

AD-A130 009

METHODS FOR DETERMINING THE PERCENTAGE OF NITROGEN IN  
NITROCELLULOSE(U) ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND  
DEVELOPMENT COMMAND DOVER NJ LARGE. A F TASCHLER


1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

JUN 83 ARLCD-TR-83025 SBI-AD-E401 027

F/G 19/1

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963 A

ADA 130009

AD

AD-E401 027

TECHNICAL REPORT ARLCD-TR-83025

**METHODS FOR DETERMINING THE PERCENTAGE OF  
NITROGEN IN NITROCELLULOSE**

**ANTHONY F. TASCHLER**

**JUNE 1983**



**US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND  
LARGE CALIBER  
WEAPON SYSTEMS LABORATORY  
DOVER, NEW JERSEY**

**APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.**

DTIC FILE COPY

83 06 16 052

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

The citation in this report of the names of commercial firms or commercially available products or services does not constitute official endorsement by or approval of the U.S. Government.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return to the originator.



UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (cont)

development of the technique. The titanous chloride method is not equivalent to the nitrometer because the variance is too high.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to acknowledge the contributions made by the personnel of the participating activities and the members of the Propellant Characterization Subcommittee of the Joint Army Navy NASA Air Force (JANNAF) Interagency Propulsion Committee. The author is also grateful to Eric R. Bixon of ARRADCOM for the statistical analysis of the data listed in this report.

Accession For	
DTIC SERIAL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist	Spec



## CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Discussion	1
Data Gathering	1
Statistical Evaluation	2
Conclusions	3
Recommendations	3
References	5
Distribution List	13

## INTRODUCTION

A round robin was conducted to determine if any one of three proposed chemical methods for determining the nitrogen content of nitrocellulose was equivalent to the existing nitrometer method. The three proposed methods are: (1) potentiometer ferrous sulfate titration, (2) automatic ferrous sulfate titration, and (3) titanous chloride.

## DISCUSSION

In the round robin, ten analyses were made of two samples<sup>1</sup> of nitrocellulose using four different methods for determining the percentage of nitrogen, specifically:

1. Nitrometer, Method 209.3
2. Titanous chloride, Method 209.7
3. Potentiometer ferrous sulfate titration, Method 209.11
4. Automatic ferrous sulfate titration

Methods 1 through 3 are from Mil Std 286; method 4, from Hercules, Inc. (refs 2, and 3).

The nitrometer method was chosen as the standard since it is currently used for acceptance testing of nitrocellulose in accordance with Specification Mil-N-244 and Mil Std 286.

### Data Gathering

Tables 1 and 2 list the data gathered by different participating activities and the methods used in the round robin. The participating activities and the symbols (A, B, C, etc.) by which their laboratories are identified in the tables are listed below:

<u>Participating activities</u>	<u>Symbol</u>
Olin Corporation	A
Hercules, Incorporated	B

---

<sup>1</sup> The first sample (lot 15340) and the second sample (lot 30057) consisted of 5 pounds of grade A and grade C nitrocellulose, respectively, as specified in Mil-N-244 (ref 1).

Radford Army Ammunition Plant (RAAP)	C
ARRADCOM	D
Naval Ordnance Station	E
Edwards Air Force Base	F
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	G

The tables also list the averages, variance, and standard deviation of each laboratory and method.<sup>2</sup> The variance and standard deviation of the automatic method are equivalent to the nitrometer method. The data from the potentiometer method appear to depend on each individual activity; more experience with this method is needed.

The raw data from tables 1 and 2 are plotted in figures 1 and 2. A graphical comparison of the data is made by plotting all of the individual data points (represented by X's) and the mean of each set of ten data points (represented by lines). The graph is an ideal method for visualizing differences in the data. The nitrometer data appear to have about the same dispersion as the data from the automatic and potentiometer methods. The data from RAAP (C) show dispersion and test results for the three methods. The data from the titanous chloride method appear to be more dispersed than the other three.

