

HD-A131 682


LIMIT THEOREMS FOR THE EIGENVALUES OF PRODUCT OF TWO
RANDOM MATRICES(U) PITTSBURGH UNIV PA CENTER FOR
MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS Y Q VIN ET AL. DEC 82 TR-82-39
AFOSR-TR-83-0697 F49620-82-K-0001 F/G 12/1

1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

(1)

LIMIT THEOREMS FOR THE EIGENVALUES OF
PRODUCT OF TWO RANDOM MATRICES


Y. Q. Yin and P. R. Krishnaiah*

China University of Science and Technology
and
Center for Multivariate Analysis

AD A131682

Center for Multivariate Analysis

University of Pittsburgh



DTIC
SELECTED
AUG 24 1983

Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited.

DTIC FILE COPY

Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited.

83 08 19 090

LIMIT THEOREMS FOR THE EIGENVALUES OF
PRODUCT OF TWO RANDOM MATRICES

Y. Q. Yin and P. R. Krishnaiah*

China University of Science and Technology
and
Center for Multivariate Analysis

December 1982

Technical Report No. 82-39

Center for Multivariate Analysis
University of Pittsburgh
Ninth Floor, Schenley Hall
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Author	
Title	
Abstract	
Keywords	
Classification	
Availability	
Availability Codes	
Notes	
Indexing	
Other	

18
A

*The work of this author is sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Contract F49620-82-K-001. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

F49620-82-K-0001

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFOSR)
NOTICE OF TECHNICAL TO DTIC
This technical report has been reviewed and
approved for release on APR 1982.
Distribution is unlimited.
MATTHEW J. KILMER
Chief, Technical Information Division

1. INTRODUCTION

The distributions of the eigenvalues or functions of the eigenvalues of random matrices are very useful in testing various hypotheses in multivariate statistical analysis. These distributions are useful in nuclear physics also since the behaviour of the energy levels at high excitation levels in nuclear physics may be explained by considering the distributions of the eigenvalues of certain random matrices. In the area of multivariate statistical analysis, the asymptotic distribution theory is essentially restricted to the case when the sample size tends to infinity holding the number of variables fixed. But, many situations arise when the experimenter is confronted with the problem of drawing inference from the data when the number of variables is very large. Wigner [7] considered the problem of deriving the distributions of the eigenvalues of the "Gaussian matrix" when the number of variables tends to infinity; Jonsson [4,5]. Wachter [6] and others have investigated the distributions of the eigenvalues of the sample covariance matrix when the number of variables tends to infinity. In this paper, we will show that the spectral distributions of a sequence of the products of the random matrices will tend to the distribution function in the limit as the number of variables tend to infinity. An application of this result in deriving the distributions of eigenvalues of the multivariate F matrix when the number of variables tends to infinity will be discussed in a subsequent paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let A_p be a $p \times p$ matrix with real eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_p$. Then, we define a distribution function as

$$F_p(x) = \frac{1}{p} \#\{i: \lambda_i \leq x\},$$

where $\#\{ \}$ denotes the number of elements of the set $\{ \}$. We call this function the spectral distribution function of A_p .

We are interested here in a sequence $\{A_p\}$ of random matrices, each A_p has only real eigenvalues. If the spectral distribution $F_p(x)$ of A_p tends to a nonrandom distribution function $F(x)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, in some sense, then we say that the sequence $\{A_p\}$ has a limit spectral distribution (in the given sense) $F(x)$.

Jonsson [4,5] proved that a sequence of Wishart matrices has a limit spectral distribution. Wachter [6] got more general results, but he still considered matrices of Wishart type as was done by Grenander and Silverstein [3].

In this article, we consider a different type of random matrices, namely products of certain random matrices.

Let X_{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$ be distributed independently and identically as normal with mean zero and variance one. Also, let

$$W_p = X_p X_p^T$$

be a Wishart matrix where

$$X_p = (X_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq m).$$

Then W_p is known to be the Wishart matrix with m degrees of

freedom.

For each $p \geq 1$, let $T_p = (t_{ij}^{(p)}, 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq p)$ be a matrix of random variables. We suppose $t_{ij}^{(p)} = t_{ji}^{(p)}$, and $T_p \geq 0$, for any $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem. Suppose

- (1) $\{X_{ij}\}$ and T_p 's are independent
- (2) the limit $\lim_{m, p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P}{m} = y$ exists and is finite
- (3) if $G_p(x)$ is the spectral distribution of T_p , for each fixed k , $E \int x^k dG_p(x)$ are bounded as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
- (4) $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int x^k dG_p(x) = H_k$ exists, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, in $L^2(P)$,
and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} H_{2k}^{-\frac{1}{2k}} = +\infty$ (Carleman's condition, see Feller [2])

Then, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{m} W_p T_p\}$ has a limit spectral distribution in probability, i.e. if F_p is the spectral distribution of $\{\frac{1}{m} W_p T_p\}$ then there is a distribution function F such that $F_p(x) \rightarrow F(x)$ in pr. as $p \rightarrow \infty$ for any x . $F(x)$ is nonrandom.

If $F_p(x)$ is the spectral distribution of $\frac{1}{m} W_p T_p$, and $M_k = \int x^k dF_p(x)$ is the k -th moment of $F_p(x)$, we shall prove the theorem by proving

- (5) $E_k = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E M_k$ exists for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,
- (6) $\text{Var } M_k \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$,
- (7) $\sum E_{2k}^{-\frac{1}{2k}} = +\infty$.

The main difficulty is to prove (5). In order to prove (5), we need to develop a theory on a special kind of multigraphs and we call them Q-graphs. Thus our work involves combinatorial problems.

We will use the following lemma.

Lemma A. $E \left| \int x^{k_1} dG_p(x) \dots \int x^{k_h} dG_p(x) - H_{k_1} \dots H_{k_h} \right| \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, for any fixed positive integers $k_1, \dots, k_h$.

