

AD-A143 566 RESIDUAL STRESS MEASUREMENT IN CIRCULAR STEEL CYLINDER 1/1

(U) ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER

WATERVLIET NY L. J. FRANKEL ET AL. MAY 84

UNCLASSIFIED ARLCB-TR-84018 SBI-AD-E440 246


F/G 11/6

NL

END

FIN MED

DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

12

AD-E440 246

TECHNICAL REPORT ARLCB TR-84018

RESIDUAL STRESS MEASUREMENT IN CIRCULAR STEEL CYLINDER

AD-A143 566

J. FRANKEL
W. SCHOLZ
G. CAPSIMALIS
W. KORMAN

MAY 1984



US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER
LARGE CALIBER WEAPON SYSTEMS LABORATORY
BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY
WATERVLIET N.Y. 12189

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

DTIC FILE COPY

DTIC

UNCLASSIFIED

JUL 8 1984

84 07 02 009

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER ARLCB-TR- 84018	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD A143 586	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) RESIDUAL STRESS MEASUREMENT IN CIRCULAR STEEL CYLINDER		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) J. Frankel, W. Scholz*, G. Capsimalis, and W. Korman (*See reverse)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Armament Research & Development Center Benet Weapons Laboratory, DRSMC-LCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS AMCMS No.6940.0R.3570.0 Prom No.1A425N1A1A
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Armament Research & Development Center Large Caliber Weapon Systems Laboratory Dover, NJ 07801		12. REPORT DATE May 1984
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the IEEE Symposium on Sonics & Ultrasonics, at Atlanta, GA, 31 Oct - 2 Nov 1983. To be published in the Symposium proceedings.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Ultrasonics Residual Stress		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Hoop residual stresses in a right circular steel cylinder were determined by ultrasonic velocity measurements. The zero stress position was obtained from an equilibrium condition, setting the integrated tensile equal to the integrated compressive hoop stress. Absolute stress values were obtained with the help of calibration measurements on a rectangular test bar of the same material, which was subjected to known applied forces. The stresses determined (CONT'D ON REVERSE)		

7. AUTHOR(S) (CONT'D)

*W. Scholz
Physics Department
State University of New York at Albany
Albany, NY 12222

20. ABSTRACT (CONT'D)

from these ultrasonic velocity measurements are in good agreement with values obtained by x-ray diffraction analysis of lattice strains.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11
INTRODUCTION	1
EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS	1
STRESS VELOCITY RELATIONS	3
EXPERIMENTAL METHODS	4
CONCLUSIONS	6
REFERENCES	8

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

- | | |
|--|----|
| 1. Change in Velocity With Applied Tensile Stress for the Calibration Specimen. | 9 |
| 2. Change in Return Time vs. Radial Position of Fifth Echo for the Hollow Cylinder. ID and OD Denote the Inner and Outer Diameter, Respectively. | 10 |
| 3. Comparison of Residual Hoop Stresses Computed From Ultrasonic and X-Ray Measurements. | 11 |

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a pleasure to express our appreciation to Mr. David Kendall for suggesting the problem and for advising us in the course of this work.

INTRODUCTION

It has been long realized that residual stresses in structures can have significant effects on fatigue life. X-ray methods were the earliest quantitative techniques which were used to determine surface residual stresses. Ultrasonic velocities, on the other hand, are sensitive to bulk residual stresses, and may often be simpler and more appropriate to use. In this work we present stress measurements obtained using the ultrasonic method and compare them with the results obtained for the same specimen using x-ray techniques. The close agreement between the results of the two methods gives us a measure of confidence in our methods and assumptions.

The theory of ultrasonic velocity changes due to finite strain has been worked out by Hughes and Kelly (ref 1). These finite strains are associated with residual stresses, and higher order elasticity theory is used to obtain the stress-strain relationships, as well as the effect of the stresses on the longitudinal and transverse ultrasonic velocities. The present method for measuring residual stresses through velocity change measurements is accurate, quick, and straightforward, and does not require extensive training.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Two specimens were used in this work. The stress measurements were performed on a pre-stressed right circular, hollow cylinder 1-7/8 inches thick with an inner and outer diameter of 6-3/8 and 12 inches, respectively. Calibration measurements were carried out on a rectangular test bar of cross-

¹Hughes, D. S. and Kelly, J. L., "Second Order Elastic Deformation of Solids," Phys. Rev. 92, 1953, p. 1145.

sectional area one-half by one-half inch identical in material and cold work directionality to the cylinder. The faces of the test bar as well as the top and bottom faces of the cylinder were ground flat and parallel to better than .0001 inch per inch for the ultrasonic measurement. A 5 MHz shear transducer was placed on a flat face with a high viscosity liquid bond. Shear wave velocity measurements were made as a function of radial position between the inside and outside diameter for the cylinder, and as a function of applied external stress for the test bar.

