AD-A111 710   EDUCATIONAL TESTING SERVICE PRINCETON NJ   F/G 12/1
              MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF ITEM RESPONSE PARAMETERS WHEN --ETC(U)
              JAN 82   F M LORD   N00014-80-C-0402
UNCLASSIFIED ETS-RR-82-5-ONR   NL

1 OF 1
AD A
111 710

END
DATE
FILMED
04-82
DTIC

1.0

1.1

1.25 1.4 1.6

2.8 2.5

2.2

2.0

1.8

ADA111710

(12)

RR-82-5-ONR

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF
ITEM RESPONSE PARAMETERS WHEN
SOME RESPONSES ARE OMITTED

Frederic M. Lord

MAR 5 1982

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF

ITEM RESPONSE PARAMETERS WHEN

SOME RESPONSES ARE OMITTED

Frederic M. Lord

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1 REPORT NUMBER	2 GOVT ACCESSION NO	3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	AD·A111710	

4 TITLE (and Subtitle)	5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Item Response Parameters When Some Responses Are Omitted	Technical Report
	6 PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
	RR-82-5-ONR

7 AUTHOR(s)	8 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
Frederic M. Lord	N00014-80-C-0402

9 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10 PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541	NR 150-453

11 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12 REPORT DATE
Personnel and Training Research Programs Office of Naval Research (Code 458)	January 1982
	13 NUMBER OF PAGES
	14

14 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)	15 SECURITY CLASS (of this report)
	Unclassified
	15a DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19 KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Item Response Theory   Omitted Responses
Guessing
Formula Scoring
Item Response Function

20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Two theoretical approaches are considered for dealing with omitted responses. A simple modification of the usual item response theory model leads to internal contradictions. An alternative model is suggested and some special cases are investigated.

Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Item Response Parameters

When Some Responses Are Omitted

Abstract

Two theoretical approaches are considered for dealing with omitted

responses. A simple modification of the usual item response theory model

leads to internal contradictions. An alternative model is suggested and

some special cases are investigated.

Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Item Response Parameters

When Some Responses Are Omitted*

In item response theory, the frequency distribution of the responses
of a single examinee to n dichotomous items is commonly given as

$$f(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i^{u_i} Q_i^{1-u_i} \tag{1}$$

where $Q_i \equiv 1 - P_i$, P_i is the probability of a correct response by
the examinee to item i , and where $u_i = 0$ or 1 denotes his score on
the item. When the examinee omits (fails to respond to) an item, this
formula cannot be used. The purpose of this article is to explore two
theoretical approaches that attempt to cope with omitted responses.

Section 1 presents some preliminary considerations. Section 2
shows that a conveniently simple application of (1) leads to internal
contradictions. Section 3 considers a possible rigorous mathematical
model. Sections 4 and 5 show that this model yields very reasonable
results in two special cases that are sufficiently simple to be already
familiar to us.

Two conclusions are reached.

1) A simple modification of the usual item response theory model
(1) does not apply when the examinee has the option of omitting or
responding at random.

_____

2) A model containing five item parameters and two examinee parameters seems reasonable. Even if some of these parameters should prove difficult to estimate accurately in practical work, the model should be useful for clear thinking about omitted responses.

1. Preliminary Considerations

If test score is the number of right answers, an examinee who omits responses on a multiple-choice test necessarily lowers his expected test score. Mathematical modeling of such (usually irrational) behavior will not be attempted here.

We will deal instead with the case where the 'formula score' $x - W/(A - 1)$ is to be used, x being the number of right answers, W the number of wrong answers, and A the number of choices per item. In this case, the examinee who wishes to maximize his expected score should not omit any items on which his chance of success is greater than $1/A$. If he can do no better than a random guess on an item, his chance of success equals $1/A$ and his expected test score will be the same whether he omits the item or guesses at random. He may omit or guess at random, as he pleases. If an examinee is forced to respond to an item that he has omitted, his chance of success is assumed to be $1/A$.

Note that P_i in (1) represents what the statistician knows about item i before it is administered to a given examinee. After the examinee has read the item, he may know the correct answer with more or less certainty, he may be misinformed on the item and thus

answer incorrectly, or he may guess at random with chance of success

$1/A$. One should not conclude in such cases that P_i is 1, or that it

is 0, or that it is $1/A$.

