

AD-A110 467

BROWN UNIV PROVIDENCE RI DEPT OF CHEMISTRY

F/G 20/12

THE DEPENDENCE OF MAGNETIC PROPERTIES ON STRUCTURE IN THE SYSTEMS

AUG 82 M TELLEFSEN, R KERSHAW, K DWIGHT

N00014-77-C-0387

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-21

NL

1 of 1

20

1982

END

DATE

FORMED

9 '82

DTIC

8

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 21	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. A118467	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) THE DEPENDENCE OF MAGNETIC PROPERTIES ON STRUCTURE IN THE SYSTEM $Fe_2Ge_xSi_{1-x}O_4$	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 21	
7. AUTHOR(s) M. Tellefsen, R. Kershaw, K. Dwight, and A. Wold	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-77-C-0387	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Professor Aaron Wold Brown University, Department of Chemistry Providence, R.I. 02912	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR-359-653	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Dr. David Nelson, Code 472 Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217	12. REPORT DATE August 20, 1982	
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 27	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION, DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES SUBMITTED TO THE JOURNAL OF SOLID STATE CHEMISTRY	DTIC ELECTE S AUG 23 1982 D E	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) olivine-spinel transformation magnetic characterization		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Members of the system $Fe_2Ge_xSi_{1-x}O_4$ were prepared and their magnetic susceptibilities were measured. The μ_{eff} values for all compositions were consistent with high-spin $Fe^{2+}(3d^6)$. The olivine Fe_2SiO_4 and the spinel Fe_2GeO_4 showed θ values of -87(1)K and -32(1)K, respectively. At ambient pressure, up to 20 mole percent of germanium could be substituted for silicon in the olivine Fe_2SiO_4 , and the value for θ remained very close to that of pure Fe_2SiO_4 . (over)		

AD A118467

DTIC FILE COPY

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-014-6601

82 08 22 012
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

When the nominal composition $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.3}\text{Si}_{0.7}\text{O}_4$ was pressed at 50 kb, the resulting product was predominantly a spinel phase. The magnetic properties for each composition crystallizing with the olivine structure were found to be consistent with the presence of strong anti-ferromagnetic $\sim 120^\circ$ B-O-B nearest-neighbor interactions. Both the spinel and the olivine structures have weaker $\sim 90^\circ$ B-O-B interactions.

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-77-C-0387

Task No. NR-359-653

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 21

The Dependence of Magnetic Properties on
Structure in the System $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_x\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{O}_4$

by

M. Tellefsen, R. Kershaw, K. Dwight, and A. Wold

Department of Chemistry

Brown University

Providence, Rhode Island 02912

Prepared for Publication

in the

Journal of Solid State Chemistry

August 20, 1982

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

INTRODUCTION

The orthosilicates of manganese, iron, cobalt, and nickel and manganese orthogermanates crystallize with the olivine structure, whereas the orthogermanates of iron, cobalt, and nickel crystallize with the normal spinel structure. In addition, the orthosilicates of iron, cobalt, and nickel have been reported to transform to the spinel structure at high pressure (1-3). The relationship between the olivine and spinel structure-types is of geological interest, and this has motivated several studies dealing with solid solutions between orthosilicate olivines and orthogermanate spinels (4-12). The solubility of the olivine Mg_2SiO_4 in the spinel Ni_2GeO_4 has been determined as a function of pressure (4,5). Extrapolation of the data from these studies gave the transformation pressure of pure Mg_2SiO_4 . At ambient pressure, Mg_2GeO_4 , which crystallizes with the olivine structure, can substitute to a large degree into the iron, cobalt, and nickel orthogermanate spinels (6-9). The compounds $MnFeGeO_4$ and $MnCoGeO_4$ have been reported to form olivines at ambient pressure and to undergo transformation to spinels at high pressure (10).

There have been several studies involving solid solution of orthosilicate olivines with the corresponding orthogermanate spinels of the same transition metal. Under ambient pressure, it has been reported that 20 mole percent of the olivine Ni_2SiO_4 can be substituted into the spinel Ni_2GeO_4 and 25 mole percent of the spinel will substitute into the olivine;

at 30 kb, complete solid solution in the spinel phase has been shown (11). Similarly, up to 50 mole percent of Fe_2SiO_4 has been reported to substitute into Fe_2GeO_4 at 40 kb (12).