For our specimens, since the faces which reflect the ultrasonic signal are parallel, any change in velocity can be determined from the change of return time of an echo. The fifth echo was normally chosen as a compromise between the increased accuracy that can be obtained by choosing later echoes versus a reasonable amplitude required for making readings obtained from the earlier echoes. The change in velocity was simply measured by visually observing the change in return time on an oscilloscope screen as a function of stress. The resolution of the return time measurement was better than 5 nsec (5×10^{-9} sec). For the calibration specimen the change in thickness and in area with applied tensile force was also measured to determine velocity and stress. The oscilloscope had a variable delay and a time expander so that any portion of the echo train could be expanded. As time reference we used, in the case of the test bar, the return time for the echo at zero stress, and for the cylinder, the return time at the outer diameter. Only velocity differences are considered here.

STRESS VELOCITY RELATIONS

Residual stresses are associated with the Lamé constants of linear elasticity and also higher order elastic constants from the theory dealing with finite deformations. The analysis treats the ultrasonic wave, which is an infinitesimal periodic deformation, as superimposed on a residual stress which is a static finite deformation of the medium. The ultrasonic wave velocity is sensitive to these stresses. The greatest sensitivity to uniaxial stresses is shown by polarized shear waves, where the direction of propagation is perpendicular and the polarization is parallel to the stress direction.

Equation (1), adapted from Hughes and Kelly (ref 1), gives the shear velocity V_2 for an ultrasonic wave propagating in an isotropic medium along the 1-axis and polarized in a direction perpendicular to it (arbitrarily chosen as the 2-axis).

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 V_2^2 = & \mu + \frac{1}{3K_0} [(3\lambda + 2\mu)\sigma_1 \\ & + (3\lambda + 2\mu + \frac{3n\lambda}{4\mu} + \frac{n}{2})(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \\ & + (m - \frac{n}{2} - 2\lambda - \frac{n\lambda}{2\mu})(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3)] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here, $K_0 = \lambda + 2\mu/3$ is the compressibility, λ and μ are the Lamé constants, and m and n are Murnaghan's second order elastic constants, as defined in Hughes and Kelly. The σ 's are the finite triaxial principal stresses along

¹Hughes, D. S. and Kelly, J. L., "Second Order Elastic Deformation of Solids," Phys. Rev. 92, 1953, p. 1145.

the direction of propagation and perpendicular to it. Equation (1) has also been derived by Bach and Askegaard (ref 2). It can be seen from Eq. (1) that the sound velocity of the shear wave is, in principle, affected by all three principal stresses. Thus, it may be necessary in general, to experimentally determine the factors multiplying the stresses in Eq. (1).

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

In order to determine the factors in parentheses multiplying the stresses in Eq (1), measurements were performed on a rectangular test bar. A force was applied along its length and the velocity of the shear waves polarized parallel and perpendicular to the stress and propagating perpendicular to it were measured. For these experimental conditions, $\sigma_1 = 0$. Figure 1 shows the stress-velocity relationship for the two polarization directions. There is essentially no change of the velocity of the shear wave polarized perpendicular to the stress direction with applied stress, indicating that the factor $(m - n/2 - 2\lambda - n\lambda/2\mu)$ in Eq. (1) is essentially zero for the material investigated here. Thus, setting $V_2 = V_{20} + \Delta V_2$ and $\rho_0 V_{20}^2 = \mu$ we can reduce Eq. (1) to

$$\frac{\Delta V_2}{V_{20}} = \frac{1}{6\mu K_0} \left(3\lambda + 2\mu + \frac{3n\lambda}{4\mu} + \frac{n}{2} \right) \sigma_2 \quad (2)$$

where the factor in front of σ_2 is obtained from the test bar measurements for the change in shear velocity with polarization parallel to the applied tensile stress, as a function of tensile stress (Figure 1). The uncertainty in time

²Bach, F. and Askegaard, V., "General Stress-Velocity Expressions in Acousto-elasticity," Exp. Mech. 19, 1979, p. 69.

together with the cross-sectional dimensions of the test bar result in a $\pm 1\%$ uncertainty in the change of velocity with tensile stress for this case.

