

AD-A132 214


AUTOMATED DESIGN OF MICROPROCESSOR-BASED DIGITAL
FILTERS(U) NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA
M R HEILSTEDT JUN 83


1/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 9/2

NL






MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

ADA 132214

DTIC FILE COPY

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
Monterey, California



THESIS

AUTOMATED DESIGN OF MICROPROCESSOR-BASED
DIGITAL FILTERS

by

Martin Ralph Heilstedt

June 1983

Thesis Advisor:

H. H. Loomis

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

83 08 22 024

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. A 132214	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Automated Design of Microprocessor- Based Digital Filters		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Master's Thesis; June 1983
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Martin Ralph Heilstedt		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		12. REPORT DATE June 1983
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 191
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/ DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Computer Aided Design; Automated Design; Digital Filters; Microprocessor Based Systems		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This thesis investigates the feasibility of automating the design of microprocessor-based digital filters. The ability of a prototype design system to successfully produce filter realizations is tested. General filter structures and programming algorithms are presented. Shortcomings in the current version of the design system are determined.		

Modifications are made as required to support digital filter realizations. The feasibility of filter generation is demonstrated using realistic examples taken from the literature.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Special
A	



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Automated Design of Microprocessor-Based Digital Filters

by

Martin Ralph Heilstedt
Lieutenant, United States Navy
B.E., Vanderbilt University, 1976

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
June 1983

Author:

Martin Ralph Heilstedt

Approved by:

Isaac H. Lomig

Thesis Advisor

Alan A. Ross

Second Reader

Robert D. Strum

Chairman, Department of Electrical Engineering

J. P. Dyer

Dean of Science and Engineering

ABSTRACT

This thesis investigates the feasibility of automating the design of microprocessor-based digital filters. The ability of a prototype design system to successfully produce filter realizations is tested. General filter structures and programming algorithms are presented. Shortcomings in the current version of the design system are determined. Modifications are made as required to support digital filter realizations. The feasibility of filter generation is demonstrated using realistic examples taken from the literature.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION-----	10
II.	SYSTEM OVERVIEW-----	17
A.	PROBLEM MODEL-----	17
1.	Contingency/Task Pairs-----	17
2.	High Level Problem Description-----	18
B.	INTERMEDIATE FORM OF THE PROBLEM-----	21
C.	FUNCTIONAL ELEMENTS-----	22
1.	Optimizer Module-----	22
2.	Functional Mapper-----	24
3.	Timing Analyzer-----	25
4.	Formatter Module-----	26
D.	REALIZATION LIBRARY-----	27
III.	FILTERING METHODS-----	31
A.	TRANSFER FUNCTION-----	31
1.	First Direct Structure-----	32
2.	Second Direct Structure-----	35
3.	Third Direct Structure-----	37
4.	Fourth Direct Structure-----	39
B.	SECOND ORDER SECTIONS-----	39
C.	COMBINATIONAL STRUCTURES-----	42
1.	Cascade Structure-----	42
2.	Parallel Structure-----	46
D.	DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS-----	47
1.	Sampling Frequency-----	48

2.	Structure Selection-----	50
IV.	MODIFICATIONS TO THE SYSTEM-----	53
A.	PARALLEL PROCESSING-----	53
1.	Single Contingency/Multiple Tasks-----	53
2.	FORK Construct-----	54
3.	Timing Requirements-----	55
B.	MULTIPLE REFERENCES TO I/O VARIABLES-----	66
1.	Hardware Binding-----	66
2.	Symbol Table Listing of I/O Variables----	66
C.	ANALOG TO DIGITAL INTERFACING-----	67
1.	Processor Controlled Conversions-----	67
2.	Library Entry Development-----	68
V.	DESIGN EXAMPLES-----	72
A.	CASCADE REALIZATION-----	73
1.	CSDL Description-----	73
2.	Intermediate Representation-----	80
B.	PARALLEL REALIZATION-----	83
1.	CSDL Description-----	83
2.	Intermediate Representation-----	89
VI.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS-----	90
A.	CONCLUSIONS-----	90
B.	RECOMMENDATIONS-----	90
1.	Implementation Language-----	90
2.	Validation of Current Program-----	91
3.	Realization Library-----	92
4.	Interrupt Driven Monitors-----	93

5. Applications Problems-----	94
6. Documentation-----	94
APPENDIX A - DATA, CASCADE REALIZATION-----	95
APPENDIX B - DATA, PARALLEL REALIZATION-----	136
APPENDIX C - ERROR CORRECTIONS-----	186
LIST OF REFERENCES-----	189
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST-----	191

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	The Design Process-----	12
2.	Automated Hardware and Software Generation-----	14
3.	Example CSDL Listing-----	20
4.	Flowchart of Automated Design System-----	23
5.	Sample Realization Library Entry-----	30
6.	Flow Diagram, Nth Order Transfer Function-----	33
7.	Flow Diagram, First Direct Structure-----	36
8.	Flow Diagram, Second Direct Structure-----	38
9.	Flow Diagram, Third Direct Structure-----	40
10.	Flow Diagram, Fourth Direct Structure-----	41
11.	Block Diagram of Filter Structures-----	44
12.	Flowchart, Basic Digital Filter Operations-----	49
13.	Delay Time of Filter Structures-----	52
14.	CSDL Listing of FORK Construct-----	56
15.	Timing Diagram of FORK Construct, Single Processor-----	59
16.	Data Loss in Multi-processor FORK Construct Implementation-----	61
17.	Timing Diagram of FORK Construct, Multiple Processors-----	63
18.	CSDL Listing, Modified FORK Construct-----	64
19.	Analog Input Primitive-----	70
20.	Analog to Digital Converter Primitive-----	71
21.	Flowchart of Cascade Implementation-----	75
22.	Flowchart of Parallel Implementation-----	84

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my thesis advisor, Professor Herschel Loomis, and second reader, Lieutenant Colonel Alan Ross, for their assistance and support in this thesis. I appreciate the time and skills of Elaine Christian in typing the manuscript. I thank my parents for their guidance, and my wife, Nancy, for her encouragement, support, and faith in me.

I. INTRODUCTION

Advances in integrated circuit and microprocessor technology have allowed increasingly diverse applications of these devices. The decrease in their size and power requirements and the increased market for them has resulted in a decrease in costs while yielding increased computing power. If this trend continues, an even broader variety applications can be expected. The effects of increased complexity and the decrease in component costs will lower total hardware costs. However, as shown by Shooman [Ref. 1], software costs can be expected to remain high for three reasons: new applications will require new programs to be written, the replacement of older, existing computers with newer versions will require either completely new software or, at the very least, modifications to existing software, and because programming is highly labor intensive, it will be strongly affected by inflation. In fiscal year 1980, fifty-seven billion dollars were spent on computer systems. Of this amount, thirty-two billion dollars, or fifty-six percent, was allocated to software [Ref. 2]. It can be concluded that the most costly expenditures associated with computer system development and/or modification are software related, and this situation can be expected to remain unchanged. It is therefore to our advantage to automate

software production. The effort, in terms of manpower, required to develop a given software implementation, as well as the monetary expense necessary to support it, can then be devoted to the research and development of new systems and applications.

The application of digital computers to the problems of real time control is an increasingly important aspect of computer technology, and is representative of the uses which can be expected with technological advances. The design of such systems has proven to be a complex and expensive undertaking. The concepts which will reduce this complexity and a methodology for the automated production of real time control systems have been developed. [Ref. 3] While no computer exists which can duplicate the creative process of design illustrated in Figure 1, there are elements of it which can be tasked to the computer for accomplishment. In order to determine what portions of the design process can be automated, the methodology used by the designer must be studied. The steps he follows usually involve combining existing elements or components in such a way that the desired system is realized. The components that are used are selected from a library of such items which is located either in the designer's memory or is contained in a physical listing. Because the components contained in the listing are regularly ordered, a computer can be used to maintain it, and, when given the specifications of a desired




Figure 1. The Design Process

system, it can select the necessary entries. This portion of the design process has been successfully automated [Ref. 4] and is illustrated in Figure 2. The proposed design tool merges the ideas of automated software generation and automated hardware production into one system. The description of this system is the subject of chapter two.

The original intent of the design tool was to automate the production of the software and hardware necessary for the realization of real time controllers, but if this tool is to be a truly useful design aid, it must be applicable to a wider variety of problems. The implementation of digital filters is suitable for a dedicated microprocessor system, and is typical of potential applications problems.

Digital filtering has been the subject of considerable research during the past fifteen years. Various implementations have been achieved, including hardwired logic, special-purpose computers, and general-purpose computers. With the development of the microprocessor, and the recent increases in wordsize and speed, a new alternative is available. The application of the proposed design system to the implementation of microprocessor-based digital filters is the subject of this thesis.

The difference equation form of the filtering function is well-suited to microprocessor realization. However, the algorithm used can be expressed in a variety of ways. Chapter three discusses four possible implementations. The




Figure 2. Automated Hardware and Software Generation

mathematical descriptions discussed are chosen for their simplicity and ease of implementation, but should not be construed as the only available alternatives. The possibilities are virtually unlimited. The filtering function can be performed using one of two general methods, independent of the algorithm chosen. The first method defines the transfer function as the product of the first and second order sections. This is the familiar cascade form of the digital filter. The alternative method is the parallel form, which expresses the transfer function as the sum of first and second order sections. [Ref. 5] The methods for the general implementation of each of these applications within the bounds of the design system are also discussed in chapter three. The optimization of the solution is not of concern. The best realization, as determined by metrics such as memory use and chip count, is not the current objective of the design system. If the implementation produced satisfies the requirements of the problem in terms of timing constraints and function, the solution is acceptable.

The application of the design system to problems other than controller design is important for several reasons. By demonstrating the ability of the system to generate successful realizations for a wide variety of problems, its overall utility as a design tool will be proven. Varying the type of implementation problem presented to the system extends the applicability of the design technique and refines our

understanding of the system. By testing various applications, inadequacies in the current implementation of the system can be detected and corrected. The attempt to generate digital filters using the design system revealed a number of such problems and the search for these inconsistencies became a major portion of the thesis. The problems found are presented with corresponding solutions in chapter four.

Chapter five describes the application of the design system to realistic problems. The concepts discussed in the previous chapters are utilized to implement both the cascade and parallel forms of a fourth order digital filter.

The system described in this thesis represents a useable design tool. Unfortunately, the coding that the user is required to produce in order to achieve the desired hardware and software realization is both awkward to use and tedious to generate. The addition of new realization volumes, as well as the maintenance of existing ones, is a difficult process as well. The potential of the design system is therefore limited by our ability to simplify the user input specification and provide a means by which the software and hardware library may be modified to accommodate any potential design problem. Recommendations for these and other improvements are contained in the sixth and final chapter.

II. SYSTEM OVERVIEW

The current version of the design system has evolved from the model proposed by Matelan [Ref. 6] and implemented by Ross [Ref. 7]. Each of these efforts used the design of real time controllers as the problem model for development of the system. The concepts and terminology introduced by Matelan can be found in the current implementation. The results of this work are summarized here.

A. PROBLEM MODEL

The first aspect of the system to be considered is the problem model. In order to produce a successful realization for any problem, it must first be expressed in a form understandable by the design system.

1. Contingency/Task Pairs

Each problem can be expressed as a collection of contingency/task pairs, where a contingency is defined as a logical or relational function of some input variable or variables. The associated task is executed when the contingency is satisfied. Therefore, the first step in developing a realization is to express the problem in terms of contingency/task pairs.

2. High Level Problem Description

Implicit in the model of the problem are rigid constraints on the testing of each contingency and the time allowed for execution of the corresponding task. The designer must specify these real time requirements in the statement of the problem. Matelan proposed a new high level design language called a Control System Design Language, or CSDL, for this purpose. The language consists of four sections: identification section, environment section, contingency list, and procedures section. The identification section is a record of the user identification of the problem. The environment section specifies the interface between the device and the process which is to be operated upon. It defines all input and output variables and their characteristics, as well as those variables internal to the mathematical operation of the device. The contingency list is a declaration of those conditions that the device must respond to, the associated task that each must execute, and the real time constraints imposed upon each pair. The timing constraints are determined by the maximum time allowed to recognize a contingency and the maximum time available to execute the corresponding task. The routines which comprise each contingency/task are contained in the procedures section. By definition, contingencies are written as functions, while tasks are specified as procedures. Each contains the high level descriptions necessary for the

performance of its role in the system being produced. Figure 3 is an example CSDL listing of a simple filtering problem. The identification section is readily found and easily understood. The environment section specifies two variables, one for input and one for output. Each contains eight TTL-compatible bits. The contingency section indicates that the function READY is executed every millisecond, and when true, the task FILTER is performed. The procedures section contains the descriptions of the steps necessary to perform the test for the contingency READY and the execution of the process FILTER. In the case of the contingency READY, an external variable, DATA, is read. If its value is one, indicating the presence of data for processing, the contingency is satisfied and the value of READY is set to one, and the task FILTER is executed. If, on the other hand, DATA is equal to zero, the contingency will not be satisfied and READY will remain equal to zero to indicate a false condition. The task FILTER is not executed under these circumstances.

The function FILTER contains the description of the difference equation

$$y(n) = x(n) + 0.5y(n-1) - 0.5y(n-2).$$

The value of the input is assumed to be in digital form and is read first. The value of the corresponding filtered output is then computed and the result is issued as an output.

IDENTIFICATION:
DESIGNER: M. R. Heilstedt
DATE: 19 April 1983
PROJECT: CSDL Example - Filter

ENVIRONMENT:
INPUT: X,8,TTL
OUTPUT: Y,8,TTL

CONTINGENCY LIST:
When READY:1MS Do FILTER

PROCEDURES:
Contingency READY
Sense (DATA);
If DATA=1 Then READY:=1 fi;
Exit READY

Task FILTER
Sense (X);
 $Y = X + (0.5 * YN1) - (0.5 * YN2);$
Issue (Y);
YN2=YN1;
YN1=Y;
Exit FILTER

Figure 3. Example CSDL Listing

The current version of the design system does not support the use of CSDL for problem specifications, requiring that the user generate the list of primitives manually. A user specification format based on the Ada programming language is currently under development. [Ref. 8] In the interim, CSDL serves as a useful tool in understanding the structure of the problem specification required by the design system and the transformation it undergoes in the course of generating a design.

B. INTERMEDIATE FORM OF THE PROBLEM

The input specification is translated into an intermediate representation consisting of a list of primitives. This transformation is analogous to the operation performed by a compiler on high level languages such as Fortran and Pascal. The list of primitives is a complete description of the problem, containing all of the information necessary to generate a hardware and software implementation of the design problem and to verify that all timing requirements have been satisfied with the selected realization volume.

A second file, called the IADEFL file, is generated at the same time as the primitive list, and contains the list of contingency/task pairs and their corresponding timing constraints. This data is extracted from the ID table, the environment table, the timing data from the contingency list, and the design criteria table. The design criteria

table is generated from the data listed in the design criteria section of the CSDL listing. This section was added by Ross to provide a method for the designer to specify the criteria upon which an acceptable realization could be chosen. The criteria are: first realization generated, least costly realization, and the realization that uses the least power. The current version of the system only supports the first realization generated criterion. The creation of the IADEFIL file and the primitive list is the first action taken by the design system in its attempt to generate a hardware realization. The operation of the design system in relation to these files is depicted in Figure 4.

C. FUNCTIONAL ELEMENTS

The problem formulation is performed by the user for both the high level description and intermediate representation. Each of these tasks will eventually be automated as well, providing a user friendly interface to the design system. As stated previously, development of the input module is in progress, but until a satisfactory input specification to intermediate form translator can be developed, the input to the design system must be manually compiled.

1. Optimizer Module

After the input files have been produced, they are passed to the first component in the design system, the




Figure 4. Flowchart of Automated Design System

optimizer module. It serves two purposes, acting as the main program controlling the operation of the system, and functioning as the input module for the primitive list. Although not implemented in the current version of the system, this module will have the capability of comparing the multiple realizations generated by the system and selecting that one which best satisfies the chosen metric as specified by the user in the design criteria section of the input listing.

2. Functional Mapper

The Functional Mapper is the first module called by the Optimizer, and is used to ensure that each primitive contained in the intermediate problem specification can be realized with the current realization library. This is accomplished using two separate operations. The realization volume index is first searched for the primitive name as given by the current line of the intermediate list. If the primitive is found, the specifications associated with the primitive are compared to those contained in the realization library. The mapping is considered successful only if all of the primitives can be realized and their criteria satisfied. If a given primitive cannot be mapped to a realization, or if its selection criteria fail to fit those of the realization, a new realization library will be searched. Failure to satisfactorily realize a primitive in any library results in an unsuccessful mapping.

3. Timing Analyzer

The second module executed is the Timing Analyzer. It generates the monitor necessary for controlling the operation of the device under development, ordering the execution of the realization contingency tests and task executions such that the timing constraints will be satisfied. In order to make such an assurance, the timing analyzer assumes that all contingencies are true. This requires that all of the tasks must be executed by the monitor and therefore defines the worst case situation. The resulting premise is that if the worst case can satisfy the timing requirements, all cases satisfy them as well. The timing analyzer determines the length of time required to execute all of the code contained in each of the contingency/task pairs and compares it to the timing constraints listed in the Applications Timing Table as generated from the IADEFIL file. If all of the timing constraints are met, the realization is successful. If not, the realization fails. The output of the Timing Analyzer is used to generate monitor primitives for successful realizations. These primitives determine the sequence of execution for the contingency/task pairs, and are added to the list of primitives derived from the high level description of the problem.

An important result of the research conducted by Ross was that the design system is capable of automatically producing multi-processor realizations. This is done in the

Timing Analyzer. If a single processor realization is impossible, the Timing Analyzer partitions the Applications Timing Table into two separate lists. Each of these contains the timing information corresponding to complete sets of contingency/task pairs. In other words, the partitioning of the problem results in groupings of these pairs. The regularly ordered nature of the contingency/task model makes this possible. In order to generate separate sets of hardware, the two timing tables are passed to the Formatter module individually. Theoretically, it is possible to produce a realization in which each contingency/task pair is located in its own processor. It is important to note that such a system may require shared resources. The current version of the design system will only test for a dual processor realization when a single one fails. The generation of such a realization cannot be forced.

4. Formatter Module

The Formatter receives the complete list of primitives necessary to produce the output listing for the design. The listing consists of the software necessary to perform the desired function and the hardware required to support it. The hardware and software listings are written to separate files which are in turn copied to an output device such as a terminal or line printer. The design program is then terminated.

D. REALIZATION LIBRARY

The realization library has thus far been mentioned only in describing the operation of the other components of the design system, but its importance in the determination of a successful design should not be overlooked. Each realization library contains volumes of hardware and software primitives based on individual processor families. The only volume currently implemented uses the Intel 8080 microprocessor and its various support devices to generate realizations.

The general format of each line in a volume is the same. Each line is assigned a unique identifying number, and contains a maximum of eighty characters. The first set of lines within the volume serve as an index to the primitives it contains. The lines of the index are copies of the title line of each entry. The current format of the realization volume allows a maximum of 9999 lines. The index is not considered when determining the number of lines contained in the volume.

