


AD-A143 939


NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS
BRISTOL RESERVOIR NUM..(U) CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM
MA NEW ENGLAND DIV JUN 81

1/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 13/13 NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A143 939

FARMINGTON RIVER BASIN
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT

**BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO.4 DAM
CT 00364**

**PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM**

DTIC FILE COPY



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

This document has been prepared
for public release. Its use and
distribution is unlimited.

JUNE 1981

DTIC
AUG 3 1984
E

84 08 07 049

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER CT 00364	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A143939	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Bristol Reservoir No.4 Dam		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED 424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02254		12. REPORT DATE June 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 75
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		16a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, National Dam Inspection Program; however, the official title of the program is: National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of report.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Farmington River Basin Harwinton, Connecticut		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Bristol Reservoir No.4 Dam is a storage reservoir for public water supply. The dam consists of an earth embankment structure with a maximum height of 40 feet, a top width of 8 feet, and a total length of 970 feet including a 29.7 foot long concrete overflow spillway. Based on the visual inspection, the dam is judged to be in fair condition. The dam is classified as "Intermediate" in size with a "Significant" hazard potential. A test flood equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ the PMF was selected.		



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
424 TRAPELO ROAD
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

NEDED

JUL 28 1981

Honorable William A. O'Neill
Governor of the State of Connecticut
State Capitol
Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Dear Governor O'Neill:

Inclosed is a copy of the Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam (CT-00364) Phase I Inspection Report, prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. I approve the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is vitally important.

Copies of this report have been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Protection, and to the owner, Bristol Water Department, Bristol, CT. Copies will be available to the public in thirty days.

I wish to thank you and the Department of Environmental Protection for your cooperation in this program.

Sincerely,

C. E. EDGAR, III
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
Commander and Division Engineer

Incl
As stated

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Date	
Dist	
A-1	



BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 DAM
CT 00364

FARMINGTON RIVER BASIN
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM
PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

IDENTIFICATION NO: CT 00364
NAME OF DAM: Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam
TOWN: Harwinton
COUNTY AND STATE: Litchfield County, Connecticut
STREAM: Poland River
DATE OF INSPECTION: April 28, 1981

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam is a storage reservoir for public water supply owned by the Bristol Water Department. The dam consists of an earth embankment structure with a maximum height of 40 feet, a top width of 8 feet, and a total length of 970 feet including a 29.7 foot long concrete overflow spillway. The outlet works consist of a 20-inch cast iron pipe through the dam with an upstream gatehouse.

Based on the visual inspection, the dam is judged to be in fair condition. Features that could affect the future integrity of the dam are the downstream seepage, trees growing adjacent to the downstream toe and in the auxiliary spillway channel, continued undermining of the spillway apron and continued deterioration of the outlet works endwall.

The dam is classified as "Intermediate" in size with a "Significant" hazard potential. A Test Flood equal to one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (1/2 PMF) was selected in accordance with the Corps of Engineers' Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams. The Test Flood inflow of 1,840 cfs results in a


routed outflow of 1,410 cfs that would overtop the dam by 0.2 feet.

The spillway capacity without flashboards in place is 760 cfs or 54 percent of the Test Flood routed outflow.

It is recommended that the owner retain the services of a qualified, registered engineer to investigate the downstream seepage and the undermining of the spillway apron and to perform a detailed hydraulic and hydrologic analysis. In addition, flashboards should be removed from the spillway, trees should be removed from downstream of the dam and in the auxiliary spillway channel, the outlet works endwall should be repaired or replaced, a program of technical inspections should be instituted, an Operations and Maintenance Manual should be prepared, and a downstream warning system should be developed.

The owner should implement these recommendations as described herein and in greater detail in Section 7 of this report within one year of receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.


Ronald G. Litke, P.E.
Project Engineer


Roald Haestad
President




This Phase I Inspection Report on Bristol Reservoir No.4 Dam (CT-00364) has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, and with good engineering judgement and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.



JOSEPH W. FINEGAN, JR. MEMBER
Water Control Branch
Engineering Division




ARAMAST MAHTESIAN, MEMBER
Geotechnical Engineering Branch
Engineering Division



CARNEY M. TERZIAN, CHAIRMAN
Design Branch
Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:



JOE B. FRYAR
Chief, Engineering Division

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the

condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I Inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test Flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

The Phase I Investigation does not include an assessment of the need for fences, gates, no-trespassing signs, repairs to existing fences and railings and other items which may be needed to minimize trespass and provide greater security for the facility and safety of the public. An evaluation of the project for compliance with OSHA rules and regulations is also excluded.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	i
BRIEF ASSESSMENT	ii - iii
REVIEW BOARD PAGE	iv
PREFACE	v - vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii - ix
OVERVIEW PHOTO	x
LOCATION PLAN	xi

INDEX TO REPORT

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
1. <u>PROJECT INFORMATION</u>	1 - 9
1.1 GENERAL	1
a. AUTHORITY	1
b. PURPOSE OF INSPECTION	1
1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT	2 - 5
a. LOCATION	2
b. DESCRIPTION OF DAM AND APPURTENANCES	2 - 3
c. SIZE CLASSIFICATION	3 - 4
d. HAZARD CLASSIFICATION	4
e. OWNERSHIP	4
f. OPERATOR	5
g. PURPOSE OF DAM	5
h. DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	5
i. NORMAL OPERATIONAL PROCEDURE	
1.3 PERTINENT DATA	6 - 9
2. <u>ENGINEERING DATA</u>	10 - 11
2.1 DESIGN DATA	10
2.2 CONSTRUCTION DATA	10
2.3 OPERATION DATA	10 - 11
2.4 EVALUATION OF DATA	11

DESCRIPTION	PAGES
3. <u>VISUAL INSPECTION</u>	12 - 15
3.1 FINDINGS	12 - 15
a. GENERAL	12
b. DAM	12 - 13
c. APPURTENANT STRUCTURES	13 - 14
d. RESERVOIR AREA	14
e. DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL	15
3.2 EVALUATION	15
4. <u>OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES</u>	16
4.1 OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	16
a. GENERAL	16
b. DESCRIPTION OF ANY WARNING SYSTEM IN EFFECT	16
4.2 MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	16
a. GENERAL	16
b. OPERATING FACILITIES	16
4.3 EVALUATION	16
5. <u>EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES</u>	17 - 19
5.1 GENERAL	17
5.2 DESIGN DATA	18
5.3 EXPERIENCE DATA	18
5.4 TEST FLOOD ANALYSIS	18 - 19
5.5 DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS	19
6. <u>EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY</u>	20
6.1 VISUAL OBSERVATION	20
6.2 DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION DATA	20
6.3 POST-CONSTRUCTION CHANGES	20
6.4 SEISMIC STABILITY	20

DESCRIPTION	PAGES
7. <u>ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES</u>	21 - 23
7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT	21
a. CONDITION	21
b. ADEQUACY OF INFORMATION	21
c. URGENCY	21
7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS	22
7.3 REMEDIAL MEASURES	22
a. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	22
7.4 ALTERNATIVES	23

INDEX TO APPENDIXES

APPENDIX	DESCRIPTION	PAGES
A	INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1 - A-8
B	ENGINEERING DATA	B-1 - B-9
C	PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1 - C-9
D	HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS	D-1 - D-48
E	INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS	



OVERVIEW PHOTO

U S ARMY ENGINEER DIV NEW ENGLAND
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT


NATIONAL PROGRAM OF
INSPECTION OF
NON-FED DAMS

PROJECT NO. 100-1-100

FOR APP. RIVER

MAINTENANCE IMPROVEMENT

100-1-100



LOCATION PLAN

BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 DAM
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.

SCALE: 1" = 2000'

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM
PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT

BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 DAM

PROJECT INFORMATION

SECTION 1

1.1 General

a. Authority

Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Roald Haestad, Inc., has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Connecticut. Authorization and notice to proceed were issued to Roald Haestad, Inc. under a letter of March 30, 1981, from William E. Hodgson, Jr., Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW33-80-C-0048 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. Purpose of Inspection

The purposes of the program are to:

1. Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-federal dams to identify conditions requiring correction in a timely manner by non-federal interest.
2. Encourage and prepare the States to quickly initiate effective dam inspection programs for non-federal dams.
3. To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Location

The dam is located on the Poland River adjacent to Connecticut Route 72 approximately 2.5 miles south of the intersection of Route 72 with Connecticut Route 4, in the Town of Harwinton, Connecticut. The dam is shown on the Thomaston Quadrangle map having coordinates of latitude N41°-44.5' and longitude W73°-01.3'.

b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

The dam consists of an earth embankment structure with a maximum height of 40 feet and a total length of 970 feet including a 29.7 foot long overflow spillway. In plan the dam essentially has three angle points along its axis and roughly takes the shape of the letter "W". Approximately 285 feet from the left end of the dam, the axis turns approximately 90° to the right and then proceeds approximately 335 feet to a second angle point. The overflow spillway is located near the center of this 335 foot long section. At the second angle point the axis turns approximately 45° to the left and proceeds 160 feet to the third angle point where it deflects approximately 45° back to the right and continues for 190 feet to the right abutment. The outlet works are located 130 feet from the right abutment in this final section of the dam. See Figure 2, page B-1 in Appendix B.

The dam, originally constructed in 1905 - 1906, consisted of an earth embankment with a maximum height of 33 feet, an upstream slope of 1-1/2 horizontal to 1 vertical protected by slope paving on a gravel base, a downstream slope of 2 horizontal to 1 vertical and a crest width of 14 feet. A stone masonry corewall extended

from "good bottom" to 3 feet below the crest of the dam. In 1910 the dam was raised 7 feet by constructing a concrete corewall on the existing stone masonry one and completing the embankments. The present dam has a crest width of 8 feet and a downstream slope of 2 horizontal to 1 vertical for the upper 15 feet and 3 horizontal to 1 vertical for the remaining slope. At the upstream edge of the crest the 1-1/2 horizontal to 1 vertical upstream slope meets the concrete corewall approximately 2.5 - 3 feet below the crest of the dam. See pages B-3 - B-6 in Appendix B.

The overflow spillway consists of a concrete broad-crested weir with concrete training walls. The top of the dam is 4 feet above the top of the spillway and 2.9 feet above the top of 13-inch high flashboards which are normally in place. The flashboards consist of removable timber planks resting against steel pins in the spillway crest. A steel beam and wood deck bridge spans the spillway. The spillway discharge normally flows through a narrow channel excavated into ledge which discharges into a natural streambed below the outlet works. Large spillway flows discharge through an auxiliary channel approximately 100 feet downstream of the dam. See Figure 2, page B-1 in Appendix B.

The outlet works consist of a 20-inch cast iron pipe through the embankment with an upstream gatehouse. There are 3 intake gate valves at varying elevations on the upstream wall of the gatehouse and an outlet sluice gate on the downstream wall.

c. Size Classification - "Intermediate"

According to the Corps of Engineers' Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, a dam is classified as

"Intermediate" in size if the height is between 40 feet and 100 feet or the dam impounds between 1,000 Acre-Feet and 50,000 Acre-Feet. The dam has a maximum height of 40 feet and a maximum storage capacity of 945 Acre-Feet. Therefore the dam is classified as "Intermediate" in size based on the height of 40 feet.

d. Hazard Classification - "Significant"

Based on the Corps of Engineers' Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, the hazard classification of the dam is "Significant". A dam failure analysis indicates that Connecticut Route 72 located downstream of the dam would be flooded for a distance of about 2 miles by depths of up to 5 feet as a result of the dam failure. Further downstream the flood waters would overtop Route 72 at several locations, Preston Road and the dam at Bristol Reservoir No. 3 (incorrectly labeled Bristol Reservoir No. 2 on the U.S.G.S. Quadrangle Sheet). The lower portions of a factory complex consisting of several interconnecting buildings located 3 miles below the dam would also be inundated to a maximum depth of about 1 foot.