Stress measurements on the cylinder were made for waves propagating parallel to its axis and polarized perpendicular to its radius, i.e., along the hoop direction. Figure 2 shows the change in return time of the fifth echo of an echo train generated by the 5 MHz shear transducer whose polarization direction is in the hoop direction. The time increments were measured taking the slowest return time as a reference. The procedure was to choose one echo (the fifth), display it at the right hand side of the cathode ray tube by using the variable delay and the 20 nsec/cm oscilloscope sweep rate, while the transducer is at the position for greatest tensile residual stress. Then, as the transducer moved across the specimen from the outside to the inside diameter, the change in return time of the echo is noted as it moves across the screen.

In order to correlate the time changes with the actual stress we need a way of establishing the zero stress position. For a hollow cylinder of inner and outer radius a and b , respectively, equilibrium conditions require that the integrated hoop stress

$$\int_a^b \sigma_H dr = 0.$$

Therefore, we can determine the zero residual stress level by balancing the tensile and the compressive hoop stresses as indicated in Figure 2.

Because of various directional properties of the material before the cold working, we did not expect that the zero residual stress position would yield elastic constants that are indicative of the material in its isotropic state;

but the zero residual stress position found in this manner is the zero for the residual stresses imposed by the cold working (autofrettage) process.

The hoop stresses can now be determined with the help of Eq. (2) in combination with the calibration data (see Figure 2). Since the cylinder is short, the assumption implicit in Eq. (2) that $\sigma_1 = 0$ can be considered valid. Here we also assumed that the linear relationship between change in velocity and tensile stress could be extended for compressive stresses with the same slope (ref 3).

Figure 3 shows the results. Also shown for comparison are the results of x-ray studies to measure the residual stress (ref 4). The latter were obtained by using a stress goniometer. The stress values were obtained from the product of the x-ray elastic constant (measured independently) and the slope of the least squares line of strain vs. $\sin^2\psi$ (ψ is the angle of inclination with the normal).

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the two methods agree to a remarkable degree, thus giving us confidence that the methods described can be used to obtain residual stresses in industrial components made of steel. The ultrasonic method is quick, simple, and accurate. The specimens must have two parallel faces and the stresses must be independent of distance from these faces. If the zero

³Holler, P., "Structure and Stress Analysis of Steels by Ultrasonic and Micromagnetic Methods," Symposium on Nondestructive Methods for Material Property Deformations, Hershey, PA, 6-8 April 1983.

⁴Capsimalis, G. P., Haggerty, R. F., and Loomis, K., "Computer Controlled X-Ray Stress Analysis for Inspection of Manufactured Components," Technical Report WVT-TR-77001, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, NY, January 1977.

stress position is to be found, then additional information must be brought in, as in our case, the balance of forces. Then, the changes in shear wave velocity can be taken with respect to that position to evaluate the actual positive or negative stress values. This assumes a linear superposition of velocities due to stresses and other extraneous effects which may result from previous heat treatment or cold working. The methods given here will be even more effective for metals like aluminum which have a larger velocity stress coefficient and lower attenuation than steel.

REFERENCES

1. Hughes, D. S. and Kelly, J. L., "Second Order Elastic Deformation of Solids," Phys. Rev. 92, 1953, p. 1145.
2. Bach, F. and Askegaard, V., "General Stress-Velocity Expressions in Acoustoelasticity," Exp. Mech. 19, 1979, p. 69.
3. Holler, P., "Structure and Stress Analysis of Steels by Ultrasonic and Micromagnetic Methods," Symposium on Nondestructive Methods for Material Property Deformations, Hershey, PA, 6-8 April 1983.
4. Capsimalis, G. P., Haggerty, R. F., Loomis, K., "Computer Controlled X-Ray Stress Analysis for Inspection of Manufactured Components," Technical Report WVT-TR-77001, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, NY, January 1977.

EXPERIMENTAL CALIBRATION




Figure 1. Change in Velocity With Applied Tensile Stress for the Calibration Specimen




Figure 2. Change in Return Time vs. Radial Position of Fifth Echo for the Hollow Cylinder. ID and OD Denote the Inner and Outer Diameter, Respectively.

RESIDUAL STRESS

MEASURED BY BOTH X RAY ||| AND
ULTRASONIC ○○○ TECHNIQUES




Figure 3. Comparison of Residual Hoop Stresses Computed From Ultrasonic and X-Ray Measurements.