#### Statistical Evaluation

The data were evaluated by a two-way analysis of variance, and the results are shown in tables 3 and 4. The values determined by the F-test (ref 4) at the 95% level are significant for the activities and interactions for the test methods. Repeatability and reproducibility tests were determined for each method. Since the values calculated for the automatic method are lower than those of the other three, one can conclude that the automatic method is the most precise and has better repeatability and reproducibility.

---

<sup>2</sup> Data from Edwards Air Force Base (F) appear to be completely different from the other laboratories and should not be part of the group. The variance and the standard deviation of the data from Livermore (G) are too high and should not be considered with the automatic ferrous sulfate method, but may be considered with the potentiometer ferrous sulfate method.

## CONCLUSIONS

The automatic ferrous sulfate titration method can be considered equivalent to the nitrometer, and the repeatability and reproducibility achieved by Hercules and RAAP (B and C) for this method are superior. RAAP can also achieve a repeatability as good as or better than the nitrometer method using the potentiometer ferrous sulfate method.

The titanous chloride method has poor repeatability but good reproducibility.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that:

1. The automatic ferrous sulfate titration method be added to the JANNAF Propellant Characterization Working Group Handbook (ref 5) and to Specification Mil-N-244 as an alternate method for determining the percentage of nitrogen in nitrocellulose (ref 1).

2. Another round robin be conducted with the potentiometer ferrous sulfate titration method.

#### REFERENCES

1. "Nitrocellulose," Military Specification Mil-N-244, ARRADCOM, Dover, NJ, February 1962.
2. "Propellants, Solid, Sampling, Examination and Testing," Military Standard Mil Std 286B, ARRADCOM, Dover, NJ, December 1967.
3. H.C. Hyers and Paul Walker, "Titration of Nitrogen Content of Nitrocellulose," Radford Army Ammunition (RAAP), Hercules, Inc., Technical Report L-142, September 1980.
4. Wilfred Dixon and Frank J. Massey, Jr., Introduction to Statistical Analysis, Third Edition, McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, 1969.
5. JANNAF Propellant Characterization Working Group Handbook (1 CRPG, 800.0 through 842), Chemical Propulsion Information Agency, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD, published annually.
6. Eric Bixon, "Statistical Guide for Determining the Equivalency of Two Chemical Test Methods," ARRADCOM, Dover, NJ, April 1982.

Table 1. Percentage of nitrogen in nitrocellulose lot 15340

Activities	Nitrometer			Titanous chloride			Automatic ferrous sulfate			Potentiometer ferrous sulfate			
	A	C	E	C	D	E	B	C	G	A	C	E	F
	13.12	13.16	13.11	13.05	13.17	12.99	13.15	13.15	13.18	13.23	13.13	13.10	12.25
	13.08	13.14	13.11	12.98	13.43	13.09	13.17	13.17	13.23	13.21	13.16	13.12	12.26
	13.09	13.14	13.12	13.00	13.22	13.00	13.14	13.18	13.17	13.23	13.17	13.17	12.21
	13.08	13.17	13.13	13.16	13.22	13.01	13.17	13.17	13.16	13.12	13.13	13.11	12.39
	13.02	13.16	13.12	13.02	13.22	13.13	13.18	13.16	13.12	13.17	13.15	13.16	12.68
	13.11	13.15	13.13	12.91	13.15	12.87	13.14	13.17	13.24	13.21	13.15	13.17	12.62
	13.09	13.14	13.10	13.30	13.19	13.19	13.13	13.16	13.15	13.21	13.15	13.18	12.72
	13.03	13.15	13.13	13.12	13.24	14.62	13.16	13.15	13.14	13.24	13.14	13.17	12.54
	13.08	13.17		13.34	13.14	12.94	13.16	13.16	13.08	13.22	13.13	13.18	12.44
	13.11	13.17		13.24	13.26	12.95	13.14	13.16	13.11	13.20	13.15	13.22	12.47
Mean	13.08	13.16	13.12	13.12	13.23	13.02	13.15	13.16	13.16	13.20	13.15	13.16	12.44
Variance	0.0009	0.0001	0.0001	0.0196	0.0064	0.0100	0.0004	0.0001	0.0025	0.0016	0.0001	0.0016	0.0324
S.D.	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.14	0.08	0.10	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.18

