

AD A109382

LEVEL

12

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

CONTRACT NO. N00014-75-C-0305

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 40

Boranametallacarboranes. 2. Crystal and Molecular Structures of $[2,3-(C_2H_5)_2C_2B_4H_4]-5-Co[B_9H_{12}-1-O(CH_2)_4]$, $[2,3-(CH_3)_2C_2B_3H_5]-5-Co[B_9H_{12}-1-O(CH_2)_4]$, and $[1,2-(C_2H_5)_2C_2B_7H_7]-6-Co[B_9H_{12}-2-O(CH_2)_4]$

Lester Borodinsky, Ekk Sinn, and Russell N. Grimes

Department of Chemistry
University of Virginia
Charlottesville, Va. 22901

Prepared for Publication in

Inorganic Chemistry

December 1981

DTIC
SELECTED
JAN 7 1982
A

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted
for any purpose of the United States Government

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

401144

82 01 07 008

Boranametallacarboranes. 2. Crystal and Molecular Structures

of $[2,3-(C_2H_5)_2C_2B_4H_4]-5-Co[B_9H_{12}-1-O(CH_2)_4]$,

$[2,3-(CH_3)_2C_2B_3H_5]-5-Co[B_9H_{12}-1-O(CH_2)_4]$, and

$[1,2-(C_2H_5)_2C_2B_7H_7]-6-Co[B_9H_{12}-2-O(CH_2)_4]^1$

Lester Borodinsky, Ekk Sinn, and Russell N. Grimes*

Abstract. X-ray crystallographic studies were conducted on the title compounds, which were obtained from reactions of $2,3-R_2C_2B_4H_5^-$ ions ($R = CH_3$ or C_2H_5) with species formed from $CoCl_2$ and $B_5H_8^-$ or $B_9H_{14}^-$, as described in the preceding article. Each complex incorporates both metallaborane and metallacarborane cages sharing a common metal atom, an arrangement not previously known. In all three species, the borane ligand is formally $[B_9H_{12}-O(CH_2)_4]^-$ a derivative of $B_9H_{13}^{2-}$ in which a tetrahydrofuran (THF) substituent displaces a terminal H^- ; the CoB_9 cages are analogous to $B_{10}H_{14}$ with cobalt occupying the 5- or 6-position on the open face. The carborane ligands are different in the three compounds, such that the cobalt atom completes a 7-vertex closo- CoC_2B_4 , a 6-vertex nido- CoC_2B_3 , and 10-vertex closo- CoC_2B_7 framework, respectively. In each case the borane and carborane ligands are arranged in a mutually twisted, unsymmetrical conformation which appears to be a consequence of electronic factors rather than steric interaction between the ligands. In the $(R_2C_2B_7H_7)Co(B_9H_{12}.THF)$ complex, the 10-vertex CoC_2B_7 cage contains a long C-B bond $[2.192(9)\overset{\circ}{A}]$ which creates a quasi-open face,

and which may arise from the presence of electron density beyond the "normal" $(2n+2)$ -electron allotment in the skeletal bonding molecular orbitals of the cobaltacarborane. Crystal data:

$[2,3-(C_2H_5)_2C_2B_4H_4]-5-Co[B_9H_{12}-1-O(CH_2)_4]$, mol wt 370.0, space group $P2_1/n$, $Z = 4$, $a = 14.175(4)$, $b = 9.032(6)$, $c = 17.771(3) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 112.12(3)^\circ$, $V = 2108 \text{ \AA}^3$, $R = 0.038$ for 2543 reflections having $F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)$. $[2,3-(CH_3)_2C_2B_3H_5]-5-Co[B_9H_{12}-1-O(CH_2)_4]$, mol wt 332.0, space group $P2_1/n$, $Z = 4$, $a = 8.692(2)$, $b = 9.658(4)$, $c = 22.383(9) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 97.51(4)^\circ$, $V = 1863 \text{ \AA}^3$, $R = 0.053$ for 2370 reflections having $F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)$. $[1,2-(C_2H_5)_2C_2B_7H_7]-6-Co[B_9H_{12}-2-O(CH_2)_4]$, mol wt 405.3, space group $P2_1/c$, $Z = 4$, $a = 13.166(7)$, $b = 12.166(5)$, $c = 14.786(4) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 105.99(5)^\circ$, $V = 2277 \text{ \AA}^3$, $R = 0.065$ for 2426 reflections having $F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)$.

A

Introduction

Reactions of CoCl_2 with the borane anions B_5H_8^- or $\text{B}_9\text{H}_{14}^-$, with subsequent addition of the nido-carborane anion 2,3- $\text{R}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_5^-$ ($\text{R} = \text{CH}_3$ or C_2H_5), afford a series of mixed-ligand boranacobaltacarboranes consisting of metallaborane and metallacarborane frameworks sharing a common metal atom.² The synthesis and spectroscopic characterization of these species, the first examples of this genre, are described in the accompanying report.² The structures of the new compounds could not be assigned with confidence from NMR data alone, even at high field strengths, since in each case the spectra are complicated by the presence of both a borane and a carborane ligand. Consequently, X-ray crystallographic studies were conducted on three of the new compounds (labelled II, III, and V in the preceding paper), which contain three different carborane ligands and hence can serve as prototypes for structural assignments on other members of this class. Although the spectroscopically characterized species were all derived from C,C'-diethyl carboranes,² the X-ray investigation of III reported herein was conducted on the dimethyl homologue.

Experimental Section

Data Collection and Reduction. Crystals of $[2,3-(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_4]-5\text{-Co}[\text{B}_9\text{H}_{12}-1-\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_4]$ (II), $[2,3-(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5]-5\text{-Co}[\text{B}_9\text{H}_{12}-1-\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_4]$ (III), and $[1,2-(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_7\text{H}_7]-6\text{-Co}[\text{B}_9\text{H}_{12}-2-\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_4]$ (V) were grown by slow evaporation in, respectively, 5% CH_2Cl_2 in hexane at 10°C , CH_2Cl_2 at 23°C , and hexane at 10°C . Each crystal was mounted on a glass fiber in an arbitrary orientation and examined by

preliminary precession photographs which indicated acceptable crystal quality.

Relevant parameters for the data collections and structure determinations are given in Table I. The procedures followed in data collection and processing have been described elsewhere.³ Space groups were chosen on the basis of chemical and spectroscopic information.

The intensities of three standard reflections, monitored at regular intervals, showed no greater fluctuations during the data collection than those expected from Poisson statistics. The raw intensity data were corrected from Lorentz-polarization effects and then for absorption, except in the case of III where the crystal was too irregular in shape to permit an absorption correction. Only those reflections for which $F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)$, where F_o^2 was estimated from counting statistics ($p = 0.03$), were used in the final refinement of the structural parameters, after averaging for equivalent reflections.

Full-matrix least squares refinement was based on F , and the function minimized was $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$. The weights w were taken as $[2F_o/\sigma(F_o^2)]^2$, where $|F_o|$ and $|F_c|$ are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes respectively. The atomic scattering factors for non-hydrogen atoms were taken from Cromer and Waber⁴ and those for hydrogen from Stewart, et al.⁵ The effects of anomalous dispersion for all non-hydrogen atoms were included in F using values of Cromer and Ibers⁶ for $\Delta f'$ and $\Delta f''$.

Solution and Refinement of the Structures. The cobalt atom in each compound was located from a three-dimensional Patterson



difference map calculated from all intensity data. The data were phased sufficiently well by these positional parameters so that the non-hydrogen atoms were located from subsequent Fourier difference maps. Anisotropic thermal parameters were then introduced for all non-hydrogen atoms. In the case of III, additional Fourier syntheses disclosed all of the hydrogen positions; for II and V, several hydrogen locations had to be calculated, and these were included in subsequent refinements. For all three structures, all hydrogen positions were allowed to vary for several cycles of refinement and thereafter held fixed. In each case, a final Fourier difference map was essentially featureless.

