

AD-A141 388

OPERATING CONDITIONS FOR THE HYDROGEN/DEUTERIUM TRIPLET
LASERS(U) ARMY MISSILE COMMAND REDSTONE ARSENAL AL
T A BARR ET AL. 1984


1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 5/4

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A141 388

DTIC FILE COPY

OPERATING CONDITIONS FOR THE HYDROGEN/DEUTERIUM TRIPLET LASERS

T. A. Barr, Jr., and W. B. McKnight
The University of Alabama in Huntsville
Huntsville, Alabama 35899

B. J. Rogers
U. S. Army Missile Command
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35898

DTIC
SELECTED
MAY 22 1984
A

Abstract

Research on energy storage and transfer in molecular hydrogen and its isotopes lead to the discovery of mid-infrared lasers in H_2^1 and H_2^2 . Specifically, the laser lines in H_2^1 are at 3.84, 3.77 and 3.71 μm ; in H_2^2 they are at 4.71, 4.60, and 4.52 μm . These have been reported by us recently(1,2,3). We conclude that these lines in both isotopes belong to the $a^3\Sigma_g^- - c^3\Pi_u$ transitions. For H_2^2 there is still some doubt since several of the spectroscopic coefficients for the H_2^2 , $c^3\Pi_u$ state have not been determined. In this report, we describe the conditions under which the lasers occur and show how this leads to a plausible explanation for their existence. We derive several plausible sets of value for the spectroscopic coefficients for the H_2^2 , $c^3\Pi_u$ state.

Introduction

Research on energy storage and transfer in molecular hydrogen and deuterium led to the discovery of mid-infrared lasers in these gases. Specifically, laser lines at 3.84 μm , 3.77 μm , and 3.71 μm in hydrogen and at 4.71 μm , 4.60 μm and 4.52 μm in deuterium were identified and reported(1,2,3). Our conclusions are that these lines are part of the $a^3\Sigma_g^- - c^3\Pi_u$ series for both isotopes of hydrogen. For normal hydrogen this seems to be certain. For deuterium there is still some doubt since several of the spectroscopic coefficients for the deuterium $c^3\Pi_u$ state have not been determined(4). In this report we describe the conditions under which the lasers occur and show how this leads to a plausible explanation for their existence: A tentative set of spectroscopic coefficients for the deuterium $c^3\Pi_u$ state are given.

Laser Apparatus:

The lasers were discovered during a search for weak or induced dipole lasers in molecular hydrogen, deuterium, and deuterium hydride(5). A detailed description of the laser apparatus has been previously reported(1). The laser basically was a glass tube 6.3 m long and 2.5 cm diameter with adjustable internal mirrors which were highly reflective in the laser bands and nearly transparent for visible light. The mirrors were dielectric coated and "tuned" to the desired infrared bands with output mirrors having 80% to 90% reflectivity and the back mirrors having greater than 99% reflectivity. Cavity alignment was verified by a helium-neon laser.

The lasers were excited by a four-stage Marx bank at erected voltages of 225 KV to 320 KV. Current limiting resistors were placed in series with the discharge to prevent discharge collapse and oscillation. Gas pressures were varied from about $\frac{1}{2}$ torr to 10 torr, and two gas temperatures were used: ambient ($\sim 300^\circ K$) and cryogenic ($\sim 100^\circ K$). Variations in voltage, series resistance, gas pressure, temperature and gas composition all influenced the laser's output characteristics. Figure 1 is a sketch of the laser apparatus. Figure 2 is a photograph of the laser in the laboratory. Figure 3 is a picture of the high voltage end of the laser showing the current limiting resistor, peaking gap, corona ring and cryogenic jacket.

Data/Data Reduction:

The following parameters were measured directly or inferred from direct measurements: 1) initial voltage, 2) voltage vs. time, 3) current vs. time, 4) gas composition, initial temperature and pressure, 5) total laser output vs. time, and 6) spectrally resolved laser output vs. time. Figure 4 shows the voltage, current and detector signal in a typical experiment. Figure 5 shows the spectrally resolved components of the laser pulse. In many cases, as shown here, an identifiable time delay ($\sim .2 \mu$ sec.) occurs between the onset of the strong line at 3.77 μm and the other two lines. Additionally, in order to facilitate data reduction, a data field of voltage, current and initial gas pressure was obtained

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited




Figure 1. Apparatus for the hydrogen and deuterium triplet lasers.