The dam is classified as "Significant" hazard potential because of the possible loss of a few lives and downstream property damage should the dam fail.

e. Ownership

Bristol Water Department
119 Riverside Street
Bristol, Connecticut 06010
John Burns, Superintendent
(203) 582-7431

f. Operator

Leonard Valentino
Bristol Filter Plant
Off of Clark Avenue
Bristol, Connecticut 06010
(203) 583-6504

g. Purpose of Dam

The dam impounds Bristol Reservoir No. 4, a storage reservoir for public water supply for the Bristol Water Department.

h. Design and Construction History

The dam was constructed in 1905 - 1906 as shown on plans prepared by Freeman C. Coffin, Civil and Hyd. Eng. The dam was raised 7 feet in 1910 - 1911 as shown on plans prepared by Metcalf and Eddy, Consulting Engineers. See pages B-3 - B-6 in Appendix B.

i. Normal Operational Procedures

Water is drawn from the reservoir by opening one of the intake gate valves in the gatehouse, as required to supply water to a downstream distribution reservoir.

1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area

The drainage area consists of 1.7 square miles of "rolling" wooded hills with very sparse development. Most of the drainage area is owned by the Bristol Water Department.

b. Discharge at Damsite

Discharge at the damsite is over a 29.7 foot long concrete spillway. The outlet works consist of a 20" cast iron pipe through the dam with an upstream gatehouse. The gatehouse contains 3 intake gate valves at varying elevations and an outlet sluice gate.

1. Outlet Works (conduits) Size:	12"	12"	20"
Invert Elevation at Gatehouse:	836.5±	826.5±	816.0*
Discharge Capacity:	16 cfs	17 cfs	60 cfs
2. Maximum Known Flood at Damsite:	Unknown		
3. Ungated Spillway Capacity at Top of Dam:	760 cfs	480 cfs**	
Elevation:	856.0	856.0	
4. Ungated Spillway Capacity at Test Flood Elevation:	820		
Elevation:	856.2		
5. Gated Spillway Capacity at Normal Pool Elevation:	N/A		
Elevation:	N/A		
6. Gated Spillway Capacity at Test Flood Elevation:	N/A		
Elevation:	N/A		
7. Total Spillway Capacity at Test Flood Elevation:	820 cfs		
Elevation:	856.2		
8. Total Project Discharge at Top of Dam:	760 cfs	480 cfs**	
Elevation:	856.0	856.0	
9. Total Project Discharge at Test Flood Elevation:	1410 cfs		
Elevation:	856.2		

*At outlet

**with 13-inch Flashboards

c. Elevation - Feet Above Mean Sea Level (NGVD)

1. Streambed at Toe of Dam:	816.0
2. Bottom of Cutoff:	Unknown
3. Maximum Tailwater:	N/A
4. Normal Pool:	853.1 (with flashboards)
5. Full Flood Control Pool:	N/A
6. Spillway Crest:	852.0
7. Design Surcharge - Original Design:	Unknown
8. Top of Dam:	856.0
9. Test Flood Surcharge:	856.2

d. Reservoir - Length in Feet

1. Normal Pool:	4,100'
2. Flood Control Pool:	N/A
3. Spillway Crest Pool:	4,100'
4. Top of Dam:	4,400'
5. Test Flood Pool:	4,400'

e. Storage - Acre-feet

1. Normal Pool:	807 (with flashboards)
2. Flood Control Pool:	N/A
3. Spillway Crest Pool:	764
4. Top of Dam:	945
5. Test Flood Pool:	1000

f. Reservoir Surface - Acres

1. Normal Pool:	45 (with flashboards)
2. Flood-Control Pool:	N/A
3. Spillway Crest:	43
4. Test Flood Pool:	50
5. Top of Dam:	50

g. Dam

1. Type: Earth embankment, at upstream edge of crest
corewall meets upstream slope 2.5' - 3' below
the crest.
2. Length: 970'
3. Height: 40'
4. Top Width: 8 foot earth embankment, 16-inch corewall
5. Side Slopes: Upstream - 1.5 horizontal to 1 vertical
Downstream - 2 horizontal to 1 vertical (upper 15')
3 horizontal to 1 vertical (remaining
height)
6. Zoning: Embankment upstream of corewall to be "selected
material, the most impervious available"; down-
stream of corewall to be "selected material, the
most porous available"
7. Impervious Core: Lower portion rubble masonry; upper 10' of con-
crete
8. Cutoff: Rubble masonry corewall extended to "good bottom"
9. Grout Curtain: None
10. Other:

h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel - N/A

i. Spillway

- | | |
|------------------------|---|
| 1. Type: | Concrete broad-crested weir |
| 2. Length of Weir: | 29.7 |
| 3. Crest Elevation | |
| with Flashboards: | 853.3 |
| without Flashboards: | 352 |
| 4. Gates: | N/A |
| 5. Upstream Channel: | Concrete training walls |
| 6. Downstream Channel: | Below concrete apron, channel is in ledge. Heavy riprap placed on channel banks where channel bends back toward downstream toe. |
| 7. General: | Normal spillway discharge flows through narrow channel excavated in ledge, large discharges flow through auxiliary channel. |

j. Regulating Outlets

- | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|-------|------|
| 1. Invert at Gatehouse: | 836.5 | 826.5 | 816* |
| 2. Size: | 12" | 12" | 20" |
| 3. Description: | 2-12" and 1-20" cast iron pipe from upstream slope to gatehouse; 1-20" cast iron pipe from the gatehouse to downstream toe | | |
| 4. Control Mechanism: | 3 manually operated intake gate valves and 1 manually operated outlet sluice gate in upstream gatehouse | | |
| 5. Other: | Maximum capacity - 60 cfs | | |

*at outlet

ENGINEERING DATA

SECTION 2

2.1 Design Data

Design data for the original construction of the dam consists of two drawings, one entitled "Bristol Water Works, Drawings of Dam, Gridley Pond" and the other entitled "Bristol Water Works, Dam at Gridley Pond, Sections Through Embankment and Drawings of Gate House". Both drawings were dated March 1905 and were prepared by Freeman C. Coffin, Civil and Hyd. Eng., 53 State Street, Boston. Design data for the raising of the dam consisted of two drawings prepared for the Bristol Water Company by Metcalf and Eddy, Consulting Engineers, Boston, Massachusetts. One drawing dated August 20, 1910 is entitled "Plan for Raising Dam No. 4 to 7 Ft. Above Its Present Location". The other drawing is entitled "Spillway and Channel Walls after Raising Water Level 7 Feet" and is dated September 16, 1910.

2.2 Construction Data

Construction data consisted of the above-noted plans. The "Plan for Raising Dam No. 4" was marked up in red pencil to indicate the conditions when work was stopped October 20, 1910. The spillway, the upstream face of the exposed portion of the core-wall and the gatehouse were reportedly gunited about 8 years ago by Penetryn Systems, Inc.

2.3 Operation Data

Lake levels are recorded once a month, and do not necessarily coincide with maximum water levels. The amount of flow over the spillway during the August and October 1955 Floods is unknown

because the area was inaccessible due to downstream flooding.

An inspection report prepared by S.E. Minor and Company, Inc., Civil Engineers for the State of Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection in 1975 was available and reviewed. See pages B-7 - B-9 in Appendix B.

2.4 Evaluation of Data

a. Availability

Existing data was provided by the Bristol Water Department and the State of Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection.

b. Adequacy

The information that was available, along with the visual inspection, past performance history, and hydraulic and hydrologic calculations performed for this report, were adequate to assess the condition of the dam.

c. Validity

Field inspections and surveys revealed that the dam is constructed substantially as shown on the existing drawings.

VISUAL INSPECTION

SECTION 3

3.1 Findings

a. General

The visual inspection of the dam was conducted on April 28, 1981. At the time of inspection the water level was at the top of 13-inch high flashboards.

The dam consists of an earth embankment structure with a concrete overflow spillway and outlet works. A concrete core-wall on the upstream edge of the crest meets the upstream slope approximately 2.5 - 3 feet below the top of the dam, Photo 1. In plan the dam has three angle points along its axis and roughly takes the shape of the letter "W".

The general condition of the dam at the time of inspection was fair.

b. Dam

The upstream slope of the dam, below the water level, is protected by a layer of riprap slope paving which appears to be in good condition, Photo 2. A concrete facing has been placed at the junction of the upstream slope with the corewall along portions of the dam. The facing is cracked and broken, Photo 2. The upstream face of the concrete corewall appears to be in good condition.

The crest of the dam is covered with a well-maintained grass cover, Photo 3. Sections of the crest appeared to have settled below the top of the corewall, Photo 3, but for the most part the crest was approximately level with the top of the corewall.

The downstream slope of the dam is covered with a well-maintained grass cover, Photos 4 and 5. Several animal burrows were observed on the downstream slope to the left of the spillway, Photo 4. The animal burrows had been backfilled and stabilized with grass. Immediately downstream of the toe, trees have recently been cut from the area to the left of the spillway, Photo 4. Trees are also present at the toe of the dam starting approximately 100 feet to the right of the spillway and ending near the outlet works, Photo 10, and at the intersection of the downstream slope with the right abutment. The area between the dam and Connecticut Route 72 is wet, Photo 5. The ponded water is probably due to a combination of seepage and highway runoff. Approximately 60 feet to the left of the spillway a very small amount of clear seepage was flowing from a wet area downstream of the dam, Photo 6. The area was covered with rust-colored floccules, with adjacent areas stained a rust color.

c. Appurtenant Structures

The appurtenant structures consist of the overflow spillway, a service bridge over the spillway, and the outlet works.

Spillway

The overflow spillway consists of a concrete weir, training walls and apron, Photo 7. Flashboards with a height of 13 inches were in place at the time of inspection. The weir, training walls and apron have been gunited, a thin layer of which appears to be peeling off in some areas. At the base of the training walls there is a separation between the gunite on the walls and the apron, Photo 8. Several hairline cracks were observed in the gunite on

the apron. The end of the spillway apron is undermined, Photo 9. Immediately downstream of the spillway apron, the discharge channel is in ledge. Where the channel bends back toward the toe of the dam, the slopes are protected with heavy riprap, Photo 10.

Service Bridge

The service bridge over the spillway consists of a wood deck supported by steel beams which bear on the training walls, Photo 7. The bridge appears to be in good condition, however, the steel beams are not painted and were rusted.

Outlet Works

The outlet works consist of a 20-inch cast iron pipe through the earth embankment and a gatehouse at the crest of the dam. The gatehouse contains three manually operated intake gates at varying elevations, and an outlet sluice gate. All gates were reported to be operable. The outside of the brick gatehouse has been gunited and appears to be in good condition, Photo 11. The floor of the gatehouse consists of a wood grating. Efflorescence is present on the inside of the concrete walls of the structure. The end wall at the discharge end of the 20-inch cast iron pipe is badly deteriorated, Photo 12. Immediately below the end wall there is what appears to be a seep coming from under one of the stones in the outlet channel. Water flowing from this area transported rust-colored floccules, Photo 13.

d. Reservoir Area

There were no signs of instability along the edges of the reservoir in the vicinity of the dam. An area adjacent to the left of the dam appeared to be lower in elevation than the top of the dam. Connecticut Route 72 crosses the reservoir approximately 3,000 feet north of the dam.

e. Downstream Channel

The discharge channel for the outlet works is the natural streambed of the Poland River, Photo 14. Normal spillway flows discharge into this channel through a narrow channel excavated in ledge, Photo 15. Large spillway flows discharge through an auxiliary channel approximately 100 feet downstream of the dam. This channel is lined with boulders and is overgrown with numerous large trees, Photo 16.

