

AD A144 544


NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS
SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM (...U) CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM
MA NEW ENGLAND DIV MAY 81


1/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 13/13

NI





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

①

AD-A144 544

**CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN
FARMINGTON/WEST HARTFORD,
CONNECTICUT
SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM**

DAM CT 00487

DIKE CT 01709

**PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM**

DTIC FILE COPY



DTIC
ELECTE
AUG 21 1984
S E D

**DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASS.**

MAY, 1981

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

84 08 20 038

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER CT 00487 CT 01709	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD A144 544	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) South Reservoir Dam DAM: DIKE: NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED INSPECTION REPORT	
7. AUTHOR(s) U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED 424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02254	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	12. REPORT DATE May 1981	
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 110	
	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, National Dam Inspection Program; however, the official title of the program is: National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of report.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Connecticut River Basin Farmington/West Hartford, Connecticut		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This flood control project consists of a dam and dike which are earth embankments at 3H:1V with good grass cover and a top width of 12 feet. The dam is approximately 3060 feet long and 31 feet high. The dike is approximately 475 feet long and 28 feet high. Based on the visual inspection, review of design information, and past operational performance, the dam and dike are judged to be in GOOD condition. The dam and dike are classified as SMALL in size and HIGH hazard potential structures. The test flood is the PMF.		



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
424 TRAPELO ROAD
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

NEDED

JUL 09 1981

Honorable William A. O'Neill
Governor of the State of Connecticut
State Capitol
Hartford, Connecticut 06115

Dear Governor O'Neill:

Inclosed is a copy of the South Reservoir Dam (CT-00487) and South Reservoir Dike (CT-01709) Phase I Inspection Report, prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. I approve the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is vitally important.

Copies of this report have been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Protection. Copies will be available to the public in thirty days.

I wish to thank you and the Department of Environmental Protection for your cooperation in this program.

Sincerely,

C. E. EDGAR, III
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
Commander and Division Engineer

Incl
As stated



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	☐
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN

**FARMINGTON/WEST HARTFORD
CONNECTICUT**

SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM

DAM CT 00487

DIKE CT 01709

PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

PHASE I - INSPECTION REPORT

Identification No.: CT 00487 (Dam)
CT 01709 (Dike)

Name of Dam: South Reservoir Dam and Dike

Town: Farmington/West Hartford

County and State: Hartford County, Connecticut

Stream: Tributary to Trout Brook

Date of Inspection: November 26, 1980

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

This flood control project consists of a dam and dike which are earth embankments at 3H:1V with good grass cover and a top width of 12 feet. The dam is approximately 3060 feet long and 31 feet high. The dike is approximately 475 feet long and 28 feet high. The principal spillway consists of a reinforced concrete riser, a 30 inch RCP through the dam and a reinforced concrete impact basin. The emergency spillway is a 130 foot wide grassed earth channel at the eastern end of the dam. The reservoir is normally empty except for a small sediment pool. These structures are owned by the State of Connecticut, Department of Environmental Protection.

Based on the visual inspection, review of design information, and past operational performance, the dam and dike are judged to be in GOOD condition. There is some erosion due to vehicle trespass and animal burrows were noted in the embankments.

The dam and dike are classified as SMALL in size and HIGH hazard potential structures in accordance with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, by the Corps of Engineers. The impoundment storage at the top of the dam is 900 ac.-ft., the maximum height of the dam is 31 feet and the maximum height of the dike is 28 feet.

Failure of the dam could result in the possible loss of more than a few lives and extensive economic damage to numerous homes and buildings along the downstream channel in West Hartford. The depth of inundation at these homes and buildings would be 0 feet before and 2 to 6 feet after dam failure.

Failure of the dike could result in the possible loss of more than a few lives and extensive economic damage to 3 to 4 homes and buildings along the downstream channel in West Hartford. The depth of inundation at these homes and buildings would be 0 feet before and 2 to 4 feet after dike failure.


The test flood is the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The test flood has an inflow equal to 1930 cfs at a stillwater elevation of 291.8 which will not overtop the dam or dike (1.6 feet freeboard). The maximum outflow capacity of the spillways with the water level at the top of the dam is 3580 cfs, which is 185 percent of the test flood outflow.

It is recommended that the following items be studied further by a qualified registered engineer: Investigate the cause and design repairs for the settlement behind the principal spillway outflow impact basin. The reservoir should be visited when floodwaters are being impounded to check for problem areas.

The following remedial measures should be taken by the owner: Recreational vehicle access should be eliminated, vehicle ruts should be repaired, animal burrows should be filled, the semi-annual inspections continued, and the existing flood emergency plan amended to provide downstream warning procedures.

Recommendations and remedial measures that should be implemented within two years of receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report are further described in Section 7.


JAMES P. PURCELL ASSOCIATES, INC.


Sudhir A. Shah, P.E.
Director of Engineering
Connecticut P.E. No. 8012




DAM (CT-00487)


This Phase I Inspection Report on SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM, DIKE (CT-01709) has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, and with good engineering judgement and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.


JOSEPH W. FINEGAN, JR. MEMBER
Water Control Branch
Engineering Division


ARAMAST MAHTESIAN, MEMBER
Geotechnical Engineering Branch
Engineering Division


CARNEY M. TERZIAN, CHAIRMAN
Design Branch
Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:


JOE B. FRYAR
Chief, Engineering Division

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation. However, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the spillway test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and downstream damage potential.

The Phase I Investigation does not include an assessment of the need for fences, gates, no-trespassing signs, repairs to existing fences and railings and other items which may be needed to minimize trespass and provide greater security for the facility and safety to the public. An evaluation of the project for compliance with OSHA rules and regulations is also excluded.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
Letter of Transmittal	
Brief Assessment	
Review Board Page	
Preface	i
Table of Contents	ii-iv
Overview Photo	v
Location Map	vi

REPORT

1. Project Information

1.1 General	1
a. Authority	
b. Purpose of Inspection	
1.2 Description of Project	1
a. Location	
b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances	
c. Size Classification	
d. Hazard Classification	
e. Ownership	
f. Operator	
g. Purpose of Dam	
h. Design and Construction History	
i. Normal Operational Procedures	

1.3 Pertinent Data	4
--------------------	---

2. Engineering Data

2.1 Design	8
2.2 Construction	8
2.3 Operation	8
2.4 Evaluation	8

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)


Section	Page
3. Visual Inspection	
3.1 Findings	10
a. General	
b. Dam	
c. Dike	
d. Appurtenant Structures	
e. Reservoir Area	
f. Downstream Channel	
3.2 Evaluation	12
4. Operational and Maintenance Procedures	
4.1 Operational Procedures	13
a. General	
b. Description of Any Warning System in Effect	
4.2 Maintenance Procedures	13
a. General	
b. Operating Facilities	
4.3 Evaluation	13
5. Evaluation of Hydraulic/Hydrologic Features	
5.1 General	14
5.2 Design Data	14
5.3 Experience Data	14
5.4 Test Flood Analysis	14
5.5 Dam Failure Analysis	15
6. Evaluation of Structural Stability	
6.1 Visual Observations	17
6.2 Design and Construction	17
6.3 Post-Construction Changes	17
6.4 Seismic Stability	17

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

Section	Page
7. Assessment, Recommendations and Remedial Measures	
7.1 Dam Assessment	18
a. Condition	
b. Adequacy	
c. Urgency	
7.2 Recommendations	18
7.3 Remedial Measures	18
a. Operation and Maintenance Procedures	
7.4 Alternatives	19

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Inspection Checklist	A-1
Appendix B - Engineering Data	B-1
Appendix C - Photographs	C-1
Appendix D - Hydrologic and Hydraulic Computations	D-1
Appendix E - Information as Contained in the National Inventory of Dams	E-1



OVERVIEW PHOTO - SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM

PHOTO TAKEN DECEMBER 15, 1980

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

PHASE I - INSPECTION REPORT

NAME OF DAM: SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM (AND DIKE)

SECTION 1

PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. Authority:

Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army through the Corps of Engineers to initiate a national program of dam inspections throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. James P. Purcell Associates, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Connecticut. Authorization and notice to proceed was issued to James P. Purcell Associates, Inc., under a letter from William H. Hodgson, Jr., Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW33-81-C-0009 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. Purpose:

1. Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-federal interests.
2. Encourage and prepare the States to initiate quickly, effective dam safety programs for non-federal dams.
3. To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Location:

The South Reservoir structures are located in the Towns of Farmington and West Hartford, Hartford County, Connecticut (See Plate No. 1).

The dam is on the south side of Farmington Avenue approximately 2.5 miles east of the village of Farmington. It is built across a tributary to Trout Brook and is located 6000 feet upstream of the confluence with Trout Brook. The dam is at latitude 41°-44'-37" and longitude 72°-46'-58".

The dike is located across a small valley approximately 2000 feet southeast of the dam. The dike does not cross a watercourse but is designed to contain flood waters within the reservoir. It is located at latitude 41°-44'-19" and longitude 72°-46'-46".

Trout Brook is a tributary to the South Branch of the Park River which flows through Hartford, Connecticut, to the Connecticut River.

All elevations used in this report are based on the Metropolitan District Commission (MDC) Datum, except as noted. The MDC Datum minus 2.08 feet equals the National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD).

b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances:

1. General:

The South Reservoir Project consists of a dam, a small dike, a principal spillway and an emergency spillway.

2. Dam:

The dam is a 3060 foot long earth embankment and is 30.9 feet high at the outlet. It has a top width of 12.0 feet and side slopes of 3H:1V. The grass covered embankment consists of two zones of compacted earth and includes a seepage drain along the downstream toe in the vicinity of the outlet. There is a 12 foot wide cutoff trench at the upstream side of the central core.

3. Outlet Works:

The outlet works consist of a principal spillway and an emergency spillway at the dam. There are no outlet works at the dike. The principal spillway consists of a reinforced concrete riser and a 30 inch reinforced concrete pipe extending from the riser, through the dam to a reinforced concrete impact basin on the downstream face of the dam. The crest of the riser is at elevation 266.0 and is protected by an angle iron trash rack. The small

sediment pool can be drained by removing stop logs in the upstream end of the riser.

4. Emergency Spillway:

The emergency spillway is a grassed earth channel at the eastern end of the dam. The crest of the spillway is a 30 foot long level section at elevation 288.8. The bottom width of the spillway is 130.0 feet, the side slopes are 3H:1V and the length is 1000 feet.

5. Dike:

The dike is also a grass covered two zone compacted earth embankment, 475 feet long and 28.4 feet high, with side slopes of 3H:1V. It has a top width of 12 feet which is at elevation 293.4. There is no seepage drain for this dike. There is a 12 foot wide central cutoff trench.

c. Size Classification:

The size classification of the dam and the dike are SMALL as per the criteria set forth in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, by the Corps of Engineers. The impoundment storage at the top of both structures is 900 ac.-ft. (within the range of 50 to 1000 ac.-ft.). The maximum height of the dam is 30.9 feet and the maximum height of the dike is 28.4 (both within the range of 25 to 40 feet). The size classification of the dam and dike are based on both the impoundment storage and the height criteria.

d. Hazard Classification:

The hazard classification of the dam and of the dike are HIGH as per the criteria set forth in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspections of Dams, by the Corps of Engineers.

In the event of failure of the dam, numerous homes and buildings in an urbanized area of West Hartford could suffer excessive damage. The potential exists for the loss of more than a few lives at downstream homes and buildings which may be inundated by from 2 to 6 feet above ground level. The pre-failure flow of 116 cfs would not inundate any structures. These homes and buildings range from approximately 3 to 6 feet above the normal brook level.

In the event of failure of the dike, 3 to 4 homes immediately downstream could suffer excessive damage. The potential exists for the loss of more than a few

lives at these homes which may be inundated by from 2 to 4 feet above ground level. There would be no pre-failure flow for the dike. These homes range from approximately 3 to 8 feet above the dry channel invert.

e. Ownership:

The South Reservoir Dam and Dike are presently owned and maintained by the State of Connecticut, Department of Environmental Protection.

f. Operator:

The person in charge of maintenance of the structure is:

Mr. Anthony Cantele
Regional Director, Region I
Conservation and Preservation Division
Department of Environmental Protection
P.O. Box 161
Pleasant Valley, CT 06063
Telephone: (203) 379-0771

g. Purpose:

These floodwater retarding structures provide flood protection to the flood plain of the South Branch of the Park River.

h. Design and Construction History:

The design of the dam and dike was completed by the Soil Conservation Service in 1964 and construction was also completed in 1964.

i. Normal Operating Procedure:

There are no day-to-day operational procedures for the dam or dike. The reservoir is normally empty except for a small sediment pool and all flow is discharged through the principal spillway.

1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area:

The South Reservoir drainage basin is roughly rectangular in shape with a length of 1.8 miles and an average width of .75 miles resulting in a total drainage area of 1.30 square miles (see drainage basin map in Appendix D). The topography is generally steep terrain with a flatter flood plain. Elevations range

from a high of 530 feet to a low of 266.0 at the principal spillway crest. Stream and basin slopes are flat to steep, 0.8 percent to 13 percent, respectively. The sediment pool has a surface area of 7.1 acres which is approximately 0.9 percent of the watershed.

b. Discharge at Dam Site:

There are no specific discharge records available. Listed below are calculated discharge values for the emergency spillway and outlet works (30 inch principal spillway).