Each line of the volume must conform to a specific format, of which there are ten: Primitive Title line, Comment line, Calc line, Attr line, Call line, Include line, If line, Begin Text line, End Text line, and Text line. The title line begins with an S or H, to denote hardware or software, and contains the name of the primitive. As used in the current version of the design system, it is the most important of the lines found in the volume, providing the

correct format for generating each of the entries contained in the intermediate problem description. The calling arguments, selection criteria, and attributes of the primitive are enclosed in parentheses following its name. The attributes, which vary depending on the nature of the primitive, define such parameters as power consumption, latency, and chips used. Any or all of the arguments, selection criteria, and attributes may be omitted, but the commas that separate them must appear. The comment line is denoted by the appearance of the letters C-O-M as the first characters on the line. The text that follows is ignored by the system. The Calc line allows the use of global variables within the system. An example of its application is the counter variable used to total the number of chips used in a particular design. In the current realization library, this variable is called ICN and is incremented by any of the hardware primitives that contain integrated circuits. The Attr line is similar to the Calc line, but is used to compute the value of an attribute of the primitive realization. Incl and Call lines are used to invoke other primitives from within a primitive. The difference between the two is in the placement of the output that each generates. The output from a Call is inserted immediately following any previously generated output. Output from an Incl statement will be added to that of the primitive lists after all other output from the including primitive has been produced. The If line

provides more flexibility in library construction by allowing branch instructions within the realization volume. The Begin and End Text lines are used to reproduce descriptive lines in the files generated for system output by the Formatter module. These lines are in the final category of text lines. The most common use of text lines is for assembly code associated with a software primitive. Figure 5 is an example of a short realization volume. The construction of the volume is further demonstrated and clarified in the text of the analog to digital converter realization developed in chapter four.

```

V2222S.SAMPLE      (P1,P2:0,8,0,5:10,10,-17,18,19,2222,2258)
V2223COM THIS IS A COMMENT DESCRIBING S.SAMPLE. P1 AND
V2224COM P2 ARE THE DUMMY ARGUMENTS OF S.SAMPLE. 0 AND 8
V2225COM ARE THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM VALUES OF THE ACTUAL
V2226COM ARGUMENT REPRESENTED BY P1, 0 AND 5 ARE THE
V2227COM CORRESPONDING MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM FOR THE ACTUAL
V2228COM ARGUMENT REPRESENTED BY P2. THE 10,10 INDICATES
V2229COM THAT THE STORAGE AND TIME ATTRIBUTES FOR THIS ENTRY
V2230COM ARE EACH OF VALUE 10. THE -17 INDICATES THAT THE
V2231COM VALUE OF THE EXTERNAL ATTRIBUTE IS CALCULATED ON
V2232COM LINE 2239 (2222-(-17)=2239). THE 18 INDICATES THAT
V2233COM LINE 2240 (2222+18=2240) CALLS FOR CALCULATION OF
V2234COM SOME GLOBAL VALUE. THE 19 INDICATES THAT LINE 2241
V2235COM CALLS FOR THE INCLUSION OF SOME OTHER REALIZATION.
V2236COM 2222 AND 2258 ARE THE FIRST AND LAST LINE NUMBERS
V2237COM OF THIS REALIZATION.
V2238COM
V2239ATTR EXTERNAL = DUMMY1 * 7
V2240CALC TOT EVT = TOT EVT+1
V2241INCL H.ALSOSAMPLE(0,0)
V2242CALL S.SAMP THREE (TOT EVT)
V2243COM
V2244COM H.ALSOSAMPLE AND S.SAMP THREE ARE TWO OTHER ENTRIES.
V2245COM ALSOSAMPLE HAS TWO DUMMY ARGUMENTS, BOTH ASSIGNED
V2246COM VALUE ZERO IN THIS CASE. S.SAMP THREE HAS ONE DUMMY,
V2247COM SET EQUAL TO THE GLOBAL TOT EVT FOR THIS CASE.
V2248COM
V2249IF DUMMY1 .GE. 2 SKIP 2
V2250INCL H.SAMP FOUR ( )
V2251COM INCLUDE H.SAMP FOUR ONLY IF DUMMY1 IS LESS THAN TWO.
V2252COM BEGIN THE TEXT BLOCK AFTER THE NEXT LINE. .
V2253BEGIN STXT
V2254      EVERYTHING IN THIS BLOCK BETWEEN BEGIN AND END IS
V2255      COPIED TO THE OUTPUT LISTING EXCEPT FOR DUMMY
V2256      ARGUMENTS AND GLOBALS WHICH ARE REPLACED BY THEIR
V2257      ACTUAL ARGUMENTS OR VALUES.
V2258ENDTEXT

```

Figure 5. Sample Realization Library Entry
[Ref. 8]

III. FILTERING METHODS

With the advent of the microprocessor, a new and interesting device for the implementation of digital filters has been created. Increases in word length and computational speed will encourage more complex filtering applications using this device. It is therefore important to investigate the feasibility of producing digital filter realizations using the automated design system. The fundamental requirements that the application places on the system can be determined using the Intel 8080 microprocessor library currently available. Despite the filtering limitations presented by its eight bit format, the deficiencies present in the current system can be identified and corrected. The resulting improvements will provide a more useful design tool and make possible the generation of satisfactory solutions to more demanding problems when additional realization volumes constructed around advanced microprocessors are added to the library.

A. TRANSFER FUNCTION

The general form of the digital filter transfer function is

$$H(z) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 z^{-1} + a_2 z^{-2} + \dots + a_n z^{-n}}{1 + b_1 z^{-1} + b_2 z^{-2} + \dots + b_n z^{-n}}$$

where a_1 and b_1 are real coefficients and n is the maximum of the orders of the numerator and denominator polynomials.

Figure 6 is a flow diagram representation of this transfer function. There are a number of similar structures that can be used to describe the basic filtering operation. Those in which the real coefficients a_1 and b_1 are multipliers are referred to as direct structures. Nagle and Nelson [Ref. 10] describe four direct structures and the equations associated with them.

1. First Direct Structure

The first direct structure is derived from the equation

$$H(z) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^{-i} \right) / \left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^{-i} \right).$$

The coefficient b_0 is equal to one. Defining the input to the filter as $X(z)$ and the output as $Y(z)$, the previous equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{Y(z)}{X(z)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^{-i} \right) / \left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^{-i} \right).$$

This can be redefined using an intermediate variable $M(z)$, such that

$$\frac{Y(z)}{M(z)} \cdot \frac{M(z)}{X(z)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^{-i} \right) / \sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^{-i}.$$




Figure 6. Flow Diagram, Nth Order Transfer Function

The following relationships can now be derived:

$$\frac{Y(z)}{M(z)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^{-i} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{M(z)}{X(z)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^{-i}}$$

or

$$\frac{X(z)}{M(z)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^{-i} \right).$$

Rearranging these equations provides expressions for the input $X(z)$ and the output $Y(z)$ in terms of $M(z)$:

$$Y(z) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^{-i} \right) M(z)$$

and

$$X(z) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^{-i} \right) M(z)$$

Because the input is a known quantity, it is desirable to derive an equation for $M(z)$ in terms of $X(z)$. Manipulation of the previous equation for $X(z)$ gives

$$M(z) = X(z) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i z^{-i} M(z)$$

Because the calculations necessary to produce the filtering function are to be performed in real time, the inverse z -transform of $M(z)$ and $Y(z)$ is taken to provide a time domain solution of the first direct structure. The results are:

$$m(k) = x(k) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i m(k-i)$$

and

$$y(k) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i m(k-i).$$

The flow diagram of the first direct structure, of order two, is shown in Figure 7.

2. Second Direct Structure

The transpose of a digital filter structure is formed by reversing the signal flow in all of the branches of the block diagram. Its transfer function is the same as that of the structure from which it was derived. The second




Figure 7. Flow Diagram, First Direct Structure

direct structure is generated by applying this principle to the first direct structure. The corresponding time domain solution also implements the same filtering function, but an additional difference equation is required. The equations for the second direct structure are:

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(k) &= p_{i+1}(k-1) + a_i x(k) - b_i(y(k)); \quad i=1, \dots, n-1 \\ p_n(k) &= a_n x(k) - b_n y(k) \\ y(k) &= a_0 x(k) + p_1(k-1). \end{aligned}$$

The second direct structure, of order two, is illustrated in the flow diagram of Figure 8.

3. Third Direct Structure

To obtain the third direct structure, the expression

$$H(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{X(z)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^{-i} \right) / \left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^{-i} \right)$$

is rewritten as

$$Y(z) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i z^{-i} \right) = X(z) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^{-i} \right).$$

The output expression is then

$$Y(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^{-i} X(z) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i z^{-i} Y(z).$$




Figure 8. Flow Diagram, Second Direct Structure

Once again taking the inverse z-transform, the time domain solution is derived:

$$y(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x(n-i) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i y(n-i).$$

Figure 9 illustrates the flow diagram for the third direct structure of order two.

4. Fourth Direct Structure

The fourth direct structure is derived by taking the transpose of the third direct structure. The time domain representation is:

$$\begin{aligned} r_0(k) &= x(k) + r_1(k-1) \\ q_1(k) &= a_n r_0(k) \\ r_n(k) &= -b_n r_0(k) \\ q_i(k) &= a_i r_0(k) + q_{i+1}(k-1), \quad i=1, \dots, n-1 \\ r_i(k) &= -b_i r_0(k) + r_{i+1}(k-1). \end{aligned}$$

The flow diagram for the fourth direct structure, of order two, is shown in Figure 10.

B. SECOND ORDER SECTIONS

Each of the structures described applies to the general case in which the filter transfer function is of order n . The filtering function can be successfully realized using




Figure 9. Flow Diagram, Third Direct Structure




Figure 10. Flow Diagram, Fourth Direct Structure

any of these methods, provided that n does not exceed two. For transfer functions of higher order, coefficient sensitivity becomes a significant factor in accurately generating the filter output. This phenomenon is created by the effects of quantization errors in the representation of the transfer function coefficients. The filter structures and their related difference equations assume finite precision in the filter parameters. However, because the word length used by microprocessors is fixed, the precision with which the filters can be realized is limited. This means that the coefficient representations are not exact, resulting in a set of poles and zeros for the realization that differ from those desired. The frequency response of the actual filter will therefore be different from the design specification. In the most extreme case, the filter will become unstable if one or more of the poles are moved outside of the unit circle [Ref. 11]. As shown by Rader and Gold [Ref. 12], high order filters can be redefined as combinations of first and second order sections in order to minimize these effects.

C. COMBINATIONAL STRUCTURES

1. Cascade Structure

The first combinational structure to be considered is the cascade form of the digital filter. It is illustrated in Figure 11(a) and is obtained by factoring the transfer

function into a product of second order sections. This is represented by [Ref. 13]

$$H(z) = \prod_{i=1}^M H_i(z)$$

where

$$H_i(z) = \frac{a_{0i} + a_{1i} z^{-1} + a_{2i} z^{-2}}{1 + b_{1i} z^{-1} + b_{2i} z^{-2}}$$

For the fourth order transfer function

$$H(z) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 z^{-1} + a_2 z^{-2} + a_3 z^{-3} + a_4 z^{-4}}{1 + b_1 z^{-1} + b_2 z^{-2} + b_3 z^{-3} + b_4 z^{-4}}$$


an equivalent representation is

$$H(z) = \frac{a_{01} + a_{11} z^{-1} + a_{12} z^{-2}}{1 + b_{11} z^{-1} + b_{21} z^{-2}} \cdot \frac{a_{02} + a_{12} z^{-1} + a_{21} z^{-2}}{1 + b_{12} z^{-1} + b_{22} z^{-2}}$$


The first element in the cascade receives its input from the signal to be filtered, while each of the remaining elements acts upon the output of its predecessor.

In z-transform notation, the cascade structure output is written

$$Y(z) = H_M(z) Y_{M-1}(z)$$



a) Cascade Structure



b) Parallel Structure

Figure 11. Block Diagram of Filter Structures

where

$$Y_1(z) = H_1(z)X(z)$$

$$Y_i(z) = H_i(z)Y_{i-1}(z) \text{ for } i=2,3,\dots,M-1.$$

The equations necessary for a real time implementation are formed by taking the inverse z-transform of these expressions. For a system of second order modules, the general equation for each section is

$$\begin{aligned} y(n) = & a_{0M}y_{M-1}(n) + a_{1M}y_{M-1}(n-1) + a_{2M}y_{M-1}(n-2) \\ & - b_{1M}y(n-1) - b_{2M}y(n-2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(n) = & a_{01}x(n) + a_{11}x(n-1) + a_{21}x(n-2) \\ & - b_{11}y_1(n-1) - b_{21}y_1(n-2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_i(n) = & a_{1i}y_{i-1}(n) + a_{2i}y_{i-1}(n-1) + a_{3i}y_{i-1}(n-2) \\ & - b_{1i}y_i(n-1) - b_{2i}y_i(n-2). \end{aligned}$$

The subscript i corresponds to the number of modules needed to realize the desired filtering function, and is equal to $\lceil n/2 \rceil$, where n is the order of the denominator polynomial. For the case where n is odd, one of the modules will be of order one.

2. Parallel Structure

The parallel form of the digital filter is the second structure to be considered, and is illustrated in Figure 11(b). It is formed by factoring the transfer function such that [Ref. 14]

$$H(z) = \sum_{i=1}^M H_i(z)$$

where

$$H(z) = \frac{a_{0i} + a_{1i} z^{-1}}{1 + b_{1i} z^{-1} + b_{2i} z^{-2}}$$

For example, the fourth order transfer function

$$H(z) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 z^{-1} + a_2 z^{-2} + a_3 z^{-3} + a_4 z^{-4}}{1 + b_1 z^{-1} + b_2 z^{-2} + b_3 z^{-3} + b_4 z^{-4}}$$

can be redefined as

$$H(z) = \frac{a_{01} + a_{11} z^{-1} + a_{12} z^{-2}}{1 + b_{11} z^{-1} + b_{21} z^{-2}} + \frac{a_{02} + a_{12} z^{-1} + a_{22} z^{-2}}{1 + b_{12} z^{-1} + b_{22} z^{-2}}$$

Each second order section receives the same input. The output of the system is found by summing the outputs of each of the parallel elements in the realization, and is represented by

$$Y(z) = \sum_{i=1}^M H_i(z)X(z).$$

The corresponding time domain equation is

$$y(n) = y_1(n) + y_2(n) + \dots + y_i(n)$$

where

$$y_1(n) = a_{01}x(n) + a_{11}x(n-1) - b_{11}y(n-1) - b_{21}y(n-2)$$

$$y_2(n) = a_{02}x(n) + a_{12}x(n-1) - b_{21}y(n-1) - b_{22}y(n-2)$$

$$y_i(n) = a_{0i}x(n) + a_{1i}x(n-1) - b_{i1}y(n-1) - b_{i2}y(n-2).$$

The equations presented for the parallel and cascade structures are sufficient to develop the high level problem description necessary to realize microprocessor-based digital filters using the design system described in the previous chapter.

D. DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The current implementation of the design system generates the control code governing execution of the realization using a polled monitor strategy. Pollack [Ref. 15] argued that this approach was not adequate for general controller applications and proposed the introduction of an interrupt driven monitor as a user selectable

alternative. The existing realization volume has the ability to recognize an interrupt, but its immediate response is limited to the setting of a corresponding condition flag. The status of the flag is examined and the appropriate task executed as required on a time schedule basis which conforms to the structure of the other tasks. The theoretical development necessary for implementing an interrupt driven monitor has been completed [Ref. 16] and will make possible the realization of a wider variety of control devices.

The polled monitor is the preferred strategy for digital filter implementations. Figure 12 is a flowchart representation of three operations basic to the filtering function. Each of these tasks is performed once for each sample taken. The interval of time which elapses between successive executions of each contingency/task pair is determined by the sampling interval required for the signal being processed. Only one execution is allowed during that time. Therefore, the generation of the filter function is periodic, with one output calculated each period of the sampling frequency. Because the polled monitor results in the execution of the contingency/task pairs at regularly determined intervals, it is well suited for applications in which periodicity is required.

1. Sampling Frequency

The Nyquist criterion determines the rate at which the signal to be processed must be sampled in order to




Figure 12. Flowchart, Basic Digital Filter Operations

accurately produce the corresponding filter output. The amount of time available to perform the required computations is then equal to the elapsed time between successive samples. This, in turn, is equal to the period of the sampling frequency. This means that the total time required to test for all contingencies and execute their corresponding tasks must be less than or equal to the sampling period in order to produce a successful single processor realization. If this requirement cannot be met, the design system will partition the problem into two sets of contingency/task pairs and attempt to generate a solution using two processors. In this case, the contingency/task pairs assigned to a given processing element must be able to complete execution during one period of the sampling frequency. The maximum frequency that can be filtered by a given realization is therefore limited by the speed with which the filtering algorithm can be executed, which in turn is a function of the microprocessor family used to generate the realization.


2. Structure Selection

The parallel and cascade structures are both readily adapted to microprocessor implementation. Because both methods are combinations of first and second order sections, it is a straightforward procedure to implement each section as a contingency/task pair. The natural partitioning that exists in each of these structures is well-suited to implementation using the automated design system and is


highly compatible with the partitioning performed for multi-processor realizations.

The selection criterion to be considered when choosing a filtering structure concerns the delay time incurred in producing an output from a specific input. The delay time will be a constant value for the parallel realization, independent of the number of second order modules required to perform the filtering operation. This will correspond to an interval equal to twice the period of the sampling frequency, assuming a multi-processor realization. The delay time associated with the cascade implementation is dependent upon the number of second and first order modules contained in the realization. The delay time will then be equal to the number of modules in the cascade times the period of the sampling frequency. Therefore, as the order of the filter increases, the number of second order modules required to implement it will increase, causing a corresponding rise in the filter delay time. These relationships are illustrated in Figure 13.

The effects related to ordering of the second order sections within a cascade structure are not of concern for the application presented in this thesis, but in practice, consideration must be given to the changes that can occur in the limit cycle and quantization noise properties of the filter as the second order modules are rearranged in the cascade. [Ref. 17]



a) Cascade Structure: Delay = Mt_1



b) Parallel Structure: Delay = $t_1 + t_2$

Figure 13. Delay Times of Filter Structures

IV. MODIFICATIONS TO THE SYSTEM

Applications problems are important to the development of the design system because each one possesses specific requirements for implementation. The testing of widely varying realizations will indicate those areas in which the system is deficient and identify hardware and software primitives that are required but abset from the available library. The digital filtering problem revealed several shortcomings in the current implementation of the design system.

A. PARALLEL PROCESSING

1. Single Contingency/Multiple Tasks

The parallel filter structure presented in chapter three consists of a finite number of elements that act concurrently on the same data. The output of each processor is then passed to a summing element which generates the filter output. In terms of the original problem model, this corresponds to a single contingency (the availability of data for processing) and multiple related tasks (each of the parallel processing elements and the summing junction). The design system implementation, as well as the specification language CSDL, provide no means for expressing such a problem directly.

2. FORK Construct

Representation of the single contingency/multiple task structure subject to the limitations of the design language can be solved by creating a new construct. This statement has been added to the syntax of CSDL and is called FORK. FORK allows the concurrent execution of two tasks followed by a third, which in turn requires data generated by the first two. The structure has the general form

```
If MAIN then begin
    Do FORK(TSK1,TSK2);
    Do TSK3;
End
```

which is stated in the syntax of CSDL as

```
When MAIN: TIME Do FORK(TSK1,TSK2,TSK3).
```

TSK1 and TSK2 are the procedures to be executed in parallel and are referred to as the "forked" tasks. Because it combines the results of TSK1 and TSK2 in a predetermined fashion, TSK3 is termed and "joined" task. The CSDL expression of the FORK construct is not sufficient to permit the use of the single contingency/multiple task structure in design specifications because no translator exists to produce the intermediate form of the instruction. However, it is possible to manually generate the required high level description of the FORK construct and from it determine the intermediate representation. To do so requires that a set of "dummy" contingencies corresponding to each of the tasks be generated. These contingencies test unique flags that are

set by an additional contingency/task pair which controls the execution of the composite FORK structure. Figure 14 is a general CSDL representation of the FORK construct.

3. Timing Requirements

The FORK construct makes possible the implementation of design specifications incorporating single contingency/multiple task algorithms. The determination of the timing constraints associated with the "dummy" contingencies it generates presents a new problem. There are two potential solutions for consideration. Each of these can be related to the single and multiple processor realizations that the design system is capable of generating. The former is the more straightforward derivation and will be presented first. The contingency and task names of Figure 14 are used to provide clarification.

Implicit in both developments is the assumption that the user will only specify the time constraint associated with the test for the contingency MAIN. The timing requirements for the dummy contingencies C1, C2, and C3 must be determined from this specification. The scheduling of the test for MAIN determines how often the concurrent processes must be tested. Therefore, the scheduling interval of this contingency will also be used for C1 and C2. This value will be denoted as ρ . The scheduling requirement for contingency C3 is related to the availability of data from tasks TSK1 and TSK2. The worst case condition, that is, the minimum

Contingency List;

```
When MAIN:t1 SE Do FORK
When C1 :t2 SE Do TSK1
When C2 :t2 SE Do TSK2
When C3 :t3 SE Do TSK3
```

Procedures;

```
Function MAIN
  Binary MAIN,1;
  If GO=1 Then MAIN:=1;
Exit MAIN
```

```
Function C1
  Binary C1,1;
  If VAR1=1 Then C1:=1;
  VAR1:=0;
Exit C1
```

```
Function C2
  Binary C2,1;
  If VAR2=0 then C2:=1;
  VAR2:=0;
Exit C2
```

```
Function C3
  Binary C3,1;
  If DONE1=1 .and. DONE2=1 then C3:=1;
  DONE1:=0;
  DONE2:=0;
Exit C3
```

Figure 14. CSDL Listing of FORK Construct

```
Task FORK
    VAR1:=1;
    VAR2:=1;
Exit FORK

Task TSK1
    (generate output, OUT1)
    DONE1:=1;
Exit TSK1

Task TSK2
    (generate output,OUT2)
    DONE2:=2;
Exit TSK2

Task TSK3
    OUT3:=OUT1+OUT2;
Exit TSK3
```

Figure 14. (continued)

interval at which C3 must be tested, exists when C1 and C2 are always true. If these contingencies are scheduled for testing every ρ seconds, new data will be generated by TSK1 and TSK2 with the same frequency. This implies that TSK3 must be executed at intervals of ρ seconds as well in order to maintain proper sequencing of the device. Therefore, the timing constraint specified for contingency MAIN will also be applicable to C1, C2, and C3. An important fact that must be considered in reaching this conclusion is that contingencies C1 and C2 can only occur as often as contingency MAIN. Similarly, C3 can only be true when C1 and C2 have been true as well. The timing diagram associated with the scheduling of the FORK construct for the single processor realization is illustrated in Figure 15.