Proof. We prove by induction on h . For $h = 1$, this is a direct consequence of condition (4). Suppose Lemma A is true for $h - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_p^{(h)} &= E \left| \int x^{k_1} dG_p(x) \dots \int x^{k_h} dG_p(x) - H_{k_1} \dots H_{k_h} \right| \\ &\leq E \left| \int x^{k_1} dG_p(x) \dots \int x^{k_{h-1}} dG_p(x) \right| \left| \int x^{k_h} dG_p(x) - H_{k_h} \right| \\ &\quad + E \left| \int x^{k_1} dG_p(x) \dots \int x^{k_{h-1}} dG_p(x) - H_{k_1} \dots H_{k_{h-1}} \right| H_{k_h} \\ &\leq E^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int x^{k_1} dG_p(x) \dots \int x^{k_{h-1}} dG_p(x) \right|^2 \cdot E^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int x^{k_h} dG_p(x) - H_{k_h} \right|^2 + E_p^{(h-1)} H_{k_h}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have only to prove $E \left| \int x^{k_1} dG_p(x) \dots \int x^{k_{h-1}} dG_p(x) \right|^2$ is bounded.

Let $k = \max(k_1, \dots, k_{h-1})$. For some $a \geq 2$, by Hölder inequality,

$$\left| \int x^{k_1} dG_p(x) \dots \int x^{k_{h-1}} dG_p(x) \right|^2 \leq \left| \int x^k dG_p(x) \right|^a \leq \int x^k dG_p(x).$$

But if $k_1 \geq ka$ is any integer, by condition (3),

$$\begin{aligned} E \int x^{ka} dG_p(x) &= E \int_{x < 1} x^{ka} dG_p(x) + E \int_{x \geq 1} x^{ka} dG_p(x) \\ &\leq 1 + E \int_{x \geq 1} x^{k_1} dG_p(x) \leq 1 + E \int_{x \geq 1} x^{k_1} dG_p(x), \end{aligned}$$

which is bounded.

3. SOME RESULTS ON GRAPH THEORY

We first prove some results on graph theory.

Let V, E be two finite sets. Suppose there is a function $g: E \rightarrow V \times V$. Then (V, E, g) is called a multidigraph. If $x \in V, y \in V$, (x, y) will denote one of those edges in E whose g image is (x, y) , sometimes we write xy instead of (x, y) .

If $v \in V$ occurs in the list $\{g(e) = (g_1(e), g_2(e)), e \in E\}$ as $g_1(e)$ or $g_2(e)$ just d times, then we say that the degree of v is d .

Definition. Let (V, E, g) be a multidigraph. If it satisfies the following conditions, then we say that it is a Q-graph:

- 1°. Each vertex (i.e. element of V) has degree 2.
- 2°. V is divided into disjoint classes such that the graph is class-connected, i.e. for any two classes A and B there are classes $A = A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r = B$ and edges (x_i, y_i) (i.e. element of E) with $x_i \in A_{i-1}, y_i \in A_i, i=1, \dots, r$.

For Q-graphs we have the following results.

Lemma 1. A Q-graph G with k vertices and w classes consists of at most $k-w+1$ cycles (we see loops as cycles). G consists of just $k-w+1$ cycles if and only if

- 1°. Each cycle meets each class in at most one vertex.
- 2°. There can be no such sequences as

$$A_1, C_1, A_2, C_2, \dots, A_r, C_r, A_1$$

where A_i 's are different classes, C_i 's are different cycles and C_i meets A_i and A_{i+1} for $i=1, 2, \dots, r$ ($A_{r+1} = A_1$).

Proof. It is evident that a Q-graph consists of disjoint cycles.

Let G be a Q -graph with k vertices and w classes. Suppose G has maximum number of cycles. We prove that conditions 1^0 and 2^0 are fulfilled.

Suppose that cycle C of G meets class A in x and y , $x \neq y$ are two vertices. We replace C by two loops (x,x) and (y,y) in case C has only two vertices. Otherwise, suppose

$$C = x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_r x_1$$

in which $x_1 = x$, $x_j = y$. Then we replace C by loop (x,x) and cycle

$$C' = x_1 \dots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \dots x_r x_1.$$

The resulting graph is still a Q -graph with k vertices and w classes, but the number of cycles has increased. This contradicts that the number of cycles of the graph G is maximal.

Suppose 2^0 is not satisfied, and there is a sequence

$$A_1, C_1, A_2, C_2, \dots, A_r, C_r, A_1$$

of different classes A_i 's and different cycles C_i 's, such that C_i meets A_i and A_{i+1} ($A_{r+1} = A_1$). We replace C_r by loops constructed from all vertices on C_r which belong to A_1 and the cycle obtained from C_r by deleting all these vertices. The resulting graph is also a Q -graph with k vertices and w classes, but with more cycles.

Thus 1^0 and 2^0 are satisfied.

In the above proof we see that any Q -graph with k vertices and w classes can be replaced by a Q -graph with the same k and w , with not less cycles and the latter Q -graph satisfies 1^0 and 2^0 .

In the following we prove that any Q-graph with k vertices and w classes satisfying 1^0 and 2^0 must have $k-w+1$ cycles.

Let C_1 and C_2 be two arbitrary cycles, passing through some class A simultaneously, and both contain vertices outside of A , $C_1 = \dots x_1 y_1 z_1 \dots$, $C_2 = \dots x_2 y_2 z_2 \dots$, $y_1, y_2 \in A$. Then we replace C_1 and C_2 by C'_1 and C'_2 , and C'_1 is the loop $y_1 y_1$, $C'_2 = \dots x_1 y_2 z_2 \dots x_2 z_1 \dots$.

Because class-connectivity is preserved, this procedure can be continued until there remains only one cycle C which is not a loop. C must meet every class. We note that in this course k, w and the number of cycles do not change. C has w vertices, the remaining vertices constitute $k-w$ loops. So, there are $k-w+1$ cycles.