The models converged to the R and R_w values listed in Table I, where $R = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o|$ and $R_w = (\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \sum w|F_o|^2)^{1/2}$. Tables of observed and calculated structure factors are available (see paragraph on Supplementary Material at the end of the paper). The principal programs employed in this work have been described elsewhere.⁷

Results and Discussion

Final positional parameters for the three compounds are given in Tables II-IV, bond distances are listed in Tables V and VI, and Tables VII and VIII contain selected bond angles (in each table, the digits in parentheses are the estimated standard deviations in the least significant figures quoted). Tables of selected mean planes are available as supplementary data.

Figures 1-3 show the molecular structures and atom numbering while figures 4-6 depict the unit cell packing.



.. Compounds II and III are structurally related, both species containing a nido-CoB₉ cage framework analogous to B₁₀H₁₄ with cobalt occupying the 5-position. In II, the cobalt atom also completes a 7-vertex closo CoC₂B₄ polyhedron, while in III the cobaltacarborane moiety is a nido CoC₂B₃ system; II can be converted to III by removal of the apex BH unit on the carborane ligand and addition of two protons in B-H-B bridging locations (such a conversion has been experimentally observed).²

An obvious, and at first perhaps surprising, feature of the structures of II and III is the relative orientation of the borane and carborane ligands, in which the midpoint of the C(2')-C(3') bond in the carborane cage is approximately aligned above B(6) of the borane framework. This arrangement is not easily explained on grounds of steric repulsion between the ligands; examination of models of II and III suggests that such interactions would be small and that, from steric considerations alone, almost any ligand conformation could be readily accommodated. Hence we postulate that the observed ligand orientation in II and III is dictated by orbital directive effects on cobalt. In both species, the borane and carborane ligands are highly asymmetric and thus it is reasonable to expect a strong dependence of energy on ligand conformation. The fact that II and III, with different carborane ligands and different alkyl substituents on carbon, adopt essentially the same ligand orientation, tends to support an electronic rather than a steric explanation for the observed arrangement. At this

point we are not prepared to offer a detailed picture of metal-ligand orbital overlap in these complexes, although with imagination this could undoubtedly be done.

The Cobaltaborane Cages. One would expect the CoB_9 frameworks in II and III to be closely similar and this is indeed the case, as shown by comparison of the corresponding bond distances and angles (Tables V and VII). The previously reported cobaltaborane $5-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_9\text{H}_{13}$,⁸ the only other structurally characterized MB_9 cage in which the metal occupies a 5(7, 8, 10)-vertex, also resembles II and III except for a slightly shorter average boron-boron distance in the C_5H_5 compound. Another obvious comparison is with $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{14}$ itself,⁹ whose individual B-B bond lengths differ from their counterparts in II and III by an average of 0.016 Å, less than three standard deviations. In all four compounds—II, III, $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{14}$, and $5-(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)\text{CoB}_9\text{H}_{13}$ —the longest B-B bonding interaction is B(7)-B(8) and the shortest is B(4)-B(9). The latter trend also holds true for the dicobaltaborane $5,7-[\eta^5\text{-C}_5(\text{CH}_3)_5]_2\text{Co}_2\text{B}_8\text{H}_{12}$ (another $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{14}$ analogue) and its 6-chloro derivative.¹⁰

As expected from previous structural studies of MB_9 nido complexes,^{8,10,11} the Co-H-B bridges are unsymmetrical, with the Co-H distances substantially longer than the corresponding B-H legs. Trends involving the B-H-B bridges are less clear; some of these appear symmetrical (i.e., with nearly equal B-H lengths) while others do not (Table V) but as is usually the case with X-ray investigations of such species, the hydrogen locations can be viewed only in approximate terms.

A number of previous crystallographic studies of MB_9 and M_2B_8

analogues of $B_{10}H_{14}$ have been reported, including the cobaltaboranes $5-(C_5H_5)CoB_9H_{13}$,⁸ $6-[C_5(CH_3)_5]CoB_9H_{13}$,¹⁰ $5,7-[C_5(CH_3)_5]_2Co_2B_8H_{12}$,¹⁰ $6-Cl-[C_5(CH_3)_5]Co_2B_8H_{11}$,¹⁰ and $6,9-[C_5(CH_3)_5]_2Co_2B_8H_{12}$,¹⁰ and several mangana- and rhénaboranes of general formula $6-(CO)_3MB_9H_{12}R$, where $R = H, THF, (C_2H_5)_2O$, or $(C_2H_5)_3N(CH_2)_4O$.¹¹ In all cases, the metal atoms are located on the open face of a decaborane-like 10-vertex framework, and the four bridge hydrogens are disposed on the open face in a manner analogous to $B_{10}H_{14}$. One example of an MB_9 system in which the metal is not on the open face, i.e., $2-(C_5H_5)CoB_9H_{13}$, has been prepared¹² but X-ray data is not yet available. Insofar as the CoB_9 cages in II and III are concerned, the gross geometries retain the remarkable similarity to $B_{10}H_{14}$ which has previously been noted in the other metallaboranes cited.

The Cobaltacarborane Cages. The 7-vertex CoC_2B_4 cage in II is isostructural and isoelectronic with a large family of closo MC_2B_4 species, of which several have been crystallographically characterized; of these, the three most directly related to II are $1,2,3-(C_5H_5)Co(CH_3)_2C_2B_4H_4$,¹³ the 5-cobaltocenium derivative of $[2,3-(CH_3)_2C_2B_3H_5]Co[2,3-(CH_3)_2C_2B_4H_4]^-$ ion,¹⁴ and the bis(carboranyl) complex $[2,3-(CH_3)_2C_2B_4H_4]_2FeH_2$.¹⁵ (The parent carborane system, $2,3-C_2B_5H_7$, and its borane anion analogue $B_7H_7^{2-}$, are both known^{16,17} but have not been structurally studied by rigorous methods). In addition, the formal $R_2C_2B_4H_4^{2-}$ ligand in II can be compared with the corresponding nido-carborane $R_2C_2B_4H_6$ ($R = H$ or CH_3) for which X-ray data are available.¹⁸ In all of these the gross pentagonal pyramidal or bipyramidal geometry is preserved, with a framework

C-C distance between 1.40 and 1.49 Å, suggesting a degree of localized double-bond character between the carbons;¹⁹ the value in II [1.466(5) Å] is within this range.

The C₂B₃ ring in II, which is the face presented to cobalt, is planar and located 1.616 Å from the metal. The methyl group carbons are significantly deflected out of the C₂B₃ plane in a direction away from cobalt, which interestingly is opposite to the situation in III (vide infra).

In compound III the carborane moiety is a 6-vertex nido CoC₂B₃ framework having two B-H-B bridges on the open face. Although this is a common structural type, the only other species of this class for which X-ray structures have been reported are 1,2,3-(CO)₃FeC₂B₃H₇²⁰ and the previously mentioned cobaltocenium derivative of [2,3-(CH₃)₂C₂B₃H₅][Co{2,3-(CH₃)₂C₂B₄H₄}]¹⁴. In both the latter species and III, the methyl carbons on the open C₂B₃ ring are bent out-of-plane toward cobalt; in contrast, the substituents on pyramidal R₂C₂B₄H₄²⁻ ligands are invariably bent away from the metal (as in II, noted above). This effect is undoubtedly associated with differences in orbital hybridization of the framework carbon atoms in open (C₂B₃) vs. closed (C₂B₄) ligands. A related observation is the shorter carbon-carbon distance in the C₂B₃ ring as compared to the C₂B₄ group; compounds II and III, for example, exhibit C-C bond lengths of 1.466(5) and 1.398(4) Å. This trend is quite general, and has been attributed^{14,19,21} to the lower coordination of the C₂B₃ carbons as opposed to their C₂B₄ counterparts, which produces higher C-C bond order (probably multiple-bond character) in the C₂B₃ ligands. Another consequence

of the difference in coordination is that the cobalt atom is closer to the C_2B_3 ligand in III than to the C_2B_4 ligand in II, the respective vector distances being 1.575 vs. 1.616 Å. Again, the same effect is seen elsewhere, notably in $(C_5H_5)Co(C_5H_4)^+-[2,3-(CH_3)_2C_2B_3H_5]Co[2,3-(CH_3)_2C_2B_4H_4]^-$, which contains both types of ligands.¹⁴ It has been suggested that in metal complexes of C_2B_4 ligands, the presence of the apex atom [B(7)] diverts electron density out of the C-C and C-Co bonds and weakens them in comparison to the C_2B_3 complexes.¹⁴