2. The Case of Equivalent Items

Items are called equivalent when they have identical $P_i \equiv P_i(\theta) \equiv$

$\text{Prob}(u_i = 1|\theta) \equiv P$ at all ability levels θ . We will consider the

case where both the mathematical form of P_i and the numerical values

of the (item) parameters defining P_i are known.

When P_i in (1) is replaced by P , the frequency distribution

of the u_i becomes

$$f(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = P^{\Sigma u_i} Q^{n-\Sigma u_i} \quad . \tag{2}$$

Since $x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i$ is the number-right score, we see that when x is

given, the distribution of u_i in (2) does not depend on θ .

Thus (as is well known) when all items are equivalent, x is a

sufficient statistic for estimating θ . Any inference about θ

should depend only on x The frequency distribution of x is the

familiar binomial

$$f(x) = \binom{n}{x} P^x Q^{n-x} \qquad (x = 0,1,\ldots,n) \quad . \tag{3}$$

Suppose now that a given examinee will either omit a certain item or else choose his response at random. According to the model, if he chooses his response at random, his number-right score x will be distributed as in (2).

Suppose, on the other hand, he decides to omit this one item (and r others). Denote his number-right score on the remaining n - 1 items by k (k = 0,...,n - 1). Let g(k) denote the frequency distribution of k for this examinee. We hope to find the mathematical form of g(k) so that we can use it to get a maximum likelihood estimator of c .

Since his probability of success on the omitted items is C ≡ 1/A , there is a mathematical relation between f( ) and g( ) :

$$
\left.
\begin{aligned}
f(0) &= (1 - C)g(0) \quad, \\
f(k) &= Cg(k - 1) + (1 - C)g(k) \quad (k = 1,2,...,n - 1) \quad, \\
f(n) &= Cg(n - 1) \quad.
\end{aligned}
\right\} \quad (4)
$$

If the f( ) are considered as known, the g( ) as unknowns to be determined, we have here n + 1 linear equations in n unknowns. Written in matrix form, (4) becomes

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1-C & & & & & \\
C & 1-C & & & & \\
 & C & 1-C & & & \\
 & & & \cdots & & \\
 & & & & C & 1-C \\
 & & & & & C
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
g_0 \\
g_1 \\
g_2 \\
\vdots \\
g_{n-1}
\end{bmatrix}
=
\begin{bmatrix}
f_0 \\
f_1 \\
f_2 \\
\vdots \\
f_{n-1} \\
f_n
\end{bmatrix}
. \quad (5)
$$

It seems reasonable that $g(k)$ determined from (4) or (5) provides the likelihood function from which the examinee's θ may be estimated by maximum likelihood.

A standard investigation (for example, Aitken, 1944, Section 30) shows that these $n + 1$ linear equations have no solution (are mutually inconsistent) unless $P = C$. Thus, excluding the uninteresting case where $P = C$, when $f(\ )$ is given by (3) no possible frequency distribution $g(k)$ (for the examinee's responses to non-omitted items) can exist.

Model (1) can be applied by replacing omitted responses by random responses. This introduces additional error into the data, however. If omits are not replaced by random responses, the usual item response function model (1) cannot fit the data. We have only proved this for tests composed of equivalent items, but the conclusion is presumably general, especially since any test may contain a few items that are statistically equivalent.

3. A Model for Response or Omission

The discussion in Section 1 suggests the following model. Let $R_i(\theta_a)$ denote the probability that examinee a, at ability level θ_a, feels no preference for any of the available responses to item i: if he responds to item i, he will respond effectively at random. For brevity, we will say that $R_i(\theta_a)$ is the probability of (total) <u>ignorance</u> on item i. It may be thought of as the proportion of examinees at ability level θ_a who are ignorant on item i.

Let $P_i(\theta_a)$ denote the conditional probability that examinee a will respond correctly to item i given that he is not ignorant. Thus

$$P_i(\theta_a) \equiv \text{Prob}(u_{ia} = 1 | \text{not ignorant}) \quad .$$

If the examinee omits item i, we will denote this by $w_{ia} = 1$; if he responds to item i, $w_{ia} = 0$. Let ω_a denote the probability that examinee a will omit an item of which he is ignorant:

$$\omega_a \equiv \text{Prob}(w_{ia} = 1 | \text{ignorance}) \quad .$$

Since we are concerned with a single examinee, we will drop the subscript a. As before, let $C \equiv 1/A$ denote the probability of success by random guessing:

$$C = \text{Prob}(u_i = 1 | w_i = 0, \text{ignorance}) \quad .$$

Let a bar above a symbol denote its complement, for example, $\bar{P}_i \equiv 1 - P_i$.