Let G be a multigraph (V, E, g) . Let F be a partition of V into disjoint subsets, i.e. F is a set of subsets of V , these subsets are mutually disjoint and their union is V . Let $f: V \rightarrow F$ be the mapping such that $f(v)$ is the subset in F which contains v , for any $v \in V$. If we use $f \times f$ to denote the mapping $V \times V \rightarrow F \times F$ with $f \times f: (v_1, v_2) \mapsto (f(v_1), f(v_2))$, then

evidently $\tilde{G} = (F, E, (f \times f)og)$ is also a multigraph. This multigraph is said to be obtained from G by identification according to F , and a subset in F with more than one vertex is called an identified vertex.

In the following, by an arc of $\tilde{G}$ we refer to a finite sequence of edges of $\tilde{G}$ $x_1x_2, x_2x_3, \dots, x_{r-1}x_r$ such that only x_1 and x_r are identified vertices, i.e. only they are subsets in F with more than one element.

Lemma 2. Let $G = (V, E, g)$ be a multigraph with k vertices and w classes, and $\tilde{G} = (F, E, (f \times f)og)$ be the multigraph obtained from G by identification according to a partition F of V . Let ξ be the number of arcs in $\tilde{G}$ and η be the number of free cycles (those cycles of $\tilde{G}$ on which there are no identified vertices). Then $\xi/2 + \eta \leq k - w$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d$ be the cycles of G . They are so arranged that for any i , Γ_i passes through a class which contains vertices of some of the cycles $\Gamma_0, \dots, \Gamma_{i-1}$. Cycles $\Gamma_0, \dots, \Gamma_i$ constitute a subgraph G_i of G . If we identify vertices of G_i in such a way that 2 vertices are identified if and only they belong to the same subset in F , we get a multigraph $\tilde{G}_i, \tilde{G}_d = \tilde{G}$.

Let a_i be the number of arcs in $\tilde{G}_i$ (with respect to the identified multigraph $\tilde{G}_i$). Evidently a_i increases with i , and $a_d = \xi, a_0 = 0$. Let $\eta_i = 1$ or 0 according as Γ_i is free or not (with respect to $\tilde{G}$), and ζ_i be the number of vertices of Γ_i which belong to classes containing vertices of $\Gamma_0 \dots \Gamma_{i-1}$.

We assert $\frac{1}{2}(a_i - a_{i-1}) + \eta_i \leq \zeta_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

If $\eta_i = 1$, then $a_i - a_{i-1} = 0$, but $\zeta_i > 0$, so the inequality holds.

Now assume $\eta_i = 0$. Take a class A of G , which contains some vertices of $\Gamma_0, \dots, \Gamma_{i-1}$ and some vertices of Γ_i . Let the common vertices of A and Γ_i be $x_1, \dots, x_e$ among which $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_b$ take part into identification with vertices of $\Gamma_0 \dots \Gamma_{i-1}$ or that of Γ_i . There are five ways to identify:

- (1) A single x_c is identified with an identified vertex y of $\tilde{G}_{i-1}$,
- (2) A single x_c is identified with an unidentified vertex y of $\tilde{G}_{i-1}$,
- (3) Several x_c 's are identified with an identified vertex y of $\tilde{G}_{i-1}$ together,
- (4) Several x_c 's are identified with an unidentified vertex y of $\tilde{G}_{i-1}$ together.
- (5) Several x_c 's are identified together, without vertices of G_{i+1} taking part into this identification.

and the increment of number of arcs by the above five different ways of identification are the following, respectively:

- (1) one,
- (2) two,
- (3) number of these x_c 's
- (4) number of these x_c 's plus one,
- (5) number of these x_c 's.

In any case, the increment of the number of arcs does not exceed twice

the number of vertices of Γ_i in A taking part into these identifications. Summing over all such classes A , we get

$$\frac{1}{2}(a_i - a_{i-1}) + \eta_i \leq \zeta_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, r,$$

because $\eta_1 = 0$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{2}\xi + \eta \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \zeta_i.$$

We now prove $\sum_{i=1}^r \zeta_i \leq k-w$, by proving that there is a Q -graph with k vertices and w classes but with more than $\sum_{i=1}^r \zeta_i$ cycles, so, $\sum \zeta_i < k-w+1$.

Begin from Γ_1 . Γ_1 has ζ_1 vertices belonging to classes in which there are vertices of Γ_0 . We let x_1 be one of these vertices. If there is only one such vertex, then we let it remain there untouched. Otherwise, if $\Gamma_1 = \dots y x_1 z \dots$, then we replace Γ_1 by the loop (x_1, x_1) and regard Γ_1 the cycle $\dots yz \dots$. We continue this process until there is only one vertex of Γ_1 which is in some class with some vertices of Γ_0 together. Then we get, from Γ_1 , $(\zeta_1 - 1)$ loops and a remaining cycle, totally ζ_1 cycles. In the same way, we get, from Γ_2 , ζ_2 cycles, At last, we get a Q -graph with k vertices and w classes and $1 + \zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_r$ cycles, here 1 is for the cycle Γ_0 . Therefore $\zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_r \leq k-w$. And the lemma is proved.

Let $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_w\}$ be a partition of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ into disjoint classes, and $B = \{B_1, \dots, B_v\}$ be a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$ into disjoint classes. B will be called a partition subject to A if every B class is included in some set

of the form $A_b^* = (2A_b - 1) \cup (2A_b)$. B is called even if every class of it contains just even number of elements. If every class of B has just 2 numbers then B will be called a pairing.

Lemma 3. Let $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_w\}$, $B = \{B_1, \dots, B_v\}$ be partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$ respectively, B be subject to A and even. Suppose at least one B class has more than 2 elements. Then,

$$S = \sum' t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1} = o(p^{k-w+1}), \text{ in } L^2. *$$

Here $\sum'$ means the summation is taken over all $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2k})$ for which $1 \leq i_1 \leq p, \dots, 1 \leq i_{2k} \leq p$, and if α, β belong to the same B class, $i_\alpha = i_\beta$.

