

(2)

LEVEL

II

NRL Memorandum Report 4656

**The Effect of Fluctuating Space Charge Fields on
Sideband Instabilities in Free Electron Lasers**

H. P. FREUND

*Science Applications, Inc.
McLean, VA 22102*

P. SPRANGLE AND C. M. TANG

*Plasma Theory Branch
Plasma Physics Division*

DTIC
ELECTE
FEB 18 1982
B

October 22, 1981



NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
Washington, D.C.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

82 02 18 097

AD A111079

FILE COPY

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NRL Memorandum Report 4656	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD A111 079	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) THE EFFECT OF FLUCTUATING SPACE CHARGE FIELDS ON SIDEBAND INSTABILITIES IN FREE ELECTRON LASERS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim report on a continuing NRL problem.
7. AUTHOR(s) H. P. Freund*, P. Sprangle, and C. M. Tang		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Arlington, VA 22209		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 62301E; DARPA 3817; 47-0867-0-1
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE October 22, 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 34
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES *Present address: Science Applications, Inc., McLean, VA 22102		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Free electron lasers Sideband instabilities Microwave generation		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) We consider the sideband instabilities that can occur in free electron lasers which operate in the regime where the bulk of the energetic electron beam is trapped in the ponderomotive potential generated by the beating of the electromagnetic pulse with the static wiggler field. The general dynamical equations which govern the evolution of the system in the presence of sideband modes are derived for modes propagating along the axis of symmetry. All space-charge effects are included in a self-consistent manner, and (Continues)		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

251950

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

the gains for both the primary signal and the upper and lower sidebands are computed. We find the lower sideband to be excited, and discuss the consequences for operation of both free electron laser amplifiers and oscillators. The effect of the space-charge waves on the gain depends upon the number of bounces undergone by the trapped electrons in the length of the system, and can act either to enhance or reduce the gain of the sideband.

CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. GENERAL EQUATIONS	3
III. THE AXIAL ELECTRON TRAJECTORIES	11
IV. THE SMALL-SIGNAL GAIN	15
V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION	20
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	22
REFERENCES	23

DTIC
ELECTE
S FEB 18 1982 **D**
B



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

THE EFFECT OF FLUCTUATING SPACE CHARGE FIELDS ON SIDE BAND INSTABILITIES IN FREE ELECTRON LASERS

I. INTRODUCTION

Several recently proposed free electron laser concepts¹⁻⁴ involve sufficiently large amplitude signal strengths that electron trapping in the ponderomotive wave which results from the beating of the electromagnetic pulse and the static helical magnetic pump field can have a significant effect on the operation of the device. Trapped electrons will execute periodic oscillations in the ponderomotive potential which can give rise to excitation of sidebands of the primary electromagnetic spectrum at harmonics of the electron bounce frequency. The trapped electron bounce frequency is anharmonic, and depends upon the amplitude of both the static magnetic field and the radiation spectrum. Therefore, system response may be quite sensitive to the radiation amplitude.

Our purpose in this work is to examine the gain of the sideband modes which result from the trapped electron motion under a variety of operating conditions, and subject to the inclusion of a fluctuating space-charge field. In this sense our work represents an extension over a previous analysis of sideband instabilities by Kroll and Rosenbluth,⁵ in which the space-charge effect was ignored. We find, typically, that the space-charge effect can be important when the beam density is sufficiently high that the invariant plasma frequency is comparable to the bounce frequency of the energetic electrons in the ponderomotive potential.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section II, we derive the general dynamical equations which govern the evolution

of the primary and sideband signals in a system in which an energetic electron beam is propagating along the axis of a static helical magnetic field. The electron beam is assumed to be cold, and electrostatic (i.e., space-charge) effects are included in a self-consistent manner. The equation governing the electron trajectories is derived in Section III, and solved for the case in which both space-charge contributions and a tapered wiggler (i.e., helical pump) field are included. Anharmonic effects on the bounce motion of electrons in the ponderomotive potential are included to lowest order. The gain for both the primary and sideband signals are computed in Section IV. A summary and discussion is provided in Section V, and the consequences for the operation of both free electron laser amplifiers and oscillators are discussed.

II. GENERAL EQUATIONS

The physical configuration we study consists of a relativistic electron beam interacting with a static, helical magnetic field which can be represented by the vector potential

$$A_w(z) = -A_w(z) [\hat{e}_x \cos(\int_0^z dz' k_w) + \hat{e}_y \sin(\int_0^z dz' k_w)], \quad (1)$$

as well as scattered electromagnetic and electrostatic fields of the form⁶

$$A(z,t) = A(z) [\hat{e}_x \cos(\int_0^z dz' k - \omega t) - \hat{e}_y \sin(\int_0^z dz' k - \omega t)], \quad (2)$$

$$A_s(z,t) = A_s(z) [\hat{e}_x \cos(\int_0^z dz' k_s - \omega_s t + \theta) - \hat{e}_y \sin(\int_0^z dz' k_s - \omega_s t + \theta)],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z,t) &= \Phi(z) \cos(\int_0^z dz' \kappa - \omega t + \alpha), \\ \Phi_s(z,t) &= \Phi_s(z) \cos(\int_0^z dz' \kappa_s - \omega_s t + \alpha_s), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the amplitudes of the scalar and vector potentials $\Phi(z)$,

$\Phi_s(z)$, $A_w(z)$, $A(z)$, and $A_s(z)$ and the wave vectors of these potentials $k_w(z)$, $k(z)$, $k_s(z)$, $\kappa(z)$, and $\kappa_s(z)$ are assumed to be slowly varying

functions of z . The frequencies ω and ω_s as well as the relative phases θ , α , and α_s of the wave fields are taken to be independent of z . It should be noted that our assumption that the spatial variation of the fields depends solely on z is valid, strictly speaking, only near the axis of a realizable free electron laser. In the interests of computational simplicity, we choose to write the electrostatic fields in the alternate form

$$\Phi(z, t) = \Phi_1(z) \cos \psi(z, t) + \Phi_2(z) \sin \psi(z, t), \quad (4)$$

$$\Phi_s(z, t) = \Phi_{s1}(z) \cos \psi_s(z, t) + \Phi_{s2}(z) \sin \psi_s(z, t),$$

where $\psi(z, t) \equiv \int_0^z dz' (k_w + k) - \omega t$ and $\psi_s(z, t) \equiv \int_0^z dz' (k_w + k_s) - \omega_s t + \theta$.