Figure 2. Hydrogen triplet laser in the laboratory.

- 1) Laser tube (6.6 meter length x 2.5 cm diameter) on a wooden optical bench.
- 2) Output window on sealed adjustable mount.
- 3) HeNe alignment laser.
- 4) Four-stage Marx bank.
- 5) Corona ring for high voltage end (detail in Figure 3).
- 6) 10:1 voltage divider.
- 7) Storage oscilloscope.
- 8) 3/4 meter grating spectrometer, and
- 9) Cryogenic gold doped germanium infrared detector.

for hydrogen at ambient temperature. At high currents (i.e., high voltage and/or low series resistance) the discharge would become an arc which placed an upper limit on current. At very low currents the excitation was insufficient to produce laser action.

The instantaneous state of the electric discharge was determined from the measured parameters and the electron drift velocities published by Englehardt and Phelps(6) or more recently calculated drift velocities from Taylor(7) based on the Boltzman transport code developed by Carleton and Megill(8). Computation of variables proceeded as follows:

- a) Laser action occurred only during the glow discharge. This type of discharge was estimated to fill approximately 2 cm out of the 2.5 cm bore of the tube. Discharge cross section was taken to be $\sim 3 \text{ cm}^2$.
- b) The voltage measured included both the potential drop in the gas and the electrode potential drop. In this work the electrode potential drops were assumed to be small so, to a first approximation, the entire measured potential was assumed to be across the gas column.



Figure 3. High voltage end of the laser in the laboratory.

- 1) Cryogenic jacket.
- 2) High voltage corona ring.
- 3) Concave back mirror on adjustable sealed mount.
- 4) High voltage discharge peaking gap, and
- 5) Current limiting resistor.




Figure 4. Typical voltage, current and detector outputs (from oscilloscope traces)




Figure 5. Spectrally and temporally resolved lines of the hydrogen triplet laser.

- c) With the voltage, discharge path, and gas density known, values for the electric field strength (volts/cm) and for the electric field per particle, E/N volt cm^2 could be determined. Then using transport data from Englehardt(6) or Taylor(7) the electron drift velocity could be obtained from their published calculation for a given E/N in hydrogen gas. From the same sources the characteristics electron energy (electron volts) was also obtained.
- d) From the measured current, known discharge cross section, and the electrons drift velocity, the concentration of conduction electrons was calculated.
- e) The calculated electron concentration and the characteristic electron energy can be used to calculate an electron energy distribution. The simplest thing at this point is to assume a Maxwell-Boltzman energy/velocity distribution for the electron.
- f) Finally, the cross section data from Böse(9) for the electron collision excitations of hydrogen from ground to the first triplet state can be used with the available free electron concentrations in the desired energy ranges to calculate the rate of production of $a^3\text{Lg}$ and $c^3\text{Hu}$ states.

TABLE I

HYDROGEN TRIPLET LASER OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS

Laser Cross Section: 3 cm^2 Series Limiting Resistor: 100 Ω

Length: 6.6 m

Gas Density: $6.5 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^3$ (hydrogen)

Volume: 2.1 l

Initial Pressure: 2 torr (T, ambient)

TIME (μ sec)	V [#] (volts)	I (AMPS)	E v/cm	E/N v-cm^2	ω^* cm/sec	Ek^+ e volts	N cm^{-3}	P watts/cm^3	$\gamma P \Delta t$ joules/cm^3
0	8.E4	0.	121.	1.88E-15	1.8E7	3.8	0	0	0.0
0.5	7.6E4	360.	115.	1.78E-15	1.7E7	3.6	4.22E13	1.3E4	6.5E-3
1.0	7.3E4	520.	111.	1.72E-15	1.6E7	3.3	6.5E13	1.8E4	1.6E-2
1.5	7.0E4	580.	106.	1.64E-15	1.5E7	3.2	7.7E13	2.0E4	2.6E-2
2.0	6.5E4	520.	99.	1.53E-15	1.4E7	3.1	7.4E13	1.6E4	3.4E-2
2.5	6.0E4	420.	91.	1.41E-15	1.3E7	3.0	6.4E13	1.2E4	4.0E-2
3.0	5.6E4	300.	85.	1.31E-15	1.2E7	2.8	5.0E13	0.8E4	4.4E-2

LASER: Start 0.9 μ sec
 Peak 1.5 μ sec (detector output,
 6 volts), (9.9 Kj/l-atmos input)
 End 2.4 μ sec

Data Ref: Case B, 6 August 1982

$E4 = 10^4$, etc.