The scheduling for the multiprocessor realization is not as readily determined. As in the serial case, contingencies C1 and C2 must be tested at intervals of ρ seconds, the timing specification of the MAIN/FORK contingency/task pair. The scheduling of C3 is made difficult by the possibility that each of the contingency/task pairs may reside in different processors and no synchronization exists between these elements. If C3 is tested with the same frequency as C1 and C2, it is possible to "lose" a set of data from TSK1 and TSK2. This occurs when these tasks generate data for use by TSK3 near the beginning of their respective executions and TSK3 processes the data near the end of its computations.




Figure 15. Timing Diagram of FORK Construct, Single Processor

If TSK1 and TSK2 begin another processing cycle and produce new data before TSK3 has completed its calculations on the previous set of data, its output will be determined using the second data set generated and the first values will never be processed. The timing diagram for such an occurrence is shown in Figure 16.

There are two possible solutions to prevent the loss of a data set. The first is to decrease the interval of testing for contingency C3. Checking for the presence of data from TSK1 and TSK2 with the correct frequency will ensure that it is processed by TSK3 almost immediately after it is generated. This solution results in a new question to be answered: how much of a decrease in the scheduling interval is sufficient to prevent data loss? The answer is not general in nature because the timing specification required will be dependent upon the particular application being considered. The incorporation of such a construct in the design system would require a more detailed analysis by the design engineer. This is contrary to the original goals of the system and is therefore unacceptable. An alternative approach which preserves application independence is to mark the data as it is produced by TSK1 and TSK2 and save it for further processing by TSK3. This implies the creation of a queue in which the data is placed to await action by TSK3. The implementation of this proposal requires two queues, one each for TSK1 and TSK2. Each time a task generates new data,




Figure 16. Data Loss in Multiprocessor FORK Construct Implementation

a counter associated with its queue is incremented to record the entry of a new element in the service line. The contingency C3 then tests the value of each counter. If both are greater than zero, the data that has been in each queue the longest is removed for processing. The process is illustrated in Figure 17.

The creation and maintenance of these data queues solves the problem of scheduling C3. The contingency need only be tested at intervals corresponding to ρ . If the queue counters are greater than zero, data has been produced and is ready for processing. The criteria upon which the existence of a true condition for contingency C3 is based has therefore been changed from the generation of output by TSK1 and TSK2 to the presence of data for processing in their respective queues. This approach to scheduling of the FORK construct does not necessitate the maintenance of separate algorithms for the serial and parallel case. The use of data queues to insure accurate output from a device is suitable for single processor realizations as well. Because the execution of contingency/task pairs is synchronized for such designs, data is processed by TSK3 following its generation by TSK1 and TSK2. The output of each of these tasks is stored in its respective queue and is then removed for processing by TSK3. Therefore, the number of individual entries in either queue will not exceed two. The CSDL specification of the modified FORD construct is shown in Figure 18.




Figure 17. Timing Diagram of FORK Construct, Multiple Processors

Contingency List;

```
When MAIN:t1 SE Do FORK
When C1 :t2 SE Do TSK1
When C2 :t2 SE Do TSK2
When C3 :t3 SE Do TSK3
```

Procedures;

```
Contingency MAIN
  Binary MAIN,1;
  If GO=1 Then MAIN:=1;
Exit MAIN
```

```
Contingency C1
  Binary C1,1;
  If VAR1=1 Then C1:=1;
  VAR1:=0;
Exit C1
```

```
Contingency C2
  Binary C2,1;
  If VAR2=0 then C2:=1;
  VAR2:=0;
Exit C2
```

```
Contingency C3
  Binary C3,1;
  If CTR1.gt.0 .and. CTR2.gt.0 then C3:=1;
Exit C3
```

Figure 18. CSDL Listing, Modified FORK Construct

```

Task FORK
    VAR1:=1;
    VAR2:=1;
Exit FORK

Task TSK1
    (generate output, OUT1)
    Q(12):=OUT1;
    CTR1:=CTR1+1;
Exit TSK1

Task TSK2
    (generate output,OUT2)
    Q(22):=OUT2;
    CTR2:=CTR2+1;
Exit TSK2

Task TSK3
    Q(11):=Q(12);
    Q(21):=Q(22);
    OUT3:=Q(11)+Q(21);
    CTR1:=CTR1-1;
    CTR2:=CTR2-1;
Exit TSK3

```

Figure 18. (continued)

B. MULTIPLE REFERENCES TO I/O VARIABLES

1. Hardware Binding

The organization of the Intel 8080 realization volume as implemented in the current design system is based on the concept of hardware binding developed by Matelan [Ref. 13]. It specifies that all of the software required for a particular implementation must be generated first, followed by the hardware necessary to support it. The present version of the design program modifies this concept, binding the needed hardware to each individual software realization as it is generated. This results in the duplication of interface hardware each time a given external variable is referenced. When the design program encounters an input or output primitive, it first generates the necessary software followed by the corresponding support hardware. The variable name is not compared to previously generated I/O interfaces. Therefore, multiple sensing of an input or issuing of an output will cause the generation of an input/output port for each reference.

2. Symbol Table Listing of I/O Variables

To prevent redundant generation of interface hardware, a record of each I/O variable must be kept which stores each variable as it is defined by the user during the initial design specification. This can be done very effectively by establishing a symbol table which will maintain the status of each input/output variable and the hardware generated for it.

Rather than producing the hardware required from the software primitives representing each I/O operation, it will be generated after the user defines the external variables in the environment section of the design specification. This feature will be incorporated in the input module being developed for the system.

C. ANALOG TO DIGITAL INTERFACING

1. Processor Controlled Conversions

The realization library available in the current implementation of the design system allows communications between dedicated microprocessor systems and external analog signals using a Burr-Brown ADC82AG eight bit analog to digital converter. Synchronization among contingency/task pairs is a critical factor in accurately generating digital filter output. Therefore, the sampled data input must be available from the a to d converter on a periodic basis as requested by the main processor. The converter available in the Intel 8080 realization volume is controlled by an independent clock and is therefore not suitable for such an application. The addition of an analog to digital converter suitable for digital filtering applications is therefore warranted.

The device chosen for inclusion in the realization library is the Analog Devices AD570 and is an appropriate selection for several reasons. The most significant of these

is its ability to perform a conversion when directed by an outside signal. Connections are provided for both a data convert signal and an associated output to indicate the completion of the conversion operation. The circuits required for interfacing the device to the Intel 8080 data bus are provided in the manufacturer's specification sheets [Ref. 19]. The device is also capable of accepting unipolar or bipolar data input.

2. Library Entry Development

The generation of the library entry for this device is a simple task. Because the use of hardware in any realization is controlled through software primitives, a new software entry was generated as well. This new primitive is called S.ANAIN. User specified parameters for the primitive are determined by those required for inclusion of the necessary hardware realizations. These consist of the name of the output signal, the maximum and minimum values that it can assume, and the number of bits in the digital representation. These parameters represent the data required for correct generation of the a to d converter hardware. The 3dB bandwidth of the input signal is also required in order to produce a buffer amplifier to the input of the device. The high voltage specification is used to determine the gain provided by the buffer amplifier. The interface hardware listed in the specification sheets is not required for

implementation by the design system. The inclusion of the primitive S.SENSESECOND provides a suitable interface for the device.

The a to d converter is listed in the hardware primitive H.ADC2 and contains the pin connections necessary to implement the device. The low voltage limit is evaluated to determine if the interface for bipolar input is needed. The title line for both of these primitives is copied to the index of available realizations that is at the beginning of the volume. The attributes of time, storage requirements, and inclusions are listed as well. A complete listing of each primitive is contained in Figure 19 and 20, respectively.

```

s.anain      (sig,h,l,b:0,8,50,-25,1,100:,,,,,3816,3847)
com primitive to define processor controlled analog input
com list = input,hi volt limit,lo volt limit,3db rolloff:
com      bits,voltage limits,bw limits:
com      time,stor,ext,calc,incl,addrs
com added by m.r. heilstedt, may 1983
name $na001-$na030
begin stext
    ;analog input channel for signal <sig>,
    ;range <h> to <l> volts
    ;3db rolloff at <b> khz
endtext
incl h.conn=a1    (<$na006>,<$na007>,gnd,<sig>::)
com select gain for buffer amp to match input range
if <l> .lt. 0 skip 4
if <h> .le. 10 skip 6
if <h> .le. 25 skip 8
if <h> .le. 50 skip 10
com set buffer amp if bipolar output
if <l> .ge. -5 skip 3
if <l> .ge. -12 skip 5
if <l> .eq. -25 skip 7
com gain 1.0 (written 10) for input range 0,+10;+-5 volts
incl h.bufframp   (<$na006>,<$na007>,<$na005>,10,<b>::)
skip 5
com gain 2.5 (written 25) for input range 0,+25;+-12.5 volts
incl h.bufframp   (<$na006>,<$na007>,<$na005>,25,<b>::)
skip 2
com gain 5.0 (written 50) for input range 0,+50;+-25 volts
incl h.bufframp   (<$na006>,<$na007>,<$na005>,50,<b>::)
incl h.adc2       (<$na005>,<sig>,<h>,<l>:8:)
call s.sensecond (<sig>:8,128)
com

```

Figure 19. Analog Input Primitive

```

h.adc2      (in,out,h,1:0,8:,,,,,3848,3899)
com primitive to define 8 bit processor controlled adc
com list = input,output,hi volt limit, lo volt limit:
com      bits:lat,pwr,chips,calc,incl,addr
com added by m. r. heilstedt, may 1983
name $na031-$na060
incl h.trimpot  (gnd,<$na041>,<in>,200:)
begin htext
  a/d converter, 8 bit
  device is analog devices ad570, ic <icn>
  connections:
    pin 1 = n.c.
    pin 2 = <out>(0)  (1sb)
    pin 3 = <out>(1)  (21sb)
    pin 4 = <out>(2)  (31sb)
    pin 5 = <out>(3)  (41sb)
    pin 6 = <out>(4)  (51sb)
    pin 7 = <out>(5)  (61sb)
    pin 8 = <out>(6)  (71sb)
    pin 9 = <out>(7)  (msb)
    pin 10 = +5 volts
    pin 11 = conv      (blank and .not. convert)
    pin 12 = -15 volts
    pin 13 = <$na041>  (analog input)
    pin 14 = gnd       (analog common)
endtext
if <1> .lt. 0 skip 6
com if unipolar input, make direct connections
begin htext
  pin 15 = gnd        (bipolar offset)
  pin 16 = gnd        (digital common)
endtext
skip 11
begin htext
  pin 15 = <$na049>    (bipolar offset)
  pin 16 = <$na048>    (digital common)
endtext
incl h.nand4      (0,1,+5v,<$na048>,<$na045::)
incl h.invert     (<$na045>,<$na046>::)
incl h.diode=sw   (<$na046>,<$na047>::)
incl h.diode=sw   (<$na047>,<$na048>::)
incl h.diode=sw   (<$na048>,<$na049>::)
incl h.resmfatrw (-15v,<$na048>,30000:)
begin htext
  pin 17 = dr      (data ready)
  pin 18 = n.c.
endtext
calc icn=icn+1

```

Figure 20. Analog to Digital Converter Primitive

V. DESIGN EXAMPLES

The ability of the design system to produce an acceptable realization is demonstrated using a realistic problem taken from the article by Nagle and Nelson [Ref. 20]. The implementation considered is a fourth order digital rate filter with the transfer function

$$H(z) = \frac{1.0 + 0.390244z^{-1} - 1.24247z^{-2} + 0.344333z^{-3} + 1.77044z^{-4}}{1.0 - 3.02828z^{-1} + 3.53682z^{-2} - 1.88867z^{-3} + 0.397506z^{-4}}$$

This expression is redefined as a combination of second order modules from which the difference equations necessary to generate the filtering function in real time are derived. The choice of implementation algorithm for these modules is a difficult one because none of the four methods presented in chapter three is clearly superior. Each of the direct forms consists of the same number of multiplication and addition/subtraction operations. However, the direct form one algorithm possesses the minimum storage requirements of the four candidates and will therefore be used in the development of the example designs.

A. CASCADE REALIZATION

The cascade implementation of the proposed filter consists of $n/2$ or two second order sections. The equivalent expression is

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) &= H_1(z) \cdot H_2(z) \\ &= \frac{1+1.7906z^{-1}+1.2872z^{-2}}{1+1.823z^{-1}+0.8959z^{-2}} \cdot \frac{1-2.188z^{-1}+1.3832z^{-2}}{1+1.2054z^{-1}+0.4438z^{-2}} \end{aligned}$$

1. CSDL Description

Despite the fact that the high level design language CSDL will not be implemented in the input specification module, it remains a useful tool in the current limited implementation of the system, providing a means of translating the problem statement into its intermediate form. Therefore, the first step in the development of the desired filter implementation is to express the problem in the syntax of CSDL.

The identification section of the listing contains all of the administrative data associated with the problem, such as the designer's name, date of creation, and project title. It is as follows:

```
IDENTIFICATION;  
  Designer: M. R. Heilstedt  
  Date: 4-7-83  
  Project: Sample Cascade Realization
```

The environment section is more easily determined after the completion of the other subsections in the CSDL listing. It will therefore be developed last.

The generation of the contingency/task pairs is accomplished with the aid of the flowchart shown in Figure 21. Each of the decision diamonds represents a contingency to be tested, while the process boxes associated with them are their corresponding tasks. The contingency/task pairs and their order of specification in the contingency list are therefore determined by the sequence of execution indicated in the flowchart.

The first contingency/task pair determines when a sample of the input signal must be taken. Because this must be accomplished in accordance with the sampling theorem, the "dummy" contingency EVERY is used to force the generation of a sample once each period of the sampling frequency. Arbitrarily choosing a sampling interval of sixty milliseconds, the first pair is

EVERY 60MS do SAMPLE.

The second contingency/task pair tests for the availability of data from the analog to digital converter. Because the Analog Devices AD570 takes approximately twenty-five microseconds to perform a conversion, a test for the presence of data at its outputs is required. This is accomplished by sensing the value of the single bit input,




Figure 21. Flowchart of Cascade Implementation

data ready. The variable DR is chosen to represent this control line. The value of this input is normally zero, but will change to logical one while the conversion process takes place. A return to zero indicates that the operation has been completed. A sample of the input signal is required at intervals determined by the period of the sampling frequency. The second contingency/task pair is therefore

When READY:60MS do READ.

The third contingency/task pair tests to insure that the reading of data has taken place and when true, executes the first filtering function of the cascade. The time constraint associated with this pair is once again equal to the period of the sampling frequency because data for processing is produced with that interval. The third contingency/task pair is then

When NEWDATA:60MS do FIL1.

The final contingency/task pair in the list tests for the generation of data by the first element in the cascade. When true, the second filtering function is executed. Because the contingency can be satisfied only as often as data is produced by the first filtering function, its time constraint is also equal to the sampling interval. The final contingency/task pair is

When ONEOUT:60MS do FIL2.

The procedures section of the CSDL listing requires a specification of the high level code required to test the contingencies and execute the tasks. The former shall be developed first.

The contingency for EVERY is a "dummy" specification, used to force the execution of a specified task at user defined intervals. As such, it has no high level listing.

The contingency READY senses the value of the input line DR. When DR is equal to zero, READY is set to one. Because the analog to digital converter will require twenty-five microseconds to complete the conversion operation, a fixed wait corresponding to this period is inserted in the contingency to guarantee that sufficient time for the conversion to take place prior to testing for its completion. The contingency is

```
Contingency READY
  Binary READY,1;
  Wait 25US;
  Sense(DR);
  If DR=0 then READY:=1;
Exit READY
```

The third contingency, NEWDATA, tests for the value of a flag, called REDDAT, which is set at the conclusion of the task READ. A value of one indicates that data has been read and is awaiting processing. The contingency is

```
Contingency NEWDATA
  Binary NEWDATA,1;
  If REDDAT=1 then NEWDATA:=1;
  REDDAT:=0;
Exit NEWDATA
```

The final contingency, ONEOUT, tests for the availability of data from the first filtering function. When the value of the flag DONE1 is set to one at the end of task FIL1, data is ready for processing by task FIL2. The final contingency is

```
Contingency ONEOUT
  Binary oneout,1;
  If DONE1=1 then ONEOUT:=1;
  DONE1:=0;
Exit ONEOUT
```

In addition to generating the filter output, the tasks also perform internal operations, such as the setting of flags, which are invisible to the user. The first task to be implemented is SAMPLE, which is used to produce the signal required to initiate a conversion by the analog to digital converter. This is done by setting the control signal, CONV, to zero, issuing it as an output, resetting its value to logical one, and issuing it again. The listing for the task is

```
Task SAMPLE
  CONV:=0;
  Issue(CONV);
  CONV:=1;
  Issue(CONV);
Exit SAMPLE
```

Task READ senses the value of the input variable as determined by the analog to digital converter. It must also

set the contingency READY to zero and the data available flag, REDDAT, to one. The high level description of READ is

```
Task READ
    READY:=0;
    Sense(X);
    REDDAT:=1;
Exit READ
```

The tasks that generate each of the filtering operations are similar in design and will therefore be developed together. FIL1 resets contingency NEWDATA to the false condition. The filtering algorithm is executed, with the result being stored in a global variable. The flag DONE1 is set to one to indicate the completion of the computation, and the intermediate variables used are updated for use in the next computation. FIL2 first sets contingency ONEOUT to zero. It performs the same general calculations using different coefficients, and issues the result of its computations as the system output variable Y. The task listings for FIL1 and FIL2 are

```
Task FIL 1
    NEWDATA:=0;
    M11:=X-(1.823*M12)-(0.8959*M13);
    Y1:=M11+(1.7906*M12)+(1.2872*M13);
    DONE1:=1;
    M13:=M12;
    M12:=M11;
Exit FIL1
```

```

Task FIL2
  ONEOUT:=0;
  M21:=Y1-(1.2054*M22)-(0.4438*M23);
  Y:=M21-(2.188*M22)+(1.3832*M23);
  Issue(Y);
  M23:=M22;
  M22:=M21;
Exit FIL2

```

With the completion of the contingency and task specifications, the environment section can be defined. Each of the input and output variables must be listed first. They are represented by X and DR, and Y and CONV, respectively. The arithmetic variables are the global parameters used within the CSDL listing by multiple contingencies and tasks. Listed with each variable is a description of the type of signal it is and the number of bits required to represent it. The completed environment section is

```

ENCIRONMENT;
  Input:  X,8,TTL;DR,1,TTL;
  Output: Y,8,TTL;CONV,1,TTL;
  Arithmetic: Y1,24;Y2,24;M11,24;M13,24;
              M21,24;M22,24;M31,24;M32,24;
              M33,24;READY,1;DONE1,1;
              NEWDATA,1;ONEOUT,1;
              REDDAT,1;

```

2. Intermediate Representation

The generation of the list of primitives and the IADEFL file for the example specification is a line by line translation of the CSDL listing. The IADEFL file is derived from the identification section and the contingency list. It is produced by extracting the names of the contingency/task

pairs and their timing constraints from the former and listing them individually. In addition to the period of the contingency, the user may also specify the maximum time allowed for the execution of the contingency, the maximum allowed duration of the task, the global order of the contingency/task pair, its priority, and the maximum allowed time duration of any timed block within the contingency and its maximum allowed duration. The metric upon which the design is to be generated is not part of the CSDL specification but is included in the IADEFL file. The available criteria for design selection are: first successful realization produced, realization with least power requirements, and least costly realization. Because it is the only one implemented, the first metric was chosen. The design identification data follows the listing of the design criteria.