Table 2. Percentage of nitrogen in nitrocellulose lot 30057

Activities	Nitrometer		Titanous chloride			Automatic ferrous sulfate			Potentiometer ferrous sulfate				
	A	C	C	D	E	B	C	G	A	C	D	E	F
12.56	12.62	12.60	12.67	12.61	12.34	12.59	12.63	12.55	12.67	12.66	12.61	12.67	10.27
12.55	12.61	12.59	12.67	12.65	12.10	12.63	12.62	12.59	12.70	12.66	12.61	12.60	10.14
12.52	12.61	12.60	12.75	12.69	12.11	12.62	12.62	12.54	12.68	12.65	12.68	12.67	10.13
12.53	12.62	12.61	12.77	12.66	12.11	12.60	12.62	12.66	12.69	12.61	12.65	12.69	10.45
12.55	12.60	12.62	12.76	12.62	12.12	12.60	12.61	12.59	12.69	12.61	12.60	12.59	10.24
12.56	12.60	12.60	12.76	12.62	12.38	12.59	12.64	12.63	12.67	12.65	12.68	12.69	10.06
12.57	12.63	12.61	12.65	12.63	12.23	12.59	12.62	12.57	12.68	12.62	12.76	12.61	11.12
12.56	12.63	12.64	12.75	12.62	11.90	12.62	12.62	12.57	12.64	12.64	12.88	12.66	10.71
12.55	12.63	12.63	12.41	12.68	12.44	12.62	12.64	12.58	12.68	12.63	12.71	12.69	10.69
12.56	12.60	12.60	12.71	12.68	12.40	12.63	12.62	12.58	12.66	12.65	12.69	12.69	10.78
Mean	12.55	12.62	12.61	12.65	12.21	12.61	12.62	12.59	12.68	12.64	12.69	12.65	10.46
Variance, (ref 5)	0.0004	0.0001	0.0004	0.0121	0.0009	0.0004	0.0001	0.0016	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.1225
S.D.	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.11	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.02	0.35

Table 3. Two-way analysis of variance (automatic and potentiometer) (ref 4)

Variance	Automatic titration <sup>a</sup>			Potentiometer titration <sup>b</sup>				
	SS <sup>c</sup>	DF <sup>d</sup>	MS <sup>e</sup>	F-test <sup>f</sup>	SS <sup>c</sup>	DF <sup>d</sup>	MS <sup>e</sup>	F-test <sup>f</sup>
Lots	2.9376	1	2.9376	32640.4445	3.7751	1	3.7851	905.1610
Activities	0.0014	1	0.0014	16.0000	0.0236	2	0.0118	2.8222
Interactions	0.0001	1	0.0001	0.4924	0.0084	2	0.0042	2.3702
Within	<u>0.0066</u>	<u>36</u>	0.0002	-	<u>0.0952</u>	<u>54</u>	0.0018	-
Totals	2.9457	39	-	-	3.9023	59	-	-
Repeatability (ref 6)			0.0118				0.0368	
Reproducibility (ref 6)			0.0242				0.0783	
Grand mean lot 15340			13.1585				13.1693	
Grand mean lot 30057			12.6165				12.6670	

<sup>a</sup> Hercules and RAAP (B and C)

<sup>b</sup> Olin Corporation, RAAP, and ARRADCOM (A, C; D)

<sup>c</sup> Sum of squares

<sup>d</sup> Degrees of freedom

<sup>e</sup> Mean square

<sup>f</sup> Variance of sample distribution

Table 4. Two-way analysis of variance (nitrometer and titanous chloride)(ref 4)

