

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

ADA 112731

**MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION**

**AGGREGATE RESOURCES STUDY  
WHITE RIVER VALLEY  
NEVADA**


**PREPARED FOR  
BALLISTIC MISSILE OFFICE (BMO)  
NORTON AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA**

**FUGRO**  
**NATIONAL, INC.**  
Consulting Engineers and Geologists

PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET

ADA 112731

DTIC ACCESSION NUMBER



LEVEL



INVENTORY


FN-TR-37-C  
DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION

This document has been approved  
for public release and sale; its  
distribution is unlimited.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

ACCESSION FOR	
NTIS	GRA&I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC	TAB <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION /	
AVAILABILITY CODES	
DIST	AVAIL AND/OR SPECIAL
A	

DISTRIBUTION STAMP



DATE ACCESSIONED



"Original contains color  
plates: All other reproductions  
will be in black and  
white"

8 2 11

DATE RECEIVED IN DTIC

PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET AND RETURN TO DTIC-DDA-2

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER <b>FN-TR-37-C</b>	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) <b>Aggregate Resources Study White River Valley Nevada</b>		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED <b>Final</b>
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER <b>FN-TR-37-C</b>
7. AUTHOR(s) <b>Fugro National, Inc.</b>		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) <b>F04704-80-C-0006</b>
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS <b>Eritec Western Inc. (Formerly Fugro National) P.O. Box 7765 Long Beach Ca 90807</b>		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS <b>64312 F</b>
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS <b>U.S. Department of the Air Force Space and Missile Systems Organization Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433 (S.A.N.S.C.)</b>		12. REPORT DATE <b>6 Jun 80</b>
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>48</b>
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) <b>Distribution Unlimited</b>		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) <b>Distribution Unlimited</b>		15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) <b>Geology, setting, <del>potential</del> Aggregate, <del>sources</del> petrography, grain size, trench logs, caliche</b>		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) <b>This report contains the Valley-Specific Aggregate Resources Study for White River Valley, Nevada. It is the third in a series of reports that contain valley-specific aggregate information on the location and suitability of basin-fill and rock sources for concrete and road-base construction materials. Field reconnaissance and limited laboratory testing, existing data from the State of Nevada Department of Highways, previous regional aggregate investigation, and ongoing Verification studies provide the basis for the findings presented.</b>		

FN-TR-37-c

AGGREGATE RESOURCES STUDY  
WHITE RIVER VALLEY  
NEVADA

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of the Air Force  
Ballistic Missile Office (BMO)  
Norton Air Force Base, California 92409

Prepared by:

Fugro National, Inc.  
3777 Long Beach Boulevard  
Long Beach, California 90807

6 June 1980

FUGRO NATIONAL, INC.

1/8

FOREWORD

This report was prepared for the Department of the Air Force Ballistic Missile Office (BMO) in compliance with Contract No. F04704-80-C-0006, CDRL Item No. 004A2. It presents the results of Valley-Specific Aggregate Resources studies within and adjacent to selected areas in Utah and Nevada that are under consideration for siting the MX system.

This volume contains the results of the aggregate resources study in White River Valley, Nevada. It is the third of several Valley-Specific Aggregate Resources investigations which will be prepared as separate volumes. Results of this report are presented as text, appendices, and two drawings.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
FOREWORD .....	i
<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u> .....	1
1.0 <u>INTRODUCTION</u> .....	4
1.1 Study Area .....	4
1.2 Background .....	6
1.3 Objectives .....	6
1.4 Scope .....	8
2.0 <u>STUDY APPROACH</u> .....	9
2.1 Existing Data .....	9
2.2 Supplemental Fugro National Data .....	9
2.3 Data Analysis .....	11
2.4 Presentation of Results .....	11
2.5 Preliminary Classification of Potential Aggregate Sources .....	14
3.0 <u>GEOLOGIC SETTING</u> .....	17
3.1 Physiography .....	17
3.2 Location and Description of Geologic Units .....	17
3.2.1 Rock Units .....	19
3.2.1.1 Quartzite - Qtz .....	19
3.2.1.2 Dolomite - Do .....	20
3.2.1.3 Limestone - Ls .....	20
3.2.1.4 Carbonate Rocks Undifferentiated - Cau .....	20
3.2.1.5 Sedimentary Rocks Undifferentiated - Su .....	21
3.2.1.6 Basalt - Vb .....	22
3.2.1.7 Volcanic Rocks Undifferentiated - Vu .....	22
3.2.2 Basin-Fill Units .....	22
3.2.2.1 Older Lacustrine Deposits - Aol .	23
3.2.2.2 Alluvial Fan Deposits - Aaf .....	23
3.2.2.3 Stream Channel and Terrace Deposits - Aal .....	24
3.2.2.4 Alluvial Deposits Undifferentiated - Au .....	24
4.0 <u>POTENTIAL AGGREGATE SOURCES</u> .....	26
4.1 Basin-Fill Sources .....	27
4.1.1 Coarse Aggregate .....	27

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont.)

	<u>Page</u>
4.1.1.1 Potentially Suitable Concrete Aggregate and Road-Base Material Sources - Class I .....	27
4.1.1.2 Possibly Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate/Potentially Suitable Road-Base Material Sources - Class II .....	30
4.1.1.3 Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate or Road-Base Material Sources - Class III .....	31
4.1.2 Fine Aggregate .....	31
4.1.2.1 Potentially Suitable Concrete Aggregate and Road-Base Material Sources - Class I .....	31
4.1.2.2 Possibly Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate/Potentially Suitable Road-Base Material Sources - Class II .....	32
4.1.2.3 Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate or Road-Base Material Sources - Class III .....	34
4.2 Crushed Rock Sources .....	34
4.2.1 Potentially Suitable Concrete Aggregate and Road-Base Material Sources - Class I	34
4.2.2 Possibly Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate/Potentially Suitable Road-Base Material Sources - Class II .....	36
4.2.3 Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate or Road-Base Material Sources - Class III .....	37
5.0 <u>CONCLUSIONS</u> .....	38
5.1 Potential Basin-Fill Aggregate Sources .....	38
5.1.1 Coarse Aggregate .....	38
5.1.2 Fine Aggregate .....	39
5.2 Potential Crushed Rock Aggregate Sources .....	39
BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	41

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont.)

Page

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

A	Fugro National Field Station and Supplementary Test Data and Existing Test Data Summary Tables - White River Valley
B	Summary of Caliche Development
C	Unified Soil Classification System
D	White River Valley Study Area Photographs
E	Fugro National Geologic Unit Cross Reference

LIST OF TABLES

Table Number

1	Aggregate Tests - White River Valley Aggregate Resources Study, Nevada .....	12
2	Preliminary Aggregate Classification System, Valley-Specific Aggregate Resources Study .....	15

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Number

1	Aggregate Resources Study White River Valley Nevada .....	5
2	Utah-Nevada Regional Aggregate Studies .....	7

LIST OF DRAWINGS

Drawing Number

1	Fugro National Field Station and Existing Data Site Locations White River Valley	In Pocket
2	Aggregate Resources Map White River Valley	at end of Report

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report contains the Valley-Specific Aggregate Resources Study (VSARS) for White River Valley; Nevada. It is the third in a series of reports that contain valley-specific aggregate information on the location and suitability of basin-fill and rock sources for concrete and road-base construction materials. Field reconnaissance and limited laboratory testing, existing data from the State of Nevada Department of Highways, previous regional aggregate investigation, and ongoing Verification studies provide the basis for the findings presented.

A classification system based on aggregate type and potential use was developed to rank the suitability of all basin-fill and rock aggregate sources. Four aggregate types have been designated; coarse, fine, and coarse and fine (multiple) aggregates derived from basin-fill sources and crushed rock aggregates derived from rock sources. Each aggregate type was then classified using the following definitions:

- Class I Potentially suitable concrete aggregate and road-base material source.
- Class II Possibly unsuitable concrete aggregate/potentially suitable road-base material source.
- Class III Unsuitable concrete aggregate or road-base material source.

Decisions on assigning a particular aggregate source to one of the three classes were determined from Fugro National and existing laboratory aggregate tests performed as part of this

study (abrasion resistance, soundness, and alkali reactivity), and to a lesser degree, field visual observations.

Emphasis in this study was placed on the identification of Class I basin-fill, coarse aggregate. These deposits are considered to be the primary sources of concrete and road-base construction materials. Results of the study are presented on a 1:125,000 scale aggregate resources map (Drawing 2) and are summarized as follows:

1. Coarse Aggregate - Three major Class I coarse aggregate basin-fill deposits were located in the valley study area.
  - a. An extensive alluvial fan (Aafg) complex west of the Egan Range in east-central White River Valley.
  - b. Older lacustrine deposits (Au, Aol) in the southern portion of White River Valley and northern Pahrnagat Valley south of the study area.
  - c. Alluvial fan deposits (Aafs) bordering the Grant and Horse ranges in west-central White River Valley.

Potential Class II coarse aggregate sources are widespread and extensive in the study area. Although boundaries of specific deposits could not be delineated, they are typically located within alluvial fans flanking Class I and/or Class II rock sources.

2. Fine Aggregate - Most coarse aggregate basin-fill sources are also potential multiple sources (coarse and fine) that will supply varying quantities of fine aggregate either from

the natural deposit or during processing. However, specific alluvial fan deposits (Aafs), predominantly comprised of Class I fine aggregate material were identified at the northern end of the Golden Gate Range in the central valley area.

3. Crushed Rock - Abundant Class I crushed rock sources surround the study area and consist of:
  - a. The Guilmette Formation (Cau) composed primarily of limestone and dolomites are widespread throughout the study area;
  - b. The Eureka Quartzite (Qtz) is of limited areal extent; but where it is exposed in the central valley area (Egan, Grant, and Horse ranges) should make an excellent Class I source.
  - c. Undifferentiated volcanics (Vu) composed of andesitic and rhyolitic tuffs of limited areal extent are located in the northwest portion of the study area (Horse Range).

The useability of any of these rock units as sources of crushed rock aggregate will depend on their location and accessibility within the study area and minability.

Additional aggregate testing and field investigations will be required to further refine the lateral and vertical extents of classification boundaries and define exact physical and chemical characteristics of a particular deposit or rock source within the valley.


## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 STUDY AREA

This report presents the results of the Valley-Specific Aggregate Resources Study completed for White River Valley (Figure 1). Located in northeastern Nye County, northwestern Lincoln County, and southwestern White Pine County, Nevada, the area is elongate in shape with a north-south trending alluvial basin flanked by carbonate and volcanic rock mountain ranges. Railroad and Coal valleys border the site on the west and Steptoe, Cave, and Dry Lake valleys form the eastern boundary.

U.S. Highway 6 provides access along the northern boundary and State Highway 38, in part a paved and improved gravel road, traverses White River Valley from north to south along the eastern border. A network of unpaved roads and 4-wheel-drive trails crisscross the site area (Drawing 1).

The valley is mainly comprised of desert rangeland that is administered by the BLM. Humboldt National Forest is located within and adjacent to the northern end of the White River Valley study area. Several active mining operations are located at the north end of the Egan Range and are serviced by a spur of the Union Pacific Railroad. The towns of Preston, Lund, and Sunnyside, Nevada, lie along the east-central portion of the valley-specific area (Drawing 2).



## 1.2 BACKGROUND


The MX aggregate program began in 1977 with the investigation of Department of Defense (DOD) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) lands in California, Nevada, Arizona, New Mexico, and Texas (FN-TR-20D). Refinement of the MX siting area added portions of Utah and Nevada that were not studied in the initial Aggregate Resources Evaluation Investigation (AREI) (Figure 2). This additional area (Figure 1), defined as the Utah Aggregate Resources Study area (UARSA), was evaluated in Fall 1979 and a second general aggregate resources report (FN-TR-34) was submitted on 3 March 1980. Both general aggregate investigations were designed to provide regional information on the general location, quality, and quantity of aggregates that could be used in the construction of the MX system.

Subsequent to the general studies, Valley-Specific Aggregate Resources Studies (VSARS) were developed in FY 79 to provide more detailed information on potential aggregate sources in specified valley areas.

## 1.3 OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of the VSARS program is to classify on a valley basis, basin-fill deposits and rock for suitability as concrete and road-base construction materials.

The VSARS format is designed to select and present the locations of the most acceptable aggregate sources for preliminary construction planning and follow-on, detailed aggregate investigations.



#### 1.4 SCOPE

The scope of this investigation required office and field investigations and included the following:

- (1) Collection and analysis of available existing data on the quality and quantity of potential concrete aggregate and road base material sources. American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards and Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (SSPWC) were used to evaluate quality.
- (2) Aerial and ground reconnaissance of all identified potential aggregate sources in the valley area, with more detailed investigation and sample collection of likely basin-fill (coarse and fine aggregates) and rock (crushed rock aggregates) construction material sources.
- (3) Laboratory testing to supplement available existing data and to provide detailed information to assist in determining the suitability of specific basin-fill or rock deposits as construction material sources within the valley area.
- (4) Development and application of an aggregate classification system (Section 2.5) that emphasizes aggregate type (coarse, fine, or crushed rock) and potential construction use (concrete and/or road base).