TECHNICAL REPORT INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>
CHIEF, DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING BRANCH	
ATTN: DRSMC-LCB-D	1
-DP	1
-DR	1
-DS (SYSTEMS)	1
-DS (ICAS GROUP)	1
-DC	1
CHIEF, ENGINEERING SUPPORT BRANCH	
ATTN: DRSMC-LCB-S	1
-SE	1
CHIEF, RESEARCH BRANCH	
ATTN: DRSMC-LCB-R	2
-R (ELLEN FOGARTY)	1
-RA	1
-RM	2
-RP	1
-RT	1
TECHNICAL LIBRARY	5
ATTN: DRSMC-LCB-TL	
TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS & EDITING UNIT	2
ATTN: DRSMC-LCB-TL	
DIRECTOR, OPERATIONS DIRECTORATE	1
DIRECTOR, PROCUREMENT DIRECTORATE	1
DIRECTOR, PRODUCT ASSURANCE DIRECTORATE	1

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY DIRECTOR, BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, ATTN: DRSMC-LCB-TL, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (CONT'D)

	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>		<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>
COMMANDER		DIRECTOR	
US ARMY MATERIALS & MECHANICS		US NAVAL RESEARCH LAB	
RESEARCH CENTER	2	ATTN: DIR, MECH DIV	1
ATTN: TECH LIB - DRXMR-PL		CODE 26-27, (DOC LIB)	1
WATERTOWN, MA 01272		WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375	
COMMANDER		COMMANDER	
US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE		AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LABORATORY	
ATTN: CHIEF, IPO	1	ATTN: AFATL/DLJ	1
P.O. BOX 12211		AFATL/DLJG	1
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709		EGLIN AFB, FL 32542	
COMMANDER		METALS & CERAMICS INFO CTR	
US ARMY HARRY DIAMOND LAB		BATTELLE COLUMBUS LAB	1
ATTN: TECH LIB	1	505 KING AVENUE	
2800 POWDER MILL ROAD		COLUMBUS, OH 43201	
ADELPHIA, MD 20783			
COMMANDER			
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CTR			
ATTN: TECHNICAL LIBRARY	1		
CODE X212			
DAHLGREN, VA 22448			

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER,
US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, DRSMC-LCB-TL,
WATERVLIET, NY 12189, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	NO. OF COPIES		NO. OF COPIES
ASST SEC OF THE ARMY RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT ATTN: DEP FOR SCI & TECH THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20315	1	COMMANDER US ARMY AMCCOM ATTN: DRSMC-LEP-L(R) ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299	1
COMMANDER DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CENTER ATTN: DTIC-DDA CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314	12	COMMANDER ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL ATTN: SMCRI-ENM (MAT SCI DIV) ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299	1
COMMANDER US ARMY MAT DEV & READ COMD ATTN: DRUDE-SG 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333	1	DIRECTOR US ARMY INDUSTRIAL BASE ENG ACTV ATTN: DRXIB-M ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299	1
COMMANDER ARMAMENT RES & DEV CTR US ARMY AMCCOM ATTN: DRSMC-LC(D) DRSMC-LCE(D) DRSMC-LCM(D) (BLDG 321) DRSMC-LCS(D) DRSMC-LCU(D) DRSMC-LCW(D) DRSMC-SCM-O (PLASTICS TECH EVAL CTR, BLDG. 351N)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	COMMANDER US ARMY TANK-AUTMV R&D COMD ATTN: TECH LIB - DRSTA-TSL WARREN, MI 48090	1
DRSMC-TSS(D) (STINFO) DOVER, NJ 07801	2	COMMANDER US ARMY TANK-AUTMV COMD ATTN: DRSTA-RC WARREN, MI 48090	1
DIRECTOR BALLISTICS RESEARCH LABORATORY ARMAMENT RESEARCH & DEV CTR US ARMY AMCCOM ATTN: DRSMC-TSB-S (STINFO) ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005	1	COMMANDER US MILITARY ACADEMY ATTN: CHMN, MECH ENGR DEPT WEST POINT, NY 10996	1
MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTV ATTN: DRXY-MP ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005	1	US ARMY MISSILE COMD REDSTONE SCIENTIFIC INFO CTR ATTN: DOCUMENTS SECT, BLDG. 4484 REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35898	2
		COMMANDER US ARMY FGN SCIENCE & TECH CTR ATTN: DRXST-SD 220 7TH STREET, N.E. CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22901	1

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER,
US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, DRSMC-LCB-TL,
WATERVLIET, NY 12189, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.