

AD-A120 395 FLORIDA STATE UNIV TALLAHASSEE DEPT OF STATISTICS F/G 12/14
ASSOCIATION OF NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES AND SLEPIAN'S INEQUALITY--ETC (U)
APR 82 K JOGDEO; M D PERLMAN; L D PITT F49620-82-K-0007
UNCLASSIFIED FSU-STATISTICS-M620 AFOSR-TR-82-0899 NL

AD-A120 395 FLORIDA STATE UNIV TALLAHASSEE DEPT OF STATISTICS F/G 12/14
ASSOCIATION OF NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES AND SLEPIAN'S INEQUALITY--ETC (U)
APR 82 K JOGDEO; M D PERLMAN; L D PITT F49620-82-K-0007
UNCLASSIFIED FSU-STATISTICS-M620 AFOSR-TR-82-0899 NL

AD-A120 395 FLORIDA STATE UNIV TALLAHASSEE DEPT OF STATISTICS F/G 12/14
ASSOCIATION OF NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES AND SLEPIAN'S INEQUALITY--ETC (U)
APR 82 K JOGDEO; M D PERLMAN; L D PITT F49620-82-K-0007
UNCLASSIFIED FSU-STATISTICS-M620 AFOSR-TR-82-0899 NL

AD-A120 395 FLORIDA STATE UNIV TALLAHASSEE DEPT OF STATISTICS F/G 12/14
ASSOCIATION OF NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES AND SLEPIAN'S INEQUALITY--ETC (U)
APR 82 K JOGDEO; M D PERLMAN; L D PITT F49620-82-K-0007
UNCLASSIFIED FSU-STATISTICS-M620 AFOSR-TR-82-0899 NL

AD-A120 395 FLORIDA STATE UNIV TALLAHASSEE DEPT OF STATISTICS F/G 12/14
ASSOCIATION OF NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES AND SLEPIAN'S INEQUALITY--ETC (U)
APR 82 K JOGDEO; M D PERLMAN; L D PITT F49620-82-K-0007
UNCLASSIFIED FSU-STATISTICS-M620 AFOSR-TR-82-0899 NL

AD-A120 395 FLORIDA STATE UNIV TALLAHASSEE DEPT OF STATISTICS F/G 12/14
ASSOCIATION OF NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES AND SLEPIAN'S INEQUALITY--ETC (U)
APR 82 K JOGDEO; M D PERLMAN; L D PITT F49620-82-K-0007
UNCLASSIFIED FSU-STATISTICS-M620 AFOSR-TR-82-0899 NL

AD-A120 395 FLORIDA STATE UNIV TALLAHASSEE DEPT OF STATISTICS F/G 12/14
ASSOCIATION OF NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES AND SLEPIAN'S INEQUALITY--ETC (U)
APR 82 K JOGDEO; M D PERLMAN; L D PITT F49620-82-K-0007
UNCLASSIFIED FSU-STATISTICS-M620 AFOSR-TR-82-0899 NL

10. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 2000; 283: 2686-2692.

101

DATE
FILMED

END

END

AD A120395

Association of Normal Random Variables
and Slepian's Inequality

Abbreviated Title
Association of Normal Variables

by

Kumar Jogdeo
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Department of Mathematics
Urbana, Illinois 61801

Michael D. Perlman
University of Washington
Department of Statistics
Seattle, Washington 98195

Loren D. Pitt
University of Virginia
Department of Mathematics
Charlottesville, Virginia 22903

April, 1982
FSU Statistics Report No. M620
AFOSR Report No. 82-144

Department of Statistics
The Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida 32306

DTIC
ELECTE
S OCT 18 1982 D
B

AMS 1970 subject classifications: Primary 62H05, Secondary 62N05.

Key Words and Phrases: normal variables, association, Slepian's inequality.

Research supported by Air Force Office of Scientific Research,
U.S.A.F., under Contract No. F49620-82-K-0007.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release
Distribution Unlimited

82 10 18 046

DTIC FILE COPY

I. Introduction

We give a simple proof of the result in Pitt (1981) that positively correlated normal random variables are associated. The proof is an adaptation of the original proof of Slepian's inequality in Slepian (1962), and extends to the case of elliptically contoured distributions.

II. Normal Random Vectors

Let $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a mean zero n -dimensional normal random vector with $n \times n$ covariance matrix $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$. By a smooth function we will mean a C^2 function $h(x)$ which together with its first and second order derivatives satisfy a $O(|x|^N)$ growth condition at ∞ , for some finite N .