## 2.0 STUDY APPROACH

### 2.1 EXISTING DATA

Collection of existing test data from available sources was an important factor in the VSARS program. The principal source of existing data directly pertaining to aggregate construction materials was obtained from the State of Nevada Department of Highways (Appendix A). The majority of this information is related to the use of aggregate material for asphaltic concrete, base course in road construction, or ballast material. However, many of the suitability tests for these types of construction materials are similar to those for concrete and were applicable to this investigation (Appendix A).

### 2.2 SUPPLEMENTAL FUGRO NATIONAL DATA

Supplemental Fugro National data was obtain from: (1) field data and supplementary test data compiled during the general aggregate resources study (FN-TR-20D), (2) White River Valley Verification study (FN-TR-27-V), and (3) the current Valley-Specific Aggregate Resources Study (Appendix A).

Although the primary objective of the initial, general aggregate study was directed toward developing regional evaluations and rankings of all potential aggregate sources, the 21 data points included in the Valley-Specific study area (Drawing 2) also supplied specific aggregate information. These 21 stops contained two 100-pound samples collected for limited laboratory testing (Appendix A).

Verification geologic maps were an initial source of information on the type and extent of basin-fill deposits within the valley area. In addition verification study data included information from two trench locations in the central portion of the valley (Drawing 1, Appendix A1). Depths of the two selected trenches ranged from 7 to 14 feet. While the Verification studies are not specifically designed to generate aggregate data, the sampling techniques and testing procedures (Appendix A) are applicable to the aggregate evaluation.

The VSARS program required aerial and ground reconnaissance of the study area to collect additional information to verify conditions determined during the data review. Included in the 48 field station data stops was the collection of 21 samples for laboratory testing. Potential coarse and fine aggregate basin-fill samples were collected by channel sampling stream cuts or occasional man-made exposures. Potential crushed rock aggregate samples were obtained from exposures of fresh or slightly weathered material whenever possible. The weight of the samples collected range between 100 and 150 pounds. Hand samples, which generally did not exceed 5 pounds in weight, were collected for office analysis.

Identification of basin-fill materials in all field studies followed ASTM D2488-69 Description of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure), and the Unified Soil Classification System (Appendix C). Rock identifications followed procedures described in the

Quarterly of the Colorado School of Mines and Standard Investigative Nomenclature of Constituents of Natural Mineral Aggregates (ASTM C294-69).

### 2.3 DATA ANALYSIS

Geologic and engineering criteria were used in the evaluation of potential aggregate sources within the study area. This was supplemented by laboratory analysis of selected samples during the Valley-Specific aggregate testing program (Table 1). Coarse aggregate is defined as plus 0.185 inch fine gravel to boulders basin-fill material. Fine aggregate is defined as minus 0.375 inch coarse to fine sand basin-fill material. While all laboratory tests supplied definitive information, the soundness, abrasion, and alkali reactivity results were considered the most critical in determining the use and acceptability of a potential aggregate source.

### 2.4 PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

Results of the study are presented in text form, tables, two 1:125,000 scale maps, and appendices. Drawing 1 presents the location of the 78 existing test data and supplemental Fugro data sites within the study area. Drawing 2 presents the location of all Fugro National aggregate resources sampled and tested field station sites and all potential basin-fill and rock aggregate sources within the valley area. In addition, these potential aggregate sources are classified according to proposed aggregate use and type (Section 2.5).

ASTM TEST	SAMPLE TYPE AND NUMBER OF TESTS		
	COARSE	FINE	ROCK
ASTM C-88; SOUNDNESS BY USE OF MAGNESIUM SULFATE	11	9	7
ASTM C-131; RESISTANCE TO ABRASION BY USE OF THE LOS ANGELES MACHINE	11		7
ASTM C-136; SIEVE ANALYSIS	11	9	
ASTM C-289; POTENTIAL REACTIVITY OF AGGREGATES (CHEMICAL METHOD)	2	2	3
ASTM C-127 AND C-128; SPECIFIC GRAVITY AND ABSORPTION	7	1	3

AGGREGATE TESTS  
 WHITE RIVER VALLEY  
 AGGREGATE RESOURCES STUDY, NEVADA

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - BWO

TABLE  
 1

**PERO NATIONAL LAB.**

Geologic unit symbols utilized in Drawing 2 relate to standard geological nomenclature whenever possible. Undifferentiated basin-fill and rock units were established primarily to accommodate accuracy of data and map scale and may contain deposits which could supply significant quantities of high quality materials. A conversion table to relate these geologic symbols to Fugro geologic unit nomenclature is contained in Appendix E.

All contacts which represent distinct boundaries between geologic units are shown as solid lines on Drawing 2. The contacts are dashed where the depicted data were extrapolated beyond the limits of the source data or where accuracy of the data may be questionable. Local small deposits of one geologic unit may be found in close association with a larger deposit of a different geologic unit. Due to the reconnaissance level of the field investigation or scale limitations, these smaller deposits could not be depicted on the aggregate resources map and have been combined with the more prevalent material. Similarly, potential aggregate source classifications are preliminary and may contain lesser amounts of material of another use or type. Therefore, all classification lines are dashed and delimit the best aggregate evaluations possible at this level of investigation. In cases of highly variable rock or basin-fill units and limited aggregate tests, boundaries could not be drawn and information is presented as point data on Drawing 2.

Appendices contain tables summarizing the basic data collected during Fugro National's supplemental field investigations, the

results of Fugro National's supplemental testing programs, existing test data gathered from various outside sources (Appendix A), an explanation of caliche development (Appendix B), the Unified Soil Classification System (Appendix C), photographs of typical aggregate sources within the study area (Appendix D), and a geologic unit cross reference table (Appendix E).

#### 2.5 PRELIMINARY CLASSIFICATION OF POTENTIAL AGGREGATE SOURCES

A system was developed to preliminarily classify all potential aggregate sources in the study area. This classification is designed to present the best potential sources of coarse, fine, coarse and fine (multiple source), and crushed rock aggregate types within a Valley-Specific area (Drawing 2) based on potential aggregate use (Table 2). Concrete aggregate parameters are the principal consideration in this report as materials suitable for use as concrete aggregate are generally acceptable for use as road-base material. Therefore, the three classifications described below were based primarily on results of the abrasion, soundness, and alkali reactivity tests.

Class I Potentially suitable concrete aggregate and road base material source. Coarse and crushed rock aggregates which either passed abrasion, soundness, and alkali reactivity tests or passed abrasion and soundness tests and were not tested for alkali reactivity; fine aggregates which either passed soundness and alkali reactivity tests or passed soundness tests and were not tested for alkali reactivity.

Class II Possibly unsuitable concrete aggregate/potentially suitable road-base material source. Coarse, fine, and crushed rock aggregates which either failed the soundness and/or alkali reactivity tests or were classified only by field visual observations or other test data.

AGGREGATE CHARACTERISTIC <sup>1</sup>			AGGREGATE USE CLASSIFICATION		
			CLASS I	CLASS II	CLASS III
ABRASION RESISTANCE, PERCENT WEAR <sup>2</sup>			< 50	< 50	> 50
SOUNDNESS, PERCENT LOSS <sup>3</sup>	COARSE AGGREGATE	Na SO <sub>4</sub>	< 12	> 12	> 12
		Mg SO <sub>4</sub>	< 18	> 18	> 18
	FINE AGGREGATE	Na SO <sub>4</sub>	< 10	> 10	> 10
		Mg SO <sub>4</sub>	< 15	> 15	> 15
POTENTIAL ALKALI REACTIVITY <sup>4</sup>			INNOCUOUS TO POTENTIALLY DELETERIOUS	DELETERIOUS	DELETERIOUS

1. AGGREGATE CHARACTERISTIC BASED ON STANDARD TEST RESULTS
2. ASTM C131 (500 REVOLUTIONS)
3. ASTM C88 (5 CYCLES)
4. ASTM C289

**PRELIMINARY AGGREGATE CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM  
VALLEY-SPECIFIC AGGREGATE RESOURCES STUDY**

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - DDDO

TABLE  
2

Class III Unsuitable concrete aggregate or road base material source. Coarse and crushed rock aggregates which failed abrasion test and were excluded from further testing Fine, and rarely, coarse aggregates composed of significant amounts of clay- and silt-sized particles.

Sources not specifically identified as Class I, II, or III from the three critical test results or clay- and silt-sized particle content, are designated as Class II sources. All classifications are preliminary with additional field reconnaissance, testing, and case history studies needed to confirm adequacy, delimit areal boundaries, and define exact physical and chemical characteristics.

The following publications/sources were used in defining the three use classifications:

- (1) ASTM C33-74A Standard Specifications for concrete Aggregate,
- (2) SSPWC Part II Construction Sections 200-1.1, 1.4, 1.5, and 1.7,
- (3) Literature applicable to concrete aggregates,
- (4) Industrial producers of concrete aggregates, and
- (5) Consultants in the field of concrete aggregates.

### 3.0 GEOLOGIC SETTING

#### 3.1 PHYSIOGRAPHY

The study area lies entirely within the Basin and Range physiographic province and has typical basin and range topography. Primary physiographic features are controlled by block faulting which has produced the horst and graben structure reflected in eroded uplifted mountains and downdropped alluvial filled basins characteristic of this region. Mountain ranges and valley basins generally trend north-south. Elevations within the valley range from about 6000 feet (1830 m) at the northern end of the study area to approximately 4400 feet (1340 m) near the southern terminus. Six mountain ranges bound the valley basin area. These are the Horse, Grant, Golden Gate, and Seaman ranges on the west and the Egan and North Pahroc ranges on the east (Drawing 2). Topographic relief between mountain ridges and basins is generally greatest along the eastern valley margin and varies from 1000 to 4000 feet (305 to 1220 m). Drainage is open within the main valley area (White River) with several reservoirs occupying the lower portions of the valley basin.

#### 3.2 LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF GEOLOGIC UNITS

Paleozoic, Mesozoic, and Cenozoic rocks are found in bedrock highs and mountains within and adjacent to the study area (Drawing 2). Paleozoic sediments consist predominantly of massively to thinly bedded limestones and dolomites with interbedded sandstones, shales, and quartzites. These sediments are

located across the entire Valley-Specific area, and where not exposed in bedrock highs underlie younger geologic units.

Unconformably overlying Paleozoic rocks within the study region are Mesozoic deposits consisting predominantly of undifferentiated volcanic and intravolcanic sedimentary rocks. These rocks are principally composed of pyroclastics, mud flows, and breccias of andesitic composition.

Cenozoic rocks unconformably overlie Paleozoic and Mesozoic units. These rocks consist predominantly of Tertiary intrusives, volcanics, and Quaternary alluvial sediments. Tertiary volcanic rocks are composed predominantly of a pyroclastic series of welded and nonwelded vitric and crystalline tuffs which range from mafic to rhyolitic in composition.

Quaternary alluvial deposits lie unconformably above all older units and consist primarily of Late Pliocene and Pleistocene alluvial fan, older lacustrine, stream channel, and terrace deposits. These units may reach a combined thickness of many thousands of feet in the basin center.

These geologic units have been grouped into seven rock and four basin-fill geologic units for use in discussing potential aggregate sources. The grouping of these units was based on similarities in physical and chemical characteristics and map scale limitations. The resulting units simplify discussion and presentation without altering the conclusions of the study.

### 3.2.1 Rock Units

Geologic rock units were grouped into the following seven categories (Drawing 2): quartzite (Qtz), dolomite (Do), limestone (Ls), carbonate rocks undifferentiated (Cau), sedimentary rocks undifferentiated (Su), basalt (Vb), and volcanic rocks undifferentiated (Vu).

#### 3.2.1.1 Quartzite - Qtz

Quartzites are minor deposits within the study area but potentially may represent some of the best sources of crushed rock for concrete aggregate. Two lower Paleozoic quartzite deposits, the Prospect Mountain and Eureka quartzites, crop out in the Valley-Specific study area. A major deposit of the Prospect Mountain Quartzite is located at the southwestern corner of the study area within the Grant Range (Drawing 2). The formation consists of reddish brown, brown to white, thin to massively bedded, well indurated, fine grained quartzite with interbeds of less resistant quartzite, micaceous shale, pebble conglomerates, and arkosic layers.

The Eureka quartzite crops out as small units in the central portion of the valley area. The formation is thin, less than 500 feet (150 m) thick, and because of its close association with undifferentiated carbonates (Cau) is often mapped within this unit. Mapped units occur at the north end of the Golden Gate and Grant ranges and the south end of the Egan Range. The formation is a white or light gray, vitreous, fine to medium grained, massive orthoquartzite. Interbedded sandstone and

dolomitic sandstone occur at the top and bottom of the formation.

#### 3.2.1.2 Dolomite - Do

Dolomite is a high magnesium carbonate rock that is characteristically dark to medium gray, medium grained, hard, with well developed bedding and jointing with moderate to sparse amounts of chert. Principal formations that comprise the bulk of this unit are the lower Paleozoic Ely Springs, Laketown, Sevy, and Simonson dolomites. Major deposits are mapped in the Grant and Horse ranges just within the western border and the Egan Range on the east side of the study area.

