

AD-A111 198

NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER SILVER SPRING MD
FILAMENTATION INSTABILITY OF A RELATIVISTIC HOLLOW ELECTRON BEA--ETC(U)
SEP 81 H S UHM, J G SIAMBIS


F/8 20/7

UNCLASSIFIED

NSWC/TR-81-385

NL

1 OF 1
AD-A
111198



12

NSWC TR 81-385

AD A111198

FILAMENTATION INSTABILITY OF A RELATIVISTIC HOLLOW ELECTRON BEAM

BY HANS S. UHM J. G. SIAMBIS
RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DEPT.

SEPTEMBER 1981

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

DTIC
FEB 3 2 1982
A



NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER

Dahlgren, Virginia 22443 • Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

DTIC FILE COPY

82 02 22 038

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NSWC TR 81-385	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-3111198	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Filamentation Instability of a Relativistic Hollow Electron Beam		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) J.G. Siambis and H.S. Uhm		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Surface Weapons Center R41 White Oak, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61152N, ZR00001, ZR01109, 0
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE September 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 39
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Hollow Electron Beam Relativistic Particle Filamentation Instability Diocotron Instability		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The filamentation instability properties of a relativistic hollow electron beam confined in axial flow by a uniform magnetic field in a pipe are investigated via the Vlasov-Maxwell equations. The instability is found to have two side-bands, one with a spectrum of positive wavenumbers k and the other with a spectrum of negative wavenumbers. The spectral point $k=0$, associated with the diocotron instability, is excluded from the filamentation instability's two unstable sidebands. Only in the limit of zero axial beam flow ($\gamma=1$), the diocotron instability becomes asymptotically part of the		

DD FORM 1473

1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-014-5601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

filamentation instability spectrum. In this limit the filamentation instability's two sidebands merge asymptotically and symmetrically toward the diokotron instability spectral point, $k=0$, in agreement with the basic driving physical mechanisms and geometry configurations for these two distinct and different instabilities.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

FOREWORD

The filamentation instability properties of a relativistic hollow electron beam confined in axial flow by a uniform magnetic field in a pipe are investigated via the Vlasov-Maxwell equations. The instability is found to have two sidebands, one with a spectrum of positive wavenumbers k and the other with a spectrum of negative wavenumbers. The spectral point $k=0$, associated with the diokotron instability, is excluded from the filamentation instability's two unstable sidebands. Only in the limit of zero axial beam flow ($\gamma \rightarrow 1$), the diokotron instability becomes asymptotically part of the filamentation instability spectrum. In this limit the filamentation instability's two sidebands merge asymptotically and symmetrically toward the diokotron instability spectral point, $k=0$, in agreement with the basic driving physical mechanisms and geometry configurations for these two distinct and different instabilities.



IRA M. BLATSTEIN
By direction

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	7
EQUILIBRIUM.	9
STABILITY ANALYSIS	13
THE $\ell=0$ CASE	17
THE $\ell \neq 0$ CASE	19
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	23
REFERENCES	35

ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	EQUILIBRIUM CONFIGURATION AND COORDINATE SYSTEM.	25
2	RADIAL PROFILE OF BEAM DENSITY	26
3	PLOTS OF NORMALIZED DOPPLER-SHIFTED REAL FREQUENCY, Ω_r/ω_{pb} (SOLID CURVES), AND NORMALIZED GROWTH RATE, Ω_i/ω_{pb} (DASHED CURVE), VERSUS NORMALIZED AXIAL WAVELENGTH, kc/ω_{pb} , FOR THREE AZIMUTHAL MODE NUMBERS: (a) $\ell=3$, (b) $\ell=4$, (c) $\ell=5$. THE BEAM AND GEOMETRY PARAMETERS ARE: $\gamma_b=1.1$, $a/R_o=0.05$, $R_o/R_c=0.8$, $\omega_{pb}R_o/c=0.05$, $\omega_{pb}/\omega_c=0.5$	27
4	PLOTS OF NORMALIZED DOPPLER-SHIFTED REAL FREQUENCY, Ω_r/ω_{pb} (SOLID CURVES), AND NORMALIZED GROWTH RATE, Ω_i/ω_{pb} (DASHED CURVE), VERSUS NORMALIZED AXIAL WAVELENGTH, kc/ω_{pb} , FOR THREE AZIMUTHAL MODE NUMBERS: (a) $\ell=3$, (b) $\ell=4$, (c) $\ell=5$. THE BEAM AND GEOMETRY PARAMETERS ARE: $\gamma_b=3$, $a/R_o=0.05$, $R_o/R_c=0.8$, $\omega_{pb}R_o/c=0.9$, $\omega_{pb}/\omega_c=0.5$	28
5	DEPENDENCE OF THE GROWTH RATE AND THE POSITIVE k SPECTRUM OF THE INSTABILITY ON THE APPLIED MAGNETIC FIELD VIA THE PARAMETER ω_{pb}/ω_c , FOR THE CASE OF $\ell=4$, $\gamma_b=3$, $a/R_o=0.05$, $R_o/R_c=0.8$, $\omega_{pb}R_o/c=0.9$	29

ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont.)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
6	DEPENDENCE OF THE GROWTH RATE AND THE LONG WAVELENGTH CUTOFF OF THE POSITIVE k SPECTRUM OF THE INSTABILITY ON THE BEAM ENERGY γ_b , FOR THE CASE OF $\ell=3$, $a/R_o=0.05$, $R_o/R_c=0.8$, $\omega_{pb}/\omega_c=0.3$, $\omega_{pb}R/c=0.3$. (NOTE THAT THE LATTER TWO PARAMETERS ARE DIFFERENT FROM THOSE IN FIGURES 3-5.	30
7	DEPENDENCE OF (a) LONG WAVELENGTH CUTOFF LIMIT ζ_o AND WAVE NUMBER FOR MAXIMUM GROWTH ζ_m OF THE POSITIVE k SPECTRUM OF THE INSTABILITY ON γ_b AND (b) MAXIMUM GROWTH RATE Ω_1^m/ω_{pb} ON γ_b . THE PARAMETERS ARE: $\ell=5$, $a/R_o=0.05$, $R_o/R_c=0.8$, $\omega_{pb}R_o/\omega_c=0.3$, $\omega_{pb}/\omega_c=0.3$	31

INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper by Uhm and Siambis¹ the diokotron instability of a hollow relativistic electron beam in a conducting pipe guided by a uniform axial magnetic field was investigated. Relativistic and electromagnetic effects were included in the derivation of the properties of the instability. In Reference 1 the analysis of the diokotron modes proceeded by taking the limit $k=0$, ab initio, where k is the wave number along the beam. This restriction, of $k=0$, for the diokotron modes has been assumed in all earlier treatments of the diokotron instability²⁻⁴. Physically this assumption was motivated by the geometrical configuration of the magnetron tube² in particular, as well as other crossed field (E-cross-B) beam devices^{3,5} utilizing hollow electron beams. Instabilities in hollow beams, in long cylindrical pipes, confined in axial flow by a uniform magnetic field, were investigated experimentally by Kyhl and Webster⁶ and analytically by Pierce⁷ in order to establish the mechanisms for noise generation and amplification in traveling wave microwave tubes with hollow beams. These early investigators^{6,7} found that low voltage, low current hollow electron beams can break up into vortex filaments, similar to those associated with the diokotron instability, and in addition they exhibit a non-zero wave number, $k \neq 0$, along the applied magnetic field. They observed that the azimuthal wave length of the instability was comparable to the longitudinal wave length of the instability. They also observed that this comparability in axial and azimuthal wave lengths was maintained as the instability actually evolved from the fastest growing short wave lengths to the slower growing longer wave lengths. They called this instability the filamentation instability. These early investigators were interested in whether this phenomenon, at low levels, contributed to microwave tube beam noise. They concluded that a direct contribution to beam noise seemed unlikely since the waves did not have the proper symmetry to couple to the rf circuits of the microwave tubes⁶. This observation resulted in lack of broad further interest in this phenomenon.

More recently intense relativistic hollow electron beams have become the object of intense experimental and analytical investigations in connection with a broad spectrum of modern applications such as high current electron beam accelerators, collective accelerators, gyrotrons, free electron lasers and fusion. It is the purpose of this work to investigate the properties of the filamentation instability for intense, relativistic hollow electron beams of interest in current applications. In carrying out the analysis we shall follow the technique of Reference 8. In Section II the relativistic electron hollow beam equilibrium state is analysed. In Section III a Vlasov-Maxwell stability analysis is carried out. In Section IV the axisymmetric, $l=0$, space charge mode for the hollow beam is obtained and found to be in agreement with results from more approximate theories. In Section V the filamentation instability modes, $l \geq 3$, are analysed and discussed. In Section VI conclusions are presented.

EQUILIBRIUM

We consider the equilibrium configuration illustrated in Figure 1, consisting of an intense hollow relativistic electron beam propagating in a drift tube parallel to a uniform applied magnetic field, $B_0 \hat{e}_z$, with velocity $\beta_b c \hat{e}_z$. Cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) are used, with the z axis along the axis of symmetry. The beam is described by a distribution $f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)$ which satisfies the Vlasov equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{r}} - e(\vec{E} + \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \times \vec{B}) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}} \right] f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{r}$ stands for the cylindrical coordinates, the momentum $\vec{p}$ for p_r, p_θ, p_z , the fields $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ are the external and self-consistent fields associated with the beam flow. The velocity $\vec{v}$ is given by

$$\vec{v} = \vec{p} / \gamma m, \quad \beta = v/c \quad (2)$$

where

$$\gamma = \left[1 + \frac{p^2}{m^2 c^2} \right]^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

and $-e, m$ are the charge and rest mass of the electron, and c is the velocity of light.

The hollow beam equilibrium flow distribution, suitable for the application at hand, is given in terms of the constants of the motion.

$$f_b = \frac{n_0 R_0}{2\pi \gamma_b m} \delta(H - \beta_b c p_z - \gamma_0 m c^2 / \gamma_b) \delta(p_\theta - p_0) \quad (4)$$

where the total energy

$$H = (m^2 c^4 + p^2 c^4)^{1/2} - e \phi_0(r) \quad (5)$$

the canonical angular momentum

$$P_{\theta} = r(p_{\theta} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{e}{c} B_0 r) \quad , \quad (6)$$

and the axial cononical momentum

$$P_z = p_z - \frac{e}{c} A_z(r) \quad (7)$$

are the three single particle constants of the motion.

The constants n_0 , R_0 , P_0 , γ_b and γ_0 are identified as follows: The quantity n_0 is the value of the density $n_b(r)$ at the median radius R_0 as shown in Figure 2, P_0 is the value of the canonical angular momentum at $r=R_0$, $\gamma_0 mc^2$ is the total electron energy at the beam frame, and γ_b is given by

$$\gamma_b = (1 - \beta_b^2)^{-1/2} \quad . \quad (8)$$

We also define the energy variable U

$$U = H - \beta_b c P_z - \gamma_0 mc^2 / \gamma_b \quad (9)$$

and write the equilibrium distribution as

$$f_b = \frac{n_0 R_0}{2\pi m \gamma_b} \delta(U) \delta(P_{\theta} - P_0) \quad . \quad (10)$$

After a straightforward algebra, U in Equation (9) is expressed as

$$U = \left\{ \frac{\gamma' - \gamma_0}{\gamma_b} mc^2 - e [\phi_0(r) - \beta_b A_z(r)] \right\} \quad , \quad (11)$$

where $\gamma' mc^2$ is the electron kinetic energy at the beam frame.