The procedures section of the CSDL listing contains the information needed to generate the primitive list specification of the design problem. Each line of the high level expression is translated into one or more lines of intermediate code. In order to manually generate the primitive problem representation, the user must have available a listing of the index to the realization volume used. Each of the entries in the intermediate specification is derived from the title lines of the available primitives. The process of translating the CSDL listing into its

intermediate form is tedious and repetitious and will not be detailed here. However, a short example is instructive. The line to be translated is a mathematical expression taken from the first filtering task, FILL. The example statement is

$$M11:=X-(1.823*M12)-(0.8959*M13)$$

The corresponding primitive code is produced by working from right to left in this statement. Each of the expressions in parentheses is derived first. The results of these operations are then combined as indicated by the remaining operations to attain the desired result. The constants must also be specified as variables within the listing. Each variable name used requires an additional statement for the generation of a storage location. The primitive list for this equation is

s.fmul	(mla,all,ml2:24,24,24)
s.fmul	(mlb,al2,ml3:24,24,24)
s.fsub	(ma,mla,mlb:24,24,24)
s.float	(sign,insig:8)
s.fsub	(m11,sign,ma:24,24,24)
s.var	(mla:24)
s.var	(ml2:24)
s.var	(mlb:24)
s.var	(ml3:24)
s.var	(ma:24)
s.var	(insig:24)
s.fcons	(all,0,0,0,0:24)
s.fcons	(al2,0,0,0,0:24)

The IADEFL file, primitive list, CSDL problem statement, and design system output are included in Appendix A.

B. PARALLEL REALIZATION

The parallel realization of the fourth order digital rate filter also consists of two second order modules. The filtering function to be generated is found by taking the partial fraction expansion of the original transfer function. The resulting expression is

$$H(z) = 1.0 - 8.0 \frac{1.2640z^{-1} - 1.7475z^{-1}}{1 - 1.8230z^{-1} + 0.8959z^{-2}} \\ - 4.0 \frac{1.6734z^{-1} - 1.5692z^{-2}}{1 - 1.2053z^{-1} + 0.4437z^{-2}}$$

It is illustrated by the flowchart of Figure 22.

1. CSDL Description

The CSDL description of the parallel realization is similar to its cascade counterpart. Therefore, only those areas in which they differ are presented in detail. The identification section for the parallel realization is

IDENTIFICATION;

Designer: M. R. Heilstedt
Project: Sample Parallel Filter Problem
Date: 7 April 1983

The dummy contingency EVERY is once again used to force the sampling of the input signal according to the interval determined by the sampling frequency. The second contingency tests for the completion of the conversion and




Figure 22. Flowchart of Parallel Implementation

executes the task which reads the digital data input. Each of these contingency/task pairs is identical to those of the same name in the cascade problem specification.

The remaining entries in the contingency list are unique to the parallel problem and are used to implement the FORK construct. The first of these tests for the availability of data for processing. A true condition executes the task FORK, which sets two variable flags, VAR1 and VAR2, to one. Contingencies C1 and C2 test the values of these flags. When true, C1 permits the execution of FIL1, the first of the parallel filtering functions. Similarly, the second filtering algorithm, FIL2, is executed when the contingency C2 is satisfied. FIL1 and FIL2 each place their data in individual queues and increment the value of their respective queue counters. Contingency C2 tests for the existence of each queue and if both are present, causes the execution of task PLUS. Once again choosing an arbitrary sampling interval of sixty milliseconds, the contingency list is

```
CONTINGENCY LIST;  
  EVERY 60 MS do SAMPLE  
    When DATA:60MS do READ  
    When LOOP:60MS do FORK  
    When C1 :60MS do FIL1  
    When C2 :60MS do FIL2  
    When C3 :60MS do PLUS
```

Using the terminology introduced in chapter four, FIL1 and FIL2 represent the forked tasks and PLUS is the joined task.

The algorithm for contingency DATA is identical to that used for its counterpart in the cascade implementation. Contingency LOOP tests the value of variable GO which is set to one by the execution of task READ. When the contingency is satisfied, it permits task FORK to be executed. The contingency is

```
Contingency LOOP
  Binary LOOP,1;
  If GO=1 then LOOP:=1;
  GO=0;
Exit LOOP
```

Contingencies C1 and C2 perform similar functions, using the variables VAR1 and VAR2, respectively. When true, C1 permits execution of FIL1 and C2 permits execution of FIL2. Both of the test variables, VAR1 and VAR2, are set by execution of task FORK. The contingencies are

```
Contingency C1
  Binary C1,1;
  If VAR1=1 then C1=1;
  VAR1=0;
Exit C1
```

```
Contingency C2
  Binary C2,1;
  If VAR2=1 then C2=1;
  VAR2=0;
Exit C2
```

Contingency C3 tests the value of the queue counters to determine if data generated by tasks FIL1 and FIL2 is

waiting to be processed. If both queues contain data entries, task PLUS is executed. The CSDL listing for C3 is

```
Contingency C3
  Binary C3,1;
  If CTRL.gt.0 .and. CTR2.gt.0 then C3:=1;
Exit C3
```

The tasks SAMPLE and READ are identical to the tasks already described for the parallel filter implementation problem.

Task FORK sets the flags tested by contingencies C1 and C2 to one to represent the true condition. It also resets the value of its associated contingency, LOOP, to zero. The task listing is

```
Task FORK
  LOOP:=0;
  VAR1:=1;
  VAR2:=1;
Exit FORK
```

It is important to note that the contingency/task pairs that follow cannot be satisfied if the LOOP/FORK pair is not true as well. Because the tasks that perform the filtering operations are included in this group, FORK effectively controls the execution of the filtering function.

The CSDL descriptions of tasks FIL1 and FIL2 are similar to those used in the cascade realization. The direct form one algorithm is again used to implement the filtering function. The results produced by each of the tasks are

placed in individual data queues and their associated counters are incremented. The high level descriptions of the tasks are

```
Task FIL1
  C1:=0;
  M11:=X+(1.823*M12)-(0.896*M13);
  Q1B:=(1.264*M11)-(1.748*M12);
  M13:=M12;
  M12:=M11;
  CTR1:=CTR1+1;
Exit FIL1
```

```
Task FIL2
  C2:=0;
  M21:=X+(1.205*M22)-(0.444*M23);
  Q2B:=(1.673*M21)-(1.569*M22);
  M23:=M22;
  M22:=M21;
  CTR2:=CTR2+1;
Exit FIL2
```

Task PLUS adds the data generated by FIL1 and FIL2 to produce the output of the device. The queue counters are decremented following the output of the filtered signal. The CSDL description of PLUS is

```
Task PLUS
  C3:=0;
  Q1A:=Q1B;
  Q2A:=Q2B;
  Y:=1.0-(8.0*Q1A)-(4.0*Q2A);
  Issue(Y);
  CTR1:=CTR1-1;
  CTR2:=CTR2-1;
Exit PLUS
```

Now that the contingencies and tasks for the parallel problem have been defined, the environment section entries can be determined.

```
ENVIRONMENT;  
Input:  X,8,TTL;DR,1,TTL;  
Output: Y,8,TTL;CONV,1,TTL;  
Arithmetic:  Q1A,24;Q1B,24;Q2A,24;Q2B,24;  
              M11,24;M12,24;M13,24;M21,24;  
              M22,24;M23,24;GO,1;VAR1,8;  
              VAR2,8;LOOP,8;DATA,8;
```

2. Intermediate Representation

The IADEFIL file and the primitive list are generated from the CSDL listing as detailed in the development of the input specification for the cascade problem. Appendix B contains these listings, as well as the complete CSDL specification of the problem and the output data generated by the design program.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. CONCLUSIONS

The feasibility of automating the production of microprocessor-based digital filters has been demonstrated. The use of applications problems has been shown to be a logical and productive step in the development of the design system. The modifications and additions made as a result of this research have increased the versatility of the current version of the design program and identified areas for future study.

The value of the design system described and tested in this thesis is readily apparent. The time now required to manually produce the hardware and software necessary to support dedicated microprocessor systems can be more productively spent if the computer can be programmed to accomplish this for us. Further development of the design system is therefore warranted.

B. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Implementation Language

The current version of the design program is written in FORTRAN. This language places rigid requirements on the format of the input data files used by the system and restricts the organization of the program code. Modularization

of the program algorithm into specific levels of operation is not possible. The use of a language such as Pascal, PL/1, or Ada would permit this type of program organization, allowing additions and modifications to be made more easily. Validation, verification, and testing of the design program would also be enhanced.

2. Validation of Current Program

The design program installed on the Digital Equipment VAX 11/780 was received on magnetic tape from Lawrence Livermore Laboratory. Because of a compatibility problem between the machine that produced the tape and that which read it, sporadic errors occurred in the copying of the tape onto the VAX. These errors consisted primarily of incorrect branch statements, but erroneous variable references were also found. These problems were of sufficient severity to prevent realizations from being generated when in fact they were possible. Therefore, a considerable amount of time was spent debugging the design program and in fact became a major portion of the effort to produce this thesis. A thorough validation of the program remains to be accomplished. That which has been done thus far has been performed to identify the source of observed errors in program execution. Due to the nature of the inconsistencies found it is reasonable to assume that those subroutines not checked contain errors as well. A line by line manual comparison of

the listing of the design program installed on the VAX 11/780 with a copy of the code that is known to be correct is the best method for determining the correctness of the current implementation.

3. Realization Library

The choice of implementation language for the design program will also determine the format of the entries in the realization volume. Its current organization makes additions and modifications a difficult task. Independent of the implementation language and library format is the need for an algorithm to allow library updates by the user. This type of program would save considerable time in the development of the hardware/software database.

The organization of the library merits further study. In keeping with the concept of hardware binding, the user specifies his problem in terms of software primitives. The support hardware necessary is automatically generated. Because the design algorithm is intended to search only one volume at a time for the needed primitives, duplication of hardware between volumes can occur. To prevent this redundancy, the creation of a global realization volume of commonly used hardware primitives would be helpful. After an unsuccessful search of the current microprocessor volume, the program would scan the global listing in an attempt to locate the needed primitive. Failure to produce a realization would occur only after an unsuccessful search of both the current

and global volumes. The content of the global volume would not be limited to modular hardware. Individual components, such as resistors and capacitors, could be included as well. The principle of hardware binding is not violated as long as user access to these entries is only allowed through software primitives.

The ultimate goal of the design system is to produce a physical hardware realization of the problem specification. In such a system, the current program would be the first module in a group of three or more that would generate the layout for the device program read only memory, with the monitor and design program, and assemble the hardware. The program code required to implement such a system would necessitate the listing of the software primitives in terms of microprocessor operational codes rather than assembly language. Therefore, the organization and incorporation of op code based volumes in the realization library must be investigated.

4. Interrupt Driven Monitors

The theory for the implementation of an interrupt-driven monitor has been developed but inclusion in the design system remains to be accomplished. The availability of such a strategy as a user selectable alternative will greatly increase the potential of the design system.

5. Applications Problems

More applications problems are required to determine the remaining shortcomings in the design system. Signal processing implementations involving modulation/demodulation techniques, as well as high speed special purpose hardware realizations, remain to be tested. The availability of the interrupt-driven monitor strategy can be expected to create additional test applications.

6. Documentation

The documentation currently available is inadequate to permit rapid familiarity with the program. Therefore, reference data must be maintained which details the development and implementation of the system. This will aid subsequent research and provide the basis for a user's manual when a commercially useable design system is produced.

APPENDIX A

DATA, CASCADE REALIZATION

This appendix contains the input and output files for the cascade realization developed in chapter five.

A. INPUT DATA

1. CSDL Listing

"This is the CSDL description of a"
"fourth order digital 'rate' filter. It is"
"taken from the article by Nagle and"
"Nelson which appeared in the February 1981 issue"
"of COMPUTER magazine. It is implemented as"
"the cascade of two second order sections, which"
"are expressed using the direct form one algorithm."

IDENTIFICATION;

Designer:M. R. Heilstedt
Date:4-7-83
Project: Sample Cascade Filter Problem

ENVIRONMENT;

Input:X,8,TTL;DR,1,TTL;
Output:Y,8,TTL;CONV,1,TTL;
Arithmetic:Y1,8;Y2,8;M11,8;M13,8;M21,8;M22,8;
M31,8;M32,8;M33,8;READY,1;
DONE1,1;

CONTINGENCY LIST;

"Sample signal every 60 milliseconds"
EVERY 60ms do SAMPLE
"Check for conversion completed"
When READY:60ms do READ
"Do first second order filtering"
When NEWDATA:60ms do FIL1
"Then do second filtering"
When ONEOUT:60ms do FIL2

AD-A132 214


AUTOMATED DESIGN OF MICROPROCESSOR-BASED DIGITAL
FILTERS(U) NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA
M R HEILSTEDT JUN 83


2/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 9/2

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

```

PROCEDURES;
  Contingency READY
    Binary READY,1;
    Wait 25US;
    Sense(DR);
    If DR=0 then READY:=1 fi;
  Exit READY
  Contingency NEWDATA
    Binary NEWDATA,1;
    If REDDAT=1 then NEWDATA:=1
    REDDAT:=0;
  Exit NEWDATA

  Contingency ONEOUT
    Binary ONEOUT,1;
    If DONE1=1 then ONEOUT:=1;
    DONE1:=0;
  Exit ONEOUT

  Task SAMPLE
    CONV:=0;
    Issue(CONV);
    CONV:=1;
    Issue(CONV);
  Exit SAMPLE

  Task READ
    READY:=0;
    Sense(X);
    REDDAT:=1;
  Exit READ

  Task FIL1
    NEWDATA:=0;
    M11:=X-(1.823*M12)-(0.8959*M13);
    Y1:=M11+(1.7906*M12)+(1.2872*M13);
    DONE1:=1;
    M13:=M12;
    M12:=M11;
  Exit FIL1

  Task FIL2
    ONEOUT:=0;
    M21:=Y1-(1.2054*M22)-(0.4438*M23);
    Y:=M21-(2.188*M22)+(1.3832*M23);
    Issue(Y);
    M23:=M22;
    M22:=M21;
  Exit FIL2

```

2. IADEFL File

```

a 001 :system      :      :ms:
a 002 :each        :sample:ms:
a 003 :data        :read  :ms:
a 004 :newdata     :fill  :ms:
a 005 :oneout      :fill2 :ms:
d:frst:1,2,3:1,2,3:
i
i SAMPLE CASCADE FILTER PROBLEM
i

```

90,	90,	90,	1,	1,	0,	0,	1
90,	90,	90,	1,	1,	0,	0,	0
90,	90,	90,	1,	1,	0,	0,	0
90,	90,	90,	1,	1,	0,	0,	0

3. Primitive Listing

```

o   t. generated for:system      *****
o   s.main      (:)
o   s.start     (:)
o   t. generated for:each      *****
o   s.every     (each:~)
o   s.var       (each:8)
o   t. generated for:data      *****
o   s.proc      (data:~)
o   s.fixedwait (25:~)
o   s.sensecond (dr:1,1)
o   s.eq        (@t2,dr,@c001:8,1,1)
o   s.jmpf      (@t2,@1001:8)
o   s.assigncons(data,1:1,1)
o   s.loc       (@1001:~)
o   s.exitproc  (data,0:~)
o   s.cons      (@c001,0:1,1)
o   s.var       (dr:1)
o   s.var       (data:1)
o   s.var       (@t2:8)
o   t. generated for:newdata   *****
o   s.proc      (newdata:~)
o   s.eq        (@t3,reddat,@c002:8,1,1)
o   s.jmpf      (@t3,@1002:8)
o   s.assigncons(reddat,0:1,1)
o   s.assigncons(newdata,1:1,1)
o   s.loc       (@1002:~)
o   s.exitproc  (newdata,0:~)
o   s.cons      (@c002,0:1,1)
o   s.var       (newdata:1)
o   s.var       (reddat:1)
o   s.var       (@t3:8)
o   t. generated for:oneout    *****
o   s.proc      (oneout:~)
o   s.eq        (@t4,donel,@c003:8,1,1)
o   s.jmpf      (@t4,@1003:8)
o   s.assigncons(oneout,1:1,1)
o   s.assigncons(donel,0:1,1)
o   s.loc       (@1003:~)
o   s.exitproc  (oneout,0:~)
o   s.cons      (@c003,1:1,1)
o   s.var       (oneout:1)
o   s.var       (donel:1)
o   s.var       (@t4:8)
o   t. generated for:sample    *****
o   s.proc      (sample:~)
o   s.assigncons(conv,0:1,1)

```

```

o      s.issuecond (conv:1,2)
o      s.assigncons(conv,1:1,1)
o      s.issuecond (conv:1,2)
o      s.exitproc  (sample,each::)
o      s.var       (conv:1)
o      t. generated for:read *****
o      s.proc      (read:)
o      s.assigncons(data,0:1,1)
o      s.anain     (x,-10,10,5:8:)
o      s.assigncons(reddat,1:1,1)
o      s.exitproc  (read,data::)
o      s.var       (x:8)
o      t. generated for:fill *****
o      s.proc      (fill::)
o      s.assigncons(newdata,0:1,1)
o      s.fmul      (mlb,b2,m13:24,24,24)
o      s.fmul      (mla,b1,m12:24,24,24)
o      s.fsub      (ma,mla,mlb:24,24,24)
o      s.float     (ix,x:8)
o      s.fsub      (m11,ix,ma:24,24,24)
o      s.fmul      (y1b,a2,m13:24,24,24)
o      s.fmul      (yla,a1,m12:24,24,24)
o      s.fadd      (ya,y1a,y1b:24,24,24)
o      s.fadd      (y1,m11,ya:24,24,24)
o      s.assigncons(donel,1:1,1)
o      s.fassign   (m13,m12:24,24)
o      s.fassign   (m12,m11:24,24)
o      s.exitproc  (fill,newdata::)
o      s.var       (mlb:24)
o      s.var       (m13:24)
o      s.var       (mla:24)
o      s.var       (m12:24)
o      s.var       (ma:24)
o      s.var       (m11:24)
o      s.var       (ix:24)
o      s.var       (y1b:24)
o      s.var       (yla:24)
o      s.var       (ya:24)
o      s.fcons     (b2,0,168,114,64:24)
o      s.fcons     (b1,0,170,114,64:24)
o      s.fcons     (a2,0,192,164,64:24)
o      s.fcons     (a1,0,22,228,64:24)
o      t. generated for:fil2 *****
o      s.proc      (fil2::)
o      s.assigncons(oneout,0:1,1)
o      s.fmul      (m2b,m23,b22:24,24,24)
o      s.fmul      (m2a,m22,b21:24,24,24)
o      s.fsub      (m2a,m2a,m2b:24,24,24)
o      s.fsub      (m21,y1,m2a:24,24,24)
o      s.fmul      (yb,a22,m23:24,24,24)

```

```

o      s.fmul      (yh,a21,m22:24,24,24)
o      s.fadd      (yh,yh,yb:24,24,24)
o      s.fsub      (y,m21,yh:24,24,24)
o      s.fix        (iy,y:8)
o      s.anaout     (iy,-10,10:8)
o      s.fassign    (m23,m22:24,24)
o      s.fassign    (m22,m21:24,24)
o      s.exitproc   (fil2,oneout::)
o      s.var        (m2b:24)
o      s.var        (m23:24)
o      s.var        (m2a:24)
o      s.var        (m22:24)
o      s.var        (yb:24)
o      s.var        (yh:24)
o      s.var        (y:24)
o      s.var        (iy:8)
o      s.fcons      (b22,0,168,56,64:24)
o      s.fcons      (b21,0,36,205,64:24)
o      s.fcons      (a22,0,8,49,64:24)
o      s.fcons      (a21,0,4,198,192:24)
o      s.end        (::)