Proof. It is evident that we can find a pairing D of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$ subject to B , i.e. each pair is a subset of some B -class.

If we regard $i_2 i_3, i_4 i_5, \dots, i_{2k} i_1$ as edges and pair $\{i_a, i_b\}$ as vertices if a, b are a pair in D , we get a multigraph G . If we classify the vertices of G according to A , i.e. two vertices $\{i_a, i_b\}, \{i_c, i_d\}$ belong to the same class if and only if these four integers a, b, c, d all belong to an $A_q^* = (2A_q - 1) \cup (2A_q)$. Thus we get a Q -graph, its class-connectivity is easy to see, and it is evident that each vertex has degree 2.

We can further identify the vertices of G into another multigraph $\tilde{G}$ according to the partition B just as in Lemma 2, two vertices are identified if and only if the pairs defining

*In the following, we will write t_{ij} instead of $t_{ij}^{<p>}$.

them have indices included in the same B class.

Because a Q-graph consists of disjoint cycles, we can write the sum S as

$$S = \sum'' C_1 C_2 \dots C_b,$$

here C_a 's are "cycles" of the form: $C_a = t_{j_1 j_2} t_{j_2 j_3} \dots t_{j_c j_1}$, corresponding to cycle $j_1 j_2 j_3 \dots j_c j_1$ of G. $\sum''$ means we have to identify further the indices whose subscripts belong to the same B class.

For those cycles which are free, i.e. on which all vertices need not further identifications, the summation can be carried out:

$$\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_\ell} t_{j_1 j_2} t_{j_2 j_3} \dots t_{j_\ell j_1} = \text{tr } T_p^\ell = \int x^\ell dG_p(x) \cdot p$$

The remaining cycles are not free, they have indices identified with other indices. But on these cycles there are two kinds of indices, free and not free. Free means it occurs just twice.

Carrying out the summation with respect to free indices, by the definition of multiplication of matrices,

$$S = \sum_{a,b} t_{a_1 b_1}^{(n_1)} \dots t_{a_\xi b_\xi}^{(n_\xi)} \text{tr } T_p^{k_1} \text{tr } T_p^{k_2} \dots \text{tr } T_p^{k_\eta}, *$$

here ξ, η have the same meanings as in Lemma 2. Notice that each of the indices $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_\xi, b_\xi$ occurs at least four times in this list.

In general, let the general term of a sum of the form

* $t_{ij}^{(n)}$ denotes an entry of the matrix T_p^n .

$$R = \sum_{a_1, \dots} f_1(a_1) \dots f_r(a_r) g_1(a_{i_1}, a_{j_1}) g_2(a_{i_2}, a_{j_2}) \dots g_s(a_{i_s}, a_{j_s})$$

be a product, and each factor of it depends at most on two

indices and each index a_i occurs at least four times,

where the summation is over $a_1, \dots, a_r, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_s}, a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_s}$

Then,

$$R^2 \leq \sum_{a_1} f_1^2(a_1) \dots \sum_{a_r} f_r^2(a_r) \sum_{a_{i_1}, a_{j_1}} g_1^2(a_{i_1}, a_{j_1}) \dots \sum_{a_{i_s}, a_{j_s}} g_s^2(a_{i_s}, a_{j_s})$$

In fact, by Schwarz inequality

$$R^2 \leq \sum_{a_1} f_1^2(a_1) \sum_{a_1} \left(\sum_{a_2, \dots} F(a_1, a_2, \dots) \right)^2.$$

The sum inside the bracket has the same property as R if we

regard a_1 as constant. The inequality is thus proved by

induction. The case $r=0$ can be proved similarly. So,

$$S^2 \leq \sum_{a_1, b_1} \left[t_{a_1 b_1}^{(n_1)} \right]^2 \dots \sum_{a_\xi, b_\xi} \left[t_{a_\xi b_\xi}^{(n_\xi)} \right]^2 \cdot (\text{tr } T_p^{k_1})^2 \dots (\text{tr } T_p^{k_2})^2.$$

But, because of symmetry, $\sum_{a, b} [t_{ab}^{(n)}]^2 = \text{tr } T_p^{2n}$, thus

$$S^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} \text{tr } T_p^{2n_1} \right) \dots \left(\frac{1}{p} \text{tr } T_p^{2n_\xi} \right) \left(\frac{1}{p} \text{tr } T_p^{k_1} \right) \dots \left(\frac{1}{p} \text{tr } T_p^{k_\eta} \right)^2 \cdot p^{\xi+2\eta}.$$

So, by Lemma A since $\frac{1}{p} \text{tr } T_p^q = \int \chi^q dG_p(X)$,

$$S = O \left(p^{\frac{\xi}{2} + \eta} \right) = o(p^{k-w+1}) \quad \text{in } L^2$$

by Lemma 2.

4. PROOF OF EXISTENCE OF $E(M_k)$ WHEN $p \rightarrow \infty$

Let $F_p(X)$ be the spectral distribution of the matrix $\frac{1}{m} W_p T_p$, i.e., $F_p(X) = \frac{1}{p} \# \{i: \ell_i \leq X\}$, here $\ell_1 \leq \dots \leq \ell_p$ are eigenvalues of $\frac{1}{m} W_p T_p$. Note that because $W_p T_p = W_p^{\frac{1}{2}} W_p^{\frac{1}{2}} T_p$ and $W_p^{\frac{1}{2}} T_p W_p^{\frac{1}{2}}$ have the same eigenvalues and $W_p^{\frac{1}{2}} T_p W_p^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is symmetric, $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_p$ are all real.