3.2 Evaluation

On the basis of the visual inspection, the dam is judged to be in fair condition. The following features could affect the future integrity of the dam:

1. Seepage downstream of the dam could lead to piping and internal erosion;
2. The trees adjacent to the downstream toe could lead to the development of root systems extending into the embankment. The trees could uproot during a storm and cause damage to the embankment. Stumps and root systems can rot, providing paths for seepage;
3. Continued undermining of the spillway apron could lead to the eventual failure of the apron;
4. Continued deterioration of the outlet works end wall could lead to the eventual collapse of the wall;
5. The trees present in the auxiliary spillway discharge channel could obstruct flow.

OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES
SECTION 4

4.1 Operational Data

a. General

Water is drawn from the reservoir by opening one of the intake gates within the gatehouse as required, to supply water to a downstream distribution reservoir.

b. Description of Any Warning System in Effect

There is no formal warning system in effect. The dam is monitored during heavy rains.

4.2 Maintenance Procedures

a. General

Normal maintenance procedures consist of regular mowing and the application of fertilizer and lime to grassed areas of the dam.

b. Operating Facilities

Repairs are made as required.

4.3 Evaluation

Present operations and maintenance procedures are adequate and should remain in effect. An Operations and Maintenance Manual should be prepared for the dam and operating facilities, a formal downstream warning system should be put into effect and technical inspections by a qualified, registered engineer should be made annually.

EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES

SECTION 5

5.1 General

The spillway at Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam consists of a 29.7 foot long broad-crested concrete overflow weir with a vertical upstream face and a slope of 1 horizontal to 3 vertical on the downstream face. At the time of inspection 13-inch high flashboards were in place. A bridge spans the spillway with 3.1 feet of vertical clearance between the upstream beam and the spillway. The crest of the dam is 4.0 feet above the spillway.

The dam has a maximum height of 40 feet and a storage capacity of 945 Acre-Feet at the top of the dam.

The tributary watershed area is 1.7 square miles of "rolling" wooded hills with very sparse development. Most of the watershed is owned by the Bristol Water Department. The watershed elevations range from 1120 feet in the northeast to 852 feet at the spillway.

The outlet works consist of a 20-inch cast iron pipe through the dam with an upstream gatehouse. There were two 12-inch and one 20-inch intake gates on the upstream wall of the gatehouse and one 20-inch outlet sluice gate on the downstream wall. The outlet works have a maximum capacity of about 60 cfs.

5.2 Design Data

Original plans for the dam dated March 1905 were available as well as plans for raising the dam dated August 20 and September 16, 1910. The plans include details of the gate chamber and outlet piping and the spillway. No design computations were available.

5.3 Experience Data

The maximum depth of flow over the spillway is unknown. The dam has never been known to have overtopped. The dam was inaccessible during the August and October 1955 floods because of downstream flooding.

5.4 Test Flood Analysis

Based on the dam failure analysis, the dam is classified as "Significant" hazard potential. The dam is classified as "Intermediate" in size based on a height of 40 feet and a storage capacity of 945 Acre-Feet. According to the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, by the Corps of Engineers, the Test Flood should be in the range of one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (1/2 PMF) to the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). A Test Flood equal to the 1/2 PMF was selected because the height and storage capacity of the dam are low for an "Intermediate" sized structure.

A Test Flood equal to the 1/2 PMF was calculated using a peak inflow for the PMF of 2,125 cubic feet per second per square mile (csm) from the minimum two square mile drainage area shown on the Corps of Engineers' Guide Curves for "rolling" terrain and the 1.7 square mile watershed of Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam. The peak 1/2 PMF inflow calculated to be 1,840 cfs results in a Test Flood routed outflow of 1,410 cfs which would overtop the dam by 0.2 feet. Initial water level was assumed to be at spillway level with no flashboards. The flood routing through the reservoir was done in accordance with the Corps of Engineers' "Estimating Effect of Surcharge Storage on Probable Maximum Discharges". The spillway capacity without flashboards was calculated to be 760 cfs or

54 percent of the 1/2 PMF Test Flood routed outflow. With the 13-inch high flashboards which are normally in place the spillway capacity is 480 cfs or 34 percent of the Test Flood routed outflow.

5.5 Dam Failure Analysis

A dam failure analysis was made using the Corps of Engineers' ' "Rule of Thumb" Guidance for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs'. Failure was assumed when the water level reached the top of the dam. The 40 foot deep by 50 foot wide breach would release up to 21,300 cfs into the stream channel below the dam.

The flood wave would produce depths of 10 to 18 feet in the Poland River overtopping Connecticut Route 72 for a distance of about 2 miles by depths of up to 5 feet. Further downstream, the flood would overtop Route 72 at several locations, Preston Road and the dam at Bristol Reservoir No. 3 (incorrectly labeled Bristol Res. No. 2 on the U.S.G.S. Quadrangle Sheet). The flood flow at a factory complex located 3 miles below the dam would have a depth of about 7 feet, and would inundate the lower portions of the factory to a depth of about 1 foot. The factory complex is operated by O-Z/Gedney and consists of several interconnected buildings. See Figures 5 and 5A, pages D-47 and D-48 in Appendix D.

Prior to dam failure the spillway discharge of 760 cfs would have an average depth of flow of about 5 feet with a maximum depth of 10 feet and would not overtop Route 72 or any of the downstream roadways. The depth of flow in the river channel at the factory complex would be about 3 feet with no flooding anticipated.

The dam is classified as "Significant" hazard potential because of the possible loss of a few lives and downstream property damage should the dam fail.

EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

SECTION 6

6.1 Visual Observations

The visual observations did not disclose any indications of structural instability. The future integrity of the dam may be affected by continued seepage downstream of the dam and undermining of the spillway apron.

6.2 Design and Construction Data

Design and construction data consisted of drawings for the original construction of the dam prepared by Freeman C. Coffin, Civil and Hyd. Eng., in 1905 and drawings for the raising of the dam prepared by Metcalf and Eddy, Consulting Engineers in 1910.

6.3 Post-Construction Changes

Since the original construction of the dam in 1905, the dam has been raised 7 feet in 1910 - 1911. Gunite repairs were made by Penetryn Systems, Inc. about 8 years ago to the spillway, the exposed portion of the corewall and the gatehouse.

6.4 Siesmic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone 1 and in accordance with the recommended Phase I Guidelines, does not warrant seismic stability analysis.

ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS, & REMEDIAL MEASURES
SECTION 7

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Condition

On the basis of the visual inspection, the dam is judged to be in fair condition. The future integrity of the dam could be affected by:

1. Downstream seepage;
2. Trees growing adjacent to the downstream toe and in the auxiliary spillway channel;
3. Continued undermining of the spillway apron; and
4. Continued deterioration of the outlet works endwall.

An evaluation of the hydraulic and hydrologic features of the dam determined that the spillway is capable of passing 54 percent of the Test Flood routed outflow before overtopping of the dam occurs.

b. Adequacy of Information

Available information was adequate for performing a Phase I Inspection.

c. Urgency

The recommendations presented in Section 7.2 and 7.3 should be carried out by the owner within one year of receipt of this report.

7.2 Recommendations

The following recommendations should be carried out under the direction of a qualified, registered engineer:

1. Investigate the significance of the seepage at the downstream toe and in the outlet works channel. Design and construct seepage control and/or monitoring measures as needed.
2. Investigate the undermining of the spillway apron and recommend remedial measures as required.
3. Perform a detailed hydraulic and hydrologic analysis in order to determine the need for and means to provide additional project discharge capacity and the effect of the service bridge on the spillway capacity.
4. Remove trees and stumps from the area within 20 feet of the downstream toe.

The owner should implement all recommendations made by the engineer.

7.3 Remedial Measures

a. Operations and Maintenance Procedures

1. Cut trees from the auxiliary spillway channel.
2. Repair or replace the outlet works endwall.
3. Remove the flashboards until detailed hydraulic and hydrologic analysis has been performed and recommendations implemented.
4. Establish a program of annual technical inspections to be made by a qualified, registered engineer.
5. Prepare an Operations and Maintenance Manual for the dam and operating facilities.
6. Develop and put into effect a downstream warning system in the event of an emergency at the dam.

7.4 Alternatives

There are no practical alternatives to the recommendations contained herein.

APPENDIX A

VISUAL CHECK LIST WITH COMMENTS

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST PARTY ORGANIZATION

PROJECT: Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam

DATE: 28 April 1981 TIME: 10:45 a.m. WEATHER: Cloudy 55°F

W.S. ELEVATION: 853.1 U.S. N/A DN.S.
Top of 13-inch
high flashboards

<u>PARTY</u>	<u>DISCIPLINE</u>
1. <u>Roald Haestad, P.E. - Roald Haestad, Inc.</u>	<u>Civil/Geotechnical</u>
2. <u>Donald L. Smith, P.E. - Roald Haestad, Inc.</u>	<u>Civil/Hydrologic</u>
3. <u>Ronald G. Litke, P.E. - Roald Haestad, Inc.</u>	<u>Civil/Structural</u>
4. _____	_____
5. _____	_____
6. _____	_____

<u>PROJECT FEATURE</u>	<u>INSPECTED BY</u>	<u>REMARKS</u>
1. <u>Dam Embankment</u>	<u>RH,DLS,RGL</u>	<u>Good condition</u>
2. <u>Outlet Works - Intake Channel & Intake Structure</u>	<u>RH,DLS,RGL</u>	<u>None observed</u>
3. <u>Outlet Works - Control Tower</u>	<u>RH,DLS,RGL</u>	<u>Good condition</u>
4. <u>Outlet Works - Transition & Conduit</u>	<u>RH,DLS,RGL</u>	<u>20-inch cast iron pipe through dam</u>
5. <u>Outlet Works - Outlet Structure & Outlet Channel</u>	<u>RH,DLS,RGL</u>	<u>Endwall deteriorated; channel is natural stream</u>
6. <u>Outlet Works - Spill. Weir, Appr. & Dis. Channel</u>	<u>RH,DLS,RGL</u>	<u>Undermining at end of spillway apron</u>
7. <u>Outlet Works - Service Bridge</u>	<u>RH,DLS,RGL</u>	<u>Good condition</u>
8. _____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT: Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam DATE: 28 April 1981
 PROJECT FEATURE: Dam Embankment NAME: RH
 DISCIPLINE: Civil Engineers NAME: DLS,RCL

AREA ELEVATION	CONDITIONS
DAM EMBANKMENT	
CREST ELEVATION	856
CURRENT POOL ELEVATION	853.1
MAXIMUM IMPOUNDMENT TO DATE	Unknown
SURFACE CRACKS	None observed
PAVEMENT CONDITION	No pavement - crest is grass-covered
MOVEMENT OR SETTLEMENT OF CREST	Possible settlement of crest downstream of corewall.
LATERAL MOVEMENT	None observed
VERTICAL ALIGNMENT	Good
HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	Good
CONDITION AT ABUTMENT AND AT CONCRETE STRUCTURES	Some settlement downstream of corewall.
INDICATIONS OF MOVEMENT OF STRUCTURAL ITEMS ON SLOPES	No structural items on slopes
TRESPASSING ON SLOPES	Some animal burrows on downstream slope
VEGETATION ON SLOPES	Well-maintained grass cover
SLOUGHING OR EROSION OF SLOPES OR ABUTMENTS	None observed
ROCK SLOPE PROTECTION - RIPRAP FAILURES	Riprap slope paving on upstream; slope in good condition
UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR CRACKING AT OR NEAR TOES	None observed
EMBANKMENT OR DOWNSTREAM SEEPAGE	Wet area between left end of dam and Route 72. Small seep 60 feet to left of spillway.
PIPING OR BOILS	None observed
FOUNDATION DRAINAGE FEATURES	None known
TOE DRAINS	N/A
INSTRUMENTATION SYSTEM	N/A