We set

$$H(\Sigma) = Eh(X),$$

and we are interested in the manner that $H(\Sigma)$ varies with Σ . Our main result is

Proposition 1: Let Γ be another covariance matrix with $\gamma_{ii} = \sigma_{ii}$ and $\gamma_{ij} \leq \sigma_{ij}$ for all i and j . If h is a smooth function on R^n and if

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 h(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } i \text{ and } j \text{ with } \gamma_{ij} < \sigma_{ij},$$

then

$$(2) \quad H(\Gamma) \leq H(\Sigma).$$

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC)

NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DTIC

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12. Distribution is unlimited.

MATTHEW J. KERPER

Chief, Technical Information Division



Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

Proof: By standard approximation arguments it suffices to show

$$\frac{\partial H(\Sigma)}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \geq 0$$

whenever Σ is nonsingular and $\partial^2 h / \partial x_i \partial x_j \geq 0$. Let $\phi(x) = \phi_\Sigma(x)$ be the mean zero normal density on R^n with covariance matrix Σ . Then

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma_{ii}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i^2}, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \quad i \neq j.$$

See e.g. Plackett (1954). Using (3) and our assumptions on h which justify two integrations by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad \frac{\partial H(\Sigma)}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} &= \int_{R^n} h(x) \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} dx \\ &= \int_{R^n} \frac{\partial^2 h(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \phi(x) dx \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

By varying h , Γ and Σ we obtain other results.

Corollary 1. Let $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_k)g(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$ where f and g are bounded measurable increasing functions. Suppose also that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ii} &= \sigma_{ii} && \text{for all } i, \\ \gamma_{ij} &= \sigma_{ij} && \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq k \text{ or } k < i, j \leq n, \\ \gamma_{ij} &\leq \sigma_{ij} && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq k < j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$H(\Gamma) \leq H(\Sigma).$$

In particular, if $\sigma_{ij} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k < j \leq n$.

$$Ef(x_1, \dots, x_k)Eg(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \leq Ef(x_1, \dots, x_k)g(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

Proof: If f and g are smooth then h is smooth and

$$\frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k < j \leq n.$$

In this case the result follows from (2). The general case follows by approximations as in Pitt (1981).

To state Slepian's inequality, we write $P_\Sigma(A)$ for the probability of the event that $X \in A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Corollary 2. (Slepian) If $\gamma_{ii} = \sigma_{ii}$ and $\gamma_{ij} \leq \sigma_{ij}$ for all i and j then for each λ

$$P_\Gamma\{\max X_i \leq \lambda\} \leq P_\Sigma\{\max X_i \leq \lambda\}.$$

Proof: Again by standard arguments it suffices to show that $H(\Gamma) \leq H(\Sigma)$ for each product $h(x) = \prod_1^n f_i(x_i)$ of bounded non-negative smooth decreasing function $f_i(x_i)$. For $i \neq j$, each such product satisfies $\partial^2 h / \partial x_i \partial x_j \geq 0$, and the result follows from Proposition 1.

Remark: Several variants are possible. In particular, changing the sign of $x_1, \dots, x_k$ in Corollary 1 gives the result of Jogdeo and Proschan (1981):

If $\sigma_{ij} \leq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k < j < n$, and if f and g are increasing then

$$Ef(x_1, \dots, x_k)g(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \leq Ef(x_1, \dots, x_k)Eg(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

III. Elliptically Contoured Distributions

The previous results extend to elliptically contoured distributions. The extension of Slepian's inequality to this case was given in Das Gupta, Eaton, Olkin, Perlman, Savage and Sobel (1972).

Let $\langle x, y \rangle$ denote the Euclidean inner product on R^n and let Σ be a non-singular positive definite matrix. A probability density on R^n of the form

$$p_{\Sigma}(x) = |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} p(\langle x, \Sigma^{-1}x \rangle)$$

is called elliptically contoured. Here $p(\lambda) \geq 0$ is defined on $[0, \infty)$ and is assumed to satisfy $\int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} p(\lambda) d\lambda < \infty$.

We write

$$H(\Sigma) = \int_{R^n} h(x) p_{\Sigma}(x) dx,$$

and with this notation we will show that Proposition 1 remains valid.

It will suffice to establish (2) under the technical condition that $p(\lambda)$ is a C^2 function with compact support, and under this hypothesis we will show that:

$$(5) \quad \text{If } \partial^2 h / \partial x_i \partial x_j \geq 0, \text{ then } \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} H(\Sigma) \geq 0.$$

The proof is similar to the earlier one, but requires a substitute for the equations (3). This is supplied by Proposition 2.