In both II and III, the cobalt atom is much closer to the borane than to the carborane ligand; the vector distances are respectively 1.297 and 1.616 Å in II, while in III they are 1.318 and 1.575. This effect arises from the relatively large "spread" of the B_4 bonding face on the borane ligand, which requires that the cobalt move closer to that group in order to achieve normal Co-B bonding (a similar observation⁸ was noted for $5-(\eta^5-C_5H_5)CoB_9H_{13}$). When the metal is in the 6-position of the MB_9 cage, as in V, the situation is very different because the bonding face on the borane ligand is now only trihapto (ignoring bridging hydrogens); consequently, the metal-cage vector is relatively long (e.g., 1.521 Å in V). This contrast between 5- and 6-cobalt substitution is also dramatically evident in the structures of several $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]CoB_9H_{13}$ and $[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]_2Co_2B_8H_{12}$ species reported elsewhere,¹⁰ in which the metal-borane vectors are about 1.33 Å in the 5- CoB_9 and 5,7- Co_2B_8 complexes and 1.45-1.47 Å in the 6- CoB_9 and 6,9- Co_2B_8 systems.

Comparison of $R_2C_2B_4H_6^{2-}$ and $R_2C_2B_3H_5^{2-}$ Ligands with C_5R_5 . In view of the larger covalent radius of boron compared to carbon (~ 0.82 vs. $0.77 \text{ \AA}$), one might have expected to find metal-carborane ligand vectors slightly longer than metal- C_5R_5 vectors in comparable species. Yet comparison of II and III with their C_5R_5 counterparts— $5-(\eta^5-C_5H_5)CoB_9H_{13}$, $5,7-[\eta^5-C_5(CH_3)_5]_2Co_2B_8H_{12}$ and the 6-Cl derivative of the latter complex—reveals that the metal-carborane ring distances are in fact much shorter; typical Co- C_5R_5 vectors exceed $1.7 \text{ \AA}$, about 0.1 to $0.2 \text{ \AA}$ greater than the corresponding metal- C_2B_3 or metal- C_2B_4 values. We interpret this large effect as evidence of much greater covalence in the metal-carborane interaction and, accordingly, of a large degree of ionic character in the metal- C_5R_5 bonds. This qualitative view is consistent with theoretical and UV-photoelectron spectroscopic studies of related metallaboranes and metal-hydrocarbon complexes.²²

Tetrahydrofuran Ligands. A curious feature of the structures of II and III is the presence of $O(CH_2)_4$ substituents in two different conformations. In II, the THF ring adopts the "twist" mode of approximate C_2 symmetry, while in III the "envelope" (C_s) conformation is found. In V, discussed below, the THF group is of the twist type, while X-ray studies of the 2- and 6-THF derivatives of $6-(CO)_3MnB_9H_{13}$ revealed both envelope and twist forms, respectively.^{11a} Clearly, no distinct preference exists for either conformation; indeed, one reported structure²³ contains different THF molecules in both the twist and envelope modes!

The location of THF at B(1) in II and III marks that boron as the most electrophilic site on the cage, and together with

V, extends the previously noted¹¹ tendency of THF to attack at a boron adjacent to the metal in MB₉ nido systems.



Compound V, another boranacobaltacarborane similar to II and III, differs from them in two major respects: cobalt occupies the 6- rather than the 5-vertex in the CoB₉ nido framework, and the cobaltacarborane cage is a CoC₂B₇ system (Figure 3). The molecule is at present the only known example of a system incorporating fused 10-vertex nido and closo cages. As is the case with II and III, the borane and carborane units are oriented with a peculiar "twist" such that the RCCR portion of the carborane ligand is well out of alignment with the open face on the CoB₉ cage. Again, a probable explanation of this arrangement is that it is controlled by requirements of orbital geometry on the cobalt atom, and not primarily by steric interaction between the ligands. A notable and somewhat unusual aspect of the structure is the presence of only one carbon atom on the carborane-metal bonding face despite the open Co₂B₃ face on the original R₂C₂B₃H₅²⁻ reagent.

The CoB₉ Cage System. As with II, III, and all other nido-MB₉ frameworks thus far characterized structurally, the molecular parameters closely resemble those of B₁₀H₁₄ when one allows for the distortion introduced by introduction of a cobalt atom at the 6-position. Of previously reported cobaltaborane structures, those most closely related to V are 6-[n⁵-C₅(CH₃)₅]CoB₉H₁₃ and 6,9-[n⁵-C₅(CH₃)₅]₂Co₂B₈H₁₂,¹⁰ and as expected there is considerable similarity between these species and V. The Co-H-B bridges in V are typically asymmetric with the longer legs attached to cobalt.

The vector distance from cobalt to the B(2)-B(5)-B(7) bonding face is 1.521 Å, slightly longer than the corresponding vectors in 6-[η^5 -C₅(CH₃)₅]CoB₉H₁₃ and 6,9-[η^5 -C₅(CH₃)₅]₂Co₂B₈H₁₂ (~ 1.47 Å). This is what one would expect if the cobalt atom in V participates in largely covalent bonding interactions with both ligands; in the C₅(CH₃)₅-Co complexes, the Co-C₅ bonding is viewed as primarily ionic (see above), allowing the Co-B₉ covalent cage interaction in those species to be measurably stronger than it is in V.

The CoC₂B₇ Cage System. The cobaltacarborane unit in V has the gross geometry of a 10-vertex closo polyhedron, i.e. a bicapped square antiprism with the cobalt in one of the equatorial belts. The two framework carbon atoms are located, respectively, in an apex position and in an equatorial vertex adjacent to cobalt; there is only one Co-C bonding interaction. The ethyl substituent groups are directed away from each other and form a nearly coplanar array with the cage carbon atoms.

With one notable exception, the bond distances in the cobaltacarborane cage are within normal ranges. However, the C(2')-B(3') interaction is extremely long [2.192(9) Å], indicating a weak bond but a bond nevertheless (C-B distances of 2.12 to 2.25 Å have been observed in earlier studies of carboranes.)²⁴ As a consequence of the elongation of the C(2')-B(3') edge, the C(2')-C(1')-B(3')-Co array could be regarded as a pseudo-open face. There being no indication whatever of any steric interaction to account for the long C-B bond, we must look for an electronic cause. In the accompanying paper,² the CoC₂B₇ polyhedron in V is described as a 22-electron, 10-vertex closo system which obeys the

Wade electron-count formalism.²⁵ In order to arrive at the 22-electron total, a contribution of two electrons from cobalt to the CoC_2B_7 cage was assumed; this, together with a contribution of one electron to the CoB_9 framework plus six electrons assigned, as usual, to "nonbonding" orbitals on the metal, would account for the nine valence electrons of the cobalt atom. If, however, some of the assumed "nonbonding" electron density finds its way into the carborane cage, the anticipated result would be a distortion in the form of bond-stretching or bond-breaking, depending on the magnitude of the effect. Moreover, it is quite reasonable that the stretched bond would involve $\text{C}(2')$ as this atom thereby approaches a favored lower-coordinate state; the apex carbon $\text{C}(1')$ is already low-coordinate, so the "incentive" for bond-stretching by cleavage would lie with the nominal high-coordinate carbon, $\text{C}(2')$.