```

B. OUTPUT DATA

1. Software Listing

```
;
; + intel 8080 based system +
;
; SAMPLE CASCADE FILTER PROBLEM
;
;
;
;
;
;
org 65503    ;establish stack in first ram
ds          32      ; define stack area
lxi        sp,@stak+32   ; initialize stack pointer
org         64      ;begin code after reserved interrupt area
jmp @spvscr     ;force monitor execution
; dummy procedure for every-period type contingency
@each: nop
mvi         a,r1      ; force function value
sta         each      ; to true value (1)
ret          ; return to monitor

org 65502     ;8 bit variable each in ram
each: db      0
org 74
;
; procedure data
@data: nop
mvi         a,0
mvi         a, 2      ; load loop cnp
dcr         c          ; delay
dcr         a          ; decrement loop count
inz         in         ; sense environmental data
in          dr         ; and store in dr
sta         -eq. @c001 set at2 true
; if dr
lxi         h, @t2     ; preset at2
mvi         m,l        ; to true (1)
lda         dr         ; fetch first argument
lxi         h, @c001   ; compare
```

```

cmp
jz
lxi
mvi
lda
cpi
jz
mvi
sta
a1001: nop
ret
a1001: db
org 65501
dr: db 0
org 130
org 65500
data: db 0
org 130
org 65499
a2: db 0
org 130
;
; procedure newdat
; newdat: nop
; if reddat .eq. a1002 set a2 true
lxi h, a2
mvi m, 1
lda reddat
lxi h, a1002
cmp
jz
lxi h, a2
mvi m, 0
lda a2
cpi
jz
; to second argument
; set a2
; to false (0)
; if args are not equal
; fetch a2 and
; test for false (0)
; branch to a1001 if false
; assign constant 1
; to data
; define location a1001
; return to monitor, exit data
; 8 bit variable dr in ram
; 8 bit variable data in ram
; 8 bit variable a2 in ram
; entry point for newdat
; preset a2
; to true (1)
; fetch first argument
; compare
; to second argument
; set a2
; to false (0)
; if args are not equal
; fetch a2 and
; test for false (0)
; branch to a1002 if false

```

```

mvi a, 0 ; assign constant 0
sta reddat ; to reddat
mvi a, 1 ; assign constant 1
sta newdat ; to newdat
; define location a1002
a1002: nop
ret ; return to monitor, exit newdat
;8 bit variable newdat in ram
ac002: db 0
org 65498
newdat: db 0
org 177
;8 bit variable reddat in ram
org 65497
reddat: db 0
org 177
;8 bit variable at3 in ram
org 65496
at3: db 0
org 177
;
; procedure oneout
; oneout: nop
; if done1 .eq. ac003 set at4 true
; entry point for oneout
; if done1
; h, at4 ; preset at4
; m, 1 ; to true (1)
; done1 ; fetch first argument
; h, ac003 ; compare
; m ; to second argument
; s+6 ; set at4
; jz ; to false (0)
; h, at4 ; if args are not equal
; m, 0 ; fetch at4 and
; at4 ; test for false (0)
; 0 ; branch to a1003 if false
; a1003 ; assign constant 1
; a, 1 ; to oneout
; oneout ;
; sta ; assign constant 0
; mvi a, 0 ; to done1
; sta done1 ; define location a1003
a1003: nop

```

```

ret
@c003: db 1 ; return to monitor, exit oneout
org 65495 ;8 bit variable oneout in ram
oneout: db 0
org 224
org 65494 ;8 bit variable donel in ram
donel: db 0
org 224
org 65493 ;8 bit variable @t4 in ram
@t4: db 0
org 224
;
; procedure sample
@sample: nop
mvi a, 0 ;entry point for sample
sta conv ; assign constant 0
lda conv ;
out 0 ; issue control
mvi a, 1 ; data through signal conv
sta conv ; assign constant 1
lda conv ;
out 1 ; issue control
ret ; data through signal conv
org 65492 ;8 bit variable conv in ram
conv: db 0
org 251
;
; procedure read
@read: nop
mvi a, 0 ;entry point for read
sta data ; assign constant 0
; analog input channel for signal x, range -10 to 10 volts,
; 3db rolloff at 5 khz
in 1 ;sense environmental data
sta x ; and store in x
mvi a, 1 ; assign constant 1

```

```

sta      reddat      ; to reddat
ret
org 65491      ;8 bit variable x in ram
x: db 0
org 273
;
; procedure fill
afill: nop
      mvi a, 0      ;entry point for fill
      sta newdat    ; assign constant 0
;multiply f.p. b2 by m13 to get m1b
      lda b2        ;load arguments
      out 2
      lda b2+1
      out 2
      lda b2+2
      out 2
      lda b2+3
      out 2
      lda m13
      out 2
      lda m13+1
      out 2
      lda m13+2
      out 2
      lda m13+3
      out 2
      mvi a,22b      ;output command
      out 3
      in 2           ;unload result
      sta m1b+3
      in 2
      sta m1b+2
      in 2
      sta m1b+1
      in 2

```

```

sta m1b
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psr ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b ;identify error source
call error
;multiply f.p. b1 by m12 to get mla
lda b1 ;load arguments
out 2
lda b1+1
out 2
lda b1+2
out 2
lda b1+3
out 2
lda m12
out 2
lda m12+1
out 2
lda m12+2
out 2
lda m12+3
out 2
mvi a,22b ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta m1a+3
in 2
sta m1a+2
in 2
sta m1a+1
in 2
sta m1a
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code

```

```

jz    $+8      ;no error, continue
push  psw      ;error, call error routine
mvi   a,7b     ;identify error source
call  error
;subtract f.p. m1b from m1a to get ma
lda   m1a      ;load arguments
out   2
lda   m1a+1
out   2
lda   m1a+2
out   2
lda   m1a+3
out   2
lda   m1b
out   2
lda   m1b+1
out   2
lda   m1b+2
out   2
lda   m1b+3
out   2
mvi   a,21b    ;output command
out   3
in    2        ;unload result
sta   ma+3
in    2
sta   ma+2
in    2
sta   ma+1
in    2
sta   ma
in    3        ;check for error status
ani   36b      ; mask error code
jz    $+8      ;no error, continue
push  psw      ;error, call error routine
mvi   a,6b     ;identify error source

```

```

call error
;convert integer x to floating point ix
lda x
out 2
;load argument lsb byte
;
cpi 0
;set flags
jp $+8
mvi a,377b
;negative, extend sign
jmp $+2
xra a
;positive, clear the msbyte
out 2
;load the argument dummy msbyte
mvi a,35b
;output command
out 3
in 2
sta ix+3
;unload result
in 2
sta ix+2
in 2
sta ix+1
in 2
sta ix
;check error code
in 3
;mask the error code field
ani 36b
;no error, continue
jz $+8
push psw
; error, call error routine
mvi a,11b
; identify source of error
call error
;subtract f.p. ma from ix to get m1
lda ix
;load arguments
out 2
lda ix+1
out 2
lda ix+2
out 2
lda ix+3
out 2
lda ma

```

```

out 2
lda ma+1
out 2
lda ma+2
out 2
lda ma+3
out 2
mvi a,21h ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta m11+3
in 2
sta m11+2
in 2
sta m11+1
in 2
sta m11
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,6b ;identify error source
call error
;multiply f.p. a2 by m13 to get y1b
lda a2
out 2
lda a2+1
out 2
lda a2+2
out 2
lda a2+3
out 2
lda m13
out 2
lda m13+1
out 2

```

```

lda m13+2
out 2
lda m13+3
out 2
mvi a,22b      ;output command
out 3
in 2           ;unload result
sta ylb+3
in 2
sta ylb+2
in 2
sta ylb+1
in 2
sta ylb
in 3           ;check for error status
ani 36b        ; mask error code
jz $+8         ;no error, continue
push psw       ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b       ;identify error source
call error
;multiply f.p. a1 by m12 to get y1a
lda a1
out 2
lda a1+1
out 2
lda a1+2
out 2
lda a1+3
out 2
lda m12
out 2
lda m12+1
out 2
lda m12+2
out 2
lda m12+3

```

```

out 2
mvi a,22h ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta vla+3
in 2
sta vla+2
in 2
sta vla+1
in 2
sta vla
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b ;identify error source
call error

;add f.p. vla to vlb to get ya
lda vla ;load arguments
out 2
lda vla+1
out 2
lda vla+2
out 2
lda vla+3
out 2
lda vlb
out 2
lda vlb+1
out 2
lda vlb+2
out 2
lda vlb+3
out 2
mvi a,20h ;output command
out 3

```

```

in      2      ;unload result
sta     ya+3
in      2
sta     ya+2
in      2
sta     ya+1
in      2
sta     ya
in      3      ;check for error status
ani     36b     ; mask error code
jz      $+8     ;no error, continue
push    psw     ;error, call error routine
mvi     a,5b    ;identify error source
call    error

;add f.p. m11 to ya to get y1
lda     m11     ;load arguments
out     2
lda     m11+1
out     2
lda     m11+2
out     2
lda     m11+3
out     2
lda     ya
out     2
lda     ya+1
out     2
lda     ya+2
out     2
lda     ya+3
out     2
mvi     a,20b   ;output command
out     3
in      2      ;unload result
sta     y1+3
in      2

```

```

sta y1+2
in 2
sta y1+1
in 2
sta y1
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,5b ;identify error source
call error
mvi a,1 ; assign constant 1
sta done1 ; to done1
lhd m12 ;assign value of f.p m12 to m13
shld m13
lhd m12+2
shld m13+2
lhd m11
shld m12 ;assign value of f.p m11 to m12
lhd m11+2
shld m12+2
ret
org 65487 ; return to monitor, exit fill
mlb: ds 4 ;floating point variable mlb in ram
org 985
org 65483 ;floating point variable m13 in ram
ml3: ds 4
org 985
org 65479 ;floating point variable m1a in ram
mla: ds 4
org 985
org 65475 ;floating point variable m12 in ram
m12: ds 4
org 985
org 65471 ;floating point variable ma in ram
ma: ds 4

```

```

org 985
org 65467
    m11: ds 4
org 985
org 65463
    ix: ds 4
org 985
org 65459
    ylb: ds 4
org 985
org 65455
    yla: ds 4
org 985
org 65451
    ya: ds 4
org 985
b2: db 0
    db 168
    db 114
    db 64
    b1: db 0
    db 170
    db 114
    db 64
    a2: db 0
    db 192
    db 164
    db 64
    a1: db 0
    db 22
    db 228
    db 64
;
; procedure fil2
;entry point for fil2
afil2: nop
    mvi a, 0
    ; assign constant 0
;floating point variable m11 in ram
;floating point variable ix in ram
;floating point variable ylb in ram
;floating point variable yla in ram
;floating point variable ya in ram

```

```

sta      oneout      ;          to oneout
;multiply f.p. m23 by b22 to get m2b
lda m23      ;load arguments
out 2
lda m23+1
out 2
lda m23+2
out 2
lda m23+3
out 2
lda b22
out 2
lda b22+1
out 2
lda b22+2
out 2
lda b22+3
out 2
mvi a,22b      ;output command
out 3
in 2      ;unload result
sta m2b+3
in 2
sta m2b+2
in 2
sta m2b+1
in 2
sta m2b
in 3      ;check for error status
; mask error code
ani 36b
jz $+8
push psw
mvi a,7b
call error
;multiply f.p. m22 by b21 to get m2a
lda m22      ;load arguments

```

```

out 2
lda m22+1
out 2
lda m22+2
out 2
lda m22+3
out 2
lda b21
out 2
lda b21+1
out 2
lda b21+2
out 2
lda b21+3
out 2
mvi a,22b ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta m2a+3
in 2
sta m2a+2
in 2
sta m2a+1
in 2
sta m2a
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36h ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b ;identify error source
call error
;subtract f.p. m2b from m2a to get m2a
lda m2a ;load arguments
out 2
lda m2a+1
out 2

```

```

lda m2a+2
out 2
lda m2a+3
out 2
lda m2b
out 2
lda m2b+1
out 2
lda m2b+2
out 2
lda m2b+3
out 2
mvi a,21b      ;output command
out 3
in 2      ;unload result
sta m2a+3
in 2
sta m2a+2
in 2
sta m2a+1
in 2
sta m2a
in 3      ;check for error status
ani 36b    ; mask error code
jz $+8     ;no error, continue
push psw   ;error, call error routine
mvi a,6b   ;identify error source
call error
;subtract f.p. m2a from y1 to get m21
lda y1
out 2
lda y1+1
out 2
lda y1+2
out 2
lda y1+3

```

```

out 2
lda m2a
out 2
lda m2a+1
out 2
lda m2a+2
out 2
lda m2a+3
out 2
mvi a,21b ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta m21+3
in 2
sta m21+2
in 2
sta m21+1
in 2
sta m21
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,6b ;identify error source
call error
;multiply f.p. a22 by m23 to get yb
lda a22 ;load arguments
out 2
lda a22+1
out 2
lda a22+2
out 2
lda a22+3
out 2
lda m23
out 2

```

```

lda m23+1
out 2
lda m23+2
out 2
lda m23+3
out 2
mvi a,22h ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta yb+3
in 2
sta yb+2
in 2
sta yb+1
in 2
sta yb
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b ;identify error source
call error
;multiply f.p. a21 by m22 to get yh
lda a21 ;load arguments
out 2
lda a21+1
out 2
lda a21+2
out 2
lda a21+3
out 2
lda m22
out 2
lda m22+1
out 2
lda m22+2

```

```

out 2
lda m22+3
out 2
mvi a,22b ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta yh+3
in 2
sta yh+2
in 2
sta yh+1
in 2
sta yh
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b ;identify error source
call error
;add f.p. yh to yb to get yh
lda yh ;load arguments
out 2
lda yh+1
out 2
lda yh+2
out 2
lda yh+3
out 2
lda yb
out 2
lda yb+1
out 2
lda yb+2
out 2
lda yb+3
out 2

```

```

mvi a,20b      ;output command
out 3
in 2           ;unload result
sta yh+3
in 2
sta yh+2
in 2
sta yh+1
in 2
sta yh
in 3           ;check for error status
ani 36b        ; mask error code
jz $+8         ;no error, continue
push psw       ;error, call error routine
mvi a,5b       ;identify error source
call error
;subtract f.p. yh from m21 to get y
lda m21
out 2
lda m21+1
out 2
lda m21+2
out 2
lda m21+3
out 2
lda yh
out 2
lda yh+1
out 2
lda yh+2
out 2
lda yh+3
out 2
mvi a,21b      ;output command
out 3
in 2           ;unload result

```

```

sta y+3
in 2
sta y+2
in 2
sta y+1
in 2
sta y
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36h ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,6b ;identify error source
call error
;convert floating bit y to integer iy
lda y ;load arguments
out 2
lda y+1
out 2
lda y+2
out 2
lda y+3
out 2
mvi a,37b ;load command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
mov b,a
in 2
sta iy
call dstst
jz $+8 ;no error detected
push psw ;error, call the error routine
mvi a,32b ; send a code to indicate source of error
push psw
call error
in 3 ; check chip for error status
ani 36b

```

```

jz    $+8
push  psw
mvi   a,32b
push  psw
call  error

analog output channel for signal iy, range -10 to 10
lda   x70
out    5
;      ; issue control
;      ; data through signal x70
;      ; assign value of f.p m22 to m23
lhd   m22
shld  m23
lhd   m22+2
shld  m23+2
lhd   m21
shld  m22
;      ; assign value of f.p m21 to m22
lhd   m21+2
shld  m22+2

ret
;      ; return to monitor, exit fil2

org 65447      ;floating point variable m2b in ram
m2b: ds 4
org 1717
org 65443      ;floating point variable m23 in ram
m23: ds 4
org 1717
org 65439      ;floating point variable m2a in ram
m2a: ds 4
org 1717
org 65435      ;floating point variable m22 in ram
m22: ds 4
org 1717
org 65431      ;floating point variable yb in ram
yb: ds 4
org 1717
org 65427      ;floating point variable yh in ram
yh: ds 4
org 1717
org 65423      ;floating point variable y in ram

```

```

dstst: mov c,a
      mov a,b
      cpi 0
      jp dspos
      cpi -1b
      jnz dsufl
      mov a,b
      cpi 0
      jn dsufl
      ret
;
dspos: jnz dsufl
      mov a,c
      cpi 0
      jn dsufl
      ret
dsufl: mvi a,4b
      ret
dsufl: mvi a,2b
      ret
      this realization consumes 9.110 watts of power
      and contains 18. chips.

```

2. Hardware Listing

```
central processing unit
device: intel 8080 8-bit microprocessor, ic 1
connections:
  pins 25,26,27,29,30,31,32,33,
        34,35, 1,40,37,38,39,36 = a(0:15)
  pins 10,9,8,7,3,4,5,6, = d(0:7)
  pin 21 = hlda
  pin 12 = reset
  pin 13 = hold
  pin 14 = int
  pin 16 = inte
  pin 17 = dbin
  pin 18 = wr-bar
  pin 19 = sync
  pin 22 = phi1
  pin 15 = phi2
  pin 23 = ready
  pin 24 = wait
  pin 2 = gnd
  pin 20 = +5v
  pin 11 = -5v
  pin 28 = +12v
clock generator (0.5 us)
device: intel 8224 clock generator and driver for 8080 cpu, ic 2
connections:
  pin 1 (reset) = reset
  pin 4 (ready) = ready
  pin 5 (sync) = sync
  pin 10 (phi2) = phi2
  pin 11 (phi1) = phi1
  pin 14,15 (xtal(1:2)) = device: 18 mhz crystal
  pin 16 (vcc) = +5v
  pin 9 (vdd) = +12v
  pin 8 (gnd) = gnd
  d(0:7) = db(0:7)
cpu status latch
```

```

y: ds 4
org 1717
org 65422 ;8 bit variable iy in ram
iy: db 0
org 1717
b22: db 0
db 168
db 56
db 64
b21: db 0
db 36
db 205
db 64
a22: db 0
db 8
db 49
db 64
a21: db 0
db 4
db 198
db 192
end

; =monitor section=
;
@spvsr: lhd @table
shld @pntr
@mlop : lhd @pntr
inx h
inx h
inx h
shld @pntr
pchl
; data section
org 65421
@pntr: dw 0

; software complete

; initialize table pointer
; to beginning
; main loop: get pntr
; increment
; the pointer by 3
; (bytes to bypass jmp)
; store pointer address for table
; begin execution at current entry

; table entry address pointer

```

```

org 1748
atable: dw @pntr
        jmp @teach
        jmp @tdata
        jmp @tnewdat
        jmp @toneout
        jmp @toneout
        jmp @spvsr
;
@teach: call @each
        lda each
        cpi 1
        cz @sample
        jmp @mlop
;
@tdata: call @data
        lda data
        cpi 1
        cz @read
        jmp @mlop
;
@tnewdat: call @newdat
        lda newdat
        cpi 1
        cz @fill1
        jmp @mlop
;
@toneout: call @toneout
        lda toneout
        cpi 1
        cz @fill2
        jmp @mlop
;
; routine to test if double length conversion to single length
; format has caused overflow or underflow
; enter with msbyte in b, lsbyte in a
        ; table header (define top)
        ; test for contingency each
        ; test for contingency data
        ; test for contingency newdat
        ; test for contingency oneout
        ; test for contingency oneout
        ; go to start of table
        ;execute contingency code each
        ;fetch contingency result
        ; and compare to true flag (1)
        ; execute task sample if true
        ; return to monitor
        ;execute contingency code data
        ;fetch contingency result
        ; and compare to true flag (1)
        ; execute task read if true
        ; return to monitor
        ;execute contingency code newdat
        ;fetch contingency result
        ; and compare to true flag (1)
        ; execute task fill1 if true
        ; return to monitor
        ;execute contingency code oneout
        ;fetch contingency result
        ; and compare to true flag (1)
        ; execute task fill2 if true
        ; return to monitor

```

```

device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 3
connections:
pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di (0:7)) = d(0:7)
pin 4 (do(1)) = inta
pin 6 (do(2)) = wr-bar
pin 8 (do(3)) = stac0
pin 10 (do(4)) = hlta
pin 15 (do(5)) = out
pin 17 (do(6)) = m1
pin 19 (do(7)) = inp
pin 21 (do(8)) = memr
condition-mode input interface hardware to sense signal dr
device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 4
connections:
pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di(1:8)) = dr(1:8), remainder to gnd
pins 4,6,8,10,15,17,19,21 (do(1:8)) = db(1:8)
pin 2 (md) = gnd
pin 11 (stb) = gnd
pin 1 (dsl-bar) = .not. (decode a(0:7) value 0)
pin 13 (ds2) = inp .and. dbin
pin 24 (vcc) = +5v
pin 12 (gnd) = gnd
condition-mode output interface hardware to issue signal: conv
device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 5
connections:
pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di(1:8)) = db(1:8)
pins 4,6,8,10,15,17,19,21 (do(1:8)) = conv(1:8) ;if 8 are req
pin 2 (md) = +5v
pin 11 (stb) = gnd
pin 1 (dsl-bar) = wr-bar
pin 13 (ds2) = out .and. (decode a(0:7) value 0)
pin 24 (vcc) = +5v
pin 12 (and) = gnd
condition-mode output interface hardware to issue signal: conv
device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 6
connections:

```

```

pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di(1:8)) = db(1:8)
pins 4,6,8,10,15,17,19,21 (do(1:8)) = conv(1:8) ; if 8 are req
pin 2 (md) = +5v
pin 11 (stb) = gnd
pin 1 (dsl-bar) = wr-bar
pin 13 (ds2) = out .and. (decode a(0:7) value 1)
pin 24 (vcc) = +5v
pin 12 (gnd) = and
connector j 1, for analog signal x,
16 pin dip socket
connections:
pin 1 = x6
pin 2 = x7
pin 3 = gnd grounded at signal source only
resistor r 1, 10000 ohms, 1/4 watt 1% metal film
pin 1 = x6
pin 2 = x1
resistor r 2, 10000 ohms, 1/4 watt 1% metal film
pin 1 = x7
pin 2 = x2
resistor r 3, 10000 ohms, 1/4 watt 1% metal film
pin 1 = x1
pin 2 = x5
resistor r 4, 10000 ohms, 1/4 watt 1% metal film
pin 1 = x2
pin 2 = gnd
capacitor, c 1, ceramic, 3200 pf
connections:
pin 1 = x1
pin 2 = x5
trimpot, r 5, 10000 ohms, 22t 1/2w cermet
pin 1 = x3
pin 2 = -15v (wiper)
pin 3 = x4 (cw end)
op amp, ic 7
device is analog devices ad741k

```

```

connections:
pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di(1:8)) = x(1:8), remainder to gnd
pins 4,6,8,10,15,17,19,21 (do(1:8)) = db(1:8)
pin 2 (md) = gnd
pin 11 (stb) = gnd
pin 1 (dsl-bar) = .not. (decode a(0:7) value 1)
pin 13 (ds2) = inp .and. dbin
pin 24 (vcc) = +5v
pin 12 (gnd) = gnd
math processor chip, ic10
type is intel c8231
connections:
pin 1 = gnd (vss)
pin 2 = +5v (vcc)
pin 3 = n.c. (/eack)
pin 4 = n.c. (/svack)
pin 5 = n.c. (svreq)
pin 6 = n.c. (reserved)
pin 7 = n.c. (reserved)
pin 8 = db0
pin 9 = db1
pin 10 = db2
pin 11 = db3
pin 12 = db4
pin 13 = db5
pin 14 = db6
pin 15 = db7
pin 16 = +12v (vdd)
pin 17 = ready
pin 18 = .not. (decode(a1-a7;value 2) (cs)
pin 19 = .not. iow (wbar)
pin 20 = .not for (rbar)
pin 21 = a0
pin 22 = reset
pin 23 = ph2 (clock)
pin 24 = n.c. (/end)

```

```

connections:
pin 1 = x3      (zero trimpot)
pin 2 = x1      (negative input)
pin 3 = x2      (positive input)
pin 4 = -15v
pin 5 = x4      (zero trimpot)
pin 6 = x5      ;(output)
pin 7 = +15v
pin 8 = n.c.    tab at pin 8

tripot, r 6, 200 ohms, 22t 1/2w cermet
pin 1 = gnd
pin 2 = x5      (wiper)
pin 3 = x41     (cw end)
a/d converter, 8 bit
device is analog devices ad570, ic 8

connections:
pin 1 = n.c.
pin 2 = x(0)    (1sb)
pin 3 = x(1)    (21sb)
pin 4 = x(2)    (31sb)
pin 5 = x(3)    (41sb)
pin 6 = x(4)    (51sb)
pin 7 = x(5)    (61sb)
pin 8 = x(6)    (71sb)
pin 9 = x(7)    (msb)
pin 10 = +5 volts
pin 11 = conv   (blank and .not. convert)
pin 12 = -15 volts
pin 13 = x41    (analog input)
pin 14 = gnd    (analog common)
pin 15 = gnd    (bipolar offset)
pin 16 = gnd    (digital common)
pin 17 = dr     (data ready)
pin 18 = n.c.

condition-mode input interface hardware to sense signal x
device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 9

```

connector j 2, for analog signal iy,
 16 pin dip socket
 connections:
 pin 1 = x70
 pin 2 = gnd
 pin 3 = open grounded at signal source only
 voltage follower, ic11
 device is lm310
 connections:
 pin 1 = n.c. (balance)
 pin 2 = n.c.
 pin 3 = x61 ;(input)
 pin 4 = -15v (v-)
 pin 5 = n.c. (booster)
 pin 6 = iy ;(output)
 pin 7 = +15v (vt)
 pin 8 = n.c. (balance. tab at pin 8)

8 bit dac, ic 12
 device is burr-brown dac82. laser trimmed, no adj reqd.
 connections:
 pin 3 = +15v
 pin 4 = x62(7)
 pin 5 = x62(6)
 pin 6 = x62(5)
 pin 7 = x62(4)
 pin 8 = x62(3)
 pin 9 = x62(2)
 pin 10 = x62(1)
 pin 11 = x62(0)
 pin 12 jumper to pin 15 to use internal current ref
 pin 13 = +15v
 pin 14 = gnd
 range dependent connections for 5000 to -5000 mv range
 pin 1 = gnd
 pin 2 = x61 ;(output)
 pin 16 jumper to pin 18

```

pin 17 jumper to pin 2
ttl inverter, element 1 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 1 = iy(1) ;(input)
    pin 2 = x62 ;(output)
    pin 7 = gnd
    pin 14 = +5v
ttl inverter, element 2 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 3 = x71 ;(input)
    pin 4 = x63 ;(output)
ttl inverter, element 3 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 5 = x72 ;(input)
    pin 6 = x64 ;(output)
ttl inverter, element 4 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 9 = x73 ;(input)
    pin 8 = x65 ;(output)
ttl inverter, element 5 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 11 = x74 ;(input)
    pin 10 = x66 ;(output)
ttl inverter, element 6 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 13 = x75 ;(input)
    pin 12 = x67 ;(output)
ttl inverter, element 1 of ic 14, 7404
    pin 1 = x76 ;(input)
    pin 2 = x68 ;(output)
    pin 7 = gnd
    pin 14 = +5v
ttl inverter, element 2 of ic 14, 7404
    pin 3 = x77 ;(input)
    pin 4 = x69 ;(output)
condition-mode output interface hardware to issue signal: x70
device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 15
connections:
    pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di(1:8)) = db(1:8)
    pins 4,6,8,10,15,17,19,21 (do(1:8)) = x70(1:8) ;if 8 are req
    pin 2 (md) = +5v
    pin 11 (stb) = gnd

```

```

pin 1 (dsl-bar) = wr-bar
pin 13 (ds2) = out .and. (decode a(0:7) value 5)
pin 24 (vcc) = +5v
pin 12 (gnd) = gnd
system memory
time delay to match 8111-2 latency to 8080
device: texas instruments sn74175 hex-quad d-type flip-flop with clear
ic 16
connection:
pin 9 (clock) = .not. phi2
pin 1 (clear) = .not. sync
pin 16 (vcc) = +5v
pin 8 (gnd) = gnd
pin 2 (1q) = q
pin 4 (1d) = +5v
ready = q .and. .not. memr
16k eprom, ic 17, 8 pages of 256 words, starting at page 0
device is 2716 (do not use ti -- different pinout)
connections:
pin 1 = a(8)
pin 2 = a(7)
pin 3 = a(6)
pin 4 = a(5)
pin 5 = a(4)
pin 6 = a(3)
pin 7 = a(2)
pin 8 = a(1)
pin 9 = db(1)
pin 10 = db(2)
pin 11 = db(3)
pin 12 = grd
pin 13 = db(4)
pin 14 = db(5)
pin 15 = db(6)
pin 16 = db(7)
pin 17 = db(8)

```

```

pin 18 = grd          (pd/pgm)
pin 19 = a(11)
pin 20 = .not.(decode(a(12:16) value 0) .and. .not. rdbar)
pin 21 = +5v          (vpp)
pin 22 = a(10)
pin 23 = a(9)
pin 24 = +5v

random access memory (lower half of page 255)
device: intel 8111-2 1024 bit (256*4) static mos ram, ic 18
connections:
pins 4,3,2,1,17,5,6,7 (a(0:7)) = a(0:7)
pin 9 (od) = dbin
pin 16 (rw) = rw
pin 15 (ce1-bar) = ce
pin 10 (ce2-bar) = gnd
pins 11,12,13,14 (io(1:4)) = db(0:3)
ce = (.not. (out .and. wr-bar) .or. memr)
      .and. ( .not. (decode a(8:15) value 255))

pin 18 (vcc) = +5v
pin 8 (gnd) = gnd

random access memory (upper half of page 255)
device: intel 8111-2 1024 bit (256*4) static mos ram, ic 19
connection:
pins 4,3,2,1,17,5,6,7 (a(0:7)) = a(0:7)
pin 9 (od) = dbin
pin 16 (rw) = rw
pin 15 (ce1-bar) = ce
pin 10 (ce2-bar) = gnd
pins 11,12,13,14 (io(1:4)) = db (4:7)
pin 18 (vcc) = +5v
pin 8 (gnd) = gnd

```

APPENDIX B

DATA, PARALLEL REALIZATION

This is the input and output data for the parallel filter problem developed in chapter five. The timing constraints were increased to ninety milliseconds to permit successful generation of a realization. The design program attempted to generate a dual processor realization for the original specification, but a system error prevented completion of the output.

A. INPUT DATA

1. CSDL Listing

"This is a parallel realization"
"of the fourth order rate filter"
"as presented in the article"
"by Nagle and Nelson in the"
"February 1981 issued of IEEE COMPUTER."

IDENTIFICATION:

Designer: M. R. Heilstedt
Date: 7 April 1983
Project: Sample Parallel Filter Problem

ENVIRONMENT;

Input:X,8,TTL;DR,1,TTL;
Output:Y,8,TTL;CONV,1,TTL;
Arithmetic:Y1,8;M11,8;M12,8;M13,8;

Q1A,24;Q2A,24;Q1B,24;Q2B,24;M21,8;M22,8;M23,8;
GO,1;VAR1,1;VAR2,1;CTR1,1;CTR2,1;

CONTINGENCY LIST:

"Initiate sequence every 60 milliseconds:
EVERY 60ms do SETFLG
"Sample Signal once each period"
EVERY 60ms do SAMPLE

```

"Check for data available after sample converted"
  When DATA:60ms do READ
"Set flags for parallel operations when ready"
  When LOOP:60ms do FORK
"First parallel filter function"
  When C1:60ms do FIL1
"Second parallel filter function"
  When C2:60ms do FIL2
"Add results of each function and issue sum"
  When C3:60ms do PLUS

```

PROCEDURES;

```

Contingency DATA
  Binary DATA,1;
  Sense (DR);
  If DR=0 then DATA:=1;
Exit DATA

```

```

Contingency LOOP
  Binary LOOP,1;
  If GO=1 then LOOP:=1;
  GO=0;
Exit LOOP

```

```

Contingency C1
  Binary C1,1;
  If VAR1=1 then C1:=1;
  VAR1:=0;
Exit C1

```

```

Contingency C2
  Binary C2,1;
  If VAR2=1 then C2:=1;
  VAR2:=0;
Exit C2

```

```

Function C3
  Binary C3,1;
  If CTR1.gt.0 .and. CTR2.gt.0 then C3:=1;
Exit C3

```

```

Task SAMPLE
  CONV:=0;
  Issue(CONV);
  CONV:=1;
  Issue(CONV);
Exit SAMPLE

```

Task READ

DATA:=0;
Sense(X);
GO:=1;

Exit FORK

Task FIL1

C1:=0;
M11:=X+(1.823*M12)-(0.896*M13);
Q1B:=(1.264*M11)-(1.748*M12);
M13:=M12;
M12:=M11;
CTR1:=CTR1+1;

Exit FIL1

Task FIL2

C2L=0;
M21:=X+(1.205*M22)-(0.444*M23);
Q2B:=(1.673*M21)-(1.569*M22);
M23:=M22;
M22:=M21;
CTR2:=CTR2+1;

Exit FIL2

Task PLUS

C3:=0;
Q1A:=Q1B;
Y:=1.0-(8.0*Q1A)-(4.0*Q2A);
Issue(Y);
CTR1:=CTR1-1;
CTR2:=CTR2-1;

Exit PLUS

2. IADEFL File

```

a 001 :system      :ms:
a 002 :each        :ms:
a 003 :data        :ms:
a 004 :loop        :ms:
a 005 :c1          :ms:
a 006 :c2          :ms:
a 007 :c3          :ms:
d:frst:1,2,3:1,2,3:
i i SAMPLE PARALLEL FILTER PROBLEM

```

3. Primitive Listing

```

p      t. generated for:system      *****
p      s.main      (:)
p      s.start      (:)
p      t. generated for:each      *****
p      s.every      (each:~)
p      s.var      (each:8)
p      t. generated for:data      *****
p      s.proc      (data:~)
p      s.fixedwait (25:~)
p      s.sensecond (dr:1,1)
p      s.eq      (@t2,dr,@c001:8,1,1)
p      s.jmpf      (@t2,@1001:8)
p      s.assigncons(data,1:1,1)
p      s.loc      (@1001:~)
p      s.exitproc  (data,0:~)
p      s.cons      (@c001,0:1)
p      s.var      (@t2:8)
p      s.var      (data:1)
p      s.var      (dr:1)
p      t. generated for:loop      *****
p      s.proc      (loop:~)
p      s.eq      (@t3,go,@c002:8,1,1)
p      s.jmpf      (@t3,@1002:8)
p      s.assigncons(loop,1:1,1)
p      s.assigncons(go,0:1,1)
p      s.loc      (@1002:~)
p      s.exitproc  (loop,0:~)
p      s.cons      (@c002,1:1)
p      s.var      (@t3:8)
p      s.var      (loop:1)
p      s.var      (go:1)
p      t. generated for:c1      *****
p      s.proc      (c1:~)
p      s.eq      (@t4,var1,@c003:8,1,1)
p      s.jmpf      (@t4,@1003:8)
p      s.assigncons(c1,1:1,1)
p      s.assigncons(var1,0:1,1)
p      s.loc      (@1003:~)
p      s.exitorproc (c1,0:~)
p      s.cons      (@c003,1:1)
p      s.var      (@t4:8)
p      s.var      (c1:1)
p      s.var      (var1:1)
p      t. generated for:c2      *****
p      s.proc      (c2:~)
p      s.eq      (@t5,var2,@c004:8,1,1)
p      s.jmpf      (@t5,@1004:8)
p      s.assigncons(c2,1:1,1)

```

```

o   s.assigncons(var2,0:1,1)
o   s.loc         (@1004::)
o   s.exitproc    (c2,0::)
o   s.cons        (@c004,1:1,1)
o   s.var         (@t5:8)
o   s.var         (var2:1)
o   s.var         (c2:1)
o   t. generated for:c3          *****
o   s.proc        (c3::)
o   s.gt          (@t6,ctr1,@c005:8,8,8)
o   s.jmpf        (@t6,@1005:8)
o   s.gt          (@t6,ctr2,@c005:8,8,8)
o   s.jmpf        (@t6,@1005:8)
o   s.assigncons(c3,1:1,1)
o   s.sub         (ctr1,ctr1,dec:8,8,8)
o   s.sub         (ctr2,ctr2,dec:8,8,8)
o   s.loc         (@1005::)
o   s.exitproc    (c3,0::)
o   s.cons        (@c005,0:8)
o   s.var         (@t6:8)
o   s.var         (c3:1)
o   s.var         (ctr1:8)
o   s.var         (ctr2:8)
o   s.cons        (dec,1:8:)
o   t. generated for:sample      *****
o   s.proc        (sample::)
o   s.assigncons(conv,0:1,1)
o   s.issuecond  (conv:1,2)
o   s.assigncons(conv,1:1,1)
o   s.issuecond  (conv:1,2)
o   s.exitproc    (sample,each::)
o   s.var         (conv:1)
o   t. generated for:read        *****
o   s.proc        (read::)
o   s.assigncons(data,0:1,1)
o   s.anain       (insig,10,-10,1:8:)
o   s.assigncons(go,1:1,1)
o   s.exitproc    (read,data::)
o   s.var         (insig:8)
o   t. generated for:fork        *****
o   s.proc        (fork::)
o   s.assigncons(loop,0:1,1)
o   s.assigncons(var1,1:1,1)
o   s.assigncons(var2,1:1,1)
o   s.exitproc    (fork,loop::)
o   t. generated for:fill        *****
o   s.proc        (fill::)
o   s.assigncons(c1,0:1,1)
o   s.fmul        (m1a,a11,m12:24,24,24)
o   s.fmul        (m1b,a12,m13:24,24,24)

```

```

o      s.fsub      (ma,m1a,m1b:24,24,24)
o      s.float     (sigin,insig:8)
o      s.fadd      (m11,sigin,ma:24,24,24)
o      s.fmul      (m1a,m12,b11:24,24,24)
o      s.fmul      (m1b,m11,b12:24,24,24)
o      s.fsub      (q1b,m1b,m1a:24,24,24)
o      s.fassign    (m13,m12:24,24)
o      s.fassign    (m12,m11:24,24)
o      s.add        (ctrl,ctrl,inc:8,8,8)
o      s.exitproc   (fil1,c1::)
o      s.cons       (inc,1:8)
o      s.var        (q1b:24)
o      s.var        (m1a:24)
o      s.var        (m12:24)
o      s.var        (m1b:24)
o      s.var        (m13:24)
o      s.var        (ma:24)
o      s.var        (sigin:8)
o      s.fcons      (a11,0,246,208,64:24)
o      s.fcons      (a12,0,212,239,192:24)
o      s.fcons      (b11,0,172,244,192:24)
o      s.fcons      (b12,0,172,114,64:24)
o      t. generated for:fil2      *****
o      s.proc        (fil2::)
o      s.assigncons(c2,0:1,1)
o      s.fmul        (m2a,m22,a21:24,24,24)
o      s.fmul        (m2b,m23,a22:24,24,24)
o      s.fsub        (mb,m2a,m2b:24,24,24)
o      s.float       (intx,insig:8)
o      s.fadd        (m21,intx,mb:24,24,24)
o      s.fmul        (m2a,m21,b21:24,24,24)
o      s.fmul        (m2b,m22,b22:24,24,24)
o      s.fsub        (q2b,m2a,m2b:24,24,24)
o      s.fassign      (m23,m22:24,24)
o      s.fassign      (m22,m21:24,24)
o      s.add          (ctr2,ctr2,inc:8,8,8)
o      s.exitproc     (fil2,c2::)
o      s.var          (q2b:24)
o      s.var          (m2a:24)
o      s.var          (m22:24)
o      s.var          (m2b:24)
o      s.var          (m23:24)
o      s.var          (mb:24)
o      s.var          (intx:8)
o      s.var          (m21:24)
o      s.fcons        (a21,0,24,235,64:24)
o      s.fcons        (a22,0,104,228,192:24)
o      s.fcons        (b21,0,34,205,192:24)
o      s.fcons        (b22,0,202,56,64:24)
o      t. generated for:plus      *****

```

```

D      s.proc      (plus::)
D      s.assigncons(c3,0:1,1)
O      s.fassign   (q1a,q1b::)
D      s.fassign   (q2a,q2b::)
O      s.fmul      (y11,q1a,oa:24,24,24)
O      s.fmul      (y22,q2a,ob:24,24,24)
D      s.fsub      (yy,y11,y22:24,24,24)
D      s.fsub      (y,pc,vy:24,24,24)
D      s.fix       (iy,y:8)
D      s.anaout    (iy,25,-25:8:)
D      s.exitproc  (plus,c3::)
D      s.var       (q1a:24)
D      s.var       (q2a:24)
D      s.var       (iy:8)
D      s.fcons     (oa,0,0,64,194:24)
D      s.fcons     (ob,0,0,192,193:24)
D      s.fcons     (pc,0,0,192,128:24)
D      s.end       (::)

