

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

12

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER <b>17</b>	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Structural and Electronic Relationships Between Conducting Iron Niobates and Iron Tungstates.	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical	
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER <b>17</b>	
7. AUTHOR(s) H. Leiva, K. Sieber, B. Khazai, K. Dwight and A. Wold	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-77-C-0387	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Professor Aaron Wold Brown University, Department of Chemistry Providence, R. I. 02912	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR-359-653	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Dr. David Nelson, Code 472 Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217	12. REPORT DATE February 24, 1982	
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 25	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  
Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

**DTIC ELECTE**  
**S MAR 10 1982 D**

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)  
**B**

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  
Submitted to the Journal of Solid State Chemistry

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  
Conducting Ternary Iron Oxides      Tri- $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub>  
Structural Relationships              Tri-rutile  
Wolframites

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  
Members of the system Fe-Nb-O and Fe-W-O have been compared, and their electronic properties have been correlated with the structural parameters. The compounds crystallize as ordered variants of the basic  $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub> structure, and the nature of the ordering of the [FeO<sub>6</sub>] and [NbO<sub>6</sub>] or [WO<sub>6</sub>] octahedra determines the conductivity which may be observed for these phases.

AD A111881

DTIC FILE COPY

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-77-C-0387

Task No. NR-359-653

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 17

Structural and Electronic Relationships Between  
Conducting Iron Niobates and Iron Tungstates

by

H. Leiva, K. Sieber, B. Khazai, K. Dwight, and A. Wold

Department of Chemistry

Brown University

Providence, Rhode Island 02912

Prepared for Publication

in the

Journal of Solid State Chemistry

February 24, 1982

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for  
any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release  
and sale; its distribution is unlimited

82 08

## INTRODUCTION

The use of  $\alpha$  iron(III) oxide as a potential photoanode for photoinduced electrolysis of water has been reported (1-5). The interest in this compound has been generated by its relatively narrow band gap ( $\sim 2.2$  eV) and its stability in aqueous solutions. It was found (6) that pure  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has a high resistivity ( $>10^6 \Omega\text{cm}$ ) and shows no detectable photocurrent. Although the phase boundary between  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is sharp (7),  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> can be made conducting by the introduction of small amounts of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> on exposure to a reducing atmosphere. The spinel, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, contains both Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> on octahedral sites and conduction occurs via electron transfer from Fe<sup>2+</sup> to Fe<sup>3+</sup>. Iron(III) oxide itself crystallizes with the corundum structure which contains only trivalent iron and, in addition, cannot tolerate deviations from a metal to oxygen ratio of 2:3. Another difficulty which presents itself in the use of iron(III) oxide as a photoanode is the large positive flat band potential reported by Kung (5). Despite a reasonably favorable band gap for  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the value of 0.7V for  $V_{fb}$  (measured against H<sub>2</sub> at pH = 13.3) means that the actual efficiency of the electrode is low. The practical significance of  $V_{fb}$  is that this is numerically equal to the minimum applied voltage required to decompose water. It has also been shown by Kung (5) that the use of oxide photoanodes having small band gaps, so as to utilize a large portion of the solar spectrum, requires so large an applied voltage. In order to develop a potentially useful electrode containing iron, it is therefore necessary to obtain stable compounds having both Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> on equivalent sites, as well as to alter the composition sufficiently so as to maintain a relatively small band gap and a reduced applied voltage.

A number of potentially interesting iron compounds which crystallize with the rutile, wolframite, columbite, or tri- $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  structures can be synthesized. These structure-types can accommodate varying amounts of both Fe(II) and Fe(III). In the following sections, each structure will be discussed, and the photoelectronic properties of a number of iron-containing compounds will be related to certain structural features.