There are three exhaustive and mutually exclusive events, denoted by $(w_i = 1)$, $(w_i = 0, u_i = 1)$, and $(w_i = 0, u_i = 0)$. The unconditional probability of omitting is clearly

$$\text{Prob}(w_i = 1) = \omega R_i \quad . \tag{6}$$

A correct answer occurs with probability ωC when the examinee is ignorant and with probability P_i when he is not ignorant, so

$$\text{Prob}(u_i = 1, w_i = 0) = R_i \omega C + \bar{R}_i P_i \quad . \tag{7}$$

Similarly,

$$\text{Prob}(u_i = 0, w_i = 0) = R_i \omega \bar{C} + \bar{R}_i \bar{P}_i \quad . \tag{8}$$

The right sides of (6), (7), and (8) sum to 1, as they should.

The joint distribution of w_i and u_i ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$) may be written

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (R_i \omega)^{w_i} (P_i \bar{R}_i + C\omega R_i)^{u_i \bar{w}_i} (\bar{P}_i \bar{R}_i + \bar{C}\omega R_i)^{\bar{u}_i \bar{w}_i} \tag{9}$$

for the permissible values of (w_i, u_i). The log likelihood is then

$$\log L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [w_i (\log R_i + \log \omega) + u_i \bar{w}_i \log(P_i \bar{R}_i + C\omega R_i)$$

$$+ \bar{u}_i \bar{w}_i \log(\bar{P}_i \bar{R}_i + \bar{C}\omega R_i)] \quad . \tag{10}$$

Equations for maximum likelihood estimation can be written down from (10).

The following is suggested as a possible, plausible implementation of this model:

1. The parameter ∴ varies across examinees but not across items.

2. $P_i \equiv P_i(\cdot)$ is a three-parameter logistic or normal ogive function of ∴ with positive slope.

3. $R_i \equiv R_i(\cdot)$ has the same general mathematical form as $P_i(\cdot)$ but different parameters; in particular, the slope is negative, the lower asymptote is zero.

The foregoing will be assumed in the further discussion of this model.

There are five item parameters in the plausible implementation (three for P, two for R) and two examinee parameters (∴ and ∴). It may not always be practical to estimate this many item parameters. In any case, the model is an aid to clear thinking about the proper handling of omitted responses.

Some special cases of the model are considered in the remaining sections. The purpose is to gain further insight into the implications of the model. These special cases are not recommended for practical use.

4. Special Case: No Omitting

When $\omega = 0$, we must have $w_i = 0$, and (9) becomes

$$f(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{u_i}(1 - p_i)^{1-u_i} \tag{11}$$

where

$$p_i \equiv P_i \bar{R}_i + CR_i \quad . \tag{12}$$

Since (11) has the same form as (1), we have here the usual item response theory model for dichotomous items, omits being barred, except that now the item response function has the special form given by (12).

This item response function $p_i(\cdot)$ need not be a monotonic increasing function of θ . The lower asymptote of $p_i(\cdot)$ is C ; if c_i , the lower asymptote of $P_i(\cdot)$, is less than C , the probability of success may decrease at first as θ increases, before finally increasing to the upper asymptote at 1. This is a desirable feature: Examinees with sufficiently low θ can only guess randomly, examinees with higher θ may be misinformed and may do less well than a random guess. Model (11) - (12) was suggested by Samejima (1979).

5. Special Case: Equivalent Items, Knowledge or Random Guessing

Under the knowledge-or-random-guessing assumption, the examinee either knows the answer to a particular item or guesses at random or omits it. For purposes of the present model, the assumption is represented by the case where $P_i(\cdot) = 1$ for all θ .

When the test is composed of equivalent items, the subscript i can be dropped from functions of parameters. Denote the number of omitted items by $w \equiv \sum_i w_i$, the number of wrong answers by $W \equiv \sum_i \bar{u}_i \bar{w}_i$.

The log likelihood (10) is now

$$\log L = w \log R + w \log \omega + x \log(\bar{R} + C\bar{\omega}R)$$

$$+ W(\log \bar{C} + \log \bar{\omega} + \log R) \quad . \tag{13}$$

If we differentiate this with respect to ω and set the result equal to zero, we obtain the likelihood equation

$$\frac{w}{\hat{\omega}} - \frac{CxR}{\hat{\bar{R}} + C\hat{\bar{\omega}}R} - \frac{W}{\hat{\omega}} = 0 \quad . \tag{14}$$

where $\hat{\omega}$ and $\hat{R}$ denote maximum likelihood estimators.