1. Outlet Works: A 30 inch pipe with an invert at elevation 263.0 and a discharge capacity of 116 cfs at elevation 288.8.
2. Maximum known discharge at dam site: Unknown
3. Ungated spillway capacity at top of dam: 3450 cfs at elevation 293.4.
4. Ungated spillway capacity at test flood elevation: 1810 cfs at elevation 291.8.
5. Gated spillway capacity at normal pool elevation: N/A
6. Gated spillway outlet capacity at test flood elevation: N/A
7. Total spillway capacity at test flood elevation: 1810 cfs at elevation 291.8.
8. Total project discharge at top of dam: 3580 cfs at elevation 293.4.
9. Total project discharge at test flood elevation: 1930 cfs at elevation 291.8.

c. Elevation (Feet Above MDC Datum):

1. Stream bed at toe	262.5 (dam)
	265.0 (dike)
2. Bottom of cutoff	262.0 (dam)
	263.0 (dike)
3. Maximum tailwater	266.0
4. Normal pool	266.0
5. Full flood control pool	288.8

6. Spillway crest	288.8 (emergency spillway)
7. Design surcharge	291.4
8. Top of dam and dike	293.4
9. Test flood level	291.8
d. Reservoir (Length in Feet):	
1. Normal pool	600
2. Flood control pool	2200
3. Spillway crest pool	2200 (emergency spillway)
4. Top of dam and dike	3000
5. Test flood pool	2800
e. Storage (acre-feet):	
1. Normal pool	5.4
2. Flood control pool	650
3. Spillway crest pool	650 (emergency spillway)
4. Top of dam and dike	900
5. Test flood pool	814
f. Reservoir Surface (acres):	
1. Normal pool	7.1
2. Flood control pool	64.1
3. Spillway crest	64.1 (emergency spillway)
4. Test flood pool	70.0
5. Top of dam and dike	71.0
g. Dam and Dike:	
1. Type	Earth embankments
2. Length	3060 (dam) 475 (dike)

3. Height	30.9 (dam) 28.4 (dike)
4. Top width	12 feet
5. Side slopes	3H:1V
6. Zoning	Two zone compacted earth fill;
7. Cutoff	12 foot wide cutoff trenches
8. Grout curtain	None
9. Other	- -
h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel:	N/A
i. Spillway	(emergency spillway)
1. Type	grassed channel
2. Width of channel	130 feet
3. Crest elevation	288.8
4. Gates	None
5. U/S Channel	grassed channel
6. D/S Channel	grassed channel
7. General	good condition
j. Regulating Outlets:	(principal spillway)
Refer to Paragraph 1.2b - "Description of Dam and Appurtenances" for description of Outlet Works.	
1. Invert	263.0
2. Size	30 inch
3. Description	Reinforced Concrete Pipe
4. Control Mechanism	None
5. Other	Stop logs on upstream end of riser to drain sediment pool.
	Riser Crest Elevation: 266.0

SECTION 2

ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

The available design data consists of the following documents and plans prepared by the Soil Conservation Service (SCS).

- a. "As-built" drawings of construction plans, South Reservoir Dam, 1964. Copies of these plans are included in Appendix B-3.
- b. Original design calculations and report.
- c. Stage-storage, stage-reservoir area, and stage-discharge curves.
- d. Information storage and retrieval form.

Refer to Appendix B-1 for the location of this material.

2.2 Construction

The SCS provided inspection during construction of the structures, which was completed in 1964. The SCS has construction inspection reports in storage. These reports were not reviewed in the preparation of this Phase I Inspection Report.

2.3 Operation

There are no day-to-day operational procedures. The site is visually inspected semi-annually by the State of Connecticut. Inspection records are available from the owner.

2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability:

All information concerning these structures was gathered by field investigation and meetings with the Soil Conservation Service and from the files of the Department of Environmental Protection, Water Resources Unit, Dam Safety Engineers, State Office Building, Hartford, Connecticut.

b. Adequacy:

The information that was available complimented a complete visual inspection of this facility and is adequate at this time.

c. Validity:

The engineering design data provided by the SCS has been deemed adequate for the purpose of this Phase I Inspection Report. The as-built plans appear to adequately represent the present configuration of the structures, based upon the visual inspection. This investigation did not include a detailed engineering check of the SCS design file.

SECTION 3

VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. General:

The visual inspection of the South Reservoir Dam and Dike was conducted on November 26, 1980 and a copy of the visual inspection check list is contained in Appendix A of this report.

The following procedure was used:

1. Inspection of the upstream reservoir area which would be impounded by the dam and dike.
2. Visual inspection of the face and top of the dam, dike and spillway for cracks, settlement, seepage, etc.
3. Inspection of the outlet works and other appurtenances as to their existence, location, and operability.
4. Review of procedures that could be utilized in the event of an emergency situation.
5. A check of the downstream area for seepage, piping, boils or other indications of abnormal conditions. The downstream hazard potential in the event of dam or dike failure was investigated.
6. Photographs of the general area of the dam and specific items of note were taken and are included in Appendix C of this report.

Before the inspection, the available existing data was studied and reviewed.

b. Dam:

1. **Crest:** The dam consists of an earth embankment with no evidence of misalignment or settlement. The top of the dam is 12 feet wide and contains a grassed service road. There are wheel ruts along the top of the dam with bare earth in places (Photos C-5, C-6).
2. **Upstream Face:** The upstream face consists of a grassed earth slope at 3H:1V (Photos C-2, C-4). Vehicle tracks and animal burrows were noted.

3. **Downstream Face:** The downstream face consists of a grassed earth slope at 3H:1V (Photos C-2, C-4). The toe, east of the outlet, is damp and vehicle tracks and animal burrows were noted. This dampness is probably due to groundwater. Borings shown on the as-built plans indicate that the groundwater table in this area is approximately at the invert elevation of the outlet conduit.

c. Dike:

1. **Crest:** The dike consists of an earth embankment with no evidence of misalignment or settlement. The top of the dike is 12 feet wide and there are wheel ruts with bare earth exposed in places (Photo C-15).
2. **Upstream face:** The upstream face consists of a grassed earth slope at 3H:1V. Vehicle tracks are causing moderate erosion of the slope where the tracks run perpendicular to the dike.
3. **Downstream Face:** The downstream face consists of a grassed earth slope at 3H:1V. Vehicle tracks are causing moderate erosion of the slope (Photo C-16). A large hole, believed to be an animal burrow, approximately 18 inches in diameter and several feet deep was noted approximately 1/4 of the way from the east abutment. The natural groundwater table appears to be near the surface at the central portion of the downstream toe.

d. Appurtenant Structures:

1. **Principal Spillway:** The principal spillway consists of a reinforced concrete riser and an uncontrolled 30 inch RCP extending from the riser, through the dam, to an impact basin (Photo C-11) on the downstream face. These concrete structures are in good condition. The riser is protected by an angle iron trash rack (Photo C-9) which is in good condition. Stop logs are presently placed to within 8 inches of the riser crest.
2. **Impact Basin:** The impact basin consists of a reinforced concrete endwall and baffle (Photo C-11). This concrete structure is in good condition. The seepage drains outlet at the sides of the basin and were partially submerged on November 26, 1980. The drains were flowing (3-5 GPM) on January 26, 1981, when the water level was lower. Settlement is occurring all around the back and sides of the basin (Photos C-12, C-13, C-14). The riprap surrounding the basin is covered with a

concrete slurry. According to the SCS, this was done at the time of construction or shortly thereafter to prevent removal of the riprap by vandals.

3. **Emergency Spillway:** The emergency spillway is a 130 foot wide grassed channel (Photo C-7). It is approximately 1000 feet long and has side slopes of 3H:1V. The floor of the spillway at the upstream end is marshy with standing water. There is extensive vehicle trespass on the spillway which has resulted in moderate erosion of the embankments (Photo C-8).

e. Reservoir Area:

There is no permanent reservoir except for a small sediment pool. The majority of the area which would be inundated is woodland (Overview Photo). No unusual geological features were noted that could be expected to adversely affect the dam, dike or appurtenant structures.

f. Downstream Channel:

The downstream channel consists of an excavated earth channel which extends approximately 450 feet to an existing stream (Photo C-10). The excavated channel is free of major obstructions.

3.2 Evaluation

Based on visual inspection, the South Reservoir Dam and Dike appear to be in GOOD condition overall and there were no major areas of distress noted. Specific areas of concern that were noted are:

- a. The erosion due to vehicle trespass.
- b. The animal burrows in the embankments.
- c. The settlement around the impact basin.

It should be noted that this floodwater retarding reservoir was not filled at the time of inspection and thus the adequacy of the structure with regard to the functioning of the toe drain filter trench and also with regard to potential seepage problems could not be fully assessed. The reservoir should be visited by a qualified registered engineer when floodwaters are being impounded to check for problem areas. A record of maximum water levels should be kept for reference purposes.

SECTION 4
OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 Operational Procedures

a. General:

There are presently no formal operational procedures for this facility.

b. Description of Any Warning System in Effect:

There is a formal written "Flood Emergency Plan" in effect for this facility. During a flood "watch", the structures are inspected to insure that the outlets are clear and free of debris. During a flood "warning", State personnel visit the site periodically (2-3 hours) and report on unusual situations. In the event of an emergency situation, the field inspector would call the State Dam Safety Engineer and a decision would be made as to further action to be taken. A copy of the applicable portions of this flood emergency plan is included in Appendix B.

4.2 Maintenance Procedures

a. General:

The grass cover is mowed on an annual basis. Other maintenance such as painting the trash racks and repairing erosion areas is performed on an "as needed" basis based on the findings of the semi-annual inspections.

b. Operating Facilities:

Maintenance of the principal and emergency spillways is as described above in paragraph 4.2a.

4.3 Evaluation

The operational and maintenance procedures are generally satisfactory, but there are areas requiring improvement.

The formal written flood emergency plan should be amended to include downstream warning procedures.

SECTION 5

EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES

5.1 General

The South Reservoir Dam will create an impoundment with a total storage capacity of 650 ac.-ft. at elevation 288.8, the emergency spillway crest elevation. Each foot of depth in the reservoir above the emergency spillway crest can accommodate approximately 64 ac.-ft. The emergency spillway is a 130 foot wide grass channel with a crest 4.6 feet below the top of the dam. The drainage area is 1.3 square miles and stream and basin slopes are flat to steep, 0.8 percent to 13 percent, respectively.

5.2 Design Data

- a. Original design data (Standard SCS Design Methods) is available for this watershed and the structures of the South Reservoir. To verify existing design information, USGS topographic maps (Scale 1"=2000') were utilized to develop hydrologic parameters such as drainage area, basin length, time of concentration, and other runoff characteristics. Surface area and storage values were verified and taken from the original design data. Some of the pertinent hydraulic design data was confirmed by actual field measurements at the time of the visual inspection.
- b. The original design discharge for the facility is 1470 cfs with a corresponding freeboard of 2.0 feet.
- c. Outflow values (routing procedures) and dam overtopping analyses were computed in accordance with the guidelines developed by the Corps of Engineers. Judgment was used in calculating final values outlined in this report, which are quite approximate and should not be considered a substitute for actual detailed analysis.

5.3 Experience Data

Historical data for recorded reservoir levels is not available for this dam.

5.4 Test Flood Analysis

Recommended Guidelines for the Safety Inspection of Dams by the Corps of Engineers were used for the selection of the "Test Flood". This facility is classified as a HIGH hazard and SMALL size structure. Guidelines indicate that 1/2 the

Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) to the PMF be used as the "Test Flood" for these classifications. A "Test Flood" equal to the PMF was chosen to yield conservative results in light of the approximate nature of the analysis. The watershed has a total area of 1.3 square miles. Snyder's lag was calculated to be 2.3 hours and a Snyder peaking coefficient of 0.625 was used. The 200 square mile - 24 hour Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) is 21.5 inches. The flood hydrograph package, HEC-1 computer program, developed by the Corps of Engineers was utilized to develop the inflow hydrograph, route the flood through the reservoir, and for the dam overtopping analysis. A "Test Flood" inflow equal to the PMF was calculated to be 2750 cfs (2110 csm) and 1/2 the PMF has an inflow value of 1380 cfs (1060 csm).

The emergency spillway capacity is hydraulically adequate to pass the "Test Flood" (PMF) and overtopping of the dam will not occur. The maximum outflow capacity of the project without overtopping the dam is 3580 cfs. This corresponds to 185 percent of the test flood outflow. The maximum outflow discharge value for the "Test Flood" is 1930 cfs corresponding to a depth of flow over the emergency spillway of 3.0 feet and a freeboard of 1.6 feet. A spillway rating curve, outlet rating curve and a stage-storage curve are included in Appendix D of this report.

At the emergency spillway crest elevation of 288.8, the capacity of the 30 inch outlet structure is 116 cfs. It will require approximately 4 days to empty the reservoir assuming a water surface initially at the emergency spillway crest.

The reservoir was assumed to be initially empty for the test flood analysis. It was also assumed that no blockage of the spillways occurred. The affect of tailwater was not considered.

5.5 Dam Failure Analysis

This dam and dike are classified as HIGH hazard structures. Failure discharge could cause damage and the possible loss of more than a few lives due to high velocities, impact from debris, and flooding to numerous residential homes along the downstream channels.

The calculated failure discharges are 53,000 cfs (dam) and 15,600 cfs (dike) at a pool level equal to the emergency spillway crest. The assumed breach lengths are 650 feet (dam) and 80 feet (dike).

The pre-failure flow downstream of the dam would be the principal spillway flow of 116 cfs, corresponding to a

depth of flow of approximately 2 feet. No structures would be inundated by this pre-failure flow. There would be no pre-failure flow for the dike.