In this form, A and Φ represent the primary radiation spectrum which may result either from coherent Raman scattering of low frequency noise on the electron beam or from a coherent external source of radiation. For a sufficiently large amplitude primary spectrum, electron trapping in the ponderomotive wave produced by the beating of the static pump and primary radiation fields can give rise to the excitation of sidebands of the primary spectrum at harmonics of the electron bounce frequency. As a result, in the subsequent analysis we shall assume that $|\omega_s - \omega| \ll \omega$ and adopt the ordering $|A_s| \ll |A| \ll |A_w|$.

The fields satisfy Maxwell's equations $(\partial_t^2 - c^2 \partial_z^2) A_T = 4\pi c J_L$ and $\partial_z \partial_t \Phi_T = 4\pi J_z$, where A_T and Φ_T represent the total vector and scalar

potentials of the wave fields, and $J(z, t)$ denotes the driving current. After substituting the potentials from Eqs. (2) and (4) and averaging over the long time scale $T = 2\pi / \delta\omega$ where $\delta\omega \equiv |\omega - \omega_s|$, we obtain

$$(\omega^2 - c^2 k^2) A = - 2c\delta\omega \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt [J_x \cos(\int_0^z dz' k - \omega t) - J_y \sin(\int_0^z dz' k - \omega t)],$$

$$(\omega_s^2 - c^2 k_s^2) A_s = - 2c\delta\omega \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt [J_x \cos(\int_0^z dz' k_s - \omega_s t + \theta) - J_y \sin(\int_0^z dz' k_s - \omega_s t + \theta)], \quad (5)$$

$$2k_z^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_z (k_s^{\frac{1}{2}} A) = \frac{2\delta\omega}{c} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt [J_x \sin(\int_0^z dz' k - \omega t) + J_y \cos(\int_0^z dz' k - \omega t)]$$

$$2k_{sz}^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_z (k_s^{\frac{1}{2}} A_s) = \frac{2\delta\omega}{c} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt [J_x \sin(\int_0^z dz' k_s - \omega_s t + \theta) + J_y \cos(\int_0^z dz' k_s - \omega_s t + \theta)], \quad (6)$$

and

$$(k_w + k) \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = 4 \frac{\delta\omega}{\omega} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt J_z \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi \\ \sin \psi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

$$(k_w + k_s) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{s1} \\ \phi_{s2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\delta\omega}{\omega_s} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt J_z \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi_s \\ \sin \psi_s \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

In the above, it has been assumed that the frequency shift between the primary and sideband fields satisfies the conditions $2\pi/\delta\omega = 2\pi N/\omega = 2\pi(N+m)/\omega_s$ where N and m are integers ($N \gg 1$ and $N \gg m$), and that the gradients of the scalar potentials may be ignored.

The nonlinear driving current is derived under the assumption that the initial velocities of all the electrons are identical, and that only the initial phases vary. Therefore, the electron distribution can be written in the form⁷

$$f(z, p, t) = n_b v_{z0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_0 W(t_0) \delta[z - \xi(t_0, t)] \delta[p - \eta(t_0, t)], \quad (9)$$

where $W(t_0)$ is a weighting function which describes the distribution of initial phases, n_b and v_{z0} are the initial beam density and axial velocity, $\xi(t_0, t)$ and $\eta(t_0, t)$ are the axial position and momentum of a particle at time t which entered the interaction region (i.e., $z \geq 0$) at time t_0 . The driving current associated with such a distribution is

$$J_z(z, t) = -en_b v_{z0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_0 W(t_0) \eta(t_0, z) \frac{\delta[t - \tau(t_0, z)]}{\eta_z(t_0, z)}, \quad (10)$$

where $\tau(t_0, z) \equiv t_0 + \int_0^z dz'/v_z(t_0, z')$ is the time required for a particle which entered the interaction region at time t_0 to travel an

axial distance z , and $v_z(t_o, z)$ is the axial velocity of a particle at position z which entered the interaction region at time t_o .

If the beam electrons initially have negligible transverse momentum, then we may approximate $\eta_x \simeq -(eA_w/c) \cos(\int_0^z dz' k_w(z'))$, $\eta_y \simeq -(eA_w/c) \sin(\int_0^z dz' k_w(z'))$, and the nonlinear current

$$J(z, t) \simeq en_b v_{zo} \left(\frac{e}{c} A_w(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_o W(t_o) \frac{\delta[t - \tau(t_o, z)]}{\eta_z(t_o, z)} - \hat{e}_z \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_o W(t_o) \delta[t - \tau(t_o, z)] \right). \quad (11)$$

Substitution of (11) into Maxwell's equations (5)-(8) yields the following set of equations

$$(\omega^2 - c^2 k^2) A = - \frac{\omega_b^2 v_{zo} A_w}{2\pi N} \int_{-\pi N}^{\pi N} d\psi_o \frac{\cos\psi(\psi_o, z) W(\psi_o)}{\gamma(\psi_o, z) v_z(\psi_o, z)}, \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_s^2 - c^2 k_s^2) A_s &= - \frac{\omega_b^2 v_{zo} A_w}{2\pi N} \int_{-\pi N}^{\pi N} d\psi_o \frac{\cos\psi_s(\psi_o, z) W(\psi_o)}{\gamma(\psi_o, z) v_z(\psi_o, z)}, \\ 2k_z^{1/2} \partial_z (k_z^{1/2} A) &= \frac{\omega_b^2 v_{zo} A_w}{2\pi N c^2} \int_{-\pi N}^{\pi N} d\psi_o \frac{\sin\psi(\psi_o, z) W(\psi_o)}{\gamma(\psi_o, z) v_z(\psi_o, z)}, \\ 2k_s^{1/2} \partial_z (k_s^{1/2} A_s) &= \frac{\omega_b^2 v_{zo} A_w}{2\pi N c^2} \int_{-\pi N}^{\pi N} d\psi_o \frac{\sin\psi_s(\psi_o, z) W(\psi_o)}{\gamma(\psi_o, z) v_z(\psi_o, z)}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and