* Electron drift velocity - current carriers

† Electron characteristic energy (electron
"temperature")

The bottoms of the potential wells for these states are 95936.1 cm^{-1} for the "a" state and 95838.5 cm^{-1} for the "c" state. The "a" and "c" state potential curves are almost identical; for all practical purposes they have the same Franck-Condon characteristics. We, therefore, assume that they are nearly equally populated. Table I shows representative samples of the current and voltage data obtained and reduced according to the above technique.

Gain and Laser Output:

The gain of the hydrogen and deuterium triplet lasers derives from the ortho-para population distribution in the rotational states. In hydrogen the ortho-para population ratio is 3 to 1 in rotational equilibrium with the highest population being in the odd numbered rotational states(10). In deuterium the ortho-para ratio is 2 to 1 in rotational equilibrium with the highest population being in the even numbered rotational states. In deuterium hydride there is no ortho-para asymmetry, and therefore, no potential for laser gain.

We have calculated the populations for various vibration-rotation combinations of the $a^3\Sigma^+$ and the $c^3\Pi$ electronic states assuming thermal equilibrium within the rotational state and equal total state

populations. Temperature of 100°K and 300°K were assumed. At 300°K in hydrogen the favored rotational transition is from $J = 1$ to $J = 2$, that is, this transition has the highest population ratio. This matches conveniently the hydrogen line assignments based on measured and calculated wavelengths. For deuterium the case is not so clear. Our simple rotational equilibrium modelling shows that the $J = 2$ to $J = 3$ transitions are somewhat more favored than the $J = 0$ to $J = 1$ transition at 100°K and higher. In spite of this, it appears that the $J = 0$ to $J = 1$ transitions are the ones that are running in the deuterium laser.

Calculated and Measured Wavelengths:

The standard spectroscopic formulations and coefficients for energy levels(4) were used to calculate the vibration-rotation state energies within the $a^3\Sigma_g^+$ state and the $c^3\Pi_u$ state in molecular hydrogen. Table II shows the results of these calculations. By following the $\Delta J = +1$ and $\Delta v = -1$ rules for rotation and vibration transition, and looking first at the favored J transition, i.e., $J = 1$ to $J = 2$, one readily finds a coupled set of transitions which have wavelengths matching those observed in the laboratory. These are the transitions between the energy levels shown in Table II.

TABLE II IDENTIFICATION OF THE HYDROGEN TRIPLET LASER FROM ENERGY LEVELS CALCULATED FROM PUBLISHED SPECTROSCOPIC DATA

	T_e	ω_e	$\omega_e x_e$	$\omega_e y_e$	B_e	α_e
$a^3\Sigma_g^+$	95936.1	2664.83	71.65	0.920	34.216	1.671
$c^3\Pi_u$	95838.5	2466.89	63.51	0.552	31.070	1.425
TERM VALUES						
$v = 0$	$a^3\Pi_g^+, J = 1$	$c^3\Pi_u, J = 2$	$\Delta v(\text{cm}^{-1})$		$\lambda(\text{microns})$	
		97238.	2601.		3.84	
1	99839.	99571.	2654.		3.77	
2	102225.	101782.	2699.		3.71	
3	104481.					

Deuterium Energy Levels:

Assigning lines is more difficult in the case of deuterium. The problem which was straightforward for hydrogen must be worked differently for deuterium since most of the $c^3\Pi_u$ state coefficients have not been determined. We must infer a set of spectroscopic coefficients which placed the observed lines in plausible transitions. In standard spectroscopic notation(4) the bottom of the potential well (electronic "zero" point) is defined by T_e , the vibration harmonics spacing by ω_e , and the anharmonic correction by $\omega_e x_e$ and $\omega_e y_e$ (and sometimes higher terms); rotational energy is characterized by coefficients B_e and α_e . The energy level term value, $T(\text{cm}^{-1})$, to this degree of approximation is:

$$T = T_e + \omega_e(v + \frac{1}{2}) - \omega_e x_e(v + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \omega_e y_e(v + \frac{1}{2})^3 + (B_e - \alpha_e(v + \frac{1}{2}))J(J + 1),$$

where v and J are the vibration and rotation quantum numbers respectively. In the case of deuterium the coefficients for the $a^3\Sigma_g^+$ state are known but for the $c^3\Pi_u$ state values of T_e , ω_e , $\omega_e x_e$, $\omega_e y_e$, α_e and B_e are not given(4). The data we have are the three measured laser lines and the published(4) lowest lying energy level for the $c^3\Pi_u$ state. With this limited data we make assumptions as follows to derive the coefficients:

- We assume values for $B_e \approx 15$, and $\alpha_e \sim .5$, values which we estimate to be within $\pm 20\%$ of the true value. Errors in these coefficients are least significant for $J = 0$, where we expect to find the transitions.
- From the published ν_{00} , the lowest lying level, we obtain a term value for the $c^3\Pi_u$ ($v = 0$, $J = 0$) state of 96729 cm^{-1} .
- We have assumed the $(v + \frac{1}{2})^3$ term, $\omega_e y_e$, is the highest correction term required so that the derivative $d^3T/d(v + \frac{1}{2})^3$ is a constant, $6 \omega_e y_e$, and all higher derivatives are zero.
- We assume a kinetics relationship identical to that of hydrogen, i.e., the three lines are in a vibrationally coupled cascade.

The problem now may be solved by solutions of simultaneous linear equations in which the values for T_e , ω_e , $\omega_e x_e$ and $\omega_e y_e$ are the unknowns. Another method is to solve the problem by inspection and trial solutions. In this method the equations for T and its first three derivatives are treated as difference equations and term values are generated by assuming three adjacent vibrational transitions in the $J = 0$ to $J = 1$ series and in the $J = 2$ to $J = 3$ series. The objective is to derive values for T_e , ω_e , $\omega_e x_e$, and $\omega_e y_e$ which are plausible, i.e., $T_e(c)$ should be about 100 cm^{-1} lower than $T_e(a)$, ω_e for $c^3\Pi_u$ and $a^3\Sigma_g^+$ states should be reasonably close together, $\omega_e x_e$ should be a positive number in the range of, say, 30 to 60 cm^{-1} and $\omega_e y_e$ should preferably be approximately $+1$. These "requirements" only say that the $c^3\Pi_u$ state of deuterium is similar to its neighboring "a" state and the whole structure is analogous to the like hydrogen states. We have tried a number of combinations the most likely two of which is shown in Table IIIA; Table IIIB shows an alternative fit which is almost as good. The best fit to the data appears to be

for the vibrational cascade starting with $v = 6$ and $J = 0$ as suggested to us by Dabrowski and Herzberg(11). In this case we determined that term coefficients for the $c^3\Pi_u$ state are: $T_e = 95855$, $\omega_e = 1769$, $\omega_e x_e = 40.88$, and $\omega_e y_e = 1.25$. These values, plus our assumed ones for B_e and α_e generate line wave numbers which are within 2 cm^{-1} of those derived experimentally. Our values must be considered as tentative since they are based on only four data points and show only that our data can be used to produce plausible results. Identification of additional line in this cascade, particularly of longer wavelengths would help resolve the question of where the cascade starts and other lines at different temperatures would be valuable in determining J dependence.

TABLE IIIA. IDENTIFICATION OF OUR BEST FIT TO THE DEUTERIUM TRIPLET LASER DATA

	T_e	ω_e	$\omega_e x_e$	$\omega_e y_e$	B_e	α_e
$a^3\Sigma_g^+$	95958.1	1885.84	35.96	0.340	17.109	0.606
$c^3\Pi_u$	95855.	1769.0	40.88	1.25	15.*	.5*

TERM VALUES

	$a^3\Sigma_g^+, J = 0$	$c^3\Pi_u, J = 1$	$\Delta\nu(\text{cm}^{-1})$	$\lambda(\text{microns})$
$v = 3$		101626.	2121.	4.71
4	103747.	103127.	2172.	4.60
5	105299.	104580.	2210.	4.52
6	106790.			

* Estimate only - no temperature variation data obtained.

Our proposed values based on transitions suggested by I. Dabrowski and G. Herzberg (See Ref. 3).

