

AD-A132 671

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT
REPELLENT A13-38426..(U) ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE
AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD J V WADE 16 SEP 83
UNCLASSIFIED USAEHA-75-51-0363-83 F/G 6/20

1/1

NL


END

DATE

FILED

10 83


DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A132 671

(12)




**UNITED STATES ARMY
ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE
AGENCY**

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

**A
E
H
A**

DTIC FILE COPY

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF
CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT A13-38426a
US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY CHEMICAL
STUDY NO. 75-51-0363-83
FEBRUARY 1982 - MAY 1983




Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

88 09 20 031

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 75-51-0363-83	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A132 671	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent AI3-38426a, US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Chemical, Study No. 75-51-0363-83, February 1982 - May 1983		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final, Feb 1982 - May 1983
7. AUTHOR(s) John V. Wade		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Health Services Command Ft Sam Houston, TX 78234		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE February 1982 - May 1983
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 6
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) AI3-38426a Eye Irritation Skin Irritation Topical Hazard Evaluation Program USDA Proprietary Chemicals		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Chemical AI3-38426a did not produce primary skin irritation in rabbits. It produced moderate injury to the cornea and, in addition, some injury to the conjunctiva upon application to the eyes of rabbits.		



CPT Wade/slw/AUTOVON
584-3980

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

HSNB-OT/WP

16 SEP 1993

SUBJECT: Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent
A13-38426a, US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Chemical,
Study No. 75-51-0363-83, February 1982 - May 1983

Executive Secretary
Armed Forces Pest Management Board
Forest Glen Section, WRAMC
Washington, DC 20307

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose, essential findings, and major recommendations of the inclosed report follow:

a. Purpose. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent A13-38426a by means of laboratory animal studies using New Zealand White rabbits.

b. Essential Findings. The technical grade chemical did not produce primary skin irritation in rabbits. It produced moderate injury to the cornea and, in addition, some injury to the conjunctiva upon application to the eyes of rabbits.

c. Major Recommendations. Recommend that chemical A13-38426a be disapproved for further testing in the form provided. If its repellent properties are significantly superior to the current standard repellent (M-DET), it is recommended that it be resubmitted in a purified form or at the intended usage concentration. This is based on the favorable response obtained in skin irritation studies.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl
as (5 cy)

JOEL C. GAYDOS, M.D.
Colonel, MC
Director, Occupational and
Environmental Health

CF:
HQDA (DASG-PSP) wo incl
Cdr, HSC, (HSPA-P)
Comdt, AHS (HSHA-P)
Dir, Advisory Cen on TOX, NRC (2 cy)
USDA, ARS (Dr. Terrence McGovern)
USDA, ARS-Southern Region (3 cy)
USDA, ARS-Southern Region (LTC Reinert)



Application For

NELC - RANK _____
UNIT NO. _____

NAME _____
ADDRESS _____
CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____

DATE OF BIRTH _____
SEX _____ MARITAL STATUS _____
EDUCATION _____
OCCUPATION _____
RELIGION _____
POLITICAL AFFILIATION _____
MILITARY SERVICE _____
REMARKS _____

A



REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

HSNB-OT/WP

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF
CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT A13-38426a
US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY CHEMICALS
STUDY NO. 75-51-0363-83
FEBRUARY 1982 - May 1983

1. AUTHORITY.

a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture - Agricultural Research, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man and Animals Research Laboratory, Gainesville, Florida, 26 February 1982.

b. Memorandum of Understanding between the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the US Army Health Services Command; the Department of the Army, Office of the Surgeon General; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research, Science and Education Administrations; titled, Coordination of Biological and Toxicological Testing of Pesticides, effective 23 January 1979.

2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Topical Hazard Evaluation Program Procedural Guide, US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA), January 1982.

3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of candidate insect repellent A13-38426a, US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Proprietary Chemical.

4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. Hazard evaluation of the candidate insect repellent A13-38426a, USDA Proprietary Chemical, was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed at this Agency follows:*†

*In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 80-23, revised 1978.

†The studies reported herein were performed in animal facilities fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited


Study No. 75-51-0363-83, February 1982 - May 1983

TABLE. PRESENTATION OF DATA

Test	Results	Interpretation
SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits		
Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.	Chemical A13-38426a did not produce irritation of the intact skin or of the skin surrounding an abrasion.	USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix A)
0.5 mL technical grade chemical applied to each of six rabbits.		
EYE IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits		
Single 24-hour application of 0.1 mL technical grade chemical to one eye of each of nine New Zealand White rabbits. Three of the nine rabbits had the eye flushed with warm water for 1 minute, 25 seconds after application.	Chemical A13-38426a produced moderate injury to the cornea and, in addition, some injury to the conjunctiva. Washing the eye with water did not affect response.	USAEHA Category E (ref Appendix A).

5. CONCLUSION. Chemical A13-38426a did not produce primary skin irritation in rabbits. It produced moderate injury to the cornea and, in addition, some injury to the conjunctiva upon application to the eyes of rabbits. These studies were monitored by the Analytical Quality Assurance Office (see Appendix B).

6. RECOMMENDATION. Disapprove USDA Proprietary Chemical A13-38426a for further entomological testing in the form provided (under the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding, para 1b, this report). If its repellent properties are significantly superior to the current standard repellent (M-DET), it should be resubmitted in a purified form or at the intended usage concentration. This is based on the favorable response obtained in skin irritation studies.


JOHN V. WADE, DVM
CPT, VC
Laboratory Animal
Veterinary Officer
Toxicology Division

APPROVED:


MAURICE H. WEEKS
Chief, Toxicology Division

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING
CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

CATEGORY I - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation, and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

A. Compounds noninjurious to the eye. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.

B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.

C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.

D. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.

E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.

F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.

Study No. 75-51-0363-83, February 1982 - May 1983

APPENDIX B

ANALYTICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

The Analytical Quality Assurance Office certifies the following with regard to this study:

a. This study was conducted in accordance with:

(1) Standing Operating Procedures developed by the Toxicology Division, USAEHA.

(2) Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, 1981 rev, Part 58, Good Laboratory Practice for Nonclinical Laboratory Studies.

b. Facilities were inspected during its operational phase to insure compliance with paragraph a above.

c. The information presented in this report accurately reflects the raw data generated during the course of conducting the study.



PAUL V. SNEERINGER, PH.D.
Chief, Analytical Quality
Assurance Office

EN

DAT
FILM

10-8

DTI