

TECHNICAL  
LIBRARY

February 1982

## A Setback-Drag Simulator

by Donald J. Mary



U.S. Army Electronics Research  
and Development Command  
**Harry Diamond Laboratories**

Adelphi, MD 20783

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturers' or trade names does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                       | READ INSTRUCTIONS<br>BEFORE COMPLETING FORM                                          |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER<br>HDL-TR-1984                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. | 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER                                                        |
| 4. TITLE (and Subtitle)<br><br>A Setback-Drag Simulator                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                       | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED<br>Technical Report                               |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                       | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER                                                     |
| 7. AUTHOR(s)<br><br>Donald J. Mary                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                       | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)<br><br>PRON: A18P635001AWA9                           |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS<br>Harry Diamond Laboratories<br>2800 Powder Mill Road<br>Adelphi, MD 20783                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                       | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK<br>AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS<br><br>Program ele: P |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS<br>Army Materials and<br>Mechanics Research Center<br>Watertown, MA 02172                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                       | 12. REPORT DATE<br>February 1982                                                     |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                       | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES<br>46                                                            |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                       | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)<br><br>UNCLASSIFIED                             |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                       | 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING<br>SCHEDULE                                        |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)<br><br>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                       |                                                                                      |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                       |                                                                                      |
| 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES<br><p>This project has been accomplished as part of the U.S. Army Materials Testing Technology Program, which has for its objective the timely establishment of testing techniques, procedures, and prototype equipment to insure efficient inspection methods for materiel/material procured or maintained by DARCOM.</p> <p>DRCMS Code: 53970M63500 HDL project: 852886</p> |                       |                                                                                      |
| 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                       |                                                                                      |
| XM754      Viper fuze<br>Setback      Aerodynamic drag<br>Simulator      Fuze tester                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                       |                                                                                      |
| 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                       |                                                                                      |
| <p>The Setback-Drag Simulator is a device for testing the XM754 Viper fuze. The simulator can generate setback forces over a range from 1,500 to 16,000 g and aerodynamic drag forces over a range from 0 to -40 g. The tester and its performance characteristics are described.</p>                                                                                                                 |                       |                                                                                      |

## FOREWORD

The technique described in this report for simulating setback and drag forces was originally proposed by Herbert D. Curchack of Harry Diamond Laboratories (HDL). Experimental verification of the technique was directed by Irvin Pollin of HDL, who also published a theoretical analysis of this method of simulation.

The detailed design of the prototype was developed by Arthur Ball, also of HDL, who supervised the fabrication of the individual parts and assembled the simulator.

## CONTENTS

|                                                  | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION.....                             | 7           |
| 2. OPERATION OF TESTER.....                      | 7           |
| 3. DESCRIPTION OF TESTER.....                    | 9           |
| 3.1 General.....                                 | 9           |
| 3.2 Air Gun.....                                 | 9           |
| 3.2.1 Gun Tube.....                              | 9           |
| 3.2.2 Breech.....                                | 9           |
| 3.2.3 Adaptor.....                               | 9           |
| 3.3 Drag Tube.....                               | 10          |
| 3.4 Catch Box.....                               | 10          |
| 3.5 Control Box.....                             | 10          |
| 3.6 Test Projectile.....                         | 12          |
| 3.7 Mitigator-MEM Combination.....               | 12          |
| 3.8 Ancillary Equipment.....                     | 12          |
| 4. TESTER DESIGN CRITERIA.....                   | 13          |
| 5. EVALUATION OF TESTER PERFORMANCE.....         | 14          |
| 5.1 General.....                                 | 14          |
| 5.2 Evaluation Technique.....                    | 14          |
| 5.3 Repeatability.....                           | 20          |
| 5.3.1 Setback Simulation.....                    | 20          |
| 5.3.1.1 $4.0 \times 10^3$ -g Level.....          | 20          |
| 5.3.1.2 $8.0 \times 10^3$ -g Level.....          | 21          |
| 5.3.1.3 $16.0 \times 10^3$ -g Level.....         | 22          |
| 5.3.2 Drag Simulation.....                       | 24          |
| 5.3.2.1 General.....                             | 24          |
| 5.3.2.2 $4.0 \times 10^3$ -g Setback Tests.....  | 24          |
| 5.3.2.3 $8.0 \times 10^3$ -g Setback Tests.....  | 25          |
| 5.3.2.4 $16.0 \times 10^3$ -g Setback Tests..... | 26          |
| 6. CONCLUSIONS.....                              | 27          |

## CONTENTS (Cont'd)

|                                    | <u>Page</u> |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| 7. RECOMMENDED IMPLEMENTATION..... | 27          |
| DISTRIBUTION .....                 | 41          |

## APPENDICES

|                                                                                       |    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| A.—DETERMINATION OF PROJECTILE IMPACT VELOCITY .....                                  | 29 |
| B.—ALIGNMENT PROCEDURE.....                                                           | 31 |
| C.—EXAMPLES OF PROJECTILES, MITIGATORS, AND MEMs FOR DIFFERENT<br>SETBACK FORCES..... | 33 |
| D.—TEST PARAMETERS.....                                                               | 35 |

## FIGURES

|                                                               |       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 1. Setback-Drag Simulator—tester.....                         | 7     |
| 2. Breech end of air gun.....                                 | 7     |
| 3. Stages of simulation.....                                  | 8     |
| 4. Adaptor .....                                              | 9     |
| 5. Drag tube.....                                             | 10    |
| 6. Catch box.....                                             | 10    |
| 7. Control box.....                                           | 11    |
| 8. Test projectile.....                                       | 12    |
| 9. Mitigator-MEM combination.....                             | 12    |
| 10. Alignment gauge.....                                      | 13    |
| 11. Typical test report.....                                  | 16-20 |
| 12. Setback simulation ( $4 \times 10^3$ g).....              | 21    |
| 13. Setback simulation ( $8 \times 10^3$ g).....              | 22    |
| 14. Setback simulation ( $16 \times 10^3$ g).....             | 23    |
| 15. Drag simulation ( $4 \times 10^3$ -g setback level).....  | 25    |
| 16. Drag simulation ( $8 \times 10^3$ -g setback level).....  | 26    |
| 17. Drag simulation ( $16 \times 10^3$ -g setback level)..... | 27    |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Setback-Drag Simulator (herein called the tester) described in this report fulfills the requirements for a procedure to test the XM754 Viper fuze for proper arming. The tester was designed to provide a dynamic environment which produces the necessary time-sequential setback force and drag force to arm this specific fuze.

Briefly, the tester consists of six major assemblies:

(a) A cylindrical test projectile, which houses the fuze(s) to be tested,

(b) An air gun, which propels the test projectile at the proper velocity prior to setback force simulation,

(c) A catch tube, in which the setback and drag forces are simulated and the fuze is armed,

(d) A mitigator and a momentum exchange mass (MEM), which bring the projectile to an abrupt, controlled stop and simulate the setback,

(e) A catch box, into which all the components involved in the simulation are injected after the test, and

(f) A control box, which contains all the controls, switches, and electronic circuits required to operate the tester.

Additional ancillary equipment necessary to complete the tester is described in section 3.8.

## 2. OPERATION OF TESTER

Figure 1 shows the tester. The fuze to be tested is contained in a cylindrical projectile which is placed in the breech end of the gun tube. The projectile seals the breech end of the gun tube by bearing against an O-ring. The projectile is restrained from sliding down the gun

tube by a metal dowel (the release pin) projecting through the wall of the gun tube from the outside. These components are shown in figure 2. The muzzle end of the gun is sealed with a thin, plastic (Mylar) diaphragm. A vacuum pump removes the air from the gun tube.




Figure 1. Setback-Drag Simulator—tester.




Figure 2. Breech end of air gun.

The gun is fired by withdrawing the release pin, which allows ambient room air pressure to accelerate the projectile along the gun tube. Upon reaching the muzzle end of the gun, the projectile ruptures the plastic diaphragm, then emerges from the air gun, crosses a short gap, and enters the drag tube.

The XM754 fuze requires two distinct forces acting in a specific time sequence to cause arming. The first force, called the setback force, is merely the inertial resistance offered by the components of the round formerly at rest, opposing their acceleration along the gun barrel or launch tube. The second force is the aerodynamic drag which the round experiences during free flight after leaving the muzzle of the weapon. Both forces are required in the proper magnitudes and time sequence to cause the fuze to arm. In the tester, these forces are produced inside the drag tube.

The orientation in the test projectile is such that the base of the fuze points in the direction of travel; this is contrary to the normal position of the fuze in an ordnance round. The acceleration experienced by the test projectile in the air gun is small (less than 150 g) and is directed away from the base of the fuze—a direction opposite that to which the setback force must be applied. As the projectile enters the drag tube, it is brought to a controlled stop. This rapid *deceleration* of the test projectile generates a force on the fuze of the proper magnitude and direction approximately equal to the setback force.

This setback is simulated by allowing the projectile to impact a mitigator between the projectile and a MEM. These items, located in the drag tube, bring the projectile to rest. The MEM absorbs the projectile's momentum, and is ejected from the rear of the drag tube.

The projectile and MEM are circular cylinders. The projectile fits closely within the bore of the drag tube. The body of the MEM is much smaller than the inner diameter of the drag tube, and air would normally flow freely

past it. However, a cap (the drag washer) is fitted to the end of the MEM that faces the projectile. The diameter of the drag washer is chosen to obtain the desired air leakage into the cavity formed by the projectile, drag tube, and MEM. (The cross-sectional area of the mitigator is small enough that it does not restrict the flow of air between the MEM and the projectile.)

The drag simulation usually begins 1 or 2 ms after the end of the setback phase. As described above, the projectile comes to a stop at the end of the setback force, and the MEM begins to move along the drag tube. This motion of the MEM increases the volume between the MEM and the projectile. Air cannot leak past the drag washer fast enough to maintain a 1-atm pressure in this cavity. The projectile responds to the pressure difference between its front and rear surfaces and begins to accelerate along the drag tube. This acceleration after setback provides the simulation of aerodynamic drag. Figure 3 shows schematically some of the steps during the simulation.




Figure 3. Stages of simulation.

Pollin<sup>1</sup> has provided a complete discussion of the theoretical analysis and some experimental data relating to this method of simulation.