The equilibrium electromagnetic fields are obtained from the scalar potential $\phi_0(r)$ and vector potential $A_z(r)$ from Maxwell's equations

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_0(r) = 4\pi e n_b(r) \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A_z(r) = 4\pi e \beta_b n_b(r) \quad (13)$$

$$\text{where } n_b(r) = \int d^3p f_b(H, p_\theta, p_z) \quad , \quad (14)$$

which is found to be

$$n_b(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & , r < R_1 \\ n_0 R_0 / r & , R_1 < r < R_2 \\ 0 & , r > R_2 \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Equations (12) - (15) give

$$E_r(r) = - \frac{\partial \phi_0(r)}{\partial r} = -4\pi e n_0 R_0 (1 - R_1/r) \quad , \quad R_1 < r < R_2 \quad (16)$$

$$B_\theta(r) = - \frac{\partial A_z(r)}{\partial r} = -4\pi e \beta_b n_0 R_0 (1 - R_1/r) \quad , \quad R_1 < r < R_2 \quad (17)$$

The radial force balance on the beam electron field element, obtained from Equations (1) and (4), is

$$\frac{\gamma_b m V_\theta^2(r)}{r} = e \left[E_r(r) + \frac{V_\theta(r)}{c} B_0 - \beta_b B_\theta(r) \right] \quad (18)$$

We define the equilibrium rotation frequency

$$\omega_e(r) = V_\theta(r)/r \quad (19)$$

and from Equation (18) write down its value for the median electron fluid element at $r=R_0$,

$$\omega_e(R_0) = \omega_e^{\pm}(R_0) = \frac{\omega_c}{2} \left[1 \pm \left(1 - \frac{4\omega_{pb}^2}{\gamma_b^2 \omega_c^2} \frac{a}{R_0} \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

where

$$\omega_c = \frac{eB_0}{mc\gamma_b}, \quad (21)$$

$$\omega_{pb}^2 = \frac{4\pi e^2 n_b}{\gamma_b m}, \quad (22)$$

and $a = R_2 - R_0 = R_0 - R_1$ is the half thickness of the hollow beam. The equilibrium state of interest is the one with the slow rotational frequency $\omega_e^-(R_0)$. Additional properties of the general equilibrium state, assumed here through Equation (11), are similar to those derived in Reference 8 for the non-relativistic case.

STABILITY ANALYSIS

In this section we use the linearized Vlasov-Maxwell equations to investigate the general normal modes ($\ell \geq 0$, $k_z \neq 0$) and their stability properties for the type of intense, hollow beams discussed in the equilibrium section. We adopt a normal mode approach in which all perturbed quantities are assumed to vary with r , θ , z , and t as

$$\delta\psi(\vec{r}, t) = \delta\psi(r) \exp [i(\ell\theta + k_z z - \omega t)] \quad . \quad (23)$$

For the electromagnetic fields we assume the TM (transverse magnetic) modes which have the following field components

$$\delta\vec{E} = +\hat{e}_r \delta E_r + \hat{e}_\theta \delta E_\theta + \hat{e}_z \delta E_z \quad (24)$$

$$\delta\vec{B} = \hat{e}_r \delta B_r + \hat{e}_\theta \delta B_\theta \quad (25)$$

and which satisfy the linearized Maxwell's equations

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \delta\vec{E} = 4\pi\delta\rho \quad (26)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \delta\vec{E} = \frac{i\omega}{c} \delta\vec{B} \quad (27)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \delta\vec{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \delta\vec{J} - \frac{i\omega}{c} \delta\vec{E} \quad . \quad (28)$$

Substitution of Equation (28) into Equation (27) and rearrangement with Equation (26) generates the wave equation for δE_z

$$\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} - (k^2 - \omega^2/c^2) \right] \delta E_z = 4\pi i k (\delta\rho - \frac{\omega}{c^2 k} \delta J_z) \quad . \quad (29)$$

However, the axial component of the perturbed current density is approximated by

$$\delta J_z \approx \beta_b c \delta \rho \quad (30)$$

The analysis will now be restricted to the cases of interest, where $V_\theta/c \ll 1$ and

$$\omega_e^-(R_0) = \omega_{e0} = \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\gamma_b^2 \omega_c} \frac{a}{R_0} \quad (31)$$

$$\omega \approx k v_b + \ell \omega_{e0} \quad (32)$$

$$\omega_s^2 = \omega_c^2 - \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\gamma_b^2} (1 + 2a/R_0) \quad (33)$$

$$\left| \frac{\omega - k \beta_b c - \ell \omega_{e0}}{\omega_s} \right|^2 \frac{a}{R_0} \ll 1 \quad (34)$$

With these assumptions the δJ_r and δJ_θ source terms in Equation (30) can be neglected. Also for wave numbers k satisfying

$$(k^2 R_0^2 / \gamma_b^2) \ll 1 \quad (35)$$

the third term in the left hand side of Equation (29) can be neglected, resulting in the approximate wave equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \right] \delta E_z = 4\pi i k \left(1 - \frac{\omega \beta_b}{k c} \right) \delta \rho \quad (36)$$

Next, the source term $\delta \rho$ will be evaluated by first finding the perturbation δf , of the distribution function f_b , from the linearized Vlasov equation

$$\delta f = e \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \exp(-i\omega t') \exp(ikz + i\ell\theta) \left[\delta \vec{E} + \frac{\vec{v} \times \delta \vec{B}}{c} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{p}'} f_b \quad (37)$$

where the integration in t' is carried along the equilibrium particle trajectories. We integrate by parts with respect to t' and change variables to $\tau = t' - t$, to find

$$ik\delta f = e \left\{ \delta E_z(r) \frac{\partial f_b}{\partial U} + i \left[(\omega - k\beta_b c) \frac{\partial f_b}{\partial U} + i \frac{\partial f_b}{\partial p_\theta} \right] I \right\} \quad (38)$$

where the orbit integral I is defined by

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^0 d\tau \delta E_z(r') \exp \left[-i\omega\tau + i\ell(\theta' - \theta) + ik(z' - z) \right] \quad (39)$$

In order to evaluate Equations (37) - (39), we use

$$\dot{\theta}' = \omega_{eo} - \mu \frac{(P_\theta - P_0)}{\gamma_b m R_0^2} \quad (40)$$

$$\mu = \omega_0^2 / \omega_s^2 - 1 \quad (41)$$

$$\omega_0^2 = \left(\frac{2P_0}{\gamma_b m R_0} \right)^2 = \omega_c^2 \left(1 - \frac{4\omega_{pb}^2 a}{\gamma_b^2 \omega_c^2 R_0} \right) \quad (42)$$