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT: Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam DATE: 28 April 1961
 PROJECT FEATURE: Intake Channel and Outlet Works - Intake Structure NAME: RM
 DISCIPLINE: Civil Engineers NAME: DLS, RGL

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE	None observed. Plans indicate intake pipes extend from the gatehouse to upstream slope of embankment.
A. APPROACH CHANNEL:	
SLOPE CONDITIONS	
BOTTOM CONDITIONS	
ROCK SLIDES OR FALLS	
LOG BOOM	
DEBRIS	
CONDITION OF CONCRETE LINING	
DRAINS OR WEEP HOLES	
B. INTAKE STRUCTURE:	
CONDITION OF CONCRETE	
STOP LOGS AND SLOTS	

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT: Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam DATE: 28 April 1981

PROJECT FEATURE: Outlet Works - Control Tower NAME: RH

DISCIPLINE: Civil Engineers NAME: DLS, RGL

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<u>OUTLET WORKS - CONTROL TOWER</u>	
A. <u>CONCRETE AND STRUCTURAL:</u>	
<u>GENERAL CONDITION</u>	Good
<u>CONDITION OF JOINTS</u>	No joints observed
<u>SPALLING</u>	None observed
<u>VISIBLE REINFORCING</u>	None observed
<u>RUSTING OR STAINING OF CONCRETE</u>	None observed
<u>ANY SEEPAGE OR EFFLORESCENCE</u>	Some efflorescence on interior walls
<u>JOINT ALIGNMENT</u>	No joints observed
<u>UNUSUAL SEEPAGE OR LEAKS IN GATE CHAMBER</u>	No leaks or seepage observed; intake gates were open; entire chamber not observed.
<u>CRACKS</u>	None observed
<u>RUSTING OR CORROSION OF STEEL</u>	N/A
B. <u>MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL:</u>	
<u>AIR VENTS</u>	N/A
<u>FLOAT WELLS</u>	N/A
<u>CRANE HOIST</u>	N/A
<u>ELEVATOR</u>	N/A
<u>HYDRAULIC SYSTEM</u>	N/A
<u>SERVICE GATES</u>	Reported operable
<u>EMERGENCY GATES</u>	N/A
<u>LIGHTNING PROTECTION SYSTEM</u>	N/A
<u>EMERGENCY POWER SYSTEM</u>	N/A
<u>WIRING AND LIGHTING SYSTEM IN GATE CHAMBER</u>	N/A

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT: Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam DATE: 28 April 1981

PROJECT FEATURE: Outlet Works - Transition and Conduit NAME: RH

DISCIPLINE: Civil Engineers NAME: DLS, RGL

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
OUTLET WORKS - TRANSITION AND CONDUIT	Conduit is 20-inch cast iron pipe through dam; not observed
GENERAL CONDITION OF CONCRETE	
RUST OR STAINING ON CONCRETE	
SPALLING	
EROSION OR CAVITATION	
CRACKING	
ALIGNMENT OF MONOLITHS	
ALIGNMENT OF JOINTS	
NUMBERING OF MONOLITHS	

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT: Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam DATE: 28 April 1981

PROJECT FEATURE: Outlet Structure and Outlet Works - Outlet Channel NAME: RH

DISCIPLINE: Civil Engineers NAME: DLS, RGL

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND OUTLET CHANNEL	
GENERAL CONDITION OF CONCRETE	Poor
RUST OR STAINING	Rust-colored staining in streambed approximately 10' downstream
SPALLING	Considerable spalling and deterioration of concrete endwall
EROSION OR CAVITATION	None
VISIBLE REINFORCING	None observed
ANY SEEPAGE OR EFFLORESCENCE	Possible seepage 10' downstream from under rock in channel
CONDITION AT JOINTS	No joints
DRAIN HOLES	N/A
CHANNEL	Natural stream
LOOSE ROCK OR TREES OVERHANGING CHANNEL	None immediately downstream
CONDITION OF DISCHARGE CHANNEL	Good

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT: Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam DATE: 28 April 1981
 PROJECT FEATURE: Spillway Weir, Approach & Outlet Works - Discharge Channels NAME: RH
 DISCIPLINE: Civil Engineers NAME: DLS, RGL

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<u>OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS</u>	
A. <u>APPROACH CHANNEL:</u>	
<u>GENERAL CONDITION</u>	Good
<u>LOOSE ROCK OVERHANGING CHANNEL</u>	None
<u>TREES OVERHANGING CHANNEL</u>	None
<u>FLOOR OF APPROACH CHANNEL</u>	Could not be observed
B. <u>WEIR AND TRAINING WALLS:</u>	
<u>GENERAL CONDITION OF CONCRETE</u>	Good; thin layer of gunite peeling off in places; undermining at end of apron.
<u>RUST OR STAINING</u>	None observed
<u>SPALLING</u>	Opening between gunite at base of wall; hairline cracks in floor
<u>ANY VISIBLE REINFORCING</u>	None observed
<u>ANY SEEPAGE OR EFFLORESCENCE</u>	None observed
<u>DRAIN HOLES</u>	N/A
C. <u>DISCHARGE CHANNEL:</u>	
<u>GENERAL CONDITION</u>	Good
<u>LOOSE ROCK OVERHANGING CHANNEL</u>	None observed
<u>TREES OVERHANGING CHANNEL</u>	Some small trees on edge of channel.
<u>FLOOR OF CHANNEL</u>	Ledge at end of spillway apron
<u>OTHER OBSTRUCTIONS</u>	Normal flow goes through narrow channel excavated in ledge. Large flows go through auxiliary channel which has numerous trees growing in it.

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT: Bristol Reservoir No. 4 Dam DATE: 28 April 1981

PROJECT FEATURE: Outlet Works - Service Bridge NAME: RE



DISCIPLINE: Civil Engineers NAME: DLS, RGL

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<u>OUTLET WORKS - SERVICE BRIDGE</u>	
A. <u>SUPER STRUCTURE:</u>	
<u>BEARINGS</u>	Beams appear to bear directly on concrete.
<u>ANCHOR BOLTS</u>	No anchor bolts observed
<u>BRIDGE SEAT</u>	No seat, beams bear on training walls
<u>LONGITUDINAL MEMBERS</u>	Steel beams look good
<u>UNDER SIDE OF DECK</u>	Looks good
<u>SECONDARY BRACING</u>	Appears good
<u>DECK</u>	Wood deck in good condition
<u>DRAINAGE SYSTEM</u>	N/A
<u>RAILINGS</u>	N/A
<u>EXPANSION JOINTS</u>	N/A
<u>PAINT</u>	No paint; portions of beams painted
B. <u>ABUTMENT AND PIERS:</u>	
<u>GENERAL CONDITION OF CONCRETE</u>	Good
<u>ALIGNMENT OF ABUTMENT</u>	Good
<u>APPROACH TO BRIDGE</u>	Good
<u>CONDITION OF SEAT AND BACKWALL</u>	Beams bear on top of training walls

APPENDIX B

ENGINEERING DATA


BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4



NOTE: THE WATER SURFACE ELEVATION SHOWN ON THE 1964 THOMASTON U.S.G.S. QUADRANGLE MAP WAS ASSUMED TO BE THE SPILLWAY CREST ELEVATION. ALL OTHER ELEVATIONS ARE BASED ON THE ASSUMED SPILLWAY CREST ELEVATION.

FIGURE 2

NR NO. 4



Vert.

ROALD HAESTAD, INC CONSULTING ENGINEERS WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT		U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIV NEW ENGLAND CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS	
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED DAMS			
BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 DAM			
DRAWN	CHECKED	APPROVED	SCALES AS NOTED
JRS	RUL	RH	DATE MAY 1981 PAGE B-1


LIST OF REFERENCES

Reference Nos. 1 and 2 are located at the Bristol Water Department, 119 Riverside Street, Bristol, Connecticut 06010. Reference No. 3 is located at the Department of Environmental Protection, Water and Related Resources Unit, State Office Building, Hartford, Connecticut 06115.

1. Plans for the original construction of the dam by Freeman C. Coffin, Civil and Hyd. Eng., March 1905.
2. Plans for the raising of the dam by Metcalf and Eddy, Consulting Engineers, August 20, 1910 and September 16, 1910.
3. Inspection Report by S.E. Minor and Company, Inc., Civil Engineers, for the State of Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection.

NOTE: This dam is planned to be raised 3 feet at some future time


El. 120 Top of El. 120.5 Future dam
El. 120 Top of Dam
El. 120 Top of Core Wall



D SECTION ON LINE D-D


SECTION THROUGH GATE HOUSE ON LINE B-B

Scale 1/16" = 1'



SECTION THROUGH DAM ON LINE C-C

Note See sheet 355 for location of section.



FRONT ELEVATION

Scale 1/16" = 1'

BRISTOL WATER WORKS DAM AT GRIDLEY POND SECTIONS THROUGH EMBANKMENT AND DRAWINGS OF GATE HOUSE

MARCH 1905


Freeman C. Coffin
Civil and Hyd. Eng.
53 State St. Boston

NOTES

SECTION THROUGH GATE HOUSE ON LINE E-F

does not include brick or masonry.




Series B
No. 35P
Del. T
Traced R.
Mar. 7, 05





NOTE: This dam is planned to be raised 3 feet at some future time


NOTE

The rock surface shown is that indicated by test pits except under the pond where no pits or borings have been made. The actual rock surface may vary from that shown. The trench for the wall may have to go lower than shown, and the contractor shall have no claim for extra payment per cubic yard for such excess of depth if it does not average more than 50 per cent greater depth than that shown.






LONGITUDINAL SECTION OF DAM
Scale: Hor. 1" = 30'
Ver. 1" = 10'




BRISTOL WATER WORKS DRAWINGS OF DAM GRIDLEY POND

MARCH 1905

NOT TO SCALE
Freeman C. Curtis
Civil and Mech. Eng.
33 State St. Boston






FLOW ABUTMENT



SECTIONS THROUGH ABUTMENT

Series B
No. 221
Del. T
Revised
M








THE BRISTOL WATER CO
BRISTOL CONN.
PLAN FOR RAISING DAM NO. 4.

TO 7 FT ABOVE ITS PRESENT LOCATION
SCALES AS SHOWN

AUGUST 20, 1910


METCALF AND EDDY
Consulting Engineers
Boston Mass






DRAWN BY JAL
CHECKED BY JAL
CHECKED BY GWS







;



C



YPR

Note - All upright rods to be equiv to $\frac{3}{8}$ " round rods and are to be placed 3 on centers in walls.

THE BRISTOL WATER CO.
BRISTOL, CONN.

SPILLWAY AND CHANNEL WALLS

AFTER RAISING WATER LEVEL 7 FEET

SCALE $\frac{1}{4}$ " TO 1'

SEPT. 16, 1910

METCALF & EDDY,
CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
Boston, Mass.



S. E. MINOR & CO., INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERS
161 MASON STREET
GREENWICH, CONNECTICUT 06830

October 2, 1975

State of Connecticut
Department of Environmental Protection
State Office Building
Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Attention: Mr. Victor F. Galgowski
Superintendent of Dam Maintenance
Water and Related Resources

Re: Bristol Reservoir #4
Harwinton, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Galgowski:

In accordance with your request, we have examined the subject dam in order to ascertain its structural soundness and stability. Prior to our visit to the site, we went to the Town Hall offices and attempted to obtain any structural drawings of the subject installation. We were advised that no plans were on file and that the Town officials had no knowledge whatsoever of the construction of the dam.

Upon visiting the site, we examined the structure which consists of a masonry back and an earthen top and face. The top of the dam is approximately 8 feet wide, and the face has a slope of about one on two. The length of the dam totals approximately 875 feet and contains a valve house approximately 8 feet by 10 feet in area. There is generally 2 feet 6 inches of freeboard on the masonry back of the dam, and the slope from water level runs back at about a one on three slope which is surfaced with concrete and rubble.