Both the olivine Fe_2SiO_4 and the spinel Fe_2GeO_4 are reported to be antiferromagnetic, with low Néel temperatures (T_N) and negative Weiss constants (θ) (13-17). The reported values of θ for Fe_2SiO_4 (-150 and -125 K) were more negative than the values for Fe_2GeO_4 (-25 and -15 K). In this study, both the substitution of germanium for silicon in the olivine Fe_2SiO_4 and the substitution of silicon for germanium in the spinel Fe_2GeO_4 at high pressure were examined with respect to the effect upon the magnetic properties. For both the olivine and spinel compositions, it was anticipated that the physical properties may be related to the structure as well as to the extent of substitution.

EXPERIMENTAL

Sample Preparation. Polycrystalline samples of members of the system $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_x\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{O}_4$ were prepared by the solid state reaction of stoichiometric mixtures of iron (Leico, 99.999%), Fe_2O_3 (Mapico Red, Columbian Carbon Co.), GeO_2 (Alfa Products, Ultrapure) and/or SiO_2 (General Electric Co., Type 214 Silica, 99.99%). Silicon dioxide powder was obtained from the pulverized silica tubing dried under vacuum at 800°C. Reaction mixtures were heated in evacuated silica tubes at 800°C for 48 hr, followed by two or more 48 hr intervals at 1000°C with intermittent grinding under N_2 atmosphere. Silica reaction tubes were presoftened before use to minimize attack.

High pressure synthesis was performed with the use of a belted anvil press described by Hall (18). Samples were ground thoroughly, moistened with water, and squeezed in Teflon holders with the application of full pressure before heating. At the end of a run, sample heating was stopped 15 min before the pressure was released. Pyrex holders were used when temperatures exceeded 500°C.

Sample Characterization. Powder diffraction patterns were obtained with the use of a Norelco diffractometer, employing monochromatic high-intensity $\text{CuK}\alpha_1$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5405\text{\AA}$). Fast scans at $1^\circ (2\theta)/\text{min}$ were examined for the presence of impurity phases. Lattice parameters were determined by least-squares analysis of slow scans at $0.25^\circ (2\theta)/\text{min}$ in the range from 12 to $72^\circ (2\theta)$. Debye-Scherrer photographs were obtained for small samples, using $\text{FeK}\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.9360\text{\AA}$).

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed from 77 to 300 K using a Faraday balance described elsewhere (19). Magnetic field strengths between 6.22 and 10.40 kOe were employed, and the balance was calibrated with Pt wire ($\chi_g = 0.991 \times 10^{-6}$ emu/g at 275 K).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The olivine Fe_2SiO_4 and the spinel Fe_2GeO_4 have the following equivalent site occupancies: silicon or germanium cations occupy tetrahedral A-sites and iron cations occupy octahedral B-sites. The structure-types can be described by the way AO_4 tetrahedra and BO_6 octahedra join together (20). The spinel structure consists of a three-dimensional network of straight

chains of edge-shared octahedra. Tetrahedra are isolated from each other, sharing only corners with octahedra. Figure 1 shows the arrangement of B-sites between and above two close-packed planes of O^{2-} anions in the spinel structure. Across shared edges, the B-O-B angle is nearly 90° , and the iron-iron distance in Fe_2GeO_4 is 2.97\AA .

In the olivine structure, edge-shared octahedra form serrated chains. Independent tetrahedra share edges and corners with octahedra and are aligned in rows which isolate the serrated octahedral chains within a given plane. Corner-shared octahedra link serrated chains in planes above and below. Figure 2 shows the arrangement of B-sites between and below two close-packed planes of O^{2-} anions in the olivine structure. The Fe-O-Fe angles across shared edges (within a chain) range from 92 to 98° in Fe_2SiO_4 , and the Fe-Fe distances across shared edges range from 3.05 to 3.31\AA . The Fe-O-Fe angles at shared corners (between chains) range from 114 to 128° (14).