We assume that $(\omega_c / \omega_s) \ll R_0 / a$ and we approximate δE by

$$\delta E_z(r') = \delta E_z(R_0) + \frac{P_\theta - P_0}{\gamma_b m R_0} \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_s^2} \left(\frac{\partial \delta E_z}{\partial r} \right)_{R_0} \quad (43)$$

so that

$$I = i \left[\delta E_z(R_0) + \frac{P_\theta - P_0}{\gamma_b m R_0} \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_s^2} \left(\frac{\partial \delta E_z}{\partial r} \right)_{R_0} \right] \left[\omega - k\beta_c c - \ell \left(\omega_{eo} - \mu \frac{P_\theta - P_0}{\gamma_b m R_0^2} \right) - \frac{k p_z'}{\gamma_b^2 m} \right]^{-1} \quad (44)$$

where

$$p_z' = \frac{1}{\gamma_b} (p_z - \gamma_b m \beta_b c) \quad (45)$$

Next the charge perturbation $\delta \rho$ is obtained by carrying out the momentum space integration

$$\delta \rho = -e \int \delta f d^3p \quad (46)$$

to find the final approximate form of the wave equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \right) \delta E_z = \\
& \frac{R_0}{a} \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_{\beta b}}{kc} \right) \left[\delta E_z(r) - \delta E_z(R_0) - \frac{\ell \omega_0}{\Omega} \left(\frac{r-R_0}{R_0} \right) \delta E_z(R_0) \right] \\
& \times \left[\frac{\delta(r-R_0+a)}{r} + \frac{\delta(r-R_0-a)}{r} \right] + \omega_{pb}^2 \frac{R_0}{r} \oplus \left[a^2 - (r-R_0)^2 \right] \\
& \times \left(1 - \frac{\omega_{\beta b}}{kc} \right) \left[- \frac{k^2}{\gamma_b^2} \frac{\delta E_z(R_0)}{\Omega^2} + \frac{\mu \ell^2}{R_0^2} \frac{\delta E_z(R_0)}{\Omega^2} - \frac{\ell \omega_0}{R_0 \omega_s^2} \left(\frac{\partial \delta E_z}{\partial r} \right)_{R_0} \frac{1}{\Omega} \right] \quad (47)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Omega = \omega - \ell \omega_{e0} - k \beta_b c \quad (48)$$

and $\oplus(x)$ in the Heavyside step function

$$\oplus(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \geq 0 \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

Having found an expression for δp we can now check the validity of Equation (30), which equivalently neglects the δJ_r and δJ_θ contributions. The δJ_r contribution is of order

$$\left(\frac{a}{R_0} \right) \left(\frac{\Omega}{\omega_s} \right)^2 \ll 1 \quad (50)$$

and the δJ_θ contribution is of order

$$\frac{\ell v_\theta}{c} \ll 1 \quad (51)$$

THE $\ell=0$ CASE

We shall first evaluate the $\ell=0$ case, which should reproduce the well known symmetric space charge waves of the hollow beam. The wave equation reduces to,

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \delta E_z = S \left[\delta E_z(r) - \delta E_z(R_0) \right] \left[\frac{\delta(r - R_0 + a)}{r} + \frac{\delta(r - R_0 - a)}{r} \right] - N \frac{R_0}{r} \delta E_z(R_0) \oplus |a^2 - (r - R_0)^2| \quad (52)$$

We solve this equation by following the steps from Equation (88) to Equation (99) of Reference 8 to find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[1 - S \ln\left(\frac{R_1 R_2}{R_C^2}\right) + S^2 \ln\left(\frac{R_2}{R_C}\right) \ln\left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right) \right] (1 + N) \\ & - \left\{ \left[1 - S \ln\left(\frac{R_2}{R_C}\right) \right] \ln\left(\frac{R_0}{R_C}\right) + S \left[\ln\left(\frac{R_2}{R_C}\right) \right]^2 \right\} \alpha_1 \\ & - \left\{ \left[1 - S \ln\left(\frac{R_1}{R_C}\right) \right] + S \ln\left(\frac{R_0}{R_C}\right) \right\} \alpha_2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where

$$S = \frac{R_0}{a} \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_{\beta b}}{kc} \right) \quad (54)$$

$$N = \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\gamma_b^2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_{\beta b}}{kc} \right) \frac{k^2}{\Omega^2} \quad (55)$$

$$\alpha_1 = (1 - S) N \frac{R_1}{R_0} - S \quad (56)$$

$$\alpha_2 = \left(1 - \ln \frac{R_2}{R_C} \right) N \frac{R_2}{R_0} - S \ln \frac{R_2}{R_C} - S \ln \frac{R_2}{R_C} N \frac{R_2}{R_0} \quad (57)$$

After Taylor expansion of Equation (53), we find

$$(\omega - k\beta_b c)^2 = \frac{2\nu}{\gamma_b^3} \frac{1 + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2}{1 + \epsilon} kc(kc - \omega\beta_b) \ln \frac{R_c}{R_2} \quad (58)$$

where

$$\epsilon = \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega\beta_b}{kc} \right) \quad (59)$$

and ν is Budker's parameter defined by

$$\nu = \frac{4\pi R_0 a_n b e^2}{mc^2} \quad (60)$$

and $N_b = 4\pi R_0 a_n b$ is the number of particles per unit axial length.

When $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then Equation (58) reduces to

$$(\omega - k\beta_b c)^2 = \frac{2\nu}{\gamma_b^3} (k^2 c^2 - \omega^2) \ln \frac{R_c}{R_2} \quad (61)$$

which is identical to the result obtained by Briggs⁹ for the hollow beam and solid beam space charge waves. In obtaining Equation (61), use has been made of the approximation $\omega \approx k\beta_b c$.