Let

$$M_k = \int x^k dF_p(x), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

In this section we will prove that $EM_k \rightarrow E_k$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\sum E_{2k}^{-\frac{1}{2k}} = \infty.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} M_k &= \int x^k dF_p(x) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p \ell_i^k = \frac{1}{p} \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{m} W_p T_p \right)^k \\ &= \frac{1}{pm^k} \sum w_{i_1 i_2} t_{i_2 i_3} w_{i_3 i_4} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots w_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} t_{i_{2k} i_1}, \end{aligned}$$

here the sum is taken with respect to each index $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2k}$ running from 1 to p. Remember

$$w_{ii'} = \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij} X_{i'j},$$

So

$$M_k = \frac{1}{pm^k} \sum X_{i_1 j_1} X_{i_2 j_1} X_{i_3 j_2} X_{i_4 j_2} \dots X_{i_{2k-1} j_k} X_{i_{2k} j_k} t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1}$$

here $j_1, \dots, j_k$ run from 1 to m.

Noticing X_{ij} and t_{ij} are independent, we have

$$EM_k = \frac{1}{pm^k} \sum E \prod_{q=1}^k \left(X_{i_{2q-1} j_q} X_{i_{2q} j_q} \right) \cdot E t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1}.$$

Since for different (i,j) , X_{ij} 's are independent, we would collect the factors $X_{i_{2q-1}j_q} X_{i_{2q}j_q}$ together for equal j_q 's, and split such factors for different j_q 's. Thus for each X-product we have a partition $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_w\}$ of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that two integers q and q' belong to the same A class iff $j_q = j_{q'}$. The whole sum is then split into a sum of sums, each of the latter has the same partition A . Thus,

$$EM_k = \frac{1}{pm^k} \sum_A \sum_{(j)|A} \sum_{(i)} E \prod_{q=1}^k \left(X_{i_{2q-1}j_q} X_{i_{2q}j_q} \right) \cdot E t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1},$$

here $\sum_A$ means summation over all possible partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $(j)|A$ means $j_q = j_{q'}$ iff q and q' belong to a common A class.

Given $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_w\}$, a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, given vector $(j) = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ such that $j_a = j_b$ iff a, b belong to the same A class, we denote the different w values of $j_1, \dots, j_k$ by $r_1, \dots, r_w$, and understand that $r_a = j_b$ if $b \in A_a$. Then the inner sum of EM_k is

$$S_{A,(r)} = \sum_{(i)} \prod_{a=1}^w E \prod_{b \in A_a} \left(X_{i_{2b-1}r_a} X_{i_{2b}r_a} \right) E \left(t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1} \right).$$

Given a partition A of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ we can correspond a partition A^* of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$ in such a way: if $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_w\}$, then $A^* = \{A_1^*, \dots, A_w^*\}$, here $A_a^* = (2A_a - 1) \cup (2A_a)$, or equivalently, $n \in A_a^*$ iff $\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil \in A_a$. With the aid of A^* , we can write

$$S_{A,(r)} = \sum_{(i)} \prod_{a=1}^w \prod_{b \in A_a^*} E \left(\prod_{b \in A_a^*} X_{i_b r_a} \right) \cdot E t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1}.$$

In order that a term in this sum does not vanish, it is necessary that each $E \prod_{b \in A_a^*} X_{i_b r_a} \neq 0$. And if this holds, A_a^* must be further partitioned into classes, each class contains even number of elements and $i_b = i_{b'}$, iff b, b' belong to the same class. As a whole, we must have a partition B of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$, it is even, a refinement of A^* , and if b, b' belong to the same A^* class, then $i_b = i_{b'}$, iff b and b' belong to the same B class, in order that $\prod_{a=1}^w \prod_{b \in A_a^*} E \prod_{b \in A_a^*} X_{i_b r_a} \neq 0$. In this case.

$$\prod_{a=1}^w \prod_{b \in A_a^*} E \prod_{b \in A_a^*} X_{i_b r_a} = K(A^*, B)$$

depends only on A^*, B and is independent of special values of (i) .

Thus

$$S_{A,(r)} = \sum_{\substack{B \geq A^* \\ B \text{ even}}} \sum_{(i) | A^*, B} K(A^*, B) E t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1}.$$

Here $B \geq A^*$ means partition B of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$ is a refinement of A^* , $(i) | A^*, B$ means if b, b' both belong to a same A^* class then $i_b = i_{b'}$, iff b and b' belong to the same B class. We see that $S_{A,(r)}$ is independent of (r) .

Suppose B is a pairing. Then we can define a Q -graph $G(A^*, B)$. The edges are $i_2 i_3, i_4 i_5, \dots, i_{2k} i_1$. The vertices are pairs $\{i_a, i_b\}$ where $\{a, b\}$ is a B -class. The classes of vertices are determined by A^* .

Case 1. B is a pairing and $G(A^*, B)$ has less than $k-w+1$ cycles. We consider the sum

$$S_{A^*, B} = \sum_{(i) | A^*, B} E t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1}.$$

Because $G(A^*, B)$ is a Q-graph, $i_2 i_3, i_4 i_5, \dots, i_{2k} i_1$ constitute $v < k-w+1$ cycles. Thus

$$S_{A^*, B} = \sum'_{j_1, \dots, j_k} E C_1 C_2 \dots C_v,$$

here C_a 's are "cycles", $C_a = t_{j_{a_1} j_{a_2}} t_{j_{a_2} j_{a_3}} \dots t_{j_{a_c} j_{a_1}}$, $\sum'_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$ means the sum is taken with respect to k identified indices $j_1, \dots, j_k$ varying from 1 to p but when two of them belong to the same class of vertices determined by A^* , they must keep different mutually.