For the antiferromagnetic compounds Fe_2SiO_4 and Fe_2GeO_4 , the Weiss constant (θ) may be considered a measure of the strength of the octahedral (B-site) interactions. The magnetic exchange contribution from a covalent B-O-A-O-B interaction involving diamagnetic A-site cations (16,21) is expected to be negligible. Likewise, the direct overlap of $Fe^{2+} t_{2g}$ orbitals across shared octahedral edges is expected to be small (22). For the $t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$ configuration of Fe^{2+} , both weak ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic 90° B-O-B nearest-neighbor interactions are possible (23). For example, ferromagnetic coupling can occur via $t_{2g}-p\pi$ to $p\sigma-e_g$ bonds. In the olivine, $\sim 120^\circ$ B-O-B nearest-neighbor interactions are expected to be largely of $p\sigma-e_g$ character and, therefore, strongly antiferromagnetic.

The Compounds Fe₂SiO₄ and Fe₂GeO₄. Fe₂SiO₄ and Fe₂GeO₄ were prepared under evacuated sealed tube conditions. Fe₂SiO₄ was a pale golden brown powder which crystallized with the olivine structure (space group Pbnm). The observed cell parameters listed in Table I compare well with the values in the literature (2,14). Fe₂GeO₄ was a dark brown powder which crystallized with the spinel structure (space group Fd3m). The observed cell constant is given in Table I and agrees with the values reported in the literature (9,17). The magnetic susceptibility data for both Fe₂SiO₄ and Fe₂GeO₄ (Figure 3) obey the Curie-Weiss law in the region of 90 to 300K. The Curie-Weiss parameters given in Table II were determined from least-squares fits. The μ_{eff} values of 5.22(2) μ_{B} and 5.39(4) μ_{B} for Fe₂SiO₄ and Fe₂GeO₄, respectively, are slightly higher than the spin-only moment of 4.90 μ_{B} , but lie well within the usual range of 5.2-5.5 μ_{B} for high-spin Fe²⁺ (24). The value of μ_{eff} for Fe₂GeO₄ agrees with those reported previously (15-17); the value for Fe₂SiO₄ differs from the 6.05 μ_{B} reported by Kondo et al. (13) and Santoro et al. (14) for their samples. The Weiss constant (θ) of -87(1)K for Fe₂SiO₄ olivine is significantly more negative than the value of -32(1)K for Fe₂GeO₄ spinel. This indicates that the antiferromagnetic interactions are stronger in Fe₂SiO₄ olivine than in Fe₂GeO₄ spinel.

The Solid Solution Series Fe₂Ge_xSi_{1-x}O₄. To determine the extent of solubility between Fe₂SiO₄ and Fe₂GeO₄, mixed compositions were prepared under evacuated sealed tube conditions. The solubility of the olivine Fe₂SiO₄ in the spinel Fe₂GeO₄ was less than 10 mole percent. X-ray analysis indicated

that 20 mole percent of the spinel Fe_2GeO_4 could be substituted into the olivine Fe_2SiO_4 ; the 30 mole percent germanium composition resulted in a spinel-olivine mixture. Microscopic examination of all samples containing germanium revealed the presence of small dark brown particles, which are probably Fe_2GeO_4 spinel; the quantity present was too small to be detected by x-ray analysis. The orthorhombic cell parameters measured for the olivine solid solution series $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_x\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{O}_4$ (where $0 \leq x \leq 0.2$) are listed in Table I. The cell volume (V) increases linearly with the germanium content, which indicates the existence of solid solutions.

The Curie-Weiss parameters for the $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_x\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{O}_4$ system, listed in Table II, show a small increase in the magnitude of the Weiss constant upon substitution. The Curie-Weiss plot for the olivine $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.2}\text{Si}_{0.8}\text{O}_4$ is compared with those for the olivine Fe_2SiO_4 and the spinel Fe_2GeO_4 in Figure 4. Upon substitution of 20 mole percent germanium for silicon within the olivine structure, θ has a value of $-96(1)\text{K}$, remaining very close to $-87(1)\text{K}$ for pure Fe_2SiO_4 . This result agrees with the data reported in the literature for the olivines Mn_2SiO_4 and Mn_2GeO_4 . These compounds have identical Curie-Weiss parameters with $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 5.85\mu_B$ and $\theta = -163\text{K}$ (13,14,25). For the $t_{2g}^3 e_g^2$ configuration of Mn^{2+} , only antiferromagnetic nearest-neighbor interactions are expected. These antiferromagnetic interactions do not appear to be sensitive to A-site substitution in the manganese and iron-containing olivines. This is consistent with the presence in the olivine structure of strong $\sim 120^\circ$ B-O-B nearest-neighbor interactions which dominate the weaker $\sim 90^\circ$ interactions.