Accession For	
THIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ERIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Announced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
<i>PER CALL JC</i>	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist Special	
<i>A</i>	



## THE PROPERTIES OF IRON(III) NIOBATE AND IRON(II) TUNGSTATE

The compound iron (III) niobate,  $\text{FeNbO}_4$ , crystallizes below  $1085^\circ\text{C}$  with the monoclinic wolframite structure (space group  $P2/C - C_{2h}^4$ ). Roth (8) and Laves (9) have shown that between  $1085^\circ\text{C}$  and  $1380^\circ\text{C}$ , a transition to orthorhombic  $\alpha\text{-PbO}_2$  (space group  $\text{Pbcn} - D_{2h}^{14}$ ) can occur which will further transform to the tetragonal rutile structure (space group  $P4_2/mnm - D_{2h}^{14}$ ) above  $1380^\circ\text{C}$  and approximately  $100^\circ$  below the melting point.

The structural relationship of these phases can be discussed in terms of the variation in the linking of  $[\text{MO}_6]$  octahedra and the nature of the cation distribution within the octahedra. In the most symmetrical form of rutile, the  $\text{FeNbO}_4$  structure consists of a hexagonal close-packed arrangement of the anions in which the metal atoms, Fe or Nb, are in octahedral coordination.

In the rutile structure, shown in Figure 1, it can be seen that each octahedron shares a pair of opposite edges as well as further linking by sharing of vertices, in such a way that straight chains are formed along the  $c$  direction. It is a structure of 6:3 coordination where every metal atom is surrounded by six oxygen atoms approximately at the corners of a regular octahedron, and every oxygen atom by three titanium atoms approximately at the corners of an equilateral triangle. As shown in Figure 1(b), there is a random distribution of Fe and Nb atoms in one half of the available octahedral sites.

When  $\text{FeNbO}_4$  crystallizes with the  $\alpha\text{-PbO}_2$  structure, the edge sharing is different from that found in rutile. Whereas in rutile the edge-sharing occurs at opposite edges in each octahedron, in  $\alpha\text{-PbO}_2$  the shared edges of

an octahedron are closer together. This leads to zig-zag chains (see Figure 2) of octahedra along the  $c$  direction rather than the straight octahedral strings found in rutile. As with the rutile structure, only one half of the octahedral sites are occupied, and random distribution of the Fe and Nb atoms in the zig-zag chains prevails.

The wolframite polymorph (Figure 3) can be compared to the  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  which indicated that the columbite  $\text{FeNb}_2\text{O}_6$  may be incorporated in solid solution with the wolframite  $\text{FeNbO}_4$ . The formation of such solid solution would be consistent  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$ . Zig-zag chains of  $\text{FeO}_6$  and  $\text{NbO}_6$  octahedra form, as in  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$ , but every occupied chain contains either Fe or Nb atoms.

As indicated previously,  $\text{FeNbO}_4$  undergoes two phase transitions at elevated temperatures, wolframite  $\xrightarrow{1085} \alpha\text{-PbO}_2 \xrightarrow{1380} \text{rutile}$ .

The transformation of wolframite to  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  is considered to be essentially an order-disorder transition in which the separate chains of  $[\text{FeO}_6]$  and  $[\text{NbO}_6]$  octahedra become identical; i.e., there is a random distribution of Fe and Nb atoms within the structural array. Dachille and Roy (10) have observed that  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  transformed on heating to the rutile polymorph. In this transformation, the chains of  $[\text{Fe,Nb}]_6\text{O}_6$  octahedra change from a zig-zag configuration found in the  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  structure, to straight chains in the rutile structure. Anderson and Galy (11) have indicated that such transformations may occur by the movement of cations in adjoining layers along the edge of an octahedron, to a previously unoccupied site. This is shown in Figure 4.

The room temperature resistivity of a well-sintered  $\text{FeNbO}_4$  disc was reported to be  $40 \pm 1 \Omega\text{-cm}$  (12). It would be anticipated that the resistivity

of intrinsic  $\text{FeNbO}_4$  would be much higher than  $40\Omega\text{-cm}$  if all of the iron were in the trivalent state. The discs were shown to be n-type, and the mobility was less than  $0.1\text{cm}^2/\text{V-sec}$ , as would be expected for a hopping conductor (13).