#### 3.2.1.3 Limestone -Ls

Limestone is a carbonate rock which is hard, durable, medium to massively bedded and a major cliff former within the study area. Mapped units represent upper Paleozoic formations including the Pogonip Group, Joanna, and undifferentiated Mississippian, Pennsylvanian, and Permian limestones. Rock units are typically medium to dark gray, fine to medium grained, fossiliferous limestones that are sparsely cherty, with well developed bedding and jointing. This unit is mapped chiefly in the northern portion of the study area, with major deposits occurring in the Egan, Grant, and Horse mountain ranges.

#### 3.2.1.4 Carbonate Rocks Undifferentiated - Cau

Undifferentiated carbonate rocks are the most extensive of the sedimentary units mapped in the study area and include thick,

complex sequences of limestones and dolomites with thin interbeds of sandstone, shale, and siltstone. Individual units are not delineated separately due to map scale limitations and the highly interbedded nature of these units. Principle formations include the Devils Gate, Guilmette, and undifferentiated lower Paleozoic deposits. These rocks are typically light to dark gray in appearance, thinly to massively bedded, hard, cherty, fossiliferous, and are typically cliff formers. Mapped units occur extensively in the Egan, Grant, and Horse ranges in the northern half of the study area.

#### 3.2.1.5 Sedimentary Rocks Undifferentiated - Su

Geologic formations mapped as undifferentiated sedimentary rocks include interbedded Paleozoic to Tertiary sandstone, shale, dolomite, limestone, and quartzite that may have been slightly metamorphosed in some areas. These deposits are generally poorly indurated and have complex thin to medium bedding. The highly interbedded nature of these units prevent separation into individual rock types (limestones, dolomites). Undifferentiated sedimentary rocks are not a major unit within the Egan Range and occur primarily as small outcrops, however, within the Horse and Grant mountain ranges along the western margin of the study area they comprise significant sedimentary deposits.

#### 3.2.1.6 Basalt - Vb

Tertiary basalts mapped in the study area are characteristically dark gray to black, thick to massively bedded, dense, locally vesicular, and poorly jointed. Occasionally, interbeds of

volcanic agglomerate and pumice tuff are present. Basalts are of minor extent and occur primarily in and adjacent to the Golden Gate, Seaman, and North Pahroc ranges.

#### 3.2.1.7 Volcanic Rocks Undifferentiated - Vu

Undifferentiated volcanic rocks comprise the most extensive rock unit in the study area. They range from Cretaceous to Pliocene in age and consist predominantly of welded and nonwelded pyroclastics of rhyolitic and andesitic composition. These units form the principal geologic units in the Seaman and North Pahroc ranges in the southern portion of the study area and crop out in major deposits within the Grant and Horse mountain ranges along the eastern border of the area.

The volcanics also include minor occurrences of interbedded sedimentary rocks consisting of conglomerates, sandstones, and siltstones derived from volcanic sources. Individual rock units have not been delineated because of map scale limitations and complex but similar composition.

#### 3.2.2 Basin-Fill Deposits

Four basin-fill units are mapped and labelled within the study area (Drawing 2). these consist of older lacustrine (Aol), alluvial fan (Aaf), stream channel and terrace (Aal), and undifferentated alluvial materials (Au). Recent playa deposits, a fifth basin-fill unit of limited areal extent, are also present in White River Valley. However, they are labelled as unsuitable aggregate sources and will not be discussed.

### 3.2.2.1 Older Lacustrine Deposits - Aol

Older lacustrine deposits were formed during late Pliocene/early Pleistocene time in response to a much wetter climate. These deposits are located at topographically higher elevations along the valley margins and are usually intermixed with or overlain by alluvial fan deposits. They range from coarse gravel to sand, silt, and clay. Classification of these deposits depends primarily on texture and clast composition. They occur principally in the lower portions of the White River Valley basin (Drawing 2).

### 3.2.2.2 Alluvial Fan Deposits - Aaf

Alluvial fans bordering the mountain fronts and extending out into the valley basins are the most extensive basin-fill deposit within the study area. They are typically heterogeneous to poorly stratified mixtures of boulders, cobbles, gravel, sand, silt, and clay that grade from very coarse-grained near the highland areas to fine-grained near the valley centers. Individual fan units contain poorly to well graded, angular to subangular particles and exhibit considerable lateral and vertical textural variation. Composition of the surrounding source rock strongly controls the textural properties of material found in alluvial fan deposits. Fan units formed at the base of carbonate or quartzitic rocks are characteristically coarse-grained, whereas fans developed from volcanic sources tend to be finer grained.

Caliche development in soils, a natural process of soil development in arid climates, ranges from none in younger fans to Stage III (Appendix B) in older units.

#### 3.2.2.3 Stream Channel and Terrace Deposits - Aal

Stream channel and terrace deposits within the study area are associated with primary and secondary ephemeral streams. Secondary ephemeral streams commonly transect alluvial fan deposits and trend normal to the ranges toward the valley axis. There, they terminate in a through flowing primary drainage system that drains southward into Pahranaagat Valley. Most are too small to be depicted on Drawing 2 and have been grouped with adjacent, more prominent units (i.e., alluvial fan, undifferentiated alluvium, older lacustrine deposits). These deposits vary from homogeneous to poorly stratified mixtures of sand, gravel, cobbles, and boulders near mountain fronts to sand, silt, and clay near valley centers.

#### 3.2.2.4 Alluvial Deposits Undifferentiated - Au

Undifferentiated alluvial deposits consist of combinations of basin-fill units that were not delineated and mapped during the Verification program. Included in this group are alluvial fans, older lacustrine, stream channel, and stream terrace deposits. These alluvial deposits are homogeneous to stratified mixtures of boulders, cobbles, gravel, sand, silt, and clay derived from a wide range of rock types. Composition varies according to the characteristics of the individual units and the source rock type. Undifferentiated alluvial deposits are generally located

in the interior portions of the valley basins outside of the Verification study region (Drawing 2).

#### 4.0 POTENTIAL AGGREGATE SOURCES

Based on the results of field visual observations and aggregate testing, potential basin-fill and rock sources were divided into three material types (i.e., coarse, fine, and crushed rock) and classified into one of the three use categories (Section 2.5). Basin-fill deposits tested in the study area may be placed within a multiple type category, (coarse and fine aggregate source). Coarse aggregate is defined as plus 0.185 inch (4.699) fine gravel to boulders and fine aggregate is defined as minus 0.375 inch (9.52) fine to coarse sand.

Classification boundaries (Drawing 2) of basin-fill aggregate sources were generalized and will require additional studies to accurately define their location. Boundaries of identified crushed rock sources are based on the areal map extent of the geologic formations tested (i.e., Prospect Mountain Quartzite, Guilmette Formation, Pogonip Group) and not on the aggregate geologic units (i.e., Cau, Do, Qtz) described in Section 3.2.1.

In the following discussion, the best potential coarse, fine, or crushed rock source within each Class I and Class II category is presented first; followed by sources with successively lower potential. This ranking of deposits is preliminary and based upon an analysis of all Fugro National and existing data.

#### 4.1 BASIN-FILL SOURCES

##### 4.1.1 Coarse Aggregate

###### 4.1.1.1 Potentially Suitable Concrete and Road Base Material Sources - Class I

Extensive Class I coarse aggregate sources are located along the east side of White River Valley in alluvial fan units (Aafg) bordering Class I and II crushed rock sources in the Egan Range (Drawing 2). The alluvial fan units predominantly consist of poorly to moderately graded, medium dense to dense, homogeneous to crudely stratified, sandy gravel with subangular limestone and dolomite clasts. Laboratory test data indicate these deposits have acceptable abrasion and soundness values for Class I course material (Appendix A), however, alkali reactivity tests were not performed on the samples taken. Sieve analyses of these samples suggests that the fan deposits are weighted slightly toward the fine end. Content of fine aggregate material ranges from 5 to 45 percent in these deposits. Overburden averages about 1 meter and primarily consists of calichefied gravels (Stages II to III).

Generally good access to these deposits is provided by State Highway 38 and several unpaved roads that crisscross the area. Minability is considered good to excellent in these sources. Tentative boundaries were placed on identified sources wherever possible, however, additional field reconnaissance and testing will be necessary to accurately define the limits of these units and the point data sources.

Additional Class I coarse aggregate sources were identified in alluvial fan deposits (Aaf) east of the Grant and Horse ranges and at the north end of the Golden Gate Range, in the west-central portion of the study area (Drawing 2). These deposits consist of medium dense, poorly graded, crudely stratified sandy gravel with angular to subangular, predominantly limestone clasts. Abrasion, soundness, and alkali test results for sources near the Horse and Grant ranges were acceptable for Class I sources. Abrasion and soundness losses were within Class I standards for the potential coarse aggregate source located at the northern end of the Golden Gate Range, however, alkali reactivity test results were not available. Sand comprises as much as 45 percent of the deposit. Sieve analysis of the samples is inconclusive, however, the data suggests that the coarse fraction tends to be biased toward the finer grain sizes.

Overburden thickness averages less than 1 meter with caliche development ranging from Stage II to III. Boundaries of these units could not be drawn due to the limited scope of the field reconnaissance and testing program. Access to these deposits is provided by numerous unpaved roads that crisscross the area and minability is considered good to excellent in these sources.

Older lacustrine deposits (Aolg) were identified as a Class I coarse aggregate source within undifferentiated alluvial units (Au) northwest of the North Pahroc Range in the southern portion of the study area. The unit consists of moderately graded,

dense, crudely stratified sandy gravel primarily composed of limestone clasts. Abrasion and soundness losses are well within Class I standards. Alkali reactivity tests were not made on this source. Sieve analysis indicates that the coarse fraction is heavily weighted toward small gravel sizes and sands comprise as much as 40 percent of the deposit. Overburden thickness averages about 1 meter. Boundaries of this source were not delineated due to the limited scope of this investigation and will require additional field reconnaissance and testing to accurately define. Access is provided by State Highway 38, (unpaved portion), and the minability of this deposit is considered good to excellent.

Extensive Class I coarse aggregate deposits were also identified in older lacustrine deposits (Aolg) at the southeastern terminus of the study area and within Pahranaagat Valley. These deposits consist of moderately well graded, medium dense to dense, stratified sandy gravels composed primarily of carbonate clasts. Test results were positive, with acceptable losses in abrasion and soundness (see report FN-TR-37a, section 4.1.1.1, and Appendix A for information). Sieve analysis suggests that the deposit may be deficient in fine size gravel gradations. Sand comprises less than 25 percent of this deposit with overburden thickness ranging from 1 to 5 meters (averaging 2 to 3 meters). The sand has not been tested and may provide an additional source of fine material.

Field observations suggest that additional sources of Class I coarse aggregate may be located near the rock/alluvium contact of most of the Class I and/or Class II carbonate rock units bordering the valley basin.

4.1.1.2 Possibly Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate/  
Potentially Suitable Road-Base Material Sources -  
Class II

A specific Class II coarse aggregate source was identified in an alluvial fan deposit (Aafs - Verification Studies) located at the southern end of Horse Range (Drawing 2). This deposit consists of moderately well graded, dense, crudely stratified, sandy gravel with subangular clasts derived predominantly from intermediate volcanics of varying composition. Abrasion losses were within Class I standards, however, unacceptable soundness losses occurred during testing. Sieve analysis indicates that the gravel fraction tends to be skewed toward the coarser grains. Boundaries of this source could not be delineated and will require additional field reconnaissance and testing for accurate location. Approximately two meters of calichefied overburden (Stage III) covers this deposit. Access to this source is good and is provided by unpaved roads and numerous four-wheel-drive trails in this region. Minability is considered good to excellent.

Additional Class II coarse aggregate sources should be available from alluvial fan units (Aaf) located near the rock/alluvium contact of most Class I and Class II crushed rock sources. The

minability and access to these sources should generally be good to excellent.

4.1.1.3 Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate or Road Base Material Sources - Class III

No unsuitable coarse aggregate sources were identified in the White River Valley region during Valley Specific studies.

4.1.2 Fine Aggregate

4.1.2.1 Potentially Suitable Concrete Aggregate and Road-Base Material Sources - Class I

The only Class I fine aggregate source identified in White River Valley occurred as part of a multiple source type alluvial fan deposit (Aafs) at the north end of the Golden Gate Range (Drawing 2). This source consists of medium dense, moderately graded, and crudely stratified sandy gravel (information regarding the Class I, coarse fraction is discussed in section 4.1.1.1). Sieve analysis of the sand fraction indicates the deposit is slightly biased toward the coarser grains. A potentially deleterious silt- and clay-sized material content of approximately 20 percent is present in this deposit. Tests for alkali reactivity were not made on this sample. Overburden thickness is less than 1 meter and the minability and access to this source is considered good to excellent.

The central valley location of this source, provides good to excellent access and the minability is considered very good.

No other Class I fine aggregate sources were specifically identified in the study area. Based on field observations,

additional Class I sources may be located in alluvial fan units (Aaf) bordering most of the Classes I and II carbonate rocks (Cau) surrounding the valley margin and in older lacustrine sands (Aols) located in the central valley area (Drawing 2). The access and minability of potential fine aggregate sources in these alluvial fan and older lacustrine units should be good to excellent.