$$(k_w + k) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = - \frac{4en_b v_{zo}}{N\omega} \int_{-\pi N}^{\pi N} d\psi_o W(\psi_o) \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi(\psi_o, z) \\ \sin\psi(\psi_o, z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

$$(k_w + k_s) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{s1} \\ \phi_{s2} \end{pmatrix} = - \frac{4en_b v_{zo}}{N\omega_s} \int_{-\pi N}^{\pi N} d\psi W(\psi_o) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi_s(\psi_o, z) \\ \sin \psi_s(\psi_o, z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

where $\psi_o \equiv -\omega t_o$, is the initial phase, $\omega_b^2 \equiv 4\pi e^2 n_b / m$,

$$\psi(\psi_o, z) = \psi_o + \int_0^z dz' \left(k_w + k - \frac{\omega}{v_z(\psi_o, z')} \right) \quad (16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_s(\psi_o, z) &= \frac{\omega_s}{\omega} \psi(\psi_o, z) + \left(\frac{\omega - \omega_s}{\omega} \right) \int_0^z dz' k_w \\ &+ \omega_s \int_0^z dz' \left(\frac{k_s}{\omega_s} - \frac{k}{\omega} \right) + \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The problem, therefore, reduces to solution of the axial orbit equation for $v_z(\psi_o, z)$ or, alternately, for $\psi(\psi_o, z)$.

It will be evident in the following section that the equation describing the evolution of ψ is invariant under the transformation $\psi \rightarrow \psi + 2\pi$, $\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2\pi(\omega - \omega_s)/\omega$. As a consequence, we have the symmetry

$$\psi(\psi_o + 2\pi, \theta + 2\pi(\omega - \omega_s)/\omega, z) = \psi(\psi_o, \theta, z) + 2\pi, \quad (18)$$

which is also possessed by ψ_s . If it is also required that $W(\psi_o + 2\pi) = W(\psi_o)$,

then the integrals in Eqs. (12)-(15) are of the general form

$\int_{-\pi N}^{\pi N} d\psi_o F(\psi_o, \theta, z)$ where $F(\psi_o, \theta, z)$ satisfies (18). The decomposition

of these source integrals into a sum of N integrals over intervals

of 2π is possible, and we find that for large N

$$\frac{1}{2\pi N} \int_{-\pi N}^{\pi N} d\psi_o F(\psi_o, \theta, z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\psi_o F(\psi_o, \theta, z).$$

Thus, for sufficiently large N (i.e., for sufficiently small $\delta\omega/\omega$)

Eqs. (12)-(15) can be written as

$$(\omega^2 - c^2 k^2) a = - \omega_b^2 v_{zo} a_w \langle \cos \psi / \gamma v_z \rangle, \quad (19)$$

$$(\omega_s^2 - c^2 k_s^2) a_s = - \omega_b^2 v_{zo} a_w \langle \cos \psi_s / \gamma v_z \rangle,$$

$$2k_z^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_z (k_z^{\frac{1}{2}} a) = \omega_b^2 \frac{v_{zo}}{c} a_w \langle \sin \psi / \gamma v_z \rangle, \quad (20)$$

$$2k_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_z (k_s^{\frac{1}{2}} a_s) = \omega_b^2 \frac{v_{zo}}{c^2} a_w \langle \sin \psi_s / \gamma v_z \rangle,$$

and

$$\varphi_1 = - \frac{2\omega_b^2 v_{zo}}{\omega c^2 (k_w + k)} \langle \cos \psi \rangle, \quad (21)$$

$$\varphi_2 = - \frac{2\omega_b^2 v_{zo}}{\omega c^2 (k_w + k)} \langle \sin \psi \rangle,$$

$$\varphi_{s1} = - \frac{2\omega_b^2 v_{zo}}{\omega_s c^2 (k_w + k_s)} \langle \cos \psi_s \rangle, \quad (22)$$

$$\varphi_{s2} = - \frac{2\omega_b^2 v_{zo}}{\omega_s c^2 (k_w + k_s)} \langle \sin \psi_s \rangle,$$

where the averaging operation is defined by $\langle \cdots \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^{-2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta$
 $\times \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\psi_0 W(\psi_0)$, and we have defined the a 's and φ 's as the normalized
 amplitudes $a \equiv eA/mc^2$ and $\varphi \equiv e\Phi/mc^2$.

III. THE AXIAL ELECTRON TRAJECTORIES

Since the vector and scalar potentials are independent of the transverse coordinates, these coordinates are cyclic and the corresponding canonical momenta are constants of the motion. In view of this, the axial momentum equation takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} p_z = & - mc^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi (\varphi_1 \sin\psi - \varphi_2 \cos\psi) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_s (\varphi_{s1} \sin\psi_s - \varphi_{s2} \cos\psi_s) \right) \\ & - \frac{mc^2}{2\gamma} \frac{d}{dz} \mu^2 - \frac{m c^2}{\gamma} \left((k_w + k_s) a_w a_s \sin\psi + (k_w + k_s) a_w a_s \sin\psi_s \right), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $\mu^2 \equiv 1 + a_w^2$, and terms of second order in the wave fields and in the gradient of the amplitudes of the wave fields have been neglected. The variation in total electron energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \gamma = & - v_z \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi (\varphi_1 \sin\psi - \varphi_2 \cos\psi) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \psi_s (\varphi_{s1} \sin\psi_s - \varphi_{s2} \cos\psi_s) \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{\gamma} (\omega a_w a_s \sin\psi + \omega_s a_w a_s \sin\psi_s) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Combination of (23) and (24) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} v_z = & - \frac{c^2 \mu^2}{\gamma^3} \left((k_w + k_s) (\varphi_1 \sin\psi - \varphi_2 \cos\psi) + (k_s + k_w) (\varphi_{s1} \sin\psi_s - \varphi_{s2} \cos\psi_s) \right) \\ & - \frac{c^2}{2\gamma^2} \frac{d}{dz} \mu^2 - \frac{c^2}{\gamma^2} \left(\left[k_w + k_s - \frac{\omega v_z}{c^2} \right] a_w a_s \sin\psi \right. \\ & \left. + \left[k_w + k_s - \frac{\omega_s v_z}{c^2} \right] a_w a_s \sin\psi_s \right), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where terms in the product of $(\omega - \omega_s)/\omega$ and either ψ_{s1} or ψ_{s2} have been ignored.