The likelihood equation for θ is seen to be

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} \equiv \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad .$$

or simply $\partial \log L/\partial R = 0$. It is convenient for some purposes to think of R itself as the ability parameter, since $R \equiv R(\theta)$ is a one-to-one monotonic transformation of the parameter θ .

The remaining likelihood equation is thus seen to be

$$\frac{w}{\hat{R}} + \frac{x(-1 + C\hat{\omega})}{\hat{\bar{R}} + C\hat{\omega}\hat{R}} + \frac{W}{\hat{R}} = 0 \quad . \tag{15}$$

The maximum likelihood estimators of ω and of θ (or R) are the roots of (14) and (15).

Rewrite (14) and (15):

$$\frac{w}{\omega} - \frac{W}{\hat{\omega}} = \frac{Cx}{C\bar{\hat{\omega}} + \hat{R}/\hat{R}} \quad , \tag{16}$$

$$w + W = \frac{x(1 - C\bar{\hat{\omega}})}{C\bar{\hat{\omega}} + \hat{R}/\hat{R}} \quad . \tag{17}$$

Eliminating $\dot{R}$ from these two equations, we have

$$w + W = \left(\frac{w}{\hat{\omega}} - \frac{W}{\hat{\omega}} \right)\left(\frac{1}{C} - \bar{\hat{\omega}} \right) \quad .$$

Clearing fractions, we find the maximum likelihood estimator

$$\hat{\omega} = \frac{w}{w + W/(1 - C)} \quad . \tag{18}$$

Solve (17) to obtain

$$\frac{\hat{R}}{\hat{R}} = \frac{x(1 - C\bar{\hat{\omega}})}{w + W} - C\bar{\hat{\omega}} \quad ,$$

$$\frac{1}{\hat{R}} = \frac{n}{n - x} (1 - C\bar{\hat{\omega}}) \quad .$$

Using (18),

$$\hat{R} = \frac{1}{n} \left(w + \frac{W}{1 - C} \right) \quad . \tag{19}$$

From (18) and (19),

$$\hat{\omega} = \frac{w}{n\hat{R}} \quad , \tag{20}$$

a very reasonable result. It says that the estimated proportion of omits equals the actual number of omits divided by the estimated number of items on which the examinee is totally ignorant. Similarly, from (19),

$$n\hat{R} = x - \frac{W}{A - 1} \quad , \tag{21}$$

where $A \equiv 1/C$. This shows that the estimated number of items known by the examinee is given by the usual 'correction for guessing' formula.

References

Aitken, A. C. Determinants and matrices (3rd ed.). New York: Interscience Publishers, 1944.

Samejima, F. A new family of models for the multiple-choice item (Research Report 79-4). Knoxville, Tenn.: Department of Psychology, University of Tennessee, 1979.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Navy

1 Dr. Ed Aiken
   Navy Personnel R & D Center
   San Diego, CA 92152

1 Dr. Meryl S. Baker
   Navy Personnel R & D Center
   San Diego, CA 92152

1 Dr. Jack R. Borsting
   Provost and Academic Dean
   U.S. Naval Postgraduate School
   Monterey, CA 93940

1 Chief of Naval Education and
      Training Liason Office
   Air Force Human Resource Laboratory
   Flying Training Division
   Williams Air Force Base, AZ 85224

1 CDR Mike Curran
   Office of Naval Research
   800 North Quincy Street
   Code 270
   Arlington, VA 22217

1 Dr. Pat Federico
   Navy Personnel R & D Center
   San Diego, CA 92152

1 Mr. Paul Foley
   Navy Personnel R & D Center
   San Diego, CA 92152

1 Dr. John Ford
   Navy Personnel R & D Center
   San Diego, CA 92152

1 Dr. Patrick R. Harrison
   Psychology Course Director
   Leadership and Law Department (7h)
   Division of Professional Development
   U.S. Naval Academy
   Annapolis, MD 21402

1 Dr. Norman J. Kerr
   Chief of Naval Technical Training
   Naval Air Station Memphis (75)
   Millington, TN 38054

1 Dr. William L. Maloy
   Principal Civilian Advisor for
      Education and Training
   Naval Training Command, Code 00A
   Pensacola, FL 32508