4.1.2.2 Possibly Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate/Potentially Suitable Road-Base Materials Sources - Class II

Specific Class II fine aggregate sources were identified in alluvial fan units (Aafs) and older lacustrine (Aols) deposits that received sediments from the Egan Range in the central portion of the study area (Drawing 2). These deposits consist of moderately graded, medium dense, crudely stratified, gravelly sand. Gravel comprises about 20 percent of the sieved samples with clasts primarily consisting of carbonates and volcanics derived from the nearby mountain range. Unacceptable soundness losses for the three samples tested ranged from 21 to 31 percent. Alkali reactivity tests were not run on these samples. Testing of fine aggregate material from coarse aggregate multiple sources identified in the same alluvial fan complex (Aafg) gives similar negative results for the soundness evaluation. Fine aggregate material in the multiple sources comprises from 5 to 45 percent of these deposits. Sieve analyses of the fine aggregate from all of these samples indicates that these sources tend to be slightly biased toward the coarse fraction. Field observations suggest that the overburden

averages less than 1 meter and the minability of these units should be excellent. Several unpaved roads provide good access to the source areas.

Addition of crushed Class I coarse material to the fine aggregate fraction of the multiple type sources during processing and crushing may result in this fraction becoming suitable as a Class I aggregate source.

Boundaries of Class II fine aggregate sources could not be delineated on Drawing 2 at this level of investigation and will require additional field reconnaissance and testing to accurately delineate. Additional sources of Class II fine aggregate are probably available in almost all alluvial fan units bordering Classes I and II crushed rock sources.

#### 4.1.2.3 Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate or Road-Base Material Sources - Class III

Class III fine aggregate sources are located in the valley basins and are predominantly composed of older lacustrine (Aol) deposits (Drawing 2). These sediments are typically comprised of interbedded and stratified, moderately dense, fine sand, silt, and clay.

## 4.2 CRUSHED ROCK SOURCES

### 4.2.1 Potentially Suitable Concrete Aggregate and Road-Base Material Sources - Class I

Class I crushed rock sources are widely distributed throughout the study area (Drawing 2). The most extensive deposits occur the Horse, Grant, and Seaman ranges in west-central White River

Valley and consist primarily of limestones and dolomites from the Guilmette Formation (Cau). Tests were completed on the limestone and a minor sandstone member of this unit. Field observations and laboratory testing (Appendix A) on these two members of the Guilmette Formation indicate that splitting characteristics are favorable for crushing and abrasion and soundness losses are moderate to low (Table 2). Acceptable reactivity tests were obtained from the sandstone unit and although alkali reactivity tests have not been completed on the limestone, field observations suggest that amorphous silica is relatively minor within this unit. This formation also forms the principle Class I material of the White Pine and Egan ranges at the north end of the region and is the major crushed rock source in the North Pahrnagat Mountains at the south end of the study area (Drawing 2).

Access to this formation is particularly good where bedrock highs and small ranges cropout in the central valley region. Minability should be good to excellent.

Although relatively minor in areal extent, the Eureka Quartzite (Qtz) crops out in small rock exposure over much of the central portion of the study area and forms an important Class I crushed rock source. The formation is exposed within the Grant and Horse ranges on the west side of the valley, in the Egan Range along the eastern boundary, and at the north end of the Golden Gate Range in the central portion of the valley. The Eureka Quartzite is characteristically a fine-grained extremely hard

and favorably jointed rock. Abrasion and soundness tests are within Class I standards, however, alkali reactivity tests have not been performed. Access to this formation is generally very good and is provided by paved and unpaved roads. Minability should generally be good to excellent.

Two types of Class I volcanic rocks (Vu) were identified within the study area. Andesitic volcanic rocks at the northern end of the valley near the junction of U.S. Highway 6 and State Highway 38 and rhyolitic tuffs at the north end of the Grant Range on the west side of White River Valley. Although, not considered a primary source of Class I crushed rock due to lateral and vertical lithologic variations, acceptable test results indicate that volcanic rocks of these compositions could make potentially suitable crushed rock sources in areas where unit boundaries can be defined.

#### 4.2.2 Possibly Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate/Potentially Suitable Road-Base Material Class II

A welded ash flow tuff of rhyolitic composition (Vu) was identified as a Class II crushed rock source at the north end of the Golden Gate Range in the southwestern portion of the valley region (Drawing 2). The rock unit passed the abrasion test for Class I standards but displayed excessive soundness losses and was accordingly ranked as a Class II source. Volcanic rocks of this composition should make a suitable crushed rock source for road base material. Because of the vertical and lateral lithologic variability of these volcanic rocks, other portions of

this unit could be acceptable as Class I rock sources or rejected as Class III material. Therefore, boundaries of this Class II crushed rock source have not been drawn and will require additional field reconnaissance and testing to delineate. Access to this source is good to excellent because of its central valley position. The minability of this deposit is considered very good.

No other Class II crushed rock aggregate sources were specifically identified from the laboratory testing program. Extensive rock units indicated on Drawing 2 as Class II crushed rock sources were classified by field visual observations or have not been examined during reconnaissance studies. Paleozoic carbonates (Cau, Do, Ls) and Mesozoic and Tertiary undifferentiated (Vu) volcanics comprise the predominant rock types in this classification.

#### 4.2.3 Unsuitable Concrete Aggregate or Road-Base Material Sources - Class III

No Class III crushed rock sources were identified within the White River Valley study area during this investigation.

## 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Results of the valley-specific aggregate investigation indicate that potentially good to high quality (Classes I and II) basin-fill and crushed rock aggregate sources are present within the White River Valley Specific study area to meet construction requirements of the MX system (Drawing 2).

### 5.1 POTENTIAL BASIN-FILL AGGREGATE SOURCES

#### 5.1.1 Coarse Aggregate

Major Class I coarse aggregate deposits listed in order of potential suitability, have been identified within the following areas:

1. alluvial fan deposits west of the Egan Range in east-central Dry Lake Valley,
2. alluvial fans bordering the Grant and Horse ranges in the west-central portion of the valley, and
3. in older lacustrine sediments in the southern section of White River Valley and northern Pahranaagat Valley, south of the study area.

Field observations indicate additional sources of Class I coarse aggregate may be available in alluvial fan deposits adjacent to the rock/alluvium contact of Classes I and II crushed rock sources.

Potential Class II coarse aggregate sources are widespread and extensive in the study area. Although boundaries of specific deposits could not be delineated, they are typically located within alluvial fans flanking Class I and/or Class II rock sources.

### 5.1.2 Fine Aggregate

While most coarse aggregate sources will supply quantities of fine aggregate either from the natural deposits or during processing, several fine aggregate sources were sampled and tested. Class I fine aggregate deposits were identified in alluvial fans at the north end of the Golden Gate Range (multiple source). Based on field observations, further field reconnaissance will be required to identify and delineate additional potential Class I fine aggregate sources that may exist in alluvial fan units derived from Classes I and II rock sources.

Potential Class II fine aggregate sources are widespread and extensive in the study area. Specific Class II fine aggregate deposits are located in alluvial fans along the central and southern sections of the Egan Range and although boundaries could not be delineated these sources typically occur basinward of most Class I and Class II coarse aggregate deposits and/or rock exposures.

### 5.2 POTENTIAL CRUSHED ROCK AGGREGATE SOURCES

Class I crushed rock sources exist in most sections of the study area. The most suitable deposits and their corresponding locations are listed below:

1. Guilmette Formation - Widespread deposits in all ranges within the valley-specific area.
2. Eureka Quartzite - Central Valley-Specific study area (Egan, Grant, and Horse ranges).
3. Undifferentiated Volcanics - West central Valley-Specific study area (Horse Range).

Small bedrock exposures comprised of Class I carbonates and quartzites which cropout in the central valley area would be excellent sources of Class I crushed rock material because of their central location and good to excellent access and minability. Additionally, Class I crushed rock sources, exposed within the Egan, Grant, and Horse ranges, because of their close proximity to the valley basin and good to excellent minability, could provide crushed rock material for much of the central valley area.

Undifferentiated volcanics and limited sedimentary units are widely distributed throughout the study area and comprise most of the Class II crushed rock sources delineated on Drawing 2.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- American Concrete Association, 1975, Durability of concrete: American Concrete Institute Publication, SP-47, 385 p.
- American Concrete Institute, 1977, Recommended practice for selecting proportions for normal and heavyweight concrete: American Concrete Institute, 20 p.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1978, Cement and concrete terminology: American Concrete Institute Publications, SP. 19 (78), 50 p.
- American Public Works Assoc., 1970, Standard specifications for public works construction: Part 2 - Construction Materials, Sec. 200 Rock Materials, p. 62-70.
- American Society for Testing and Materials, 1975, Significance of tests and properties of concrete and concrete-making materials: American Society for Testing and Materials, Special Technical Publication No. 169-A, 571 p.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1978, Annual book of ASTM standards, Part 14: Concrete and Mineral Aggregates, 814 p.
- Bates, R. L., 1969, Geology of the industrial rocks and minerals: Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 459 p.
- Blanks, R., and Kennedy, H., 1955, The technology of cement and concrete, Vol. 1: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 422 p.
- Borup, H. J., and Bagley, D. G., 1976, Soil survey of Meadow Valley area, Nevada-Utah, parts of Lincoln County, Nevada, and Iron County, Utah: U.S. Dept. Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 174 p.
- Brokaw, A., and Heidrick, T., 1960, Geologic map and section of the Girou Wash quadrangle, White Pine County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Map, GQ-476.
- Brokaw, A. L., and Barosh, P. J., 1968, Geologic map of the Rieptown quadrangle, White Pine County, Nevada: U.S. Geol. Survey Map, GQ-750.
- Brokaw, A. L., Bauer, H. L., and Breitrack, R. A., 1973, Geologic map of the Ruth quadrangle, White Pine County, Nevada: U.S. Geol. Survey Map, GQ-1085.
- Brokaw, A. L., and Shawe, D. R., 1965, Geologic map and sections of the Ely SW quadrangle, White Pine County, Nevada: U.S. Geol. Survey Map, I-449.
- Brown, L., 1959, Petrography of cement and concrete: Portland Cement Research Dept., Bull. 111.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY (Cont'd.)

- Carr, W. J., 1966, Geology and test potential of Timber Mountain Caldera area, Nevada: U.S. Geol. Survey, Tech. Letter NTS-174.
- Erlin, B., 1966, Methods used in petrographic studies of concrete: Portland Cement Association, Research Department Bull. 193, 17 p.
- Freedman, S., 1971, High strength concrete, Portland Cement Association, concrete Information Reprint, 17 p.
- Fritz, W. H., 1968, Geologic map and sections of the southern Cherry Creek and northern Egan Ranges, White Pine County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines Map, 35.
- Fugro National, Inc., 1978, Aggregate resources report, Department of Defense and Bureau of Land Management lands, southwestern United States: Cons. Report for SAMSO, 85 p.
- Gile, L. H., 1961, A classification of Ca horizons in soils in a desert region, Dona Ana County, New Mexico: Soil Sci. Soc. America Proc., v. 25, No. 1, p. 52-61.
- Hadley, D. W., 1961, Alkalai reactivity of carbonate rocks--expansion and dedolomitization: Research and Development Laboratories of the Portland Cement Association, Bull. 139, p. 462-474.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1964, Alkalai reactivity of dolomitic carbonate rocks: Research and Development Laboratories of the Portland Cement Association, Bull. 176, 19 p.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1968, Field and laboratory studies on the reactivity of sand-gravel aggregates: Research and Development Laboratories of the Portland Cement Association, Bull. 221, p. 17-33.
- Hose, R. K., Blake, M. C., Jr., and Smith, R., 1976, Geology and mineral resources of White Pine County, Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, Bull. 85, 105 p.
- Howard, E. L., compiler, 1978, Geologic map of the eastern Great Basin, Nevada and Utah: Terra Scan Group LTD., 3 sheets.
- Ketner, K. B., 1976, Map showing high-purity quartzite in California, Nevada, Utah, Idaho and Montana: U.S. Geol. Survey Map, MF-821.
- Lerch, W., 1959, A cement-aggregate reaction that occurs with certain sand-gravel aggregates: Research and Development Laboratories of the Portland Cement Association, Bull. 122, p. 42-50.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY (Cont'd.)