Substitution of the axial position for the time as the independent variable and the phase for the axial velocity can be made by noting that $d/dt = v_z d/dz$ and $d\psi/dz = k_w + k - \omega/v_z$. Therefore, Eq. (25) can be cast into the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} \equiv & \frac{d}{dz} (k_w + k) - \frac{(k_w + k)c^2}{2\gamma_r^2 v_r^2} \frac{d}{dz} \mu^2 - \frac{\mu^2 c^2 (k_w + k)^2}{\gamma_r^4 v_r^2} a_w a \left(\sin \psi \right. \\ & \left. + \epsilon \frac{\omega_s}{\omega} \sin \psi_s \right) + \frac{2\omega_b^2 \mu^2 v_{zo}}{\gamma_r^3 v_r^3} \left(\sin \psi \langle \cos \psi \rangle - \cos \psi \langle \sin \psi \rangle \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\omega}{\omega_s} [\sin \psi_s \langle \cos \psi_s \rangle - \cos \psi_s \langle \sin \psi_s \rangle] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $\epsilon \equiv a_s/a$, $v_r \equiv \omega/(k_w + k)$ is the velocity of the ponderomotive wave so that $\gamma_r \equiv \mu(1 - v_r^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ is the relativistic factor corresponding to the ponderomotive frame. In the derivation of (26) terms of order $(k_w + k)^{-1} d\psi/dz$ have been ignored. Equation (26) reduces to that found by Kroll and Rosenbluth⁵ in the limit in which space-charge effects can be ignored, i.e., $\omega_b^2 \ll 2\gamma_{zo}^4 a_w a k_w^2 c^2 / \gamma_r$, where $\gamma_{zo} \equiv (1 - v_{zo}^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$.

We include the space-charge effect for a diffuse beam limit in which we may approximate $\omega \simeq ck$ and $\omega_s \simeq ck_s$. Therefore, under the assumptions that $k_w \ll k, k_s$ and $dk/dz \simeq 0$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2}{dz^2} \psi &\approx -\frac{K^2}{\cos \psi_r} (\sin \psi - \sin \psi_r + \epsilon \frac{\omega_s}{\omega} \sin \psi_s) \\
&+ \delta K^2 (\sin \psi \langle \cos \psi \rangle - \cos \psi \langle \sin \psi \rangle) \\
&+ \frac{\omega_s}{\omega} \sin \psi_s \langle \cos \psi_s \rangle - \frac{\omega_s}{\omega} \cos \psi_s \langle \sin \psi_s \rangle), \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

where $K^2 \equiv 4k_w^2 a_w \cos \psi_r / \mu^2$ measures the strength of the ponderomotive potential, $\delta K^2 \equiv 2\mu^2 \omega_b^2 / \gamma_0^3 c^2$ measures the electrostatic potential, $\psi_s \approx \frac{\omega_s}{\omega} \psi + \Delta k z + \theta$, $\Delta k \equiv k_w(\omega - \omega_s)/\omega$, and

$$\sin \psi_r \equiv \frac{-1}{2a_w a} \left(\frac{a_w}{k_w} \frac{d}{dz} a_w - \frac{\mu^2}{2k_w^2} \frac{d}{dz} k_w \right) \quad (28)$$

describes the effects of the gradients in the wiggler amplitude and period (hence, the acceleration or deceleration of the ponderomotive frame). Solution to (28) is found by expansion of ψ about the resonant phase, and we assume $\psi = \psi_r + \delta \psi$ where $|\delta \psi| \ll \pi$. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2}{dz^2} \delta \psi &\simeq -K^2 \delta \psi + \frac{1}{2} K^2 \tan \psi_r \delta \psi^2 + \frac{1}{6} K^2 \delta \psi^3 \\
&- \frac{\epsilon K^2}{\cos \psi_r} \sin \left(\frac{\omega_s}{\omega} \psi_r + \Delta k z + \theta \right) + \delta K^2 (\delta \psi - \langle \delta \psi \rangle). \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that upon linearization of (27) $2\pi/K$ is the bounce period in the ponderomotive potential, and $2\pi/\delta K$ is the oscillation period (i.e., the invariant plasma period) in the space-charge wave.

If we write $\delta \psi = \delta \psi^{(0)} + \delta \psi^{(1)}$, where $\delta \psi^{(0)}$ and $\delta \psi^{(1)}$ denote the contribution to the phase to zeroth and first order in the effects due to

$$\delta\psi^{(0)} \simeq \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \tan^2 \psi_r + \alpha \cos K_a z - \frac{\alpha^2}{12} \tan^2 \psi_r \cos 2 K_a z \quad (30)$$

for small deviations from ψ_r . In Eq. (30)

$$K_a \equiv K - \frac{\alpha^2 K}{16} \left(1 + \frac{5}{3} \tan^2 \psi_r\right) \quad (32)$$

is the anharmonic bounce period in the ponderomotive wells, and α is a constant fixed by the initial condition $\psi^{(0)}(z=0) = \psi_0$ which implies that $\psi_0 - \psi_r = \alpha + \alpha^2 \tan^2 \psi_r / 6$ for $\alpha \ll 1$. The first order correction is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi^{(1)} \simeq & \frac{\epsilon}{2 \cos \psi_r} \frac{K}{\Delta K_{\pm}} \left[1 \pm \frac{\alpha^2 K}{16 \Delta K_{\pm}} \left(1 + \frac{5}{3} \tan^2 \psi_r\right) \right] \\ & \times \left\{ \left[1 \pm \frac{\alpha^2 K}{8 \Delta K_{\pm}} \left(1 + \frac{5}{3} \tan^2 \psi_r\right) \right] [\cos(\Delta K_{\pm} z + \phi_r) - \cos \phi_r] \sin Kz \right. \\ & \left. + [\sin(\Delta K_{\pm} z + \phi_r) - \sin \phi_r] \cos Kz \right. \\ & \left. \pm \frac{\alpha^2 K}{8 \Delta K_{\pm}} \left(1 + \frac{5}{3} \tan^2 \psi_r\right) K z \sin \phi_r \sin Kz \right\} \\ & + \frac{\delta K^2}{2 K^2} (\alpha - \langle \alpha \rangle) K z \sin Kz \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $\phi_r \equiv \omega_s \psi_r / \omega + \Theta$, $\Delta K_{\pm} \equiv \Delta k \pm K$, and $\delta\psi^{(1)}(z=0) = \partial_z \delta\psi^{(1)}(z=0) = 0$.