```

B. OUTPUT DATA

1. Software Listing

```
;
;
; + intel 8080 based system +
;
; SAMPLE PARALLEL FILTER PROBLEM
;
;
;
;
;
;
; @stak
; org 65503 ;estabish stack in first ram
; ds 32 ; define stack area
; lxi sp,@stak+32 ; initialize stack pointer
; org 64 ;begin code after reserved interrupt area
; jmp @spvsvr ;force monitor execution
; dummy procedure for every-period type contingency
@each: nop ; dummy function entry point
; mvi a,l ; force function value
; sta each ; to true value (l)
; ret ; return to monitor
; org 65502 ;8 bit variable each in ram
;
; each: db 0
; org 74
;
; ; procedure data
; @data: nop
; mvi a,0 ;entry point for data
; mvi a, 2 ; wait 25 us
; dcr c ; load loop cnt
; dcr a ; delay
; jnz $-2 ; decrement loop count
; in 0 ; sense environmental data
; sta dr ; and store in dr
; if dr .eq. @c001 set @t2 true
; lxi h, @t2 ; preset @t2
; mvi m,l ; to true (l)
; lda dr ; fetch first argument
; lxi h, @c001 ; compare
```

```

cmp      ;
jz       ; set @t2
;
lxi      ; to false (0)
mvi      ; if args are not equal
lda      ; fetch @t2 and
cpi      ; test for false ( 0)
jz       ; branch to @1001 if false
mvi      ; assign constant 1
sta      ; to data
;
@1001:   ; define location @1001
nop      ; return to monitor, exit data
ret
;
@ac001:  db 0 ;8 bit variable @t2 in ram
org 65501
@t2:    db 0
org 130
org 65500 ;8 bit variable data in ram
data:   db 0
org 130
org 65499 ;8 bit variable dr in ram
dr:     db 0
org 130

; procedure loop
@loop:  nop
; if go .eq. @c002 set @t3 true
lxi     h, @t3 ; preset @t3
mvi     m, 1   ; to true (1)
lda     q0     ; fetch first argument
lxi     h, @c002 ; compare
cmp      ; to second argument
jz       ; set @t3
lxi     h, @t3 ; to false (0)
mvi     m, 0   ; if args are not equal
lda     @t3    ; fetch @t3 and
cpi     0      ; test for false (0)
jz       ; branch to @1002 if false
@1002

```

```

mvi a, 1
sta loop
mvi a, 0
sta go
nop
ret
; assign constant 1
; to loop
; assign constant 0
; to go
; define location @1002
; return to monitor, exit loop
@1002:
@c002: db 1
org 65498
; 8 bit variable @t3 in ram
@t3: db 0
org 177
; 8 bit variable loop in ram
loop: db 0
org 177
; 8 bit variable go in ram
go: db 0
org 177

; procedure c1
@c1: nop
; if var1 .eq. @c003 set @t4 true
; entry point for c1
; preset @t4
; to true (1)
; fetch first argument
; compare
; to second argument
; set @t4
; to false (0)
; if args are not equal
; fetch @t4 and
; test for false (0)
; branch to @1003 if false
; assign constant 1
; to c1
; assign constant 0
; to var1
; define location @1003
@1003: nop

```

```

ret
@c003: db 1
org 65495
at4: db 0
org 224
org 65494
c1: db 0
org 224
org 65493
var1: db 0
org 224

; procedure c2
@c2: nop
; if var2 .eq. @c004 set @t5 true
; entry point for c2
; preset @t5
; to true (1)
; fetch first argument
; compare
; to second argument
; set @t5
; to false (0)
; if args are not equal
; fetch @t5 and
; test for false (0)
; branch to @1004 if false
; assign constant 1
; to c2
; assign constant 0
; to var2
; define location @1004
; return to monitor, exit c2

@1004: nop
ret
@c004: db 1
org 65492
at5: db 0
org 271
; 8 bit variable @t5 in ram

```

```

    org 65491
var2: db 0
    org 271
    org 65490
c2: db 0
    org 271

; procedure c3
; @c3: nop
    lxi h, @t6
    mvi m, 1
    lda ctrl
    lxi h, @c005
    cmp m
    jnc $+6
    lxi h, @t6
    mvi m, 0
    lda @t6
    cpi 0
    jz @1005
    lxi h, @t6
    mvi m, 1
    lda ctrl
    lxi h, @c005
    cmp m
    jnc $+6
    lxi h, @t6
    mvi m, 0
    lda @t6
    cpi 0
    jz @1005
    mvi a, 1
    sta c3
    lda ctrl
    lxi h, dec
    sub m

; entry point for c3
; preset @t6
; to true (1)
; fetch first argument
; compare
; to second argument
; set @t6
; to false (0)
; if arg1 not greater than arg2
; fetch @t6 and
; test for false (0)
; branch to @1005 if false
; preset @t6
; to true (1)
; fetch first argument
; compare
; to second argument
; set @t6
; to false (0)
; if arg1 not greater than arg2
; fetch @t6 and
; test for false (0)
; branch to @1005 if false
; assign constant 1
; to c3
; fetch argument1
; fetch argument2
; subtract

```

```

sta ctrl
lda ctr2
lxi h, dec
sub m
sta ctr2
a1005: nop
ret
a005: db 0
      org 65489
      at6: db 0
      org 361
      org 65488
      c3: db 0
      org 361
      org 65487
      ctrl: db 0
      org 361
      org 65486
      ctr2: db 0
      org 361
      dec: db 1
;
; procedure sample
a0sample: nop
      mvi a, 0
      sta conv
      lda conv
      out 0
      mvi a, 1
      sta conv
      lda conv
      out 1
      ret
      org 65485
      conv: db 0
      org 369
; store answer in ctrl
; fetch argument1
; fetch argument2
; subtract
; store answer in ctr2
; define location a1005
; return to monitor, exit c3
;8 bit variable at6 in ram
;8 bit variable c3 in ram
;8 bit variable ctrl in ram
;8 bit variable ctr2 in ram
;entry point for sample
; assign constant 0
; to conv
; issue control
; data through signal conv
; assign constant 1
; to conv
; issue control
; data through signal conv
; return to monitor, exit sample
;8 bit variable conv in ram

```

```

; ; procedure read
; @read: nop
;     mvi    a, 0
;     sta    data
; ; analog input channel for signal insig, range 10 to -10 volts,
; ; 3db rolloff at 1 khz
;     in     1
;     sta    insig
;     mvi    a, 1
;     sta    qo
;     ret
;     org    65484
; insig: db 0
;         org 411
; ; procedure fork
; @fork: nop
;     mvi    a, 0
;     sta    loop
;     mvi    a, 1
;     sta    var1
;     mvi    a, 1
;     sta    var2
;     ret
; ; procedure fill
; @fill: nop
;     mvi    a, 0
;     sta    c1
; ; multiply f.p. all by m12 to get m1a
;     lda    all
;     out    2
;     lda    all+1
;     out    2
;     lda    all+2
; ; entry point for read
; ; assign constant 0
; ;     to data
; ; signal insig, range 10 to -10 volts,
; ; sense environmental data
; ; and store in insig
; ; assign constant 1
; ;     to go
; ; return to monitor, exit read
; ; 8 bit variable insig in ram
; ; entry point for fork
; ; assign constant 0
; ;     to loop
; ; assign constant 1
; ;     to var1
; ; assign constant 1
; ;     to var2
; ; return to monitor, exit fork
; ; entry point for fill
; ; assign constant 0
; ;     to c1
; ; load arguments

```

```

out 2
lda a11+3
out 2
lda m12
out 2
lda m12+1
out 2
lda m12+2
out 2
lda m12+3
out 2
mvi a,22b
out 3
in 2
sta m1a+3
in 2
sta m1a+2
in 2
sta m1a+1
in 2
sta m1a
in 3
ani 36b
jz $+8
push psw
mvi a,7b
call error
;multiply f.p. a12 by m13 to get m1b
lda a12
out 2
lda a12+1
out 2
lda a12+2
out 2
lda a12+3
out 2

;output command
;unload result

;check for error status
; mask error code
;no error, continue
;error, call error routine
;identify error source

```

```

lda m13
out 2
lda m13+1
out 2
lda m13+2
out 2
lda m13+3
out 2
mvi a,22b
out 3
in 2
sta m1b+3
in 2
sta m1b+2
in 2
sta m1b+1
in 2
sta m1b
in 3
ani 36b
jz $+8
push psw
mvi a,7b
call error
;subtract f.p. m1b from m1a to get ma
lda m1a
out 2
lda m1a+1
out 2
lda m1a+2
out 2
lda m1a+3
out 2
lda m1b
out 2
lda m1b+1

;output command
;unload result

;check for error status
; mask error code
;no error, continue
;error, call error routine
;identify error source

```

```

out 2
lda mlb+2
out 2
lda mlb+3
out 2
mvi a,21b
out 3
in 2
sta mat3
in 2
sta mat2
in 2
sta mat1
in 2
sta ma
in 3
ani 36b
jz $+8
push psw
mvi a,6b
call error
;convert integer insiq to floating point sign
lda insig
out 2
cpi 0
jp $+8
mvi a,377b
jmp $+2
xra a
out 2
mvi a,35b
out 3
in 2
sta sigint+3
in 2
sta sigint+2

;output command
;unload result

;check for error status
; mask error code
;no error, continue
;error, call error routine
;identify error source

;set flags
;negative, extend sign
;positive, clear the msbyte
;load the argument dummy msbyte
;output command
;unload result

```

```

in      2
sta     sigint+1
in      2
sta     sigin
in      3
ani     36b
jz      $+8
push    psw
mvi     a,11b
call     error
;add f.p. sigin to ma to get m11
lda     sigin
out      2
lda     sigint+1
out      2
lda     sigint+2
out      2
lda     sigint+3
out      2
lda     ma
out      2
lda     mat+1
out      2
lda     mat+2
out      2
lda     mat+3
out      2
mvi     a,20b
out      3
in      2
sta     m11+3
in      2
sta     m11+2
in      2
sta     m11+1
in      2
;check error code
;mask the error code field
;no error, continue
; error, call error routine
; identify source of error

;output command
;unload result

```

```

sta m11
in 3
ani 36b
jz $+8
push psr
mvi a,5b
call error
;multiply f.p. m12 by b11 to get m1a
lda m12
out 2
lda m12+1
out 2
lda m12+2
out 2
lda m12+3
out 2
lda b11
out 2
lda b11+1
out 2
lda b11+2
out 2
lda b11+3
out 2
mvi a,22b
out 3
in 2
sta m1a+3
in 2
sta m1a+2
in 2
sta m1a+1
in 2
sta m1a
in 3
ani 36b

;check for error status
; mask error code
;no error, continue
;error, call error routine
;identify error source

;output command
;unload result

;check for error status
; mask error code

```

```

jz    $+8           ;no error, continue
push  psw           ;error, call error routine
mvi   a,7b         ;identify error source
call  error
;multiply f.p. m11 by b12 to get m1b
lda   m11           ;load arguments
out   2
lda   m11+1
out   2
lda   m11+2
out   2
lda   m11+3
out   2
lda   b12
out   2
lda   b12+1
out   2
lda   b12+2
out   2
lda   b12+3
out   2
mvi   a,22b        ;output command
out   3
in    2            ;unload result
sta   m1b+3
in    2
sta   m1b+2
in    2
sta   m1b+1
in    2
sta   m1b
in    3            ;check for error status
ani   36b          ; mask error code
jz    $+8           ;no error, continue
push  psw           ;error, call error routine
mvi   a,7b         ;identify error source

```

```

call error
;subtract f.p. mla from m1b to get q1b
lda m1b
out 2
lda m1b+1
out 2
lda m1b+2
out 2
lda m1b+3
out 2
lda m1a
out 2
lda m1a+1
out 2
lda m1a+2
out 2
lda m1a+3
out 2
mvi a,21b ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta q1b+3
in 2
sta q1b+2
in 2
sta q1b+1
in 2
sta q1b
in 3 ;check for error status
; mask error code
ani 36b
jz $+8
push psw
mvi a,6b
call error
lhld m12
shld m13 ;assign value of f.p m12 to m13

```

```

lhd m12+2
shd m13+2
lhd m11
shd m12
lhd m11+2
shd m12+2
lda ctrl
lxi h, inc ; fetch first argumenp
add m ; fetch second argument ; add
sta ctrl ; store answer in ctrl
ret ; return to monitor, exit fill

inc: db 1
org 65480 ;floating point variable qlb in ram
qlb: ds 4
org 1074
org 65476 ;floating point variable mla in ram
mla: ds 4
org 1074
org 65472 ;floating point variable m12 in ram
m12: ds 4
org 1074
org 65468 ;floating point variable m1b in ram
m1b: ds 4
org 1074
org 65464 ;floating point variable m13 in ram
m13: ds 4
org 1074
org 65460 ;floating point variable ma in ram
ma: ds 4
org 1074
org 65459 ;8 bit variable sign in ram
sign: db 0
org 1074
all: db 0
db 246
db 208

```

```

        db 64
a12: db 0
        db 212
        db 239
        db 192
b11: db 0
        db 172
        db 244
        db 192
b12: db 0
        db 172
        db 114
        db 64
;
; procedure fil2
; entry point for fil2
; multiply f.p. m22 by a21 to get m2a
; assign constant 0 to c2
; load arguments
        mvi a, 0
        sta c2
        lda m22
        out 2
        lda m22+1
        out 2
        lda m22+2
        out 2
        lda m22+3
        out 2
        lda a21
        out 2
        lda a21+1
        out 2
        lda a21+2
        out 2
        lda a21+3
        out 2
        mvi a, 22b
; output command

```

```

out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta m2a+3
in 2
sta m2a+2
in 2
sta m2a+1
in 2
sta m2a
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b ;identify error source
call error
;multiply f.p. m23 by a22 to get m2b
lda m23 ;load arguments
out 2
lda m23+1
out 2
lda m23+2
out 2
lda m23+3
out 2
lda a22
out 2
lda a22+1
out 2
lda a22+2
out 2
lda a22+3
out 2
mvi a,22b ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta m2b+3

```

```

in      2
sta m2b+2
in      2
sta m2b+1
in      2
sta m2b
in      3      ;check for error status
ani 36b      ; mask error code
jz $+8      ;no error, continue
push psw      ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b      ;identify error source
call error
;subtract f.p. m2b from m2a to get mb
lda m2a      ;load arguments
out 2
lda m2a+1
out 2
lda m2a+2
out 2
lda m2a+3
out 2
lda m2b
out 2
lda m2b+1
out 2
lda m2b+2
out 2
lda m2b+3
out 2
mvi a,21b      ;output command
out 3
in 2      ;unload result
sta mb+3
in 2
sta mb+2
in 2

```

```

sta mb+1
in 2
sta mb
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,6b ;identify error source
call error
;convert integer insiq to floating point intx
lda insig ;load argument lsbyte
out 2 ;
cpi 0 ;set flags
jp $+8 ;negative, extend sign
mvi a,377b ;positive, clear the msbyte
jmp $+2 ;load the argument dummy msbyte
xra a ;output command
out 2
mvi a,35b
out 3
in 2
sta intx+3 ;unload result
in 2
sta intx+2
in 2
sta intx+1
in 2
sta intx
in 3 ;check error code
ani 36b ;mask the error code field
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ; error, call error routine
mvi a,11b ; identify source of error
call error
;add f.p. intx to mb to get m21
lda intx ;load arguments

```

```

out      2      intx+1
lda      2
out      2
lda      2      intx+2
out      2
lda      2      intx+3
out      2
lda      2      mb
out      2
lda      2      mb+1
out      2
lda      2      mb+2
out      2
lda      2      mb+3
out      2
mvi      a,20b      ;output command
out      3
in      2      ;unload result
sta      m21+3
in      2
sta      m21+2
in      2
sta      m21+1
in      2
sta      m21
in      3      ;check for error status
ani      36b      ; mask error code
jz      $+8      ;no error, continue
push     psw      ;error, call error routine
mvi      a,5b      ;identify error source
call     error
;multiply f.p. m21 by b21 to get m2a
lda      m21
out      2
lda      m21+1
out      2

```

```

lda m21+2
out 2
lda m21+3
out 2
lda b21
out 2
lda b21+1
out 2
lda b21+2
out 2
lda b21+3
out 2
mvi a,22b ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta m2a+3
in 2
sta m2a+2
in 2
sta m2a+1
in 2
sta m2a
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b ;identify error source
call error
;multiply f.p. m22 by b22 to get m2b
lda m22 ;load arguments
out 2
lda m22+1
out 2
lda m22+2
out 2
lda m22+3

```

```

out 2
lda b22
out 2
lda b22+1
out 2
lda b22+2
out 2
lda b22+3
out 2
mvi a,22b ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta m2b+3
in 2
sta m2b+2
in 2
sta m2b+1
in 2
sta m2b
in 3 ;check for error status
; mask error code
ani 36b
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b ;identify error source
call error
;subtract f.p. m2b from m2a to get q2b
lda m2a ;load arguments
out 2
lda m2a+1
out 2
lda m2a+2
out 2
lda m2a+3
out 2
lda m2b
out 2

```

```

lda m2b+1
out 2
lda m2b+2
out 2
lda m2b+3
out 2
mvi a,21b ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta q2b+3
in 2
sta q2b+2
in 2
sta q2b+1
in 2
sta q2b
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,6b ;identify error source
call error
lhld m22 ;assign value of f.p m22 to m23
shld m23
lhld m22+2
shld m23+2
lhld m21
shld m22 ;assign value of f.p m21 to m22
lhld m21+2
shld m22+2
lda ctr2 ; fetch first argument
lxi h, inc ; fetch second argument
add m ; add
sta ctr2 ; store answer in ctr2
ret ; return to monitor, exit fil2
org 65455 ;floating point variable q2b in ram

```

```

q2b: ds 4
org 1730
org 65451
m2a: ds 4
org 1730
org 65447
m22: ds 4
org 1730
org 65443
m2b: ds 4
org 1730
org 65439
m23: ds 4
org 1730
org 65435
mb: ds 4
org 1730
org 65434
intx: db 0
org 1730
org 65430
m21: ds 4
org 1730
a21: db 0
db 24
db 235
db 64
a22: db 0
db 104
db 228
db 192
b21: db 0
db 34
db 205
db 192
b22: db 0
;floating point variable m2a in ram
;floating point variable m22 in ram
;floating point variable m2b in ram
;floating point variable m23 in ram
;floating point variable mb in ram
;8 bit variable intx in ram
;floating point variable m21 in ram

```

```

db 202
db 56
db 64

; procedure plus
@plus: nop
    mvi a, 0 ;entry point for plus
    sta c3 ; assign constant 0
    lhd q1b ;assign value of f.p q1b to q1a
    shld q1a
    lhd q1b+2
    shld q1a+2
    lhd q2b
    shld q2a
    lhd q2b+2
    shld q2a+2
    ;multiply f.p. q1a by pa to get y11
    lda q1a ;load arguments
    out 2
    lda q1a+1
    out 2
    lda q1a+2
    out 2
    lda q1a+3
    out 2
    lda pa
    out 2
    lda pa+1
    out 2
    lda pa+2
    out 2
    lda pa+3
    out 2
    mvi a, 22b ;output command
    out 3
    in 2 ;unload result

```

```

sta y11+3
in 2
sta y11+2
in 2
sta y11+1
in 2
sta y11
in 3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b ;identify error source
call error
;multiply f.p. q2a by pb to get y22
lda q2a ;load arguments
out 2
lda q2a+1
out 2
lda q2a+2
out 2
lda q2a+3
out 2
lda pb
out 2
lda pb+1
out 2
lda pb+2
out 2
lda pb+3
out 2
mvi a,22h ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta y22+3
in 2
sta y22+2

```

```

in      2
sta y22+1
in      2
sta y22
in      3 ;check for error status
ani 36b ; mask error code
jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,7b ;identify error source
call error
;subtract f.p. y22 from y11 to get yy
lda y11 ;load arguments
out 2
lda y11+1
out 2
lda y11+2
out 2
lda y11+3
out 2
lda y22
out 2
lda y22+1
out 2
lda y22+2
out 2
lda y22+3
out 2
mvi a,21b ;output command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
sta yy+3
in 2
sta yy+2
in 2
sta yy+1
in 2

```

```

sta yy      ;check for error status
in 3        ; mask error code
ani 36b     ;no error, continue
jz $+8      ;error, call error routine
push psw    ;identify error source
mvi a,6b
call error
;subtract f.p. yy from pc to get y
lda pc      ;load arguments
out 2
lda pc+1
out 2
lda pc+2
out 2
lda pc+3
out 2
lda yy
out 2
lda yy+1
out 2
lda yy+2
out 2
lda yy+3
out 2
mvi a,21b   ;output command
out 3
in 2        ;unload result
sta y+3
in 2
sta y+2
in 2
sta y+1
in 2
sta y
in 3        ;check for error status
ani 36b     ; mask error code

```

```

jz $+8 ;no error, continue
push psw ;error, call error routine
mvi a,6b ;identify error source
call error
;convert floating bit y to integer iy
lda y ;load arguments
out 2
lda y+1
out 2
lda y+2
out 2
lda y+3
out 2
mvi a,37b ;load command
out 3
in 2 ;unload result
mov b,a
in 2
sta iy
call dstat
jz $+8 ;no error detected
push psw ;error, call the error routine
mvi a,32b ; send a code to indicate source of error
push psw
call error
in 3 ; check chip for error status
ani 36b
jz $+8
push psw
mvi a,32b
push psw
call error
analog output channel for signal iy, range 25 to -25
lda x70 ; issue control
out 5 ; data through signal x70
ret ; return to monitor, exit plus