Thus $S_{A^*, B}$ can be expressed as

$$S_{A^*, B} = \sum_{I_1, \dots, I_u} E C_1 C_2 \dots C_v,$$

here $I_1, \dots, I_u$ are inequalities of the form $j_a \neq j_b$ between two indices belonging to the same class of vertices. By inclusion-exclusion principle,

$$S_{A^*, B} = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k} - \left(\sum_a \tilde{I}_a - \sum_{a < b} \tilde{I}_a \tilde{I}_b + \sum_{a < b < c} \tilde{I}_a \tilde{I}_b \tilde{I}_c - \dots \right)$$

here $\tilde{I}_a$ denotes the negation of I_a . By Lemma 3 the terms in the bracket are all $o(p^{k-w+1})$, and by Lemma A

$$\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k} = E \operatorname{tr} T_p^{n_1} \dots \operatorname{tr} T_p^{n_v} = O(p^v) = o(p^{k-w+1})$$

Therefore, in this case

$$S_{A^*,B} = o(p^{k-w+1}).$$

Case 2. Some B class contains more than 2 elements.
By Lemma 3 and the inclusion-exclusion principle, it is seen
in the same manner that

$$S_{A^*,B} = o(p^{k-w+1}).$$

Case 3. B is a pairing and $G(A^*,B)$ has $k-w+1$ cycles.
combining the above discussion

$$\begin{aligned} EM_k &= \frac{1}{pm^k} \sum_{A(1)|A} \sum_{\substack{B \supset A^* \\ B \text{ even}}} \sum_{(1)|A^*,B} K(A^*,B) E t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{pm^k} \sum_A m(m-1) \dots (m-w+1) (\sum' + \sum'' + \sum''') \sum_{(1)|A^*,B} K(A^*,B) E t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, w is the number of classes of A , $\sum'$, $\sum''$, $\sum'''$ correspond
Case 1, Case 2 and Case 3 respectively. Notice that for Case
3, $K(A,B) = 1$. Thus

$$EM_k = \sum_{w=1}^k \sum_{\substack{A, A \text{ has} \\ w \text{ classes}}} \frac{m^w}{pm^k} \sum_{\substack{B \supset A^* \\ B \text{ even}}} \sum_{(1)|A^*,B} E(t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1}) + o(1).$$

We need the following lemma.

Lemma. Given a partition A of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ with w classes
and then a partition A^* of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$, there is at most one
pairing B subject to A^* such that $G(A^*, B)$ is a Q -graph with
 $k-w+1$ cycles.

Proof. Suppose $G(A^*, B)$ has $k-w+1$ cycles. Then,

1°. If i_{2r} and i_{2r+1} belong to the same A^* class, they

must be identified. Otherwise, there would be a cycle meets two vertices with a class.

2°. There are no sequences of the form:

$$A_{a_1}^*, L_1, A_{a_2}^*, L_2, A_{a_3}^*, \dots, A_{a_r}^*, L_r, A_{a_1}^*,$$

here $A_{a_1}^*, A_{a_2}^*, \dots, A_{a_r}^*$ are different classes and L_q is a simple path begins at a vertex in $A_{a_q}^*$ and ends at a vertex in $A_{a_{q+1}}^*$ ($q = 1, \dots, r; a_{r+1} = a_1$), and the end of L_{q-1} and the beginning of L_q are not identified though they are both in $A_{a_q}^*$.

For, if such sequence exists, L_q should be completed by other path into a cycle C_q , $q = 1, \dots, r$. If these cycles are different, then we will have a sequence

$$A_{a_1}^*, C_1, A_{a_2}^*, C_2, \dots, A_{a_r}^*, C_r, A_{a_1}^*$$

which is prohibited by Lemma 1. Suppose $C_{d+1} = C_1$ and $C_1, \dots, C_d$ are distinct, we would have the prohibited sequence

$$A_{a_2}^*, C_2, A_{a_3}^*, \dots, C_d, A_{a_{d+1}}^*, C_1, A_{a_2}^*.$$

Thus 2° is proved.

If $G(A^*, B)$ has $k-w+1$ cycles, then as we just proved, 1°, 2° are true. And if we start from $i_2 i_3$, preserving 1° and 2°, we see that it is determined completely which indices should be identified. Thus $G(A^*, B)$ is unique.

By applying this lemma,

$$EM_k = \sum_{w=1}^k \sum_A' \frac{m^w}{pm^k} \sum_{(i) | A^*, B} E(t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1}) + o(1).$$

Here, $\sum_A$ means summation over those partition A of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ with w classes, for which there is a pairing B of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$, $B \geq A^*$, such that the Q -graph $G(A^*, B)$ has just $k-w+1$ cycles.

If among the $k-w+1$ cycles of $G(A^*, B)$, there are n_1 loops, n_2 cycles with 2 vertices, ..., n_w cycles with w vertices, then

$$n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_w = k-w+1,$$

$$n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + wn_w = k,$$

and by Lemma 3 and inclusion-exclusion principle

$$\sum_{(1) | A^*, B} t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1} = (\text{tr} T_p)^{n_1} (\text{tr} T_p^2)^{n_2} \dots (\text{tr} T_p^w)^{n_w} + o(p^{k-w+1}).$$

But by Lemma A, for all $r \geq 1$, as $p \rightarrow \infty$

$$E\left(\frac{1}{p} \text{tr} T_p\right)^{n_1} \left(\frac{1}{p} \text{tr} T_p^2\right)^{n_2} \dots \left(\frac{1}{p} \text{tr} T_p^w\right)^{n_w} \rightarrow H_1^{n_1} H_2^{n_2} \dots H_w^{n_w}$$

we have

$$E_k = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E M_k = \sum_{w=1}^k y^{k-w} \sum_{\substack{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_w = k-w+1 \\ n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + wn_w = k}} H_1^{n_1} H_2^{n_2} \dots H_w^{n_w} N_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_w}.$$

Here $N_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_w}$ is the number of those partitions A of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ into w classes, for each such A there exists a pairing B of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$, $B \geq A^*$, and the Q -graph $G(A^*, B)$ has n_1 loops, n_2 cycles with 2 vertices, ..., n_w cycles of w vertices.