- McKee, E. D., and Weir, G. W., 1953, Terminology for stratification and cross-stratification in sedimentary rocks: Geol. Soc. America Bull., v. 64, p. 381-389.
- Murphy, J. B., Nichols, S. L., and Schilling, J. H., No date, Rockhound map of Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, special publication 1.
- National Ready Mixed Concrete Association, 1961, Selection and use of aggregate for concrete: National Ready Mixed Concrete Association, Publication No. 101, p. 513 - 542.
- National Sand & Gravel Association, 1977, Compilation of ASTM standards relating to sand, gravel and concrete: NSGA Circular No. 113, NRMCA Pub. No. 137.
- Nevada Department of Highways, No date, Materials and research laboratory, aggregate test data: Unpublished.
- Nolan, T., et al., 1971, Geologic map of the Eureka Quadrangle, Eureka and White Pine Counties, Nevada: U.S. Geol. Survey Map, I-612.
- Office of State Inspector of Mines, 1977, Directory of Nevada mine operations active during calander year 1976: Nevada Industrial Commission, 59 p.
- Papke, G. K., 1973, Industrial mineral deposits of Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, map 46.
- Pickett, G., 1956, Effect of aggregate on shrinkage of concrete and hypothesis concerning shrinkage: Portland Cement Association, Research Dept., Bull. 66, 5 p.
- Powers, T. C., and Steinour, H. H., 1955, An interpretation of published researches on the alkali-aggregate reaction: Research and Development Laboratories of the Portland Cement Association, Bull. 55, Part I and II, p. 497, 785.
- Reid, J. A., 1904, Preliminary report on the building stones of Nevada, including a brief chapter on road metal: Univ. of Nevada Dept. of Geology and Mining, v. 1, no. 1, 57 p.
- Roper, H., 1960, Volume changes of concrete affected by aggregate type: Portland Cement Association, Research Dept. Bull. 123, 4 p.
- Synder, C. T., Hardman, George, and Zdenek, F. F., 1964, Pleistocene lakes in the Great Basin: U.S. Geol. Survey Map, I-416.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY (Cont'd.)

- Steinour, H. H., 1960, Concrete mix water--how impure can it be? Research and Development Laboratories of the Portland Cement Association, Bull. 119, p. 33-50.
- Steven, T. A., 1978, Geologic map of the Sevier SW quadrangle, west-central Utah: U.S. Geol. Survey Map MF 962.
- Stewart, H., and Carlson, J. E., 1978, Geologic Map of Nevada: U.S. Geol. Survey, scale 1:500,000.
- Teichert, J. A., 1959, Geology of the southern Stansbury Range: Utah Geol. and Mineral. Survey, Bull. 65, 75 p.
- Travis, R. B., 1955, Classification of rocks: Quarterly of the Colorado School of Mines, v. 50, No. 1, 98 p.
- U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1953, Test data, concrete aggregate in continental U.S.: U. S. Army Corps of Engineers V.1, areas 2 and 3.
- U. S. Bureau of Land Management, 1974, Nevada BLM Statistics (1974): U. S. Dept. of Interior, 20 p.
- U. S. Department of Agriculture, 1959, Soil survey, east Millard area, Utah: U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 101 p.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1960, Soil survey, Beryl-Enterprise Area, Utah: U. S. Dept. of Agriculture, 75 p.
- U. S. Department of the Interior, 1975, Concrete Manual: Water Resources Technical Publication, 627 p.
- U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, 1974, The Mineral Industry of Nevada.
- U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, 1966, Concrete Manual: A Manual for the Control of Concrete Construction, 642 p.
- \_\_\_\_\_, Lower Colorado Regional Office, Nevada Aggregate Data, Unpublished.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1974, Earth Manual: U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, 810 p.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1975, Concrete Manual: U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, 627 p.
- U. S. Geological Survey, 1964, Mineral and water resources of Nevada: U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, 314 p.

BIBLIOGRAPHY (Cont'd.)

- Voskuil, W. H., 1966, Selected readings in mineral economics: Nevada Bureau of Mines, Report 12, 18 p.
- Waddell, J., 1976, Concrete inspection manual: International Conference of Building Officials, 332 p.
- Womack, J. C., et al., 1963, Materials manual: California Highway Transportation Agency, vol. I and II.

FN-TR-37-c

APPENDIX A

Fugro National Field Station and Supplementary  
Test Data and Existing Test Data Summary Tables -  
White River Valley

EXPLANATION OF FUGRO NATIONAL  
FIELD STATION AND SUPPLEMENTARY  
TEST DATA

Fugro National field stations were established at locations throughout the Valley-Specific study area where detailed descriptions of potential basin-fill or rock aggregate sources were recorded (Drawing 1). All field observations and laboratory test data on samples collected at selected stations are presented in Table A-1. Data entries record conditions at specific field station locations that have been generalized in the text and Drawing 2. Detailed explanations for the column headings in Table A-1 are as follows:

<u>Column Heading</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
Map Number	This sequentially arranged numbering system was established to facilitate the labelling of Fugro National field station locations and existing data sites on Drawing 1 and to list the correlating information on Tables A-1 and A-2 in an orderly arrangement.
Field Station	<p>Fugro National field station data are comprised of information collected during:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o The Valley-Specific Aggregate Resources Study; sequentially numbered field stations were completed by two investigative teams (A and B). The Dry Lake Candidate Deployment Area (DLCDP) designation is obsolete. The presently understood study area consists of Dry Lake, Muleshoe, Delamar, and Pahroc valleys.</li> <li>o The general aggregate investigation in Nevada (NV); R and H indicate ground and aerial reconnaissance stops, respectively.</li> <li>o The Verification study in Dry Lake (DL), Muleshoe (MS), Delamar (DM), and Pahroc</li> </ul>

<u>Column Heading</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
Field Station (cont.)	(P) valleys; trench data (T) were restricted to information below the soil horizon (1 to 2 meters).
Location	Lists major physiographic or cultural feature in/or near which field stations or existing data sites are situated.
Geologic Unit	Generalized basin-fill or rock geologic units at field station or existing data locations. Thirteen classifications, emphasizing age and lithologic distinctions were developed from existing geologic maps to accommodate map scale of Drawing 2.
Material Description	Except in cases where soil or rock samples were classified on laboratory results, the descriptions are based on field visual observations utilizing the Unified Soil Classification System (See Appendix C for detailed USCS information).

#### Field Observations

Boulders and/or Cobbles, Percent	The estimated percentage of boulders and cobbles is based on an appraisal of the entire deposit. Cobbles have an average diameter between 3 and 12 inches (8 and 30 cm); boulders have an average diameter of 12 inches (30 cm) or more.
Gravel	Particles that will pass a 3-inch (76 mm) and are retained on No. 4 (4.75 mm) sieve.
Sand	Particles passing No. 4 sieve and retained on No. 200 (0.075 mm) sieve.
Fines	Silt or clay, soil particles passing No. 200.
Plasticity (Index)	Plasticity index is the range of water content, expressed as percentage of the weight of the oven-dried soil, through which the soil is plastic. It is defined as the liquid limit minus the plastic limit. Field classification followed standard descriptions and their ranges are as follows:  None - Nonplastic (NP) (PI, 0 - 4) Low - Slightly plastic (PI, 4 - 15) Medium - Medium plastic (PI, 15 - 30) High - Highly plastic (PI, > 31)

<u>Column Heading</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
Hardness	A field test to identify materials that are soft or poorly bonded by estimating their resistance to impact with a rock hammer; classified as either soft, moderately hard, hard, or very hard.
Weathering	Changes in color, texture, strength, chemical composition or other properties of rock outcrops or rock particles due to the action of weather; field classified as either fresh or slight(ly) moderate(ly) or very weathered.
Deleterious Materials	Substances potentially detrimental to concrete performance that may be present in aggregate; includes organic impurities, low density material, (ash, vesicules, pumice, cinders), amorphous silica (opal, chert, chalcedony), volcanic glass, caliche coatings, clay coatings, mica, gypsum, pyrite, chlorite, and friable materials, also, aggregate that may react chemically or be affected chemically by other external influences.

#### Laboratory Test Data

Sieve Analysis (ASTM C 136)	The determination of the proportions of particles lying within certain size ranges in granular material by separation on sieves of different size openings; 3-inch, 1 1/2-inch, 3/4-inch, 3/8-inch, No. 4, No. 8, No. 16, No. 30, No. 50, No. 100 and No. 200.
No. 8, No. 50	Asterisked entries used No. 10 and No. 40 sieves, respectively.
Abrasion Test (ASTM C 131)	A method for testing abrasion resistance of an aggregate by placing a specified amount in a steel drum ( the Los Angeles testing machine), rotating it 500 times, and determining the material worn away.
Soundness Test (ASTM C 88) CA, FA	CA = Coarse Aggregate FA = Fine Agregate  The testing of aggregates to determine their resistance to disintegration by saturated solutions of magnesium sulfate. It furnishes information helpful in judging the soundness of aggregates subject to weathering action, particularly when adequate

Column HeadingExplanation

	information is not available from service records of the material exposed to actual weathering conditions.
Specific Gravity and Absorption (ASTM C 127 and 128)	Methods to determine the Bulk Specific Gravity, Bulk SSD Specific Gravity (Saturated - Surface Dry Basis), and Apparent Specific Gravity and Absorption as defined in ASTM E12-70 and ASTM C 125, respectively.
Alkali Reactivity (ASTM C 289)	This method covers chemical determination of the potential reactivity of an aggregate with alkalis in portland cement concrete as indicated by the amount of reaction during 24 h at 80 C between 1 N sodium hydroxide solution and aggregate that has been crushed and sieved to pass a No. 50 (300- $\mu$ m) sieve and be retained on a No. 100 (150- $\mu$ m) sieve.
Aggregate Use	<p>I = Class I; potentially suitable concrete aggregate and road-base material source.</p> <p>II = Class II; possibly unsuitable concrete aggregate/potentially suitable road-base material source.</p> <p>III = Class III; unsuitable concrete aggregate or road base material source.</p> <p>c = coarse aggregate  f = fine aggregate  f/c = fine and coarse aggregate  cr = crushed rock</p> <p>All sources not specifically identified as Class I, II, or III from the abrasion, soundness, or alkali reactivity tests or the content of clay- and silt-sized particles, are designated as Class II sources.</p>

MAP NUMBER	FIELD STATION	LOCATION	GEOLOGIC UNIT	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	DISTRIBUTION OF MATERIAL FINER THAN COBBLES, PERCENT				DIAGNOSTICITY
						BOULDERS AND/OR COBBLES, PERCENT	GRAVEL	SAND	FINES	
1	WRNCDP-A1	White River Valley	Aafg	Silty Gravelly Sand	SM					No
2	WRNCDP-A2	White River Valley	Aafg	Sandy Gravel	GP					Lc
3	WRNCDP-A3	Shingle Pass	Vu	Welded Ash						
4	WRNCDP-A4	White River Valley	Aals	Gravelly Sand	SP-SM					No
5	WRNCDP-A5	Fox Mountains	Aols	Gravelly Sand	SP-SM	T				No
6	WRNCDP-A6	White River Valley	Qtz	Quartzite						
7	WRNCDP-A7	White River Valley	Aols	Silty Sand	SM	0	5	75	20	No
8	WRNCDP-A8	White River Valley	Su	Conglomerate						
9	WRNCDP-A9	Timber Mountains Pass	Vu	Ash Flow Tuff						
10	WRNCDP-A12	White River Valley	Vu	Welded Ash Flow						
11	WRNCDP-A13	White River Valley	Vu	Rhyolite						
12	WRNCDP-A14	White River Valley	Ls	Limestone						



LABORATORY TEST DATA

TM C 136)			ABRASION TEST (ASTM C 131)	SOUNDNESS TEST (ASTM C 88)	SPECIFIC GRAVITY AND ABSORPTION (ASTM C 127 AND C 128)								ALKALI REACTIVITY (ASTM C 289)		
NO. 50	NO. 100	NO. 200			PERCENT WEAR	COARSE AGGREGATE				FINE AGGREGATE				CA	FA
						PERCENT LOSS		SPECIFIC GRAVITY		PERCENT ABSORPTION	SPECIFIC GRAVITY		PERCENT ABSORPTION		
				CA	FA	BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR-ENT	PERCENT ABSORPTION		BULK	BULK SSD		APPAR-ENT	PERCENT ABSORPTION
38.6	28.5	19.1			20.53										
			21.2	2.43		2.74	2.76	2.80	0.83						
15.3	7.9	5.2			30.50										
22.5	12.8	8.2			30.97										
			28.7	2.30											
			34.6	23.6											

Innocuous  
(Borderline)

FUGRO NATION  
AND SUPPLEM  
WHITE RIVER

MX SITING INVE  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AI

**FUGRO NA**

ABSORPTION C 128)				ALKALI REACTIVITY (ASTM C 289)		AGGREGATE USE
FINE AGGREGATE			PERCENT ABSORPTION			
K	BULK SSD	APPAR- ENT		CIFIC GRAVITY		
				Innocuous (Borderline)		IIf
						Ic
						IICr
						IIf
						IIf
						Icr
						IIf
						IICr
						IICr
						IICr
						IICr
						IICr
						IICr