It is important to recognize that this solution requires $\alpha^2 < \Delta K_{\pm} / K$, which implies that all electrons are deeply trapped and have phases close to ψ_r .

IV. THE SMALL-SIGNAL GAIN

The gain per pass of the primary and sideband waves in a system of length L follows from (20)

$$g \approx \frac{\omega_b^2}{2\omega_c \gamma_0} \frac{a_w}{a} \int_0^L dz \langle \sin \psi \rangle \quad (34)$$

and

$$g_s \approx \frac{\omega_b^2}{2\omega_s c \gamma_0} \frac{a_w}{a} \int_0^L dz \langle \sin \psi_s \rangle, \quad (35)$$

where the radiation wavevectors, electron energy, and wave amplitudes have been assumed to be slowly-varying functions of z . These expressions may be readily evaluated using the solutions for the phase described in (30) and (33).

In the case of the gain per pass of the primary wave, we have to lowest nontrivial order

$$\langle \sin \psi \rangle \approx \sin \psi_r \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \langle \delta \psi^{(0)2} \rangle - \langle \psi^{(1)} \delta \psi^{(0)} \rangle \right].$$

Because of the average over the relative phase between the primary and sideband signals, this implies that only those components of $\psi^{(1)}$ due to the space-charge potential can contribute to g to this order.

Specifically, the coupling between the primary and sideband waves cannot affect the gain of the primary wave to first order in ϵ . In addition,

the space-charge effect is manifested by means of a beating between the bounce motion of electrons in the ponderomotive potential and the space-charge wave itself. In evaluating these averages, we shall assume a distribution of initial phases of the form

$$W(\psi_0) = \frac{\pi}{\Delta} H(\psi_0 - \psi_r + \Delta) H(\psi_r + \Delta - \psi_0), \quad (36)$$

in the range $-\pi \leq \psi_0 \leq \pi$ where $\Delta \ll 1$ measures the spread in phases, and H is the Heaviside function. This distribution describes a tight, uniform bunching of electrons about the resonant phase and is depicted schematically in Fig. 1. As a consequence, g can be written in the form

$$g \sim \frac{\omega_b^2 L}{2\omega_0 c} \frac{a_w}{a} \sin \psi_r \left\{ 1 + \frac{\Delta^2}{12} \left[\frac{\sin KL}{KL} + \frac{2\sin 2KL}{3KL} + \frac{\delta K^2}{2K^2} \left(\cos 2KL - \frac{\sin 2KL}{2KL} \right) \right] \right\}, \quad (37)$$

which vanishes when $\sin \psi_r = 0$ (i.e., for untapered wigglers). Evidently, the space-charge contribution to the gain vanishes in the limit in which $\Delta \rightarrow 0$. This conclusion is quite general and not dependent on the particular choice of $W(\psi_0)$. It can be shown from (33) that the contribution to the gain from the space-charge potential must vanish whenever the spread in ψ_0 vanishes. Finally, we observe that the space-charge tends to reduce the gain whenever $\sin 2KL > 2KL \cos 2KL$. This inequality holds for $KL < \pi/4$ (when the bounce length of electrons in the ponderomotive well is longer than the system) but not in general and, for proper choices of the parameters, the space-charge effect could be made to enhance the gain.




Fig. 1 — Schematic representation of phase space distribution about the resonant phase, showing the separatrix for a tapered wiggler

In computing the gain of the sideband signal, we note that $\langle \sin \psi_s \rangle \simeq (\omega_s / \omega) \langle \psi^{(1)} \cos \psi_s^{(0)} \rangle$ and the space-charge effect does not contribute. Gain, therefore, arises because the presence of the sideband induces an oscillation in the electron bounce motion. We find that

$$g_s \simeq \mp \frac{\omega_b^2 K L^2}{16 \omega_s \gamma_0 c \cos \psi_r} \frac{a_w}{a} \left(1 \pm \frac{K \Delta^2}{48 \Delta K_{\pm}} \left(1 + \frac{5}{3} \tan^2 \psi_r \right) \right. \\ \left. \mp \frac{K L \Delta^2}{96} \left(1 + \frac{5}{3} \tan^2 \psi_r \right) \frac{d}{dx_{\pm}} \right) \left(\frac{\sin x_{\pm}}{x_{\pm}} \right)^2, \quad (38)$$

where $x_{\pm} \equiv \Delta K_{\pm} L / 2$, and it is the lower sideband which is excited (i.e., $\Delta K \simeq K$).

The preceding applies to operation of a free electron laser amplifier. However, when operation is in the oscillator mode it is the relative gain $G (\equiv g_s / g)$ which is the significant quantity in determining whether the sideband signal will grow from noise. The close frequencies of these modes insure nearly equal loss rates; hence, the relative gain must be near unity in the steady-state and greater than unity if the sideband is expected to grow from noise. Comparison of Eqs. (37) and (38) shows that since $K > |\Delta K_{\pm}|$ the space-charge contribution to the relative gain will be important only when $\delta K > K$, and in this case

$$G \simeq \mp \frac{\omega K L}{4 \omega_s \sin 2 \psi_r} \left\{ 1 \pm \frac{\Delta^2}{24} \left[\frac{K}{2 \Delta K_{\pm}} \left(1 + \frac{5}{3} \tan^2 \psi_r \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \mp \frac{\delta K^2}{K^2} \left(\cos 2 K L - \frac{\sin 2 K L}{2 K L} \right) \right] \right\} \left(\frac{\sin x_{\pm}}{x_{\pm}} \right)^2, \quad (39)$$

and the effect of the space-charge field is to enhance the relative gain of the lower sideband when $KL < \pi/4$. Note that the only constraint on the magnitude of δK imposed by the analysis is that $\Delta^2 \delta K^2 < K^2$.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have investigated the question of free electron laser operation in the trapped particle regime from the standpoint of the excitation of sidebands shifted from the primary spectrum by the bounce frequency of the electrons trapped in the ponderomotive potential. The analysis described the interaction of a bunched cold electron beam with the static wiggler, the radiation fields of the primary and sideband modes, and with fluctuating space-charge fields. Gradients in the wiggler field have been included as well. Further, in order to make the problem analytically tractable, we have included the anharmonic contributions to the trapped electron trajectories only to lowest order. This necessitates the assumption of tightly bunched electrons about the resonant phase.