The spillway to the Poland River is 30 feet wide with masonry cheek walls on either side and a wooden bridge on steel girders overhead. The top and face of the dam together with the spillway have been properly maintained, and there was no evidence of fissures, leaks, or boils anywhere throughout the face of the 875 foot dam. There was no evidence of overtopping at any time, and bridge computations of the watershed area indicate that the spillway and Poland River are perfectly capable of taking storms with a frequency less often than once in 25 years.

State of Connecticut
Page 2


Re: Bristol Reservoir #4

The enclosed sketch of the dam indicates the general layout of same together with the general dimensions and location of the spillway and bridge. It is our considered opinion that the dam is structurally sound, free from leaks, and that with normal maintenance as evidenced by our visit said dam will remain in service for many years.

Should you have any questions or comments regarding this dam, please feel free to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,


S. E. MINOR & CO., INC.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Edward F. Ahneman, Jr.", is written over the typed name.


Edward F. Ahneman, Jr., P.E.
Chief Engineer

EFA:lb
Enclosure

Area D



Valve House



SECTION
Scale $\frac{3}{4}'' = 1'$


NOT TO SCALE

SKETCH
BRISTOL RESERVOIR* 4
HARWINTON, CONN.

APPENDIX C

PHOTOGRAPHS


BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4




 Denotes photo number and
 direction in which photo
 was taken

FIGURE 3

RESERVOIR NO. 4



ROALD HAESTAD, INC CONSULTING ENGINEERS WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT		U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIV NEW ENGLAND CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS	
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED DAMS			
PHOTO LOCATION PLAN BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 DAM HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT			
DRAWN	CHECKED	APPROVED	SCALES 1" = 80'
JRS	RGL	RH	DATE 5/81 PAGE C-



PHOTO NO. 1

OVERVIEW OF UPSTREAM FACE OF DAM
FROM RIGHT ABUTMENT.



PHOTO NO. 2

RIPRAP SLOPE PROTECTION AND CONCRETE
FACING AT UPSTREAM SLOPE OF DAM.

U S ARMY ENGINEER DIV NEW ENGLAND
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF
INSPECTION OF
NON-FED. DAMS

BRISTOL RES. NO. 4 DAM
POLAND RIVER
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT

CT 00364

17 APRIL 1961




PHOTO NO. 3

UPSTREAM CONCRETE WALL AND CREST.
NOTE POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT OF EMBANKMENT
AND GRASS COVER ON CREST.



PHOTO NO. 4

ANIMAL BURROW ON DOWNSTREAM SLOPE AND CUT TREES AT THE TOE.

U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIV. NEW ENGLAND
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF
INSPECTION OF
NON-FED. DAMS

BRISTOL RES. NO. 4 DAM
POLAND RIVER
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT
CT 00364
28 APRIL '81



PHOTO NO. 5

WET AREA BETWEEN DAM AND ROUTE 72.



PHOTO NO. 6

DOWNSTREAM SEEPAGE. NOTE RUST-COLORED FLOCCULES.

U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIV NEW ENGLAND
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF
INSPECTION OF
NON-FED. DAMS

BRISTOL RES. NO. 4 DAM
POLAND RIVER
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT
CT 00364
28 APRIL '81



PHOTO NO. 7

SPILLWAY AND SERVICE BRIDGE FROM DOWNSTREAM.



PHOTO NO. 8

BASE OF LEFT TRAINING WALL.
NOTE SEPARATION OF GUNITE.

U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIV. NEW ENGLAND
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF
INSPECTION OF
NON-FED. DAMS

BRISTOL RES. NO. 4 DAM
POLAND RIVER
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT
CT 00364
28 APRIL '81



PHOTO NO. 9

END OF SPILLWAY APRON. NOTE UNDERMINING.



PHOTO NO. 10

SPILLWAY DISCHARGE CHANNEL. NOTE LEDGE TO LEFT
AND RIPRAP PLACED ALONG RIGHT SIDE OF CHANNEL TO
PROTECT DOWNSTREAM TOE.

U S ARMY ENGINEER DIV NEW ENGLAND
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF
INSPECTION OF
NON-FED. DAMS

BRISTOL RES. NO. 4 DAM
POLAND RIVER
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT
CT 00364
28 APRIL '81




PHOTO NO. 11

GATEHOUSE



PHOTO NO. 12

ENDWALL AT DISCHARGE END OF OUTLET
WORKS. NOTE DETERIORATION OF CONCRETE.

U.S ARMY ENGINEER DIV NEW ENGLAND
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF
INSPECTION OF
NON-FED. DAMS

BRISTOL RES. NO. 4 DAM
POLAND RIVER
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT
CT 00364
28 APRIL '81



PHOTO NO. 13

POSSIBLE SEEP IN
STREAM BELOW OUTLET
WORKS. NOTE RUST-
COLORED FLOCCULES.



PHOTO NO. 14

NATURAL STREAM BELOW OUTLET WORKS.
SPILLWAY CHANNEL ENTERS AT
LEFT IN BACKGROUND.

U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIV NEW ENGLAND
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF
INSPECTION OF
NON-FED. DAMS

BRISTOL RES. NO. 4 DAM
POLAND RIVER
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT
CT 00364
28 APRIL '81



PHOTO NO. 15

SPILLWAY CHANNEL EXCAVATED IN LEDGE.



PHOTO NO. 16

AUXILIARY SPILLWAY CHANNEL.
NOTE TREES AND BRUSH.

U S ARMY ENGINEER DIV NEW ENGLAND
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS


ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF
INSPECTION OF
NON-FED. DAMS

BRISTOL RES. NO. 4 DAM
POLAND RIVER
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT
CT 00364
28 APRIL '81

APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS




BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 DAM
HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.

SCALE: 1" = 2000'


BY SAL DATE 5/26/81 **ROALD HAESTAD, INC.** SHEET NO. 1 OF 5
 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 CKD BY DLG DATE 5/27/81 37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708 JOB NO. 49-045
 SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - Project discharge capacity

Spillway Profile: (Not to scale)



Note: The bridge's influence on the spillway discharge capacity was not considered.

Dam Profile: (Not to Scale)



Dam Discharge coeff. = 3.0

ELEV. (feet)	W/O FLASHBOARDS			W/FLASHBOARDS		
	Spillway (cfs)	Dam (cfs)	Total Disch. Cap. - (cfs)	Spillway (cfs)	Dam (cfs)	Total Disch. Cap. - (cfs)
852	0	0	0	0	0	0
853.1	110	0	110	0	0	0
854	269	0	269	84	0	84
855	494	0	494	257	0	257
856	760	0	760	484	0	484
857	1,063	2,790	3,853	755	2,790	3,545
858	1,397	7,891	9,288	1,063	7,891	8,954
859	1,760	14,497	16,257	1,405	14,497	15,902

BY SAL DATE 5/26/81

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS


SHEET NO. 2 OF 25

CKD BY DLS DATE 5/27/81

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 49-045

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - Project discharge capacity curve



BY SAL DATE 5/14/81 **ROALD HAESTAD, INC.** SHEET NO. 3 OF 5
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
CKD BY DL DATE 5/27/81 37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708 JOB NO. 49-045
SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - Surcharge storage capacity

ELEV. (feet)	Surface Area (Acres)	Average Surface Area (Acres)	Surcharge Storage Capacity (Acre-Feet)	
			W/o Flashboards	W/Flashboards
852	43 *		0	0
		44		
853.1	45	45.8	48	0
854	46.6	47.45	90	41
855	48.3	49.2	137	89
856	50.1	51.0	186	138
857	51.9	52.8	237	189
858	53.7	54.55	290	242
859	55.4	56.3	345	296
860	57.2		401	353

* The surface area at spillway level was supplied by the Bristol Water Department.

BY SAL DATE 5/26/81

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS


SHEET NO. 4 OF 5

CKD BY DLS DATE 5/27/81

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 419-045

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - Surge storage capacity curve



BY SAL DATE 5/26/81 **ROALD HAESTAD, INC.** SHEET NO. 5 OF 15
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
CKD BY DLG DATE 5/27/81 37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708 JOB NO. 15-045
SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - Test Flood

TEST FLOOD = $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF

Drainage Area = 1,107 Acres = 1.73 sq. mi.

From Corps of Engineers chart for "ROLLING" Terrain

MPF = 2,125 cfs/sq mi (2.0 sq mi. Minimum)

PMF = 2,125 cfs/sq mi $\times$ 1.73 sq. mi = 3,676 cfs

$\frac{1}{2}$ PMF = $\frac{1}{2}$ (3676) = 1,838 use 1,840 cfs

Q_{p1} = 1,840 cfs

Note: The flood routing for the test flood was done assuming no flashboards are in place.

H_1 = 4.4 feet above spillway, from Discharge Capacity Curve

$STOR_1$ = 206 Ac-Ft, from Storage Capacity Curve

= 2.2" of runoff from 1.73 sq. mi.

Note: PMF runoff in New England equals approx. 19".

Therefore $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF runoff equals approx. $\frac{1}{2}(19) = 9.5"$.

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} (1 - \frac{STOR_1}{9.5}) = 1,840 \text{ cfs} (1 - \frac{2.2}{9.5}) = 1,414 \text{ cfs}$

H_2 = 4.2 ft

$STOR_2$ = 196 Ac-Ft

$STOR_{AVE} = (STOR_1 + STOR_2) / 2 = (206 + 196) / 2 = 201 \text{ Ac-Ft}$
= 2.2" of runoff

$Q_{p3} = Q_{p1} (1 - \frac{STOR_{AVE}}{9.5}) = 1,840 \text{ cfs} (1 - \frac{2.2}{9.5}) = 1,414$
use 1,410 cfs

Spillway capacity w/o Flashboards = 760 cfs
(Top of dam)

% of $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF = $(760/1410) \times 100 = 54\%$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF

Spillway w/Flashboards = 480 cfs $(480/1410) \times 100 = 34\%$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF

BY SAL DATE 5/26/81 **ROALD HAESTAD, INC.** SHEET NO. 5 OF 5
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
CKD BY DLS DATE 5/27/81 37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708 JOB NO. 49-045
SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - Dam break calculations

S = Storage at time of failure with water level at top of dam

S = Storage at Flashboard Level + Surcharge Storage

$$S = \left[263 \times 10^6 \text{ gal} \times \frac{1 \text{ acre-foot}}{325,851 \text{ gal}} \right]^* + 138 \text{ Ac-Ft (From surcharge storage capacity curve)}$$

$$S = 807 \text{ Ac-Ft} + 138 \text{ Ac-Ft} = 945 \text{ Ac-Ft}$$

* The storage capacity at flashboard level was supplied by the Bristol Water Department.

$$Q_{PI} = \text{Peak Failure Outflow} = \frac{8}{27} W_b \sqrt{g} Y_0^{3/2}$$

$$W_b = \text{Breach width} - 40\% \text{ of dam length across river at mid-height} = 0.4(125) = 50'$$

$$Y_0 = \text{Total height from river bed to pool level at time of failure} = 40'$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{PI} &= \frac{8}{27} (50) \sqrt{32.2} (40)^{3/2} \\ &= 21,267 \text{ use } 21,300 \text{ cfs} \end{aligned}$$

542

5-10-81

7 - 15

120

9/27/81

1. The following data were obtained from the test of the

1. The following data were obtained from the test of the

1. The following data were obtained from the test of the

U	U	P	F	F	F	F
(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
1.0	15	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.0	17	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
3.0	20	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
4.0	21	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
5.0	23	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
6.0	34	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
7.0	25	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
8.0	31	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
9.0	35	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
10.0	35	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
11.0	37	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
12.0	34	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
13.0	31	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
14.0	35	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
15.0	35	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
16.0	35	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
17.0	35	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
18.0	35	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
19.0	35	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
20.0	35	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

1. The following data were obtained from the test of the

SAL

7/13/81

9

DEL 10

5/27/81

SAL 1000 DOUBLE HL

RIGHT OVERBOARD

F FID	W WID	L (FID-FID)	F FID	W WID	L (FID-FID)	F FID	W WID
7.0	70	44	8.0	80	52	9.0	90
8.0	110	124	9.0	110	124	10.0	140
9.0	150	224	10.0	150	224	11.0	180
10.0	155	418	11.0	155	418	12.0	180
11.0	165	582	12.0	165	582	13.0	180
12.0	175	754	13.0	175	754	14.0	180
13.0	185	934	14.0	185	934	15.0	180
14.0	194	1124	15.0	194	1124	16.0	180
15.0	203	1322	16.0	203	1322	17.0	180
16.0	212	1530	17.0	212	1530	18.0	180
17.0	222	1742	18.0	222	1742	19.0	180
18.0	236	1974	19.0	236	1974	20.0	180
19.0	245	2212	20.0	245	2212	21.0	180
20.0	255	2454	21.0	255	2454	22.0	180

SAL 1000 DOUBLE HL 1000 1000 1000

— 10 —

535

Received 25 October 1999

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99

REACH OUT TO US - 1-800-451-1111
 WE'LL BE GLAD TO HELP YOU

BY PAW DATE 5-20-81 **ROALD HAESTAD, INC.** SHEET NO. 1 OF 5

CKD BY SA DATE 5-27-81 CONSULTING ENGINEERS
37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708 JOB NO. 049-045

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING

SECTION NO. 1

SCALE:

1" = 200' HORIZ

1" = 20' VERT.



