5	* 1935	* 6070.	* .1000
* 0	* 0	* 1936	* 1010.	* 6	* 1944	* 5510.	* .1200
* 0	* 0	* 1937	* 1380.	* 7	* 1952	* 4080.	* .1400
* 0	* 0	* 1938	* 2210.	* 8	* 1947	* 3850.	* .1600
* 0	* 0	* 1939	* 3180.	* 9	* 1943	* 3190.	* .1800
* 0	* 0	* 1940	* 1620.	* 10	* 1939	* 3180.	* .2000
* 0	* 0	* 1941	* 6190.	* 11	* 1956	* 3180.	* .2200
* 0	* 0	* 1942	* 870.	* 12	* 1978	* 3050.	* .2400
* 0	* 0	* 1943	* 3190.	* 13	* 1946	* 2820.	* .2600
* 0	* 0	* 1944	* 5510.	* 14	* 1945	* 2650.	* .2800
* 0	* 0	* 1945	* 2650.	* 15	* 1979	* 2500.	* .3000
* 0	* 0	* 1946	* 2820.	* 16	* 1938	* 2210.	* .3200
* 0	* 0	* 1947	* 3850.	* 17	* 1954	* 2180.	* .3400
* 0	* 0	* 1948	* 1430.	* 18	* 1959	* 2180.	* .3600
* 0	* 0	* 1949	* 1810.	* 19	* 1964	* 2140.	* .3800
* 0	* 0	* 1950	* 1730.	* 20	* 1965	* 2020.	* .4000
* 0	* 0	* 1951	* 1610.	* 21	* 1971	* 1960.	* .4200
* 0	* 0	* 1952	* 4080.	* 22	* 1963	* 1950.	* .4400
* 0	* 0	* 1953	* 1750.	* 23	* 1955	* 1910.	* .4600
* 0	* 0	* 1954	* 2180.	* 24	* 1957	* 1910.	* .4800
* 0	* 0	* 1955	* 1910.	* 25	* 1970	* 1910.	* .5000
* 0	* 0	* 1956	* 3180.	* 26	* 1949	* 1810.	* .5200
* 0	* 0	* 1957	* 1910.	* 27	* 1967	* 1810.	* .5400
* 0	* 0	* 1958	* 1100.	* 28	* 1953	* 1750.	* .5600
* 0	* 0	* 1959	* 2180.	* 29	* 1950	* 1730.	* .5800
* 0	* 0	* 1960	* 1060.	* 30	* 1931	* 1710.	* .6000
* 0	* 0	* 1961	* 1310.	* 31	* 1968	* 1640.	* .6200
* 0	* 0	* 1962	* 1610.	* 32	* 1940	* 1620.	* .6400
* 0	* 0	* 1963	* 1950.	* 33	* 1933	* 1620.	* .6600
* 0	* 0	* 1964	* 2140.	* 34	* 1977	* 1620.	* .6800
* 0	* 0	* 1965	* 2020.	* 35	* 1962	* 1610.	* .7000
* 0	* 0	* 1966	* 1600.	* 36	* 1951	* 1610.	* .7200
* 0	* 0	* 1967	* 1810.	* 37	* 1966	* 1600.	* .7400
* 0	* 0	* 1968	* 1640.	* 38	* 1948	* 1430.	* .7600
* 0	* 0	* 1969	* 691.	* 39	* 1937	* 1380.	* .7800
* 0	* 0	* 1970	* 1910.	* 40	* 1976	* 1340.	* .8000
* 0	* 0	* 1971	* 1960.	* 41	* 1961	* 1310.	* .8200
* 0	* 0	* 1972	* 19400.	* 42	* 1974	* 1290.	* .8400
* 0	* 0	* 1973	* 807.	* 43	* 1958	* 1100.	* .8600
* 0	* 0	* 1974	* 1290.	* 44	* 1960	* 1060.	* .8800
* 0	* 0	* 1975	* 10700.	* 45	* 1936	* 1010.	* .9000
* 0	* 0	* 1976	* 1340.	* 46	* 1942	* 870.	* .9200
* 0	* 0	* 1977	* 1620.	* 47	* 1973	* 807.	* .9400
* 0	* 0	* 1978	* 3050.	* 48	* 1969	* 691.	* .9600
* 0	* 0	* 1979	* 2500.	* 49	* 1932	* 685.	* .9800