```

```

org 65426      ;floating point variable q1a in ram
q1a: ds 4
org 2154
org 65422      ;floating point variable q2a in ram
q2a: ds 4
org 2154
org 65421      ;8 bit variable iy in ram
iy: db 0
org 2154
pa: db 0
      db 0
      db 64
      db 194
pb: db 0
      db 0
      db 192
      db 193
pc: db 0
      db 0
      db 192
      db 128
      end

;
; =monitor section=
;
@spvsr: lhd @table
      shld @pntr
@mlop : lhd @pntr
      inx h
      inx h
      inx h
      shld @pntr
      pchl
;      data section
      org 65420
@pntr: dw 0

; software complete

; initialize table pointer
; to beginning
; main loop: get pntr
; increment
; the pointer by 3
; (bytes to bypass jmp)
; store pointer address for table
; begin execution at current entry

; table entry address pointer

```

```

; table header (define top)
; test for contingency each
; test for contingency data
; test for contingency loop
; test for contingency c1
; test for contingency c2
; test for contingency c3
; test for contingency c3
; go to start of table
jmp @spvsr

;
@teach:call @each
lda each
cpi 1
cz @sample
jmp @mloop

;
@tdata:call @data
lda data
cpi 1
cz @aread
jmp @mloop

;
@tloop:call @loop
lda loop
cpi 1
cz @fork
jmp @mloop

;
@tc1:call @c1
lda c1
cpi 1
cz @fill
jmp @mloop

;
@tc2:call @c2
; execute contingency code c2

```

```

lda c2          ;fetch contingency result
cpi 1           ; and compare to true flag (1)
cz @f1l2       ; execute task f1l2 if true
jmp @mlop       ; return to monitor

;
atc3:call @c3   ;execute contingency code c3
lda c3         ;fetch contingency result
cpi 1           ; and compare to true flag (1)
cz @plus       ; execute task plus if true
jmp @mlop       ; return to monitor

;
; routine to test if double length conversion to single length
; format has caused overflow or underflow
; enter with msbyte in b, lsbyte in a
dstst: mov c,a
mov a,b
cpi 0           ;set flags
jp dspos       ;test for pos
cpi -1b        ;test for -1
jnz dsufl      ;underflow detected
mov a,b        ;ok so far, test sign of lsbyte
cpi 0           ;
jn dsufl       ;underflow by sign error
ret            ; ok, return

;
dspos: jnz dsouf1
mov a,c
cpi 0           ;+ overflow
jn dsouf1      ;zero msbyte, test sign of lsbyte
ret            ;sign error overflow
; ok, return
dsufl: mvi a,4b ;underflow, return error code
ret
dsouf1: mvi a,2b ;underflow, return error code
ret

this realization consumes 9.635 watts of power

```

2. Hardware Listing

central processing unit
device: intel 8080 8-bit microprocessor, ic 1
connections:
pins 25,26,27,29,30,31,32,33,
34,35, 1,40,37,38,39,36 = a(0:15)
pins 10,9,8,7,3,4,5,6, = d(0:7)
pin 21 = hlda
pin 12 = reset
pin 13 = hold
pin 14 = int
pin 16 = inte
pin 17 = dbin
pin 18 = wr-bar
pin 19 = sync
pin 22 = phi1
pin 15 = phi2
pin 23 = ready
pin 24 = wait
pin 2 = gnd
pin 20 = +5v
pin 11 = -5v
pin 28 = +12v
clock generator (0.5 us)
device: intel 8224 clock generator and driver for 8080 cpu, ic 2
connections:
pin 1 (reset) = reset
pin 4 (ready) = ready
pin 5 (sync) = sync
pin 10 (phi2) = phi2
pin 11 (phi1) = phi1
pin 14,15 (xtal(1:2)) = device: 18 mhz crystal
pin 16 (vcc) = +5v
pin 9 (vdd) = +12v
pin 8 (gnd) = gnd
d(0:7) = db(0:7)
cpu status latch

```

device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 3
connections:
pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di (0:7)) = d(0:7)
pin 4 (do(1)) = inta
pin 6 (do(2)) = wo-bar
pin 8 (do(3)) = stack
pin 10 (do(4)) = hlta
pin 15 (do(5)) = out
pin 17 (do(6)) = m1
pin 19 (do(7)) = inp
pin 21 (do(8)) = memr
condition-mode input interface hardware to sense signal dr
device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 4
connections:
pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di(1:8)) = dr(1:8), remainder to gnd
pins 4,6,8,10,15,17,19,21 (do(1:8)) = db(1:8)
pin 2 (md) = gnd
pin 11 (stb) = gnd
pin 1 (dsl-bar) = .not. (decode a(0:7) value 0)
pin 13 (ds2) = ino .and. dbin
pin 24 (vcc) = +5v
pin 12 (gnd) = gnd
condition-mode output interface hardware to issue signal: conv
device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 5
connections:
pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di(1:8)) = db(1:8)
pins 4,6,8,10,15,17,19,21 (do(1:8)) = conv(1:8) ;if 8 are req
pin 2 (md) = +5v
pin 11 (stb) = gnd
pin 1 (dsl-bar) = wr-bar
pin 13 (ds2) = out .and. (decode a(0:7) value 0)
pin 24 (vcc) = +5v
pin 12 (gnd) = gnd
condition-mode output interface hardware to issue signal: conv
device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 6
connections:

```

```

pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di(1:8)) = db(1:8)
pins 4,6,8,10,15,17,19,21 (do(1:8)) = conv(1:8) ;if 8 are req
pin 2 (md) = +5v
pin 11 (stb) = gnd
pin 1 (ds1-bar) = wr-bar
pin 13 (ds2) = out .and. (decode a(0:7) value 1)
pin 24 (vcc) = +5v
pin 12 (gnd) = gnd
connector j 1, for analog signal insig,
16 pin dip socket
connections:
    pin 1 = x6
    pin 2 = x7
    pin 3 = gnd grounded at signal source only
resistor r 1, 10000 ohms, 1/4 watt 1% metal film
    pin 1 = x6
    pin 2 = x1
resistor r 2, 10000 ohms, 1/4 watt 1% metal film
    pin 1 = x7
    pin 2 = x2
resistor r 3, 10000 ohms, 1/4 watt 1% metal film
    pin 1 = x1
    pin 2 = x5
resistor r 4, 10000 ohms, 1/4 watt 1% metal film
    pin 1 = x2
    pin 2 = gnd
capacitor, c 1, ceramic, 16000 pf
connections:
    pin 1 = x1
    pin 2 = x5
trimpot, r 5, 10000 ohms, 22t 1/2w cermet
    pin 1 = x3
    pin 2 = -15v (wiper)
    pin 3 = x4 (cw end)
op amp, ic
device is analog devices ad741k

```

```

connections:
  pin 1 = x3      (zero trimpot)
  pin 2 = x1      (negative input)
  pin 3 = x2      (positive input)
  pin 4 = -15v
  pin 5 = x4      (zero trimpot)
  pin 6 = x5      ;(output)
  pin 7 = +15v
  pin 8 = n.c.    tab at pin 8
trimpot, r 6, 200 ohms, 22t 1/2w cermet
  pin 1 = gnd
  pin 2 = x5      (wiper)
  pin 3 = x41     (cw end)
a/d converter, 8 bit
  device is analog devices ad570, ic 8
connections:
  pin 1 = n.c.
  pin 2 = insig(0) (1sb)
  pin 3 = insig(1) (21sb)
  pin 4 = insig(2) (31sb)
  pin 5 = insig(3) (41sb)
  pin 6 = insig(4) (51sb)
  pin 7 = insig(5) (61sb)
  pin 8 = insig(6) (71sb)
  pin 9 = insig(7) (msb)
  pin 10 = +5 volts
  pin 11 = conv    (blank and .not. convert)
  pin 12 = -15 volts
  pin 13 = x41     (analog input)
  pin 14 = gnd     (analog common)
  pin 15 = gnd     (bipolar offset)
  pin 16 = gnd     (digital common)
  pin 17 = dr      (data ready)
  pin 18 = n.c.
condition-mode input interface hardware to sense signal insiq
device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 9

```

```

connections:
pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di(1:8)) = insig(1:8), remainder to gnd
pins 4,6,8,10,15,17,19,21 (do(1:8)) = db(1:8)
pin 2 (md) = gnd
pin 11 (stb) = gnd
pin 1 (dsl-bar) = .not. (decode a(0:7) value 1)
pin 13 (ds2) = inp .and. dbin
pin 24 (vcc) = +5v
pin 12 (gnd) = gnd
math processor chip, ic10
type is intel c8231
connections:
pin 1 = gnd (vss)
pin 2 = +5v (vcc)
pin 3 = n.c. (/eack)
pin 4 = n.c. (/svack)
pin 5 = n.c. (svreq)
pin 6 = n.c. (reserved)
pin 7 = n.c. (reserved)
pin 8 = db0
pin 9 = db1
pin 10 = db2
pin 11 = db3
pin 12 = db4
pin 13 = db5
pin 14 = db6
pin 15 = db7
pin 16 = +12v (vdd)
pin 17 = ready
pin 18 = .not. (decode(a1-a7;value 2) (cs)
pin 19 = .not. iow (wbar)
pin 20 = .not. ior (rbar)
pin 21 = a0
pin 22 = reset
pin 23 = ph2 (clock)
pin 24 = n.c. (/end)

```

connector j 2, for analog signal iy,
 16 pin dip socket
 connections:
 pin 1 = x70
 pin 2 = gnd
 pin 3 = open grounded at signal source only
 voltage follower, ic11
 device is lm310
 connections:
 pin 1 = n.c. (balance)
 pin 2 = n.c.
 pin 3 = x61 ;(input)
 pin 4 = -15v (v-)
 pin 5 = n.c. (booster)
 pin 6 = iy ;(output)
 pin 7 = +15v (v+)
 pin 8 = n.c. (balance. tab at pin 8)

8 bit dac, ic 12
 device is burr-brown dac82. laser trimmed, no adj reqd.
 connections:
 pin 3 = +15v
 pin 4 = x62(7)
 pin 5 = x62(6)
 pin 6 = x62(5)
 pin 7 = x62(4)
 pin 8 = x62(3)
 pin 9 = x62(2)
 pin 10 = x62(1)
 pin 11 = x62(0)
 pin 12 jumper to pin 15 to use internal current ref
 pin 13 = +15v
 pin 14 = gnd
 range dependent connections for 5000 to -5000 mv range
 pin 1 = gnd
 pin 2 = x61 ;(output)
 pin 16 jumper to pin 18

```

    pin 17 jumper to pin 2
    ttl inverter, element 1 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 1 = iy(1) ;(input)
    pin 2 = x62 ;(output)
    pin 7 = gnd
    pin 14 = +5v
    ttl inverter, element 2 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 3 = x71 ;(input)
    pin 4 = x63 ;(output)
    ttl inverter, element 3 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 5 = x72 ;(input)
    pin 6 = x64 ;(output)
    ttl inverter, element 4 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 9 = x73 ;(input)
    pin 8 = x65 ;(output)
    ttl inverter, element 5 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 11 = x74 ;(input)
    pin 10 = x66 ;(output)
    ttl inverter, element 6 of ic 13, 7404
    pin 13 = x75 ;(input)
    pin 12 = x67 ;(output)
    ttl inverter, element 1 of ic 14, 7404
    pin 1 = x76 ;(input)
    pin 2 = x68 ;(output)
    pin 7 = gnd
    pin 14 = +5v
    ttl inverter, element 2 of ic 14, 7404
    pin 3 = x77 ;(input)
    pin 4 = x69 ;(output)
    condition-mode output interface hardware to issue signal: x70
    device: intel 8212 8-bit i/o port, ic 15
    connections:
    pins 3,5,7,9,16,18,20,22 (di(1:8)) = db(1:8)
    pins 4,6,8,10,15,17,19,21 (do(1:8)) = x70(1:8) ;if 8 are req
    pin 2 (md) = +5v
    pin 11 (sth) = gnd

```

```

pin 1 (ds1-bar) = wr-bar
pin 13 (ds2) = out .and. (decode a(0:7) value 5)
pin 24 (vcc) = +5v
pin 12 (gnd) = gnd
system memory
time delay to match 8111-2 latency to 8080
device: texas instruments sn74175 hex-quad d-type flip-flop with clear
ic 16

connection:
pin 9 (clock) = .not. phi2
pin 1 (clear) = .not. sync
pin 16 (vcc) = +5v
pin 8 (gnd) = gnd
pin 2 (1q) = q
pin 4 (1d) = +5v
ready = q .and. .not. memr

16k eprom, ic 17, 8 pages of 256 words, starting at page 0
device is 2716 (do not use t1 -- different pinout)
connections:
pin 1 = a(8)
pin 2 = a(7)
pin 3 = a(6)
pin 4 = a(5)
pin 5 = a(4)
pin 6 = a(3)
pin 7 = a(2)
pin 8 = a(1)
pin 9 = db(1)
pin 10 = db(2)
pin 11 = db(3)
pin 12 = grd
pin 13 = db(4)
pin 14 = db(5)
pin 15 = db(6)
pin 16 = db(7)
pin 17 = db(8)

```

```

pin 18 = grd          (pd/pgm)
pin 19 = a(11)
pin 20 = .not.(decode(a(12:16) value 0) .and. .not. rdbar)
pin 21 = +5v          (vpp)
pin 22 = a(10)
pin 23 = a(9)
pin 24 = +5v

```

16k eeprom, ic 18, 8 pages of 256 words, starting at page 1
device is 2716 (do not use ti -- different pinout)

connections:

```

pin 1 = a(8)
pin 2 = a(7)
pin 3 = a(6)
pin 4 = a(5)
pin 5 = a(4)
pin 6 = a(3)
pin 7 = a(2)
pin 8 = a(1)
pin 9 = db(1)
pin 10 = db(2)
pin 11 = db(3)
pin 12 = grd
pin 13 = db(4)
pin 14 = db(5)
pin 15 = db(6)
pin 16 = db(7)
pin 17 = db(8)
pin 18 = grd          (pd/pgm)
pin 19 = a(11)
pin 20 = .not.(decode(a(12:16) value 1) .and. .not. rdbar)
pin 21 = +5v          (vpp)
pin 22 = a(10)
pin 23 = a(9)
pin 24 = +5v

```

random access memory (lower half of page 255)
device: intel 8111-2 1024 bit (256*4) static mos ram, ic 19

```

connections:
pins 4,3,2,1,17,5,6,7 (a(0:7)) = a(0:7)
pin 9 (od) = dbin
pin 16 (rw) = rw
pin 15 (ce1-bar) = ce
pin 10 (ce2-bar) = gnd
pins 11,12,13,14 (io(1:4)) = db(0:3)
ce = (.not. (out .and. wr-bar) .or. memr)
      .and. ( .not. (decode a(8:15) value 255))
pin 18 (vcc) = +5v
pin 8 (gnd) = gnd
random access memory (upper half of page 255)
device: intel 8111-2 1024 bit (256*4) static mos ram, ic 20
connection:
pins 4,3,2,1,17,5,6,7 (a(0:7)) = a(0:7)
pin 9 (od) = dbin
pin 16 (rw) = rw
pin 15 (ce1-bar) = ce
pin 10 (ce2-bar) = gnd
pins 11,12,13,14 (io(1:4)) = db (4:7)
pin 18 (vcc) = +5v
pin 8 (gnd) = gnd

```

APPENDIX C

ERROR CORRECTIONS

The following errors in the design system were detected and corrected during the course of completing this thesis.

A. DESIGN PROGRAM

The call to subroutine GETNM for subroutine SYMVAL was corrected. A variable passed in the call, JJ, was incorrectly passed with a value of zero. This was changed to one prior to the call to GETNM.

Various errors in branch statements existed throughout the design program listing and appeared to be the result of either an incorrect transfer of the original program to magnetic tape or errors in reading the magnetic tape by the VAX 11/780. Errors of this nature were detected in subroutines ARGIF, SEDUL, and TMANAL. These have been corrected, but validation of the remainder of the program must be accomplished.

Subroutine OUTCOM writes the power consumption of the realization and its chip count to the terminal screen. This was observed to occur twice at the end of each execution. The subroutine was corrected so that only one such statement is now displayed for the user. The destination of the duplicate statement was changed to the end of the software listing generated for each successful realization.

Subroutine SEDUL calls a second subroutine, INSERT, from two possible locations. The first of these used an integer variable as a parameter for a variable defined within INSERT as real. This was observed to prevent successful generation of realizations for test input. The variable RZZ was added as a parameter in the calling routine. It is equated to the value of the integer array element ORDER(istop-1,stop) prior to the call to INSERT.

INSERT sets the values of the array ORDER as part of its execution. The type of ORDER is declared to be integer. However, the values assigned to it by INSERT are obtained from real variables. This caused an integer overflow error in the program which resulted in termination of the design attempt. The problem was corrected by fixing the values of the real variables when equated to the elements of array ORDER.

B. REALIZATION LIBRARY

Entry h.dac was missing the qualifiers on all skip statements. These were determined and added.

Qualifiers for skip statements in EPROM hardware primitive were set to zero. This caused infinite generation of real only memory. The qualifiers were corrected to their proper values.

Numerous errors attributable to improper transfer of the program from magnetic tape to the VAX were detected and corrected. Validation of the complete listing must be performed.

The values of the qualifiers for the SKIP statements in primitives h.adc and h.bufframp were incorrect. These were corrected to their proper values.

C. UNCORRECTED ERRORS

Two errors are known to exist in the main program but their source could not be determined. Both of these prevent proper program execution.

The first error occurs when a dual processor realization is generated. A system error is produced when the program attempts to access the file of monitor primitives.

The second error consists of a failure to properly evaluate the value of parameters enclosed in the symbols '< >'. This prevents the proper utilization of library primitives which use parameters as qualifiers to logical statements.

LIST OF REFERENCES

1. Shooman, M. L., Software Engineering Design, Reliability, and Management, McGraw-Hill, p. 5, 1983.
2. Ibid., p. 10.
3. Matelan, M. N., "Automating the Design of Dedicated Real Time Control Systems", Preprint UCRL-78651, Lawrence Livermore Laboratories, 21 August 1976.
4. Ross, A. A., Loomis, H. H., Jr., and Pollock, G. C., "Real Time Systems: An Approach to Computer Aided Design of Hardware and Software", Proceedings of the Twentieth Allerton Conference on Communications, Control, and Computing, October, 1982.
5. Chen, C. T., One-Dimensional Signal Processing, p. 319-325, Marcel Dekker, 1979.
6. Matelan, p. 20-62.
7. Ross, A. A., Computer Aided Design of Microprocessor-Based Controllers, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Davis, 1978.
8. Sherlock, B. J., User-Friendly, Syntax Directed Input to a Computer Aided Design System, MS Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, 1983.
9. Ross, p. 80.
10. Nagle, H. T., Jr. and Nelson, V. P., "Digital Filter Implementation on 16-Bit Microcomputers", IEEE Micro, p. 23-25, February, 1981.
11. Oppenheim, A. V. and Schafer, R. W., Digital Signal Processing, p. 165-166, Prentice-Hall, 1975.
12. Gold, B. and Rader, C. M., Digital Processing of Signals, p. 45, McGraw-Hill, 1969.
13. Antoniou, A., Digital Filters: Analysis and Design, p. 76-77, McGraw-Hill, 1979.
14. Ibid., p. 77-78.

15. Pollock, G. C., Further Development and Investigation of Computer-Aided Design of Microprocessor Systems, MS Thesis, p. 31-33, University of California, Davis, 1980.
16. Ross, A. A. and Loomis, H. H., Jr., "Real Time Control Systems: An Approach to Computer Aided Design and Automated Scheduling", paper submitted to Journal of Digital Systems, December, 1982.
17. Nagle and Nelson, p. 26.
18. Matelan, p. 173-174.
19. Analog Devices Corporation, Low Cost, Complete IC: 8 Bit A to D Converter, 1979.
20. Nagle and Nelson, p. 31.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	No. Copies
1. Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	2
2. Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	2
3. Department Chairman, Code 62 Department of Electrical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	2
4. Professor H. H. Loomis, Jr., Code 62Lm Department of Electrical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	4
5. Lieutenant Colonel A. A. Ross, USAF, Code 52Rs Department of Computer Science Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	2
6. Dr. M. N. Matelan 10002 Chimney Hill Lane Dallas, Texas 75243	1
7. Lieutenant M. R. Heilstedt, USN Naval Sea Systems Command Technical Representative 1902 N. Minnehaha Avenue St. Paul, Minnesota 55104	2

END