For evaluating $N_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_w}$, we note that to each such $G(A^*, B)$ we can construct a finite sequence of integers in the following way: we draw this graph along the order $i_2 i_3, i_4 i_5, \dots, i_{2k} i_1$, and the sequence is defined as

- 1°. The 1st term is 0,
- 2°. The 2nd, 4-th, ..., 2k-th terms are 1,
- 3°. If $i_{2r} i_{2r+1}$ just completes a cycle of length s , then the $2r+1$ -th term is $-s$, otherwise $2r+1$ -th term is 0.

Such sequence has the properties that: it has $2k+1$ terms, *even number terms are 1, odd number terms ≤ 0 , total sum is 0, partial sums ≥ 0 .

It is easy to see that $N_{n_1 \dots n_w}$ is the number of such sequences, in which there are n_1 places with -1 , n_2 places with -2 , ..., n_w places with $-w$. With the aid of a lemma in Jonsson [4,5], it is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n_1 \dots n_w} &= \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{(k+1)!}{n_1! \dots n_w! (k+1 - (k-w+1))!} \\ &= \frac{k!}{n_1! \dots n_w! w!} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$E_k = \sum_{w=1}^k y^{k-w} \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \dots + n_w = k-w+1 \\ n_1 + \dots + w n_w = k}} \frac{k!}{n_1! \dots n_w! w!} H_1^{n_1} \dots H_w^{n_w}.$$

By a well-known inequality about moments, if k is even,

$$|H_1^{n_1} H_2^{n_2} \dots H_w^{n_w}| \leq H_k^{\frac{1}{k}(n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + w n_w)} = H_k$$

Thus

$$|E_k| \leq H_k \sum_{w=1}^k y^{-w} \frac{k!}{(k-w+1)! w!} \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \dots + n_w = k-w+1 \\ n_1 + \dots + w n_w = k}} \frac{(k-w+1)!}{n_1! \dots n_w!} y^{n_1} y^{n_2} \dots y^{w n_w}$$

The inner sum is the y^k term of the polynomial $(y+y^2+\dots+y^w)^{k-w+1}$.

But this polynomial is dominated by the power series

$$(y+y^2+\dots)^{k-w+1} = y^{k-w+1} (1-y)^{-k+w-1}$$

its y^k term is

$$\frac{(k-w+1)(k-w+2)\dots(k-1)}{(w-1)!} y^k = \frac{(k-1)!}{(w-1)!(k-w)!} y^k.$$

Therefore, if k is even,

$$\begin{aligned} |E_k| &\leq H_k \sum_{w=1}^k y^{-w} \frac{k!}{(k-w+1)!w!} \frac{(k-1)!}{(w-1)!(k-w)!} y^k \\ &= H_k \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} y^v \frac{k!}{(v+1)!(k-v)!} \frac{(k-1)!}{(k-v-1)!v!} \\ &= H_k \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} y^v \binom{k}{v} \binom{k}{v+1} \frac{1}{k} \leq H_k (1+y)^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\sum_{k \text{ even}} |E_k|^{-\frac{1}{k}} \geq \frac{1}{(1+y)^2} \sum_{k \text{ even}} H_k^{-\frac{1}{k}} = +\infty.$$

4. ASYMPTOTIC LIMIT OF VARIANCE OF M_k

In this section we prove that for any integer $k \geq 1$

$$\text{Var } M_k = EM_k^2 - (EM_k)^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then we will complete the proof of the theorem.

We have

$$EM_k^2 = \frac{1}{p^{2m} 2^k} E \left[\sum_A \sum_{(j)|A} \sum_{(i)} \sum_{A'} \sum_{(j')|A'} \sum_{(i')} \prod_{q=1}^k \left(X_{i_{2q-1} j_q} X_{i_{2q} j_q} \right) \right. \\ \left. \prod_{q'=1}^k (X_{i'_{2q'-1} j'_{q'}} X_{i'_{2q'} j'_{q'}}) t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1} t_{i'_2 i'_3} t_{i'_4 i'_5} \dots t_{i'_{2k} i'_1} \right]$$

Here,

A — a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$,

A' — a partition of $\{k+1, \dots, 2k\}$,

$(j) = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$, $1 \leq j_1 \leq m, \dots, 1 \leq j_k \leq m$,

$(j') = (j'_1, \dots, j'_k) = (j_{k+1}, \dots, j_{2k})$, $1 \leq j'_1 \leq m, \dots, 1 \leq j'_k \leq m$,

$(i) = (i_1, \dots, i_{2k})$, $1 \leq i_1 \leq p, \dots, 1 \leq i_{2k} \leq p$,

$(i') = (i'_1, \dots, i'_{2k}) = (i_{2k+1}, \dots, i_{4k})$, $1 \leq i'_1 \leq p, \dots, 1 \leq i'_{2k} \leq p$,

$(j)|A$ means $j_a = j_b$ iff a and b belong to the same A class.

$(j')|A'$ means $j'_a = j'_b$ iff a and b belong to the same A' class.

We split the sum for EM_k^2 into two parts:

$$EM_k^2 = \frac{1}{p^{2m} 2^k} \sum_1 + \frac{1}{p^{2m} 2^k} \sum_2.$$

In $\sum_1$ we collect all those terms in which some coordinates of (j) equal some coordinates of (j') . In $\sum_2$ we collect all other terms.