High Pressure. Ringwood (1) reported the formation of the spinel Fe_2SiO_4 by pressing Fe, Fe_2O_3 , and $\text{SiO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ at 450°C and 55 kb. Akimoto et al. (2,26) have since studied the transformation of the olivine Fe_2SiO_4 to the spinel as a function of temperature and pressure; complete transformations were reported at conditions ranging from 760°C and 46 kb to 1500°C and 75 kb. Under the conditions of this study, it was found that crystalline olivine Fe_2SiO_4 did not transform to the spinel. Attempts were made to carry out the transformation in the presence of water at 50 kb and at temperatures in the range of 400 to 700°C . In addition, transformation was attempted at 50 kb and temperatures up to 1500°C in the absence of water. Failure to obtain a transformation may be a consequence of the high purity of the olivine used. However, transformation was achieved when the samples contained nucleation centers in the form of Fe_2GeO_4 spinel and were squeezed at 400°C and 50 kb for 90 min.

A sample containing the nominal composition $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.3}\text{Si}_{0.7}\text{O}_4$ was chosen for characterization before and after squeezing. The prereacted sample was found to be an olivine-spinel mixture before squeezing. The olivine phase may be assigned the composition $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.2}\text{Si}_{0.8}\text{O}_4$ from the solubility limit of 20 mole percent of the spinel Fe_2GeO_4 in the olivine Fe_2SiO_4 . Likewise, the spinel phase may be assigned the composition Fe_2GeO_4 since less than 10 mole percent of the olivine Fe_2SiO_4 is soluble in the spinel Fe_2GeO_4 . The Weiss constant of a phase mixture in this system can be shown to be equivalent to the sum of the individual products of the Weiss constants with the mole fractions for each component. The above phase assignment for the mixture $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.3}\text{Si}_{0.7}\text{O}_4$ predicts a value for θ of -88K , which agrees with the observed θ value.

Pressing this sample with the nominal composition $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.3}\text{Si}_{0.7}\text{O}_4$ resulted in a dark green product. X-ray examination indicated that the product consisted mostly of a spinel phase corresponding to transformed olivine, some small amounts of Fe_2GeO_4 spinel, and untransformed olivine. Cubic cell parameters were determined for the high pressure spinel phase from both Debye-Scherrer and diffractometer patterns. Good agreement between techniques was obtained with an average value of $a_0 = 8.292(3)\text{\AA}$. This cell parameter lies between $a_0 = 8.406(1)\text{\AA}$ for Fe_2GeO_4 spinel and $a_0 = 8.234(1)\text{\AA}$ reported for Fe_2SiO_4 spinel (1,2).

The Curie-Weiss plots for the nominal composition $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.3}\text{Si}_{0.7}\text{O}_4$ before and after squeezing are shown in Figure 5. The data for the sample after squeezing show curvature at low temperatures, such that the Curie-Weiss parameters (listed in Table II) were determined in the temperature range of 170 to 300K. The values of θ for this sample before and after squeezing are $-88(1)\text{K}$ and $+34(4)\text{K}$, respectively. In the unpressed sample of $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.3}\text{Si}_{0.7}\text{O}_4$, which contains mostly olivine, the antiferromagnetic interactions are stronger than the ferromagnetic interactions; in the pressed sample, which contains mostly spinel, the ferromagnetic interactions are stronger. This is consistent with the presence of strong $\sim 120^\circ$ B-O-B nearest-neighbor interactions in the olivine structure which are not present in the spinel structure. The θ value of $34(4)\text{K}$ for the pressed sample of $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.3}\text{Si}_{0.7}\text{O}_4$ is 66K more positive than the value of $-32(1)\text{K}$ for unsubstituted Fe_2GeO_4 spinel. Upon substitution of silicon for germanium in Fe_2GeO_4 spinel, the ferromagnetic interactions apparently become stronger