These properties are consistent with the structural studies of Turnock (14) which indicated that  $\text{FeNb}_2\text{O}_6$  may be incorporated in solid solution with  $\text{FeNbO}_4$ . The formation of such solid solution would be consistent with relatively high conductivity and the phase separation of a few percent of  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ . It was observed (12) that careful examination of X-ray patterns obtained from sintered discs of  $\text{FeNbO}_4$  indicated the presence of the strongest peak of  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ . Measurement of the photoresponse of  $\text{FeNbO}_4$  (12) indicated a flat-band potential between 0.1 and 0.4V versus SCE in a pH of 8.5, and an optical band gap of 2.08(2)eV. It was also indicated (12) that there was probably an additive superposition of multiple photoactive centers, rather than the "averaging" process suggested by conventional band theory.

The compound  $\text{FeWO}_4$  also crystallizes with the wolframite structure. For this composition, the  $[\text{FeO}_6]$  octahedra should contain only divalent iron. Single crystals of  $\text{FeWO}_4$  can be grown by chemical vapor transport, using  $\text{TeCl}_4$  as a transport agent (15). It was observed that the resistivity of the crystals grown were related to the degree of oxidation, i.e., the trivalent iron content of the charge. This is consistent with other studies (16,17) which indicated that the wolframite structure can accommodate both divalent and trivalent iron simultaneously.

## THE PROPERTIES OF DI IRON(III) TUNGSTATE

The wolframite structure represents only one ordered variant of the more fundamental  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  structure.  $\text{Fe}_2\text{WO}_6$  has been reported to crystallize with the columbite structure when prepared below  $800^\circ\text{C}$  (18), and with the tri- $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  structure when prepared at higher temperatures (18). Both of these structures may be regarded as superlattice variants of the  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  type. In the columbite structure (Figure 5), a 2:1 cation ordering occurs, rather than the 1:1 ordering observed in the wolframite structure. This 2:1 cation order causes a tripling of the a parameter, but the orthorhombic space group (Pbcn) of  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  is preserved. Leiva (19) has shown that the columbite variant of  $\text{Fe}_2\text{WO}_6$  is difficult to obtain as a single phase since the tri- $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  variant also appears to form at low temperatures. The fundamental difference between the columbite and the tri- $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  structures is in the nature of the 2:1 cation ordering which occurs in these structures. Senegas and Galy (20) have indicated that for the tri- $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$ , one third of the zig-zag chains along the c direction contain only iron atoms, and two thirds of the chains show a 1:1 ordering of iron and tungsten atoms (Figure 6). As a result of the differences in the ordering of the  $[\text{FeO}_6]$  and  $[\text{WO}_6]$  octahedra, the cell parameters of the three structure types  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$ , tri- $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$ , and columbite, have the following relationships:

$$\underline{a} \alpha\text{-PbO}_2 \approx \underline{a} \text{ tri-}\alpha\text{-PbO}_2 \approx \underline{a}/3 \text{ columbite}$$

$$\underline{3b} \alpha\text{-PbO}_2 \approx \underline{b} \text{ tri-}\alpha\text{-PbO}_2 \approx 3b \text{ columbite}$$

$$\underline{c} \alpha\text{-PbO}_2 \approx \underline{c} \text{ tri-}\alpha\text{-PbO}_2 \approx c \text{ columbite}$$