THE $\ell \neq 0$ CASE

We solve Equation (47) for the general case of $\ell \neq 0$ by following the steps from Equation (88) to Equation (99) of Reference 8. In order to keep the size and form of the resulting algebraic eigenvalue solution short and simple, we utilize first the following notation:

$$S_1 = \frac{R_0}{a} \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega \beta_b}{kc} \right) \quad (62)$$

$$S_2 = - \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega \beta_b}{kc} \right) \frac{\ell \omega_0}{\Omega} \quad (63)$$

$$N_1 = \frac{\omega_{pb}^2 \left(1 - \frac{\omega \beta_b}{kc} \right) \ell^2}{\Omega^2 (\ell^2 - 1)} \left(\mu - \frac{k^2 R_0^2}{\ell^2 \gamma_b^2} \right) \quad (64)$$

$$N_2 = - \frac{\ell \omega_0}{\Omega} \frac{\omega_{pb}^2 \left(1 - \frac{\omega \beta_b}{kc} \right)}{\omega_s^2 (\ell^2 - 1)} \quad (65)$$

The eigenvalue solution becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ 4\ell^2 g_f + 2\ell S_1 + 2\ell S_1 \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^{2\ell} + (2\ell g_f + S_1) S_1 \left[1 - \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^{2\ell} \right] \right\} \\ & \times (1 + N_1 + N_2) + (2\ell g_f + S_1) (X_1 - X_3) \left(\frac{R_1}{R_0} \right)^\ell - (2\ell + S_1) (X_2 + X_4) \left(\frac{R_0}{R_2} \right)^\ell \\ & + S_1 (X_2 - X_4) \left(\frac{R_1^2}{R_0 R_2} \right)^\ell - \left[2\ell (g_f - 1) + S_1 (X_1 + X_3) \right] \left(\frac{R_1 R_0}{R_2} \right)^\ell \\ & + 2\ell N_2 \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^\ell \left[\left(\frac{R_1}{R_0} \right) (1 - \ell - S_1) (S_1 - S_2) + \left(\frac{R_2}{R_0} \right) (1 - \ell + 2\ell g_f + S_1) \right. \\ & \left. \times (S_1 + S_2) \right] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

where

$$X_1 = \frac{R_1}{R_0} (1 - \ell - S_1) N_1 - (S_1 + S_2)(1 + N_2) \quad (67)$$

$$X_2 = \frac{R_2}{R_0} (1 - \ell + 2\ell g_f + S_1) N_1 + (S_1 - S_2)(1 + N_2) \quad (68)$$

$$X_3 = \left[\frac{R_1}{R_0} (1 - \ell - S_1) + S_1 + S_2 \right] \ell N_2 \quad (69)$$

$$X_4 = \left[\frac{R_2}{R_0} (1 - \ell + 2\ell g_f + S_1) - S_1 + S_2 \right] \ell N_2 \quad (70)$$

and $g_f = \left[1 - (R_2/R_C)^{2\ell} \right]^{-1} \quad (71)$

Next, we Taylor expand Equation (66), following the approach of Equation (101) of Reference 8, to find

$$\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2} \frac{\omega_0}{\Omega} \frac{a}{R_0} \epsilon_0 + \Gamma_3 \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\Omega^2} \frac{\ell a}{R_0} \epsilon_0 = 0 \quad (72)$$

where

$$\Gamma_1 = g_f \left(1 + \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2} \epsilon_0 \right) \quad (73)$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \ell \left(2 + \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2} \epsilon_0 \right) (g_f - 1) - \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{2\omega_s^2} \epsilon_0 \quad (74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_3 = & \left(\mu - \frac{k^2 R_0^2}{\ell^2 \gamma_b^2} \right) \left[1 - \frac{\ell a}{2R_0} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2} \left(1 - \frac{4\ell a}{3R_0} \right) \epsilon_0 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_{pb}^4}{\omega_s^4} \epsilon_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{2\ell a}{R_0} \right) \right] \\ & - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega_s^2} \left[\frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2} \left(1 - \frac{3\ell a}{2R_0} \right) \epsilon_0 + \frac{\omega_{pb}^4}{2\omega_s^4} \left(1 - \frac{2\ell a}{R_0} \right) \epsilon_0^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

$$\epsilon_0 = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pb}}{kc} \quad (76)$$

In the limit of lower intensity beam current satisfying $\omega_{pb}^2 \ll \omega_c^2$, the dispersion relation of Equation (72) simplifies to

$$g_f + 2\ell(g_f - 1) \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2} \epsilon_0 \frac{\omega_0}{\Omega} \frac{a}{R_0} + \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\Omega^2} \epsilon_0 \frac{\ell a}{R_0} \left[\frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\omega_s^2 \gamma_b^2} (\ell - 2) \frac{a}{R_0} - \frac{k^2 R_0^2}{\gamma_b^2} \right] = 0 \quad (77)$$

Equation (77) is the final form of the dispersion relation for the modes of interest. It has been solved numerically for the growth rate $\Omega_i = \text{Im } \Omega$ and the Doppler-shifted real oscillation frequency $\Omega_r = \text{Re } \Omega$, where $\Omega = \omega - k\beta_b c - \ell\omega_{e0}$, for a broad range of system parameters, γ_b , ω_{pb}/ω_c , $\omega_{pb}R_0/c$, a/R_0 and R_0/R_c . Typical solutions are shown in Figures 3 for $\gamma_b = 1.1$ and 4 for $\gamma_b = 3$. First of all we note that for azimuthal mode numbers $\ell = 0, 1$, and 2 the solution of the dispersion relation of Equation (77) gives only stable waves. Unstable modes appear for $\ell \geq 3$ as shown in Figures 3 and 4. Several points are noteworthy in Figures 3 and 4. First, and contrary to all previous studies of the diokotron instability, we observe that as $k \rightarrow 0$ the diokotron instability disappears and is replaced by two fast waves. For low γ beams, Figure 3, we note that the well known filamentation instability occurs at small, but non-zero Wavenumber k , it has a spectrum in k space which is nearly symmetric about the $k=0$ point, and excludes the $k=0$ point. The doppler shifted frequency of oscillation, given by

$$\Omega_r = \omega_r - k\beta_b c - \ell \frac{a}{R_0} \frac{\omega_{pb}^2}{\gamma_b^2 \omega_c} \quad (78)$$

is constant, to lowest order, within the spectrum of instability for low γ beams, $\gamma \sim 1$, while the instability growth rate decreases away from the maximum occurring near the $k \rightarrow \pm 0$ points. Both the growth rate and k -space spectral width of the instability increase with the azimuthal mode number ℓ . The real frequency ω_r also increases with ℓ . For higher γ beams (Figure 4, $\gamma_b = 3$) we find that the lowest order symmetry about the $k=0$ point, that was observed in Figure 3 for $\gamma_b = 1.1$, now disappears. We note in comparing Figures 3 and 4 that the growth rate and the doppler-shifted real frequency decrease when the γ of the beam increases.