But $\sum_2 = \sum_3 - \sum_4$, here

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_3 &= E \left[\sum_A \sum_{(j)} \sum_{(i)} \left\{ E \prod_{q=1}^k \left(X_{i_{2q-1}j_q} X_{i_{2q}j_q} \right) \right\} t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{A'} \sum_{(j')} \sum_{(i')} \left\{ E \prod_{q=1}^k \left(X_{i'_{2q-1}j'_q} X_{i'_{2q}j'_q} \right) \right\} t_{i'_2 i'_3} t_{i'_4 i'_5} \dots t_{i'_{2k} i'_1} \right] \\ \sum_4 &= E \left[\sum_A \sum_{(j)} \sum_{(i)} \left\{ E \prod_{q=1}^k \left(X_{i_{2q-1}j_q} X_{i_{2q}j_q} \right) \right\} t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{A'} \sum_{(j')|A', (j') \cap (j) \neq \emptyset} \sum_{(i')} \left\{ E \prod_{q=1}^k \left(X_{i'_{2q-1}j'_q} X_{i'_{2q}j'_q} \right) \right\} t_{i'_2 i'_3} t_{i'_4 i'_5} \dots t_{i'_{2k} i'_1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

From Section 3 and the hypothesis of the theorem, it is seen that

$$\frac{1}{p^{2m} 2k} \sum_3 \rightarrow \left(\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} E M_k \right)^2 = E_k^2.$$

In $\sum_4$, $(j')|A'$ has w' free indices, here w' is the number of classes in A' . But $(j') \cap (j) \neq \emptyset$, so some j'_a have to be fixed to some j_b . Thus the number v' of free indices in $(j')|A'$ is less than w' . So,

$$\sum_{A'} \sum_{(j')|A', (j') \cap (j) \neq \emptyset} \sum_{(i')} = \sum_{A'} O(m^{w'-1} p^{k-w'+1}) = O(p^k),$$

and as $p \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{p^{2m} 2k} \sum_4 = \frac{1}{p^{2m} 2k} E \left[\sum_A m^w O(p^{k-w+1}) O(p^k) \right] = O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, as $p \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{p^{2m} 2k} \sum_2 \rightarrow E_k^2.$$

As for $\sum_1$, we at first fix A and A' . Under $(j)|A$, the k indices $j_1, \dots, j_k$ reduce to w different values $h_1, \dots, h_w$, and under $(j')|A'$, the k indices $j'_1, \dots, j'_k$ reduce to w' different values $h'_1, \dots, h'_{w'}$. Now in $\sum_1$, some h'_a must equal some h_b . As an example, suppose $h'_1 = h_1$, $h'_2 = h_2$, but no other such relations. In this case, we consider the partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$:

$$\tilde{A} = (\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2, \dots, \tilde{A}_{w+w'-2}) = (A_1 \cup A'_1, A_2 \cup A'_2, A_3, \dots, A_w, A'_3, \dots, A'_{w'}).$$

In order that a term in $\sum_1$ corresponding A, A' and $h_1 = h'_1$, $h_2 = h'_2$, does not equal 0, i.e.

$$E \left(\prod_{q=1}^{2k} X_{i_{2q-1}j_q} X_{i_{2q}j_q} \right) E \left(t_{i_2 i_3} t_{i_4 i_5} \dots t_{i_{2k} i_1} t_{i_{2k+2} i_{2k+3}} t_{i_{2k+4} i_{2k+5}} \dots t_{i_{4k} i_{2k+1}} \right) \neq 0,$$

it is necessary that there is a pairing B of $\{1, 2, \dots, 4k\}$ such that each pair is included in an A^* class, here the $*$ has the same meaning as before, and if a, b is such a pair then $i_a = i_b$. Thus, under such identifications, $i_2 i_3, i_4 i_5, \dots, i_{2k} i_1, i_{2k+2} i_{2k+3}, i_{2k+4} i_{2k+5}, \dots, i_{4k} i_{2k+1}$ constitute a multigraph, consisting of disjoint cycles. This graph is a Q -graph, because it is easy to see that the $w + w' - 2$ classes are connected by these edges. So it has at most $2k - w - w' + 2 + 1$ cycles. If there need more identifications, we can quote Lemma 3. Then, as $p \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{p^{2m} 2^k} \sum_1 = \frac{1}{p^{2m} 2^k} \sum_A \sum_{A'} O(m^{w+w'-r} p^{2k-w-w'+r+1}) = O(p^{-1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

here r is the general number instead of the specific 2 in the example.

Therefore

$$\text{Var } M_k = EM_k^2 - (EM_k)^2 \rightarrow E_k^2 - E_k^2 = 0.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Chung, K. L. (1968). A Course in Probability Theory.
Harcourt, Brace & World, New York.
- [2] Feller, W. (1970). Introduction to Probability Theory
and its Applications, Vol. 2. John Wiley and Sons,
New York.
- [3] Grenander, U. and Silverstein, J. W. (1977). Spectral
analysis of networks with random topologies. SIAM, J. Appl.
Math., 12, 499-519.
- [4] Jonsson, D. (1976). Some limit theorems for the eigen-
values of a sample covariance matrix. Tech. Report No. 6,
Dept. of Mathematics, Uppsala University.
- [5] Jonsson, D. (1982). Some limit theorems for the eigen-
values of a sample covariance matrix. J. Multivariate Anal.,
12 1-38.
- [6] Wachter, K. W. (1978). The strong limits of random matrix
spectra for sample matrices of independent elements.
Ann. Probability 6, 1-18.
- [7] Wigner, E. P. (1958). On the distributions of the roots of
certain symmetric matrices. Ann. Math. 67, 325-327.

UNCLASSIFIED

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. A131682	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Limit Theorems for the Eigenvalues of Product of Two Random Matrices		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical
7. AUTHOR(s) Y. Q. Yin and P. R. Krishnaiah		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 82-39
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Center for Multivariate Analysis University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) F49620-82-K001
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS <i>Sec 2 14</i>		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS <i>64421 2544/...</i>
12. REPORT DATE December 1982		13. NUMBER OF PAGES <i>28</i>
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Air Force Office of Scientific Research Department of the Air Force Bolling Air Force Base, DC 20332		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Eigenvalues, limit theorems, large dimensional random matrices, spectral distributions		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) In this paper, the authors showed that the spectral distribution of a sequence of the products of random matrices will tend to a distribution function in the limit as the number of variables tend to infinity.		

END

FILMED

9-83

DTIC