Why might there be some leakage of electron density from "nonbonding" orbitals on cobalt into the skeletal bonding molecular orbitals in the cobaltacarborane polyhedron? The answer may lie in the peculiarly crowded and asymmetric arrangement of the borane and carborane ligands around cobalt, which may well drastically alter the hybrid orbital energies relative to more symmetric arrangements as are found in cobaltocene or in bis(carboranyl) metal complexes such as $(\text{R}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_4)_2\text{FeH}_2$ and $(\text{R}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_4)_2\text{CoH}$.

A related report involving anomalous bond-stretching in an MC_2B_7 polyhedron occurs in the platinacarborane $7,1,6-[(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_3\text{P}]_2\text{Pt}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_7\text{H}_7$, which has a PtCB_2 open face.²⁶ In this case the "stretched" edge is a B-B interaction of $2.57 \text{ \AA}$, clearly nonbonding. Polyhedral distortions of this type are common in heavy-metal

metallacarboranes, especially those of platinum and palladium; the effect has been attributed to unequal contributions of the metal $5d_{xz}$ and $5d_{yz}$ orbitals to skeletal bonding, which lead to "violations" of Wade's rules.²⁷ However, such arguments seem inapplicable to cobaltacarboranes, which rarely exhibit distortion in $(2n+2)$ -electron polyhedra.

Aside from the long C(2')-B(3') bond, the CoC_2B_7 framework in V is comparable to other 10-vertex closo borane systems that have been characterized by X-ray diffraction, including the $[(1,6-\text{C}_2\text{B}_7\text{H}_9)_2-2-\text{Co}]^-$ ion,²⁸ $2,6,1,10-(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{Co}_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_6\text{H}_8$ ²⁹ (in which the skeletal carbon atoms occupy the 1,6 and 1,10 vertices, respectively), $2,3,10-(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{NiCoCB}_7\text{H}_8$,³⁰ $1,6-(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_8\text{H}_8$,³¹ and the $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10}^{2-}$ ion.³² All of these are 22-electron $(2n+2)$ systems which adopt regular bicapped square antiprism geometry as expected.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research.

Supplementary Material Available. Listing of observed and calculated structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, and selected least-squares planes (46 pages).

References and Notes

- (1) Taken in part from the Ph.D. dissertation of Lester Borodinsky, University of Virginia, 1981.
- (2) Borodinsky, L.; Grimes, R. N., preceding paper in this issue.
- (3) Finster, D.C.; Sian, E.; Grimes, R.N. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 1399.
- (4) Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. "International Tables for X-ray Crystallography," Vol. IV, the Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1974.
- (5) Stewart, R. F.; Davidson, E. R.; Simpson, W. T. J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 42, 3175.
- (6) Cromer, D. T.; Ibers, J. A., ref. 4.
- (7) Freyberg, D. P.; Mockler, G. M.; Sinn, E. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 447.
- (8) Pipal, J. R.; Grimes, R. N. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 3251.
- (9) Tippe, A.; Hamilton, W. C. Inorg. Chem. 1969, 8, 464.
 This paper describes a neutron diffraction study on B₁₀D₁₄ and provides the most accurate data currently available.
 Earlier x-ray investigations have also been reported: Kasper, J. S.; Lucht, C. M.; Harker, D. Acta Crystallogr. 1950, 3, 436; Moore, E. B.; Dickerson, R. E.; Lipscomb, W. N. J. Chem. Phys. 1957, 27, 209.
- (10) Venable, T. L.; Sinn, E.; Grimes, R. N., submitted for publication.
- (11) (a) Lott, J. W.; Gaines, D. F. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 2261;
 (b) Gaines, D. F.; Calabrese, J. C. ibid. 1974, 13, 2419;
 (c) Lott, J. W.; Gaines, D. F.; Shenhav, H.; Schaeffer, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 3042.

- (12) Wilczynski, R.; Sneddon, L. G. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 864.
- (13) Weiss, R.; Bryan, R. F. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 1977,
.. 33, 589.
- (14) Pipal, J. R.; Maxwell, W. M.; Grimes, R. N. Inorg. Chem. 1978,
17, 1447.
- (15) Pipal, J. R.; Grimes, R. N. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 263.
- (16) Rietz, P. R.; Schaeffer, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 1263.
- (17) Klanberg, F.; Eaton, D. R.; Guggenberger, L. J.; Muetterties,
E. L. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 6, 1271.
- (18) Boer, F. P.; Streib, W. E.; Lipscomb, W. N. Inorg. Chem. 1964,
3, 1666.
- (19) Pipal, J. R.; Grimes, R. N. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 10.
- (20) Brennan, J. P.; Grimes, R. N.; Schaeffer, R.; Sneddon, L. G.
Inorg. Chem. 1973, 12, 2266.
- (21) Pipal, J. R.; Grimes, R. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3083.
- (22) Ulman, J. A.; Andersen, E. L.; Fehlner, T. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1978, 100, 456.
- (23) Hodgson, K. O.; Raymond, K. N. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 171.
- (24) (a) Pipal, J. R.; Grimes, R. N. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 1936;
(b) Grimes, R. N.; Maxwell, W. M.; Maynard, R. B.; Sinn, E.
Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 2981.
- (25) Ref. 4 in preceding article.
- (26) Welch, A. J. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1975, 2270.
- (27) Mingos, D. M. P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1977, 602.
- (28) St. Clair, D.; Zalkin, A.; Templeton, D. H. Inorg. Chem. 1972,
11, 377.
- (29) Hoel, E. L.; Strouse, C. E.; Hawthorne, M. F. Inorg. Chem.
1974, 13, 1388.

- (30) Hardy, G. E.; Callahan, K. P.; Hawthorne, M. F. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 1662.
- (31) Koetzle, T. F.; Lipscomb, W. N.; Inorg. Chem. 1970, 9, 2279.
- (32) Dobrott, R. D.; Lipscomb, W. N.; J. Chem. Phys. 1962, 37, 1779.

Table I. Experimental Parameters and Crystal Data

	II	III	V
mol wt	369.86	332.00	405.31
space grp	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/c$
a(A)	14.175(4)	8.692(2)	13.166(7)
b(A)	9.032(6)	9.658(4)	12.166(5)
c(A)	17.771(3)	22.383(9)	14.786(4)
β	112.12(3)	97.51(4)	105.99(5)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	2108	1863	2277
$\mu(\text{cm}^{-1})$	8.4	9.4	7.8
D(calc'd)	1.165	1.184	1.182
A^a	0.60	0.60	0.60
B^a	0.35	0.35	0.35
max trns coef	0.89	—	0.91
min trns coef	0.83	—	0.88
2 θ range (deg)	0.7 - 25	0.7 - 25	1.5 - 52
refl obs'd	3094	3228	3694
refl rfn'd	2543	2370	2426
R	0.038	0.053	0.065
R_w	0.039	0.061	0.068
esd unit wt	1.1	2.2	1.7
Z	4	4	4
crystal dimensions (mm from centroid)	110 (0.175) $\bar{1}\bar{1}0$ (0.175) 011 (0.155) $0\bar{1}\bar{1}$ (0.155) 01 $\bar{1}$ (0.175) $0\bar{1}1$ (0.175)	no regular faces	100 (0.09) $\bar{1}00$ (0.09) 110 (0.10) $\bar{1}\bar{1}0$ (0.10) 1 $\bar{1}0$ (0.11) $\bar{1}10$ (0.11) 001 (0.26) $00\bar{1}$ (0.26)

^a For explanation see ref. 3.