**FUGRO NATIONAL FIELD STATION  
 AND SUPPLEMENTARY TEST DATA  
 WHITE RIVER VALLEY, NEVADA**

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE 000

1981  
 A-1  
 PAGE 1 OF 6

**FUGRO NATIONAL, INC.**

MAP NUMBER	FIELD STATION	LOCATION	GEOLOGIC UNIT	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	DISTRIBUTION OF MATERIAL FINER THAN COBBLES, PERCENT				PLASTICITY
						BOULDERS AND OR COBBLES, PERCENT	GRAVEL	SAND	FINES	
13	WRNCDP-A15	White River Valley	Ls	Limestone						
14	WRNCDP-A16	White River Valley	Aolg	Gravel	GP					None
15	WRNCDP-A17	White River Valley	Ls	Limestone						
16	WRNCDP-A18	Sawmill Canyon	Ls	Limestone						
17	WRNCDP-A19	Sawmill Canyon	Aafg	Sandy Gravel	GP					None
18	WRNCDP-A20	The Cove	Vu	Andesite						
19	WRNCDP-A21	White Knoll	Ls	Limestone						
20	WRNCDP-A22	White River Valley	Aafs	Gravelly Sand	SP-SM	T	30	60	10	Low
21	WRNCDP-A23	White River Valley	Aafg	Sandy Gravel	GP					None
22	WRNCDP-A24	Sheep Pass Canyon	Ls	Limestone						
23	WRNCDP-A25	Nine Mile Canyon	Ls	Limestone						
24	WRNCDP-A26	White River Valley	Aafg	Sandy Gravel	GP					None
25	WRNCDP-A27	Shingle Pass	Aafg	Sandy Gravel	GP					None

FIELD OBSERVATIONS				SIEVE ANALYSIS, PERCENT PASSING (ASTM C 136)													
FINES	PLASTICITY	HARDNESS	WEATHERING	DELETERIOUS MATERIALS													
					3"	1½"	¾"	3/8"	NO. 4	NO. 8	NO. 16	NO. 30	NO. 50	NO. 100	NO. 200		
	None	Hard	Very	<5% Chert													
	None			12% Chert	100	34.2	8.6	6.0	4.5	3.7	3.0	2.3	1.7	1.5			
		Very Hard	Fresh	None													
	None	Mod. Hard	Very	<5% Chert													
	None			Caliche Coatings	100	89.1	78.1	59.7	40.4								
		Very Hard	Slight	<5% Volcanic Glass													
	Low	Hard	Slight	Chert													
	None			<5% Chert													
	None			4% Altered Volcanics, Caliche Coatings	94.2	87.9	79.0	62.9	44.6	34.6	24.3	18.2	13.8	10.0			
		Hard	Slight	None													
		Hard	Slight	None													
	None			Caliche Coatings	100	93.4	78.7	57.4	38.8								
	None			None	98.2	81.6	67.6	52.6	42.4	36.4	30.8	24.3	15.0	7.8			

**LABORATORY TEST DATA**

M C 136)			ABRASION TEST (ASTM C 131)	SOUNDNESS TEST (ASTM C 88)		SPECIFIC GRAVITY AND ABSORPTION (ASTM C 127 AND C 128)								ALKALI REACTIVITY (ASTM C 289)	
						COARSE AGGREGATE				FINE AGGREGATE					
						SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION	SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION		
						BULK	BULK SSD	APPARENT		BULK	BULK SSD	APPARENT			
NO. 50	NO. 100	NO. 200	PERCENT WEAR	CA	FA	BULK	BULK SSD	APPARENT	PERCENT ABSORPTION	BULK	BULK SSD	APPARENT	PERCENT ABSORPTION	CA	FA
			25.7	1.9		2.69	2.70	2.71	0.31						
1.7	1.5	1.3	21.9	1.14	9.36	2.56	2.60	2.66	1.59						
			26.3	4.43		2.70	2.72	2.76	0.83						
			20.9	2.80		2.47	2.52	2.60	2.03						Potentially Deleterious
13.8	10.0	4.5	28.4	6.52	17.25										
			24.3	2.64		2.70	2.72	2.76	0.74						
15.0	7.8	4.3	25.4	4.12	17.46										

**FUGRO NATIONAL AND SUPPLEMENTARY WHITE RIVER**  
 MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR  
**FUGRO**

SPECIFIC GRAVITY AND ABSORPTION (ASTM C 127 AND C 128)								ALKALI REACTIVITY (ASTM C 289)		AGGREGATE USE
COARSE AGGREGATE				FINE AGGREGATE				CA	FA	
SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION	SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION			
BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR- ENT		BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR- ENT				
1.69	2.70	2.71	0.31							IIcr
1.56	2.60	2.66	1.59							IIc If IIcr IIcr
1.70	2.72	2.76	0.83							Ic IIf
1.47	2.52	2.60	2.03						Potentially Deleterious	Icr IIcr IIf/c Ic IIf IIcr IIcr
1.70	2.72	2.76	0.74							Ic IIf Ic IIf

FUGRO NATIONAL FIELD STATION  
AND SUPPLEMENTARY TEST DATA  
WHITE RIVER VALLEY, NEVADA

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - DND

TABLE  
A-1  
PAGE 2 OF 8

**FUGRO NATIONAL INC.**

MAP NUMBER	FIELD STATION	LOCATION	GEOLOGIC UNIT	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	FI				PLASTICITY
						BOULDERS AND COBBLES, PERCENT	DISTRIBUTION OF MATERIAL FINER THAN COBBLES, PERCENT			
							GRAVEL	SAND	FINES	
26	WRNCDP-B1	White River Valley	Aalg	Gravelly Sand	SM	0	20	65	15	Low
27	WRNCDP-B2	White River Valley	Qtz	Quartzite						
28	WRNCDP-B3	White River Valley	Aafg	Sandy Gravel	GP	10	60	25	15	Low
29	WRNCDP-B6	White River Valley	Aalg	Sandy Gravel	GP-GM					None
30	WRNCDP-B9	White River Valley	Vb	Basalt						
31	WRNCDP-B10	White River Valley	Aafs	Clayey Sandy Gravel	GC					Low
32	WRNCDP-B11	White River Valley	Aafg	Sandy Gravel	GP					None
33	WRNCDP-B12	White River Valley	Aafs	Gravelly Sand	SP	5	30	70	T	None
34	WRNCDP-B13	White River Valley	Aafg	Sandy Gravel	GP					None
35	WRNCDP-B14	White River Valley	Ls	Limestone						
36	WRNCDP-B15	White River Valley	Aals	Sandy Gravel	GP					None
37	WRNCDP-B16	White River Valley	Ls	Limestone						
38	WRNCDP-B17	White River Valley	Vu	Perlite						

FIELD OBSERVATIONS																
PLASTICITY	HARDNESS	WEATHERING	DELETERIOUS MATERIALS	SIEVE ANALYSIS, PERCENT PASSING (ASTM C 136)												
				3"	1 1/2"	3/4"	3/8"	NO. 4	NO. 8	NO. 16	NO. 30	NO. 50	NO. 100	NO. 200		
Low	Very Hard	Slight	56% Chert and Jaspens													
			None													
Low			Volcanic Glass													
None	Hard	Slight	<5% Caliche Nodules	100	92.9	83.7	69.2	51.8	42.2	32.4	22.8	13.5	9.0	6.3		
			Vesicles													
Low			Caliche Nodules	100	97.3	85.6	68.5	52.8	41.6	35.0	31.2	28.1	25.3	20.5		
None			8% Caliche Nodules	90.1	80.9	59.8	37.7	22.8								
None			16% Low Density Materials													
None	Very Hard	Slight	30% Friable and Low Density Materials	66.6	48.1	35.1	28.7	24.4								
			None													
None	Hard	Slight	Low Density Materials	100	99.1	85.8	67.9	34.6	25.5	19.2	13.6	8.6	6.0	3.9		
			None													
	Hard	Slight	30 to 40% Volcanic Glass													

2

**LABORATORY TEST DATA**

C 136)			ABRASION TEST (ASTM C 131)	SOUNDNESS TEST (ASTM C 88)		SPECIFIC GRAVITY AND ABSORPTION (ASTM C 127 AND C 128)								ALKALI REACTIVITY (ASTM C 289)	
						COARSE AGGREGATE				FINE AGGREGATE					
NO. 50	NO. 100	NO. 200	PERCENT WEAR	PERCENT LOSS		SPECIFIC GRAVITY				SPECIFIC GRAVITY				CA	FA
				CA	FA	BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR-ENT	PERCENT ABSORPTION	BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR-ENT	PERCENT ABSORPTION		
			38.8	8.2											
13.5	9.0	6.3	27.0	10.96	24.85	2.53	2.59	2.68	2.14					Innocuous	Innocuous (Borderline)
28.1	25.3	20.5	20.5	5.36	11.68					2.64	2.68	2.73	1.17		
			30.3	2.96		2.66	2.69	2.74	1.15						
			33.3	19.74											
			29.1	1.60		2.0	2.71	2.71	0.20						
8.6	6.0	3.9	26.1	9.68	23.87	2.68	2.71	2.78	1.28					Innocuous	Potentially Deleterious

**FUGRO NATIONAL AND SUPPLEMENT WHITE RIVER**  
 MX SITING INVE  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE AR  
**FUGRO**

AVITY AND ABSORPTION (127 AND C 128)					ALKALI REACTIVITY (ASTM C 289)		AGGREGATE USE
PERCENT ABSORPTION	FINE AGGREGATE			PERCENT ABSORPTION	CA	FA	
	BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR- ENT				
.14					Innocuous	Innocuous (Borderline)	IIIf/c  Icr  IIc/f  Ic IIIf  IIcr  Ic/f
.15	2.64	2.68	2.73	1.17			Ic  IIIf/c  IIc  Icr
.20							Ic IIIf  IIcr
.28					Innocuous	Potentially Deleterious	Ic IIIf  IIcr  IIcr

**FUGRO NATIONAL FIELD STATION  
 AND SUPPLEMENTARY TEST DATA  
 WHITE RIVER VALLEY, NEVADA**

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - DMO

TABLE  
**A-1**  
 PAGE 3 OF 6

**FUGRO NATIONAL, INC.**

MAP NUMBER	FIELD STATION	LOCATION	GEOLOGIC UNIT	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	DISTRIBUTION OF MATERIAL FINER THAN COBBLES, PERCENT				
						BOULDERS AND/OR COBBLES, PERCENT	GRAVEL	SAND		FINES
39	WRNCDP-B18	Horse Range Mountains	Vu	Rhyolite						
40	WRNCDP-B19	Horse Range Mountains	Su	Conglomerate						
41	WRNCDP-B20	Horse Range Mountains	Su	Siltstone						
42	WRNCDP-B21	White River Valley	Aafg	Silty Sandy Gravel	GM	5	45	35	15	Lo
43	WRNCDP-B22	White River Valley	Aals	Gravelly Sand	SP	T	45	55	T	Ne
44	WRNCDP-C1	W. White River Valley	Aafg	Sandy Gravel	GP	T	55	40	5	Ne
45	WRNCDP-C2	E. White River Valley	Aafg	Sandy Gravel	GP	T	55	37	8	Lo
46	WRNCDP-C3	White River Valley	Qtz	Quartzite						
47	WRNCDP-C4	Horse Range	Do	Dolomite						
48	WRNCDP-C5	Golden Gate Range	Ls	Limestone						
49	NV-R-69	White Pine Range	Cau	Limestone						
50	NV-R-70	White Pine Range	Vu	Rhyolite						



LABORATORY TEST DATA

TM C 136)			ABRASION TEST (ASTM C 131)	SOUNDNESS TEST (ASTM C 88)			SPECIFIC GRAVITY AND ABSORPTION (ASTM C 127 AND C 128)								ALKALI REACTIVITY (ASTM C 289)	
NO. 50	NO. 100	NO. 200		PERCENT WEAR	PERCENT LOSS		COARSE AGGREGATE				FINE AGGREGATE				CA	FA
					CA	FA	SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION	SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION		
						BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR-ENT			BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR-ENT			
			25.7	1.20										Innocuous		

FUGRO NATION  
AND SUPPLEME  
WHITE RIVER

MX SITING INVES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR

**FUGRO NA**

SPECIFIC GRAVITY AND ABSORPTION (ASTM C 127 AND C 128)							ALKALI REACTIVITY (ASTM C 289)		AGGREGATE USE
AGGREGATE			FINE AGGREGATE				CA	FA	
GRAVITY		PERCENT ABSORPTION	SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION			
BLK D	APPAR- ENT		BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR- ENT				
							Innocuous		Icr
									IIcr
									IIcr
									IIc/f
									IIIf/c
									IIc/f
									IIc/f
									IIcr
									IIcr
									IIcr
									IIcr
									IIcr

FUGRO NATIONAL FIELD STATION  
AND SUPPLEMENTARY TEST DATA  
WHITE RIVER VALLEY, NEVADA

NX SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE 0000

TABLE  
A-1  
PAGE 4 OF 6

**FUGRO NATIONAL, INC.**

MAP NUMBER	FIELD STATION	LOCATION	GEOLOGIC UNIT	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	DISTRIBUTION OF MATERIAL FINER THAN COBBLES, PERCENT				PLASTICITY
						BOULDERS AND OR COBBLES, PERCENT	GRAVEL	SAND	FINES	
51	NV-R-71	White Pine Range	Vu	Rhyolite						
52	NV-R-72	White River Valley	Au	Silty Sand	SM		20	65	15	Low
53	NV-R-73	White River Valley	Au	Sandy Gravel	GP		60	35	5	NP
54	NV-R-74	White River Valley	Aafs	Sandy Gravel	GP-GM		60	30	10	NP
55	NV-R-75	White River Valley	Aafs	Sandy Gravel	GP					NP
56	NV-R-76	White River Valley	Aaf	Sandy Silt	ML		20	35	45	Low
57	NV-R-77	Gap Mountains	Aaf	Gravelly Sand	SP		35	60	5	NP
58	NV-R-77	Gap Mountains	Ls	Limestone						
59	NV-R-78	White River Valley	Aaf	Silty Sand	SP-SM		25	65	10	NP
60	NV-R-79	White River Valley	Aaf	Silty Sand	SP		15	80	5	NP
61	NV-R-80	White River Valley	Aol	Sandy Gravel	GP					NP
62	NV-R-81	White River Valley	Au	Silty Sand	SP		35	55	10	NP
63	NV-R-82	White River Valley	Au	Silty Sand	SP-SM		35	50	15	NP