The dam failure impact area has been extended 20,000 feet downstream to North Main Street. Numerous homes and buildings in this impact area may be inundated by from 2 to 6 feet above ground level. These homes and buildings range from approximately 3 to 6 feet above the normal brook level. Hartford Reservoir No. 1 may be overtopped by failure of the dam. Additional damage is possible downstream.

The dike failure impact area has been extended 3000 feet downstream to the Burnt Hill Reservoir. Three to four homes in this impact area may be inundated by from 2 to 4 feet of water. These homes range from approximately 3 to 8 feet above the dry channel invert. Additional damage is possible downstream due to overtopping of the Burnt Hill Reservoir.

Water surface elevations due to dam and dike failure are listed in Appendix D on pages D-18 and D-27, respectively.

SECTION 6

EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Visual Observation

The visual inspection revealed no signs of major physical distress. The earth embankments, emergency spillway, and dike appear in generally GOOD condition. The tops appear level and embankment and spillway slopes appear stable.

The most significant visual observations with regard to the stability of the structure are the settlement and wetness in the vicinity of the impact basin and moderate erosion gullies on the embankment crest and slopes, emergency spillway floor and abutments, and across the dike slopes and crest.

It should be noted that this floodwater retarding reservoir was not filled at the time of inspection and thus the adequacy of the structure with regard to the functioning of the toe drain filter trench and also with regard to potential seepage problems could not be fully assessed.

6.2 Design and Construction

The design information available consists of the design calculations and report, as-built construction plans, and construction inspection reports. The location of this information is given in Appendix B-1.

6.3 Post-Construction Changes

There have been no post-construction changes to the dam, or appurtenant structures since completion in 1964.

6.4 Seismic Stability

The dam and dike are in Seismic Zone 1 and hence do not require evaluation for seismic stability according to the Corps of Engineers Recommended Guidelines.

SECTION 7

ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Condition:

Based on the visual inspection, past performance and hydraulic/hydrologic evaluation, the South Reservoir Dam, Dike and appurtenances are judged to be generally in GOOD condition. Items of concern that should be addressed as a result of this inspection are listed in Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

b. Adequacy:

The information available is such that the assessment of the safety of the structures should be based on the visual inspection results, the past operational performance, and the design information that is available.

c. Urgency:

The recommendations and remedial measures described below should be implemented by the owner within two years after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

7.2 Recommendations

It is recommended that the owner engage a qualified registered engineer to carry out the following actions and that his recommendations be implemented.

- a. Investigate the cause and design repairs for the settlement behind the impact basin headwall and wingwalls.
- b. The reservoir should be visited when floodwaters are being impounded to check for problem areas. A record of maximum water levels should be kept for reference purposes.

7.3 Remedial Measures

a. Operation and Maintenance Procedures:

1. Repair vehicle tracks and large erosion gullies, on the top of the embankments, the dam, the floor and walls of the emergency spillway, and across the dike.

2. During the semi-annual inspections, identify all animal burrows and repair as necessary.
3. Recreational vehicle access to the structures should be eliminated.
4. Continue the semi-annual technical inspection program.
5. The existing formal written flood emergency plan should be amended to include downstream warning procedures.

7.4 Alternatives

There are no practical alternatives to the above stated recommendations.

APPENDIX A

INSPECTION CHECK LIST

INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PARTY ORGANIZATION

PROJECT South Reservoir Dam

- Dam
- Dike

DATE November 26, 1980

TIME 9:30 - 12:00 a.m.

WEATHER Clear

W.S. ELEV. _____ U.S. _____ DN.S. _____

PARTY:

- | | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. <u>R. Johnston, JPPA</u> | 6. _____ |
| 2. <u>J. Hewes, JPPA</u> | 7. _____ |
| 3. <u>J. Walsh, Baystate</u> | 8. _____ |
| 4. <u>Environmental Consultants, Inc.</u> | 9. _____ |
| 5. _____ | 10. _____ |

PROJECT FEATURE	INSPFCTFD BY	RFMARKS
1. <u>Hydraulics</u>	<u>R. Johnston</u>	
2. <u>Structural</u>	<u>J. Hewes</u>	
3. <u>Geotechnical</u>	<u>J. Walsh</u>	
4. _____		
5. _____		
6. _____		
7. _____		
8. _____		
9. _____		
10. _____		

INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT South Reservoir Dam

DATE November 26, 1980

PROJECT FEATURE Dam

NAME _____

DISCIPLINE _____

NAME _____

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
<u>DAM EMBANKMENT</u>	
Crest Elevation 293.4	Good grass cover, wheel ruts
Current Pool Elevation 265.5	Principal spillway riser crest
Maximum Impoundment to Date	Unknown
Surface Cracks	None observed
Pavement Condition	N/A
Movement or Settlement of Crest	None observed
Lateral Movement	None observed
Vertical Alignment	Good
Horizontal Alignment	Good
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Abutments good. Minor erosion at outlet structure
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	None observed
Trespassing on Slopes Vegetation on Slopes Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	Yes. Numerous wheel ruts. Good grass cover. Minor settlement around outlet structure. Numerous animal burrows.
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	Riprap around outlet structure, covered with concrete slurry.
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes	None observed
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	Dampness noted at downstream toe near outlet structure.
Piping or Boils	None observed
Foundation Drainage Features) Toe Drains)	Two drains discharging at outlet structure. Partially submerged due to principal spillway discharge.
Instrumentation System	None observed

INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT South Reservoir Dam DATE November 26, 1980
 PROJECT FEATURE Dike NAME _____
 DISCIPLINE _____ NAME _____

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
<u>DIKE EMBANKMENT</u>	
Crest Elevation 293.4	Good grass cover, wheel ruts.
Current Pool Elevation	N/A
Maximum Impoundment to Date	Unknown
Surface Cracks	None observed
Pavement Condition	N/A
Movement or Settlement of Crest	None observed
Lateral Movement	None observed
Vertical Alignment	Good
Horizontal Alignment	Good
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Good. No structures on dike.
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	N/A
Trespassing on Slopes	Yes. Vehicle paths.
Vegetation on Slopes	Good grass cover.
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	Yes, at vehicle path which runs perpendicular to dike.
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	None observed
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes	None observed
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	None observed
Piping or Boils	None observed
Foundation Drainage Features	None observed
Toe Drains	None observed
Instrumentation System	None observed

INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT South Reservoir Dam DATE November 26, 1980
 PROJECT FEATURE Dam NAME _____
 DISCIPLINE _____ NAME _____

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
<u>OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE</u>	
a. Approach Channel	Earth channel
Slope Conditions	Good. Grass and cattails
Bottom Conditions	Good
Rock Slides or Falls	None observed
Log Boom	None observed
Debris	None observed
Condition of Concrete Lining	N/A
Drains or Weep Holes	N/A
b. Intake Structure	Concrete riser with angle iron trash rack.
Condition of Concrete	Underwater. Appears good.
Stop Logs and Slots	Stop logs up to 8 inches below riser crest.
Condition of Trash Rack	Good.
A-4	

INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT South Reservoir Dam DATE November 26, 1980
 PROJECT FEATURE Dam NAME _____
 DISCIPLINE _____ NAME _____

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION :
<u>OUTLET WORKS - TRANSITION AND CONDUIT</u> General Condition of Concrete Rust or Staining on Concrete Spalling Erosion or Cavitation Cracking Alignment of Monoliths Alignment of Joints Numbering of Monoliths A-5	30 inch reinforced concrete pipe. Pipe not visible due to structures at intake and outlet. Water was flowing through conduit during inspection.

INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT South Reservoir Dam DATE November 26, 1980
 PROJECT FEATURE Dam NAME _____
 DISCIPLINE _____ NAME _____

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION :
<u>OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND OUTLET CHANNEL</u>	Concrete Impact Basin
General Condition of Concrete	Good
Rust or Staining	None observed
Spalling	None observed
Erosion or Cavitation	None observed
Visible Reinforcing	None observed
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None observed
Condition at Joints	Good
Drain holes	Embankment drains exit at structure.
Channel	Excavated channel extends approx. 450 ft. through woods.
Loose Rock or Trees Over- hanging Channel	Trees in woods beyond excavated channel.
Condition of Discharge Channel	Good
	<u>Note:</u> Concrete slurry was poured over riprap surrounding out- let structure.
	<u>Note:</u> Minor erosion and depression in embankment all around impact basin.

INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT South Reservoir Dam DATE November 26, 1980
 PROJECT FEATURE Dam NAME _____
 DISCIPLINE _____ NAME _____

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION :
<u>OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS</u>	Earth Emergency Spillway
a. Approach Channel	Grassed Channel
General Condition	Good. Wheel ruts in places.
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	None observed
Trees Overhanging Channel	None observed
Floor of Approach Channel	Grassed. Standing water in places.
b. Weir	
General Condition of Concrete	
Rust or Staining	<u>Note:</u> Weir is a 30 foot long grassed level section between approach and discharge channels.
Spalling	
Any Visible Reinforcing	
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	
Drain Holes	
c. Discharge Channel	Grassed channel.
General Condition	Good. Wheel ruts in places.
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	None observed
Trees Overhanging Channel	None observed
Floor of Channel	Grassed. Damp in places.
Other Obstructions	Woods at end of channel.
	<u>NOTE:</u> Numerous erosion areas are caused by vehicle trespass. Two animal holes were noted in the west spillway embankment.

APPENDIX B

ENGINEERING DATA

APPENDIX B-1

DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS

Location

Items

Mr. Victor J. Galgowski
Dam Safety Engineer
Water Resources Unit
Department of Environmental Protection
State of Connecticut
State Office Building
Hartford, Connecticut 06115

- 1. As Built Plans
- 2. State Inspection Reports
- 3. Rating Curves
- 4. Flood Emergency Plan

Mr. Whitney T. Ferguson, Jr.
State Conservation Engineer
Soil Conservation Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Mansfield Professional Park
Storrs, Connecticut 06268

- 1. As Built Plans
- 2. Design Report
- 3. Design Calculations
- 4. Construction Inspection Reports
- 5. Information Storage and Retrieval Form

• Indicates material contained in this Phase I Inspection Report.

DESIGN REPORT

SOUTH BRANCH-PARK RIVER WATERSHED SOUTH RESERVOIR - SITE NO. 3 HARTFORD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT

This floodwater retarding dam is located in West Hartford, Connecticut on an unnamed tributary of Trout Brook. The transparent overlay on sheet 4 of this report used in conjunction with the New Britain, Connecticut quadrangle published by the U.S. Geological Survey will locate this structure.

This dam has a class (c) hazard classification, and is designed in accordance with the criteria established by the Soil Conservation Service.

It is one of four structures which will provide flood protection for the South Branch of the Park River flood plain. It will temporarily impound floodwaters comparable to those experienced during hurricanes Carol and Diane (1955) without the discharge through emergency spillway.

The dam and the dike upstream from it, which controls flood impoundment, will be built of compacted earthfill on a pervious foundation. The dam will have a drainage system under the downstream portion of the embankment to control the effects of seepage.

The principal spillway conduit will consist of specially designed 30-inch inside diameter reinforced concrete water pipe automatically controlled by a reinforced concrete entrance structure. This riser will be equipped with wooden flashboards to permit water level control or drainage of the small existing pond which will be improved by the sponsors. The conduit will discharge through an impact type of energy dissipator into the constructed outfall channel. The emergency spillway will be excavated through fine grained sands in the east abutment of the dam. Its bottom and side slopes, as well as the slopes of the earth embankments, will be protected by erosion resistant vegetative cover.

There is a possibility that in the future a major highway will be constructed across a portion of the floodwater storage reservoir as shown on sheet 3 of the plans. The hydrologic calculations considered and provided for this possible loss of floodwater storage.

The results of hydrologic and hydraulic determinations are given in the following table:

REFERENCE:	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE ENGINEERING & WATERSHED PLANNING UNIT UPPER DARBY, PENNSYLVANIA	DRAWING NO. CN-420-R SHEET <u>1</u> OF <u>4</u> DATE <u>10/3/63</u>
------------	---	--

DESIGN REPORT

Factor Which Determines Stage	Surface Area Acres	Runoff Area Acres	Peak Inflow c.f.s.	Peak Outflow c.f.s.	Elev. of Maximum Stage	Storage in Ac.-Ft.	Element of Structure Determined by Maximum Stage
50-year sediment acc.	7.1	-	-	-	266.0	5.4	Crest of riser
Work plan	64.1	9.92	585	119	288.8	650	Crest of emergency spillway
Routing 1.75x6-hr. pt. rain-fall moisture condition III	68.0	15.9	6860	1500	291.4	805	Design high water
Routing 2.5x6-hr. pt. rain-fall moisture condition II	71.0	20.8	8900	3000	293.4	900	Top of dam

Time to empty 100 percent of flood storage is 3.77 days.

Copies of the geology and soil mechanics laboratory reports used in the design of this structure are made a part of this design report.

The following publications were used also in the design of this structure:

NEH No. 5, Hydraulics
 NEH No. 4, Hydrology
 NEH No. 6, Structural Design
 Engineering Division Technical Releases Nos. 2 and 5

REFERENCE:	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE ENGINEERING & WATERSHED PLANNING UNIT UPPER MERY, PENNSYLVANIA	DRAWING NO. CH-120-R SHEET <u>2</u> OF <u>4</u> DATE <u>10/3/63</u>
-------------------	--	--

DESIGN REPORT

Copies of these publications may be obtained from Mr. N. Paul Tedrow,
State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, Storrs, Connecticut.