than the antiferromagnetic interactions. This is consistent with the presence of both weak ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic $\sim 90^\circ$ B-O-B interactions of comparable strengths in the spinel structure.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Members of the system $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_x\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{O}_4$ were prepared and their magnetic susceptibilities were measured. The μ_{eff} values for all compositions were consistent with high-spin $\text{Fe}^{2+}(3d^6)$, and θ values were found to be dependent upon the structure and the extent of substitution. The olivine Fe_2SiO_4 showed a θ value of $-87(1)\text{K}$, which was more negative than $-32(1)\text{K}$ for the spinel Fe_2GeO_4 , indicating that the antiferromagnetic interactions are stronger in Fe_2SiO_4 olivine than in Fe_2GeO_4 spinel. At ambient pressure, up to 20 mole percent of germanium was substituted for silicon in the olivine Fe_2SiO_4 . The antiferromagnetic interactions appeared to be insensitive to the substitution of germanium for silicon in the olivine structure, in agreement with the presence of strong $\sim 120^\circ$ antiferromagnetic B-O-B nearest-neighbor interactions which dominate the weaker $\sim 90^\circ$ B-O-B interactions.

When the nominal composition $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.3}\text{Si}_{0.7}\text{O}_4$, containing mostly olivine, was pressed at 50 kb, the resulting product was predominantly a spinel phase. The values of θ for this composition before and after pressing were $-88(1)\text{K}$ and $+34(4)\text{K}$, respectively. This is consistent with the presence of strong antiferromagnetic $\sim 120^\circ$ B-O-B nearest-neighbor interactions in the olivine

structure, which are not present in the spinel structure. The Weiss constant θ was found to be sensitive to the substitution of silicon for germanium within the spinel structure, in agreement with the presence of both weak ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic $\sim 90^\circ$ B-O-B interactions of comparable strengths.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledgment is made to the Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Virginia, for the support of Mark Tellefsen and Kirby Dwight, and to Brown University's Materials Research program for the use of its laboratory facilities.

TABLE I

Structural Data for $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_x\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{O}_4$

Composition	Structure	a_o (Å)	b_o (Å)	c_o (Å)	v (Å ³)
Fe_2SiO_4	Olivine	4.821(1)	10.480(1)	6.090(1)	307.7(1)
$\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.1}\text{Si}_{0.9}\text{O}_4$	Olivine	4.837(1)	10.489(1)	6.094(1)	309.2(1)
$\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.2}\text{Si}_{0.8}\text{O}_4$	Olivine	4.853(1)	10.499(1)	6.099(1)	310.8(1)
Fe_2GeO_4	Spinel	8.406(1)			
$\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.3}\text{Si}_{0.7}\text{O}_4$ ^a	Spinel	8.292(3)			

a) Nominal Composition

TABLE II

Magnetic Susceptibility Data for $\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_x\text{Si}_{1-x}\text{O}_4$

<u>Composition</u>	<u>Structure</u>	<u>$\mu_{\text{eff}}(\mu_B)$</u>	<u>θ (K)</u>
Fe_2SiO_4	Olivine	5.22(2)	-87(1)
$\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.1}\text{Si}_{0.9}\text{O}_4$	Olivine	5.29(2)	-93(1)
$\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.2}\text{Si}_{0.8}\text{O}_4$	Olivine	5.26(1)	-96(1)
$\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.3}\text{Si}_{0.7}\text{O}_4^a$	Olivine	5.16(1)	-88(1)
Fe_2GeO_4	Spinel	5.39(4)	-32(2)
$\text{Fe}_2\text{Ge}_{0.3}\text{Si}_{0.7}\text{O}_4^a$	Spinel	5.24(3)	+34(4)

a) Nominal Composition

REFERENCES:



1. A.E. Ringwood; *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 15, 18 (1958).
2. S. Akimoto, H. Fujisawa, and T. Katsura; *J. Geophys. Res.* 70, 1969 (1965).
3. A.E. Ringwood; *Nature* 198, 79 (1963).
4. A.E. Ringwood; *Nature* 178, 1303 (1956).
5. A.E. Ringwood and M. Seabrook; *Nature* 193, 158 (1962).
6. A.E. Ringwood; *Australian J. Sci.* 23, 378 (1961).
7. A. Navrotsky, *J. Solid State Chem.* 16, 185 (1976).
8. M. Inagaki, T. Ozeki, H. Furuhashi, and S. Naka, *J. Solid State Chem.* 20, 169 (1977).
9. A. Durif-Varambon, E.F. Bertaut, and R. Pauthenet; *Ann. Chim. (Paris)* 13, 526 (1956).
10. A.E. Ringwood and A.F. Reid; *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 31, 2791 (1970).
11. A.E. Ringwood; *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 26, 457 (1962).
12. V. Hariya and C.M. Wai; *J. Fac. Sci., Hokkaido Univ., Ser. 1*, 14, 355 (1970).
13. H. Kondo and S. Miyahara, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* 18, 305 (1963).
14. R.P. Santoro, R.E. Newnham, and S. Nomura; *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 27, 655 (1966).
15. F. Hartmann-Boutron; *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris.* 263B, 188 (1966).
16. G. Blasse and J.F. Fast; *Philips Res. Repts.* 18, 393 (1963).
17. P. Strobel, F.P. Koffyberg, and A. Wold; *J. Solid St. Chem.* 31, 209 (1980).
18. H.T. Hall; *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 31, 125 (1960).
19. B.L. Morris and A. Wold; *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 89, 1937 (1968).
20. C.M. Sung and R.G. Burns; *Phys. Chem. Miner.* 2, 177 (1978).
21. G. Blasse; *Bull. Soc. Chim. France* 4, 1212 (1965).




REFERENCES (Continued)

22. J.B. Goodenough; Phys. Rev. 117, 1442 (1960).
23. J.B. Goodenough; "Magnetism and the Chemical Bond," Krieger, New York 1976.
24. P.W. Selwood, "Magnetochemistry," 2nd ed., Interscience, New York, 1956.
25. J.G. Creer and J.G.F. Troup; Solid St. Commun. 8, 1183 (1970).
26. T. Yagi, F. Marumo, and S. Akimoto; Am. Miner. 59, 486 (1974).


FIGURE CAPTIONS



- Figure 1 The spinel structure. The arrangement of B-sites above and between two layers of close-packed O^{2-} anions, projected along [111]. $n = \sqrt{3}a_0/6$ is the distance between layers.
- Figure 2 The olivine structure. The arrangement of B-sites below and between two layers of close-packed O^{2-} anions, projected along [100].
- Figure 3 Inverse magnetic susceptibility versus temperature for the olivine Fe_2SiO_4 and the spinel Fe_2GeO_4 .
- Figure 4 Inverse magnetic susceptibility versus temperature for the olivines Fe_2SiO_4 and $Fe_2Ge_{0.2}Si_{0.8}O_4$ and the spinel Fe_2GeO_4 .
- Figure 5 Inverse magnetic susceptibility versus temperature for the nominal composition $Fe_2Ge_{0.3}Si_{0.7}O_4$ in both its predominantly olivine and spinel forms.







Fe_2SiO_4 vs. Fe_2GeO_4




$$\chi^{-1} (\text{emu/mol})^{-1}$$




TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
ONR Western Regional Office Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
ONR Eastern Regional Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (RE&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
		Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Dr. A. B. Ellis Chemistry Department University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706	1	Dr. R. P. Van Duyne Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. M. Wrighton Chemistry Department Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Dr. B. Stanley Pons Department of Chemistry University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta CANADA T6G 2G2	1
Larry E. Plew Naval Weapons Support Center Code 30736, Building 2906 Crane, Indiana 47522	1	Dr. Michael J. Weaver Department of Chemistry Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan 48824	1
S. Rubv DOE (STOR) 600 E Street Washington, D.C. 20545	1	Dr. R. David Rauh EIC Corporation 55 Chapel Street Newton, Massachusetts 02158	1
Dr. Aaron Wold Brown University Department of Chemistry Providence, Rhode Island 02192	1	Dr. J. David Margerum Research Laboratories Division Hughes Aircraft Company 3011 Malibu Canyon Road Malibu, California 90265	1
Dr. R. C. Chudacek McGraw-Edison Company Edison Battery Division Post Office Box 28 Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003	1	Dr. Martin Fleischmann Department of Chemistry University of Southampton Southampton 509 5NH England	1
Dr. A. J. Bard University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712	1	Dr. Janet Osteryoung Department of Chemistry State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. M. M. Nicholson Electronics Research Center Rockwell International 3370 Miraloma Avenue Anaheim, California	1	Dr. R. A. Osteryoung Department of Chemistry State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. Donald W. Ernst Naval Surface Weapons Center Code R-33 White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1	Mr. James R. Moden Naval Underwater Systems Center Code 3632 Newport, Rhode Island 02840	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Dr. R. Nowak Naval Research Laboratory Code 6130 Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Dr. Bernard Spielvogel U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	1
Dr. John F. Houlihan Shenango Valley Campus Pennsylvania State University Sharon, Pennsylvania 16146	1	Dr. Denton Elliott Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB Washington, DC 20332	1
Dr. D. F. Shriver Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Dr. David Aikens Chemistry Department Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, NY 12181	1
Dr. D. H. Whitmore Department of Materials Science Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Dr. A. P. B. Lever Chemistry Department York University Downsview, Ontario M3J1P3 Canada	1
Dr. Alan Bewick Department of Chemistry The University Southampton, SO9 5NH England	1	Mr. Maurice F. Murphy Naval Sea Systems Command 63R32 2221 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 20360	1
Dr. A. Himy NAVSEA-5433 NC #4 2541 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, Virginia 20362	1	Dr. Stanislaw Szpak Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 6343 San Diego, CA 95152	1
Dr. John Kincaid Department of the Navy Strategic Systems Project Office Room 901 Washington, DC 20376	1	Dr. Gregory Farrington Department of Materials Science & Engineering University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA 19104	1
M. L. Robertson Manager, Electrochemical Power Sonics Division Naval Weapons Support Center Crane, Indiana 47522	1	Dr. Bruce Dunn Department of Engineering & Applied Science University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024	1
Dr. Elton Cairns Energy & Environment Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720	1		

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Dr. Paul Delahay Department of Chemistry New York University New York, New York 10003	1	Dr. P. J. Hendra Department of Chemistry University of Southampton Southampton SO9 5NH United Kingdom	1
Dr. E. Yeager Department of Chemistry Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 41106	1	Dr. Sam Perone Department of Chemistry Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana 47907	1
Dr. D. N. Bennion Department of Chemical Engineering Brigham Young University Provo, Utah 84602	1	Dr. Royce W. Murray Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1
Dr. R. A. Marcus Department of Chemistry California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91125	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Technical Library San Diego, California 92152	1
Dr. J. J. Auborn Bell Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974	1	Dr. C. E. Mueller The Electrochemistry Branch Materials Division, Research & Technology Department Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1
Dr. Adam Heller Bell Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974	1	Dr. G. Goodman Globe-Union Incorporated 5757 North Green Bay Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201	1
Dr. T. Katan Lockheed Missiles & Space Co, Inc. P.O. Box 504 Sunnyvale, California 94088	1	Dr. J. Boechler Electrochimica Corporation Attention: Technical Library 2485 Charleston Road Mountain View, California 94040	1
Dr. Joseph Singer, Code 302-1 NASA-Lewis 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135	1	Dr. P. P. Schmidt Department of Chemistry Oakland University Rochester, Michigan 48063	1
Dr. B. Brummer EIC Incorporated 55 Chapel Street Newton, Massachusetts 02158	1	Dr. H. Richtol Chemistry Department Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York 12181	1
Library P. R. Mallory and Company, Inc. Northwest Industrial Park Burlington, Massachusetts 01803	1		

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 359

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Dr. Micha Tomkiewicz Department of Physics Brooklyn College Brooklyn, NY 11210	1
Dr. Lesser Blum Department of Physics University of Puerto Rico Rio Piedras, PR 00931	1
Dr. Joseph Gordon II IBM Corporation K33/281 5600 Cottle Road San Jose, CA 95193	1
Dr. Robert Somoano Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91103	1