### 3. DESCRIPTION OF TESTER

#### 3.1 General

As shown in figure 1, the main components of the tester are mounted on an aluminum H-beam. The H-beam is 6 in. (15.2 cm) across the flats and 17.5 ft (5.3 m) long. The only components not mounted on this beam are the vacuum pump and an electronic counter. The H-beam in turn may be mounted on a workbench, as shown in figure 1, or on support pedestals anchored to the floor.

#### 3.2 Air Gun

The air gun consists of a gun tube with a release pin and an O-ring in the breech end and an adaptor on the muzzle end. Support brackets fasten the air gun to the H-beam.

##### 3.2.1 Gun Tube

The gun tube is a smooth-bore aluminum tube with an effective length of 142 in. (335.3 cm). The tube has an internal diameter of about 2.998 in. (7.614 cm) and an outside diameter of 4.0 in. (10.2 cm).

##### 3.2.2 Breech

The breech end of the gun tube contains an internal O-ring about 3 in. (7.6 cm) forward of the open end. Just in front (toward the muzzle end) of the O-ring is the release pin. This metal dowel protrudes into the bore of the gun tube from the outside. Externally, the release pin is joined to the plunger of a solenoid. The release pin passes through a

small bushing in the wall of the gun tube. This bushing contains an O-ring which permits the pin to slide while maintaining a vacuum seal around it. To fire the gun, the solenoid is energized, pulling the release pin up, clear of the projectile. Many of these parts are shown in figure 2.

##### 3.2.3 Adaptor

The adaptor is a fixture which is clamped (vacuum tight) onto the muzzle end of the gun tube (refer to fig. 4). The adaptor mounts a vacuum line fitting and provides a frame for clamping the plastic diaphragm across the muzzle. When clamped in place, the diaphragm forms an atmospheric seal at this end of the gun tube. Mounted on opposite sides of the adaptor are the two parts of the optical pickup—the light source and the photosensor. The pickup detects the passage of the projectile and supplies a pulse to an electronic counter which then indicates the time of passage. Appendix A describes how this time can be used to compute the muzzle velocity of the projectile.




Figure 4. Adaptor.

<sup>1</sup>Irvin Pollin, *Simulation of Sequential Setback and Aerodynamic Drag of Ordnance Projectiles*, Harry Diamond Laboratories, HDL-TR-1811 (June 1977).

### 3.3 Drag Tube

The drag tube is a smooth-bore aluminum tube with an internal diameter of 2.998 in. (7.614 cm) and a length of 30.0 in. (76.2 cm). Four slots cut into the wall of the tube near its entrance serve as vents to allow air to escape as the test projectile enters the drag tube. This venting occurs before impact and facilitates the smooth transition of the projectile into the drag phase of the test after the setback simulation. The slots are equally spaced around the circumference of the drag tube. Each slot is 1.25 in. by 4 in. (3.2 cm by 10.2 cm), with the longer dimension parallel to the longitudinal axis of the tube. The entrance diameter of the tube is slightly larger than the nominal internal diameter. This larger entrance diameter tapers down to the 2.998-in. value over a distance of about 1.25 in. (3.17 cm); the taper eases the projectile into the drag tube.

The support brackets which mount the drag tube to the H-beam have a certain degree of lateral adjustment. This, along with the use of shims to raise or lower the drag tube, provides a means of aligning the drag tube with the gun tube. A special gauge keeps track of the positioning during the alignment process. (See sect. 3.8.8 and app B.)

Figure 5 illustrates some of the features of the drag tube.




Figure 5. Drag tube. .




Figure 6. Catch box.

### 3.4 Catch Box

The catch box is just beyond the rear end of the drag tube, as shown in figure 6. The catch box provides a means of safely catching and retaining those components (the test projectile, the mitigator, and the MEM) that are ejected from the drag tube. The box is fabricated from a 0.5-in. (1.3-cm) thick aluminum sheet and is welded together. The box measures approximately  $6.0 \times 6.0 \times 18.75$  in. (15.2  $\times$  15.2  $\times$  47.6 cm) and has a hinged lid and a plywood inner lining. An absorber cut from 450-psi aluminum Hexcel cushions the impact of the MEM against the back of the box.

### 3.5 Control Box

The control box requires single-phase, 120-V 60-Hz electrical power rated at 20 A. The control box provides all the switches and electrical power to operate the tester. It contains, besides the 120-Vac circuits, two modular power supplies and an amplifier to operate the optical pickup. The control box is shown in figure 7(a). The wiring diagram is shown in figure 7(b).




Figure 7(a). Control box—external view.




Figure 7(b). Control box—wiring diagram.

### 3.6 Test Projectile

The test projectile (fig. 8) is fabricated from Bakelite. It is cylindrical, with a diameter of 2.992 in. (7.599 cm) and a length of 3.812 in. (9.682 cm). Three cavities are bored from the rear surface of the projectile. Each cavity accommodates a fuze, so as many as three fuzes may be tested at once. Spacers assure a snug fit of the fuzes in the cavities. Recesses in the spacers allow for the use of safety wiring harnesses or shorting plugs. A metal plate fastens to the rear of the projectile and acts as a cover to retain the fuze samples. This projectile was designed for producing setback forces of about  $4.0 \times 10^3$  g. Other projectiles are required to produce higher setback forces. Some of these projectiles are discussed briefly in appendix C.




Figure 8. Test projectile (4000 g).

### 3.7 Mitigator-MEM Combination

The mitigator for the 4000- to 8000-g range consists of 7-ply, 0.75-in. (1.91-cm) thick, plywood blocks cut in the shape of squares 2.0 in. (5.0 cm) on a side. The blocks are cut from marine plywood. Seven blocks are required for one mitigator, and they are held together in a stack with fiberglass-reinforced, self-adhesive tape.

The MEM is a solid brass cylinder, 2.698 in. (6.855 cm) in diameter by 4.06 in. (10.31 cm) long. Four phenolic runners are

fastened to its outer surface and machined to an overall diameter of 2.990 in. (7.594 cm). The drag washer has a diameter of 2.850 in. (7.239 cm) and is attached to the front (mitigator end) face of the MEM. The washer regulates the rate at which air leaks into the volume between the MEM and the projectile during the drag simulation. Figure 9 illustrates a mitigator and MEM in the configuration described above.

For setback forces greater than  $8.0 \times 10^3$  g, different types of mitigators, MEMs and/or lighter projectiles may have to be used. Some other types are outlined in appendix C.




Figure 9. Mitigator-MEM combination (4000 g).

### 3.8 Ancillary Equipment

Several component parts of the tester not previously mentioned are briefly described below.

**Vacuum Pump.**—A vacuum pump, such as the Welch Duo-Seal Vacuum Pump, Model 1402, or equivalent, is required to evacuate air from the gun tube. The pump motor runs on 120-V, 60-Hz, single-phase power and draws less than 10 A. It can be operated from a switched receptacle on the control box.

**Vacuum Valve.**—An electrically controlled valve is in the vacuum line between the vacuum pump and the air gun. This valve is

operated from a switch on the control box and permits the evacuation of air from the gun tube.

*Vacuum Gauge.*—A vacuum gauge connected to the air-gun side of the vacuum valve monitors the degree of vacuum within the gun tube.

*Bleed Valve.*—Mounted near the vacuum gauge, the bleed valve is manually operated and permits the equalization of the air pressure in the gun tube with the atmosphere.

*Electronic Counter.*—An electronic counter connected to the output of the optical pickup amplifier will indicate the time of passage of the projectile past the pickup. Although the counter is not necessary in the operation of the tester, its regular use is a means of monitoring the performance of the tester. The amplified pickup signal appears at

the BNC\* coaxial receptacle on the bottom of the control box. There is no provision on the control box to supply power to the counter.

*Test Plug.*—The test plug is a dummy projectile which is inserted into the breech end of the gun tube as a seal to check the operation of the vacuum system.

*Alignment Gauge.*—This fixture slips into the muzzle of the gun tube and features an arm which protrudes into the drag tube. A metal finger on the end of the arm contacts the inner surface of the drag tube. A micrometer indicates the displacement of the finger. The misalignment of the drag tube can be deduced from a set of such micrometer readings taken around the inner circumference of the tube. Corrective adjustments of the support brackets will bring the drag tube coaxially in line with the gun tube. The gauge is shown in figure 10. The alignment procedure is discussed in appendix B.



Figure 10. Alignment gauge.

#### 4. TESTER DESIGN CRITERIA

Early on, some design criteria were specified by Harry Diamond Laboratories, but were not published formally (Materials Testing Technology: Setback-Drag Tester for S&A Devices, November 1978).

(a) A versatile rather than an automatic tester.

(b) Maximum capability within reasonable space limitations.

(c) Six Viper units to be tested per shot.

(d) 3-in. ID nominal gun diameter, 12-ft long.

(e) Setback range adjustable from 4000 to 8000 g.

(f) Drag adjustable from 0 to 30 g.

(g) Firing of temperature-conditioned fuzes.

\*Bayonet N-type Connector

All these design criteria were met, although (c) requires qualifications.

Addressing each of the items above individually will demonstrate to what degree of success these criteria were incorporated into the tester.

*Versatility (a).*—If the tester is made to operate manually, the parameters of the simulation may be considerably varied. Usually, a change in these parameters does not change the operating procedure.

*Capability (b).*—The tester can simulate setback forces over the range from 1,500 g to 16,000 g and drag forces from 0 to -40 g. This can be done only by changing the mitigator-MEM combination, the projectile, and/or the drag washer. No changes in the tester are necessary.

*Test Quantity (c).*—Originally, the projectile described in section 3.6 was designed to accommodate six fuzes at one time. It was reconfigured later to accept only three fuzes, but these fuzes could be tested with or without wiring harnesses and/or shorting connectors. To test six fuzes at one time would require a projectile similar to that already described, but with a redesigned interior. Such a projectile has not been fabricated. At setback forces higher than  $4.0 \times 10^3$  g, only one fuze can be tested at a time because of the weight restrictions imposed on the projectile.

*Dimensions (d).*—The originally specified dimensions have been adopted as set forth in section 3.2.1.

*Setback Range (e).*—As the setback simulation approaches the 8000-g level, and larger, the weights of the projectile and MEM must be changed. At still higher setback levels, the type of mitigator material is changed. Some of these different combinations are described in appendix C.

*Drag Level (f).*—The drag force is easily varied by changing the diameter of the drag washer on the MEM. This controls the rate at which air leaks into the space between the MEM and the projectile. The air leak rate, in turn, controls the acceleration of the projectile during drag simulation.

*Temperature Conditioning (g).*—Generally, testing temperature-conditioned fuzes presents no problem, so long as extensive pretest handling of the fuzes is avoided. The fuzes can be loaded into the projectile in less than one minute and the test can be completed less than a minute after that. Wiring harnesses or shorting plugs connected to the fuzes complicate the insertion of the fuzes into the projectile, but not excessively so. Any pretest handling, of course, will cause the temperature of the fuzes to change by some indeterminate amount.

## 5. EVALUATION OF TESTER PERFORMANCE

### 5.1 General

By December 1980, a total of 205 tests had been performed with one of the setback-drag simulators, and 70 tests performed with a second tester. Of the tests performed on the first tester, a few of the earlier ones had been to verify the tester's performance. The majority, however, had been performed on Viper fuzes and components supplied by government and contractor sources. It was demonstrated that a series of tests conducted with the same test parameters produced repeatable setback and drag force levels.

### 5.2 Evaluation Technique

Evaluation of the tester (which is tantamount to a calibration of the tester) involves photographing the test projectile during set-

back and into the beginning of the drag simulation. A pattern of alternate black and white stripes printed on paper fastened around the circumference of the projectile becomes the object for the streak camera. (See fig. 8.) The streak camera produces a displacement-time curve of the stripe pattern. The resulting camera negative is scanned by a film analysis system (a computer-controlled microdensitometer), and the photographic image is digitized and stored on magnetic tape. Later this information is processed by a computer, and a printout of the acceleration-time curve is obtained.

The streak camera is positioned to view the projectile (stripe pattern) through one of the vent slots in the drag tube. The camera records projectile travel before, during, and after impact. This yields data relating to impact velocity, setback force, and drag force. The total time interval recorded during the exposure is limited by the on-time of the illuminating flash and is usually about 10 ms.

The results of a test are shown in figures 11(a), (b), (c), (d), and (e). Figure 11(a) is the front sheet of the file copy of the test report and contains at least all the data that appears on the customer's copy. The front sheet is generated after the test but before the film is analyzed. The results shown near the middle of the page, where the average and peak accelerations, stopping distance, and impact time values are presented, are based on computations using a theoretical model. The impact velocity is derived from the optical pickup signal (photocell time) and the projectile length and is a measured quantity. The lower third of the page gives certain information regarding the camera and film parameters. This information is input data for the film analysis system.

Figure 11(b) is the tabular data generated by the computer from the digitized film record. The column headings are self-explanatory. Normally, zeros in the TIME and TRAVEL columns represent the instant of con-

tact of the projectile with the mitigator and mark the beginning of the setback simulation. However, the zero point is computed from the geometric relation between the front surface of the mitigator and the center of the camera field of view. A small error in their positions will be reflected in the tabular data and on the graph. In this test, then, impact occurs at the onset of setback, that is, between  $-2.8$  and  $-0.9$  mm ( $-0.110$  and  $-0.035$  in.) in the TRAVEL column. The projectile speed at this point is  $77.3$  m/s ( $255$  ft/s), which is about  $1$  m/s ( $3.3$  ft/s) less than the speed derived from the optical pickup data shown on the front page. The tabular data are plotted in figure 11(c).

The graph of the setback force versus time indicates the error in the position of zero time. (This misplacement of the zero on the time axis is merely a cosmetic defect in the data and has no real effect on the final results.) The graph indicates that the total setback pulse lasted about  $1.3$  ms. The smooth curve without indicated data points is the velocity of the projectile.

Figure 11(d) is the tabular data relating to the drag phase of the test. Zero in the TIME column corresponds to the zero time in the setback data; that is, the moment of impact. (This correspondence of zero time was not a feature in the output data from tests early in the program. Such lack of correspondence is discussed in sections 5.3.1.1 and 5.3.2.2.) The data indicate that the drag began at about  $2.56$  ms and lasted beyond the end of the record. After an initial pulse of about  $-60$  g, the drag force varied from  $-20$  to  $-10$  g. This can best be seen from the graph in figure 11(e). The entire portion of the drag simulation photographed by the camera results from a movement of the projectile of only  $4$  mm ( $0.16$  in.).

A graph plotted from the drag tabular data is shown in figure 11(e). Again, the smooth curve is the projectile velocity, while the graph with data points is the drag force.

S E T B A C K - D R A G T E S T E R R E P O R T

Shot Number 154                      Time 1005 Hours                      23-JUN-80

Project -- 852886  
 Requestor -- Mr. SUGARMAN  
 Component -- VIPER  
 Unit Number(s) -- T-9  
 Temperature -- 70 F, 21 C

PROJECTILE #6 (FLAT Nose)  
     Weight            0.948 lb                      0.430 kgm  
     Length           3.24 in.                      8.23 cm

GUN (Evacuated Barrel)  
     Inside Diameter    2.99 in.                      7.61 cm  
     Overall Length    11.83 ft                      3.61 m  
     Firing Pressure    14.70 psia                      1.00 atm abs  
     Photocell Time    1047.0 microsec  
     Non-Dimensional Length    0.0377  
     Performance       91.3 %

MITIGATOR (Mounted on MEM)  
 2X2 in. PLYWOOD SQUARE  
     Weight            7.16 oz                      203. gm  
     Initial Length    5.25 in.                      13.34 cm  
     Crushed Length    4.38 in.                      11.13 cm

MOMENTUM EXCHANGE MASS (MEM)  
 weight (not including Mitig    4.67 lb                      2.12 kgm

DRAG TUBE  
     MEM washer Diameter    2.850 in.                      7.24 cm  
     Initial Mitigator Depth    4.50 in.                      11.43 cm

Bird traversed drag tube into catcher.

RESULTS  
     Max Launch Acceleration    109.2 g  
     Impact Velocity            258. ft/s                      78.6 m/s  
     A Constant Setback Assumption Yields:  
         Stopping Distance       1.6 in.                      4.1 cm  
         Average Acceleration    7.7 kilo-g  
         Impact Time            1.04 ms  
     Previous tests using this type of mitigator imply:  
         Peak Acceleration       8.5 kilo-g

COMMENTS:

Camera Operator, Mr. NELSON. (Speed 48.2 rps)  
 Test Performed by Mr. NELSON, Branch 48500, ext 42804.

DENSITY OF STRIPES 185

DENSITY BETWEEN STRIPES 51

SUM 236

SUM DIVIDED BY 2 118

SUBTRACT 20

T H R E S H O L D 98

X STEP = 1200 (REPRESENTS 25 MICROSECOND TIME INTERVALS)  
 NUMBER OF SCANS = 859 (70 MM FILM) 22 SCANS PER INCH

TAN < = 1.45683 TRAVEL PER INTVL = 1.7482 MM  
 ON DRAG PORTION - X STEP = 9600 (REPRESENTS 200 MICROSECOND TIME INTERVALS)  
 NUMBER OF SCANS = 118 (70 MM FILM) 3 SCANS PER INCH

TAN < = 1.45683 TRAVEL PER INTVL = 13.9856 MM  
 C A U T I O N: CONSIDER CUTTING X STEP IN HALF!

IDENT....0154 SAD XXXX (THRESHOLD)

Figure 11(a). Typical test report—front sheet.

| TIME<br>MSEC | TRAVEL<br>MM | SPEED<br>M/SEC | SETBACK<br>KILO G | TIME<br>MSEC | TRAVEL<br>MM | SPEED<br>M/SEC | SETBACK<br>KILO G |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|
| -0.24        | -18.1        |                |                   | 1.13         | 44.8         | 5.1            | 3.83              |
| -0.21        | -16.2        | 76.9           |                   | 1.16         | 44.9         | 4.1            | 3.68              |
| -0.19        | -14.3        | 76.7           | 0.24              | 1.18         | 45.0         | 3.3            | 2.88              |
| -0.16        | -12.4        | 76.7           | -0.67             | 1.21         | 45.1         | 2.7            | 2.23              |
| -0.14        | -10.5        | 77.0           | -1.47             | 1.23         | 45.1         | 2.2            | 1.78              |
| -0.11        | -8.6         | 77.5           | -1.12             | 1.26         | 45.2         | 1.8            | 1.34              |
| -0.09        | -6.7         | 77.6           | 0.03              | 1.28         | 45.2         | 1.5            | 1.04              |
| -0.06        | -4.8         | 77.4           | 0.58              | 1.31         | 45.2         | 1.3            | 0.76              |
| -0.04        | -2.8         | 77.3           | 0.27              | 1.33         | 45.3         | 1.2            | 0.57              |
| -0.01        | -0.9         | 77.3           | 2.15              | 1.36         | 45.3         | 1.0            | 0.73              |
| 0.01         | 1.0          | 76.2           | 7.97              | 1.38         | 45.3         | 0.8            | 0.97              |
| 0.04         | 2.8          | 73.4           | 9.71              | 1.41         | 45.3         | 0.6            | 0.64              |
| 0.06         | 4.6          | 71.5           | 6.58              | 1.43         | 45.4         | 0.5            | 0.16              |
| 0.09         | 6.4          | 70.2           | 6.40              | 1.46         | 45.4         | 0.5            | 0.07              |
| 0.11         | 8.1          | 68.4           | 7.97              | 1.48         | 45.4         | 0.5            | 0.20              |
| 0.14         | 9.7          | 66.3           | 7.88              | 1.51         | 45.4         | 0.4            | 0.36              |
| 0.16         | 11.3         | 64.5           | 6.76              | 1.53         | 45.4         | 0.3            | 0.30              |
| 0.19         | 12.9         | 63.0           | 6.82              | 1.56         | 45.4         | 0.2            | 0.00              |
| 0.21         | 14.4         | 61.2           | 7.43              | 1.58         | 45.4         | 0.3            | -0.09             |
| 0.24         | 15.9         | 59.4           | 6.70              | 1.61         | 45.4         | 0.3            | 0.05              |
| 0.26         | 17.4         | 57.9           | 6.22              | 1.63         | 45.4         | 0.3            | -0.07             |
| 0.29         | 18.8         | 56.3           | 6.33              | 1.66         | 45.4         | 0.3            | 0.15              |
| 0.31         | 20.2         | 54.8           | 5.55              | 1.68         | 45.4         | 0.2            | 0.40              |
| 0.34         | 21.5         | 53.6           | 4.86              | 1.71         | 45.4         | 0.1            | 0.10              |
| 0.36         | 22.8         | 52.4           | 5.32              | 1.73         | 45.4         | 0.1            | 0.12              |
| 0.39         | 24.1         | 51.0           | 5.96              | 1.76         | 45.4         | 0.1            | 0.23              |
| 0.41         | 25.3         | 49.5           | 6.39              | 1.78         | 45.4         | 0.0            | 0.12              |
| 0.44         | 26.5         | 47.9           | 6.59              | 1.81         | 45.4         | 0.0            | 0.19              |
| 0.46         | 27.7         | 46.3           | 6.64              | 1.83         | 45.4         | -0.1           | 0.15              |
| 0.49         | 28.8         | 44.7           | 6.77              | 1.86         | 45.4         | -0.1           | -0.14             |
| 0.51         | 29.9         | 43.0           | 6.40              | 1.88         | 45.4         | 0.0            | -0.25             |
| 0.54         | 30.9         | 41.5           | 5.39              | 1.90         | 45.4         | 0.1            | 0.00              |
| 0.56         | 31.9         | 40.3           | 4.87              | 1.93         | 45.4         | 0.0            | 0.19              |
| 0.59         | 32.9         | 39.2           | 5.35              | 1.95         | 45.4         | 0.0            | -0.01             |
| 0.61         | 33.9         | 37.7           | 5.84              | 1.98         | 45.4         | 0.0            |                   |
| 0.64         | 34.8         | 36.3           | 5.92              | 2.00         | 45.4         |                |                   |
| 0.66         | 35.7         | 34.8           | 6.35              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.68         | 36.5         | 33.2           | 6.31              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.71         | 37.3         | 31.8           | 5.56              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.73         | 38.1         | 30.5           | 5.70              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.76         | 38.8         | 29.0           | 6.38              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.78         | 39.5         | 27.4           | 6.49              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.81         | 40.2         | 25.8           | 6.66              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.83         | 40.8         | 24.1           | 7.14              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.86         | 41.3         | 22.3           | 7.28              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.88         | 41.9         | 20.6           | 7.36              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.91         | 42.4         | 18.7           | 7.78              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.93         | 42.8         | 16.7           | 8.23              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.96         | 43.2         | 14.7           | 8.01              |              |              |                |                   |
| 0.98         | 43.5         | 12.8           | 7.02              |              |              |                |                   |
| 1.01         | 43.8         | 11.3           | 6.40              |              |              |                |                   |
| 1.03         | 44.1         | 9.7            | 6.08              |              |              |                |                   |
| 1.06         | 44.3         | 8.3            | 5.42              |              |              |                |                   |
| 1.08         | 44.5         | 7.1            | 4.78              |              |              |                |                   |
| 1.11         | 44.6         | 6.0            | 4.08              |              |              |                |                   |

Figure 11(b). Typical test report—setback tabular data.




Figure 11(c). Typical test report—setback-time graph.

| TIME<br>MSEC | TRAVEL<br>MM | SPEED<br>CM/SEC | SETBACK<br>G |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| -0.10        | -7.5         |                 |              |
| 0.10         | 7.3          | 6868.6          |              |
| 0.30         | 19.6         | 5608.6          | 6275.92      |
| 0.50         | 29.5         | 4415.0          | 6073.00      |
| 0.70         | 37.0         | 3234.3          | 6336.83      |
| 0.90         | 42.2         | 1937.6          | 6270.56      |
| 1.09         | 44.6         | 782.8           | 4435.39      |
| 1.29         | 45.3         | 203.6           | 1872.03      |
| 1.49         | 45.4         | 51.0            | 483.27       |
| 1.69         | 45.5         | 14.7            | 127.38       |
| 1.89         | 45.5         | 1.2             | 41.96        |
| 2.09         | 45.5         | -1.7            | 24.32        |
| 2.29         | 45.5         | -8.4            | 31.19        |
| 2.49         | 45.5         | -13.9           | 4.31         |
| 2.69         | 45.4         | -10.0           | -46.72       |
| 2.89         | 45.4         | 4.3             | -72.97       |
| 3.09         | 45.5         | 18.5            | -48.93       |
| 3.29         | 45.5         | 23.5            | -18.21       |
| 3.48         | 45.6         | 25.6            | -15.45       |
| 3.68         | 45.6         | 29.5            | -22.90       |
| 3.88         | 45.7         | 34.6            | -20.37       |
| 4.08         | 45.7         | 37.5            | -15.61       |
| 4.28         | 45.8         | 40.7            | -19.06       |
| 4.48         | 45.9         | 44.9            | -23.01       |
| 4.68         | 46.0         | 49.7            | -25.98       |
| 4.88         | 46.1         | 55.1            | -26.75       |
| 5.08         | 46.2         | 60.1            | -15.20       |
| 5.28         | 46.3         | 61.0            | -6.52        |
| 5.48         | 46.5         | 62.7            | -16.90       |
| 5.68         | 46.6         | 67.6            | -21.54       |
| 5.87         | 46.7         | 71.1            | -13.27       |
| 6.07         | 46.9         | 72.8            | -6.55        |
| 6.27         | 47.0         | 73.7            | -8.87        |
| 6.47         | 47.2         | 76.3            | -15.37       |
| 6.67         | 47.3         | 79.7            | -16.98       |
| 6.87         | 47.5         | 82.9            | -16.43       |
| 7.07         | 47.6         | 86.1            | -13.66       |
| 7.27         | 47.8         | 88.3            | -9.06        |
| 7.47         | 48.0         | 89.6            | -3.45        |
| 7.67         | 48.2         | 89.6            | -2.60        |
| 7.87         | 48.3         | 90.6            | -5.84        |
| 8.07         | 48.5         | 91.9            | -5.65        |
| 8.26         | 48.7         | 92.9            | -15.78       |
| 8.46         | 48.9         | 98.1            | -25.47       |
| 8.66         | 49.1         | 102.8           |              |
| 8.86         | 49.3         |                 |              |

Figure 11(d). Typical test report—drag tabular data.




Figure 11(e.) Typical test report—drag-time graph.

### 5.3 Repeatability

#### 5.3.1 Setback Simulation

##### 5.3.1.1 $4.0 \times 10^3$ -g Level

A series of 10 tests was analyzed. These tests were conducted using the same test parameters. (See app D.) Figure 12 is the graph plotted of the average values, taken at 0.05-ms intervals, of all 10 setback curves. Because of slight variations in the positions of the curves relative to zero time, all curves were shifted along the time axis until the steep rises of the curves matched. Zero on the time axis was then arbitrarily positioned at

the onset of setback. No adjustments in the curves were made along the vertical axis. As plotted, the average values  $\pm$  one standard deviation are indicated every 0.05 ms. From these data it may be said with 95-percent confidence that at least 87.5 percent of all similar tests will produce peak setback forces of  $4.0 \times 10^3$  g or higher. At least 95 percent of all the tests will peak at  $3.7 \times 10^3$  g or higher, also at 95-percent confidence.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>For methods of computation and confidence tables, see R. M. McClung, *First Aid for Pet Projects Injured in the Laboratory or on the Range, or What to do Until the Statistician Comes*, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, CA, NOTS Tech. Memo. No. 1113 (January 1952).




Figure 12. Setback simulation ( $4 \times 10^3 \text{ g}$ ).

#### 5.3.1.2 $8.0 \times 10^3\text{-g}$ Level

A series of 13 tests was analyzed that were designed to produce  $8.0 \times 10^3\text{-g}$  peak setback. (See app D for test parameters.) Figure 13 is a graph plotted from the average values, taken at 0.05-ms intervals, of all 13 setback curves. The peak value of the setback

force, on the average, only reaches  $7.9 \times 10^3 \text{ g}$ . As plotted, the average values are shown  $\pm$  one standard deviation. From these data, one can say with 95-percent confidence that at least 87.5 percent of all similar tests will produce peak values equal to or greater than  $6.61 \times 10^3 \text{ g}$ , and at least 95 percent of all such tests will produce peak values equal to or greater than  $6.06 \times 10^3 \text{ g}$ .




Figure 13. Setback simulation ( $8 \times 10^3$  g).

#### 5.3.1.3 $16.0 \times 10^3$ -g Level

By using aluminum tubecore for the mitigator instead of plywood blocks and by adjusting the weight of the projectile and MEM, setback forces of  $16 \times 10^3$  g can be obtained. (Again, refer to app D for parameters.) The results of the tests with the higher setback

force are indicated in figure 14. This graph is a plot of the average values  $\pm$  one standard deviation of all 10 tests computed at 0.05-ms intervals. From these data it may be said with 95-percent confidence that at least 87.5 percent of all similar tests will produce peak setback forces of  $15.9 \times 10^3$  g or higher. At least 95 percent of all tests will peak at  $14.6 \times 10^3$  g or higher, also at 95-percent confidence.




Figure 14. Setback simulation ( $16 \times 10^3$  g).

### 5.3.2 Drag Simulation

#### 5.3.2.1 General

The curves of drag force amplitude as a function of time are not as well defined and uniform as the setback pulses. Figure 11(e) is typical. Drag simulation occurs whenever the action takes place in the region of negative values on the acceleration scale. There is usually an initial pulse of large amplitude. The pulse may either point up or down. The drag force per se begins after this initial fluctuation and has superimposed upon it oscillations of about 20-g peak-to-peak amplitude at about a 1000-Hz frequency. The magnitude of the drag force has tacitly been assumed to be the smooth curve one might trace by eye through the median of the oscillations. Thus, in figure 11(e) the average drag force seems to be about -20 g at 3.5 ms and decreases to about -10 at 8.0 ms.

There is, unfortunately, no physical evidence available to the operator after a test that drag forces of the proper magnitude have been generated. Whereas the average value of the setback force can be computed from the amount of mitigator crush (and other factors), there is no such indirect measurement which leads to a determination of drag force. The fact that the projectile ends up in the catch box says nothing about how it got there. So far, the analysis of the streak camera film has been the only source of drag force data. (Obviously, successful or unsuccessful arming of the fuze under test is not bona fide evidence of the presence or absence of drag force.)

Analysis of streak camera data, however, does indicate a persistence in the oc-

currence of drag force from test to test as well as a reasonable repeatability of drag force amplitude. For a given set of test parameters, the drag force increases with an increase in the diameter of the drag washer. (See sections 2 and 3.7.) For a given size drag washer, the drag force increases as the weight of the projectile decreases.

#### 5.3.2.2 $4.0 \times 10^3$ -g Setback Tests

The tests described in section 5.3.1.1 were analyzed to determine the extent of the drag simulation. Two problems became apparent immediately. First, only 7 of the original 10 tests had drag data suitable for analysis. Second, there was no commonality in the position of zero time from test to test. The reduced number of tests probably degrades the statistical analysis. A lack of commonality on the time axis leads to uncertainty in the alignment of the graphs for computing mean values. For this analysis, the curves were slipped along the time axis until the initial large negative acceleration pulse was centered on zero time. The result of this manipulation is shown in figure 15. The parameters for these tests are shown in appendix D.

The average curve of the seven tests indicated negligible drag force between 3 and 5 ms. By the end of the record the drag force has increased to about -8 g. The indicated data points show the average values  $\pm$  one standard deviation. The deviation does not decrease as time increases, indicating little or no improvement in the distribution of data from the individual tests with time. One is forced to conclude that drag simulation under these test conditions is marginal. More consistent operation might be obtained by decreasing the weight of the test projectile or increasing the diameter of the drag washer, or both.




Figure 15. Drag simulation ( $4 \times 10^3$ -g setback level).

#### 5.3.2.3 $8.0 \times 10^3$ -g Setback Tests

Drag simulation during these tests was well defined and repeatable. The drag data come from the tests analyzed for the  $8.0 \times 10^3$ -g setback simulation discussed in section 5.3.1.2 and appendix D. Figure 16 shows the average values  $\pm$  one standard deviation of 13 tests. For these tests there was correspondence of zero on the time axis, so no adjustment of the curves was necessary. In fact, zero time here corresponds to zero time on the average setback curve shown in figure 13. The only difference in this series of tests and those conducted at the  $4.0 \times 10^3$ -g setback level was the weight of the projectile. For these tests the projectile weight was 425 grams

(0.936 lb), while for the  $4.0 \times 10^3$ -g tests the weight was 718 grams (1.58 lb). This decrease in weight seems to account for the improvement of drag simulation. The drag force is well defined, and the value of the standard deviation becomes progressively smaller as time increases. At 8.6 ms, for example, the average value for the 13 tests is  $-16 \pm 5.5$  g. This leads to the conclusion that, at this point, 90 percent of the tests will produce drag forces between  $-1.8$  and  $-30.2$  g with 95-percent confidence. Also with 95-percent confidence one can say that 87.5 percent of all tests will produce a drag force of at least  $-5.5$  g at the 8.6-ms time mark. The assumption is that, since the deviations are getting progressively smaller with time, the performance beyond 8.5 ms should continue to be acceptable.




Figure 16. Drag simulation ( $8 \times 10^3$ -g setback level).

#### 5.3.2.4 $16.0 \times 10^3$ -g Setback Tests

The drag phase of the test discussed in section 5.3.1.3 and appendix D continues to indicate well-defined and repeatable production of drag force. The 10 tests analyzed here indicate an average drag force, settling down to about -40 g by end of record (8.0 ms). This trend is shown in figure 17. As in the previous section, the standard deviation here shows a continual improvement

with time, and by 7.6 ms the average value of drag force is  $-41.9 \pm 5.4$  g. Thus, one can say with 95-percent confidence that at the 7.6-ms time mark 90 percent of all similar tests will produce drag forces between -26.6 and -57.2 g. Again, at the 95-percent confidence level, 87.5 percent of all tests will produce drag forces of at least -30.6 g. Assuming the trend indicated by the graph continues, drag forces of this character will probably exist beyond 8 ms.




Figure 17. Drag simulation ( $16 \times 10^3$ -g setback level).

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

The validity of the setback and drag simulation techniques has been demonstrated. Within the tolerances outlined above in sections 5.3.1 and 5.3.2, tests are clearly repeatable. The adjustable range of setback forces from 4,000 to 16,000 g and drag forces from 0 to -30 g has been achieved. Low values of drag (say, in the vicinity of -5 g) seem less repeatable than the higher values. Values of the setback force and drag force obtained in a single test can be determined only from a

streak camera photograph of the event. Results of many tests indicate that drag forces are generated with a reliability such as already discussed.

## 7. RECOMMENDED IMPLEMENTATION

The intended procedures related to XM754 Viper fuze testing, Reference M15-28735A (especially paragraphs 3.2.1.2 and 4.3.2.2), should be implemented.

## APPENDIX A.—DETERMINATION OF PROJECTILE IMPACT VELOCITY

The passage of the projectile by the optical pickup near the muzzle of the air gun generates an electrical pulse whose width is proportional to the length of the projectile and the projectile's speed. In general, the time (t) which the test projectile, of length d, takes to pass the optical pickup determines the impact velocity (u):

$$u = d/t.$$

The design of the projectile may cause an improper time to be generated. Protrusions such as screw heads on the rear of the projectile may cause an increase in the time of passage if they are in line with the optical pickup. Undercutting the central portion of the projectile to reduce weight may cause the indicated time of passage to be much shorter than the correct value. Some consideration of the location and operation of the optical pickup should be given during the design of a test projectile.

## APPENDIX B.—ALIGNMENT PROCEDURE

The alignment procedure insures that the inner bores of the air gun and drag tube are coincident—a necessary condition for a smooth transition of the projectile from the air gun to the drag tube.

A special alignment gauge is used to determine the amount and direction of the displacement of the drag tube axis relative to the gun tube axis. The gauge consists of an aluminum cylinder 2.992 in. (7.600 cm) in diameter and 15.3 in. (38.8 cm) long. A 1.25-in. (3.17-cm) diameter steel rod projects out about 6 in. (15.24 cm) from one end of the aluminum piece. See figure 10, body of report. The gauge is inserted into the muzzle end of the gun tube with the steel rod spanning the gap between

the gun and the drag tube. On the end of the rod is a small post which carries a hinged metal finger. When the finger is made to touch the inner wall of the drag tube, the relative displacement of the rear end of the finger from the post is measured with a micrometer (see fig. B-1). Four such measurements made around the inner circumference of the drag tube will permit the vertical and horizontal displacement of the drag tube axis to be calculated. Shims are used to compensate for the vertical displacement, and a lateral positioning of the support brackets will align the drag tube horizontally. (Lateral movement is facilitated by a micrometer head which can be mounted to bear against the support bracket and permit minute adjustments.)




Figure B-1. Using the alignment gauge.

## APPENDIX C.—EXAMPLES OF PROJECTILES, MITIGATORS, AND MEMs FOR DIFFERENT SET-BACK FORCES

Different setback forces are obtained by changing the weights of the projectile and the momentum exchange mass (MEM) and by changing the shape of the mitigator and the material from which it is made. Some examples of these variations are given below.

(a)  $4.0 \times 10^3$ -g level

*Projectile:* (As described in sect. 3.6 body of report.)

Material—Bakelite

Diameter—2.992 in. (7.599 cm)

Length—3.812 in. (9.682 cm)

Accommodates three Viper fuzes

Total weight—1.58 lb (718 grams)

*Mitigator:* (As described in section 3.7, body of report.)

Material—7-ply marine plywood 0.75 in. thick (1.91 cm)

Dimensions—2.0- × 2.0-in. blocks (5.0 × 5.0 cm)

Quantity—Seven blocks taped together per mitigator

Overall size—2 × 2 × 5.25 in. (5 × 5 × 13.3 cm)

Weight—7.2 oz (200 grams), approx.

*MEM:* (As described in section 3.7, body of report.)

Material—Brass, with four Bakelite runners

Diameter (of brass piece)—2.7 in. (6.85 cm)

Diameter overall—2.990 in. (7.594 cm)

Length—4.06 in. (10.31 cm)

Total weight (including drag washer)—7.03 lb (3.19 kg)

These three components are shown in figure C-1.




Figure C-1. Components for  $4 \times 10^3$ -g setback.

(b)  $8.0 \times 10^3$ -g level

*Projectile:*

Material—Bakelite

Diameter—2.992 in. (7.599 cm)

Length—3.24 in. (8.23 cm)

Accommodates one Viper fuze

Total weight—0.937 lb (425 grams)

*Mitigator:*

Same as described in part (a), above.

*MEM:*

Material—Brass, with four Bakelite runners

Diameter (of brass piece)—2.7 in. (6.85 cm)

Diameter, overall—2.990 in. (7.594 cm)

Length—2.82 in. (7.16 cm)

Weight (including drag washer)—4.72 lb (2.14 kg)

(Requires holes drilled into brass to attain the proper weight.)

These three components are shown in figure C-2.

## APPENDIX C



Figure C-2. Components for  $8 \times 10^3$ -g set-back.

### (c) $16.0 \times 10^3$ -g level

#### *Projectile:*

Material—Bakelite  
 Diameter—2.992 in. (7.599 cm)  
 Length—2.97 in. (7.56 cm)  
 Accommodates one Viper fuze  
 Weight—0.952 lb (0.432 kg)  
 (Length of projectile may have to be adjusted for this weight when carrying Viper fuze. Above dimensions are for other types of payload.)

*Mitigator:* Refer to figure C-3.

Material—Aluminum tubecore  
 Crush strength—3.3 k-psi (0.23 k-bar)  
 Diameter—2.37 in. (6.02 cm)  
 Length—1.03 in. (2.62 cm)  
 Weight—0.953 oz (27.0 grams)

#### *MEM:*

Material—Brass, with four Bakelite runners  
 Diameter (of brass piece)—2.87 in. (7.29 cm)  
 Diameter, overall—2.990 in. (7.594 cm)  
 Length—5.50 in. (1.97 cm)  
 Weight (including drag washer)—10.69 lb (4.85 kg)

These three components are shown in figure C-4.




Figure C-3. Aluminum tubecore mitigator.



Figure C-4. Components for  $16 \times 10^6$ -g set-back.

## APPENDIX D.—TEST PARAMETERS

Three series of tests were analyzed in section 5.3 (body of report). Each series required different test parameters to achieve the setback and drag forces indicated. The particular parameters of interest are contained in the test report of a representative test in each series. Copies of such are presented as follows.

(a)  $4.0 \times 10^3$ -g level (See sect 5.3.1.1, body of report.):

figure D-1, test 52, 9 October 1979.

(b)  $8.0 \times 10^3$ -g level (See sect. 5.3.1.2, body of report.):  
figure D-2, test 24, 12 September 1980.

(c)  $16.0 \times 10^3$ -g level (See sect. 5.3.1.3, body of report.):  
figure D-3, test 181, 14 August 1980.

S E T B A C K - D R A G T E S T E R R E P O R T

SHOT NUMBER 52                      TIME 1440 HOURS                      9-OCT-79  
TEST DATA                      PROJECT -- 898CH7  
                                    REQUESTOR -- MR. MICOM  
                                    COMPONENT -- VIPER  
                                    UNIT NUMBER(S) -- 16,17,18  
                                    TEMPERATURE -- 70 F, 21 C

PROJECTILE #1 (FLAT NOSE)

|        |      |        |       |           |
|--------|------|--------|-------|-----------|
| WEIGHT | 1.58 | POUNDS | 0.718 | KILOGRAMS |
| LENGTH | 3.80 | INCHES | 9.65  | CM.       |

GUN (EVACUATED BARREL)

|                        |        |              |      |                   |
|------------------------|--------|--------------|------|-------------------|
| INSIDE DIAMETER        | 3.00   | INCHES       | 7.61 | CM.               |
| OVERALL LENGTH         | 11.83  | FEET         | 3.61 | METERS            |
| FIRING PRESSURE        | 14.7   | PSIA         | 1.00 | ATMOSPHERES (ABS) |
| PHOTOCELL TIME         | 1724.  | MICROSECONDS |      |                   |
| NON-DIMENSIONAL LENGTH | 0.0226 |              |      |                   |
| PERFORMANCE            | 83.4   | %            |      |                   |

MITIGATOR (MOUNTED ON MEM)

2X2 INCH PLYWOOD SQUARE

|                |      |        |       |       |
|----------------|------|--------|-------|-------|
| WEIGHT         | 7.34 | OZ     | 208.  | GRAMS |
| INITIAL LENGTH | 5.23 | INCHES | 13.28 | CM    |
| CRUSHED LENGTH | 4.40 | INCHES | 11.18 | CM    |

MOMENTUM EXCHANGE MASS (MEM)

|                         |      |    |      |           |
|-------------------------|------|----|------|-----------|
| WEIGHT (WITH MITIGATOR) | 7.03 | LB | 3.19 | KILOGRAMS |
|-------------------------|------|----|------|-----------|

DRAG TUBE

|                         |       |        |       |    |
|-------------------------|-------|--------|-------|----|
| MEM WASHER DIAMETER     | 2.850 | INCHES | 7.24  | CM |
| INITIAL MITIGATOR DEPTH | 5.00  | INCHES | 12.70 | CM |
| FINAL BIRD POSITION     | 28.8  | INCHES | 73.2  | CM |

RESULTS

|                          |      |        |      |            |
|--------------------------|------|--------|------|------------|
| MAX. LAUNCH ACCELERATION | 65.4 | g      |      |            |
| IMPACT VELOCITY          | 184. | FT/SEC | 56.0 | METERS/SEC |

BASED ON CONSTANT DECELERATION CALCULATIONS:

|                      |      |              |     |    |
|----------------------|------|--------------|-----|----|
| STOPPING DISTANCE    | 1.6  | INCHES       | 4.0 | CM |
| AVERAGE ACCELERATION | 4.0  | KILO g       |     |    |
| IMPACT TIME          | 1.43 | MILLISECONDS |     |    |

BASED ON PREVIOUS TESTS USING THIS TYPE OF MITIGATOR, THE  
PEAK ACCELERATION IS 4.1 KILO g

COMMENTS:  
CAMERA OPERATOR, MR MARY. (SPEED 56.2 RPS)  
TEST PERFORMED BY MR. MARY, BRANCH 48500, EXT 42804.

|                         |            |
|-------------------------|------------|
| DENSITY OF STRIPES      | <u>169</u> |
| DENSITY BETWEEN STRIPES | <u>55</u>  |
| SUM                     | <u>224</u> |
| SUM DIVIDED BY 2        | <u>112</u> |
| SUBTRACT                | <u>20</u>  |
| THRESHOLD               | <u>92</u>  |

X STEP = 2800 (REPRESENTS 50 MICROSECOND TIME INTERVALS)  
NUMBER OF SCANS = 391 (70 MM FILM) 10 SCANS PER INCH

TAN < = .889951 TRAVEL PER INTVL = 2.49186 MM  
ON DRAG PORTION - X STEP = 11200 (REPRESENTS 200 MICROSECOND TIME INTERVALS)  
NUMBER OF SCANS = 118 (70 MM FILM) 3 SCANS PER INCH

TAN < = .889951 TRAVEL PER INTVL = 9.96745 MM

IDENT....0052 SAD XXXX (THRESHOLD)

Figure D-1. Parameters for  $4 \times 10^3$ -g level test.

S E T B A C K - D R A G T E S T E R # 2 R E P O R T  
Shot Number 24 Time 1301 Hours 12-SEP-80

Project -- 816089  
Requestor -- Mr. STARBUCK  
Component -- VIPER  
Unit Number(s) -- 0-6  
Temperature -- 140 F, 60 C

PROJECTILE #6 (FLAT Nose)

Weight 0.937 lb 0.425 kgm  
Length 3.24 in. 8.23 cm

GUN (Evacuated Barrel)

Inside Diameter 2.99 in. 7.61 cm  
Overall Length 11.83 ft 3.61 m  
Firing Pressure 14.70 psia 1.00 atm abs  
Photocell Time 1073.0 microsec

Non-Dimensional Length 0.0381

Performance 88.6 %

MITIGATOR (Mounted on Bird)

2X2 in. PLYWOOD SEVEN PLY

Weight 7.02 oz 199. gm  
Initial Length 5.27 in. 13.39 cm  
Crushed Length 4.35 in. 11.05 cm

MOMENTUM EXCHANGE MASS (MEM)

Weight 4.72 lb 2.14 kgm

DRAG TUBE

MEM Washer Diameter 2.850 in. 7.24 cm

Mitigator Position in Slot 0.750 in. 1.91 cm

Bird traversed drag tube into catcher.

RESULTS

Max Launch Acceleration 110.5 g  
Impact Velocity 252. ft/s 76.7 m/s

A Constant Setback Assumption Yields:

Stopping Distance 1.7 in. 4.3 cm  
Average Acceleration 7.0 kilo-g

Impact Time 1.12 ms

Previous tests using this type of mitigator imply:

Peak Acceleration 7.6 kilo-g

COMMENTS:

Camera Operator, Mr. NELSON. (Speed 58.4 rps. # 3)  
PHOTO TIME ADJUSTED FOR LAND-LENGTH:TOTAL-LENGTH RATIO.  
Test Performed by Mr. NELSON, Branch 48500, ext 42804.

DENSITY OF STRIPES -----

DENSITY BETWEEN STRIPES -----

SUM -----

SUM DIVIDED BY 2 -----

SUBTRACT 2 0

T H R E S H O L D -----

X STEP = 1500 (REPRESENTS 25 MICROSECOND TIME INTERVALS)

NUMBER OF SCANS = 664 (70 MM FILM) 17 SCANS PER INCH

TAN < = 1.17325

TRAVEL PER INTVL = 1.75987 MM

ON DRAG PORTION - X STEP = 11700 (REPRESENTS 200 MICROSECOND TIME INTERVALS)

NUMBER OF SCANS = 118 (70 MM FILM) 3 SCANS PER INCH

TAN < = 1.17325

TRAVEL PER INTVL = 13.727 MM

C A U T I O N: CONSIDER CUTTING X STEP IN HALF!

Figure D-2. Parameters for  $8 \times 10^3$ -g level test.

SETBACK - DRAG TESTER REPORT  
 Shot Number 181      Time 1055 Hours      14-AUG-80  
     Project -- 836085  
     Requestor -- Mr. NORDEEN  
     Component -- 120MM  
     Unit Number(s) -- 113  
     Temperature -- 70 F, 21 C  
 PROJECTILE #H-7 (FLAT Nose)  
     Weight      1.190 lb      0.540 kgm  
     Length      3.16 in.      8.03 cm  
 GUN (Evacuated Barrel)  
     Inside Diameter      2.99 in.      7.61 cm  
     Overall Length      11.83 ft      3.61 m  
     Firing Pressure      14.70 psia      1.00 atm abs  
     Photocell Time      1221.0 microsec  
     Non-Dimensional Length      0.0300  
     Performance      85.2 %  
 MITIGATOR (Mounted on MEM)  
     2X2 in. TUBECORE ROUND  
     Crush Strength      5.0 kilo-psi      0.34 kilo-bar  
     Weight      0.882 oz      25.0 gm  
     Initial Length      1.027 in.      2.61 cm  
     Single WEDGE      0.500 in.      1.270 cm  
     Crushed Length      0.385 in.      0.978 cm  
 MOMENTUM EXCHANGE MASS (MEM)  
 Weight (exluding Mitigator)      10.69 lb      4.85 kgm  
 DRAG TUBE  
     MEM Washer Diameter      2.985 in.      7.58 cm  
     Initial Mitigator Depth      4.40 in.      11.18 cm  
     Bird traversed drag tube into catcher.  
 RESULTS  
     Max Launch Acceleration      87.0 g  
     Impact Velocity      216. ft/s      65.7 m/s  
     A Constant Setback Assumption Yields:  
         Stopping Distance      0.71 in.      1.8 cm  
         Average Acceleration      12.1 kilo-g  
         Impact Time      0.55 ms  
 COMMENTS:  
 Camera Operator, Mr. NELSON.      (Speed 54.7 rps)  
 Test Performed by Mr. NELSON, Branch 48500, ext 42804.  
     DENSITY OF STRIPES      185  
     DENSITY BETWEEN STRIPES      53  
     SUM      238  
     SUM DIVIDED BY 2      119  
     SUBTRACT      20  
     T H R E S H O L D      99  
 X STEP = 1400 (REPRESENTS 25 MICROSECOND TIME INTERVALS)  
 NUMBER OF SCANS = 742 (70 MM FILM)      19 SCANS PER INCH  
 TAN < = 1.0736      TRAVEL PER INTVL = 1.50303 in  
 ON DRAG PORTION - X STEP = 10900 (REPRESENTS 200 MICROSECOND TIME INTERVALS)  
 NUMBER OF SCANS = 118 (70 MM FILM)      3 SCANS PER INCH  
 TAN < = 1.0736      TRAVEL PER INTVL = 11.7022 MM  
 IDENT....0181 SAD XXXX (THRESHOLD)  
 Camera Number 3

Figure D-3. Parameters for  $16 \times 10^3$ -g level test.

## DISTRIBUTION

ADMINISTRATOR  
DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER  
ATTN DTIC-DDA (12 COPIES)  
CAMERON STATION, BUILDING 5  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

COMMANDER  
US ARMY RSCH & STD GP (EUR)  
ATTN CHIEF, PHYSICS & MATH BRANCH  
FPO NEW YORK 09510

COMMANDER  
US ARMY MATERIEL DEVELOPMENT &  
READINESS COMMAND  
ATTN DRCDE, DIR FOR DEV & ENGR  
ATTN DRCQA, DIR FOR QUALITY ASSURANCE  
ATTN DRCMT  
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333

COMMANDER  
US ARMY ARMAMENT MATERIEL  
READINESS COMMAND  
ATTN DRSAR-ASF, FUZE &  
MUN SUPT DIV (2 COPIES)  
ATTN DRSAR-LEP-L, TECHNICAL LIBRARY  
ATTN DSAR-AS, WEAPONS SYS MGT DIR  
ATTN DRSAR-QA, J. OBREN  
ATTN DRSAR-IRM, F DAVIS  
ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299

COMMANDER  
US ARMY MISSILE & MUNITIONS  
CENTER & SCHOOL  
ATTN ATSK-CTD-F  
REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35809

DIRECTOR  
US ARMY MATERIEL SYSTEMS  
ANALYSIS ACTIVITY  
ATTN DRXSY-MP  
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005

DIRECTOR  
US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY  
ATTN DRDAR-TSB-S (STINFO)  
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005

US ARMY ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY &  
DEVICES LABORATORY  
ATTN DELET-DD  
ATTN DELET-E, ELECTRONIC MATERIALS  
RESEARCH DIVISION  
ATTN DELET-BM, LOUIS J. JASPER, JR  
ATTN DELET-DS, JOHN E. TETI  
FT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703

HQ, USAF/SAMI  
WASHINGTON, DC 20330

TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING  
CUMMINGS RESEARCH PARK  
ATTN DR. MELVIN L. PRICE, MS-44  
HUNTSVILLE, AL 35807

ENGINEERING SOCIETIES LIBRARY  
345 EAST 47TH STREET  
ATTN ACQUISITIONS DEPARTMENT  
NEW YORK, NY 10017

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF COOPERATIVE  
GENERIC TECHNOLOGY  
ATTN RODGER CHIARODO  
ATTN FRED HAYNES  
ATTN CHARLES KIMZEY  
MAIL STOP 3520  
WASHINGTON, DC 20230

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
MARITIME ADMINISTRATION  
COMMERCE BUILDING 4610  
ATTN JOHN GARVEY  
WASHINGTON, DC 20230

NASA HEADQUARTERS  
MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY UTILIZATION  
ATTN RAY L. GILBERT  
600 INDEPENDENCE AVE, SW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20546

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS  
ATTN BRAD SMITH  
RM A123, BLDG 220  
WASHINGTON, DC 20234

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS  
MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS  
A353, BLDG. 220  
WASHINGTON, DC 20234

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION  
PROGRAM MANAGER FOR PRODUCTION  
RESOURCES  
1800 G STREET, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20550

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF  
DEFENSE, RESEARCH & ENGINEERING  
(ACQUISITION POLICY)  
ATTN JAMES H. KORDS  
ATTN BURTON E. BARTSCH  
TWO SKYLINE PLACE, SUITE 1406  
5203 LEESBURG PIKE  
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041

UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR  
RESEARCH & ENGINEERING  
ATTN DIR DEFENSE, TEST & EVALUATION

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR  
RESEARCH & ENGINEERING (Cont'd)  
ATTN DEP UNDER SEC, RESEARCH & ADVANCED  
TECHNOLOGY  
ATTN DIR, ELECTRONICS & PHYSICAL SCIENCES  
ATTN DR. LLOYD L. LEHN, RM 3D1079  
THE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF  
FOR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, & ACQUISITION  
ATTN DAMA-ARZ-A, CHIEF SCIENTIST,  
DA & DIRECTOR OF ARMY RESEARCH,  
DR. M. E. LASSER  
ATTN DAMA-CSM, MUNITIONS DIVISION  
ATTN RICHARD BARNETT  
WASHINGTON, DC 20310

COMMANDER  
US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH &  
DEVELOPMENT COMMAND  
ATTN DRDAR-FU, ARMY FUZE MGMT  
PROJECT OFFICER  
ATTN DRCPM-SA, PM, SELECTED AMMUNITION  
ATTN DRDAR-TDR, ATD, RES & TECH  
ATTN DRDAR-SE, SYS EVAL OFFICE  
ATTN DRDAR-PM, PROGRAM MANAGEMENT  
SUPPORT OFFICE  
ATTN DRDAR-LC, LARGE CALIBER WEAPON  
SYS LABORATORY  
ATTN DRDAR-LCF, FUZE DIVISION  
ATTN DRDAR-QA, PRODUCT ASSURANCE DIV  
ATTN DRDAR-QAA, G. LUTZ  
ATTN DRDAR-TS, TECH SUPPORT DIV  
ATTN DRDAR-TSF, STANLEY HART, BLDG 61N  
ATTN ARTILLERY AMMUNITION BRANCH  
E. BISSON  
DOVER, NJ 07801

CHIEF  
BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY  
LCWSL USA ARRADCOM  
WATERVLIET, NY 12189

BENET WEAPONS LAB  
ATTN DRDAR-LCB-SE, VIC MONTUORI  
WATERVLIET, NY 12189

WATERVLIET ARSENAL  
ATTN SARWV-ODP-S, D. IPOLITO  
WATERVLIET, NY 12189

COMMANDER  
ERADCOM TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY  
ATTN DELSD-L, TECH LIB DIR  
FT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703

COMMANDER  
US ARMY MATERIAL & MECHANICS  
RESEARCH CENTER  
ATTN DRXMR, TECHNICAL DIRECTOR

US ARMY MATERIAL & MECHANICS  
RESEARCH CENTER (Cont'd)  
ATTN DRXMR, MQ, N. FAHEY  
ATTN FRED STENTON  
ATTN DRXMR-EO, DR. MORTON KLIMAN  
WATERTOWN, MA 02172

DIRECTOR OF MATERIEL MANAGEMENT  
US ARMY COMMUNICATIONS & ELECTRONICS  
MATERIEL READINESS COMMAND  
ATTN DRSEL-MME, ELECTRONICS DIV  
FT MONMOUTH, NJ 07003

DIRECTOR  
US ARMY RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY LAB  
AMES RESEARCH CENTER  
MOFFETT FIELD, CA 94035

DIRECTOR  
RODMAN LABORATORY  
ATTN DRDAR-GSR  
ROCK ISLAND, IL 61201

COMMANDER  
HQ, US ARMY TEST & EVALUATION  
COMMAND  
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005

COMMANDER  
ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL  
ATTN SARRI-ENE, ENGR & TEST DIV  
ROCK ISLAND, IL 61201

COMMANDER  
US ARMY ELECTRONICS PROVING GROUND  
ATTN STEEP-MT, MATERIEL TEST DIR  
FT HUACHUCA, AZ 85613

US ARMY INDUSTRIAL BASE  
ENGINEERING ACTIVITY  
ATTN DRXIB-MT, J. CARSTENS  
ROCK ISLAND, IL 61201

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT MANAGER  
MUNITIONS PRODUCTION BASE  
MODERNIZATION & EXPANSION  
ATTN DRCPM-PBM, COL DUTCHYSHYN  
ATTN DRCPM-PBM-T, J. CANNON  
ATTN DRCPM-PBM-TF, H. GERSON  
ATTN DRCPM-PBM-TF, J. RESTAINO  
ATTN DRCPM-PBM-PB, H. OCCHIFINTO  
DOVER, NJ 07801

COMMANDER  
US ARMY COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH  
& DEVELOPMENT COMMAND  
ATTN DRDCO-PPA-TB, S. ESPOSITO  
FT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

COMMANDER  
US ARMY MISSILE COMMAND  
ATTN DRSMI-ETE, RICHARD KILTER  
REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35809

COMMANDER  
US ARMY MISSILE COMMAND  
VIPER PROGRAM OFFICE  
REDSTONE ARSENAL  
ATTN BOB BROWN  
HUNTSVILLE, AL 35809

COMMANDER  
US ARMY COMMUNICATIONS & ELECTRONICS  
MATERIEL READINESS COMMAND  
ATTN DRSEL-LE-R, MARTIN IDES  
ATTN DRSEL-LE-R-2, WILLIAM S. COUTROS  
FT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703

COMMANDER  
US ARMY AVIATION RESEARCH  
& DEVELOPMENT COMMAND  
ATTN DRDAV-EX, M. PLOUDRE  
PO BOX 209  
ST LOUIS, MO 63166

DIRECTOR  
ARMY NIGHT VISION & ELECTRO-OPTICS  
LABORATORY  
ATTN DELNV-SE, SHELDON KRAMER  
FT BELVOIR, VA 22060

ARRADCOM  
OPTICAL SYSTEMS TEAM  
ATTN DRDAR-SCF-FM,  
NATHANIEL SCOTT  
DOVER, NJ 07801

COMMANDER  
US ARMY AVIONICS RESEARCH  
& DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY  
ATTN DAVAA-O, HENRY C. MARTINEZ  
FT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703

US ARMY MATERIAL DEV & READINESS COMMAND  
OFFICE OF MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY  
ATTN DRCMT, DAROLD GRIFFIN  
ATTN DRCMT, FREDERICK J. MICHEL  
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333

US ARMY MUNITIONS PRODUCTION  
BASE MODERNIZATION AGENCY  
ATTN SARPM-PMB-J, JOHN KASCHAK  
DOVER, NJ 07801

DARPA/OSD (MATS)  
1400 WILSON BLVD.  
ARLINGTON, VA 22209

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF  
ARMY FOR RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT &  
ACQUISITION  
ATTN WILLIAM TAKAKOSHI  
WASHINGTON, DC 20310

US ARMY RESEARCH &  
DEVELOPMENT COMMAND  
ATTN DRDAV-EGX, LING CHIEN  
4300 GOOD FELLOW  
ST LOUIS, MO 63120

US ARMY MANAGEMENT ENGINEERING  
TRAINING ACTIVITY  
ATTN DRXOM-SE, ALVIN TAKEMOTO  
ROCK ISLAND, IL 07703

SACRAMENTO ARMY DEPOT  
ATTN SDSSA-R (NC/CAM), RUSS HARRIS  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95813

ARRADCOM HQ  
MUNITIONS PRODUCTION BASE  
MODERNIZATION AGENCY  
ATTN DRCPM-PBM-T-ME, DARRYL VEGH  
DOVER, NJ 07801

US ARMY DEPOT SYSTEM COMMAND  
ATTN DRSDS-PE, RAYMOND AMICONE  
CHAMBERSBURG, PA 17201

US ARMY TANK AUTOMOTIVE  
RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CMD  
ATTN DRDTA-RCKMM, SAM GOODMAN  
WARREN, MI 48090

COMMANDER  
NAVAL ORDNANCE STATION  
INDIANHEAD, MD 20640

DIRECTOR  
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY  
ATTN SHOCK & VIBRATION INFO CNTR  
ATTN 2600, TECHNICAL INFO DIV  
ATTN CODE 5253, AARON ZUTKOFF  
MT COORDINATOR  
WASHINGTON, DC 20375

COMMANDER  
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER  
ATTN DF, ELECTRONICS SYS DEPT  
ATTN DG, ARMAMENTS, DEV DEPT  
DAHLGREN, VA 22448

COMMANDER  
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER  
ATTN WA, ADVANCED WEAPONS DEPT  
ATTN WR, RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY DEPT

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER (Cont'd)  
ATTN WU, ORDNANCE SYS DEV DEPT  
ATTN EDWARD CRISCUOLO, BLDG 70/108  
WHITE OAK, MD 20910

NAVAL AVIONICS CENTER  
ATTN CODE 240, JAMES SAXMAN  
6000 EAST 21 STREET  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46218

COMMANDER  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER  
ATTN 33, FUZE DEPARTMENT  
ATTN CODE 3318, DR. W. P. WEBSTER  
ATTN CODE 3306, JOHN ANDERSON  
CHINA LAKE, CA 93555

COMMANDER  
NAVAL OCEANS SYSTEMS CENTER  
ATTN CODE 9254, OLOF H. LINDBERG  
ATTN CODE 9261, DR. W. WATSON  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92132

COMMANDER  
NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND  
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
ATTN CODE 3306, MS. RAYE J. MONTAGUE  
CHINA LAKE, CA 93555

NAVAL MATERIAL COMMAND  
INDUSTRIAL RESOURCES DETACH.  
ATTN CODE 04X29,  
EUGENE ZYBLIKIEWYCY  
ATTN 04X26, OSCAR WILSKER  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19112

NAVAL ORDNANCE STATION  
ATTN CODE 163, DENNIS J. BURNETT  
SOUTHSIDE DRIVE  
LOUISVILLE, KY 40214

PHILADELPHIA NAVAL SHIPYARD  
ATTN CODE 989.2, MIKE KEMLER  
BLDG 1029  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19112

NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND  
ATTN CODE 1989.2, RAY JONES  
BLDG 1029  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19112

COMMANDER  
NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND  
ATTN AIR 52202, STEVE LINDER/  
GEORGE CUDD  
ATTN AIR 52202,  
CHARLES CAPOSELLE  
ATTN CODE 52022, RICHARD TETTA  
WASHINGTON, DC 20361

COMMANDER  
NAVAL WEAPONS SUPPORT CENTER  
ATTN CODE 3703, EARL RIGGS  
BLDG 2917  
CRANE, IN 47522

NAVAL AVIONICS FACILITY  
ATTN CODE 950,  
RONALD WESOLOWSKI  
ATTN LARRY HALBIG, DEPT 412.4  
6000 EAST 21ST STREET  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46218

NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS  
AIR 52022F, ANDREW GLISTA  
WASHINGTON, DC 20361

OFFICER-IN-CHARGE  
NAVAL MATERIAL INDUSTRIAL RESOURCES OFFICE  
BUILDING 75-2  
PHILADELPHIA NAVAL BASE  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19112

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND  
DEPUTY COMMANDER SHIP DESIGN DIRECTORATE  
ATTN CODE 03, CAPT M. V. RICKETS  
WASHINGTON, DC 20362

NAVAL MATERIAL COMMAND  
DIRECTOR, MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY  
PROGRAM  
ATTN CODE 064, JACK MCINNIS  
CP#5, ROOM 382  
WASHINGTON, DC 20360

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND  
DEPUTY COMMANDER WEAPONS SYSTEMS  
& ENGINEERING DIRECTORATE  
ATTN CODE 06, RADM C. J. RORIE  
WASHINGTON, DC 20362

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND  
DEPUTY COMMANDER INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES  
ATTN DIRECTORATE, CODE 07  
RADM J. C. MCARTHUR  
ATTN CODE 07031, ROY N. WELLS  
WASHINGTON, DC 20362

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND  
DIRECTOR SHIP SYSTEMS RESEARCH  
& TECH OFFICE  
ATTN CODE 05R, CAPT M. R. NORBY  
ATTN CODE 05R, JOHN FREUND  
WASHINGTON, DC 20362

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND  
PROGRAM MANAGER  
MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY BRANCH  
ATTN CODE 05R/24, HARRY BYRON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20362

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND  
MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY BRANCH  
ATTN PROGRAM MANAGER, THOMAS E. DRASCHIL,  
CODE 05R/23  
WASHINGTON, DC 20362

NAVAL SHIP SYSTEMS ENGINEERING STATION  
CODE 035, EDWARD SIGAL  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19112

NAVAL ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS COMMAND  
CODE 81341, RAYMOND HILL  
WASHINGTON, DC 20362

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND  
PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COMMAND  
FOR ACQUISITION  
ATTN CODE 90, RADM JAMES W. LISANBY  
WASHINGTON, DC 20362

OFFICE ASSISTANT SECRETARY  
OF THE NAVY (MRA&L)  
ATTN DR. JAMES TWEEDDALE  
WASHINGTON, DC 20360

NAVAL MATERIAL COMMAND  
ATTN CODE (MAT 064), WILLIAM HOLDEN  
WASHINGTON, DC 20360

CHIEF, NAVAL OPERATIONS (OP 987)  
R&D PLANS DIVISION  
ATTN DR. HENRY CHENG  
THE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20310

NAVAL MATERIAL COMMAND  
INDUSTRIAL RESOURCES DETACHMENT  
ATTN BILL S. SAFIER, TECH DIR, BLDG 537-2  
ATTN WILLIAM J. WELSH, BLDG 75-2  
PHILADELPHIA NAVAL BASE  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19112

HQ, AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND  
DIRECTORATE OF MANUFACTURING (PMBE)  
ATTN MAJ. GEORGE BOYD  
ANDREWS AFB, MD 20334

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
ALBUQUERQUE OPERATION OFFICE  
WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT DIVISION  
ATTN EARL WHITEMAN  
KIRTLAND AFB, PO BOX 5400  
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87116

AIR FORCE MATERIALS LAB  
ATTN AFML/LTE, MAJ J. ERBACHER  
ATTN AFML/LT JAMES MATTICE  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH 45433

AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICS LAB  
ATTN AFWAL/MLTN, R. C. TOMASHOT  
ATTN AFWAL/MLTC, CAPT JOHN R. MCCracken  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH 45433

AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL LAB  
ATTN MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY DIVISION  
WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB, OH 45433

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF  
THE AIR FORCE (RD&L)  
ATTN MARTIN H. ROGERS  
THE PENTAGON, SAGALP  
WASHINGTON, DC 20330

HEADQUARTERS, US AIR FORCE  
ATTN RDCM  
THE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20330

MATERIALS LABORATORY  
AFWAL/MLL  
ATTN MAJ GERALD HAYNES  
ATTN GERALD SHUMAKER  
ATTN DEWEY SCHLAY/  
DANIEL PRINCE  
ATTN HAROLD STEARNS/  
JACK GARRETT  
ATTN DONALD KNAPKE  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH 45433

MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER  
ATTN CODE EC-42, SALVADORE CARUSO  
ATTN CODE EC-43, JOHN GOULD  
HUNTSVILLE, AL 35812

OSD OUSDRE(ET)  
ATTN STAFF SPECIALIST,  
JEROME PERSH  
THE PENTAGON, ROOM 3D1089  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301

ARMAMENT DIV  
ATTN AD/PMD, DON SIMMONS  
EGLIN AFB, FL 35242

SPACE DIVISION  
ATTN SP/PMD, CHARLES HOOPER  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90009

AIR FORCE LOGISTICS COMMAND  
ATTN AFLC/MAXF, CAPT TODD GARLAND  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH 45433

LANGLEY RESEARCH CENTER  
ATTN CODE LARC/246, GEORGE C. SALLEY  
HAMPTON, VA 23665

DISTRIBUTION (Cont'd)

GENERAL DYNAMICS  
POMONA DIVISION  
MAIL ZONE 4-53  
1675 WEST MISSION BLVD  
ATTN D. STARBUCK  
ATTN H. GROGAN  
POMONA, CA 91766

BULOVA  
GREEN ACRES ROAD WEST  
PO BOX 189  
ATTN S. SCHULMAN  
ATTN S. SUGARMAN  
VALLEY STREAM, NY 11582

HONEYWELL, INC  
5901 S. COUNTY RD 18  
ATTN H. NORDEEN  
EDINA, MN 55436

AAI CORP  
PO BOX 6767  
ATTN C. CHANDLER  
BALTIMORE, MD 21204

EASTMAN KODAK CO  
901 ELMGROVE RD  
ATTN G. MONGEAU  
ROCHESTER, NY 14650

US ARMY ELECTRONICS RESEARCH  
& DEVELOPMENT COMMAND  
ATTN TECHNICAL DIRECTOR, DRDEL-CT  
ATTN SEMIATIN, I., EL-IN-P

HARRY DIAMOND LABORATORIES  
ATTN CO/TD/TSO/DIVISION DIRECTORS  
ATTN RECORD COPY, 81200  
ATTN HDL LIBRARY, 81100 (2 COPIES)  
ATTN HDL LIBRARY, 81100 (WOODBIDGE)  
ATTN TECHNICAL REPORTS BRANCH, 81300  
ATTN CHAIRMAN, EDITORIAL COMMITTEE  
ATTN LEGAL OFFICE, 97000  
ATTN POPE, G. E., 00211  
ATTN DOCTOR, N., 34500  
ATTN HOKE, J., 00211  
ATTN TOKARCIK, J., 47100  
ATTN OVERMAN, D. 34200  
ATTN MILLER, J., 36200  
ATTN REAMS, R., 13500  
ATTN SABONIS, A., 34600  
(5 COPIES)  
ATTN CHURCHACK, H./MARY, D., 48500  
(25 COPIES)