A comparison of the ordering sequences and consequent changes in the cell parameters is shown in Figure 7. It can readily be seen that the idealized

occupancy of the octahedra along the zig-zag chains in the tri- $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  structure is such as to give one chain containing only iron atoms for every two chains containing an ordered arrangement of iron and tungsten atoms. The iron in pure  $\text{Fe}_2\text{WO}_6$  is all trivalent, and hence, discs prepared from this composition should give a high resistivity. However, Leiva has shown (19) that  $\text{Fe}_2\text{WO}_6$  cannot be prepared without the appearance of a small amount of  $\alpha$ - $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  in the product. Such samples also show a higher conductivity than would be expected for pure  $\text{Fe}_2\text{WO}_6$ . In addition, Leiva showed that a solid solution of  $\text{Fe}_2\text{WO}_6$  and  $\text{FeWO}_4$  can be prepared, and still maintain the tri- $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  structure. The electrical properties of these phases indicate that there may be some degree of disorder between the Fe and W atoms within the chains (19). However, if all of the chains contained a considerable number of W atoms, no conductivity would be observed.

Both iron(III) niobate (12) and diiron(III) tungstate (19) do show photoresponses, although their flat-band potentials are positive, and hence these materials show low efficiencies as photoanodes. Pure iron(II) tungstate does not appear to yield a photoresponse (15) which would seem to indicate that trivalent iron must be present for a response to be observed

SUMMARY

The wolframite, tri- $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  and columbite structures represent ordered variants of the basic  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  type. When  $\text{FeNbO}_4$  crystallizes with the wolframite structure, the Fe and Nb cations are arranged in an ordered manner which results in the lowering of the symmetry from orthorhombic to monoclinic. As in  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$ , there are formed zig-zag chains of  $[\text{FeO}_6]$  and  $[\text{NbO}_6]$  octahedra, but each chain contains only either Fe or Nb. The same structural features have been observed for the composition  $\text{FeWO}_4$ . These compounds can accommodate both divalent and trivalent iron in the zig-zag chains of  $[\text{FeO}_6]$  octahedra, which results in high conductivity because of electron transfer within these chains. Two other variants of the  $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  type are the tri- $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  and the columbite structures. For these variants, there is a 2:1 cation ordering rather than the 1:1 order observed in the wolframite structure.  $\text{FeNb}_2\text{O}_6$  has the columbite structure, and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{WO}_6$  can be prepared as a single phase with the tri- $\alpha$ - $\text{PbO}_2$  structure. The existence of mixed iron valencies in the Fe-Nb-O phases has been shown to be due to the solid solution of  $\text{FeNbO}_4$  and  $\text{FeNb}_2\text{O}_6$ . There is also evidence for the solid solution between  $\text{FeWO}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_2\text{WO}_6$ . Undoubtedly, the existence of both  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in these structures is the basis for the observed conductivity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS


The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Virginia, for the support of Hector Leiva, Kurt Sieber, Bijan Khazai, and Kirby Dwight. Acknowledgment is also made to Brown University's Material Research Laboratory for the use of its facilities.

REFERENCES


1. K. L. Hardee and A. J. Bard, J. Electrochem. Soc. 123, 1024 (1976).
2. R. K. Quinn, R. D. Nasby and R. J. Baughman; Mat. Res. Bull. 11, 1011 (1976).
3. K. L. Hardee and A. J. Bard, J. Electrochem. Soc. 124, 215 (1977).
4. L. R. Yeh and N. Hackerman; J. Electrochem. Soc 124, 833 (1977).
5. H. H. Kung, H. S. Jarett, A. W. Sleight, and A. Ferretti, J. Appl. Phys. 48 No. 6, 2463 (1977).
6. P. Merchant, R. Collins, R. Kershaw, K. Dwight and A. Wold, J. Solid State Chem. 27, 307 (1979).
7. O. N. Salmon; J. Phys. Chem. 65, 550 (1961).
8. R. S. Roth and J. Waring, American Mineral. 49, 243 (1964).
9. Von F. Laves, G. Bayer, and A. Panagos, Schweiz. Mineral. Petrog. Mitt. 43, 217 (1963).
10. W. B. White, F. Dacheille, and R. Roy, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 44, 170 (1961).
11. P. S. Anderson and J. Galy; Bulletin de la Societe Chimique de France 1969 No. 4, 1065 (1969).
12. J. Koenitzer, B. Khazai, J. Hormadaly, R. Kershaw, K. Dwight and A. Wold, J. Solid State Chemistry 35, 128 (1980).
13. C. A. Ackert and J. Volger, Phys. Lett. 8, 244 (1964).
14. A. C. Turnock, J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 49 4, 177 (1966).
15. K. Kourtakis, K. Sieber, R. Kershaw, K. Dwight and A. Wold, unpublished research.
16. H. Weitzel, Zeit für Krist. 131, 289 (1970).
17. Y. Noda, M. Shimada, M. Koizumi and F. Kanamaru, J. Solid State Chem. 28, 379 (1979).
18. C. Parant, J. C. Bernier and A. Michel, C. R. Acad Sc. Paris C 276, 495 (1973).
19. H. Leiva, K. Dwight and A. Wold, J. Solid State Chem. 42, No. 1 (1982).
20. J. Senegas and J. Galy, J. Solid State Chemistry 10, 5 (1974).