LABORATORY TEST DATA

AGGREGATE USE	PERCENT WEAR	SOUNDNESS TEST (ASTM C 88)	SPECIFIC GRAVITY AND ABSORPTION (ASTM C 127 AND C 128)								ALKALI REACTIVITY (ASTM C 289)	
			COARSE AGGREGATE				FINE AGGREGATE				CA	FA
			SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION	SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION		
			BULK	BULK SSD	APPARENT		BULK	BULK SSD	APPARENT			
IIcr												
IIIf												
IIc/f												
IIc/f												
IIc/f												
IIIf												
IIIf/c												
IIcr												
IIIf												
IIIf												
Ic												
IIIf												
IIIf/c												
IIIf/c												

4.6

FUGRO NATIONAL FIELD STATION  
AND SUPPLEMENTARY TEST DATA  
WHITE RIVER VALLEY, NEVADA

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - DMO

TABLE  
A-1  
PAGE 5 OF 6

**FUGRO NATIONAL INC.**

MAP NUMBER	FIELD STATION	LOCATION	GEOLOGIC UNIT	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	FIB				
						BOULDERS AND/OR COBBLES, PERCENT	DISTRIBUTION OF MATERIAL FINER THAN COBBLES, PERCENT			PLASTICITY
							GRAVEL	SAND	FINES	
64	NV-R-83	White River Valley	Vu	Basalt and Rhyolitic Tuff						
65	NV-R-84	White River Valley	Aaf	Silty Sand	SP-SM	20	65	15	None	
66	NV-H-12	North Pahroc Range	Cau	Limestone						
67	NV-H-13	North Pahroc Range	Au	Gravelly Sand	SP	15	80	5	None	
68	NV-H-14	Seaman Range	Cau	Limestone						
69	NV-H-108	White Pine Mountains	Su	Shale, Limestone, Dolomite						
70	WR-T-2	Forest Home	Aafs	Silty Gravel	GM				Low	
71	WR-T-3	White River Valley	Au	Silty Sand	SM				None	

FIELD OBSERVATIONS				SIEVE ANALYSIS, PERCENT PASSING (ASTM C 136)											ABRASION PER W
HARDNESS	WEATHERING	DELETERIOUS MATERIALS	3"	1½"	¾"	3/8"	NO. 4	NO. 8	NO. 16	NO. 30	NO. 50	NO. 100	NO. 200		
		Glassy, Soft													
		100% Glassy Volcanics													
		Abundant Chert													
		60% Vesicular Volcanics													
		Dolomitic													
		Soft Materials													
			100	89	74	60	51	45*		34*		22	22		
						100	99	93*		67*		38	27		

LABORATORY TEST DATA												AGGREGATE USE	
ABRASION TEST (ASTM C 131)	SOUNDNESS TEST (ASTM C 88)		SPECIFIC GRAVITY AND ABSORPTION (ASTM C 127 AND C 128)								ALKALI REACTIVITY (ASTM C 289)		
			COARSE AGGREGATE				FINE AGGREGATE						
			SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION	SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION			
PERCENT WEAR	PERCENT LOSS CA	PERCENT LOSS FA	BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR- ENT		BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR- ENT		CA	FA	
													IICr
													IIf
													IICr
													IIf
													IICr
													IICr
													IICr/f
													IIf

FUGRO NATIONAL FIELD STATION  
AND SUPPLEMENTARY TEST DATA  
WHITE RIVER VALLEY, NEVADA

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE DND

TABLE  
A-1  
PAGE 6 OF 6

**FUGRO NATIONAL INC.**

## EXPLANATION OF EXISTING DATA

Existing data pertaining to aggregates were extracted from the State of Nevada Department of Highways. These reports are compilations of available site data from existing files and records and are intended to accurately locate, investigate, and catalog materials needed for highway construction. Explanations for column headings which appear in Table A-2, that have not been previously discussed in Table A-1, are given below:

<u>Column Heading</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
Site Number	State of Nevada Department of Highways pit or site number. Locations correspond to map numbers listed on this table and placed on Drawing 1.
Soundness Test	The testing of aggregates to determine their resistance to disintegration by saturated solutions of sodium sulfate. It furnishes information helpful in judging the soundness of aggregates subject to weathering action, particularly when adequate information is not available from service records of the material exposed to actual weathering conditions.

MAP NUMBER	SITE NUMBER	DATA SOURCE	LOCATION	GEOLOGIC UNIT	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL			
							> 6"	3-6"	1½"
72	NY-33-1	Nevada Dept. of Highways	Sunnyside	Aafg	Clayey Gravel	GC	5	10	
73	NY-33-2	Nevada Dept. of Highways	S. Sunnyside	Aaf	Silty Gravel	GM	1	3	
74	NY-33-3	Nevada Dept. of Highways	S. Sunnyside	Aols	Silty Gravel	GM	0	0	
75	NY-33-5	Nevada Dept. of Highways	S. Sunnyside	Aafg	Limestone				
76	NY-33-6	Nevada Dept. of Highways	S. Sunnyside	Aaf	Limestone				
77	WP-08-1	Nevada Dept. of Highways	Highway 6	Aaf	Silty Gravel	GP-GM		17	
78	WP-08-3	Nevada Dept. of Highways	Highway 6	Aaf	Silty Gravel	GM	6	4.2	

SIEVE ANALYSIS												ABRASION TEST (ASTM C 131)	SOUNDNESS TEST (ASTM C 88)		SPECIFIC GRA (ASTM C)			
															COARSE AGGREGATE			
															SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT
3/4"	1/2"	1/4"	3/8"	NO. 4	NO. 10	NO. 16	NO. 40	NO. 50	NO. 100	NO. 200	PERCENT WEAR	PERCENT LOSS		BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR-ENT	PERCENT	
												CA	FA					
32			54							5-24	24.9							
17			30							3-28	32.5							
3			7							4-35	39.6							
											26.9							
											27.9							
32			44							6-15	28.4							
19.7			20.4							4-49	29.4							

SOUNDNESS TEST (ASTM C 88)	SPECIFIC GRAVITY AND ABSORPTION (ASTM C 127 AND C 128)								PLASTICITY INDEX (ASTM D 423 AND D 424)	ALKALI REACTIVITY (ASTM C 289)		
	COARSE AGGREGATE				FINE AGGREGATE							
	SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION	SPECIFIC GRAVITY			PERCENT ABSORPTION		CA	FA	
	BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR- ENT		BULK	BULK SSD	APPAR- ENT					
CENT LOSS												
A	FA											
										NP-14		
										NP		
										NP-4		
										NP-11		
										NP		

EXISTING TEST DATA  
WHITE RIVER VALLEY, NEVADA

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - DND

TABLE  
A-2  
PAGE 1 OF 1

**TUBRO NATIONAL, INC.**


FN-TR-37-c

APPENDIX B

Summary of Caliche Development

DIAGNOSTIC CARBONATE MORPHOLOGY

STAGE	GRAVELLY SOILS	NONGRAVELLY SOILS
I	Thin, discontinuous pebble coatings	Few filaments or faint coatings
II	Continuous pebble coatings, some interpebble fillings	Few to abundant nodules, flakes, filaments
III	Many interpebble fillings	Many nodules and internodular fillings
IV	Laminar horizon overlying plugged horizon	Laminar horizon overlying plugged horizon



Stages of development of a caliche profile with time. Stage I represents incipient carbonate accumulation, followed by continuous build-up of carbonate until, in Stage IV, the soil is completely plugged.

SUMMARY OF CALICHE DEVELOPMENT

MR SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - DMO

FIGURE  
B-1

Reference: Gile, L.H., Peterson, F.F., and Grossman, R.B., 1965.  
The K horizon: A master horizon of carbonate accumulation; Soil Science, v. 90, p. 74-82.


FOR NATIONAL INC.

FN-TR-37-c

APPENDIX C

Unified Soil Classification System

Group Symbols	Typical Names	Information Required for Describing Soil	Laboratory Classification Criteria
GW	Well graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Give typical name, indicate approximate percentages of sand and gravel, maximum size, angularity, surface condition, and surface texture of coarse and fine fractions, and other pertinent descriptive information, and symbols in parentheses.	$C_u = \frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}}$ Greater than 4 $C_c = \frac{D_{30}^2}{D_{10} \times D_{60}}$ Between 1 and 3 Not meeting all gradation requirements for GW
GP	Poorly graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Give typical name, indicate approximate percentages of sand and gravel, maximum size, angularity, surface condition, and surface texture of coarse and fine fractions, and other pertinent descriptive information, and symbols in parentheses.	Not meeting all gradation requirements for GW Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1
GM	Silty gravels, poorly graded gravel-sand-silt mixtures	For undisturbed soils add information on stratification, degree of compaction, cementation, and drainage characteristics.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1
GC	Clayey gravels, poorly graded gravel-sand-silt mixtures	For undisturbed soils add information on stratification, degree of compaction, cementation, and drainage characteristics.	$C_u = \frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}}$ Greater than 6 $C_c = \frac{D_{30}^2}{D_{10} \times D_{60}}$ Between 1 and 3 Not meeting all gradation requirements for SP
SW	Well graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines	Give typical name, indicate approximate percentages of sand and gravel, maximum size, angularity, surface condition, and surface texture of coarse and fine fractions, and other pertinent descriptive information, and symbols in parentheses.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1
SP	Poorly graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines	Give typical name, indicate approximate percentages of sand and gravel, maximum size, angularity, surface condition, and surface texture of coarse and fine fractions, and other pertinent descriptive information, and symbols in parentheses.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1
SM	Silty sands, poorly graded sand-silt mixtures	For undisturbed soils add information on stratification, degree of compaction, cementation, and drainage characteristics.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1
SC	Clayey sands, poorly graded sand-silt mixtures	For undisturbed soils add information on stratification, degree of compaction, cementation, and drainage characteristics.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1
ML	Inorganic silts and very fine silty sands with slight plasticity	Give typical name, indicate degree and character of plasticity, amount and maximum size of sand, silt, and clay fractions, and other pertinent descriptive information, and symbols in parentheses.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1
CL	Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly silty clays, silty clays, lean clays	Give typical name, indicate degree and character of plasticity, amount and maximum size of sand, silt, and clay fractions, and other pertinent descriptive information, and symbols in parentheses.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1
OL	Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity	For undisturbed soils add information on structure, stratification, consistency in undisturbed and remoulded states, moisture and drainage conditions.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1
MH	Inorganic silts, micaceous or silty silts, clays, silts	Give typical name, indicate degree and character of plasticity, amount and maximum size of sand, silt, and clay fractions, and other pertinent descriptive information, and symbols in parentheses.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1
CH	Organic silts, clays of high plasticity, fat clays	For undisturbed soils add information on structure, stratification, consistency in undisturbed and remoulded states, moisture and drainage conditions.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1
OH	Organic clays of medium to high plasticity	For undisturbed soils add information on structure, stratification, consistency in undisturbed and remoulded states, moisture and drainage conditions.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1
PI	Peat and other highly organic soils	Give typical name, indicate degree and character of plasticity, amount and maximum size of sand, silt, and clay fractions, and other pertinent descriptive information, and symbols in parentheses.	Atterberg limits below 4 and 7 are greater than 1 "A" line with $P_I$ between 4 and 7 are greater than 1



Plasticity chart for laboratory classification of fine grained soils

From Wagner, 1917.  
 Boundary classification: Soils possessing characteristics of two groups are designated by combinations of group symbols. For example GW-GC, well graded gravel-sand mixture with clay binder. All soil sizes on this chart are U.S. Standard.

These procedures are to be performed on the minus No. 40 sieve size particles, approximately 1/4 in. For field classification purposes screening is not intended, simply remove by hand the coarse particles that interfere with the tests.

Dilatancy (Reaction to shaking):  
 After removing particles larger than No. 40 sieve size, prepare a pat of moist soil with a volume of about one-half cubic inch. Add enough water if necessary to make the soil soft but not sticky. Place the soil in a shallow dish and shake horizontally with moderate force. The soil should be uniform in color and consist of the appearance of water on the surface of the pat which changes to a lively consistency and becomes glossy. When the sample is squeezed between the fingers, the water and gloss disappear from the surface, the pat stiffens and finally it cracks or crumbles. The rapidity of appearance of water and the character of the reaction during squeezing assist in identifying the character of the silts in a soil.

Very fine clean sands give the quickest and most distinct reaction whereas a plastic clay has no reaction. Inorganic silts, such as a typical rock flour, show a moderately quick reaction.

**UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM**

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
 DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - WFO

TABLE  
 C-1

**TURO NATIONAL, INC.**

FN-TR-37-c

APPENDIX D

White River Valley  
Study Area Photographs



Slightly metamorphosed sandstone member of the Guilmette Formation (Cau) located north of Fox Mountain in the south central portion of the study area; Class I, crushed rock aggregate source (Field Station 6).

WHITE RIVER VALLEY  
STUDY AREA PHOTOGRAPH

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - BMD

FIGURE  
D-1

**FUGRO NATIONAL, INC.**



Limestone member of the Guilmette Formation (Cau) located in the Horse Range in the north central portion of the study area; Class I, crushed rock aggregate source (Field Station 12).

WHITE RIVER VALLEY  
STUDY AREA PHOTOGRAPH

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - BND

FIGURE  
D-2

**FUGRO NATIONAL, INC.**

FN-TR-37-c

APPENDIX E

Fugro National Geologic Unit Cross Reference

**UARS A POTENTIAL  
AGGREGATE  
SOURCE SYMBOLS**

**FUGRO NATIONAL GENERAL GEOLOGIC  
UNIT EXPLANATION**

**ROCK**

Shown in regions where rock is mapped, the locally predominant (greater than 70 percent) rock type is indicated. In those areas where two rock types occur, the predominant rock type is shown followed by the subordinate rock type (e.g., S<sub>1</sub> / T<sub>1</sub>). Rock may be subdivided into bedrock (B).


	<b>I</b>	<b>IGNEOUS (UNDIFFERENTIATED)</b> Rocks formed by solidification of a molten or partially molten mass.
Gr	I <sub>1</sub>	Intrusive: Plutonic rocks formed by solidification of molten material beneath the surface (e.g., granite, granodiorite, diorite, gabbro).
Vu	I <sub>2</sub>	Extrusive (intermediate and acidic): Volcanic rocks of intermediate and acidic composition formed by solidification of molten material at or near the surface (e.g., rhyolite, latite, dacite, andesite).
Vb	I <sub>3</sub>	Extrusive (basic): Volcanic rocks of basic composition (generally formed by solidification of molten materials at or near the surface) (e.g., basalt).
Vu	I <sub>4</sub>	Extrusive (pyroclastic): Rocks formed by accumulation of volcanic ejecta (e.g., ash, tuff, welded tuff, agglomerate).
Su	<b>S</b>	<b>SEDIMENTARY (UNDIFFERENTIATED)</b> Rocks formed by accumulation of clastic solids, organic solids and/or chemically precipitated minerals.
Su, QTZ	S <sub>1</sub>	Quartzaceous and/or Siliceous Rocks: Composed of sand-size particles (e.g., sandstone, arkosandstone) or of cryptocrystalline silica (e.g., opal, chert).
Ls, Do, Cau	S <sub>2</sub>	Carbonate Rocks: Composed predominantly of calcium carbonate (e.g., limestone, dolomite) or chemical precipitates (e.g., limestone, travertine, chert).
	S <sub>3</sub>	Argillaceous Rocks: Composed of clay and silt-sized particles (e.g., siltstone, shale, claystone).
	S <sub>4</sub>	Evaporite Rocks: Precipitated from solution as a result of evaporation (e.g., halite, gypsum, anhydrite, selenite).
Su	S <sub>5</sub>	Other Clastic Rocks: Composed of gravel-sized or larger clasts (e.g., conglomerate, breccia).
Mu	<b>M</b>	<b>METAMORPHIC (UNDIFFERENTIATED)</b> Rocks formed through recrystallization in the solid state of preexisting rocks by heat and pressure.
Ilu	M <sub>1</sub>	High-grade rocks formed by high-grade regional metamorphism (e.g., schist, gneiss, amphibolite).
Mu	M <sub>2</sub>	Low-grade rocks formed by low-grade regional metamorphism (e.g., schist, slate, phyllite).
Mu	M <sub>3</sub>	Metamorphic rocks formed chiefly by contact metamorphism (e.g., hornfels, marble).
QTZ	M <sub>4</sub>	Metacrystalline rocks formed by metamorphism of highly siliceous rocks.
	<b>A</b>	<b>BASE-FILL</b>
	A	BASE-FILL DEPOSITS: Fill-in coarse-grained materials deposited principally by wind, water or gravity.
Aal	A <sub>1</sub>	Younger Fluvial Deposits: Major modern stream channel and flood-plain deposits.
Au, Aal	A <sub>2</sub>	Older Fluvial Deposits: Older incision stream channel and flood-plain deposits in elevated terraces bordering major modern drainages.
Au	A <sub>3</sub>	Eolian Deposits: Wind-blown deposits of sand occurring as either thin sheets (A <sub>31</sub> ) or dunes (A <sub>32</sub> ).
Aol	A <sub>4</sub>	Plaza and Lacustrine Deposits: Deposits occurring in modern active basins (A <sub>41</sub> ) or in other inactive basins or other lake beds and abandoned shorelines associated with active basins (A <sub>42</sub> ).
Aaf	A <sub>5</sub>	Alluvial Fan Deposits: Alluvial deposits consisting of debris fan and water laid alluvium near mountain fronts grading into predominantly water laid alluvium deposited in shifting distributary channels near the basin center. Younger (A <sub>51</sub> ) intermediate (A <sub>52</sub> ) and older (A <sub>53</sub> ) alluvial fans are differentiated by surface soil development, terrain incisions and broad depositional (erosional) patterns.
Au	A <sub>6</sub>	Beach and dune units. Best profile extensive unit is listed first.
Aaf	A <sub>7</sub> (A <sub>71</sub> )	Parautochthonous unit underlies thin veneer of overlying marine unit.

**FUGRO NATIONAL GEOLOGIC UNIT CROSS REFERENCE**

MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE BMO

FIGURE  
E-1

**FUGRO NATIONAL, INC.**



Pancake Coal Mine

LITTLE ANTELOPE SUMMIT

VALLEY

WHITE

PINE

HUMBOLDT NATIONAL

RAILROAD VALLEY

RAINS

7698

8428

6

R. 57 E

8595

6767

6611

R. 56 E

5

8763

6661

10745

7678

7941

3

ANTELOPE SUMMIT

7498

Moorman Ranch  
6550

JAKES  
VALLEY

6767

6383

MOKOMO  
MITS

V  
A  
L  
L  
E  
Y

Harris Canyon

at Alford and Pond

Ford

5

6391

NATIONAL FOREST

6626


State Cabin  
Spring

LIMESTONE PEAK

Shiloh Spring

6752

Midway Hill





RAILROAD

N  
G  
E

5825

7735

THE PINE COUNTY  
RIVER COUNTY

J. Ranch

Redland Canyon

Redland Canyon

Black Point  
5571

Blackrock Canyon

5762

Blackrock Canyon

ROOSEV

CORNER  
MOUNTAIN

5611

5630

5632

Ranch

DUCK WATER

CORNER  
MOUNTAIN

Ranches

5645

Ranch

HUMBOLDT NA

WHITE PINE PK

8972

DUCKWATER  
RESERVATION

Duckwater  
5724

Ranches

2

3

Y  
A  
A

AD-A112 731

FUGRO NATIONAL INC LONG BEACH CA

F/B R/7

MX SITING INVESTIGATION. GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION. AGGREGATE RFS--ETC(U)

JUN 80

F04704-80-C-0006

UNCLASSIFIED

FN-TR-37-C


NL

2 of 2


of 2



END
DATE
FILED
4 82
DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A




3

PICTO VALLEY


WHITE RIVER VALLEY

GRANDE

STURGEON VALLEY



7



SIPTOE VALLEY

SIPTOE VALLEY

SIPTOE VALLEY

10478

Ward Mine

Edwards Spring

6798


Edwards


889


6000


7000

8000









Ranch

Canyon

RAIL  
BOX

D

4700  
Terrell Mine

Nye  
Cain

4872

Camp

Nye  
Mise

R 56 E

4869

6 N

4900  
5000

6000

7000

Ranch


Canyon


5384

5384


3


Pumpkin











SILVER RING MOUNTAIN

8825

BURNT PEAK

MILES HO

5250

5100

5800

5400

5600

5000

DRY LAKE VALLEY


6550

Ranch

VALLEJO

PP


4648



SCALE 1:125,000




STATUTE MILES



KILOMETERS

LOCATION MAP





Ranch

63

5500

5322

64

NORTH PAHROC PASS

5000

4800

• Corral

DRY LAKE

4600

Pahroc Spring

6000

20

Water

Pahroc Summit Pass

4996

• Corral


• Corral

44

4960

4648

P  
P



SCALE 1:125,000




STATUTE MILES





KILOMETERS

LOCATION MAP



TRINIDAD





**EXPLANATION**

**FUGRO NATIONAL FIELD STATIONS**

**BASIN-FILL UNITS (Potential Course and/or Fine Aggregates)**


- Data Stop, Sampled and Tested
- Data Stop

**ROCK UNITS (Potential Crushed Rock Aggregates)**

- ▲ Data Stop, Sampled and Tested
- △ Data Stop

**EXISTING TEST DATA SITES**


- Test Data Available





**FUGRO NATIONAL FIELD STATION  
AND EXISTING DATA SITE LOCATIONS  
WHITE RIVER VALLEY, NEVADA**

**MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - BMO**


**DRAWING  
1**


































HUMBOLDT

NATIONAL FOREST

CHERRY CREEK  
SUMMIT

Humboldt  
Summit

Ranch

Ranch

Ranch

Water

Water

FREIBURG MINE  
WORTHINGTON PEAK

REST

Windmill

8850

5384

3

5200

7000

6218


5600

GARDEN VALLEY


VALLEY

0

7220







**EXPLANATION**

**POTENTIAL AGGREGATE SOURCES**

**BASIN-FILL UNITS\***


Aa1	Stream Channel Deposits	(A1)
Aaf	Alluvial Fan Deposits	(A5)
Aol	Older Lacustrine Deposits	(A4e)
Au	Alluvial Deposits Undifferentiated	


**ROCK UNITS\***

Vb	Basalt	(I3)
Vu	Volcanic Rocks Undifferentiated	(I2 and/or I4)
QTz	Quartzite	(M4 and/or S1)
Ls	Limestone	(S2)
Do	Dolomite	(S2)
Cau	Carbonate Rocks Undifferentiated	(S2)
Su	Sedimentary Rocks Undifferentiated	(S)

\*Reference Appendix E for Symbol Explanation and Comparison

**SYMBOLS**








<b>Aal</b>	Stream Channel Deposits	(A1)
<b>Aaf</b>	Alluvial Fan Deposits	(A5)
<b>Aol</b>	Older Lacustrine Deposits	(A4a)
<b>Au</b>	Alluvial Deposits Undifferentiated	

**ROCK UNITS\***

<b>Vb</b>	Basalt	(I3)
<b>Vu</b>	Volcanic Rocks Undifferentiated	(I2 and/or I4)
<b>QTz</b>	Quartzite	(M4 and/or S1)
<b>Ls</b>	Limestone	(S2)
<b>Do</b>	Dolomite	(S2)
<b>Cau</b>	Carbonate Rocks Undifferentiated	(S2)
<b>Su</b>	Sedimentary Rocks Undifferentiated	(S)

\*Reference Appendix E for Symbol Explanation and Comparison



**SYMBOLS**


<b>Aafg</b>	Material type (Aaf) and Grain Size Designation (g) Grain size designations are gravel (g) and sand (s) and are assigned only in Verification Study Area
	Geologic Contact, Dashed Where Approximate
	Approximate Concrete Aggregate and/or Road-Base Materials Source Boundary
	Verification Study Area

**FUGRO NATIONAL AGGREGATE RESOURCES  
SAMPLED AND TESTED FIELD STATIONS**

<u>BASIN-FILL AGGREGATE SAMPLE COARSE (c) AND FINE (f)</u>	<u>CRUSHED ROCK SAMPLE</u>	<u>CLASSIFICATION</u>
●	▲	CLASS I
◐	△	CLASS II
○	△	CLASS III


NOTE: SEE CORRESPONDING MAP NUMBER IN APPENDIX A FOR DETAILED INFORMATION






**CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM**


**BASIN-FILL SOURCES**


 Class I - Potentially Suitable Coarse, Concrete Aggregate and Road-Base Material Source

**ROCK SOURCES**


 Class I - Potentially Suitable Crushed Rock, Concrete Aggregate and Road-Base Materials Source

**BASIN-FILL AND ROCK SOURCES**

 Class II - Possibly Unsuitable Coarse, Fine and/or Crushed Rock Potentially Suitable Road-Base Material Source


 Class III - Unsuitable Coarse, Fine and/or Crushed Rock Concrete Aggregate or Road-Base Material Source

Ash Spring




D R

SCALE 1:125,000



LOCATION MAP



1960

**AGGREGATE RESOURCES MAP  
WHITE RIVER VALLEY, NEVADA**

**MX SITING INVESTIGATION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE - BMO**

DRAWING

**2**

**FUGRO NATIONAL, INC.**

ck Concrete Aggregate/

END

DATE

FILMED

4-82

DTIC