TABLE IIIB. AN ALTERNATIVE FIT TO THE DEUTERIUM TRIPLET LASER DATA

	T_e	ω_e	$\omega_e x_e$	$\omega_e y_e$	B_e	α_e
$a^3\Sigma_g^+$	95958.1	1885.84	35.96	0.340	17.109	0.606
$c^3\Pi_u$	95892.	1682.4	15.75	-0.83	15*	.5*

TERM VALUES

	$a^3\Sigma_g^+, J = 0$	$c^3\Pi_u, J = 1$	$\Delta\nu(\text{cm}^{-1})$	$\lambda(\text{microns})$
$v = 2$		100014.	2119.	4.72
3	1021.33.	101578.	2169.	4.61
4	103747.	103094.	2205.	4.54
5	105299.			

* Estimate only - no temperature variation data obtained.


REFERENCES

- 1) W. B. McKnight and T. A. Barr, Jr., "Laser action at 3um in a hydrogen discharge", Applied Optics, 21, No. 3, p. 357, (1 February 1982).
- 2) Thomas A. Barr, Jr., and W. B. McKnight, "A new mid-infrared laser in hydrogen", U. S. Army Science Conference, West Point, N.Y., (June 1982).
- 3) T. A. Barr, Jr., and W. B. McKnight, "Mid-infrared lasers in hydrogen and deuterium", Applied Physics Letters, 41, No.2, p. 114-115 (15 July 1982).
- 4) K. P. Huber and G. Herzberg, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure, IV, Constants of Diatomic Molecules, p. 262- 267, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York (1979).
- 5) T. A. Barr, Jr., D. W. Howgate, W. B. McKnight and R. L. Taylor, "Diatomic molecules as storage media for high energy lasers", Proceedings of the International Conference, Lasers 81, New Orleans (December, 1981).
- 6) A. C. Englehardt and A. V. Phelps, Phys. Rev., 131, 2115, (1963).
- 7) R. L. Taylor, G. Caledonia, P. Lewis, P. Wu, S. D. Teare and J. Cronin, "Analytical modeling of electrically excited D₂/HCl and HCl laser experiments," PS1TR-58, Physical Sciences Inc., Woburn, MA, (July, 1976).
- 8) N. P. Carleton and R. L. Megill, Phys. Rev., 126, 2089 (1962).
- 9) N. Böse, J. Phys. B., 11, No. 3, L83 (1978).
- 10) G. Herzberg, "Spectra of Diatomic Molecules," 2nd Ed., p. 139f, D. Van Nostrand Co., Princeton, NJ (1964).
- 11) I. Dabrowski and G. Herzberg, private communication, 1982; see also Ref. 2 above.