TABLE II. POSITIONAL PARAMETERS AND THEIR ESTIMATED STANDARD DEVIATIONS
FOR $[2,3-(C_2H_5)_2C_2B_4H_4]-5-Co[B_9H_{12}-1-O(CH_2)_4]$

ATOM	X	Y	Z
CO	0.14280(3)	0.22525(5)	-0.11767(3)
O	0.2952(2)	-0.0155(3)	-0.0114(1)
C(4)	0.2592(3)	-0.1676(5)	-0.0341(2)
C(5)	0.3063(3)	-0.2547(5)	0.0434(2)
C(6)	0.3225(3)	-0.1397(5)	0.1090(2)
C(7)	0.3535(3)	-0.0033(5)	0.0771(2)
C(2')	0.0152(3)	0.3477(5)	-0.1273(2)
C(3')	-0.0142(3)	0.1989(5)	-0.1608(2)
C(2H)	-0.0363(4)	0.4823(5)	-0.1764(3)
C(2E)	0.0137(6)	0.6194(7)	-0.1517(4)
C(3H)	-0.1000(3)	0.1791(6)	-0.2424(3)
C(3E)	-0.1234(5)	0.0276(8)	-0.2701(4)
B(1)	0.2785(3)	0.1099(5)	-0.0724(2)
B(2)	0.2053(3)	0.0679(5)	-0.1752(2)
B(3)	0.3419(3)	0.0629(5)	-0.1408(2)
B(4)	0.3976(2)	0.2029(5)	-0.0570(2)
B(6)	0.1472(3)	0.2223(6)	-0.2340(2)
B(7)	0.2662(3)	0.1558(6)	-0.2350(2)
B(8)	0.3820(3)	0.2574(6)	-0.1557(3)
B(9)	0.3732(3)	0.3849(6)	-0.0851(3)
B(10)	0.2962(3)	0.2957(5)	-0.0374(2)
B(4')	0.0392(3)	0.0762(5)	-0.0780(3)
B(5')	0.1106(3)	0.1680(6)	-0.0140(3)
B(6')	0.0912(3)	0.3480(6)	-0.0383(3)
B(7')	-0.0131(3)	0.2252(7)	-0.0616(3)

(continued)

Table II, Continued

ATOM	x	y	z	ATOM	x	y	z
H(2)	0.180(2)	-0.049(4)	-0.189(2)	H(42T)	0.192(2)	-0.163(4)	-0.053(2)
H(3)	0.381(2)	-0.028(4)	-0.148(2)	H(51T)	0.367(2)	-0.298(4)	0.045(2)
H(4)	0.469(2)	0.166(4)	-0.005(2)	H(52T)	0.262(3)	-0.337(4)	0.045(2)
H(56)	0.149(2)	0.322(3)	-0.185(2)	H(61T)	0.366(3)	-0.164(4)	0.157(2)
H(6)	0.083(2)	0.212(4)	-0.238(2)	H(62T)	0.261(2)	-0.118(4)	0.117(2)
H(7)	0.280(2)	0.110(4)	-0.289(2)	H(71T)	0.419(2)	0.002(4)	0.033(2)
H(67)	0.225(2)	0.274(4)	-0.251(2)	H(72T)	0.334(2)	0.095(4)	0.004(2)
H(8)	0.453(3)	0.269(5)	-0.172(2)	H(21M)	-0.119(2)	0.526(4)	-0.177(2)
H(89)	0.339(2)	0.386(4)	-0.164(2)	H(22M)	-0.050(3)	0.458(5)	-0.233(2)
H(9)	0.408(2)	0.479(4)	-0.066(2)	H(31M)	-0.170(2)	0.198(4)	-0.249(2)
H(910)	0.204(3)	0.401(4)	-0.084(2)	H(32M)	-0.084(2)	0.229(4)	-0.202(2)
H(10)	0.312(2)	0.342(4)	0.022(2)	H(21E)	-0.024(3)	0.609(5)	-0.157(2)
H(4')	0.026(3)	-0.033(4)	-0.119(2)	H(22E)	0.051(3)	0.609(5)	-0.194(2)
H(5')	0.150(2)	0.102(4)	0.039(2)	H(23E)	0.057(3)	0.606(5)	-0.100(3)
H(6')	0.116(2)	0.449(4)	-0.004(2)	H(31E)	-0.190(3)	0.018(5)	-0.322(2)
H(7')	0.086(2)	0.235(4)	-0.049(2)	H(32E)	-0.134(3)	-0.040(5)	-0.227(2)
H(41T)	0.280(2)	-0.198(4)	-0.077(2)	H(33E)	-0.067(3)	-0.019(5)	-0.284(2)

TABLE III. POSITIONAL PARAMETERS AND THEIR ESTIMATED STANDARD DEVIATIONS

FOR $[2,3-(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5]-5\text{-Co[B}_9\text{H}_{12}-1\text{-O}(\text{CH}_2)_4]$

ATOM	X	Y	Z
CO	0.19668(5)	0.21662(6)	-0.08604(2)
O	0.0602(3)	0.0557(3)	-0.2012(1)
C(3)	0.1060(5)	0.4208(4)	-0.0814(2)
C(2)	0.1538(4)	0.3742(5)	-0.0227(2)
C(4)	0.0391(5)	0.1209(5)	-0.2611(2)
C(5)	-0.1095(6)	0.0632(7)	-0.2910(2)
C(6)	-0.1751(6)	-0.0233(7)	-0.2464(2)
C(7)	-0.0470(6)	-0.0620(5)	-0.2017(2)
C(3M)	0.1613(6)	0.5559(5)	-0.1064(3)
C(2M)	0.2716(6)	0.4517(6)	0.0197(2)
B(1)	0.2051(5)	0.0777(5)	-0.1550(2)
B(2)	0.3107(5)	0.2251(5)	-0.1640(2)
B(3)	0.3866(5)	0.0589(5)	-0.1843(2)
B(4)	0.3151(5)	-0.0017(5)	-0.1445(2)
B(6)	0.4205(5)	0.2812(6)	-0.0965(2)
B(7)	0.5167(5)	0.1726(6)	-0.1458(2)
B(8)	0.5104(5)	-0.0289(6)	-0.1298(2)
B(9)	0.4034(6)	-0.0887(6)	-0.0718(2)
B(10)	0.2150(5)	-0.0095(5)	-0.0865(2)
B(6')	0.0965(6)	0.2330(6)	-0.0071(2)
B(5')	-0.0274(6)	0.1790(6)	-0.0735(3)
B(4')	-0.0011(6)	0.3220(6)	-0.1201(2)

(continued)

(Table III, continued)

ATOM	x	y	z	ATOM	x	y	z
H(2)	0.294(4)	0.292(4)	-0.201(2)	H(45)	-0.104(5)	0.247(4)	-0.100(2)
H(3)	0.410(4)	0.039(4)	-0.226(2)	H(41)	0.132(4)	0.091(4)	-0.284(2)
H(4)	0.282(4)	-0.180(4)	-0.167(2)	H(42)	0.038(5)	0.210(4)	-0.258(2)
H(6)	0.464(4)	0.393(4)	-0.086(2)	H(51)	-0.103(6)	0.012(6)	-0.322(3)
H(7)	0.620(5)	0.201(4)	-0.166(2)	H(52)	-0.181(6)	0.133(6)	-0.308(2)
H(8)	0.619(4)	-0.086(4)	-0.135(2)	H(61)	-0.218(5)	-0.097(4)	-0.262(2)
H(9)	0.433(5)	-0.176(4)	-0.036(2)	H(62)	-0.241(6)	0.040(6)	-0.226(2)
H(10)	0.133(4)	-0.073(4)	-0.069(2)	H(71)	-0.060(4)	-0.079(4)	-0.164(2)
H(6')	0.140(4)	0.184(4)	0.032(2)	H(72)	-0.003(5)	-0.130(5)	-0.215(2)
H(5')	-0.089(4)	0.076(4)	-0.082(2)	H(31M)	0.247(5)	0.570(5)	-0.084(2)
H(4')	-0.037(5)	0.324(4)	-0.167(2)	H(32M)	0.177(6)	0.550(5)	-0.148(2)
H(56)	0.386(4)	0.233(4)	-0.058(2)	H(33M)	0.091(5)	0.621(5)	-0.101(2)
H(67)	0.543(5)	0.204(4)	-0.089(2)	H(21M)	0.227(5)	0.514(5)	0.037(2)
H(89)	0.509(4)	-0.008(4)	-0.073(2)	H(22M)	0.357(5)	0.485(5)	0.006(2)
H(910)	0.328(4)	-0.004(4)	-0.045(2)	H 23M)	0.330(5)	0.394(5)	0.045(2)
H(56')	-0.037(5)	0.225(4)	-0.019(2)				