Figure 5 shows the effect of varying the applied magnetic field in controlling the instability. For the positive k part of the instability spectrum we note that both the growth rate and spectral width decrease with increasing applied magnetic field while there also occurs a shift of the spectrum to longer wavelengths.

Figure 6 illustrates the dependence of the growth rate on the beam energy γ_b , over the positive k spectrum of instability, for the indicated parameters. The growth rate of the instability is monotonically reduced by increasing the beam energy. On Figure 6 we define the parameters ζ_0 , ζ_m and Ω_i^m for convenience in further analysis and discussion. The symbol ζ_0 stands for the long wavelength cutoff limit of the instability positive k spectrum and the pair of symbols Ω_i^m/ω_{pb} , ζ_m stand for the value and associated wave number of maximum growth rate. Figure 7a shows the variation of ζ_0 and ζ_m with γ_b and Figure 7b shows the variation of Ω_i^m/ω_{pb} with γ_b for the indicated parameters. The wave numbers ζ_0 and ζ_m increase sharply for $\gamma_b \gtrsim 1$ and quickly saturate to their asymptotic values for $\gamma_b \gtrsim 1.5$. After a careful examination of Equations (76) and (77) we find analytically that the asymptotic value of ζ_0 is given by

$$\zeta_0 = \frac{k_0 c}{\omega_{pb}} = \frac{\omega_{pb}}{\omega_c} \frac{a}{R_0} \quad (79)$$

Figure 7b shows that

$$\Omega_i^m \sim 1/\gamma_b^2 \quad (80)$$

in agreement with the basic scaling for the diokotron mode obtained by Uhm and Siambis in Reference 1.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have carried out an extensive analysis of the filamentation instability of hollow beams which for the first time provides a detailed analytical understanding of the spectacular experimental results obtained by Kyhl and Webster⁶ 26 years ago. The analytical results from this work for the filamentation instability when compared to our results for the diokotron instability in Reference 1 show that the two instabilities are very different in their detailed properties and that the diokotron instability ($k=0$) is not a limiting case of the filamentation instability when $k \rightarrow 0$, despite the fact that the physical mechanisms for the two instabilities are of a similar nature, namely the azimuthal drift of the electrons and the hollowness of the radial density profile. This is understandable given the different physical situations where each of the two instabilities was first observed. The diokotron instability was first found by Buneman^{2,5} in 1944 as an explanation for magnetron start up. In France, Doehler, Warnecke and Mourier⁵ supported E-cross-B flow between non-emitting electrodes and observed the diokotron instability. They called their device the "diokotron" because they realized the effect was due to velocity shear, i.e., the pursuit of electron layers of each other ($\delta\omega_{ce} \approx \text{pursue}$). The diokotron instability, therefore, found birth in cross-field devices, most prominent of which is the magnetron. In these devices the electron zero order motion is in the transverse (r, θ) plane, where the diokotron instability also takes place. The axial (z) direction in cross-field devices is basically an ignorable coordinate; it is often short and wave activity along it is suppressed by the axial boundary conditions of the beam and the confining cavity, hence the assumption of $k=0$ for the diokotron instability. This contrasts very sharply with the physical situation where the filamentation instability applies. Namely the case of a hollow beam in zero order axial flow having only a higher order azimuthal drift velocity resulting from cross-field forces. In this case wave motion along the zero order beam flow in the z -direction naturally plays a significant role; hence $k \neq 0$ and the filamentation instability has a spectrum in k -space, in fact two spectral bands, one for positive and one for negative k . It is interesting to

note in Figures 3, 4, and 6 that as the beam's axially directed zero order motion decreases to zero ($\gamma_b \rightarrow 1$) then the beam's azimuthal drift velocity, resulting from cross-field forces, becomes more important and the filamentation instability asymptotically reaches and includes in a limiting sense (as $k \rightarrow 0$) the diokotron instability.




Fig. 1 Equilibrium configuration and coordinate system.




Fig. 2 Radial profile of beam density.




Fig. 3 Plots of normalized Doppler-shifted real frequency, Ω_r/ω_{pb} (solid curves), and normalized growth rate, Ω_i/ω_{pb} (dashed curve), versus normalized axial wavelength, kc/ω_{pb} , for three azimuthal mode numbers: (a) $l=3$, (b) $l=4$, (c) $l=5$. The beam and geometry parameters are: $\gamma_b = 1.1$, $a/R_0 = 0.05$, $R_0/R_c = 0.8$, $\omega_{pb}R_0/c = 0.05$, $\omega_{pb}/\omega_c = 0.5$.




Fig. 4 Plots of normalized Doppler-shifted real frequency, Ω_r/ω_{pb} (solid curves), and normalized growth rate, Ω_i/ω_{pb} (dashed curve), versus normalized axial wavenumber, kc/ω_{pb} , for three azimuthal mode numbers: (a) $\ell = 3$, (b) $\ell = 4$, (c) $\ell = 5$. The beam geometry parameters are: $\gamma_b = 3$, $a/R_0 = 0.05$, $\omega_{pb}R_0/c = 0.9$, $\omega_{pb}/\omega_c = 0.5$.




Fig. 5 Dependence of the growth rate and the positive k spectrum of the instability on the applied magnetic field via the parameter ω_{pb}/ω_c , for the case of $\ell = 4, \gamma_b = 3, a/R_0 = 0.05, R_0/R_c = 0.8, \omega_{pb}R_0/c = 0.9$.