$L = 2000'$

$S = 0.02$

$N(A) = 0.05$

$N(B) = 0.1$

$N(C) = 0.08$



5/27/21

5/27/21

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100

TOTAL SECTION

HEIGHT (FEET)	SURFACE AREA (SQUARE FEET)	VOLUME (CUBIC FEET)
1.0	0.15	0.15
2.0	0.30	0.30
3.0	0.45	0.45
4.0	0.60	0.60
5.0	0.75	0.75
6.0	0.90	0.90
7.0	1.05	1.05
8.0	1.20	1.20
9.0	1.35	1.35
10.0	1.50	1.50
11.0	1.65	1.65
12.0	1.80	1.80
13.0	1.95	1.95
14.0	2.10	2.10
15.0	2.25	2.25
16.0	2.40	2.40
17.0	2.55	2.55
18.0	2.70	2.70
19.0	2.85	2.85
20.0	3.00	3.00
21.0	3.15	3.15
22.0	3.30	3.30
23.0	3.45	3.45
24.0	3.60	3.60
25.0	3.75	3.75

STORAGE CAPACITY CALCULATED FROM SURFACE AREAS AT RANDOM LEVELS (100)

15-17

100

CONCLUSIONS

[illegible]

```

STORAGE AT TIME OF FAILURE=CH  995  16  0
LENGTH OF MESSAGE=  100  11

```

```

INFLUO INTO REFRIGERATOR (C) 1000 1000
HEIGHT ABOVE CONDUIT INVEILED:- 10 FT
STORAGE IN REFRIGERATOR (C) 1000 1000

```

```

      TRIAL REACH QUANTITY=QTRIAL* 2.58E-04
      TRIAL HEIGHT ABOVE CONDUIT INVERT=HTRIAL* 2.58E-04
      TRIAL STORAGE IN REACH=VTRIAL* 2.58E-04

```

REACH OUTLINE OF THE PROJECT
HEIGHT ABOVE CONDUIT INVERTS: 15.00

BY RAM DATE 5-21-81

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.

SHEET NO. 4 OF 5


CONSULTING ENGINEERS

CKD BY SAL DATE 5/27/81

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 442-045

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING



The figure consists of two separate line graphs. Both graphs have 'Rate of reaction' on the vertical y-axis and 'Temperature' on the horizontal x-axis. The left graph shows a curve that starts at a low rate at low temperatures and rises very steeply as temperature increases, indicating a high activation energy. The right graph shows a similar curve, but it rises much more gradually with temperature, indicating a lower activation energy.

[illegible][illegible]

H (FT)	H (FT)	A (GROSS)	F (FT)	S (FT/FT)	M (GROSS/FT)	D (GROSS)
1.0	50	25	0.50	0.0077	0.007	12
2.0	100	100	1.00	0.0077	1.000	12
3.0	150	225	1.50	0.0077	1.500	12
4.0	200	400	2.00	0.0077	2.000	12
5.0	250	625	2.50	0.0077	2.500	12
6.0	300	900	3.00	0.0077	3.000	12
7.0	350	1225	3.50	0.0077	3.500	12
8.0	400	1600	4.00	0.0077	4.000	12
9.0	450	2025	4.50	0.0077	4.500	12
10.0	500	2500	5.00	0.0077	5.000	12
11.0	518	2684	5.18	0.0077	5.180	12
12.0	536	2866	5.36	0.0077	5.360	12
13.0	553	3049	5.53	0.0077	5.530	12
14.0	571	3234	5.71	0.0077	5.710	12
15.0	589	3418	5.89	0.0077	5.890	12
16.0	606	3604	6.06	0.0077	6.060	12
17.0	624	3790	6.24	0.0077	6.240	12
18.0	642	3978	6.42	0.0077	6.420	12
19.0	659	4167	6.59	0.0077	6.590	12
20.0	677	4357	6.77	0.0077	6.770	12
21.0	689	4550	6.89	0.0077	6.890	12
22.0	702	4744	7.02	0.0077	7.020	12
23.0	715	4940	7.15	0.0077	7.150	12
24.0	727	5138	7.27	0.0077	7.270	12
25.0	740	5336	7.40	0.0077	7.400	12

5. $\text{COPD} = 1$ if $\text{COPD} = 1$ in any of the 10 years; otherwise, $\text{COPD} = 0$.
6. $\text{COPD} = 1$ if $\text{COPD} = 1$ in any of the 10 years; otherwise, $\text{COPD} = 0$.

Institute of Information Systems, University of
 Bonn, Germany
 E-mail: apf@informatics.uni-bonn.de
 Information Systems, University of
 Bonn, Germany

```

      TPIAL FLOW QUANTITY=QPIAL= 100.000000
      TPIAL MEAN OF FLOW=HPIAL= 100.000000
      TPIAL CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=APIAL= 100.000000
      TPIAL STORAGE IN BEACH=VPIAL= 100.000000

```

KEMMEL, DUFFY, LUBOWITZ AND ZUCKERMAN
ON THE HOMOGENEOUS EULER-HEWITT EQUATION

BY PAM DATE 5-21-81

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS


SHEET NO. OF

CKD BY SAL DATE 5/27/81

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 049-045

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING



11

100

1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 2676, 2677, 2678, 26

TOTAL BELT HOURS

<u>H</u> (FT)	<u>W</u> (FT)	<u>D</u> (SQ-FT)	<u>P</u> (FT)	<u>S</u> (FT/FT)	<u>A</u> (L/SQ-FT)	<u>O</u> (CF)
1.0	28	14	0.50	0.0067	0.75	1
2.0	55	55	1.00	0.0067	1.21	4
3.0	83	129	1.50	0.0067	1.55	9
4.0	110	220	1.99	0.0067	1.93	16
5.0	138	344	2.49	0.0067	2.29	25
6.0	165	495	2.99	0.0067	2.67	36
7.0	193	674	3.49	0.0067	3.08	49
8.0	221	880	3.99	0.0067	3.49	64
9.0	248	1114	4.49	0.0067	3.91	81
10.0	276	1375	4.99	0.0067	4.35	100
11.0	296	1660	5.49	0.0067	4.79	121
12.0	316	1965	5.99	0.0067	5.24	144
13.0	336	2290	6.49	0.0067	5.69	169
14.0	356	2635	7.00	0.0067	6.15	196
15.0	376	3000	7.50	0.0067	6.61	225
16.0	396	3385	8.00	0.0067	7.08	256
17.0	416	3790	8.50	0.0067	7.55	289
18.0	437	4215	9.00	0.0067	8.03	324
19.0	457	4660	9.50	0.0067	8.51	361
20.0	477	5125	10.00	0.0067	8.99	400
21.0	494	5609	10.50	0.0067	9.48	441
22.0	512	6110	11.00	0.0067	9.97	484
23.0	530	6629	11.50	0.0067	10.46	529
24.0	547	7165	12.00	0.0067	10.95	576
25.0	565	7719	12.50	0.0067	11.45	625

MANNING COEFFICIENT= $n=0.1000$

STORAGE AT TIME OF FAILURE-SE 1985 OF FL
LENGTH OF PEACH-17 1985 11

INFLOW INTO FLOWMETER	25.0	1.0
DEPTH OF FLOWMETER	10	1.0
CROSS SECTIONAL AREA	2000	1.0
WATER IN RECHARGE	24	1.0

```

TRIAL PEACH OUTFLOW(QCTRIAL)= 1.70E+05 CFS
TRIAL DEPTH OF FLOOD(WCTRIAL)= 15.0 FT
TRIAL CROSS SECTIONAL AREA(ACTRIAL)= 2000 SQ FT
TRIAL STOPAGE IN PEACH(VCTRIAL)= 0.00 SEC

```

PEACH OUTFLOW=1427 14.27 LFS
DEPTH OR FLOW=1427 14.27 LFS

BY PAM..... DATE 5-21-81.....

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS


SHEET NO. 5 OF 5

CKD BY SAL DATE 5/27/81.....

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 048-045.....

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING.....



SPL 5/27/81

DLS 5/27/81

SECTION 100-000-000

SECTION 100-000-000

MAIN CHANNEL

H (FT)	U (FT)	A (SQ-FT)	R (FT)	S (FT/FT)	V (FT/SEC)	Q (CU-SEC)
1.0	18	9	0.54	0.0180	1.00	1.8
2.0	35	35	0.89	0.0180	1.00	7.0
3.0	53	79	1.09	0.0180	1.00	15.9
4.0	71	140	1.28	0.0180	1.00	28.2
5.0	88	215	1.48	0.0180	1.00	43.2
6.0	106	315	1.68	0.0180	1.00	60.6
7.0	123	429	1.87	0.0180	1.00	80.1
8.0	141	540	2.07	0.0180	1.00	101.4
9.0	159	709	2.27	0.0180	1.00	124.2
10.0	176	875	2.46	0.0180	1.00	148.2
11.0	196	1085	2.66	0.0180	1.00	173.4
12.0	196	1245	2.84	0.0180	1.00	200.4
13.0	207	1445	3.00	0.0180	1.00	229.2
14.0	217	1655	3.14	0.0180	1.00	259.8
15.0	227	1875	3.27	0.0180	1.00	292.2

MANNING COEFFICIENT=0.0180

SHL 5/27/81

DLS 5/27/81

RECEIVED

11601 DWP 1980

H	W	A	P	S	V	U
(F1)	(F1)	(S0-F1)	(F1)	(F1)(F1)	(F1)(F1)	(F1)(F1)
9.0	01	43	0.80	0.0100	1.00	
10.0	55	50	1.51	0.0100	1.00	
11.0	65	139	2.10	0.0100	1.00	
12.0	77	211	3.72	0.0100	1.00	
13.0	90	290	5.25	0.0100	1.00	
14.0	102	389	6.83	0.0100	1.00	
15.0	114	497	8.36	0.0100	1.00	

RECEIVED

100

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \delta(x-a) dx = f(a)$

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-x^2} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-x^2} dx$

1036 JOURNAL OF DOCUMENTATION

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{3}{4}$$

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains.