1. Classification
 2. Exemption Code
 3. Exemption Authority
 4. Exemption Date
 5. Exemption Period
 6. Exemption Status
 7. Exemption Type
 8. Exemption Code
 9. Exemption Authority
 10. Exemption Date
 11. Exemption Period
 12. Exemption Status
 13. Exemption Type
 14. Exemption Code
 15. Exemption Authority
 16. Exemption Date
 17. Exemption Period
 18. Exemption Status
 19. Exemption Type
 20. Exemption Code
 21. Exemption Authority
 22. Exemption Date
 23. Exemption Period
 24. Exemption Status
 25. Exemption Type
 26. Exemption Code
 27. Exemption Authority
 28. Exemption Date
 29. Exemption Period
 30. Exemption Status
 31. Exemption Type
 32. Exemption Code
 33. Exemption Authority
 34. Exemption Date
 35. Exemption Period
 36. Exemption Status
 37. Exemption Type
 38. Exemption Code
 39. Exemption Authority
 40. Exemption Date
 41. Exemption Period
 42. Exemption Status
 43. Exemption Type
 44. Exemption Code
 45. Exemption Authority
 46. Exemption Date
 47. Exemption Period
 48. Exemption Status
 49. Exemption Type
 50. Exemption Code
 51. Exemption Authority
 52. Exemption Date
 53. Exemption Period
 54. Exemption Status
 55. Exemption Type
 56. Exemption Code
 57. Exemption Authority
 58. Exemption Date
 59. Exemption Period
 60. Exemption Status
 61. Exemption Type
 62. Exemption Code
 63. Exemption Authority
 64. Exemption Date
 65. Exemption Period
 66. Exemption Status
 67. Exemption Type
 68. Exemption Code
 69. Exemption Authority
 70. Exemption Date
 71. Exemption Period
 72. Exemption Status
 73. Exemption Type
 74. Exemption Code
 75. Exemption Authority
 76. Exemption Date
 77. Exemption Period
 78. Exemption Status
 79. Exemption Type
 80. Exemption Code
 81. Exemption Authority
 82. Exemption Date
 83. Exemption Period
 84. Exemption Status
 85. Exemption Type
 86. Exemption Code
 87. Exemption Authority
 88. Exemption Date
 89. Exemption Period
 90. Exemption Status
 91. Exemption Type
 92. Exemption Code
 93. Exemption Authority
 94. Exemption Date
 95. Exemption Period
 96. Exemption Status
 97. Exemption Type
 98. Exemption Code
 99. Exemption Authority
 100. Exemption Date
 101. Exemption Period
 102. Exemption Status
 103. Exemption Type
 104. Exemption Code
 105. Exemption Authority
 106. Exemption Date
 107. Exemption Period
 108. Exemption Status
 109. Exemption Type
 110. Exemption Code
 111. Exemption Authority
 112. Exemption Date
 113. Exemption Period
 114. Exemption Status
 115. Exemption Type
 116. Exemption Code
 117. Exemption Authority
 118. Exemption Date
 119. Exemption Period
 120. Exemption Status
 121. Exemption Type
 122. Exemption Code
 123. Exemption Authority
 124. Exemption Date
 125. Exemption Period
 126. Exemption Status
 127. Exemption Type
 128. Exemption Code
 129. Exemption Authority
 130. Exemption Date
 131. Exemption Period
 132. Exemption Status
 133. Exemption Type
 134. Exemption Code
 135. Exemption Authority
 136. Exemption Date
 137. Exemption Period
 138. Exemption Status
 139. Exemption Type
 140. Exemption Code
 141. Exemption Authority
 142. Exemption Date
 143. Exemption Period
 144. Exemption Status
 145. Exemption Type
 146. Exemption Code
 147. Exemption Authority
 148. Exemption Date
 149. Exemption Period
 150. Exemption Status
 151. Exemption Type
 152. Exemption Code
 153. Exemption Authority
 154. Exemption Date
 155. Exemption Period
 156. Exemption Status
 157. Exemption Type
 158. Exemption Code
 159. Exemption Authority
 160. Exemption Date
 161. Exemption Period
 162. Exemption Status
 163. Exemption Type
 164. Exemption Code
 165. Exemption Authority
 166. Exemption Date
 167. Exemption Period
 168. Exemption Status
 169. Exemption Type
 170. Exemption Code
 171. Exemption Authority
 172. Exemption Date
 173. Exemption Period
 174. Exemption Status
 175. Exemption Type
 176. Exemption Code
 177. Exemption Authority
 178. Exemption Date
 179. Exemption Period
 180. Exemption Status
 181. Exemption Type
 182. Exemption Code
 183. Exemption Authority
 184. Exemption Date
 185. Exemption Period
 186. Exemption Status
 187. Exemption Type
 188. Exemption Code
 189. Exemption Authority
 190. Exemption Date
 191. Exemption Period
 192. Exemption Status
 193. Exemption Type
 194. Exemption Code
 195. Exemption Authority
 196. Exemption Date
 197. Exemption Period
 198. Exemption Status
 199. Exemption Type
 200. Exemption Code
 201. Exemption Authority
 202. Exemption Date
 203. Exemption Period
 204. Exemption Status
 205. Exemption Type
 206. Exemption Code
 207. Exemption Authority
 208. Exemption Date
 209. Exemption Period
 210. Exemption Status
 211. Exemption Type
 212. Exemption Code
 213. Exemption Authority
 214. Exemption Date
 215. Exemption Period
 216. Exemption Status
 217. Exemption Type
 218. Exemption Code
 219. Exemption Authority
 220. Exemption Date
 221. Exemption Period
 222. Exemption Status
 223. Exemption Type
 224. Exemption Code
 225. Exemption Authority
 226. Exemption Date
 227. Exemption Period
 228. Exemption Status
 229. Exemption Type
 230. Exemption Code
 231. Exemption Authority
 232. Exemption Date
 233. Exemption Period
 234.

END

FILMED



ADAMK