Variance	Nitrometer <sup>a</sup>			Titanous chloride <sup>b</sup>				
	SSC	Df <sup>d</sup>	MS <sup>e</sup>	F-test <sup>f</sup>	SSC	Df <sup>d</sup>	MS <sup>e</sup>	F-test <sup>f</sup>
Lots	2.7092	1	2.7092	712.4792	6.4419	1	6.4419	16.4190
Activities	0.0287	1	0.0287	7.8113	0.6687	2	0.3343	0.8522
Interactions	0.0038	1	0.0038	7.2085	0.7847	2	0.3923	7.0105
Within	<u>0.0190</u>	<u>36</u>	0.0005	-	<u>3.0221</u>	<u>54</u>	0.0559	-
Totals	2.7607	39	-	-	10.9174	59	-	-
Repeatability (ref 6)			0.0201				0.2702	
Reproducibility (ref 6)			0.1134				0.5280	
Grand mean lot 15340			13.1180				13.1717	
Grand mean lot 30057			12.5975				12.5163	

<sup>a</sup> Olin Corporation and RAAP (A and C)

<sup>b</sup> Hercules, RAAP, and Naval Ordnance Station (B, C; D)

<sup>c</sup> Sum of squares

<sup>d</sup> Degrees of freedom

<sup>e</sup> Mean square

<sup>f</sup> Variance of sample distribution




Figure 1. Percentage of nitrogen in nitrocellulose lot 15340




Figure 2. Percentage of nitrogen in nitrocellulose lot 30057

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Commander  
U.S. Army Armament Research  
and Development Command  
ATTN: DRDAR-TSS (5)  
DRDAR-GCL  
DRDAR-LCA-G (10)  
DRDAR-LCE-C (5)  
DRDAR-QAR (5)  
Dover, NJ 07801

Administrator  
Defense Technical Information Center  
ATTN: Accessions Division (12)  
Cameron Station  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Director  
U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity  
ATTN: DRXSY-MP  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander/Director  
Chemical Systems Laboratory  
U.S. Army Armament Research  
and Development Command  
ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-L  
DRDAR-CLB-PA  
APG, Edgewood Area, MD 21010

Director  
Ballistics Research Laboratory  
U.S. Army Armament Research  
and Development Command  
ATTN: DRDAR-TSB-S  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Chief  
Benet Weapons Laboratory, LCWSL  
U.S. Army Armament Research  
and Development Command  
ATTN: DRDAR-LCB-TL  
Watervliet, NY 12189

Commander  
U.S. Army Armament Materiel  
Readiness Command  
ATTN: DRSAR-LEP-L  
Rock Island, IL 61299

Director  
U.S. Army TRADOC Systems  
Analysis Activity  
ATTN: ATAA-SL  
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

Olin Corporation  
ATTN: Edward Lilliot  
St. Marks, FL 32355

Hercules Incorporated  
ATTN: Roland S. Van Arsdale  
Parlin, NJ 08859

Commander  
Radford Army Ammunition Plant  
Hercules Incorporated  
ATTN: Paul Walker  
Radford, VA 24141

Commander  
Naval Ordnance Station  
Chemical Physics Analysis Division  
Code 303  
ATTN: William Brown  
Indianhead, MD 20640

Edwards Air Force Base  
ATTN: AFRPL/LKLR (Mail Code 24),  
John Nakamura  
Edwards, CA 93523

University of California  
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory  
ATTN: MS L-310, Walter Selig  
P.O. Box 808  
Livermore, CA 94550

The John Hopkins University  
Applied Physics Laboratory  
ATTN: Leland B. Piper  
John Hopkins Road  
Laurel, MD 20810

Commander  
U.S. Army Missile Command  
ATTN: DRSMI-RKC, Bernard Alley  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

**END**

**FILMED**