TABLE IV. POSITIONAL PARAMETERS AND THEIR ESTIMATED STANDARD DEVIATIONS FOR

[1,2-(C₂H₅)₂C₂B₇H₇]-6-Co[B₉H₁₂-2-O(CH₂)₄]

X	Y	Z
0.2297(9)	0.7363(8)	0.2950(6)
0.4530(4)	0.7807(4)	0.4278(3)
0.4547(7)	0.7730(7)	0.5292(4)
0.5434(8)	0.6980(8)	0.5691(5)
0.6125(8)	0.6965(9)	0.5054(6)
0.5376(7)	0.7146(7)	0.4087(5)
0.1668(7)	0.5267(6)	0.1975(5)
0.1163(6)	0.6370(6)	0.2040(5)
0.1534(9)	0.4647(8)	0.1047(6)
0.2062(12)	0.3603(10)	0.1114(8)
0.0531(9)	0.6826(8)	0.1125(6)
0.0089(11)	0.7926(9)	0.1113(8)
0.4176(7)	0.9227(8)	0.2817(6)
0.3646(8)	0.8312(7)	0.3521(5)
0.3526(9)	0.5737(7)	0.3659(6)
0.3442(10)	1.0499(8)	0.2608(7)
0.3473(7)	0.8018(7)	0.2310(5)
0.2489(8)	0.8873(7)	0.3715(6)
0.2368(10)	1.0357(8)	0.3064(7)
0.2244(11)	1.0341(8)	0.1851(7)
0.3263(9)	0.9496(8)	0.1695(6)
0.2804(9)	0.5821(8)	0.2588(6)
0.2059(11)	0.4765(8)	0.3016(7)
0.0760(9)	0.5216(9)	0.2544(7)
0.2794(8)	0.5860(9)	0.3730(6)
0.1473(10)	0.5457(10)	0.3744(7)
0.0851(10)	0.6601(8)	0.3008(6)
0.1915(10)	0.6741(9)	0.4037(6)

(continued)

TABLE IV, Continued

ATOM	x	y	z	ATOM	x	y	z
(31)	0.388(5)	0.749(5)	0.527(4)	H(10)	0.363(6)	0.962(6)	0.183(5)
(32)	0.474(5)	0.849(5)	0.549(4)	H(3')	0.320(6)	0.572(6)	0.219(5)
(41)	0.516(5)	0.635(5)	0.564(4)	H(4')	0.228(6)	0.390(6)	0.318(5)
(42)	0.582(6)	0.717(6)	0.633(5)	H(5')	-0.013(5)	0.479(5)	0.223(4)
(51)	0.629(6)	0.623(7)	0.501(5)	H(7')	0.366(5)	0.566(5)	0.428(4)
(52)	0.656(8)	0.764(9)	0.519(7)	H(8')	0.123(6)	0.506(6)	0.419(5)
(61)	0.483(6)	0.645(6)	0.372(5)	H(9')	0.981(5)	0.191(5)	0.185(4)
(62)	0.568(5)	0.768(6)	0.389(4)	H(10')	0.184(6)	0.718(6)	0.477(5)
(1)	0.477(6)	0.416(6)	0.201(5)	H(11)	0.079(6)	0.452(6)	0.076(5)
(3)	0.390(6)	1.011(6)	0.439(5)	H(12)	0.181(6)	0.512(6)	0.064(5)
(4)	0.392(6)	1.123(6)	0.263(5)	H(21)	0.095(5)	0.691(5)	0.085(4)
(5)	0.412(6)	0.744(6)	0.205(5)	H(22)	-0.005(6)	0.621(6)	0.078(5)
(56)	0.252(6)	0.791(6)	0.197(5)	H(11E)	0.154(7)	0.318(7)	0.140(5)
(67)	0.162(5)	0.839(5)	0.299(4)	H(12E)	0.280(6)	0.365(6)	0.155(5)
(7)	0.246(6)	0.892(6)	0.436(5)	H(13E)	0.216(6)	0.333(6)	0.053(5)
(8)	0.198(6)	1.096(6)	0.338(5)	H(21E)	-0.035(5)	0.782(5)	0.129(4)
(89)	0.179(6)	0.978(6)	0.241(5)	H(22E)	0.094(7)	0.860(7)	0.141(6)
(9)	0.179(6)	1.074(6)	0.139(5)	H(23E)	-0.027(6)	0.834(6)	0.057(5)
(910)	0.211(6)	0.938(6)	0.143(5)				

Table V. Bond Distances (Å) in II and III

	II	III
<u>Cobaltaborane Framework</u>		
Co - B(1)	2.066 (4)	2.067 (3)
Co - B(2)	2.128 (4)	2.158 (3)
Co - B(6)	2.091 (4)	2.085 (3)
Co - B(10)	2.197 (4)	2.190 (3)
B(1) - B(2)	1.768 (5)	1.756 (4)
B(1) - B(3)	1.779 (6)	1.787 (4)
B(1) - B(4)	1.811 (6)	1.813 (4)
B(1) - B(10)	1.774 (6)	1.757 (4)
B(2) - B(3)	1.803 (6)	1.790 (4)
B(2) - B(6)	1.751 (6)	1.735 (4)
B(2) - B(7)	1.787 (6)	1.789 (4)
B(3) - B(4)	1.770 (6)	1.781 (4)
B(3) - B(7)	1.742 (6)	1.724 (4)
B(3) - B(8)	1.764 (7)	1.739 (4)
B(4) - B(8)	1.778 (6)	1.762 (4)
B(4) - B(9)	1.715 (7)	1.707 (4)
B(4) - B(10)	1.807 (6)	1.795 (4)
B(6) - B(7)	1.796 (7)	1.805 (5)
B(7) - B(8)	1.994 (6)	1.981 (5)
B(8) - B(9)	1.773 (8)	1.789 (5)
B(9) - B(10)	1.805 (7)	1.798 (4)
Co - H(56)	1.51 (3)	1.688 (3)
B(6) - H(56)	1.25 (3)	1.060 (3)
B(6) - H(67)	1.34 (3)	1.291 (3)

(continued)

Table V, continued

B(7) - H(67)	1.20 (4)	1.292 (3)
B(8) - H(89)	1.34 (3)	1.281 (3)
B(9) - H(89)	1.29 (3)	1.210 (4)
B(9) - H(910)	1.29 (4)	1.250 (3)
B(10) - H(910)	1.22 (4)	1.257 (3)

Cobaltacarborane Framework

	II	III
Co - C(2')	2.072 (4)	2.145 (2)
Co - C(3')	2.076 (4)	2.131 (3)
Co - B(4')	2.104 (5)	2.056 (3)
Co - B(5')	2.122 (5)	2.036 (3)
Co - B(6')	2.128 (5)	2.076 (3)
C(2') - C(3')	1.466 (5)	1.398 (4)
C(2') - B(6')	1.542 (6)	1.509 (4)
C(2') - B(7')	1.760 (6)	—
C(3') - B(4')	1.539 (6)	1.522 (4)
C(3') - B(7')	1.773 (6)	—
B(4') - B(5')	1.665 (6)	1.763 (5)
B(4') - B(7')	1.759 (6)	—
B(5') - B(6')	1.678 (6)	1.795 (5)
B(5') - B(7')	1.715 (6)	—
B(6') - B(7')	1.769 (6)	—
C(2') - C(2M)	1.514 (6)	1.502 (4)
C(2M) - C(2E)	1.412 (8)	—
C(3') - C(3M)	1.512 (5)	1.522 (4)
C(3M) - C(3E)	1.449 (8)	—