FINAL RESULTS

-FREQUENCY CURVE- STATION 01574500 CODOBUS CREEK AT SPRING

.....PEAK FLOWS..... *...CONFIDENCE LIMITS...*

* EXPECTED * EXCEEDANCE *

* COMPUTED PROBABILITY * PROBABILITY * .05 LIMIT .95 LIMIT *

* 27500. 33900. * .002 * 47400. 16500. *

* 19400. 22600. * .005 * 31400. 13700. *

* 14800. 16600. * .010 * 22700. 10800. *

* 11100. 12100. * .020 * 16200. 8390. *

* 8220. 8680. * .040 * 11400. 6430. *

* 5320. 5480. * .100 * 6860. 4360. *

* 3670. 3720. * .200 * 4500. 3090. *

* 1970. 1970. * .500 * 2320. 1670. *

* 1190. 1180. * .800 * 1420. 964. *

* 950. 937. * .900 * 1150. 748. *

* 806. 789. * .950 * 971. 620. *

* 620. 599. * .990 * 783. 457. *

* FREQUENCY CURVE STATISTICS * STATISTICS BASED ON *

* MEAN LOGARITHM 3.3298 * SYSTEMATIC DATA 49 *

* STANDARD DEVIATION .2974 * HISTORIC EVENTS 0 *

* COMPUTED SKEW 1.1553 * HIGH OUTLIERS 0 *


* GENERALIZED SKEW .4700 * LOW OUTLIERS 0 *

* ADOPTED SKEW .7000 * ZERO OR MISSING 0 *

* * TOTAL PERIOD, YEARS 49 *

FINAL RESULTS


FREQUENCY PLOT - STATION 01574500 CODORUS CREEK AT SPRING GROVE, PA. 1930 - 1979
 BASED ON COMPUTED VALUES, FLOW IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND



LEGEND - O=OBSERVED VALUE, H=HIGH OUTLIER OR HISTORIC VALUE, L=LOW OUTLIER, X=COMPUTED CURVE

FINAL RESULTS


FREQUENCY PLOT - STATION 01574500 CODORUS CREEK AT SPRING GROVE, PA. 1930 - 1979
 BASED ON EXPECTED PROBABILITY ADJUSTMENT, FLOW IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND







APPENDIX E




PLATES


APPENDIX E













Horizontal Scale 30' = 1"
 Vertical " 10' = 1"
 Heavy lines show present elevations
 Light lines " " proposed "


Plan of Dam
 across N. branch of Cato's Creek
 P.H. Glatfelter & Co.
 Spring Grove, Pa.
 Nov 22, 1904.

PLATE III
 PA-01028



Elev. and Face of Dam Breast
 The P. H. ^{for} Glatfelter Co.
 Spring Grove, F.





Gross Section of Dam Breast
to be faced with reinforced concrete

The P. H. Glatfelter Co
Spring Grove
York Co., Pa

West Branch
Codorus Creek

PLATE IV
PA-01026




PLATE IV
 PA-01028

C

APPENDIX F
GEOLOGIC REPORT

APPENDIX F

GEOLOGIC REPORT

Bedrock - Dam and Reservoir

The entire dam and reservoir lie within the Cambrian age Kinzers Formation. This formation consists of interbeds of gray shales, limestones and dolomites. Some 1000 feet (0.30 Km) is a lobe of the Ledger Formation which is a gray, massive dolomite. Approximately 600 feet (0.18 Km) to the south of the reservoir is the contact of the gray quartzite of the Antietam Formation. These last two formations should have little effect on the localized geology of the dam and reservoir area.

Structure

There are no apparent major structural features in the immediate area which would influence the geology of the dam and reservoir. However, due to the occurrence of the limestones and dolomites, there is a strong possibility of the existence of subsurface solution features occurring along the joints, fractures and bedding planes of these rocks. This greatly increases the chances of subsurface seepage within the formation. The extent of seepage, if any, is dependent on the specific lithology of the reservoir area.

Overburden

The major soil type surrounding the dam and reservoir is the Chewaela silt loam. This is a moderately well-drained alluvial soil deposited on the floodplain surrounding the reservoir.

Aquifer Characteristics

The Kinzers Formation is a relatively good aquifer with reported yields of 2 to 30 gpm (0.13-1.19 l/s) and a median yield of 17 gpm (1.1 l/s). This should be kept in mind when considering the existence of subsurface seepage.


Discussion

Due to the occurrence of limestone and dolomite within the formation, subsurface exploration should be employed prior to any construction. This should be done in order to determine the existence and extent of any solution activity. Otherwise, the Kinzers Formation should provide a good foundation for heavy structures. According to available construction plans, the dam was carried to a firm foundation. If so, subsurface seepage should be minimal, but not discounted altogether.

Sources of Information

1. McGlade, W.G., et al., 1972. Engineering Characteristics of the Rocks of Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Geological Survey EG-1.
2. Stose, W.G., et al., 1973. Geology and Mineral Resources of York County, Pennsylvania Geological Survey Bulletin C-67.
3. Wilshusen, J.P., 1979. Environmental Geology of the Greater York Area, York County, Pennsylvania. Pennsylvania Geological Survey EG-6.
4. Soil Survey - York County, 1936. Soil Conservation Service U.S.D.A.
5. Pennsylvania Geological Map Worksheet - York Quadrangle, 1980. Pennsylvania Geological Survey.

GEOLOGICAL MAP - SPRING GROVE DAM



LEGEND

Ek

Kinzers Formation

Eah

Antietam Formation

E1

Ledger Dolomite