Fig. 6 Dependence of the growth rate and the long wavelength cutoff of the positive k spectrum of the instability on the beam energy γ_b , for the case of $\ell = 3, a/R_0 = 0.05, R_0/R_c = 0.8, \omega_{pb}/\omega_c = 0.3, \omega_{pb}R_0/c = 0.3$. (Note that the latter two parameters are different from those in Figs. 3 - 5)




Fig. 7 Dependence of (a) long wavelength cutoff limit ζ_0 and wave number for maximum growth ζ_m of the positive k spectrum of the instability on γ_b and (b) maximum growth rate Ω_1^m / ω_{pb} on γ_b . The parameters are $\ell = 5$, $a/R_0 = 0.05$, $R_0/R_c = 0.8$, $\omega_{pb} R_0/c = 0.3$, $\omega_{pb}/\omega_c = 0.3$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to O. Buneman, B. Epstein, M. Friedman, Bruce Miller and David Straw for useful discussions. The work of JGS was supported by the Office of Naval Research, the Department of Energy Office of Fusion Energy, Science Applications Inc., and Sandia National Laboratories. The work of HSU was supported by the Independent Research Fund at the Naval Surface Weapons Center.

REFERENCES

1. H.S. Uhm and J.G. Siambis, Phys. Fluids 22, 2377 (1979).
2. O. Buneman, J. Electronics and Control 3, 1 (1957).
3. R.H. Levy, Phys. Fluids 8, 1288 (1965).
4. C.A. Kapetanacos, D.A. Hammer, C.D. Striffler and R.C. Davidson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 1303 (1973).
5. O. Buneman, private communication.
6. R.L. Kuhl and H.F. Webster, IRE Trans. Electron Devices ED-3, 172 (1956).
7. J.R. Pierce, IRE Trans. Electron Devices ED-3, 183 (1956).
8. R.C. Davidson, H.S. Uhm, and S.M. Mahajan, Phys. Fluids 19, 1608 (1976).
9. R.J. Briggs, Phys. Fluids 19, 1257 (1976).

DISTRIBUTION

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
Commander		Office of Naval Research	
Naval Research Laboratory		Attn: Dr. Robert Behringer	1
Attn: Dr. Saeyoung Ahn	1	1030 E. Green	
Dr. Wahab A. Ali	1	Pasadena, CA 91106	
Dr. J. M. Baird	1		
Dr. L. Barnett	1	Office of Naval Research	
Dr. O. Book	1	Attn: Dr. T. Berlincourt	1
Dr. Jay Boris	1	Dr. W. J. Condell	1
Dr. K. R. Chu	1	Department of the Navy	
Dr. Timothy Coffey	1	Arlington, VA 22217	
Dr. G. Cooperstein	1		
Dr. A. Drobot	1	Commander	
Dr. Richard Fernslor	1	Naval Air Systems Command	
Dr. H. Freund	1	Attn: Dr. Wasneski	1
Dr. M. Friedman	1	Department of the Navy	
Dr. J. Golden	1	Washington, DC 20361	
Dr. S. Goldstein	1		
Dr. V. Granatstein	1	Commander	
Dr. Robert Greig	1	Naval Sea Systems Command	
Dr. Irving Haber	1	Attn: Dr. C. F. Sharn	1
Dr. Richard Hubbard	1	Department of the Navy	
Dr. Bertram Hui	1	Washington, DC 20362	
Dr. Glenn Joyce	1		
Dr. Selig Kainer	1	Harry Diamond Laboratory	
Dr. C. A. Kapetanakos	1	Attn: Dr. H. E. Brandt	1
Dr. M. Lampe	1	Dr. S. Graybill	1
Dr. Y. Y. Lau	1	2800 Powder Mill Road	
Dr. W. M. Manheimer	1	Adelphi, MD 20783	
Dr. Don Murphy	1		
Dr. Peter Palmadesso	1	U. S. Army Ballistic Research	
Dr. Robert Pechacek	1	Laboratory	
Dr. Michael Picone	1	Attn: Dr. D. Eccleshall	1
Dr. Michael Raleigh	1	Aberdeen Proving Ground	
Dr. M. E. Read	1	MD 21005	
Dr. C. W. Roberson	1		
Dr. J. D. Sethian	1	Air Force Weapons Laboratory	
Dr. William Sharp	1	Attn: Dr. Ray Lemke	1
Dr. J. S. Silverstein	1	Kirtland Air Force Base	
Dr. Philip Sprangle	1	Albuquerque, NM 87117	
Dr. Doug Strickland	1		
Dr. C. M. Tang	1	Air Force Weapons Laboratory	
Dr. N. Vanderplaats	1	Attn: Dr. D. Straw	1
Washington, DC 20375		Kirtland AFB, NM 87117	

DISTRIBUTION (Cont.)

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
U.S. Department of Energy		TRW	
Attn: Dr. T. Godlove	1	Defense and Space Systems	
Dr. M. Month	1	Group	
Dr. J. A. Snow	1	Attn: Dr. D. Arnush	1
Washington, DC 20545		Dr. M. Caponi	1
		1 Space Park	
National Bureau of Standards		Redondo Beach, CA 90278	
Attn: Dr. Sam Penner	1		
Bldg. 245		Lawrence Livermore National	
Washington, DC 20234		Laboratory	
		Attn: Dr. W. A. Barletta	1
National Bureau of Standards		Dr. R. Briggs	1
Attn: Dr. Mark Wilson	1	Dr. H. L. Buchanan	1
Gaithersburg, MD 20760		Dr. Frank Chambers	1
		Dr. T. Fessenden	1
Defense Advanced Research		Dr. Edward P. Lee	1
Projects Agency		Dr. James Mark	1
Attn: Dr. J. Bayless	1	Dr. Jon A. Masamitsu	1
Dr. Robert Fossum	1	Dr. V. Kelvin Neil	1
Dr. J. A. Mangano	1	Dr. R. Post	1
LCOL W. Whitaker	1	Dr. D. S. Prono	1
1400 Wilson Blvd.		Dr. M. E. Rensink	1
Arlington, VA 22209		Dr. Simon S. Yu	1
		University of California	
Science Applications Inc.		Livermore, CA 94550	
Attn: Dr. Richard E. Aamodt	1		
934 Pearl St. Suite A		Physics International Co.	
Boulder, CO 80302		Attn: Dr. Jim Benford	1
		Dr. S. Putnam	1
Science Applications Inc.		2700 Merced Street	
Attn: Dr. L. Feinstein	1	San Leandro, CA 94577	
Dr. Robert Johnston	1		
Dr. Douglas Keeley	1	Sandia Laboratories	
Dr. John Siambis	1	Attn: Dr. K. D. Bergeron	1
5 Palo Alto Square		Dr. B. Epstein	1
Palo Alto, CA 94304		Dr. S. Humphries	1
		Dr. Tom Lockner	1
Science Applications, Inc.		Dr. Bruce R. Miller	1
Attn: Dr. A. W. Trivelpiece	1	Dr. C. L. Olson	1
San Diego, CA 92123		Dr. Gerold Yonas	1
		Albuquerque, NM 87115	
Science Applications, Inc.			
Attn: Dr. Ron Parkinson	1	La Jolla Institute	
1200 Prospect Street		Attn: Dr. K. Brueckner	1
P.O. Box 2351		Prof. N. M. Kroll	1
La Jolla, CA 92038		P.O. Box 1434	
		La Jolla, CA 92038	