(continued)

25
Table V, continued

	II	III
B(4') - H(45')	—	1.281 (4)
B(5') - H(45')	—	1.055 (3)
B(5') - H(56')	—	1.309 (4)
B(6') - H(56')	—	1.157 (3)

Terminal Hydrogen Atoms (Mean Distances)

<B - H>	1.092	1.086
<C(CH ₃) - H>	1.030	0.890
<C(CH ₂) - H>	0.954	—
<C(THF) - H>	0.938	0.915

Tetrahydrofuran Ring

O - B(1)	1.524 (4)	1.526 (3)
O - C(4)	1.469 (5)	1.471 (3)
O - C(7)	1.480 (4)	1.469 (3)
C(4) - C(5)	1.506 (6)	1.484 (4)
C(5) - C(6)	1.512 (6)	1.473 (5)
C(6) - C(7)	1.490 (6)	1.445 (4)

Table VI. Bond Distances (Å) in V

Cobaltaborane Framework

Co - B(2)	2.091 (6)	B(4) - B(9)	1.675 (10)
Co - B(5)	2.176 (6)	B(4) - B(10)	1.786 (8)
Co - B(7)	2.135 (5)	B(5) - B(10)	2.000 (8)
B(1) - B(2)	1.791 (7)	B(7) - B(8)	2.033 (8)
B(1) - B(3)	1.804 (8)	B(8) - B(9)	1.756 (9)
B(1) - B(4)	1.806 (9)	B(9) - B(10)	1.755 (11)
B(1) - B(5)	1.788 (8)	B(5) - H(56)	1.224 (6)
B(1) - B(10)	1.790 (8)	Co - H(56)	1.685 (6)
B(2) - B(3)	1.758 (7)	Co - H(67)	1.546 (6)
B(2) - B(5)	1.777 (7)	B(7) - H(67)	1.457 (7)
B(2) - B(7)	1.764 (9)	B(8) - H(89)	1.268 (7)
B(3) - B(4)	1.787 (8)	B(9) - H(89)	1.327 (7)
B(3) - B(7)	1.744 (9)	B(9) - H(910)	1.309 (7)
B(3) - B(8)	1.712 (10)	B(10) - H(910)	1.470 (7)
B(4) - B(8)	1.735 (11)		

Cobaltacarborane Framework

Co - C(2')	2.096 (5)	B(4') - B(5')	1.748 (11)
Co - B(3')	2.108 (6)	B(4') - B(7')	1.802 (8)
Co - B(7')	2.162 (6)	B(4') - B(8')	1.709 (10)
Co - B(9')	2.141 (8)	B(5') - B(8')	1.789 (9)
Co - B(10')	1.963 (6)	B(5') - B(9')	1.811 (9)
C(1') - C(2')	1.513 (7)	B(7') - B(8')	1.801 (10)
C(1') - B(3')	1.663 (9)	B(7') - B(10')	1.718 (10)

(continued)

Table VI, continued

C(1') - B(4')	1.603 (7)	B(8') - B(9')	1.817 (10)
C(1') - B(5')	1.641 (9)	B(8') - B(10')	1.682 (9)
C(2') - B(3')	2.192 (9)	B(9') - B(10')	1.770 (11)
C(2') - B(5')	1.739 (8)	C(1') - C(1M)	1.533 (7)
C(2') - B(9')	1.619 (7)	C(2') - C(2M)	1.487 (7)
B(3') - B(4')	1.831 (9)	C(1M) - C(1E)	1.439 (9)
B(3') - B(7')	1.696 (8)	C(2M) - C(2E)	1.457 (8)

Terminal Hydrogen Atoms (Mean Distances)

<B - H>	1.113	<C(CH ₂) - H>	0.949
<C(CH ₃) - H>	1.006	<C(THF) - H>	0.953

Tetrahydrofuran Ring

O - B(2)	1.506 (6)	C(3) - C(4)	1.471 (8)
O - C(3)	1.496 (4)	C(4) - C(5)	1.480 (8)
O - C(6)	1.463 (6)	C(5) - C(6)	1.513 (7)

Table VII. Selected Bond Angles (Deg.) in II and III

	II	III
B(1) - Co - B(2)	49.8 (2)	49.1 (1)
B(1) - Co - B(10)	49.1 (2)	48.6 (1)
B(1) - Co - C(2')	163.3 (2)	170.3 (1)
B(1) - Co - C(3')	142.9 (2)	133.4 (1)
B(1) - Co - B(4')	102.9 (2)	98.7 (1)
B(1) - Co - B(5')	90.7 (2)	96.7 (1)
B(1) - Co - B(6')	120.5 (2)	138.8 (1)
B(2) - Co - B(6)	49.0 (2)	48.2 (1)
B(2) - Co - C(2')	145.6 (2)	130.8 (1)
B(2) - Co - C(3')	109.2 (2)	103.2 (1)
B(2) - Co - B(4')	95.8 (2)	99.0 (1)
B(2) - Co - B(5')	121.2 (2)	134.3 (1)
B(2) - Co - B(6')	167.1 (2)	172.0 (1)
B(6) - Co - B(10)	104.7 (2)	103.2 (1)
B(6) - Co - C(2')	106.0 (2)	96.2 (1)
B(6) - Co - C(3')	93.5 (2)	94.9 (1)
B(6) - Co - B(4')	116.0 (2)	123.9 (1)
B(6) - Co - B(5')	162.0 (2)	172.8 (1)
B(6) - Co - B(6')	143.8 (2)	125.0 (1)
B(10) - Co - C(2')	121.2 (2)	136.9 (1)
B(10) - Co - C(3')	159.0 (2)	161.9 (1)
B(10) - Co - B(4')	131.1 (2)	123.3 (1)
B(10) - Co - B(5')	89.5 (2)	83.9 (1)
B(10) - Co - B(6')	85.5 (2)	96.9 (1)

(continued)

Table VII, continued

	II	III
C(2') - Co - C(3')	41.4 (2)	38.2 (1)
C(2') - Co - B(6')	43.1 (2)	41.8 (1)
C(3') - Co - B(4')	43.2 (2)	42.6 (1)
B(4') - Co - B(5')	46.4 (2)	51.0 (1)
B(5') - Co - B(6')	46.5 (2)	51.8 (1)
Co - B(1) - O	121.6 (2)	119.1 (2)
B(2) - B(1) - O	116.7 (3)	118.0 (2)
B(3) - B(1) - O	113.7 (3)	116.0 (2)
B(4) - B(1) - O	110.5 (3)	110.4 (2)
B(10) - B(1) - O	119.3 (3)	117.5 (2)
B(1) - O - C(4)	123.8 (3)	122.7 (2)
B(1) - O - C(7)	125.2 (3)	125.6 (2)
C(4) - O - C(7)	111.0 (3)	108.7 (2)
O - C(4) - C(5)	104.5 (3)	104.4 (3)
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	103.4 (3)	107.3 (3)
C(5) - C(6) - C(7)	104.9 (4)	106.5 (3)
C(6) - C(7) - O	102.5 (3)	103.7 (3)
Co - C(2') - C(2M)	133.8 (3)	127.4 (2)
C(3') - C(2') - C(2M)	120.0 (4)	121.8 (3)
B(6') - C(2') - C(2M)	126.1 (4)	121.5 (3)
B(7') - C(2') - C(2M)	134.9 (4)	—
Co - C(3') - C(3M)	137.0 (3)	129.7 (2)
C(2') - C(3') - C(3M)	120.1 (4)	123.5 (3)
B(4') - C(3') - C(3M)	127.6 (4)	121.8 (3)
B(7') - C(3') - C(3M)	132.2 (4)	—
C(2') - C(2M) - C(2E)	116.7 (5)	—