Gerald E. Oman
Design Engineer

T. R. Wire
State Conservation Engineer

Concurred:

Vincent McKeever
Hydrologist

Robert F. Fonner
Geologist


REFERENCE:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
ENGINEERING & WATERSHED PLANNING UNIT
UPPER DARBY, PENNSYLVANIA

DRAWING NO.
CN-420-R

SHEET 3 OF 4
DATE 10/3/63

DESIGN REPORT

**REFERENCE:**

NEW BRITAIN CONN.
7.5' QUADRANGLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
ENGINEERING & WATERSHED PLANNING UNIT
UPPER DARBY, PENNSYLVANIA

DRAWING NO.

CN-420-R

SHEET 4 OF 4

DATE _____

6-13-75

DESIGN FOR STORAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL - DAMS PLANS

CONSTRUCTED BY SCS

SITE NO.

IDENTIFICATION AND LOCATION

1. Site 3 South Reservoir
STRUCTURE DESIGNATION (NAME OR NUMBER)
2. Park River-Conn. River
RIVER BASIN (NAME)
3. South Branch-Park River
EXTENSION (NAME OR NUMBER)
4. Connecticut
STATE (NAME)
5. Hartford
COUNTY (NAME)
6. West Hartford
TOWNSHIP (NAME)
7. 1
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT (NUMBER)
8. Central Connecticut Lowlands
PHYSIOGRAPHIC AREA 1/ (NAME)
9. WP
AUTHORIZATION (WP, FP, ROAD, CO-OP, PILOT)
10. 72 46 58
LATITUDE (DEGREES, MINUTES, SECONDS)
11. 41 44 48
LONGITUDE (DEGREES, MINUTES, SECONDS)
12. 294.2
ELEVATION OF TOP OF DAM (SETTLED FILL- FEET MSL)
13. 1962
DATE PLAN APPROVED
14. 1972
DATE OF MOST RECENT SUPPLEMENT
(LEAVE BLANK IF NOT SUPPLEMENTED)
15. 1964
DATE CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED
(LEAVE BLANK IF NOT COMPLETED)
16. EARTH ROCK, CONCRETE, OTHER
TYPE OF DAM (CIRCLE APPLICABLE) -
17. FLOOD PREVENTION RECREATION, FISH & WILDLIFE,
MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER SUPPLY, IRRIGATION,
NAVIGATION, HYDRO-ELECTRIC, SEDIMENT CONTROL,
LOW FLOW AUGMENTATION, OTHER
PLANNED PURPOSES (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE) -
18. C
HAZARD CLASS (A, B, OR C)
19. 1
EARTHQUAKE ZONE 2/ (0, 1, 2, 3, or 4)

SIZE AND CAPACITY

20. 830 AC.
DRAINAGE AREA UNCONTROLLED
(UPSTREAM FROM STRUCTURE)
21. 31 AC.
DRAINAGE AREA CONTROLLED
(UPSTREAM FROM STRUCTURE)
22. 31 FT.
MAXIMUM FILL HEIGHT
(FROM LOW POINT OF CENTERLINE, BEARING EXTENDING
TO TOP OF SETTLED FILL.)
23. Dam 3,060 FT.
CREST LENGTH OF DAM (ALONG CENTERLINE)
24. Dike 475 FT.
VOLUME OF FILL 108,800 CU. YD.

25. 5.4 AC. FT.
SUBMERGED SEDIMENT STORAGE
26. 5.4 AC. FT.
AERATED SEDIMENT STORAGE
27. 5.4 AC. FT.
MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER STORAGE
28. 5.4 AC. FT.
RECREATION WATER STORAGE
29. 5.4 AC. FT.
FISH AND WILDLIFE STORAGE
30. 5.4 AC. FT.
IRRIGATION STORAGE
31. 5.4 AC. FT.
OTHER BENEFICIAL STORAGE
32. 650 AC. FT.
TOTAL FLOOD STORAGE
33. 250 AC. FT.
TEMPORARY EMERGENCY SPILLWAY STORAGE (BETWEEN CREST
OF LOWEST EMERGENCY SPILLWAY AND TOP OF SETTLED FILL)
34. 5.4 AC.
SURFACE AREA OF NORMAL POOL
35. 5.4 MILES
LENGTH OF SHORE LINE OF NORMAL POOL
36. 5.4 FT.
MAXIMUM DEPTH OF NORMAL POOL

PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY FEATURES

37. PIPE MONOLITHIC, OPEN CONCRETE STRUCTURE, OTHER
PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY TYPE (CIRCLE APPLICABLE) -
38. No
IS THERE COLD WATER RELEASE FACILITY?
39. 1 (1 or 2)
NUMBER OF STAGES
40. 119 CFS
LOW STAGE CAPACITY
(AT HIGH STAGE PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY CREST)
41. 119 CFS
PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY CAPACITY
(AT LOWEST EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CREST)

PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY CONDUIT FEATURES

42. ROCK EARTH
MAJOR PORTION OF CONDUIT IS ON (CIRCLE APPLICABLE) -
43. IMPACT BASIN SAF, PLUNGE POOL, NONE, OTHER
TYPE OF ENERGY DISSIPATOR (CIRCLE APPLICABLE) -
44. 2.5
CONDUIT SIZE
(LARGEST CONDUIT THROUGH DAM (DIAM. IN FT. IF ROUND)
(HEIGHT AND WIDTH IN FT. IF MONOLITHIC) ALSO SHOW
NUMBER OF BARRELS IF MULTI-BARREL)
45. CONCRETE-OPEN TOP
INLET TYPE (CIRCLE APPLICABLE) - COVERED TOP, HOOD INLET, METAL-OPEN TOP, OTHER
46. 3.0 FT.
HEIGHT OF RISER
(FROM TOP OF FLOOR TO TOP OF ANTI-VORTEX)

EMERGENCY SPILLWAY FEATURES

47. VEGETATED SOFT ROCK, HARD ROCK 3/
PRIMARY EMERGENCY SPILLWAY TYPE (CIRCLE APPLICABLE)
CLOSED CONDUIT, OPEN CONCRETE STRUCTURE, EARTH,
48. 130 FT.
PRIMARY EMERGENCY SPILLWAY WIDTH
(GREATEST LENGTH FOR CONCRETE)
49. 1 %
PERCENT CHANGE OF USE OF PRINCIPAL EMERGENCY SPILLWAY

- 1/ N. M. Fenneman, 1938, Physiography of Eastern United States, McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, N. Y.
- 2/ See TSC Technical Note - Engineering UD-22.
- 3/ Soft Rock - Rock that will erode when subjected to flowing water.
Hard Rock - Rock that is resistant to erosion due to flowing water.

CONTINUED ON REVERSE SIDE

50. 2881 CFS
CAPACITY OF PRIMARY EMERGENCY SPILLWAY
(WHEN POOL IS AT TOP OF DAM)
51. 4.6 FT.
DIFFERENCE IN ELEVATION BETWEEN CREST OF PRIMARY
EMERGENCY SPILLWAY AND TOP OF DAM
52. SECONDARY EMERGENCY SPILLWAY IS (CIRCLE APPLICABLE)
NONE, EARTH, VEGETATED, SOFT ROCK, HARD ROCK 3/
53. WIDTH OF SECONDARY EMERGENCY SPILLWAY FT.
54. CAPACITY OF SECONDARY EMERGENCY
SPILLWAY (WHEN POOL IS AT TOP OF DAM) CFS
55. FT.
DIFFERENCE IN ELEVATION BETWEEN CREST OF SECONDARY
EMERGENCY SPILLWAY AND TOP OF DAM
- OMIT ITEMS 56-59 IF DRAINAGE AREA IS
LESS THAN 10 SQUARE MILES
56. BULK LENGTH OF SOFT ROCK 3/ EARTH FT.
OR VEGETATED SPILLWAY (SEE TR-52 FOR DEFINITION)
57.
PT OF SURFACE MATERIAL IN EARTH OR VEGETATED
SPILLWAY (PREDOMINANT MATERIAL AT OR NEAR SURFACE
BEFORE TOP SOILING)
58.
USCS CLASSIFICATION OF ABOVE MATERIAL
59. AC. FT.
VOLUME OF OUTFLOW THROUGH VEGETATED OR EARTH
SPILLWAY (DURING PASSAGE OF FREEBOARD HYDROGRAPH)

COST DATA

- WORK PLAN
60. LAND RIGHTS COST \$ 45,133

76. REMARKS

- 3/ Soft Rock - Rock that will erode when subjected to flowing water.
Hard Rock - Rock that is resistant to erosion due to flowing water.

61. FEDERAL SHARE OF LAND RIGHTS COST \$
62. CONSTRUCTION COST \$ 126,455
(DOES NOT INCLUDE LAND RIGHTS, ENGINEERING AND
PROJECT ADMINISTRATION)
63. FEDERAL SHARE OF CONSTRUCTION COST IN PERCENT 100 %

COMPLETED STRUCTURE

64. FINAL CONSTRUCTION COST \$ 118,900

MISCELLANEOUS DATA

65. South Reservoir
POPULAR NAME OF DAM
66.
NAME OF RESERVOIR
67. NEAREST CITY OR TOWN West Hartford
68. TYPE OF DAM IF CONCRETE (CIRCLE APPLICABLE)
BUTTRESS, ARCH, MULTI-ARCH
69. IS DISCHARGE THROUGH PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY CONTROLLED
BY GATES? No
70. ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE
(IF UNDER CONSTRUCTION)
71. OWNER State of Connecticut
72. ENGINEERING BY Soil Conservation Service
73. CONSTRUCTION BY Mark Construction Co.
(CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR)
74. ABOVE DATA FURNISHED BY Joseph Polulech
(NAME)
75. DATE DATA FURNISHED 11/75

**FLOOD EMERGENCY PLAN PF 6
CONN. DEPT. ENVIR. PROT.**

will commence. The pump and hoses are stored in Building No. 17.

- d. All gates will be inspected to insure proper closing without clogging by debris.
- e. Screen well house openings will be closed. The closure will consist of
 - (i) stoplogging the one entrance door into the structure,
 - (ii) closing the maintenance trough opening with the steel plate mounted outside the building, and
 - (iii) the sluice gate on the opening under the well house floor will be secured.

The flood works are on the property of Chase Brass and Cooper Co., Inc., and AMTRAK. Chase Brass has agreed to assist in maintaining and operating these works. The individuals assigned to these responsibilities from Chase Brass are as follows:

L. Conard	756-9448
B. Kleinselbeck	754-8229

(A guard is on duty 24 hours at the plant.)

- ▷ 5. Soil Conservation Service Flood Control Structures: The dams listed below are dry flood control dams which are owned and operated by the State of Connecticut. Their sole purpose is to impound and slowly release flood water. In order to properly operate, it is imperative the culverts be clear and free of debris. For this reason, upon notification of a watch the structures should be inspected to insure clear outlets. During a warning the dams will be inspected at approximately 2 - 3 hour intervals. Inspections should consist of
- a. estimating the height of water,
 - b. looking for piping failures, sand boils, or other abnormal leakage, especially in the vicinity of the culvert outlets, and
 - c. looking for the development of slope sloughing or other structural problems.

Findings of each inspection should be reported immediately to the F.E.O.C.

FLOOD EMERGENCY PLAN PP 7
CONN. DEPT. ENVIR PROT.

Crew Assignments:

Bloomfield Reservoir, Site 3, Tunxis Ave. Bloomfield

Bloomfield Reservoir, Site 3a, Tunxis Ave., Bloomfield

Blue Hills Reservoir, Filley Street, Bloomfield

Wintonbury Reservoir, Filley Street, Bloomfield

Coldspring Reservoir, Simsbury Road, Bloomfield

Crew:	Home	Office
Marilyn Aarrestad	658-5593	242-1158
Thomas Noonan	247-1847	242-1158
Calvin Innes	653-2996	242-1158

Thousand Acre Swamp, New Marlboro, Massachusetts

Westside Reservoir, Westside Road, Norfolk

Norfolk Reservoir, Route 44, Norfolk

Wood Creek Reservoir, Route 272, Norfolk

Whiting River Reservoir, Canaan Valley Road, North Canaan

Crew:

Stanley Civco	542-5423	SAME
----------------------	-----------------	-------------

Roaring Brook, Unionville

▷ **South Reservoir, Farmington Avenue, West Hartford**

Burnt Hill Reservoir, Tunxis Road, West Hartford

Bugbee Reservoir, Hickory Lane, West Hartford

Talcott Reservoir, Route 44, West Hartford

Crew:

Robert Corbridge	673-3955	677-1819
Phillip Johnson	673-2943	677-1819
Ransom Watson	677-1819	677-1819

6. Highland Lake Flood Control Works: As part of the Flood works for Winsted, the dam at the north end of Highland Lake can be sandbagged prior to flooding to increase the storage capacity

Whitney To
Take Charge

APPENDIX B-2

COPIES OF PAST INSPECTION REPORTS

NO. _____

WATER RESOURCES COMMISSION
SUPERVISION OF DAMS
INVENTORY DATA

Inventoried

By

Date

11
CT 487

Name of Dam or Pond

Code No.

Nearest Street Location

Town

U.S.G.S. Quad.

Name of Stream

Owner

Address

Pond Used For

Dimensions of Pond: Width

Length

Area

Total Length of Dam

Length of Spillway

Location of Spillway

Height of Pond Above Stream Bed

Height of Embankment Above Spillway

Type of Spillway Construction

Type of Dike Construction

Downstream Conditions

Summary of File Data

Remarks

Would Failure Cause Damage?

B-11

Class

1966

APPENDIX B-3

RECORD DRAWINGS AND SKETCHES

SOUTH BRANCH PARK RIVER WATERSHED PRO

FLOODWATER RETARDING DAM NO. 3

SOUTH RESERVOIR

DRAINAGE AREA	832	ACRES
FLOOD STORAGE	650	ACRE FT.
TO EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CREST		
WATER SURFACE AREA	64	ACRES
• EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CREST		
HEIGHT OF DAM	31	FEET
VOLUME OF FILL	108,800	CUBIC YARDS

**BUILT UNDER THE WATERSHED PROTECTION AND
FLOOD PREVENTION ACT**

BY

**COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES
STATE OF CONNECTICUT**

**WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE**

OF THE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

1964

SHEET 1 - COVER SHEET

SHEET 2 - PLAN OF STORAGE AND BORROW AREAS

SHEET 3 - PLAN OF DAM

SHEET 4 - PLAN OF DAM, EMERGENCY SPILLWAY AND DUNE

SHEET 5 - PLAN OF DAM, DUNE AND EMERGENCY SPILLWAY

SHEET 6 - PLAN OF DAM, DUNE AND EMERGENCY SPILLWAY

ERSHED PROJECT

NO. 3

ACRES

ACRE FT.

ACRES


FEET

CUBIC YARDS

TECTION AND

RAL RESOURCES

ICULTURE




AREAS

AND DIKE



RAILWAY

SPILLWAY



NOTE: Contouring and Elevations referenced
to the National Datum.

CONSTRUCTION OF THIS MAP WAS
MADE BY THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY







CON TOPO - INTERNAL APPROPRIATE


**SOUTH BRANCH RIVER WATERSHED
FLOODWATER RETARDING DAM NO. 1
SOUTH RESERVOIR
WEST HARTFORD, CONN.
PLAN OF STORAGE AND BORROW AREAS
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE**

Elevations referenced
to Mean Sea Level

PLAN OF DAMS
SEE SHEET 1
PLAN OF BORROW AREAS
SEE SHEET 2












DAM AND DRAINAGE DITCH
RELOCATED 10 ADDITIONAL FEET FROM
SURVEY BASE LINE



RELOCATED - P.C. 2 - STA 22+00
RELOCATED - P.T. 2 - STA 23+00
EQUALITY STA 23+00 - 15+00



CUR
P 11.9
Δ 40°
D 48°
T 44°
L 85°

















HALF SECTION A-A



SECTION B-B




PLAN D



PLAN VIEW




TYPICAL SECTION
OUTLET DRAIN








NOTES:

1. THE SEEPAGE DRAIN PIPES (PEAF) SHALL BE 8" DIA. ANNULAR OR SPIRAL, 16 GAGE, BITUMINOUS COATED CORRUGATED METAL PIPE WITH STD. COUPLING BANDS.
2. ALL PEAF PIPE SHALL BE LAID WITH 1/2" DIA. PERFORATIONS ON LOWER SIDE.
3. ALL SEEPAGE DRAIN PIPES SHALL BE SURROUNDED BY A MIN. OF 1.0' OF FILTER MATERIAL.





SCALE PLAN & FILTER TRENCH




**SOUTH BRANCH PARK RIVER WATERSHED PROJECT
FLOODPLAIN RETARDING DAM NO. 1
SOUTH RESERVOIR
WEST HARTFORD, CONN.
SEEPAGE DRAIN DETAILS**

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE**




PROFILE ALONG E OF PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY

SEE SHEET 1 FOR PROFILE OF ORIGINAL GROUND AND A TEST PITS ALONG E




30" DIA. REINF CONC WATER PIPE
 10 16'-0" SECTIONS
 1 12'-0" SECTION
 1 WALL PIECE FOR 10" WALL
 TOTAL LENGTH 175.1'
 PRESSURE HEAD = 16.3'
 LOAD = 18,300 LBS PER LIN FT BASED ON O.D. OF 34.5"
 MIN. 5 EDGE BEARING STRENGTH FOR 0.001" CRACK
 EQUALS 9,800 LBS PER LIN FT FOR PRESTRESSED
 PIPE. AM WA C-301


PIPE SUPPLIERS NOTE:
 CAST OUTSIDE OF SPIGOT
 JOINT BARS WITH CONCRETE
 ON ONE 16' SECTION




E ALONG E OF PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY
 FOR PROFILE OF ORIGINAL DRAINAGE LINE & TEST PITS ALONG E PRINC. SPILLWAY




11-1. REV. 11




11-6. REV. 11




11-7. REV. 11




11-8. REV. 11




11-9. REV. 11




11-10. REV. 11




11-11. REV. 11



11-12. REV. 11




11-13. REV. 11




SOUTH BRANCH PARK RIVER WATERSHED PROJECT FLOODWATER RETARDING DAM NO. 3 SOUTH RESERVOIR WEST HARTFORD, CONN.			
LOGS OF TEST HOLES			
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE			
Location	Date	Entered by	
Test Type	Test		
C. H. BRADLEY	SEP 1955		
Number	Test		
1-2-100	1-2-100	CN-450-2	


SE-101, ELEV. 306.3




SE-107, ELEV. 311.9




SE-111, ELEV. 317.1




SE-106, ELEV. 317.1




SE-104, ELEV. 306.7




SE-112, ELEV. 309.0




SE-109, ELEV. 307.9




SE-105, ELEV. 311.1




SE-113, ELEV. 309.0




SE-114, ELEV. 309.0




SE-115, ELEV. 309.0




SE-111, ELEV. 577.1




SE-112, ELEV. 580.2




SE-113, ELEV. 582




SE-114, ELEV. 589.0




SE-115, ELEV. 590.0




SE-116, ELEV. 592




SE-117, ELEV. 593.0



SE-118, ELEV. 595




SE-119, ELEV. 596



SE-120, ELEV. 598.0



SE-121, ELEV. 598




SE-122, ELEV. 598




SM-100, ELEV. 202
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, brown, trace of organic material, some fragmental trap and clay, some mica, trace of plastic.
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, brown, trace of organic material, some fragmental trap and clay, some mica, trace of plastic. Refusal at 20.0 feet.



SM-101, ELEV. 205
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, brown, some sub-angular siltstone fragments.
 Same as above with less plastic fines, abundant fragmental siltstone from 6 feet and trap pieces from 10 feet.




SM-102, ELEV. 208
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, brown, some pebbles, trace mica, some pieces of trap.
 Same as above except some plastic fines and less trap.
 Same as above without plastic fines, some fragmental trap and siltstone.




SM-103, ELEV. 212
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, brown, trace mica and some fragmental trap and siltstone, abundant concretion from 8 feet as a result of decomposed trap and siltstone. Less rock from 4 feet. Slightly plastic fines from 4 to 6 feet. Refusal at 16.5 feet.




SM-104, ELEV. 215
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, dark brown, some pebbles, well oxidized, trace of clay. Some decomposed trap from 4 feet.
 Same but with some plastic fines. Refusal at 17 feet. Rock fragments throughout.



SM-105, ELEV. 270
 Trap rock and fill.
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, dark brown well oxidized, trap fragments.
 Black organic trash.
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, gray to 4.2 feet - dark brown beyond, some medium grained sand and pebbles. Trace of mica from 10 feet. Refusal at 19.9 feet.




SM-106, ELEV. 270
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, some pebbles, some organic traces.
 Same as above except very fine grained, some plastic fines, and decomposed trap.




SM-107, ELEV. 270
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, some pebbles, some organic traces. Slight horizontal fracturing.


SM-108, ELEV. 270
 Sand, very fine grained, poorly sorted, some settling, trace of clay.
 Sand, fine grained, dark brown, some pebbles, some organic traces. Very fine rock fragments from 6 feet. Abundant fragments from Refusal at 22.6 feet.



SM-109, ELEV. 270
 Sand, fine grained, poorly sorted, trace of organic material, sub-angular siltstone fragments. Some coarse sand and quartz to 6 feet. Beyond 6 feet fine grained with siltstone from 15 feet.



SM-110, ELEV. 270
 Sand, fine grained, poorly sorted, some fragmental trap and some organic traces to 2 feet and trace of clay from 4 to 17.3 feet.




2

SM-100, ELEV. 22.0'
 Sand, very fine grained, poorly graded, gray, some mottling, trace of clay, some plasticity.
 SM-101, ELEV. 21.0'
 Sand, fine grained, dark brown, some mottling, trace of clay, some plasticity. Very low rock fragments from 4 to 10 feet. Abundant fragments from 15 feet. Refusal at 22.6 feet.



SM-106, ELEV. 27.0'

SM-106, ELEV. 27.0'
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, dark brown, trace of organic material. Some subangular siltstone fragments from 4 feet. Some coarse sand and quartz pebbles from 4 to 6 feet. Refusal at 15 feet.




SM-107, ELEV. 27.0'

SM-107, ELEV. 27.0'
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, brown, some fragmental trap and siltstone. Sand and organic matter to 2 feet. Some silt and trace of clay from 4 feet. Refusal at 17.3 feet.



SM-108, ELEV. 27.0'

SM-108, ELEV. 27.0'
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, some medium sand, brown, some mottling to 2 feet. Sand to medium sand and subangular siltstone fragments from 2 to 4 feet. Subangular trap and siltstone fragments from 10.5 feet. Refusal at 13.0 feet.




SM-109, ELEV. 27.0'

SM-109, ELEV. 27.0'
 Sand, very fine grained, poorly graded, gray, trace of mica, some clay, some organic matter.
 SM-110, ELEV. 27.0'
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, brown with some mottling, some plastic. Fragmental trap and siltstone from 4 feet. Four boulders sand from 10 to 25 feet.



SM-102, ELEV. 26.0'

SM-102, ELEV. 26.0'
 Sand, very fine grained, poorly graded, dark brown, trace of mica, some clay and plasticity.



SM-103, ELEV. 26.0'

SM-103, ELEV. 26.0'
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, brown, trap fragments and decomposed siltstone, trace of mica, some pebbles.




SM-104, ELEV. 27.0'

SM-104, ELEV. 27.0'
 Sand, very fine grained, poorly graded, gray with some mottling, some clay, slightly plastic.




SM-105, ELEV. 26.0'

SM-105, ELEV. 26.0'
 Sand, fine grained, poorly graded, brown, pebbles, rounded coarse sand from 5.0 feet, trace mica, some siltstone fragments from 6 feet. No appreciable ground water level. Casing broke at 14.9 feet.





AS-BULK

SOUTH BRANCH PARK RIVER WATERSHED PROJECT FLOODWATER RETARDING DAM NO. 3 SOUTH RESERVOIR WEST HARTFORD, CONN.	
LOGS OF TEST HOLES	
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE	
Location	Sheet No.
Well Type	Well No.
C.N. 0440, 27	DEPT. 10
Project	Well No.
1. 2. 3.	72 CH-420 50



DETAILS REINF. CONC. ANTI-SEEP COLLAR


SECTION E-E



DETAIL OF CONCRETE BEDDING

NOTE:






$\frac{1}{4}$ " MIN. $\frac{1}{4}$ " STEEL PLATE TO BE CONTINUOUS AROUND RISER.





DETAIL OF PLATE CONSTRUCTION JOINT

GENERAL NOTES:

1. ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE CLASS "B" & OF THE TYPE NOTED.
2. PORTLAND CEMENT TYPE 1A OR TYPE I WITH AN AIR-ENTRAINING ADMIXTURE SHALL BE USED.
3. ALL REINF. STEEL TO BE LAPPED A MIN. OF 30 BAR DIAMETERS.
4. ALL REINF. STEEL PLACED IN CONCRETE POURED AGAINST THE GROUND SHALL HAVE A MIN. OF 3" CLEAR COVER WHERE FORMS ARE USED. BARS SHALL HAVE A MIN. OF 2" CLEAR COVER.
5. ALL EXPOSED EDGES OF CONCRETE TO HAVE A $\frac{1}{4}$ " CHAMFER UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
6. FIELD BEND ALL STEEL AROUND WALL PIECE.
7. $\frac{1}{4}$ " DEFORMED, CINT FILLER, BITUMINOUS TYPE, PER SPEC. ASTM D-844-49 OR C-894-48. PLACED AS SHOWN.



BAR TYPES



ITEM	LOCATION	QTY	SIZE	LENGTH	TYPE	A	B	C	FORM	PRICE
1	RISE	14	3	10-0	1					170.00
2		10	3	4-1	2	3-2	3-2			100.00
3		10	3	2-10	2	1-0	2-2			80.00
4		10	3	2-0	1					100.00
5		2	3	1-10	2	2-2	2-2			16.67
6		2	3	4-1	2	1-2	2-2			13.11
7		2	3	2-3	2	0-2	0-2			15.31
8		2	3	2-2	1					66.00
9		12	3	2-0	1					105.00
10		20	3	2-0	1					78.00
11	COLLAR	50	4	1-0	1					360.00
12		30	4	1-0	1					31.50
13		30	4	1-6	1					45.00
14		20	4	11-0	1					225.00
15		40	4	3-6	1					160.00

OF CONCRETE BEDDINGS

THIS SHEET ONLY


STEEL QUAN.

Nº 4 BARS	787.40	LN FT.	387.3	LBS.
Nº 5 BARS	828.67	LN FT.	844.30	LBS.
TOTAL			1897.03	LBS.

CONCRETE QUAN.

CLASS 'B' TYPE 1	3.3	CU YDS.
CLASS 'B' TYPE 2	10.3	CU YDS.
CLASS 'B' TYPE 3	12.3	CU YDS.




CLASS 'B' OF THE TYPE NOTED.
 IN OR TYPE 1 WITH AN AIR-ENTRAINING
 ADDED A MIN. OF 30 BAR DIAMETERS.
 TO IN CONCRETE POURED AGAINST THE
 MIN. OF 2" CLEAR COVER WHERE FORMS
 HAVE A MIN. OF 2" CLEAR COVER.
 CONCRETE TO HAVE A 45° CHAMFER
 ED.
 ROUND WALL PIECE
 IN BITUMINOUS TYPE, PER SPEC.
 904-88. PLACED AS SHOWN.



NOTE: BOTTOM OF BOTTOM BOARD AND TOP OF
 TOP BOARD NOT TO BE GROOVED OR BEADED.
 NOT TO BE PAID FOR


DETAIL OF SLOT BOARDS

NOT TO SCALE










SECTION B-B









SECTION C-C




CONSTRUCTION
JOINT



MAX. ALLOWABLE DISCHARGE	40" DIA. OF PIPE	GENERAL
125 CFS	40" DIA. 30' DIA.	SEE SHEET



NO.	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT	PRICE	TOTAL
1	REINFORCING STEEL	4083.3	LBS		
2	NO 5 BARS	127.5	LIN FT		
3	CONCRETE	30.44	CU YDS		
4	TYPE 2	30.44	CU YDS		
5	AS-BUILT				
6	REINFORCING STEEL	4083.3	LBS		
7	NO 5 BARS	127.5	LIN FT		
8	CONCRETE	30.44	CU YDS		
9	TYPE 2	30.44	CU YDS		
10	AS-BUILT				
11	REINFORCING STEEL	4083.3	LBS		
12	NO 5 BARS	127.5	LIN FT		
13	CONCRETE	30.44	CU YDS		
14	TYPE 2	30.44	CU YDS		
15	AS-BUILT				
16	REINFORCING STEEL	4083.3	LBS		
17	NO 5 BARS	127.5	LIN FT		
18	CONCRETE	30.44	CU YDS		
19	TYPE 2	30.44	CU YDS		
20	AS-BUILT				
21	REINFORCING STEEL	4083.3	LBS		
22	NO 5 BARS	127.5	LIN FT		
23	CONCRETE	30.44	CU YDS		
24	TYPE 2	30.44	CU YDS		
25	AS-BUILT				
26	REINFORCING STEEL	4083.3	LBS		
27	NO 5 BARS	127.5	LIN FT		
28	CONCRETE	30.44	CU YDS		
29	TYPE 2	30.44	CU YDS		
30	AS-BUILT				
31	REINFORCING STEEL	4083.3	LBS		
32	NO 5 BARS	127.5	LIN FT		
33	CONCRETE	30.44	CU YDS		
34	TYPE 2	30.44	CU YDS		
35	AS-BUILT				
36	REINFORCING STEEL	4083.3	LBS		
37	NO 5 BARS	127.5	LIN FT		
38	CONCRETE	30.44	CU YDS		
39	TYPE 2	30.44	CU YDS		
40	AS-BUILT				
41	REINFORCING STEEL	4083.3	LBS		
42	NO 5 BARS	127.5	LIN FT		
43	CONCRETE	30.44	CU YDS		
44	TYPE 2	30.44	CU YDS		
45	AS-BUILT				



BAR TYPES

QUANTITIES

REINFORCING STEEL 4083.3 LBS
NO 5 BARS 127.5 LIN FT

CONCRETE CLASS "B" TYPE 2 30.44 CU YDS



SOUTH BRANCH PARK RIVER WATERSHED PROJECT
FLOODWATER RETARDING DAM NO. 3
SOUTH RESERVOIR
WEST HARTFORD, CONN.
IMPACT BASIN DETAILS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE


Paul J. Thomas
 DESIGNER

CH-420-P


DA OF PIPE
30" DIA

GENERAL NOTES
SEE SHEET 12



U.S. DA. OPE
-TURNED U




**HOOK BOLT
FASTENING DETAILS**



ISOMETRIC




GALV. HOOK BOLT
SUPPLY WITH NUT AND WASHER




PREFORMED BITUMINOUS TYPE
JOINT FILLER BETWEEN CRADLE AND
RISER (ASTM D-994-53 OR ASTM
D-544-49)

SPIGOT RING WALL FITTING




STAINLESS STEEL ANCHOR BOLT, AB-1
SUPPLY WITH NUT AND WASHER

1/2" DIA. CLOSURE BOLTS, 3 REQ'D 12" LONG




DETAIL OF SMALL ANIMAL GUARD






60"
PLAN


4, 1 1/4" Diameter anchor holes
for mounting a bronze plaque



Corborundum - rubbed finish for
exposed concrete surfaces




COI



PLAN

4, 1 1/4" Diameter anchor holes
for mounting a bronze plaque



Note: Location of concrete
monument will be made by
Construction Engineer on
the site

Bar No.	Qty.	Length	Total Length	Size	Type
1	10	4'-10"	48' - 4"	1/2"	Gr.
2	4	1'-0"	4' - 0"	1/2"	Gr.
Weight of size No. 1 steel - 18.17 cwt.					
Weight of size No. 2 steel - 2.67 cwt.					
Total steel - 20.84 cwt.					
Total size 2 concrete - 2.24 cwt.					

CONCRETE

APPENDIX C

PHOTOGRAPHS



C-1 WESTERN END OF DAM - LOOKING EAST



C-2 UPSTREAM FACE OF WESTERN SIDE
OF DAM - LOOKING WEST

SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM

C-1



**C-3 DOWNSTREAM FACE OF EASTERN
SIDE OF DAM - LOOKING WEST**




**C-4 UPSTREAM FACE OF EASTERN
SIDE OF DAM - LOOKING WEST**

SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM

C-2



C-5 TOP OF WESTERN SIDE OF DAM -
LOOKING WEST. NOTE WHEEL RUTS




C-6 TOP OF EASTERN SIDE OF DAM -
LOOKING EAST TO EMERGENCY
SPILLWAY

SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM


C-3




**C-7 EMERGENCY SPILLWAY - LOOKING UPSTREAM
FROM OUTLET END. NOTE WHEEL RUTS AND
CORRESPONDING EROSION OF EMBANKMENTS.**



**C-8 WHEEL RUTS AND EROSION AT EMERGENCY
SPILLWAY - LOOKING EAST AT EASTERN
EMBANKMENT.**




C-9 PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY INLET STRUCTURE



**C-10 PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY OUTLET STRUCTURE
AND DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL.**

SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM

C-5



**C-11 PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY OUTLET STRUCTURE.
NOTE CONCRETE SLURRY OVER RIPRAP.**



**C-12 SETTLEMENT AND EROSION
IN BACK OF PRINCIPAL
SPILLWAY OUTLET
STRUCTURE.**



**C-13 DEPRESSION IN EMBANKMENT
BEHIND PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY
OUTLET STRUCTURE.**



**C-14 EROSION ALONG WEST SIDE OF PRINCIPAL
SPILLWAY OUTLET STRUCTURE.**



C-15 TOP OF DIKE - LOOKING EAST




C-16 VEHICLE PATH ON DOWNSTREAM FACE
OF DIKE - LOOKING NORTH.


SOUTH RESERVOIR DIKE

C-8


RESERVOIR SIDE



DIKE



DAM



AM

SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM PHOTO INDEX

AD-A144 544 NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS
SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM (..U) CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM
MA NEW ENGLAND DIV MAY 81

NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS
SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM (..U) CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM
MA NEW ENGLAND DIV MAY 81


212

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 13/13

NL


END
DATE
TIME
Q 24




MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS





**HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS
SUMMARY SHEET**

Dam South Reservoir
Test Flood PMF

INFLOW HYDROGRAPH DEVELOPMENT

Drainage Area 1.3 sq. mi.

Probable Maximum Precipitation
24 hour - 200 square mile PMP 21.5 inches

Initial Railfall Loss 0 Inch
Uniform Railfall loss .1 Inch

Snyder's Lag 2.3 hours
Snyder's Peaking Coefficient .625

Test Flood Inflow 2750 CFS

PMF Inflow 2750 CFS

RESERVOIR ROUTING AND DAM OVERTOPPING

Test Flood Outflow 1934 CFS

Spillway Capacity at Top of Dam 3580 CFS (Both Spillways)
185 % of Test Flood

Flow Over Spillway at Test Flood 1810 CFS (Emergency Spillway)

Spillway Crest Elevation	<u>288.8</u>	Feet (Emergency Spillway)
Top of Dam Elevation	<u>293.4</u>	Feet
Test Flood Elevation	<u>291.8</u>	Feet

.....
 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (INC-11)
 DAM SAFETY VERSION - JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION 24 FEB 79

1	A1	DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS - JOB 00-100/05, FRJ							
2	A2	SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM - FARMINGTON, CT.							
3	A3	81-23-01							
4	B	75	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
5	C1	5	1						
6	J	1	1						
7	J1	.5	1						
8	K	1	1.0						
9	K1	1	1						
10	M	1	1.3	0	1.3				1
11	P	1	21.5	110	124	133	142		
12	T	7						.1	
13	U	24.1	.429						
14	X	8.3	-0.05	2.0					
15	X1	1	1						
16	Y	1	1						
17	Y1	1	1						
18	Y1	1	1						
19	SS	0	0.1	17.4	84.4	146.3	339.9	-265.5	
20	SC	263	264	270	274	278	282	513.0	721.0
21	SS	200.0	130	2.7	1.5	263.75	.82	286	290
22	SO	203.4	2.7	1.5	3535			0.91	.5
23	K	99							

ROUTING INFLOW HYDROGRAPH THRU POND - OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS

.....
 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HFC-1)
 DAM SAFETY VERSION JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION 26 FEB 79

PUN DATE 02/04/01.
 TIME 11.40.50.

DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS - JOB 80-100/05 ERJ
 SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM - FARMINGTON, CT.
 01-23-01

JOB SPECIFICATION
 NO HMR MMIN IDAY IWR ININ METRC IPLY IPRI INSTAN
 75 1 0 0 0 0 2 0 0
 JOPER MM7 LOOPY TRACE
 5 0 0 0 0

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED
 PLAN= 1 RTIO= 2 LRTIO= 1
 RTIO= .50 1.00

.....

2

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

COMPUTATION OF PWF - DEVELOPMENT OF INFLOW HYDROGRAPH

ISTAB ICOMP IECON ITAPE IPLY IPRI INAME ISTAGE IAUTO
 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
 INYDD IUNS TAREA SNAP TP5DA TRSPC RATIO ISHOW ISAME LOCAL
 1 1.30 0.00 1.30 0.00 0.000 0 1 0
 PRECIP DATA
 SPPR PWS R6 R12 R24 M40 R72 R96
 0.00 21.50 110.00 124.00 133.00 142.00 0.00 0.00
 LOSS DATA
 LROPT STRR DLTR RTIOL ERAIN STKRS RTIOK STRTL CNSTL ALSMR RTIMP
 0 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 .10 0.00 0.00
 UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA
 TP= 2.41 CP= .63 NTA= 0

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS .000

APPROXIMATE CLARK COEFFICIENTS FROM GIVEN SWYDER CP AND TP ARE TC= 2.77 AND R= 2.17 INTERVALS
 STRIO= 2.38 URCSN= -.95 RTIO= 2.00
 UNIT HYDROGRAPH 13 END-OF-PERIOD ORIGINATES. LAB= 2.42 HOURS. CP= .63 VOL= 1.00
 40. 155. 200. 101. 63. 39. 25. 15. 10.

MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP 0 MO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS LOSS COMP 0

1.01	1.00	1	101	0.00	0.01	2	1.02	14.00	30	2.27	2.17	0.10	561.
1.01	2.00	2	101	0.00	0.01	2	1.02	15.00	39	2.06	2.74	0.10	950.
1.01	3.00	3	101	0.00	0.01	2	1.02	16.00	40	7.19	7.09	0.10	1577.
1.01	4.00	4	101	0.00	0.01	2	1.02	17.00	41	2.65	2.55	0.10	2360.
1.01	5.00	5	101	0.00	0.01	2	1.02	18.00	42	2.08	1.90	0.10	2750.
1.01	6.00	6	101	0.00	0.01	2	1.02	19.00	43	0.15	0.05	0.10	2677.
1.01	7.00	7	103	0.00	0.03	1	1.02	20.00	44	0.15	0.05	0.10	1856.
1.01	8.00	8	103	0.00	0.03	1	1.02	21.00	45	0.15	0.05	0.10	1241.
1.01	9.00	9	103	0.00	0.03	1	1.02	22.00	46	0.15	0.05	0.10	793.
1.01	10.00	10	103	0.00	0.03	1	1.02	23.00	47	0.15	0.05	0.10	513.
1.01	11.00	11	103	0.00	0.03	1	1.02	24.00	48	0.15	0.05	0.10	337.
1.01	12.00	12	103	0.00	0.03	1	1.03	1.00	49	0.00	0.00	0.00	225.
1.01	13.00	13	113	0.03	0.10	2	1.03	2.00	50	0.00	0.00	0.00	146.
1.01	14.00	14	115	0.05	0.10	0	1.03	3.00	51	0.00	0.00	0.00	130.
1.01	15.00	15	119	0.09	0.10	10	1.03	4.00	52	0.00	0.00	0.00	121.
1.01	16.00	16	149	0.39	0.10	40	1.03	5.00	53	0.00	0.00	0.00	113.
1.01	17.00	17	210	0.00	0.10	90	1.03	6.00	54	0.00	0.00	0.00	105.
1.01	18.00	18	314	0.04	0.10	110	1.03	7.00	55	0.00	0.00	0.00	90.
1.01	19.00	19	301	0.00	0.01	90	1.03	8.00	56	0.00	0.00	0.00	92.
1.01	20.00	20	301	0.00	0.01	40	1.03	9.00	57	0.00	0.00	0.00	86.
1.01	21.00	21	301	0.00	0.01	40	1.03	10.00	58	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.
1.01	22.00	22	301	0.00	0.01	20	1.03	11.00	59	0.00	0.00	0.00	74.
1.01	23.00	23	301	0.00	0.01	20	1.03	12.00	60	0.00	0.00	0.00	69.
1.01	24.00	24	301	0.00	0.01	11	1.03	13.00	61	0.00	0.00	0.00	65.
1.02	2.00	25	310	0.00	0.10	7	1.03	14.00	62	0.00	0.00	0.00	60.
1.02	3.00	26	310	0.00	0.10	6	1.03	15.00	63	0.00	0.00	0.00	56.
1.02	4.00	27	310	0.00	0.10	5	1.03	16.00	64	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.
1.02	5.00	28	310	0.00	0.10	5	1.03	17.00	65	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.
1.02	6.00	29	310	0.00	0.10	4	1.03	18.00	66	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.
1.02	7.00	30	310	0.30	0.10	4	1.03	19.00	67	0.00	0.00	0.00	43.
1.02	8.00	31	310	0.30	0.10	17	1.03	20.00	68	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.
1.02	9.00	32	310	0.30	0.10	63	1.03	21.00	69	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.
1.02	10.00	33	310	0.30	0.10	125	1.03	22.00	70	0.00	0.00	0.00	35.
1.02	11.00	34	310	0.30	0.10	173	1.03	23.00	71	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.
1.02	12.00	35	310	0.30	0.10	203	1.04	0.00	72	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.
1.02	13.00	36	310	0.30	0.10	221	1.04	1.00	73	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.
1.02	14.00	37	3109	1.79	0.10	303	1.04	2.00	74	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.
1.02	15.00	37	3109	1.79	0.10	303	1.04	3.00	75	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.