[illegible]

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are under 15 years of age is expected to increase from 1.1 billion to 1.4 billion. The number of people aged 65 and over is expected to increase from 250 million to 450 million. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion.

AD-A143 939

NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS
BRISTOL RESERVOIR NUM..(U) CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM
MA NEW ENGLAND DIV JUN 81


2/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 13/13

NL

END
DATE
FILMED
9. 84
DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

BY PAM DATE 5-21-83

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

SHEET NO. 22 OF 25

CKD BY SAL DATE 5-27-81

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 109-045

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING

SECTION NO 5

$L = 1800$
 $S = 0.018$
 $N(A) = 0.1$
 $N(B) = 0.08$

SCALE:
1" = 100' HORIZ
1" = 20' VERT

A B

$\frac{V}{L}$

ROUTE 72

DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET

16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0

5 10 15 20 25 30

DISCHARGE 1000 CFS

DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET

16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0

5 10 15 20 25 30

AREA 100 SQ. FT.

22 4-1

5/27/80

[illegible]

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1997; 277: 1033-1038.

1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 26

H (E12)	G (E12)	A (E12)	B (E12)	α (E12/E12)	γ (E12/E12)	β (E12)
1.0	97	56	0.50	0.0024	0.50	0.50
2.0	128	15	1.00	0.0024	1.00	1.00
3.0	275	51	1.50	0.0024	1.50	1.50
4.0	353	71	2.11	0.0024	2.11	2.11
5.0	416	1078	2.60	0.0024	2.60	2.60
6.0	478	1541	3.23	0.0024	3.23	3.23
7.0	545	2062	3.76	0.0024	3.76	3.76
8.0	613	2631	4.29	0.0024	4.29	4.29
9.0	680	3777	4.82	0.0024	4.82	4.82
10.0	748	4891	5.34	0.0024	5.34	5.34
11.0	815	4772	5.86	0.0024	5.86	5.86
12.0	883	5621	6.37	0.0024	6.37	6.37
13.0	951	6537	6.88	0.0024	6.88	6.88
14.0	1033	7529	7.39	0.0024	7.39	7.39
15.0	1106	8607	7.71	0.0024	7.71	7.71
16.0	1179	9759	8.14	0.0024	8.14	8.14
17.0	1281	10898	8.57	0.0024	8.57	8.57
18.0	1363	11312	9.04	0.0024	9.04	9.04
19.0	1466	13723	9.49	0.0024	9.49	9.49
20.0	1528	15062	9.95	0.0024	9.95	9.95

Modeling: $CE^k \cdot |T|^{k+1} = N = 0.1001$

NUMBER OF CASES OF FAILURE=5= 945

LENGTH OF REACH= $L = 14.91 \text{ m}$

INFLU INTO REACH=GP1= 10835 118

VELOCITY OF FLOW=41.5 CM.

GROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A1= 4607 SQ. FT.

STORAGE IN REACH=V1= 170.0 g. 1.1 Y.

TRIAL REACH OUTFLOW=1*(TRIAL)= 8897 U.S.

DEPTH OF FLOW=H(ITAL)= 10.0 FL

TRIAL CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A(TRIAL)= 399.5 SQ.FT.

TOTAL STORAGE IN REACH=V(TRIAL)= 196.8 IN. FT.

REACH OUTFLOW=QP2= 9020 CFS

DEPTH OF FLOW=H2= 10.1 FT.

BY FAM..... DATE 5-21-81.....

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.

SHEET NO. 2 OF 5

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

CKD BY HAL DATE 5-27-81.....

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 149-1045.....

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING.....

SECTION NO. 6.

SCALE:

1" = 400' HORIZ

1" = 40' VERT

$L = 1,600'$
 $S = 0.0024$
 $N = 0.1$

DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET

20
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0

0

10

20

30

40

50

60

DISCHARGE - 1000 CFS.

DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET

20
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0

0

5

10

15

AREA - 1000 SQ. FT.

SAL

5/27/81

25 10

DLS

5/27/81

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
NATIONAL SERVICE FOR SOIL CONSERVATION

SOIL CONSERVATION

FLOOD PROTECTION

H (FT)	W (FT)	A (SQ-FT)	F (CFS)	S (FT-FT)	V (CU-FT)	T (SEC)
1.0	40	20	0.56	0.0024	0.00	0.00
2.0	80	80	1.00	0.0024	0.00	0.00
3.0	119	179	1.50	0.0024	0.00	0.00
4.0	159	318	2.00	0.0024	1.15	0.00
5.0	199	497	2.50	0.0024	1.74	0.00
6.0	239	716	3.00	0.0024	1.51	1.00
7.0	279	974	3.50	0.0024	1.68	1.00
8.0	319	1272	3.99	0.0024	1.24	2.00
9.0	405	1632	4.03	0.0024	1.00	0.00
10.0	440	2053	4.67	0.0024	2.00	0.00
11.0	475	2510	5.29	0.0024	1.31	0.00
12.0	510	3002	5.68	0.0024	1.00	0.00
13.0	545	3529	6.07	0.0024	0.00	0.00
14.0	581	4091	6.05	0.0024	1.00	1.00
15.0	616	4689	7.51	0.0024	0.00	0.00
16.0	651	5321	8.00	0.0024	0.00	0.00
17.0	686	5989	8.76	0.0024	0.00	0.00
18.0	721	6692	9.38	0.0024	0.00	0.00

MANNING COEFFICIENT=M=0.1000

STORAGE AT TIME OF FAILURE=S= 400 AC. FT.

LENGTH OF REACH=L= 1000 FT.

INFLOW INTO REACH=QF1= 8000 CFS

DEPTH OF FLOW=H1= 12.0 FT.

CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A1= 3000 SQ.FT.

STORAGE IN REACH=V1= 120.9 AC. FT.

TRIAL REACH DUTY=QF=QF1= 7.94 CFS

TRIAL DEPTH OF FLOW=H=H1= 12.0 FT.

TRIAL CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A= 3000 SQ.FT.

TRIAL STORAGE TO REACH=V= 120.3 AC. FT.

REACH INFLOW=QF2= 7793 CFS

DEPTH OF FLOW=H2= 12.1 FT.

BY PAM DATE 5-21-81

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.


SHEET NO. 24 OF 5

CKD BY SAL DATE 5-27-81

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 049-045

SUBJECT BRISQOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING



5/27/81

5/5/9

[illegible]

06709, SE C31-363

H (FE)	U (FE)	A (50-FE)	B (FE)	S (FE/FE)	λ (10 ⁻³)	μ (10 ⁻³)
1.0	30	15	0.10	0.0100	0.00	0.00
2.0	60	30	1.00	0.0100	1.00	0.00
3.0	90	45	1.50	0.0100	1.50	0.00
4.0	120	60	1.80	0.0100	2.00	0.00
5.0	150	75	2.00	0.0100	2.25	0.00
6.0	180	90	2.20	0.0100	2.40	0.00
7.0	210	105	2.40	0.0100	2.51	0.00
8.0	240	120	2.50	0.0100	2.57	0.00
9.0	270	135	2.60	0.0100	2.60	0.00
10.0	300	150	2.65	0.0100	2.63	0.00
11.0	330	165	2.70	0.0100	2.65	0.00
12.0	360	180	2.75	0.0100	2.67	0.00
13.0	390	195	2.80	0.0100	2.68	0.00
14.0	420	210	2.85	0.0100	2.69	0.00
15.0	450	225	2.90	0.0100	2.70	0.00

MANNING COEFFICIENT = 0.150

STORAGE AT TIME OF FAILURE= 501 AC, 11

LENGTH OF PEARL = 2000 FT

DATE OF THE ORDER: _____

On 17th of November 2003, the following was observed:

CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=91= 1.95E+00

STOPAGE IN REACH=V1= 75.3 AC FL

TRIAL REACH OUTFLOW=DP(TRIAL)= 6709 CFS

TRIAL DEPTH OF SLOW-TRIAL): 10.1 FL.

TRIAL CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=ACTPIAL)= 1500 mm²

TEMPERATURE STORAGE IN POLYETHYLENE. 1. POLYETHYLENE

REACH 010100=9127 4728 010

LET 14 OF FLOW-42: 10-1-93.

BY PAM..... DATE 5-21-81.....

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.

SHEET NO. 25 OF 7

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

CKD BY SAL DATE 5-27-81.....

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 049-046.....

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING.....

SECTION NO. 8 (PRESTON ROAD)

SCALE

1" = 100' HORZ

1" = 20' VERT

$L = 2000$

$S = 0.01$

$N = 0.1$

DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET

20
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0

0 5 10 15 20 25 30

DISCHARGE - 1000 CFS

DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET

20
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0

1 2 3 4 5 6

AREA - 1000 SQ. FT

SAL 5/27/81

29

DLS DATE 5/27/81

SECTION NUMBER 2

SECTION NUMBER 2

TOTAL SECTION

H (FT)	U (FT)	A (SQ-FT)	F (FT)	S (1/2 FT)	V (CU-FT)	Q (CFS)
1.0	28	14	0.50	0.0087	1.28	1.28
2.0	55	55	1.00	0.0087	1.92	1.92
3.0	83	124	1.50	0.0087	2.56	2.56
4.0	110	220	2.00	0.0087	3.20	3.20
5.0	138	344	2.49	0.0087	3.84	3.84
6.0	165	495	2.99	0.0087	4.48	4.48
7.0	193	674	3.49	0.0087	5.12	5.12
8.0	221	880	3.99	0.0087	5.76	5.76
9.0	248	1114	4.49	0.0087	6.40	6.40
10.0	276	1375	4.99	0.0087	7.04	7.04
11.0	289	1656	5.74	0.0087	7.68	7.68
12.0	301	1950	6.47	0.0087	8.32	8.32
13.0	314	2256	7.19	0.0087	8.96	8.96
14.0	327	2575	7.88	0.0087	9.60	9.60
15.0	339	2906	8.56	0.0087	10.24	10.24

MANNING COEFFICIENT=N=0.0700

STORAGE AT TIME OF FAILURE=S= 945 AC. FT.

LENGTH OF REACH=L= 3000 FT.

INFLOW INTO REACH=QF1= 6725 CFS

DEPTH OF FLOW=H1= 5.4 FT.

CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A1= 1210 SQ. FT.

STORAGE IN REACH=V1= 83.4 AC. FT.

TRIAL REACH OUTFLOW=QP(1)= 6134 CFS

TRIAL DEPTH OF FLOW=H(1)= 9.1 FT.

TRIAL CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A(1)= 1132 SQ. FT.

TRIAL STORAGE IN REACH=V(1)= 77.9 AC. FT.

REACH OUTFLOW=QP2= 6154 CFS

DEPTH OF FLOW=H2= 9.1 FT.

BY FAM DATE 5-1-81

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.