It was found in the computation of the gain per pass that the coupling of the electron orbits to the fields of the sideband modes had no effect on the gain of the primary spectrum to lowest order in a_s . It should be remarked here that the space-charge components of the sideband waves were found to be unimportant to the gain of either radiation mode for the parameter regimes under consideration. However, the space-charge fluctuations which couple to the primary radiation spectrum are found to provide a contribution of order $\Delta^2 \delta K^2 / K^2$, where Δ^2 measures the trapped electron spread about the resonant phase. Since $\Delta^2 < 1$ in the present analysis, we conclude that the space-charge contribution to the gain of the primary wave can be important only if $\delta K^2 > K^2$. It is instructive, therefore, to consider specific experiments (either in current operation or proposed) to determine whether space-charge effects will be important in the trapped particle regime.

The first example is the experiment being conducted at Los Alamos National Laboratory⁸ which is intended to operate in the infrared (at 10.6 μm). In this case a constant amplitude linear wiggler of about 2.4 kG is allowed to vary in period from 2.7 to 2.4 cm over a length of 1 m. As a consequence, the resonant phase is such that $\cos \psi_r \sim .94$. The experiment employs an electron beam of 20 MeV energy ($\Delta\gamma/\gamma \sim .01$) with a radius of .5 mm, and the maximum anticipated current is 25A. Finally, the input signal intended to bunch the electron beam is to provide between .5 - 1 GW at 10.6 μm into an optical system with a 56 cm Rayleigh length. It is readily apparent, therefore, that for an equivalent system using a helical wiggler $\delta K^2/K^2 \lesssim .009$ and the space-charge effect is likely to be negligible. Indeed, this appears to be the case for all present or future free electron laser experiments which are to operate in the infrared due to the high energy, low current electron beams employed in such experiments.

In contrast, however, the space-charge effects may be important in free-electron lasers intended to operate in the millimeter or submillimeter range of wavelengths. Such devices operate at much lower energies and are, thus, able to achieve higher beam currents. One example of such an experiment is to be conducted using a van de Graaf accelerator⁴ capable of producing a 2A beam of 3 MeV electrons (with effective $\Delta\gamma/\gamma \sim .005$) with a radius of approximately 2 mm. The wiggler field to be employed is uniform and of approximately 4 m in length with a 2 cm period and an amplitude of between 400-500 G. The radiation field amplitude required to trap the beam is about a $\simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ (at approximately a .2 mm wavelength). Using these parameters it follows that $\delta K^2/K^2 \lesssim 1.2$ and, therefore, this experiment constitutes an intermediate case in which the space-charge fields may have an effect on the emission.

For still higher currents, the space-charge effect becomes still more important. A free electron laser experiment is planned⁹ which makes use of the Experimental Test Accelerator at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory to test efficiency enhancement schemes with a tapered wiggler. Typical beam properties are a 4 MeV energy at a current density of greater than 500 A-cm^{-2} . Beam densities, therefore are expected to reach about 10^{11} electrons/cm³. The projected wiggler field is linear and of 3 kG maximum amplitude with a period of 10 cm, and an input radiation pulse of about 930 kW/cm^2 (at a wavelength of 3mm) is to be used to trap the beam with an effective $\Delta\gamma/\gamma \sim 9\%$. As a consequence, $\delta K^2/K^2 \sim 4.1/\cos \psi_r$ (where ψ_r is variable, $0.5 \leq \cos \psi_r \leq 1$), and it is clear that space-charge effects are important for such an experiment.

In conclusion, space-charge effects are important only when $\delta K^2 \gtrsim K^2$ (i.e., the electron bounce period in the ponderomotive potential is longer than the invariant plasma period). Such a condition is not generally satisfied for free electron laser experiments which operate at infrared wavelengths where beam currents and energies are of the order of several tens of Amperes and MeV's. However, experiments operating at submillimeter wavelengths employ beams of much lower energy (several MeV) and higher current (1kA) and collective space-charge effects are important.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The present work was supported by DARPA under Contract No. 3817.

REFERENCES

1. H. Boehmer, M.Z. Caponi, J. Edighoffer, S. Fornaca, J. Munch, G. Neil, B. Saur, and C. Shih, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-28, 3156 (1981).
2. C.A. Brau, T.J. Boyd, R.K. Cooper, and D.A. Swenson, Proc. Int. Conf. on Lasers, edited by V.J. Corcoran (STS Press, McLean, VA, 1980).
3. J. Slater, "Tapered Wiggler Free Electron Laser Optimization," to be published in J. of Quantum Electronics, August (1981).
4. L.R. Elias, The UCSB FEL Experimental Program, Proceedings of the Intl. School of Quantum Electronics, Erice (Sicily) Italy, 17-29 Aug. (1980), to be published by Plenum Press.
5. N.M. Kroll and M.N. Rosenbluth, in Free Electron Generators of Coherent Radiation, Physics of Quantum Electronics, Vol. 7, Edited by S.J. Jacobs, H.S. Pilloff, M. Sargent, M.O. Scully, and R. Spitzer (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1980), p. 147.
6. P. Sprangle, C.M. Tang, and W.M. Manheimer, in Free Electron Generators of Coherent Radiation, Physics of Quantum Electronics, Vol. 7, Edited by S.F. Jacobs, H.S. Pilloff, M. Sargent, M.O. Scully, and R. Spitzer (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1980), p. 207.
7. P. Sprangle, C.M. Tang, and W.M. Manheimer, Phys. Rev. A21, 202 (1980).
8. C.J. Elliot, private communication (1982).
9. A. Sessler, private communication (1982).