SUM 24.42 21.16 3.27 19103.
(620.11 537.11 03.11 540.04)

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
2750.	2020.	720.	265.	19092.
70.	57.	21.	8.	541.
	14.45	20.03	22.76	22.77
	367.11	520.06	570.22	570.35
	1002.	1443.	1570.	1570.
	1235.	1780.	1946.	1946.

HYDROGRAPH AT STA		I FOR PLAN I. RTIO I			
	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.
1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.
1.	1.	10.	25.	47.	50.
22.	14.	9.	4.	3.	2.
9.	32.	62.	101.	111.	153.
1100.	1230.	920.	620.	396.	256.
45.	60.	53.	49.	46.	43.
32.	30.	26.	25.	23.	20.
16.	14.	13.	12.	21.	19.


[illegible]

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTING INFLOW HYDROGRAPH THRU POND - OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS									
	ISTAO	ICOMP	I ECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	ROUTING DATA								
CLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	INES	ISAME	IOPT	IPMP		LSTR	
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0		0	
	WSTPS	WSTDL	LAG	AMSKK	H	TSK	STOPA	ISPRAI	
	1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-266.	0	
CAPACITY=	0.	17.	84.	190.	340.		514.	722.	930.
ELEVATION=	263.	266.	270.	274.	278.	282.	286.	290.	294.

•OVR•

STATION 1



•OVING

ITERATIVE SOLUTION DID NOT CONVERGE, PERIOD
 ESTIMATED W.S. ELEV 2.442E+02 2.437E+02
 ITERATIVE SOLUTION DID NOT CONVERGE, PERIOD
 ESTIMATED W.S. ELEV 2.437E+02 2.443E+02
 ITERATIVE SOLUTION DID NOT CONVERGE, PERIOD
 ESTIMATED W.S. ELEV 2.443E+02 2.438E+02
 ITERATIVE SOLUTION DID NOT CONVERGE, PERIOD
 ESTIMATED W.S. ELEV 2.438E+02 2.440E+02
 ITERATIVE SOLUTION DID NOT CONVERGE, PERIOD
 ESTIMATED W.S. ELEV 2.440E+02 2.435E+02

END-OF-PERIOD HYDROGRAPH ORDINATES

STATION 3		PLAN 1, RATIO 2		SUB-STEP 1		TIME 3.00 HR		CONTINUITY BALANCE		1.567E+01		-3.494E-01	
SUB-STEP 1		TIME 10.00 HR		CONTINUITY BALANCE		-2.036E+00		6.507E+01		3.174E+00		-4.100E-01	
SUB-STEP 1		TIME 12.00 HR		CONTINUITY BALANCE		3.295E+01		3.174E+00		-4.100E-01			
SUB-STEP 1		TIME 20.00 HR		CONTINUITY BALANCE		1.156E+01							
OUTFLOW		STORAGE		STAGE		TOTAL VOLUME							
0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
5.	5.	17.	38.	42.	42.	50.	50.	39.	39.	56.	56.	39.	39.
50.	50.	44.	39.	10.	10.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
21.	21.	63.	66.	70.	70.	86.	86.	96.	96.	96.	96.	96.	96.
100.	100.	1034.	1149.	793.	793.	553.	553.	303.	303.	122.	122.	204.	204.
217.	217.	132.	125.	122.	122.	122.	122.	122.	122.	122.	122.	122.	122.
121.	121.	120.	120.	120.	120.	120.	120.	120.	120.	120.	120.	120.	120.
119.	119.	117.	117.	117.	117.	117.	117.	117.	117.	117.	117.	117.	117.
0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
15.	15.	0.	1.	3.	3.	0.	0.	13.	13.	0.	0.	15.	15.
0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
309.	309.	13.	11.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
681.	681.	501.	759.	814.	814.	82.	82.	130.	130.	703.	703.	235.	235.
643.	643.	675.	471.	664.	664.	739.	739.	655.	655.	652.	652.	647.	647.
582.	582.	633.	628.	622.	622.	603.	603.	596.	596.	596.	596.	589.	589.
203.0	203.0	203.0	203.7	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0
203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0	203.0
209.4	209.4	209.4	209.4	209.4	209.4	209.4	209.4	209.4	209.4	209.4	209.4	209.4	209.4
203.1	203.1	203.1	203.1	203.1	203.1	203.1	203.1	203.1	203.1	203.1	203.1	203.1	203.1
209.2	209.2	209.2	209.2	209.2	209.2	209.2	209.2	209.2	209.2	209.2	209.2	209.2	209.2
200.5	200.5	200.5	200.5	200.5	200.5	200.5	200.5	200.5	200.5	200.5	200.5	200.5	200.5
207.3	207.3	207.3	207.3	207.3	207.3	207.3	207.3	207.3	207.3	207.3	207.3	207.3	207.3


D-12

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 1934. AT TIME 44.00 HOURS

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
1934.	1147.	414.	173.	12441.
55.	32.	12.	5.	342.
CFS	8.21	11.06	14.83	14.84
CMS	208.43	301.15	376.75	376.86
INCHES	549.	822.	1020.	1020.
MM	701.	1013.	1260.	1260.
AC-FT				
THOUS CU M				

CONT.

STATION 1



11.00	59.10
12.00	60.10
13.00	61.10
14.00	62.10
15.00	63.10
16.00	64.10
17.00	65.10
18.00	66.10
19.00	67.10
20.00	68.10
21.00	69.10
22.00	70.10
23.00	71.10
24.00	72.10
25.00	73.10
26.00	74.10
27.00	75.10

PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS
 FLOWS IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)
 AREA IN SQUARE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

OPERATION	STATION	AREA	PLAN	RATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS	
				RATIO 1	RATIO 2
				.50	1.00
HYDROGRAPH AT	1	1.30	1	1375.	2750.
	(	3.37)	(	30.93)	77.86)
ROUTED TO	1	1.30	1	110.	1934.
	(	3.37)	(	3.34)	54.76)

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR O.S.ELEV	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
.50	287.06		265.56	248.80	293.40				0.00	49.00	0.00
1.00	293.78		0.	659.	899.				0.00	44.00	0.00
			32.	122.	3596.						

SOUTH RESERVOIR

DAM

South Reservoir Dam

Dam Failure Analysis

1. Failure discharge with pool at Em. Spillway (elev. 288.8) = 53,000 CFS
2. Depth of water in reservoir at time of failure = 25.8 ft.
3. Maximum depth of flow downstream of dam = 25± ft.
4. Water surface elevation just downstream)
of dam at time of failure) = 288±

The failure discharge of 53000 CFS will enter and flow downstream 20000 feet until the brook crosses North Main Street. Valley storage in this 20000 feet length of brook is significant in reducing the discharge. Also due to roughness characteristics, obstructions and frictional losses, it is very likely that the unsteady dam failure flow will dissipate its wave and kinetic energy and thus convert to steady and uniform flow obeying Manning's formulae 20000 feet downstream. The failure profile will have the following hydraulic characteristics:

DISTANCE FROM THE DAM	WATER SURFACE ELEVATION	DEPTH (ft.)	REMARKS
0	288.8	25.8	At Dam
400	273.3	13.3	Hartford Res. No. 1
2900	262.5	4.5	
6700	162.1	2.1	
10200	142.6	2.6	
16200	132.2	2.2	
20000	102±	2±	North Main Street

NOTES:

"Rule of Thumb" Guidance for Estimating
Downstream Dam Failure Analysis

DATA

Name of Dam South Reservoir Dam
Location Farmington/West Hartford, Connecticut
Drainage Area 1.3 sq. mi., Top of Dam 293.4
Spillway Type Earth Channel, Crest of Spillway 288.8
Surface Area @ Crest Elev. 64 Acres = 0.1 sq. mi.
Pool Bottom Near Dam = 263.0
Assumed Side Slopes of Embankments = 2H:1V
Depth of Pool at Dam (Y_o) = 25.8 Feet
Mid-Height Elev. 275.9
Length of Dam at Crest = 1300* Feet
Length of Dam at Mid-Height = 600 Feet
40% of Dam Length at Mid-Height = W_b = 240 Feet

Step 1

Storage (S) at time of failure 650 Ac-FT

Step 2

Peak Failure Discharge
 $Q_{p1} = 8/27 W_b \sqrt{g} Y_o^{3/2}$
 $= (1.68) (W_b) (Y_o)^{3/2} = \underline{53000} \text{ cfs}$

Failure is assumed to coincide with pool elevation at Emergency Spillway
Crest

NOTES:

*Length of Dam over principal spillway.


BY JR DATE 1-9-51
 CHKD BY ECJ DATE 3-7-51

SUBJECT DAM INSPECTION STUDY
DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS

SHEET NO. 1 OF 1
 JOB NO. 80-100/05
 FURCELL ASSOCIATES
 ENGINEERS - ARCHITECTS - PLANNERS


DAM SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM

SECTION 400' DOWNSTREAM



$Q_p = 53000$ CFS FULL SPILLWAY $Q_s = 116$ CFS
 TOTAL STORAGE (S) = 650 AC-FT

ELEV	AREA	WP	R	Q	DEPTH
270	1500	300	5	21000	10
275	3375	450	7.5	61000	15



$$V_1 = \left(\frac{25.8 + 14}{2} \right) \left(\frac{240 + 420}{2} \right) \left(\frac{400}{43560} \right) = 60 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{p2} = Q_p (1 - V_1/S) = 48000 \text{ CFS} \quad V_{AVE} = 60$$

$$V_2 = \left(\frac{25.8 + 13.3}{2} \right) (3.0) = 59 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{p2} = Q_p (1 - V_{AVE}/S) = 48000 \text{ CFS} \quad \text{ELEV} = 273.3$$

$$\text{DEPTH} = 13.3$$

FULL SPILLWAY DEPTH = 2.2

INCREASE DUE TO DAM FAILURE = 11.3

BY JR DATE 1-12-61
 CHKD. BY EBJ DATE 2-9-61


SUBJECT DAM INSPECTION STUDY
DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS

SHEET NO. 2 OF 2
 JOB NO. 80-100/05
 PURCELL ASSOCIATES
 ENGINEERS - ARCHITECTS - PLANNERS

DAM SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM

SECTION 2900' DOWNSTREAM (HARTFORD RES. NO. 1)


USING $Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S_L^{1/2}$ $n = .03$ SLOPE (S_L) = .005



$Q_p = 48000$ CFS

FULL SPILLWAY $Q_s = 116$ CFS
 TOTAL STORAGE (S) = 650 AC-FT

ELEV	AREA	WP	R	Q	DEPTH
263	2875	650	4.4	27000	5
268	6500	800	8.1	92000	10



$$V_1 = \left(\frac{12.0 + 6.5}{2} \right) \left(\frac{580}{2} + \frac{650 + 580}{2} \right) \left(\frac{3800}{43580} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = 342 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{p_2} = Q_p (1 - V_1/S) = 23000 \text{ CFS} \quad V_{\text{AVG}} = 324$$

$$V_2 = \left(\frac{12.0 + 4.5}{2} \right) (37) = 305 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{p_2} = Q_p (1 - V_{\text{AVG}}/S) = 24000 \text{ CFS} \quad \text{ELEV} = 262.5$$

$$\text{DEPTH} = 4.5$$

FULL SPILLWAY DEPTH = 0

INCREASE DUE TO DAM FAILURE = 4.5


BY JR DATE 12/1/88 SUBJECT DAM INSPECTION STUDY
 CHKD. BY ERT DATE 2/19/89 DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS

SHEET NO. 80-100/05 OF 05
 JOB NO. 80-100/05
 PURCELL ASSOCIATES
 ENGINEERS - ARCHITECTS - PLANNERS

DAM SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM


SECTION 6700' DOWNSTREAM

USING $Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2}$ $n = .03$ SLOPE (S_L) = .0053 $1/1$