SHEET NO. 32 OF 5


CONSULTING ENGINEERS

CKD BY SAL DATE 5-27-81

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 049-045

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING



SAL

5/27/81

E

DLS

5/27/81

TABLE 1. MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION OF MEASUREMENTS FOR THE 1000

MEASUREMENTS OF THE 1000

MEASUREMENTS

H (ft)	H (ft)	G (ft)	R (ft)	S (ft)	V (ft)	D (ft)
1.0	18	9	0.50	0.0059	1.51	18
2.0	35	25	0.92	0.0059	2.77	35
3.0	53	39	1.09	0.0059	3.70	53
4.0	70	50	1.29	0.0059	4.41	70
5.0	88	61	2.40	0.0059	6.12	88
6.0	106	75	2.58	0.0059	7.72	106
7.0	123	90	3.98	0.0059	9.30	123
8.0	141	107	3.97	0.0059	9.73	141
9.0	159	120	6.47	0.0059	11.12	159
10.0	176	135	6.55	0.0059	12.25	176
11.0	179	1951	9.98	0.0059	13.4	179
12.0	182	1230	6.78	0.0059	13.17	182
13.0	184	1411	7.66	0.0059	13.07	184
14.0	187	1595	8.53	0.0059	9.53	187
15.0	190	1781	9.39	0.0059	10.17	190
16.0	192	1979	10.28	0.0059	11.77	192
17.0	195	2161	11.82	0.0059	13.12	195
18.0	198	2355	11.91	0.0059	14.51	198
19.0	200	2511	12.73	0.0059	15.67	200
20.0	203	2750	13.54	0.0059	17.25	203

MEAN AND COEFFICIENTS OF VARIATION

SAL

5/07/31

32

-5

DLG

5/22/3

HULLY (HULLY) (HULLY)

HULLY (HULLY) (HULLY)

H	U	G	L	2	3	4
(FEI)	(FEI)	(89-11)	(FEI)	(11-11)	(11-11)	(11-11)
11.0	52	49	1.25	0.0059		
12.0	55	102	1.35	0.0059		
13.0	58	153	2.15	0.0059		
14.0	61	217	3.53	0.0059		
15.0	63	278	4.35	0.0059		
16.0	66	341	5.17	0.0059		
17.0	69	408	5.93	0.0059		
18.0	71	476	6.67	0.0059		
19.0	74	500	7.30	0.0059		
20.0	77	621	8.98	0.0059		

WARNING: COEFFICIENT=0.0059

SAL

5/27/81

DLS

5/27/81

FLOODING OF REACH BY DAM AT REACH HEAD

REACH HEAD=0.00

REACH TAIL=1.00

CROSS SECTION

FLOODING

H	A	P	Q	A
1.0	9	0	9	13
2.0	35	0	5	80
3.0	79	1	28	245
4.0	140	0	100	505
5.0	219	0	259	912
6.0	315	0	515	1470
7.0	429	0	829	2208
8.0	560	0	1200	3007
9.0	709	0	1700	4000
10.0	875	0	2375	5215
11.0	1051	49	3100	6616
12.0	1230	102	3850	8054
13.0	1411	158	4649	9619
14.0	1595	217	5482	11296
15.0	1781	278	6359	13003
16.0	1970	341	7281	14742
17.0	2161	406	8249	16513
18.0	2355	473	9261	18314
19.0	2551	541	10319	20145
20.0	2750	611	11421	22007

FLOODING OF REACH BY DAM AT REACH HEAD

DEPTH OF FLOW=H1=0.00

FLOODING REACH=0.00

DEPTH OF FLOW=H1=0.00

CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A1=0.00

STORAGE IN REACH=V1=0.00

TRIAL REACH OUTFLOW=Q(=TRIAL)=0.00

TRIAL DEPTH OF FLOW=H(=TRIAL)=0.00

TRIAL CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A(=TRIAL)=0.00

TRIAL STORAGE IN REACH=V(=TRIAL)=0.00

REACH OUTFLOW=Q(=2)=0.00

DEPTH OF FLOW=H2=0.00

BY FAM.....DATE 5-31-81.....

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.

SHEET NO. 5 OF 5

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

CKD BY SFL DATE 5-27-81

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 140-145


SUBJECT PISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING

SECTION NO 10

SCALE

1" = 100' HORIZ

1" = 20' VERT




$L = 1300'$

$S = 0.0053$

$N(A) = 0.05$


$N(B) = 0.35$

DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET



DISCHARGE - 1000 CFS

DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET



AREA - 1000 SQ FT.

۵۲

100

51

— — —

5.27.21

11. 10. 1991

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
 WASHINGTON, D. C. 20250-1500
 TELEPHONE 202/734-3000

1. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* 1997, 48: 171-181.
 2. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* 1998, 51: 1-10.

BY FAM DATE 5-21-81

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

SHEET NO. 5 OF 7

CKD BY SAL DATE 5-27-81

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 145-645


SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING

SECTION NO 11


SCALE

1" = 200' HORIZ


1" = 10' VERT



DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET



DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET



AREA - 1000 SQ FT

SP-2

5/27/81

57

D-2

5/27/81

SP-2 5/27/81

SP-2 5/27/81

R	W	P	P	W	P
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1.1	15.1	10	0.01	0.0050	1.1
2.1	14.5	31.1	1.05	0.0050	2.1
3.0	17.4	41.1	1.00	0.0050	3.0
4.1	18.2	55.1	3.00	0.0050	4.1
5.0	19.0	83.8	4.01	0.0050	5.0
6.0	19.8	103.1	5.11	0.0050	6.0
7.1	20.2	120.0	1.00	0.0050	7.1
8.0	21.9	147.0	1.00	0.0050	8.0
9.0	21.7	160.4	2.00	0.0050	9.0
10.0	22.0	165.7	3.00	0.0050	10.0

RELATIONSHIP OF SP-2 5/27/81 = 0.0050

SAL

5/27/81

32

DLS

5/27/81

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

10-10-10

SAL 5/27/81

3-2 5/27/81

UPPER REACH				LOWER REACH			
H	Q	T	AREA	H	Q	T	AREA
0.0	104	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0
0.2	307	0	0.0	0.2	0	0	0.0
0.4	576	0	0.0	0.4	117	0	0.0
0.6	853	0	0.0	0.6	2013	0	0.0
0.8	858	0	0.0	0.8	2962	0	0.0
1.0	1031	0	0.0	1.0	4064	0	0.0
1.2	1229	49	1278	1.2	5286	0.1	1000
1.4	1433	104	1513	1.4	6704	0.2	1000
1.6	1644	177	1713	1.6	8343	0.4	1000
1.8	1863	250	1835	1.8	10036	0.6	1000

STORAGE AT TIME OF FLOODING FOR
LENGTH OF REACH=1-

5000 0.0

INFLOW INTO REACH=Q1=
DEPTH OF FLOW=H1=
CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A1=
STORAGE IN REACH=V1=

5114 0.0
0.0 0.0
3000 0.0
56,000 0.0

REACH REACH OUTFLOW=Q2(=Q1)=
REACH REACH DEPTH OF FLOW=H2=H1=
REACH REACH CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A2=A1=
REACH REACH STORAGE IN REACH=V2=V1=

4564 0.0
0.0 0.0
117 0.0
53,000 0.0

REACH OUTFLOW=Q2=
DEPTH OF FLOW=H2=

4876 0.0
0.0 0.0

BY EAM DATE 5-21-81

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.

SHEET NO. 25 OF 25

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

CKD BY SAL DATE 5-27-81

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 019-045

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING

SECTION NO 12

SCALE

1" = 100' HORIZ

1" = 20' VERT


$L = 2000$

$N(A) = 0.08$


$N(B) = 0.08$

$S = 0.005$

DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET



DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET



AREA - 1000 SQ FT

EAL 5/27/81

DLS 5/27/81

SECTION 1000

TOTAL SECTION

H (FEET)	P (FEET)	H (INCHES)	E (FEET)	S (FEET)	V (FEET)	Q (FEET)
1.0	70	10	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
2.0	90	90	1.00	0.0149	0.01	0.01
3.0	110	90	1.00	0.0149	0.01	0.01
4.0	130	120	1.00	0.0149	0.01	0.01
5.0	150	250	2.00	0.0149	0.01	0.01
6.0	170	340	2.00	0.0149	0.01	0.01
7.0	190	490	3.00	0.0149	0.01	0.01
8.0	210	644	3.00	0.0149	0.01	0.01
9.0	230	810	4.00	0.0149	0.01	0.01
10.0	250	1000	4.00	0.0149	0.01	0.01

WANDING CHARACTERISTICS=0.00
STORAGE AT TIME OF FAILURE=S=0.00
LENGTH OF REACH=L=0.00

INFLUENCE REACH=0.01=0.00
DEPTH OF FLOOD=H1=0.00
CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A1=0.00
STORAGE IN FLOOD=V1=0.00

TRIAL REACH OUTFLOW=Q1=0.00
TRIAL DEPTH OF FLOOD=H2=0.00
TRIAL CROSS SECTIONAL AREA=A2=0.00
TRIAL STORAGE IN REACH=V2=0.00

REACH OUTFLOW=Q2=0.00
DEPTH OF FLOOD=H2=0.00

BY PAM DATE 5-1-61

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

SHEET NO. 22 OF 26

CKD BY SAL DATE 5-2-61

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. W-145

SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - FLOOD ROUTING

SECTION NO 13

SCALE

1" = 50' HORIZ

1" = 10' VERT

$L = 1.250$

$S = 0.016$

$N = 0.04$

DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET

10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0

0

5

10

15

DISCHARGE - 1000 CFS

DEPTH OF FLOW - FEET

10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0

0

2

4

6

8

10

12

AREA - 100 SQ FT

BY SAL DATE 5/27/81 **ROALD HAESTAD, INC.** SHEET NO. 5 OF 5
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
CKD BY JCS DATE 5/27/81 37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708 JOB NO. 49-045
SUBJECT BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 - Outlet Piping Capacity

20" CIP @ EL 816: (Outlet from Gatehouse)

$$z_1 + P_1/\gamma + V_1^2/2g = z_2 + P_2/\gamma + V_2^2/2g + H_{L1-2}$$

$$40 + 0 + 0 = 0 + 0 + V_2^2/2g + [66f + 0.5] V_2^2/2g$$

$$40 = (66f + 1.5) V_2^2/2g$$

$$V_2 \text{ assumed} = 20 \text{ ft/sec} \rightarrow f = 0.0350 \therefore V_2 = 26 \text{ ft/sec}$$

$$" " = 26 \text{ ft/sec} \rightarrow f = 0.0350 \therefore V_2 = 26 \text{ ft/sec}$$

$$Q_{\text{TOP OF DAM}} = V_2 A = 26 \left(\pi \frac{(20)^2}{4} \right)$$

$$= 57 \text{ cfs}$$

BY THE DATE 5-27-81

ROALD HAESTAD, INC.

SHEET NO. 5 OF 5

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

CKD BY SEA DATE 5-27-81

37 Brookside Road - Waterbury, Conn. 06708

JOB NO. 111-1-1

SUBJECT FEEDBACK FEEDBACK HQ 4 - DUE TO 11-1-1


PLANIMETER READINGS:

(SCALE: 1" = 2000')

<u>WATER SURFACE</u>	THIRD	43.59	SQ. IN.	C.44	40.4 ACRES
	FIRST	42.73	SQ. IN.	C.46	
	START	42.27	SQ. IN.		

<u>WATERSHED</u>	THIRD	49.43	SQ. IN.	12.05	110% ACRES
	FIRST	25.34	SQ. IN.	12.05	= 1.73 SQ. MI.
	START	13.29	SQ. IN.		

<u>CONTOUR 860</u>	THIRD	16.55	SQ. IN.	C.62	57.2 ACRES
	FIRST	15.32	SQ. IN.	C.64	
	START	14.68	SQ. IN.		



LIMIT
POTENTIAL

Bristol Res No 6

BRISTOL RESERVOIR
NO. 4 DAM

72

1

2

N

FIGURE 5

LOCATION OF
SECTIONS (TYP.)

LIMITS OF
POTENTIAL FLOODING

Bristol Res No 6

River

MATCH TO FIGURE 5A

ROAD

CURTIS

ROALD HAESTAD, INC CONSULTING ENGINEERS WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT		U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIV NEW ENGLAND CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS	
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED DAMS			
LIMITS OF POTENTIAL FLOODING BRISTOL RESERVOIR NO. 4 DAM HARWINTON, CONNECTICUT			
DRAWN	CHECKED	APPROVED	SCALE: 1" = 1000'
JRS	PLS	RL	DATE 5/81 PAGE D-47





FIGURE 5A



APPENDIX E

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN
THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

NOT AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME

