

AD-A113 603

EIC LABS INC NEWTON MA F/S 7/4  
CHARACTERIZATION OF ETHER ELECTROLYTES FOR RECHARGEABLE LITHIUM--ETC(U)  
MAR 82 K M ABRAHAM, J L GOLDMAN, D L NATWIG N00014-77-C-0155

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-5

NL

1-1  
Control


END  
DATE  
FILMED  
5 82  
DTIC

12

AD A113603

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract No. N00014-77-C-0155

Task No. NR 359-638

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 5

CHARACTERIZATION OF ETHER ELECTROLYTES FOR RECHARGEABLE LITHIUM CELLS

by

K. M. Abraham, J. L. Goldman and D. L. Natwig

Prepared for Publication

in the

Journal of the Electrochemical Society

EIC Laboratories, Inc.  
67 Chapel Street  
Newton, Massachusetts 02158

March 1982

DTIC  
ELECTE  
APR 20 1982  
S D  
H

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for  
any purpose of the United States Government

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

DTIC FILE COPY

82 04 20 011

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 5	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. ADA113603	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) CHARACTERIZATION OF ETHER ELECTROLYTES FOR RECHARGEABLE LITHIUM CELLS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-77-C-0155
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS EIC Laboratories, Inc. 67 Chapel Street Newton, Massachusetts 02158		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 359-638
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research/Chemistry Program Arlington, Virginia 22217		12. REPORT DATE March 1982
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 26
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		16. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Submitted for Publication in the Journal of the Electrochemical Society.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Lithium cycling efficiency, electrolyte stability.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 2Methyl-tetrahydrofuran (2Me-THF)/LiAsF <sub>6</sub> and several diethyl ether (DEE)/LiAsF <sub>6</sub> -based electrolytes have been characterized for their usefulness in rechargeable Li/TiS <sub>2</sub> cells. This characterization has involved extended room temperature cell cycling at various depths of discharge, evaluation of rate/capacity behavior of cells at 25 and -10°C, and storage of cells at 50°C for up to one month with subsequent cycling. The thermal stability of the electrolytes at 71°C was evaluated by storage experiments		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED  
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

In sealed-tubes, followed by product analysis. The performance of 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> cells far surpassed the others. The present data further substantiate previous reports from this laboratory of the superior behavior of 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> solutions in rechargeable Li cells. The DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>-based electrolytes are too unstable thermally to be practically useful.



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/ _____	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

CHARACTERIZATION OF ETHER ELECTROLYTES FOR RECHARGEABLE LITHIUM CELLS

K. M. Abraham\*, J. L. Goldman\* and D. L. Natwig  
EIC Laboratories, Inc., 67 Chapel Street, Newton, MA 02158

ABSTRACT

2Methyl-tetrahydrofuran (2Me-THF)/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> and several diethyl ether (DEE)/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>-based electrolytes have been characterized for their usefulness in rechargeable Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> cells. This characterization has involved extended room temperature cell cycling at various depths of discharge, evaluation of rate/capacity behavior of cells at 25<sup>C</sup> and -10<sup>C</sup>, and storage of cells at 50<sup>C</sup> for up to one month with subsequent cycling. The thermal stability of the electrolytes at 71<sup>C</sup> was evaluated by storage experiments in sealed-tubes, followed by product analysis. The performance of 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> cells far surpassed the others. The present data further substantiate previous reports from this laboratory of the superior behavior of 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> solutions in rechargeable Li cells. The DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>-based electrolytes are too unstable thermally to be practically useful.

---

\*Electrochemical Society Active Member.

Key Words: Lithium Cycling efficiency, electrolyte stability.

## INTRODUCTION

Discoveries during the past few years of new organic electrolytes (1), together with advances in positive electrode technology (2) and cell fabrication capabilities (3,4) have made ambient temperature rechargeable Li cells a practical reality. Noteworthy among these advances are the identification of highly desirable electrolyte systems such as 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> at EIC Laboratories (5,6) and 1,3-dioxolane/LiClO<sub>4</sub> by Exxon Corporation (7), and the construction and testing of Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> (4), Li/V<sub>6</sub>O<sub>13</sub> (3) and Li/MoS<sub>3</sub> (4) cells with nominal capacities ranging from 5-20 Ah. Because of a tendency to detonate upon impact, the 1,3-dioxolane/LiClO<sub>4</sub> system has been abandoned (8). The present electrolyte of choice for rechargeable Li cells is 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> (1,3,9,10).

The Li electrode in Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> and Li/V<sub>6</sub>O<sub>13</sub> cells utilizing 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> has typically cycled at charge densities of 7-10 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup> with a figure of merit, F.O.M.<sub>Li</sub> of ~40. The F.O.M.<sub>Li</sub> is given by the relationship (11).

$$\text{F.O.M.}_{\text{Li}} = \frac{\text{total accumulated discharge capacity}}{\text{theoretical Li capacity}} \quad (1)$$

While this cycling efficiency is very impressive, a F.O.M.<sub>Li</sub> of 40 means that a cell requiring a life of 200 deep discharge cycles (100% depth of discharge, d.o.d.) would need a minimum of five times the Li capacity to that of the cathode. This amount of Li, although it leads only to a small reduction in the cell's specific energy, causes a significant reduction in its volumetric energy density (4). Another limitation of 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>,

which is discussed in this paper, is the rather poor rate capability of its cells below 0°C. Clearly, electrolytes superior to 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> are desirable for applications which require rechargeable Li cells with higher energy densities and better low temperature performance.

A promising class of electrolytes, recently discovered at EIC (12), has been those based on diethyl ether (DEE)/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>. Studies in half-cells with DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M) have shown that the Li electrode cycles with a F.O.M.<sub>Li</sub> ≥ 50. In addition, it was found that the low conductivity,  $1.2 \times 10^{-3} (\Omega\text{cm})^{-1}$ , of the DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M) solution could be improved by "blending" the electrolyte, in 10-20 volume/percent (v/o), with ethers such as tetrahydrofuran (THF), 2Methyl-furan (2Me-F), 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) or 1,3-dioxolane (D). These blended electrolytes exhibited Li cycling efficiencies equal to or exceeding that in the parent solution despite of the poor Li cycling ability of the solvent additives to the ether. Although these half-cell cycling efficiencies represent the highest achieved to date in any organic electrolyte, they have been obtained at a rather low Li charge density of ~1 coul/cm<sup>2</sup>.

In order to fully assess their practical utility, the DEE-based electrolytes have been evaluated in hermetic Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> cells. The results are presented here. The studies include evaluation of cycle life, Li cycling efficiency, rate/capacity behavior versus temperature and storage characteristics at 50°C of 0.68 Ah, Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> cells utilizing (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> (2.5M) or its blends with THF (10 and 20 v/o), DME (10 v/o), D (10 v/o) or

2Me-F(10 v/o). The results are compared with those of cells containing 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> (1.4M).

#### EXPERIMENTAL

##### General

All experiments were conducted in the absence of air and moisture under an Ar atmosphere in a Vacuum-Atmospheres Corporation dry box equipped with a Model He-493 Dri-Train.

Materials. Diethyl ether (DEE), tetrahydrofuran (THF), dimethoxyethane (DME) (Burdick and Jackson, distilled-in-glass) and lithium hexafluoroarsenate (LiAsF<sub>6</sub>) (U.S. Steel Agri Chemicals, electrochemical grade) were used as-received. Lithium foil was obtained from Foote Mineral Company sealed under Ar. 2-Methyl tetrahydrofuran (2Me-THF), 2-Methyl furan (2Me-F) and 1,3-dioxolane (D) (Aldrich Chemical Company) were distilled off CaH<sub>2</sub> under Ar from a Perkin-Elmer Model 251 Auto Annular Still. The reflux ratio was 5:1 and the middle 70% fraction was collected. The distilled 2Me-THF typically had ~0.2% 2Me-F impurity, as evidenced by G. C. analysis.

The electrolytes, 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(1.4M), DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M) and the blended electrolytes, DEE(90 v/o):THF(10 v/o)/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M), DEE(80 v/o):THF(20 v/o)/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M), DEE(90 v/o):2Me-F(10 v/o)/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M), DEE(90 v/o):DME(10 v/o)/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M) and DEE(90 v/o):D(10 v/o)/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M) were prepared as described previously (3,5). The blended electrolytes are designated Blend 90-THF, Blend 80-THF, Blend 90-2MeF, Blend 90-DME and Blend 90-D respectively.

### Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> Cells

Synthesis of TiS<sub>2</sub>. TiS<sub>2</sub> was synthesized by a vapor transport technique by reacting Ti (sponge, Fisher Scientific Company) and S (powder, precipitated purified, Alfa-Ventron) at ~600°C. It was characterized by X-ray and chemical analyses. For the latter, the material was oxidized in air at ~700°C and weighed as TiO<sub>2</sub>. The material used in the cells typically had the composition, Ti<sub>1.02</sub>S<sub>2</sub>.

Cathode Preparation. The cathodes were prepared as pressed powder electrodes. An intimate mixture consisting of 84 w/o TiS<sub>2</sub> (-80 mesh), 8 w/o C (Shawinigan 50% compressed) and 8 w/o Teflon was prepared in a blender. The mixture was pressed on either side of an expanded Ni screen (Exmet Corporation, 5Ni7-4/0) at a pressure of ~1000 lbs/in<sup>2</sup>. The electrodes typically had a thickness of 1.125 mm. The cathode loading capacity typically was 17 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>.

Test Cells. Cells were all hermetically sealed. The electrode package comprised two cathodes and three Li anodes, arranged in an alternating fashion with Li forming the outer electrodes in the stack. Each cathode had an area of 10 cm<sup>2</sup>/side so that the total cathode area was 40 cm<sup>2</sup>. The total cathode capacity was 680 mAh (1e<sup>-</sup>/TiS<sub>2</sub>) or 17 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>. The Li electrode was fabricated from 10 mil Li foil. The middle electrode had two pieces of the Li foil (10 cm<sup>2</sup>) on each side of the Ni screen and the outer electrode had one piece of Li (10 cm<sup>2</sup>) on the side of the Ni screen facing the cathode. The total theoretical Li capacity typically was 1820 mAh (45.5 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>). Each Li electrode was bagged in two layers of Celgard

2400, polypropylene separator. The electrode package was introduced into a D-cell can (Ni plated cold-rolled steel) and compression was applied to the electrode package by Teflon hemi-cylinders. The cell was vacuum filled with ~12 ml electrolyte through a fill-tube on the cell cover assembly.

Cells were cycled galvanostatically with the aid of standard cycling equipment. The potential limits were 1.6V for discharge and 3V for charge. However, in most experiments cells were discharged to depths of 3, 7 or 10 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup> only so that the lower voltage limit was reached only towards the end of cell life. A cell was considered failed when its capacity decreased to less than 50% of the discharge capacity desired in a particular cycling regime. Any Li remaining on the anode was determined by electrostripping it by deep discharging the cell to ~-2V. The Li cycling efficiency, F.O.M.<sub>Li</sub>, was calculated using the relationship given in Eq. 1. In obtaining the rate/capacity data, the cell was successively discharged at current densities between 0.5 and 8 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, with each discharge followed by a charge at 0.5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. Data collection and retrieval were done with a Bascom-Turner 8000 Recorder equipped with microprocessor accessories.

Electrolyte Stability Experiments. Liquid samples were sealed in Pyrex glass tubes equipped with break-seals as described previously (13). After two weeks of heating at 71°C, the tubes, attached to a vacuum-line, were opened at the break seal and the gases were separated by vacuum distillation. UV-visible spectra of liquids were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer double beam spectrometer. IR spectra were obtained on a Beckman Aculab-5 Spectrometer. Liquid (0.025 mm path length) and gas (10 cm path length) cells were equipped with KBr windows.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Some of the properties of the various electrolytes discussed in this paper including typical Li cycling efficiencies achieved in half cells are listed in Table 1. The low charge density Li cycling ability of the DEE-based electrolytes far exceeds that of 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>. It is also apparent that blending the DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M) solution with 10 v/o of other ethers such as THF, DME, 2M-F or D results in an increase in both the conductivity of the solution and the cycling efficiency of the Li electrode. The highly regular and dendrite-free morphologies of the Li plates observed in blended DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> solutions have been discussed previously (12). The higher conductivity exhibited by the blended electrolytes may be attributed to a more desirable complexation of Li<sup>+</sup>-ions by the minor ether component. The noticeably higher cycling efficiency of the Li electrode in the blends than in the parent DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M) may be, in part, due to the higher conductivities of the blended solutions.

While the half-cell Li cycling efficiencies achieved in the DEE-based electrolytes are far superior to any reported so far (1), the specific capacities of the Li plates have been extremely small. Practical (full) cells require Li plates with specific capacities exceeding 10 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup> (3,4,11). Moreover, the Li must cycle with high efficiencies at the high charge densities. The cycling efficiency of the Li electrode is determined by the properties of the electrolyte solution.

The electrolyte must be thermally stable in the long life-time of practical rechargeable Li cells. The electrolyte must also maintain fluidity with appreciable conductivity and Li<sup>+</sup>-ion transport capability down to low temperatures (for example, -20°C) to be able to withstand with acceptable charge/discharge characteristics, the thermal excursions encountered by practical cells.

#### Li Cycling Efficiency in Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> Cells

The room temperature cycling efficiencies of the Li electrode in Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> cells have been measured as a function of the specific capacity of the Li plate. The results are given in Fig. 1. Typical galvanostatic charge/discharge cycles representative of the cycling regimes are depicted in Figs. 2-5. All experiments were carried out at least in duplicate and the reproducibility of the results was excellent.

Not only is the Li cycling efficiency in the 2Me-THF cells better than in DEE-based electrolytes, but also it is superior to that in 2Me-THF half-cells. While the cycling efficiency in 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> is rather insensitive to the Li charge density, it shows a strong dependence in DEE-based electrolytes. Another trend which is apparent in the blended electrolytes is the strong effect of the ether additive on Li cycling. Clearly, the preferred electrolyte among the blends is Blend 90-THF.

The present data clearly indicate that the half cell data, representative of impractically low Li charge density cycling, do not have a real predictive value with respect to the usefulness of a given electrolyte

in practical cells. To date we have seen a good agreement between half and full cell Li cycling efficiencies only with 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>. The Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> cells employed in this study were all identical and were constructed and handled under identical conditions. Therefore, it seems that the large discrepancy shown by DEE-based electrolytes - the large differences between half and full cell Li cycling efficiencies as well as the significant variations among the blends themselves - reflect solution characteristics not revealed in the very short (~1 day) half-cell tests. Some of the probable contributing factors are: (i) the thermal instability of the electrolyte which would be more aptly manifested in long-term cycle tests (1-2 months); (ii) a greater extent of reaction between Li and the electrolyte during the long term, high capacity cycle tests; (iii) significant differences in the morphology of the Li plates which can be caused by, (a) the environments of the half and full cells and (b) the lower electrolyte to Li ratio in full cells.

The results obtained with electrolyte storage experiments (vide infra) indicate that the thermal instability of the electrolytes may be a major cause of the lower cycling efficiencies in DEE-based cells. However, the involvement of other factors cannot be ruled out.

Koch et al. suggested (10) that DEE is effective in cycling Li in half-cells because it is reduced on Li to lithium ethoxide (LiOEt) which is insoluble and that this film may protect Li from further attack. These authors also suggested that LiOEt has the right Li<sup>+</sup> ion-transmission properties to allow Li plating. Presumably, in the blends the poorly Li cy-

cling solvents such as THF, DME etc. are kept away by the LiOEt film. If we accept this hypothesis, we can see some basic differences between the Li surface characteristics of half and full cells. (The half-cells referred to are the types used in the studies in refs. (5) and (12)). The ideal ion-transmitting film visualized by Koch et al. may not be achieved in Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> cells. First of all, the electrode package in full cells is very tight. The dynamics of Li intercalation/deintercalation processes associated with the TiS<sub>2</sub> cathode (2) during cell cycling can impose significant pressure variations on the Li electrode surface. The film surface may have cracks or other inhomogeneities, permitting electrolyte migration and higher extents of Li-electrolyte reaction. Not only is a larger amount of Li isolated in each cycle, but the heightened reaction may also cause significant changes in Li plate morphology, eventually worsening the cycling efficiency. The significant differences observed in the performance of various blends may reflect the variations in the kinetics of the reaction between Li and the ether additive.

The insensitivity of Li cycling efficiency in 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>, either to Li charge density or to cell environments, may be indicative of a chemically different and/or a more flexible protective film (5,14). The exceptional behavior of the 2Me-THF system is further indicated by the data in Figs. 5 and 6 which compare the cycle life of two cells, one containing a theoretical 45.5 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup> and the other a 78 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup> Li electrode. Both electrodes exhibited nearly identical Li cycling efficiencies.

### Cell Storage at 50°C

The relevant data are given in Table 2. Because of their poor Li cycling efficiencies, cells with DME or D blends were not evaluated.

The open-circuit-voltage (OCV) of none of the cell showed any change with time. Furthermore, the discharge capacity of cells after storage was practically identical to that typically obtained in their unstored analogs. These results manifest the overall compatibility between  $TiS_2$  and the various electrolytes. The data also indicate that none of the electrolytes undergoes a gross degradation or reaction during storage.

The more significant data, however, are the Li cycling efficiencies. Identical cycling efficiencies have been obtained in both the stored and unstored 2Me-THF cells. The stored DEE-based cells show the following trends. The DEE-cell, exhibited (unlike fresh ones) a significant voltage delay at 1 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, but yielded (at 0.5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) the same cycling efficiency as do fresh cells. The Blend 90-THF and Blend 80-THF cells, which exhibited little or no voltage delay even at 2 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, cycled with considerably reduced Li cycling efficiencies. The stored Blend 90-2MeF cell also cycled with a lower Li efficiency; but the decrease in efficiency caused by storage is less that observed in Blend-THF cells. These effects of cell storage on cycling may be ascribed to the solubilities of the protective film on the Li electrode. It appears that in DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>, the protective film, grows to a greater extent with storage, as indicated by the voltage delay, prevents significant reactions between Li and the electrolyte. Since LiOEt

is apparently more soluble, especially at elevated temperatures, in polar solvents such as THF (12), it is possible that the protective film in the Blend-THF electrolytes during storage is subjected to a continuous dissolution-deposition process, permitting a continued attack of the Li by the electrolyte.

The above explanation notwithstanding, the effects of storage may be related to the thermal stability of DEE-based electrolytes.

#### Thermal Stability of Electrolytes at 71°C


Electrolytes were stored with and without Li in evacuated sealed Pyrex tubes at 71°C. Some samples were also stored with all the components of a cell such as, electrolyte,  $TiS_2$ , C/Teflon mixture, Celgard 2400 separator and Ni screens.

Neither gassing nor any apparent decomposition was evident in any of the 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> samples. However, their UV-visible spectra exhibited minor product peaks at 265, 285 (shoulder) and 330 nm. These products were found the least in samples containing all of the cell components. The excellent storage capability shown by the 2Me-THF cells suggests that whatever these minor storage products are, they are either not formed at 50°C or they do not affect Li cycling.




Unexpectedly rapid decomposition, i.e., in 2-3 days, occurred in DEE-based electrolytes. The decomposition of DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M) or Blend 90-THF solutions occurred irrespective of whether the solutions contained Li or other cell components. However, the solvents themselves were stable. In all samples,  $CH_2=CH_2$  was found by IR in the gas phase. When Li was pre-

sent, H<sub>2</sub> was also found. (It should be noted Li/DEE samples did not produce H<sub>2</sub> in the absence of LiAsF<sub>6</sub>). A major solution product was a pink oil which exhibited UV-visible absorption maxima at 450, 380 and 270 nm. The pink oil, which is soluble in electrolyte but only sparingly soluble in DEE itself, was isolated by repeated extraction with DEE. It showed IR absorptions characteristic of both C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub> (1400, 1450-1480 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and As-F (670-730 cm<sup>-1</sup>) functionalities. However, it did not exhibit the characteristic C-O-C linkage absorptions at (~1125 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Most probably it is a mixture of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>AsF<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OAsF<sub>4</sub>. The isolated material decomposes in a few days at room temperature to form a glassy brown solid, which we believe is an [As-O-As] polymer (14).

We believe that the following sequence of reactions occur:



Reaction 2 is supported by the fact that addition of AsF<sub>5</sub> to DEE and mild heating lead to identical products. This first step is probably followed by:





Our experience is that with ethers such as THF, this type of reaction is suppressed by presence of Li. One main structural feature of DEE is that it contains groups which allow easy 1,2-elimination. It should be noted that the instability of ether/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> solutions at temperatures >100°C has been previously discussed by Koch *et al.* (15).

#### Rate/Capacity Behavior of Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> Cells

The relevant data, plotted as percent cathode utilization (based on  $1e^-/TiS_2$ ) versus current density ( $mA/cm^2$ ), for cells containing 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(1.4M), DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M) and Blend 90-THF are shown in Fig. 7. The discharge curves for the Blend 90-THF cell at various current densities at 25°C are given in Fig. 8. The room temperature rate/capacity behavior of the Blend 90-THF cell is practically identical to that of the 2Me-THF cell (16).

A capacity equivalent to  $>0.8e^-/TiS_2$  is realized at current densities  $\leq 2mA/cm^2$ . The utilization at  $4 mA/cm^2$  is  $0.68e^-/TiS_2$  for the Blend 90-THF cell and  $0.55e^-/TiS_2$  for the 2Me-THF cell. The nearly identical cathode utilizations in the two cells probably reflect very similar electrolyte conductivities. As would be expected from its lower conductivity, the rate capability of DEE/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>(2.5M) at 25°C is rather poor. In fact, the rate capability of the DEE cell at 25°C compares only with that of the

Blend 90-THF cell at  $-10^{\circ}\text{C}$ . In contrast to the Blend 90-THF cell, however, the 2Me-THF cell had practically no capacity at  $-10^{\circ}\text{C}$ , even at  $0.5\text{ mA/cm}^2$ .

In general, all the electrolytes discussed in this paper provide low to moderate rate cells. The rather poor rate capability of the cells at  $-10^{\circ}\text{C}$  are believed to be due to the lower solubilities of the  $(\text{DEE})_n \cdot \text{LiAsF}_6$  or the  $(2\text{Me-THF})_n \cdot \text{LiAsF}_6$  solvates, causing an increase in solution viscosity and a decrease in solution conductivity.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The ability of 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub> to cycle Li well with high charge utilizations in a practical cell has been further demonstrated. This electrolyte exhibited exceptionally high thermal stability at  $71^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Cells stored at  $50^{\circ}\text{C}$  for up to one month performed as well as fresh cells, indicating the high practicability of 2Me-THF/LiAsF<sub>6</sub>. A major deficiency of the system, however, is its poor rate capabilities below  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

Among the various DEE-based electrolytes evaluated, Blend 90-THF is the most desirable. The practicability of this electrolyte, however, is shadowed by its limited thermal stability.

The present study has also demonstrated that Li cycling efficiencies achieved with an electrolyte in half-cells at very low Li charge densities do not have general predictive value with respect to the practicability of electrolyte.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research.

## References

1. V. R. Koch, *J. Power sources* 6, 357 (1981).
2. K. M. Abraham, *J. Power Sources* 7, 1 (1981/82).
3. K. M. Abraham, J. L. Goldman and M. D. Dempsey, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 128, 2493 (1981).
4. G. L. Holleck, K. M. Abraham, P. B. Harris, J. L. Goldman, J. Avery, M. Rupich and S. B. Brummer, Proceedings of the 30th Power Sources Symposium, Atlantic City, NJ (1982).
5. J. L. Goldman, R. M. Mank, J. H. Young and V. R. Koch, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 127, 1461 (1980).
6. G. L. Holleck, K. M. Abraham and S. B. Brummer, in Power Sources for Biomedical Implantable Applications and Ambient Temperature Lithium Batteries, The Electrochemical Society Softbound Proceedings Series, B. B. Owens and N. Margalit, eds. (1980).
7. M. S. Whittingham, *Science* 192, 1126 (1976).
8. G. H. Newman, R. W. Francis, L. H. Gaines and B. M. L. Rao, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 127, 2025 (1980).
9. R. A. Rizzo, in Lithium Batteries, The Electrochemical Society Softbound Series, H. V. Venkatesetty, ed. (1981).
10. S. P. S. Yen, D. Shen, R. P. Vasquez, F. J. Grunthaner and R. B. Somoano, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 128, 1434 (1981).
11. G. H. Newman, in Proceedings of the Workshop on Lithium Non-aqueous Battery Electrochemistry. The Electrochemical Society Softbound Proceedings Series (1981).
12. V. R. Koch, J. L. Goldman, C. J. Mattos and M. Mulvaney, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 129, 1 (1982).
13. K. M. Abraham and R. Schiff, *Phosphorus and Sulfur* 8, 177 (1980).
14. V. R. Koch, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 126, 181 (1979).
15. V. R. Koch, J. L. Goldman, J. T. Y. Maurand and M. Mulvaney, in Lithium Batteries, The Electrochemical Society Softbound Proceedings Series, H. V. Venkatesetty ed. (1981).
16. K. M. Abraham and S. B. Brummer, in "Lithium Batteries", J. P. Gabano, ed., Academic Press (in print).

TABLE 1

## PROPERTIES OF ETHER ELECTROLYTES

Electrolyte	Li Cycling in Half Cells			
	Specific Conductivity (ohm-cm) <sup>-1</sup>	Current Density (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Specific Capacity (coul/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Cycling Efficiency <sup>1</sup> (F.O.M. Li)
2Me-THF/LiAsF <sub>6</sub> (1.4M)	3.8 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5	1.125	25
DEE/LiAsF <sub>6</sub> (2.5M)	1.2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5	1.125	45
DEE (90%):THF (10%)/ LiAsF <sub>6</sub> (2.5M)	4.3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5	1.125	50
DEE (80%):THF (20%)/ LiAsF <sub>6</sub> (2.5M)	4.7 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5	1.125	50
DEE (90%):DME (10%)/ LiAsF <sub>6</sub> (2.5M)	4.7 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5	1.125	45
DEE (90%):2Me-Furan (10%)/ LiAsF <sub>6</sub> (2.5M)	3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5	1.125	66
DEE (90%):Dioxolane (10%)/ LiAsF <sub>6</sub> (2.5M)	3.3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5	1.125	52

<sup>1</sup>Average of several experiments. Half cell results in these electrolytes have been initially published by Koch et al., see Refs. 5 and 12.

TABLE 2  
STORAGE TEST RESULTS OF Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> CELLS


Electrolyte	Days Stored at 50°C	OCV		Capacity (e <sup>-</sup> /TiS <sub>2</sub> ) at 1 mA/cm <sup>2</sup>		Li Cycling Efficiency (F.O.M.Li) after Storage <sup>1</sup>
		Initial	Final	Typical Fresh Cell	After Storage	
2Me-THF/1.4M LiAsF <sub>6</sub>	33	2.40	2.40	0.90	0.90	40
DEE/2.5M LiAsF <sub>6</sub>	15	2.40	2.40	0.81 <sup>2</sup>	0.80 <sup>2</sup>	9
Blend 90-THF	30	2.40	2.40	0.90	0.89	11
Blend 80-THF	15	2.40	2.40	0.90	0.90	10
Blend 90-2Me-F	17	2.40	2.40	0.90	0.90	9


<sup>1</sup>Li charge density = 3 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>.


<sup>2</sup>Capacity at 0.5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, severe voltage delay of the stored cell prevented capacity measurement at 1 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>.


#### FIGURE CAPTIONS


- Fig. 1. Li cycling efficiencies achieved in Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> cells versus Li charge density. The 2Me-THF solution is 1.4M in LiAsF<sub>6</sub>. All other electrolytes are 2.5M in LiAsF<sub>6</sub>.
- Fig. 2. Typical cycling curves of a Li/Blend 90-THF/TiS<sub>2</sub> cell. Current density, 1 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. Li charge density, 3 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>. Theoretical Li, 45.5 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- Fig. 3. Typical cycling curves of a Li/Blend 90-THF/TiS<sub>2</sub> cell. Current density, 1.5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. Li charge density, 10.5 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>. Theoretical Li, 45.5 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- Fig. 4. Typical cycling curves of a Li/Blend 80-THF/TiS<sub>2</sub> cell. Current density, 1 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. Li charge density, 7 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>. Theoretical Li, 45.5 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- Fig. 5. Typical cycling curves of a Li/2Me-THF/TiS<sub>2</sub> cell. Current density, 1 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. Li charge density, 7 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>. Theoretical Li, 45.5 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- Fig. 6. Typical cycling curves of a Li/2Me-THF/TiS<sub>2</sub> cell. Current density, 1 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, Li charge density, 7 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>. Theoretical Li, 78 mAh/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- Fig. 7. Rate/capacity data for Li/TiS<sub>2</sub> cells.
- Fig. 8. Cathode utilization (based on 1e<sup>-</sup>/TiS<sub>2</sub>) versus current density in a Li/Blend 90-THF/TiS<sub>2</sub> cell at 25°C.




















DISTRIBUTION LIST

Office of Naval Research  
Attn: Code 472  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217

ONR Branch Office  
Attn: Dr. George Sandoz  
536 South Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60605

ONR Area Office  
Attn: Scientific Department  
715 Broadway  
New York, NY 10003

ONR Western Regional Office  
1030 East Green Street  
Pasadena, CA 91106

ONR Eastern/Central Regional Office  
Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles  
Building 114, Section D  
666 Summer Street  
Boston, MA 02210

Director, Naval Research Laboratory  
Attn: Code 6100  
Washington, DC 20390

The Assistant Secretary  
of the Navy (RE&S)  
Department of the Navy  
Room 4E736, The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20350

Commander, Naval Air Systems  
Command  
Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, DC 20360

Defense Technical Information Ctr  
Building 5, Cameron Station  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Fred Saalfeld  
Chemistry Division, Code 6100  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, DC 20375

U.S. Army Research Office  
Attn: CRD-AA-IP  
P. O. Box 1211  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Naval Ocean Systems Center  
Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney  
San Diego, CA 92152

Naval Weapons Center  
Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster  
Chemistry Division  
China Lake, CA 93555

Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory  
Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko  
Port Hueneme, CA 93401

Department of Physics & Chemistry  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940

Dr. A. L. Slafkosky  
Scientific Advisor  
Commandant of the Marine Corps  
(Code RD-1)  
Washington, DC 20380

Office of Naval Research  
Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217

Naval Ship R&D Center  
Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian  
Applied Chemistry Division  
Annapolis, MD 21401

Naval Ocean Systems Center  
Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto  
Marine Sciences Division  
San Diego, CA 91232

Mr. John Boyle  
Materials Branch  
Naval Ship Engineering Center  
Philadelphia, PA 19112

Dr. Rudolph J. Marcus  
Office of Naval Research  
Scientific Liaison Group  
American Embassy  
APO San Francisco, CA 96503

Mr. James Kelley  
DTNSRDC Code 2803  
Annapolis, MD 21402

Dr. Paul Delahay  
Department of Chemistry  
New York University  
New York, NY 10003

Dr. E. Yeager  
Department of Chemistry  
Case Western Reserve University  
Cleveland, OH 41106

Dr. D. N. Bennion  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
Brigham Young University  
Provo, UT 84602

Dr. R. A. Marcus  
Department of Chemistry  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, CA 91125

Dr. J. J. Auburn  
Bell Laboratories  
Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Dr. Adam Heller  
Bell Laboratories  
Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Dr. T. Katan  
Lockheed Missiles & Space  
Company, Inc.  
P. O. Box 504  
Sunnyvale, CA 94088

Dr. Joseph Singer  
Code 302/1  
NASA-Lewis Research Center  
21000 Brookpark Road  
Cleveland, OH 44135

Dr. S. B. Brummer  
EIC Laboratories, Inc.  
67 Chapel Street  
Newton, MA 02158

Library  
P. R. Mallory & Company, Inc.  
Northwest Industrial Park  
Burlington, MA 01803

Dr. P. J. Hendra  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Southampton  
Southampton S09-5NH  
UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Sam Perone  
Department of Chemistry  
Purdue University  
West Lafayette, IN 47907

Dr. Royce W. Murray  
Department of Chemistry  
University of North Carolina  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Naval Ocean Systems Center  
Attn: Technical Library  
San Diego, CA 92152

Dr. C. E. Mueller  
The Electrochemistry Branch  
Materials Division, Research  
& Technology Department  
Naval Surface Weapons Center  
White Oak Laboratory  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dr. G. Goodman  
Globe Union, Inc.  
5757 North Green Bay Avenue  
Milwaukee, WI 53201

Dr. J. Boechler  
Electrochimica Corporation  
Attn: Technical Library  
2485 Charleston Road  
Mountain View, CA 94040

Dr. P. P. Schmidt  
Department of Chemistry  
Oakland University  
Rochester, MI 48063

Dr. H. Richtol  
Chemistry Department  
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute  
Troy, NY 12181

Dr. A. B. Ellis  
Chemistry Department  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, WI 53706

Dr. M. Wrighton  
Chemistry Department  
Massachusetts Institute of  
Technology  
Cambridge, MA 02139

Larry E. Plew  
Naval Weapons Support Center  
Code 30736, Building 2906  
Crane, IN 47522

Dr. Stanley Ruby  
Department of Energy (STOR)  
600 E Street  
Washington, DC 20545

Dr. Aaron Wold  
Brown University  
Department of Chemistry  
Providence, RI 02192

Dr. R. C. Chudacek  
McGraw-Edison Company  
Edison Battery Division  
P. O. Box 28  
Bloomfield, NJ 07003

Dr. A. J. Bard  
University of Texas  
Department of Chemistry  
Austin, TX 78712

Dr. M. M. Nicholson  
Electronics Research Center  
Rockwell International  
3370 Miraloma Avenue  
Anaheim, CA 92803

Dr. Donald W. Ernst  
Naval Surface Weapons Center  
Code R-33  
White Oak Laboratory  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dr. R. P. Van Duyne  
Department of Chemistry  
Northwestern University  
Evanston, IL 60201

Dr. B. Stanley Pons  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Alberta  
Edmonton, Alberta  
CANADA T6G 2G2

Dr. Michael J. Weaver  
Department of Chemistry  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI 48824

Dr. R. David Rauh  
EIC Laboratories, Inc.  
67 Chapel Street  
Newton, MA 02158

Dr. J. David Margerum  
Research Laboratories Division  
Hughes Aircraft Company  
3011 Malibu Canyon Road  
Malibu, CA 90265

Dr. Martin Fleischmann  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Southampton  
Southampton S09 5NH  
UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Janet Osteryoung  
Department of Chemistry  
State University of New York  
at Buffalo  
Buffalo, NY 14214

Dr. R. A. Osteryoung  
Department of Chemistry  
State University of New York  
At Buffalo  
Buffalo, NY 14214

Mr. James R. Moden  
Naval Underwater Systems Center  
Code 3632  
Newport, RI 02840

Dr. R. Nowak  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 6130  
Washington, DC 20375

Dr. John F. Houlihan  
Shenango Valley Campus  
Pennsylvania State University  
Sharon, PA 16146

Dr. M. G. Sceats  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Rochester  
Rochester, NY 14627

Dr. Alan Bewick  
Department of Chemistry  
The University  
Southampton, S09 5NH  
UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. A. Himy  
NAVSEA-5433  
NC No. 4  
2541 Jefferson Davis Highway  
Arlington, VA 20362

Dr. John Kincaid  
Department of the Navy  
Strategic Systems Project Office  
Room 901  
Washington, DC 20376

M. L. Robertson, Manager  
Electrochemical Power Sonics Division  
Naval Weapons Support Center  
Crane, IN 47522

Dr. Elton Cairns  
Energy & Environment Division  
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Dr. Bernard Spielvogel  
U. S. Army Research Office  
P. O. Box 12211  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dr. Denton Elliott  
Air Force Office of Scientific  
Research  
Building 104  
Bolling Air Force Base  
Washington, DC 20332