For $\lambda \geq 0$ we set

$$F(\lambda) = \int_0^\lambda p(\xi) d\xi.$$

Let

$$F_\infty = \int_0^\infty p(\xi) d\xi,$$

and

$$G_\Sigma(x) = (2|\Sigma|)^{-1/2} (F_\infty - F(\langle x, \Sigma^{-1} x \rangle)).$$

Proposition 2. If $h(x)$ is smooth and $p(\lambda)$ is C^2 with compact support, then

$$(6) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) p_\Sigma(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 h(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} G_\Sigma(x) dx.$$

Remarks: Since $G_\Sigma \geq 0$ this proves (5). Also, in the case that $p_\Sigma = \phi_\Sigma$ is a normal density one easily checks that $G_\Sigma = \phi_\Sigma$, so (6) is a generalization of (3).

Proof: Let $\Sigma^{-1} = (\sigma^{ij})$. We will use the matrix identities

$$(7) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ii}} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ii} |\Sigma|^{-1/2},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} = -\sigma^{ij} |\Sigma|^{-1/2}, \quad i \neq j$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ii}} \langle x, \Sigma^{-1} x \rangle = -\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma^{ik} x_k \right)^2,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \langle x, \Sigma^{-1} x \rangle = -2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma^{ik} x_k \right) \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \sigma^{j\ell} x_\ell \right), \quad i \neq j.$$

without further comment.

Calculating, we now have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial p_{\Sigma}}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} &= -\sigma^{ij} p_{\Sigma} - 2|\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} p'(\langle x, \Sigma^{-1} x \rangle) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma^{ik} x_k \right) \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n \sigma^{j\ell} x_{\ell} \right) \\ &= -\sigma^{ij} p_{\Sigma} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma^{ik} x_k \right) \frac{\partial p_{\Sigma}}{\partial x_j}.\end{aligned}$$

Our assumptions on p justify integration by parts and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) p_{\Sigma}(x) dx &= -\sigma^{ij} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) p_{\Sigma}(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma^{ik} x_k \right) h(x) \right] p_{\Sigma}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sigma^{ik} x_k \right) \frac{\partial h(x)}{\partial x_j} p_{\Sigma}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial h(x)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F(\langle x, \Sigma^{-1} x \rangle) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 h(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} G_{\Sigma}(x) dx.\end{aligned}$$

The above calculations allow one extension which is perhaps worthwhile making. Using (7) we can easily verify that

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{ii}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) p_{\Sigma}(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^2 h(x)}{\partial x_i^2} G_{\Sigma}(x) dx.$$

Combining (6) and (8) it is elementary to prove

Proposition 3. Let Γ and Σ be positive definite matrices and set

$A = \Sigma - \Gamma = (a_{ij})$. Let $\Sigma_t = \Gamma + tA$ and let $h(x)$ be a smooth function satisfying

$$(9) \quad Ah(x) \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 h(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) p_{\Sigma_t}(x) dx,$$

is an increasing function of t , $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Proof: Assuming, as before, that $p(\lambda)$ is a C^2 function with compact support, then (6) and (8) give

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) p_{\Sigma_t}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Ah(x) G_{\Sigma_t}(x) dx.$$

By (9) this is non-negative.

References

- Das Gupta, S., Eaton, M.L., Olkin, I., Perlman, M., Savage, L.J. and Sobel, M.
(1972). Inequalities on the probability content of convex regions for elliptically contoured distributions. Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob. 2, 241-264. Univ. of California Press.
- Jogdeo, K. and Proschan, F., (1981). Negative association of random variables, with applications. Florida State Univ. statistics preprint.
- Pitt, L.D., (1981). Positively correlated normal variables are associated. Ann. Prob., to appear.

Plackett, R.L., (1954). A reduction formula for normal multivariate integrals.

Biometrika. 41, 351-360.

Slepian, D., (1962). The one-sided barrier problem for Gaussian noise. Bell

System Tech. J. 41, 463-501.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER AFOSR-TR- 82-0899	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A120	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 395	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ASSOCIATION OF NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLES AND SLEPIAN'S INEQUALITY		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED TECHNICAL	
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER FSU #M620	
7. AUTHOR(s) Kumar Jogdeo*, Michael D. Perlman**, and *** Loren D. Pitt		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) F49620-82-K-0007	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics & Statistical Consulting Center, Florida State University, Tallahassee FL 32306		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS PE61102F; 2304/A5	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Directorate of Mathematical & Information Sciences Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB DC 20332		12. REPORT DATE 1982	
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Normal variables; association; Slepian's inequality.			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A simple extension of Slepian's inequality is given which implies the original inequality and the result that positively correlated normal variables are associated.			

82 10 18 046

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)