1 CAPT Richard L. Martin, USN
   Prospective Commanding Officer
   USS Carl Vinson (CNV-70)
   Newport News Shipbuilding and
      Drydock Co.
   Newport News, VA 23607

1 Dr. James McBride
   Navy Personnel R & D Center
   San Diego, CA 92152

1 Mr. William Nordbrock
   Instructional Program Development
   Building 90
   NET-PDCD
   Great Lakes NTC, IL 60088

1 Library, Code P201L
   Navy Personnel R & D Center
   San Diego, CA 92152

6 Commanding Officer
Naval Research Laboratory
Code 2627
Washington, DC 20390

1 Psychologist
ONR Branch Office
Building 114, Section D
666 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02210

1 Office of Naval Research
Code 437
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

5 Personnel and Training Research
Programs
Code 458
Office of Naval Research
Arlington, VA 22217

1 Psychologist
ONR Branch Office
536 S. Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60605

1 Psychologist
ONR Branch Office
1030 East Green Street
Pasadena, CA 91101

1 Office of the Chief of Naval Operations
Research Development and Studies Branch
OP-115
Washington, DC 20350

1 The Principal Deputy Assistant
Secretary of the Navy (MRA&L)
4E780, The Pentagon
Washington, DC 22203

1 Director, Research and
Analysis Division
Plans and Policy Department
Navy Recruiting Command
4015 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22203

1 Mr. Arnold Rubenstein
Office of Naval Technology
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

1 Dr. Worth Scanland, Director
Research, Development, Test
and Evaluation
N-5
Naval Education and Training Command
NAS
Pensacola, FL 32508

1 Dr. Robert G. Smith
Office of Chief of Naval Operations
OP-987H
Washington, DC 20350

1 Dr. Alfred F. Smode
Training Analysis and Evaluation Group
Department of the Navy
Orlando, FL 32813

1 Dr. Richard Sorensen
Navy Personnel R & D Center
San Diego, CA 92152

1 Mr. J. B. Sympson
Naval Personnel R & D Center
San Diego, CA 92152

1 Dr. Ronald Weitzman
Code 54 WZ
Department of Administrative Services
U.S. Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940

1   Dr. Robert Wisher
    Code 309
    Navy Personnel R & D Center
    San Diego, CA 92152

1   Dr. Martin F. Wiskoff
    Navy Personnel R & D Center
    San Diego, CA 92152

1   Mr. John H. Wolfe
    Code P310
    U.S. Navy Personnel Research
      and Development Center
    San Diego, CA 92152

1   Mr. Ted M. I. Yellen
    Technical Information Office
    Code 201
    Navy Personnel R & D Center
    San Diego, CA 92152

    Army

1   Technical Director
    U.S. Army Research Institute for the
      Behavioral and Social Sciences
    5001 Eisenhower Avenue
    Alexandria, VA 22333

1   Dr. Myron Fischl
    U.S. Army Research Institute for the
      Social and Behavioral Sciences
    5001 Eisenhower Avenue
    Alexandria, VA 22333

1   Dr. Michael Kaplan
    U.S. Army Research Institute
    5001 Eisenhower Avenue
    Alexandria, VA 22333

1   Dr. Milton S. Katz
    Training Technical Area
    U.S. Army Research Institute
    5001 Eisenhower Avenue
    Alexandria, VA 22333

1   Dr. Harold F. O'Neil, Jr.
    Attn: PERI-OK
    Army Research Institute
    5001 Eisenhower Avenue
    Alexandria, VA 22333

1   LTC Michael Plummer
    Chief, Leadership and Organizational
      Effectiveness Division
    Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff
      for Personnel
    Department of the Army
    The Pentagon
    Washington, DC 20301

1   Dr. James L. Raney
    U.S. Army Research Institute
    5001 Eisenhower Avenue
    Alexandria, VA 22333

1   Mr. Robert Ross
    U.S. Army Research Institute for
      the Social and Behavioral Sciences
    5001 Eisenhower Avenue
    Alexandria, VA 22333

1   Dr. Robert Sasmor
    U.S. Army Research Institute for
      the Social and Behavioral Sciences
    5001 Eisenhower Avenue
    Alexandria, VA 22333

1   Commandant
    U.S. Army Institute of Administration
    Attn: Dr. Sherrill
    Ft. Benjamin Harrison, IN 46256

1   Dr. Joseph Ward
    U.S. Army Research Institute
    5001 Eisenhower Avenue
    Alexandria, VA 22333

Air Force

1 Air Force Human Resources Laboratory
  AFHRL/MPD
  Brooks Air Force Base, TX   78235

1 U.S. Air Force Office of
    Scientific Research
  Life Sciences Directorate
  Bolling Air Force Base
  Washington, DC   20332

1 Dr. Earl A. Alluisi
  HQ, AFHRL (AFSC)
  Brooks Air Force Base, TX   78235

1 Dr. Genevieve Haddad
  Program Manager
  Life Sciences Directorate
  AFOSR
  Bolling Air Force Base
  Washington, DC   20332

1 Dr. David R. Hunter
  AFHRL/MOAM
  Brooks Air Force Base, TX   78235

1 Research and Measurement Division
  Research Branch, AFMPC/MPCYPR
  Randolph Air Force Base, TX   78148

1 Dr. Malcolm Ree
  AFHRL/MP
  Brooks Air Force Base, TX   78235

Marines

1 Dr. H. William Greenup
  Education Advisor (E031)
  Education Center, MCDEC
  Quantico, VA   22134

1 Director, Office of Manpower
    Utilization
  HQ, Marine Corps (MPU)
  BCB, Building 2009
  Quantico, VA   22134

1 Special Assistant for Marine
    Corps Matters
  Code 100M
  Office of Naval Research
  800 N. Quincy Street
  Arlington, VA   22217

1 MAJ Michael L. Patrow, USMC
  Headquarters, Marine Corps
  Code MPI-20
  Washington, DC   20380

1 Dr. A. L. Slafkosky
  Scientific Advisor
  Code RD-1
  HQ, U.S. Marine Corps
  Washington, DC   20380

Coast Guard

1 Chief, Psychological Research Branch
  U.S. Coast Guard (G-P-1/2/TP42)
  Washington, DC   20593

1 Mr. Thomas A. Warm
  U.S. Coast Guard Institute
  P.O. Substation 18
  Oklahoma City, OK   73169

Other DoD

1 DARPA
  1400 Wilson Boulevard
  Arlington, VA   22209

12 Defense Technical Information Center
   Cameron Station, Building 5
   Attn: TC
   Alexandria, VA 22314

1 Dr. William Graham
   Testing Directorate
   MEPCOM/MEPCT-P
   Ft. Sheridan, IL 60037

1 Director, Research and Data
   OASD (MRA&L)
   3B919, The Pentagon
   Washington, DC 20301

1 Military Assistant for Training
   and Personnel Technology
   Office of the Under Secretary of
   Defense for Research and Engineering
   Room 3D129, The Pentagon
   Washington, DC 20301

1 Dr. Wayne Sellman
   Office of the Assistant Secretary
   of Defense (MRA&L)
   2B269 The Pentagon
   Washington, DC 20301

Civil Government

1 Mr. Richard McKillip
   Personnel R & D Center
   Office of Personnel Management
   1900 E Street, NW
   Washington, DC 20415

1 Dr. Andrew R. Molnar
   Science Education Development
   and Research
   National Science Foundation
   Washington, DC 20550

1 Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko
   Program Director
   Manpower Research and
   Advisory Services
   Smithsonian Institution
   801 North Pitt Street
   Alexandria, VA 22314

1 Dr. Vern W. Urry
   Personnel R & D Center
   Office of Personnel Management
   1900 E Street, NW
   Washington, DC 20415

1 Dr. Joseph L. Young, Director
   Memory and Cognitive Processes
   National Science Foundation
   Washington, DC 20550

Non-Government

1 Dr. James Algina
   University of Florida
   Gainesville, FL 32611

1 Dr. Erling B. Andersen
   Department of Statistics
   Studiestraede 6
   1455 Copenhagen
   DENMARK

1 Psychological Research Unit
   Department of Defense (Army Office)
   Campbell Park Offices
   Canberra, ACT 2600
   AUSTRALIA

1 Dr. Isaac Bejar
   Educational Testing Service
   Princeton, NJ 08541

1 CAPT J. Jean Belanger
Training Development Division
Canadian Forces Training System
CFTSHQ, CFB Trenton
Astra, Ontario KOK 1B0
CANADA

1 Dr. Menucha Birenbaum
School of Education
Tel Aviv University
Tel Aviv, Ramat Aviv 69978
ISRAEL

1 Dr. Werner Birke
DezWPs im Streitkraefteamt
Postfach 20 50 3
D-5300 Bonn 2
WEST GERMANY

1 Dr. R. Darrell Bock
Department of Education
University of Chicago
Chicago, IL 60637

1 Liaison Scientists
Office of Naval Research
Branch Office, London
Box 39
FPO, NY 09510

1 Dr. Robert Brennan
American College Testing Programs
P.O. Box 168
Iowa City, IA 52240

1 Dr. C. Victor Bunderson
WICAT Inc.
University Plaza, Suite 10
1160 S. State Street
Orem, UT 84057

1 Dr. John B. Carroll
Psychometric Laboratory
University of North Carolina
Davie Hall 013A
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

1 Charles Myers Library
Livingstone House
Livingstone Road
Stratford
London E15 2LJ
ENGLAND

1 Dr. Kenneth E. Clark
College of Arts and Sciences
University of Rochester
River Compus Station
Rochester, NY 14627

1 Dr. Norman Cliff
Department of Psychology
University of Southern California
University Park
Los Angeles, CA 90007

1 Dr. William E. Coffman
Director, Iowa Testing Programs
334 Lindquist Center
University of Iowa
Iowa City, IA 52242

1 Dr. Meredith P. Crawford
American Psychological Association
1200 17th Street, N
Washington, DC 20036

1 Dr. Fritz Drasgow
Yale School of Organization and
 Management
Yale University
Box 1A
New Haven, CT 06520

1 Dr. Mike Durmeyer
Instructional Program Development
Building 90
NET-PDCD
Great Lakes NTC, IL 60088

1 ERIC Facility-Acquisitions
4833 Rugby Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20014

1 Dr. A. J. Eschenbrenner
Dept. E422, Bldg. 81
McDonald Douglas Astronautics Co.
P.O. Box 516
St. Louis, MO 63166

1 Dr. Benjamin A. Fairbank, Jr.
McFann-Gray and Associates, Inc.
5825 Callaghan
Suite 225
San Antonio, TX 78228

1 Dr. Leonard Feldt
Lindquist Center for Measurement
University of Iowa
Iowa City, IA 52242

1 Dr. Richard L. Ferguson
The American College Testing Program
P.O. Box 168
Iowa City, IA 52240

1 Dr. Victor Fields
Department of Psychology
Montgomery College
Rockville, MD 20850

1 Univ. Prof. Dr. Gerhard Fischer
Psychologisches Institut der
   Universitat Wien
Liebiggasse 5/3
A 1010 Wien
AUSTRIA

1 Prof. Donald Fitzgerald
University of New England
Armidale, New South Wales 2351
AUSTRALIA

1 Dr. Edwin A. Fleishman
Advanced Research Resources Organization
Suite 900
4330 East West Highway
Washington, DC 20014

1 Dr. John R. Frederiksen
Bolt, Beranek, and Newman
50 Moulton Street
Cambridge, MA 02138

1 Dr. Robert Glaser
LRDC
University of Pittsburgh
3939 O'Hara Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

1 Dr. Daniel Gopher
Industrial and Management Engineering
Technion-Israel Institute of
   Technology
Haifa
ISRAEL

1 Dr. Bert Green
Department of Psychology
Johns Hopkins University
Charles and 34th Streets
Baltimore, MD 21218

1 Dr. Ron Hambleton
School of Education
University of Massachusetts
Amherst, MA 01002

1 Dr. Delwyn Harnisch
University of Illinois
242b Education
Urbana, IL 61801

1 Dr. Chester Harris
School of Education
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

1 Dr. Lloyd Humphreys
Department of Psychology
University of Illinois
Champaign, IL 61820

1 Library
HumRRO/Western Division
27857 Berwick Drive
Carmel, CA 93921

1 Dr. Steven Hunka
Department of Education
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta
CANADA

1 Dr. Jack Hunter
2122 Coolidge Street
Lansing, MI 48906

1 Dr. Huynh Huynh
College of Education
University of South Carolina
Columbia, SC 29208

1 Prof. John A. Keats
Department of Psychology
University of Newcastle
Newcastle, New South Wales 2308
AUSTRALIA

1 Mr. Jeff Kelety
Department of Instructional Technology
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007

1 Dr. Michael Levine
Department of Educational Psychology
210 Education Building
University of Illinois
Champaign, IL 61801