DISTRIBUTION LIST*

Naval Research Laboratory
4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20375

Attn: Code 1000 - CAPT. E. E. Henifin
1001 - Dr. A. Berman
4700 - Dr. T. Coffey (26 copies)
4701 - Mr. J. Brown
4740 - Dr. V. L. Granatstein (20 copies)
4740 - Dr. K. R. Chu
4740 - Dr. C. W. Roberson
4790 - Dr. P. Sprangle (100 copies)
4790 - Dr. C. M. Tang
4790 - Dr. M. Lampe
4790 - Dr. W. M. Manheimer
6603S- Dr. W. W. Zachary
6650 - Dr. L. Cohen
6656 - Dr. N. Seeman
6850 - Dr. L. R. Whicker
6805 - Dr. S. Y. Ahn
6805 - Dr. R. K. Parker (20 copies)
6875 - Dr. R. Wagner

On Site Contractors:

Code 4740 - Dr. L. Barnett (B-K Dynamics)
4740 - Dr. D. Dialetis (SAI)
4740 - Dr. Y. Y. Lau (SAI)
4790 - Dr. A. T. Drobot (SAI)
4790 - Dr. J. Vomvoridis (JAYCOR)
4790 - Dr. H. Freund (SAI)

* Every name listed on distribution gets one copy except for those where extra copies are noted.

Dr. Tony Armstrong
SAI, Inc.
P. O. Box 2351
La Jolla, CA 92038

Dr. Robert Behringer
ONR
1030 E. Green
Pasadena, CA 91106

Dr. G. Bekefi (5 copies)
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Bldg. 26
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Arden Bement (2 copies)
Deputy Under Secretary of Defense
for R&AT
Room 3E114, The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301

Lt Col Rettig P. Benedict Jr., USAF
DARPA/STO
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. T. Berlincourt
Code 420
Office of Naval Research
Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. I. B. Bernstein (2 copies)
Yale University
Mason Laboratory
400 Temple Street
New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. Charles Brau (2 copies)
Applied Photochemistry Division
Los Alamos National Scientific
Laboratory
P. O. Box 1663, M.S. - 817
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr. R. Briggs (L-71)
Lawrence Livermore National Lab.
P. O. Box 808
Livermore, CA 94550

Dr. Fred Burskirk
Physics Department
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940

Dr. K. J. Button
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Francis Bitter National Magnet Lab.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Gregory Canavan
Director, Office of Inertial Fusion
U. S. Department of Energy
M.S. C404
Washington, D.C. 20545

Prof. C. D. Cantrell
Center for Quantum Electronics
& Applications
The University of Texas at Dallas
P. O. Box 688
Richardson, TX 75080

Dr. Maria Caponi
TRW, Building R-1, Room 1070
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Dr. J. Cary
Los Alamos National Scientific
Laboratory
MS 608
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr. Weng Chow
Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Dr. Peter Clark
TRW, Building R-1, Room 1096
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Dr. Robert Clark
P. O. Box 1925
Washington, D.C. 20013

Dr. William Colson
Quantum Institute
Univ. of California at Santa Barbara
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Dr. William Condell
Code 421
Office of Naval Research
Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. Richard Cooper
Los Alamos National Scientific
Laboratory
P. O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Cmdr. Robert Cronin
NFOIO Detachment, Suitland
4301 Suitland Road
Washington, D.C. 20390

Dr. R. Davidson (5 copies)
Plasma Fusion Center
Massachusetts Institute of
Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. John Dawson (2 copies)
Physics Department
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Dr. David Deacon
Physics Department
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Defense Technical Information
Center (12 copies)
Cameron Station
5010 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22313

Dr. Francesco De Martini
Istituto de Fiscia
G. Marconi" Univ.
Piazzo delle Science, 5
ROMA00185 ITALY

Prof. P. Diamant
Columbia University
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
New York, NY 10027

Prof. J. J. Doucet (5 copies)
Ecole Polytechnique
91128 Palaiseau
Paris, France

Dr. John Elgin (2 copies)
Imperial College
Dept. of Physics (Optics)
London SWF, England

Dr. Luis R. Elias (2 copies)
Quantum Institute
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Dr. David D. Elliott
SRI International
33 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. Jim Elliot (2 copies)
X-Division, M.S. 531
Los Alamos National Scientific
Laboratory
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Director (2 copies)
National Security Agency
Fort Meade, MD 20755
ATTN: Mr. Richard Foss, A42

Dr. Robert Fossum, Director
(2 copies)
DARPA
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Edward A. Frieman
Director, Office of Energy Research
U. S. Department of Energy
M.S. 6E084
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dr. George Gamota (3 copies)
OUSDRE (R&AT)
Room 3D1067, The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dr. Richard L. Garwin
IBM, T. J. Watson Research Center
P. O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Dr. Edward T. Gerry, President
W. J. Schafer Associates, Inc.
1901 N. Fort Myer Drive
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Avraham Gover
Tel Aviv University
Fac. of Engineering
Tel Aviv, ISRAEL

Mr. Donald L. Haas, Director
DARPA/STO
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. P. Hammerling
La Jolla Institute
P. O. Box 1434
La Jolla, CA 92038

Director
National Security Agency
Fort Meade, MD 20755
ATTN: Mr. Thomas Handel, A243

Dr. William Happer
560 Riverside Drive
New York City, NY 10027

Dr. Robert J. Hermann
Assistant Secretary of the
Air Force (RD&L)
Room 4E856, The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330

Dr. Rod Hiddleston
KMS Fusion
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

Dr. J. L. Hirshfield (2 copies)
Yale University
Mason Laboratory
400 Temple Street
New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. R. Hofland
Aerospace Corp.
P. O. Box 92957
Los Angeles, CA 90009

Dr. Fred Hopf
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Dr. Benjamin Huberman
Associate Director, OSTP
Room 476, Old Executive Office Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20506

Dr. S. F. Jacobs
Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Mr. Eugene Kopf
Principal Deputy Assistant
Secretary of the Air Force (RD&L)
Room 4E964, The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330