$Q_P = 24000$ CFS FULL SPILLWAY $Q_S = 116$ CFS
 TOTAL STORAGE (S) = 650 AC-FT

ELEV	AREA	WP	R	Q	DEPTH
163	3225	1150	2.6	23000	3
162	2100	1100	1.9	12000	2



$$V_1 = \left(\frac{4.5 + 3.1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{500}{1} + \frac{1150 \text{ (HOB)}}{2} \right) \left(\frac{3800}{43560} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = 261 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{P2} = Q_P (1 - V_1/S) = 14000 \text{ CFS} \quad V_{AVG} = 243$$

$$V_2 = \left(\frac{4.5 + 2.0}{2} \right) (69) = 224 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{P2} = Q_P (1 - V_{AVG}/S) = 15000 \text{ CFS} \quad \text{ELEV} = 162.1$$

$$\text{DEPTH} = 2.1$$

FULL SPILLWAY: DEPTH = 0

INCREASE DUE TO DAM FAILURE = 2.1


BY JR DATE 12/4/88 SUBJECT DAM INSPECTION STUDY
 CHKD. BY ERT DATE 2/10/81 DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS

SHEET NO. 80-100/05 OF 05
 JOB NO. 80-100/05
 PURCELL ASSOCIATES
 ENGINEERS • ARCHITECTS • PLANNERS

DAM SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM


SECTION 10200' DOWNSTREAM

USING $Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S_L^{1/2}$ $n = .03$ SLOPE (S_L) = .005'/'



$Q_p = 15000$ CFS FULL SPILLWAY $Q_s = 116$ CFS
 TOTAL STORAGE (S) = 650 AC-FT

ELEV	AREA	WP	R	Q	DEPTH
143	1950	650	3	14000	3
145	3250	650	5	33000	5
142	1300	650	2	7000	2



$$V_1 = \left(\frac{2.1 + 3.2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{11000 + 1000}{2} + \frac{650}{1} \right) \left(\frac{3500}{43560} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = 181 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{p2} = Q_p (1 - V_1/S) = 11000 \text{ CFS} \quad V_{avg} = 171$$

$$V_2 = \left(\frac{2.1 + 2.6}{2} \right) (68) = 160 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{p2} = Q_p (1 - V_{avg}/S) = 11000 \text{ CFS} \quad \text{ELEV} = 142.6$$

$$\text{DEPTH} = 2.6$$


FULL SPILLWAY DEPTH = 0
 INCREASE DUE TO DAM FAILURE = 2.6

BY JR DATE 12/4/88 SUBJECT DAM INSPECTION STUDY SHEET NO. OF
 CHKD. BY ERT DATE 2/19/89 DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS JOB NO. 80-100/05
 PURCELL ASSOCIATES
 ENGINEERS • ARCHITECTS • PLANNERS

DAM SOUTH RESERVOIR DAM


SECTION 16200' DOWNSTREAM

USING $Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S_L^{1/2}$ $n = \underline{1.03}$ SLOPE (S_L) = .004 $\frac{1}{1}$



$Q_P = \underline{11000}$ CFS FULL SPILLWAY $Q_S = \underline{116}$ CFS
 TOTAL STORAGE (S) = 650 AC-FT

ELEV	AREA	WP	R	Q	DEPTH
132	1300	650	2	6000	2
133	1950	650	3	13000	3



$$V_1 = \left(\frac{2.6 + 2.7}{2} \right) \left(\frac{650 + 650}{1} \right) \left(\frac{6000}{43560} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \underline{237} \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{P2} = Q_P (1 - V_1/S) = \underline{7000} \text{ CFS} \quad V_{\text{AVG}} = \underline{225}$$

$$V_2 = \left(\frac{2.6 + 2.2}{2} \right) (89) = \underline{213} \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{P2} = Q_P (1 - V_{\text{AVG}}/S) = \underline{7000} \text{ CFS} \quad \text{ELEV} = \underline{132.2}$$

$$\text{DEPTH} = \underline{2.2}$$

$$\text{FULL SPILLWAY DEPTH} = \underline{0}$$

$$\text{INCREASE DUE TO DAM FAILURE} = \underline{2.2}$$

South Reservoir Dam

A. Size Classification

Height of dam = 30.9 ft.; hence Small

Storage capacity at top of dam (elev. 293.4) = 900 AC-FT.; hence Small

Adopted size classification: Small

B.i) Hazard Potential

Numerous homes and buildings may suffer excessive damage
and there is the potential for the loss of more than a few
lives in the event of dam failure.

Adopted hazard classification: High

ii) Impact of Failure of Dam with pool at Emergency Spillway Crest.

It is estimated from the "rule of thumb" failure hydrograph, that the following adverse impacts are a possibility by the failure of this dam.

- a) Loss of homes Numerous;
- b) Loss of buildings Numerous;
- c) Loss of highways or roads 7;
- d) Loss of bridges 7;

The failure profile can affect a distance of 20000+ feet from the dam.

C. Hazard Potential Classifications

<u>HAZARD</u>	<u>SIZE</u>	<u>TEST FLOOD RANGE</u>
<u>High</u>	<u>Small</u>	<u>1/2 PMF to PMF</u>
Adopted Test Flood = <u>PMF</u>		
		= <u>2110</u> CSM
		= <u>2750</u> CFS

D. Overtopping Potential

Drainage Area 830 acres = 1.3 sq. miles

Spillway crest elevation = 288.8

Top of Dam Elevation = 293.4

Maximum spillway discharge

Capacity without overtopping of dam = 3580 CFS

"test flood" inflow discharge = 2750 CFS

"test flood" outflow discharge = 1934 CFS

SOUTH RESERVOIR

Dike

South Reservoir Dike

Dam Failure Analysis

1. Failure discharge with pool at Em. Spillway (elev. 288.8) = 15600 CFS
2. Depth of water in reservoir at time of failure = 23.8 ft.
3. Maximum depth of flow downstream of dam = 23± ft.
4. Water surface elevation just downstream)
of dam at time of failure) = 288±

The failure discharge of 15600 CFS will enter and flow downstream 3000 feet until the brook enters the Burnt Hill Reservoir. Valley storage in this 3000 feet length of brook is not significant in reducing the discharge. The Burnt Hill Reservoir will not contain the failure flow and the Dam will be overtopped. The failure profile will have the following hydraulic characteristics:

DISTANCE FROM THE DAM	WATER SURFACE ELEVATION	DEPTH (ft.)	REMARKS
0	288.8	23.8	At Dam
300	277.0*	7.0	
1600	266.3*	6.3	
3000	272.7	29.5	
			Burnt Hill Res.

NOTES:

*The effect of backwater from the Burnt Hill Reservoir has not been considered in this analysis.

"Rule of Thumb" Guidance for Estimating
Downstream Dam Failure Analysis

DATA

Name of Dam South Reservoir Dike
Location West Hartford, Connecticut
Drainage Area 1.3 sq. mi., Top of Dam 293.4
Spillway Type None, Crest of Spillway 288.8*
Surface Area @ Crest Elev. 64 Acres = 0.1 sq. mi.
Pool Bottom Near Dam = 265
Assumed Side Slopes of Embankments = 2H:1V
Depth of Pool at Dam (Y_o) = 23.8 Feet
Mid-Height Elev. 276.9
Length of Dam at Crest = 475 Feet
Length of Dam at Mid-Height = 200 Feet
40% of Dam Length at Mid-Height = W_b = 80 Feet

Step 1

Storage (S) at time of failure 650 Ac-FT

Step 2

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Peak Failure Discharge} \\ &Q_{pl} = 8/27 W_b \sqrt{g} Y_o^{3/2} \\ &= (1.68) (W_b) (Y_o)^{3/2} = \underline{15600} \text{ cfs} \end{aligned}$$

Failure is assumed to coincide with pool elevation at Emergency Spillway
Crest of the Dam.

NOTES:

*Crest of the Emergency Spillway of the Dam.

BY JR DATE 12/1/84
 CHKD BY REJ DATE 2/9/85


SUBJECT DAM INSPECTION STUDY
DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS

SHEET NO. 2 OF 2
 JOB NO. 80-100/05
 PURCELL ASSOCIATES
 ENGINEERS - ARCHITECTS - PLANNERS

DAM SOUTH RESERVOIR DIKE

SECTION 1600' DOWNSTREAM


USING $Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S_L^{1/2}$ $n = .03$ SLOPE (S_L) = .0087 $'/'$



$Q_p = 15000$ CFS

FULL SPILLWAY $Q_s = 0$ CFS
 TOTAL STORAGE (S) = 650 AC-FT

ELEV	AREA	WP	R	Q	DEPTH
265	675	170	4.0	8000	5
270	1700	240	7.1	29000	14



$$V_1 = \left(\frac{7.0 + 6.5}{2} \right) \left(\frac{260 + 190}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1300}{43560} \right) = 45 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{P_2} = Q_p (1 - V_1/S) = 14000 \text{ CFS} \quad V_{AVE} = 45$$

$$V_2 = \left(\frac{7.0 + 6.3}{2} \right) (6.7) = 45 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{P_2} = Q_p (1 - V_{AVE}/S) = 14000 \text{ CFS} \quad \text{ELEV} = 266.3$$

$$\text{DEPTH} = 6.3$$

FULL SPILLWAY DEPTH = 0

INCREASE DUE TO DAM FAILURE = 6.3


BY JL DATE 12/4/80 SUBJECT DAM INSPECTION STUDY
 CHKD. BY EAT DATE 2/9/81
DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS

SHEET NO. 1 OF 2
 JOB NO. 80-100/05
 PURCELL ASSOCIATES
 ENGINEERS - ARCHITECTS - PLANNERS

DAM SOUTH RESERVOIR DIKE

SECTION 300' DOWNSTREAM

USING $Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S_L^{1/2}$ $n = .03$ SLOPE (S_L) = .007 ' / ' /




$Q_p = 15600$ CFS

FULL SPILLWAY $Q_s = 0$ CFS

TOTAL STORAGE (S) = 650 AC-FT

ELEV	AREA	WP	R	Q	DEPTH
275	750	200	3.75	7500	5
280	2000	300	6.7	29000	14



$$V_1 = \left(\frac{238 + 7.0}{2} \right) \left(\frac{80 + 260}{2} \right) \left(\frac{300}{43560} \right) = 18 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{P2} = Q_{P1} (1 - V_1/S) = 15000 \text{ CFS} \quad V_{AVE} = 18$$

$$V_2 = \left(\frac{238 + 7.0}{2} \right) (1.2) = 18 \text{ AC-FT}$$

$$Q_{P2} = Q_{P1} (1 - V_{AVE}/S) = 15000 \text{ CFS} \quad \text{ELEV} = 277.0$$

DEPTH = 7.0

FULL SPILLWAY DEPTH = 0

INCREASE DUE TO DAM FAILURE = 7.0

South Reservoir Dike

A. Size Classification

Height of dam = 28.4 ft.; hence Small

Storage capacity at top of dam (elev. 293.4) = 900 AC-FT.; hence Small

Adopted size classification: Small

B.i) Hazard Potential

Numerous homes and buildings may suffer excessive damage

and there is the potential for the loss of more than a few

lives. The downstream Burnt Hill Reservoir Dam would be over-
topped in the event of dike failure.

Adopted hazard classification: High

ii) Impact of Failure of Dam with pool at Emergency Spillway Crest.

It is estimated from the "rule of thumb" failure hydrograph, that the following adverse impacts are a possibility by the failure of this dam.

- a) Loss of homes More than 4;
- b) Loss of buildings Unknown;
- c) Loss of highways or roads Unknown;
- d) Loss of bridges Unknown;

The failure profile can affect a distance of 3000+ feet from the dam.

C. Hazard Potential Classifications

<u>HAZARD</u>	<u>SIZE</u>	<u>TEST FLOOD RANGE</u>
<u>High</u>	<u>Small</u>	<u>1/2 PMF to PMF</u>
Adopted Test Flood = <u>PMF</u> = <u>2110</u> CSM		
= <u>2750</u> CFS		

D. Overtopping Potential

Drainage Area 830 acres = 1.3 sq. miles

Spillway crest elevation = N/A

Top of Dam Elevation = 293.4

Maximum spillway discharge





Capacity without overtopping of dam = N/A CFS

"test flood" inflow discharge = 2750 CFS

"test flood" outflow discharge = 1934 (from Dam) CFS





RESERVOIR OPEN

SOUTH RESERVOIR - WATER SUPPLY BROOK -



OPERATION DATA

BROOK - SOUTH BRANCH PARK RIVER WATERSHED



PERTINENT DATA

TOP OF DAM EL. 293.4
 DESIGN HIGH WATER EL. 291.4
 CREST EMERGENCY SPILLWAY EL. 288.0
 CREST PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY EL. 286.0
 INVERT LOW FLOW ORIFICE EL. —
 DRAINAGE AREA CONTROLLED 1.30 SQ. MI.
 1" OF RUNOFF = 69.55 ACRE-Feet
 ALL ELEVATIONS REFER TO METROPOLITAN DISTRICT DATUM
 CONSTRUCTED BY:
 STATE OF CONNECTICUT
 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE & NATURAL RESOURCES
 JOSEPH H. GILL, COMMISSIONER
 IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE:
 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
 SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
 PUBLIC LAW 966 FUNDS
 DESIGNED BY:
 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
 SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
 STATUS:
 COMPLETED OCTOBER 21, 1954

Anderson - Hatch Associates February 1957

APPENDIX E

**INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE
NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS**

DATE
FILMED
88