FIGURE CAPTIONS



- Figure 1 - Structure of rutile: (a) packing of  $MO_6$  octahedra;  
(b) closest-packed layer of oxygen around M atoms.
- Figure 2 - Structure of  $\alpha$ - $PbO_2$ : (a) packing of  $MO_6$  octahedra;  
(b) closest-packed layer of oxygen around M atoms.
- Figure 3 - Structure of wolframite: (a) packing of  $MO_6$  octahedra;  
(b) closest-packed layer of oxygen around Fe and Nb  
atoms.
- Figure 4 - (a) Relative positions of cations in rutile and  
 $\alpha$ - $PbO_2$ ; (b) Movement of cations during phase  
transformation.
- Figure 5 - Structure of columbite: (a) packing of  $MO_6$  octahedra;  
(b) closest-packed layer of oxygen around Fe and W atoms.
- Figure 6 - Structure of tri- $\alpha$ - $PbO_2$ : (a) packing of  $MO_6$  octahedra;  
(b) closest-packed layer of oxygen around Fe and W atoms.
- Figure 7 - Relative positions of cations in  $\alpha$ - $PbO_2$ , tri- $\alpha$ - $PbO_2$ ,  
and columbite.




(a)




(b)






— A  
— B  
— A  
— B

(a)




(b)

● Fe      ◐ Nb




• Fe, Nb random

(a)




• Fe, Nb random

(b)




(a)




(b)

● Fe      ⊗ W






(a)



(b)

● Fe      ⊗ W



$\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub>

TRI- $\alpha$ -PbO<sub>2</sub>

COLUMBITE

○ RANDOM Fe, W      • Fe      • W

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
ONR Western Regional Office Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
ONR Eastern Regional Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (RE&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
		Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Dr. Paul Delahay Department of Chemistry New York University New York, New York 10003	1	Dr. P. J. Hendra Department of Chemistry University of Southampton Southampton SO9 5NH United Kingdom	1
Dr. E. Yeager Department of Chemistry Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1	Dr. Sam Perone Department of Chemistry Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana 47907	1
Dr. D. N. Bennion Department of Chemical Engineering Brigham Young University Provo, Utah 84602	1	Dr. Royce W. Murray Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1
Dr. R. A. Marcus Department of Chemistry California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91125	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Technical Library San Diego, California 92152	1
Dr. J. J. Auborn Bell Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974	1	Dr. C. E. Mueller The Electrochemistry Branch Materials Division, Research & Technology Department Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1
Dr. Adam Heller Bell Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974	1	Dr. G. Goodman Globe-Union Incorporated 5757 North Green Bay Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201	1
Dr. T. Katan Lockheed Missiles & Space Co, Inc. P.O. Box 504 Sunnyvale, California 94088	1	Dr. J. Boechler Electrochimica Corporation Attention: Technical Library 2485 Charleston Road Mountain View, California 94040	1
Dr. Joseph Singer, Code 302-1 NASA-Lewis 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135	1	Dr. P. P. Schmidt Department of Chemistry Oakland University Rochester, Michigan 48063	1
Dr. B. Brummer EIC Incorporated 55 Chapel Street Newton, Massachusetts 02158	1	Dr. H. Richtol Chemistry Department Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York 12181	1
Library P. R. Mallory and Company, Inc. Northwest Industrial Park Burlington, Massachusetts 01803	1		