1 Dr. Charles Lewis
Faculteit Sociale Wetenschappen
Rijksuniversiteit Groningen
Oude Boteringestraat 23
9712GC Groningen
NETHERLANDS

1 Dr. Robert Linn
College of Education
University of Illinois
Urbana, IL 61801

1 Dr. James Lumsden
Department of Psychology
University of Western Australia
Nedlands, Western Australia 6009
AUSTRALIA

1 Dr. Gary Marco
Educational Testing Service
Princeton, NJ 08541

1 Dr. Scott Maxwell
Department of Psychology
University of Houston
Houston, TX 77004

1 Dr. Samuel T. Mayo
Loyola University of Chicago
820 North Michigan Avenue
Chicago, IL 60611

1 Prof. Jason Millman
Department of Education
Stone Hall
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853

1 Dr. Melvin R. Novick
356 Lindquist Center for Measurement
University of Iowa
Iowa City, IA 52242

1 Dr. Jesse Orlansky
Institute for Defense Analyses
400 Army Navy Drive
Arlington, VA 22202

1 Dr. Wayne M. Patience
American Council on Education
GED Testing Service, Suite 20
One Dupont Circle, NW
Washington, DC 20036

1 Dr. James A. Paulson
   Portland State University
   P.O. Box 751
   Portland, OR 97207

1 Mr. Luigi Petrullo
   2431 North Edgewood Street
   Arlington, VA 22207

1 Dr. Diane M. Ramsey-Klee
   R-K Research and System Design
   3947 Ridgemont Drive
   Malibu, CA 90265

1 Mr. Minrat M. L. Rauch
   P II 4
   Bundesministerium der Verteidigung
   Postfach 1328
   D-53 Bonn 1
   GERMANY

1 Dr. Mark D. Reckase
   Educational Psychology Department
   University of Missouri-Columbia
   4 Hill Hall
   Columbia, MO 65211

1 Dr. Andrew Rose
   American Institutes for Research
   1055 Thomas Jefferson St., NW
   Washington, DC 20007

1 Dr. Leonard L. Rosenbaum, Chairman
   Department of Psychology
   Montgomery College
   Rockville, MD 20850

1 Dr. Ernst Z. Rothkopf
   Bell Laboratories
   600 Mountain Avenue
   Murray Hill, NJ 07974

1 Dr. Lawrence Rudner
   403 Elm Avenue
   Takoma Park, MD 20012

1 Dr. J. Ryan
   Department of Education
   University of South Carolina
   Columbia, SC 29208

1 Prof. Fumiko Samejima
   Department of Psychology
   University of Tennessee
   Knoxville, TN 37916

1 Dr. Kazuo Shigemasu
   University of Tohoku
   Department of Educational Psychology
   Kawauchi, Sendai 980
   JAPAN

1 Dr. Edwin Shirkey
   Department of Psychology
   University of Central Florida
   Orlando, FL 32816

1 Dr. Robert Smith
   Department of Computer Science
   Rutgers University
   New Brunswick, NJ 08903

1 Dr. Richard Snow
   School of Education
   Stanford University
   Stanford, CA 94305

1 Dr. Robert Sternberg
   Department of Psychology
   Yale University
   Box 11A, Yale Station
   New Haven, CT 06520

1 Dr. Patrick Suppes
   Institute for Mathematical Studies in
      the Social Sciences
   Stanford University
   Stanford, CA 94305

1   Dr. Hariharan Swaminathan
    Laboratory of Psychometric and
    Evaluation Research
    School of Education
    University of Massacuusetts
    Amherst, MA   01003

1   Dr. Kikumi Tatsuoka
    Computer Based Education Research
      Laboratory
    252 Engineering Research Laboratory
    University of Illinois
    Urbana, IL   61801

1   Dr. David Thissen
    Department of Psychology
    University of Kansas
    Lawrence, KS   66044

1   Dr. Robert Tsutakawa
    Department of Statistics
    University of Missouri
    Columbia, MO   65201

1   Dr. Howard Wainer
    Educational Testing Service
    Princeton, NJ   08541

1   Dr. David J. Weiss
    N660 Elliott Hall
    University of Minnesota
    75 East River Road
    Minneapolis, MN   55455

1   Dr. Susan E. Whitely
    Psychology Department
    University of Kansas
    Lawrence, KS   66044

1   Dr. Wolfgang Wildgrube
    Streitkraefteamt
    Box 20 50 03
    D-5300 Bonn 2
    WEST GERMANY

04-