DISTRIBUTION (Cont.)

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
Mission Research Corp.		Austin Research Associates	
Attn: Dr. Neal Carron	1	Attn: Prof. W. E. Drummond	1
Dr. Conrad Longmire	1	Dr. M. Lee Sloan	1
735 State Street		Dr. James R. Thompson	1
Santa Barbara, CA 93102		1901 Rutland Drive	
		Austin, TX 78758	
Mission Research Corp.		Western Research Corporation	
Attn: Dr. B. Godfrey	1	Attn: Dr. Franklin Felber	1
1400 San Mateo Blvd, S.E.		8616 Commerce Avenue	
Suite A		San Diego, CA 92121	
Albuquerque, NM 87108			
McDonnell Douglas Corp.		Jaycor	
Attn: Dr. M. Greenspan	1	Attn: Dr. J. U. Guillory	1
Dr. J. Carl Leader	1	Dr. D. Tidman	1
P. O. Box 516		205 S. Whiting Street	
St. Louis, MO 63166		Alexandria, VA 22304	
Los Alamos National Lab.		Varian Associates	
Attn: Dr. Barry Newberger	1	Attn: Dr. Howard Jory	1
Dr. L. E. Thode	1	611 Hansen Way	
Mail Stop 608		Palo Alto, CA 94303	
Los Alamos, NM 87544			
Los Alamos Scientific Lab.		Lawrence Berkeley Lab.	
Attn: Dr. H. Dreicer	1	Attn: Dr. Denis Keefe	1
Dr. R. J. Faehl	1	Dr. Hogil Kim	1
Los Alamos, NM 87544		Dr. Hong Chul Kim	1
		Dr. Kwang Je Kim	1
Pulse Sciences, Inc.		Dr. L. J. Laslett	1
Attn: Dr. Sid Putnam	1	Dr. G. R. Lambertson	1
1615 Broadway, Suite 610		Dr. A. M. Sessler	1
Oakland, CA 94612		Dr. L. Smith	1
		1 Cyclotron Road	
National Science Foundation		Berkeley, CA 94720	
Attn: Dr. R. Hill		Stanford Linear Accelerator	
Physics Division, #341		Center	
Washington, DC 20550		Attn: Dr. Philip Morton	1
W. J. Schafer Associates,		P.O. Box 4349	
Inc.		Stanford, CA 94305	
Attn: Dr. Edward Cornet	1	AVCO - Everett Research	
1901 North Fort Myer Dr.		Laboratory, Inc.	
Arlington, VA 22209		Attn: Dr. Richard Patrick	1
		2385 Revere Beach Pkwy	
		Everett, MA 02149	

DISTRIBUTION (Cont.)

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
Oak Ridge National Lab		University of California	
Attn: Dr. J. A. Rome	1	Attn: Dr. Gregory Benford	1
Oak Ridge, TN 37850		Dr. A. Fisher	1
		Prof. N. Rostoker	1
University of California at		Physics Department	
Los Angeles		Irvine, CA 92717	
Attn: Prof. F. Chen	1	Yale University	
Dr. A. T. Lin	1	Attn: Dr. I. B. Bernstein	1
Dr. J. Dawson	1	Dr. J. L. Hirshfield	1
Dr. C. S. Liu	1	Mason Laboratory	
Dr. Edward Ott	1	400 Temple Street	
Los Angeles, CA 90024		New Haven, CT 06520	
University of Maryland		Cornell University	
Attn: Dr. W. Destlar	1	Attn: Prof. H. Fleischmann	1
Dr. C. S. Liu	1	Prof. D. Hammer	1
Dr. Won Namkung	1	Prof. R. V. Lovelace	1
Dr. E. Ott	1	Prof. J. Nation	1
Prof. M. Reiser	1	Prof. R. Sudan	1
Dr. Moon-Jhong Rhee	1	Ithaca, NY 14850	
Dr. C. D. Striffler	1	University of Texas at Austin	
College Park, MD 20742		Attn: Dr. M. N. Rosenbluth	1
Columbia University		Institute for Fusion	
Attn: Prof. P. Diamant	1	Studies	
Prof. S. Schlesinger	1	RLM 11.218	
New York, NY 10027		Austin, TX 78712	
North Carolina State		Stevens Institute of	
University		Technology	
Attn: Prof. W. Doggett	1	Attn: Prof. George Schmidt	1
Dr. Jin Joong Kim	1	Physics Department	
P. O. Box 5342		Hoboken, NJ 07030	
Raleigh, NC 27650		Dartmouth College	
Massachusetts Institute of		Attn: Dr. John E. Walsh	1
Technology		Department of Physics	
Attn: Prof. George Bekefi	1	Hanover, NH 03755	
Dr. K. J. Button	1	Defense Technical Information Center	
Prof. R. Davidson	1	Cameron Station	
Dr. R. Temkin	1	Alexandria, VA 22314	12
77 Massachusetts Avenue			
Cambridge, MA 02139			

MED
8