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Dr. A. B. Ellis Chemistry Department University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706	1	Dr. R. P. Van Duyne Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. M. Wrighton Chemistry Department Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Dr. B. Stanley Pons Department of Chemistry University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta CANADA T6G 2G2	1
Larry E. Plew Naval Weapons Support Center Code 30736, Building 2906 Crane, Indiana 47522	1	Dr. Michael J. Weaver Department of Chemistry Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan 48824	1
S. Rubv DOE (STOR) 600 E Street Washington, D.C. 20545	1	Dr. R. David Rauh EIC Corporation 55 Chapel Street Newton, Massachusetts 02158	1
<del>Dr. Aaron Wold Brown University Department of Chemistry Providence, Rhode Island 02192</del>	<del>1</del>	Dr. J. David Margerum Research Laboratories Division Hughes Aircraft Company 3011 Malibu Canyon Road Malibu, California 90265	1
Dr. R. C. Chudacek McGraw-Edison Company Edison Battery Division Post Office Box 28 Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003	1	Dr. Martin Fleischmann Department of Chemistry University of Southampton Southampton 509 5NH England	1
Dr. A. J. Bard University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712	1	Dr. Janet Osteryoung Department of Chemistry State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. M. M. Nicholson Electronics Research Center Rockwell International 3370 Miraloma Avenue Anaheim, California	1	Dr. R. A. Osteryoung Department of Chemistry State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. Donald W. Ernst Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R-33 White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1	Mr. James R. Moden Naval Underwater Systems Center Code 3632 Newport, Rhode Island 02840	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Dr. R. Nowak Naval Research Laboratory Code 6130 Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Dr. Bernard Spielvogel U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	1
Dr. John F. Houlihan Shenango Valley Campus Pennsylvania State University Sharon, Pennsylvania 16146	1	Dr. Denton Elliott Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB Washington, DC 20332	1
Dr. D. F. Shriver Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Dr. David Aikens Chemistry Department Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, NY 12181	1
Dr. D. H. Whitmore Department of Materials Science Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Dr. A. P. B. Lever Chemistry Department York University Downsview, Ontario M3J1P3 Canada	1
Dr. Alan Bewick Department of Chemistry The University Southampton, SO9 5NH England	1	Mr. Maurice F. Murphy Naval Sea Systems Command 63R32 2221 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 20360	1
Dr. A. Himy NAVSEA-5433 NC #4 2541 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, Virginia 20362	1	Dr. Stanislaw Szpak Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 6343 San Diego, CA 95152	1
Dr. John Kincaid Department of the Navy Strategic Systems Project Office Room 901 Washington, DC 20376	1	Dr. Gregory Farrington Department of Materials Science & Engineering University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA 19104	1
M. L. Robertson Manager, Electrochemical Power Sonics Division Naval Weapons Support Center Crane, Indiana 47522	1	Dr. Bruce Dunn Department of Engineering & Applied Science University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024	1
Dr. Elton Cairns Energy & Environment Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720	1		

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	<u>No.</u>
	<u>Copies</u>
Dr. Micha Tomkiewicz Department of Physics Brooklyn College Brooklyn, NY 11210	1
Dr. Lesser Blum Department of Physics University of Puerto Rico Rio Piedras, PR 00931	1
Dr. Joseph Gordon II IBM Corporation K33/281 5600 Cottle Road San Jose, CA 95193	1
Dr. Robert Somoano Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91103	1