Prof. N. M. Kroll
La Jolla Institute
P. O. Box 1434
La Jolla, CA 92038

Dr. Tom Kuper
Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Dr. Thomas Kwan
Los Alamos National Scientific
Laboratory
MS608
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr. Willis Lamb
Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Mr. Mike Lavan
BMDATC-0
ATTN: ATC-0
P. O. Box 1500
Huntsville, AL 35807

Dr. John D. Lawson (2 copies)
Rutherford High Energy Lab.
Chilton
Didcot, Oxon OX11 0OX
ENGLAND

Mr. Ray Leadabrand
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Mr. Barry Leven
NISC/Code 20
4301 Suitland Road
Washington, D.C. 20390

Dr. Donald M. Levine (3 copies)
SRI International
1611 N. Kent Street
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Anthony T. Lin
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Director (2 copies)
National Security Agency
Fort Meade, MD 20755
ATTN: Mr. Robert Madden, R/SA

Dr. John Madey
Physics Department
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Joseph Mangano
DARPA
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. S. A. Mani
W. J. Schafer Associates, Inc.
10 Lakeside Office Park
Wakefield, MA 01880

Dr. Mike Mann
Hughes Aircraft Co.
Laser Systems Division
Culver City, CA 90230

Dr. T. C. Marshall
Applied Physics Department
Columbia University
New York, NY 10027

Mr. John Meson
DARPA
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Pierre Meystre
Projektgruppe fur Laserforschung
Max Planck Gesellschaft
Garching, MUNICH WEST GERMANY

Dr. Gerald T. Moore
Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, Az 85721

Dr. Philip Morton
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
P. O. Box 4349
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Jesper Munch
TRW
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Dr. George Neil
TRW
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Dr. Kelvin Neil
Lawrence Livermore National Lab.
Code L-321 P. O. Box 808
Livermore, CA 94550

Dr. Brian Newnam
MS 564
Los Alamos National Scientific
Laboratory
P. O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr. Milton L. Noble (2 copies)
General Electric Company
G. E. Electric Park
Syracuse, NY 13201

Prof. E. Ott (2 copies)
University of Maryland
Dept. of Physics
College Park, MD 20742

Dr. Richard H. Pantell
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Claudio Parazzoli
Hughes Aircraft Company
Building 6, MS/C-129
Centinela & Teale Streets
Culver City, CA 90230

Dr. Richard M. Patrick
AVCO Everett Research Lab., Inc.
2385 Revere Beach Parkway
Everett, MA 02149

Dr. Claudio Pellegrini
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Associated Universities, Inc.
Upton, L.I., NY 11973

The Honorable William Perry
Under Secretary of Defense (R&E)
Office of the Secretary of Defense
Room 3E1006, The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dr. Alan Pike
DARPA/STO
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Hersch Pilloff
Code 421
Office of Naval Research
Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. Charles Planner
Rutherford High Energy Lab.
Chilton
Didcot, Oxon, OX11, 00X
ENGLAND

Dr. Michal Poole
Daresbury Nuclear Physics Lab.
Daresbury, Warrington
Cheshire WA4 4AD
ENGLAND

Dr. Don Prosnitz
Lawrence Livermore National Lab.
Livermore, CA 94550

Dr. D. A. Reilly
AVCO Everett Research Lab.
Everett, MA 02149

Dr. James P. Reilly
W. J. Schafer Associates, Inc.
10 Lakeside Office Park
Wakefield, MA 01880

Dr. A. Renieri
C.N.E.N.
Div. Nuove Attivita
Dentro di Frascati
Frascati, Rome
ITALY

Dr. Daniel N. Rogovin
SAI
P. O. Box 2351
La Jolla, CA 92038

Dr. Michael Rosenbluh
MIT - Magnet Laboratory
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Marshall N. Rosenbluth
Institute for Advanced Study
Princeton, NJ 08540

Dr. Eugene Ruane (2 copies)
P. O. Box 1925
Washington, D.C. 20013

Dr. Antonio Sanchez
MIT/Lincoln Laboratory
Room B231
P. O. Box 73
Lexington, MA 02173

Dr. Aleksandr N. Sandalov
Department of Physics
Moscow University
MGU, Lenin Hills
Moscow, 117234, USSR

Prof. S. P. Schlesinger
Columbia University
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
New York, NY 10027

Dr. Howard Schlossberg
AFOSR
Bolling AFB
Washington, D.C. 20332

Dr. Stanley Schneider
Rotodyne Corporation
26628 Fond Du Lac Road
Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274

Dr. Marlan O. Scully
Optical Science Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Dr. Steven Segel
KMS Fusion
3621 S. State Street
P. O. Box 1567
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

Dr. Robert Sepucha
DARPA/STO
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. A. M. Sessler
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
1 Cyclotron Road
Berkeley, CA 94720

Dr. Earl D. Shaw
Bell Labs
600 Mountain Avenue
Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Dr. Chan-Chin Shih
R&D Associates
P. O. Box 9695
Marina Del Rey, CA 92091

Dr. Jack Slater
Mathematical Sciences, NW
P. O. Box 1887
Bellevue, WA 98009

Dr. Kenneth Smith
Physical Dynamics, Inc.
P. O. Box 556
La Jolla, CA 92038

Mr. Todd Smith
Hansen Labs
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Joel A. Snow
Senior Technical Advisor
Office of Energy Research
U. S. Department of Energy, M.S. E084
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dr. Richard Spitzer
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
P. O. Box 4347
Stanford, CA 94305

Mrs. Alma Spring
DARPA/Administration
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

DRI/MP Reports Area G037 (2 copies)
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025
ATTN: D. Leitner

Dr. Abraham Szoke
Lawrence Livermore National Lab.
MS/L-470, P. O. Box 808
Livermore, CA 94550

Dr. Milan Tekula
AVCO Everett Research Lab.
2385 Revere Beach Parkway
Everett, MA 02149

Dr. John E. Walsh
Department of Physics
Dartmouth College
Hanover, NH 03755

Dr. Wasneski (2 copies)
Naval Air Systems Command
Department of the Navy
Washington, D.C. 20350

Ms. Bettie Wilcox
Lawrence Livermore National Lab.
ATTN: Tech. Info. Dept. L-3
P. O. Box 808
Livermore, CA 94550

Dr. A. Yariv
California Institute of Tech.
Pasadena, CA 91125