


ADA 124414





COMPUTER SCIENCE
TECHNICAL REPORT SERIES



UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND

20742

DTIC FILE COPY



TR-1086
DAAG-53-76C-0138

August 1981

ELEVATION TEXTURE

Cheng-Ye Wang
Azriel Rosenfeld

Computer Vision Laboratory
Computer Science Center
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742



ABSTRACT

Given an array of terrain elevation values, texture analysis techniques can be used, in principle, for segmentation and terrain type classification.

The support of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and the U.S. Army Night Vision Laboratory under Contract DAAG-53-76C-0138 (DARPA Order 3206) is gratefully acknowledged, as is the help of Janet Salzman in preparing this paper.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited


Texture analysis has been used in many pattern recognition applications, including radiology and cytology as well as remote sensing. For a general review of texture analysis concepts and techniques, see [1].

Conventionally, texture analysis is applied to images composed of gray levels, i.e., brightness or intensity values. In the field of remote sensing, intensity-based texture has been used for various types of terrain classification, based on geology, land use, etc. [1].

As a result of the growing interest in digital cartography, terrain elevation data is becoming increasingly available in digital form. The purpose of this note is to point out that arrays of elevation data can be used, either alone or in conjunction with (registered) intensity data, as a basis for texture analysis.

Figure 1 shows some samples of terrain elevation data, with elevation rescaled and displayed as gray level. Each sample is 128x128 pixels. The samples were extracted from an image in the DARPA/DMA Test Database.

It is evident that the samples in Figure 1 differ greatly in their textural properties. To confirm this, Table 1 shows a set of standard texture feature values [1] computed for these samples. Thus, such elevation-based texture feature values could clearly be used to classify terrain samples.



It should be pointed out that the vertical resolution of digital terrain elevation data is generally not high, so that in a small region of the terrain, the variations in elevation will not be great. Thus, to obtain distinctive textural patterns, it may be necessary to use relatively large terrain samples. Note that in Figure 1, the samples are 128×128 , whereas in most of the past work using intensity-based texture features [1], samples of size 64×64 or smaller have generally been used.

Elevation data can also be used in combination with intensity data for terrain classification. LARS [2] has used elevation values as a "band", in conjunction with spectral intensity bands, for pixel classification. Haralick [1] has defined a "texture transform" which associates a texture-derived value with each pixel, and has used the array of these values as a "texture band". If desired, one could combine these two ideas to define an "elevation texture band". Another possibility is to apply multiband texture analysis [3] to a combination of intensity and elevation bands.

In summary, digital terrain elevation data can be used in a variety of ways as a basis for texture analysis. As such data become increasingly available, practical applications of this idea should begin to emerge.

References

1. R. M. Haralick, Statistical and structural approaches to texture, Proc. IEEE 67, 1979, 786-804.
2. M. D. Fleming and R. M. Hoffer, Machine Processing of Landsat MSS data and DMA topographic data for forest cover mapping, Proc. Symp. on Machine Processing of Remotely Sensed Data, 1979, 377-390.
3. C. Y. Wang and A. Rosenfeld, Multispectral texture, IEEE Trans. Systems, Man, Cybernetics, in press.






Figure 1. Samples of terrain elevation data.



<u>Sample</u>	<u>Feature</u>		
	<u>CON</u>	<u>ASM</u>	<u>IDM</u>
1	5.2	66	.045
2	3.6	45	.013
3	3.0	49	.033
4	1.9	20	.039
5	1.0	31	.027
6	7.6	107	.012
7	0.1	11	.010
8	0.6	18	.028
9	0.4	15	.036
10	12.4	91	.270

Table 1. Values of some standard texture features for the samples in Figure 1. The features are derived from the elevation co-occurrence matrix at displacement 1. Note the wide range of feature values.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	AD-A124414	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
ELEVATION TEXTURE	Technical	
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
	TR-1086	
7. AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
Cheng-Ye Wang Azriel Rosenfeld	DAAG-53-76C-0138	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
Computer Vision Laboratory Computer Science Center University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE	
U. S. Army Night Vision Laboratory Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060		
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
	6	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
	UNCLASSIFIED	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
Image processing Pattern recognition Texture analysis Terrain classification Elevation data		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
Given an array of terrain elevation values, texture analysis techniques can be used, in principle, for segmentation and terrain type classification.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)