(continued)

Table VII, continued

	II	III
C(3') - C(3M) - C(3E)	115.8 (4)	—
Co - B(6) - B(7)	113.1 (3)	114.1 (2)
B(6) - B(7) - B(8)	114.0 (3)	115.7 (2)
B(7) - B(8) - B(9)	119.8 (3)	118.5 (2)
B(8) - B(9) - B(10)	107.2 (3)	106.1 (2)
Co - B(10) - B(9)	116.8 (3)	119.2 (2)
H(56) - B(6) - H(67)	96 (2)	88 (2)
H(89) - B(9) - H(910)	92 (2)	92 (2)
Co - H(56) - B(6)	98 (2)	96 (2)
B(6) - H(67) - B(7)	90 (2)	89 (2)
B(8) - H(89) - B(9)	85 (2)	92 (2)
B(9) - H(910) - B(10)	92 (3)	92 (2)
B(4') - H(45') - B(5')	—	98 (2)
B(5') - H(56') - B(6')	—	93 (2)

Table VIII. Selected Bond Angles (Deg.) in VIII





B(2) - Co - B(5)	49.2 (2)	Co - C(2') - B(3')	58.9 (2)
B(2) - Co - B(7)	49.3 (2)	C(1') - C(2') - B(5')	60.1 (4)
B(5) - Co - B(7)	87.5 (2)	Co - C(2') - B(9')	69.0 (3)
C(2') - Co - B(3')	62.8 (2)	B(5') - C(2') - B(9')	65.2 (4)
B(3') - Co - B(7')	46.8 (2)	Co - C(2') - C(2M)	119.4 (4)
B(7') - Co - B(10')	48.9 (3)	C(1') - C(2') - C(2M)	114.8 (4)
B(9') - Co - B(10')	50.9 (3)	B(3') - C(2') - C(2M)	134.3 (6)
C(2') - Co - B(9')	44.9 (2)	B(5') - C(2') - C(2M)	122.0 (5)
B(2) - Co - C(2')	162.3 (2)	B(9') - C(2') - C(2M)	121.7 (5)
B(2) - Co - B(3')	107.5 (3)	C(2') - C(2M) - C(2E)	118.4 (6)
B(2) - Co - B(7')	99.1 (2)	Co - B(7) - B(8)	122.1 (3)
B(2) - Co - B(9')	152.7 (2)	B(7) - B(8) - B(9)	116.5 (4)
B(2) - Co - B(10')	105.3 (2)	B(8) - B(9) - B(10)	106.4 (5)
B(5) - Co - C(2')	113.5 (2)	B(5) - B(10) - B(9)	118.8 (5)
B(5) - Co - B(3')	84.4 (2)	Co - B(5) - B(10)	120.1 (3)
B(5) - Co - B(7')	113.6 (2)	Co - H(56) - B(5)	97.9 (6)
B(5) - Co - B(9')	157.4 (2)	Co - H(67) - B(7)	90.6 (6)
B(5) - Co - B(10')	151.6 (3)	B(8) - H(89) - B(9)	84.7 (7)
B(7) - Co - C(2')	142.7 (2)	B(9) - H(910) - B(10)	78.0 (8)
B(7) - Co - B(3')	154.2 (3)	H(56) - Co - H(67)	87.4 (4)
B(7) - Co - B(7')	118.1 (2)	H(89) - B(9) - H(910)	78.7 (6)
B(7) - Co - B(9')	109.1 (2)	Co - B(2) - O	118.1 (3)
B(7) - Co - B(10')	85.3 (3)	B(1) - B(2) - O	109.9 (4)
C(2') - C(1') - B(3')	87.2 (4)	B(3) - B(2) - O	113.1 (4)
C(2) - C(1') - B(5')	66.8 (4)	B(5) - B(2) - O	121.7 (4)
B(3') - C(1') - B(4')	68.2 (4)	B(7) - B(2) - O	124.2 (4)
B(4') - C(1') - B(5')	65.2 (4)	B(2) - O - C(3)	124.4 (4)





Table VIII - continued

C(2') - C(1') - C(1M)	123.3 (4)	B(2) - O - C(6)	123.7 (3)
B(3') - C(1') - C(1M)	123.5 (5)	C(3) - O - C(6)	110.9 (3)
B(4') - C(1') - C(1M)	126.9 (5)	O - C(3) - C(4)	103.4 (4)
B(5') - C(1') - C(1M)	121.3 (5)	C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	108.1 (5)
C(1') - C(1M) - C(1E)	116.0 (5)	C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	104.2 (5)
C(1') - C(2') - B(3')	49.3 (3)	O - C(6) - C(5)	103.3 (4)

Figure Captions

- Figure 1. Stereoview of the molecular structure of
 $[2,3-(C_2H_5)_2C_2B_4H_4]-5-Co[B_9H_{12}-1-O(CH_2)_4]$, I.
Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, except
for the B-H-B and B-H-Co bridges.
- Figure 2. Stereoview of the molecular structure of
 $[2,3-(CH_3)_2C_2B_3H_5]-5-Co[B_9H_{12}-1-O(CH_2)_4]$, II.
- Figure 3. Stereoview of the molecular structure of
 $[1,2-(C_2H_5)_2C_2B_7H_7]-6-Co[B_9H_{12}-2-O(CH_2)_4]$, III.
- Figure 4. Unit cell packing in I.
- Figure 5. Unit cell packing in II.
- Figure 6. Unit cell packing in III.





UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. 40	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A209	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 382
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Boranametallacarboranes. 2. Crystal and Molecular Structures of $[2,3-(C_2H_5)_2C_2B_4H_4]^{5-}Co[B_9H_{12}-1-O(CH_2)_4]$, $[2,3-(CH_3)_2C_2B_3H_5]^{5-}Co[B_9H_{12}-1-O(CH_2)_4]$ and $[1,2-(C_2H_5)_2C_2B_7H_7]^{6-}Co[B_9H_{12}-2-O(CH_2)_4]$		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim
7. AUTHOR(s) Lester Borodinsky, Ekk Sinn, and Russell N. Grimes		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of Virginia Department of Chemistry Charlottesville, VA 22901		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-75-C-0305
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Chemistry Branch, Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR-053-569
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE December 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 37
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Boranametallacarborane Metallacarborane Metallaborane Cobaltaborane Cobaltacarborane Borane-Metal Complex		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) See pages 1 and 2		

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 053

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
		Dr. B. Foxman Department of Chemistry Brandeis University Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1
Dr. M. F. Hawthorne Department of Chemistry University of California Los Angeles, California 90024	1	Dr. T. Marks Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. D. B. Brown Department of Chemistry University of Vermont Burlington, Vermont 05401	1	Dr. J. Zuckerman Department of Chemistry University of Oklahoma Norman, Oklahoma 73019	1
Dr. D. Venezky Chemistry Division Naval Research Laboratory Code 6130 Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Professor O. T. Beachley Department of Chemistry State University of New York Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. J. Adcock Department of Chemistry University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	1	Professor K. M. Nicholas Department of Chemistry Boston College Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02167	1
Dr. A. Cowley Department of Chemistry University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712	1	Professor R. Neilson Department of Chemistry Texas Christian University Fort Worth, Texas 76129	1
Dr. W. Hatfield Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1	Professor M. Newcomb Texas A&M University Department of Chemistry College Station, Texas 77843	1
Dr. D. Seyferth Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Professor Richard Eisenberg Department of Chemistry University of Rochester Rochester, New York 14627	1
Dr. M. H. Chisholm Department of Chemistry Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47401	1		

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GFN

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
ONR Western Regional Office Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
ONR Eastern Regional Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (RE&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
		Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1
Mr. A. M. Anzalone Administrative Librarian PLASTEC/ARRADCOM Bldg 3401 Dover, N.J. 07801			