

AD-A145 217


NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS
BEARHOLE RESERVOIR (M... (U) CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM
MA NEW ENGLAND DIV JUN 81


1/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 13/13

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A145 217

WESTFIELD RIVER BASIN
WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS

BEARHOLE RESERVOIR
MA 00073

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

JUNE 1981

DTIC
ELECTE
SEP 5 1984

84 09 05 115

DTIC FILE COPY

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER MA 00073	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD A145 27	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Bearhole Reservoir NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED INSPECTION REPORT
7. AUTHOR(s) U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED 424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02254		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE June 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 85
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, National Dam Inspection Program; however, the official title of the program is: National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of report.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Westfield River Basin West Springfield, Massachusetts		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Bearhole Reservoir Dam is a 270+ foot long, 34 foot high, earth embankment dam. The dam has been classified as "small" in size and "significant" in the hazard category. For a dam of this classification the Guidelines recommend a test flood range of 100-year frequency to 1/2 the PMF. Generally, the dam is in fair condition		



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
424 TRAPELO ROAD
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

NEDED

JUL 16 1981

Honorable Edward J. King
Governor of the Commonwealth of
Massachusetts
State House
Boston, Massachusetts 02133

Dear Governor King:

Inclosed is a copy of the Bearhole Reservoir (MA-00073) Phase I Inspection Report, prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is based upon a visual inspection, a review of the past performance and a brief hydrological study of the dam. I approve the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7 and ask that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement them. This follow-up action is vitally important.

Copies of this report have been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, and to the owner, City of West Springfield, 26 Central Street, West Springfield, MA, ATTN: Mr. Carl M. Jacobson. Copies will be available to the public in thirty days.

I wish to thank you and the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering for your cooperation in this program.

Sincerely,

C. E. EDGAR, III
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
Commander and Division Engineer

Incl
As stated

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A/1	

BEARHOLE RESERVOIR

MA 00073

WESTFIELD RIVER BASIN
WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION
PROGRAM

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION
PROGRAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Identification No: MA 00073

Name of Dam: Bearhole Reservoir

City: West Springfield

County and State: Hampden County, Massachusetts

Stream: Paucatuck Brook - Tributary of Westfield River

Date of Inspection: March 4, 1981


Bearhole Reservoir Dam is a 270± foot long, 34 foot high, earth embankment dam built in 1956 to provide storage and regulate its release as part of the water supply system for the City of West Springfield, Massachusetts. The dam is reported to have a core of select impervious material founded on existing impervious material. The spillway, which is located near the right abutment, is a rectangular concrete box with discharge over the walls on three sides. The spillway weir lengths and elevations are as follows: 6 feet long, El 163.75; 50 feet long, El 165.0; 60 feet long, El 165.25. The outlet conduit for the spillway is a 12 by 12 foot concrete box sluice which discharges to a channel near the downstream toe of the slope. Bearhole Reservoir has a storage capacity of 600 acre-feet with the water level at the top of the dam.

The following deficiencies were observed at the site: spalling concrete in the spillway and outlet structure; seepage emanating from the toe drainage system and on both sides of the box outlet structure; brush growth and tree growth on the downstream slope of embankment; depression in riprap slope on the left side of the outlet channel downstream of the box outlet structure; and broken pavement at the crest of the dam. Generally, the dam is in fair condition.

Based on the Corps of Engineers' Guidelines, the dam has been classified as "small" in size and "significant" in the hazard category. For a dam of this classification the Guidelines recommend a test flood range of 100-year frequency to one-half the Probable Maximum Flood. A test flood equal to 1/2 the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) was used for this analysis, yielding a peak test flood inflow of 4940 cfs. Hydraulic analyses indicate that the spillway, without flashboards, can discharge 6425 cfs which is greater than the total routed test flood of 4770 cfs. Thus, the spillway can discharge 135% of the routed test flood outflow. At the test flood, the impoundment is estimated to reach an elevation of 1.2-feet below the top of the dam.

It is recommended that the Owner employ a qualified registered professional engineer to prepare plans for rehabilitation of all spalling and erosion of the principal spillway. In addition, the Owner should repair the deficiencies previously listed and, as described in Section 7.3. The Owner should retain a qualified registered professional engineer to investigate the seepage emanating from the toe drainage system and the seepage on both sides of the box outlet structure as it emerges from the downstream toe. It is also recommended that the Owner implement a plan for surveillance of the dam during and after periods of heavy rainfall, establish a formal plan for notifying downstream residents in the event of an emergency at the dam, and implement a program of annual technical inspections by a qualified registered professional engineer.


The measures outlined above and in Section 7 should be implemented by the Owner within a period of one year after receipt of this Phase I Investigation Report.




Cullinan Engineering Co., Inc.


William S. Parker, PE
Director of Engineering
Project Manager

This Phase I Inspection Report on Bearhole Reservoir has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, and with good engineering judgement and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.



CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER
Design Branch
Engineering Division




JOSEPH W. FINEGAN, JR., MEMBER
Water Control Branch
Engineering Division



ARAMAST MAHTESIAN, CHAIRMAN
Geotechnical Engineering Branch
Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:



JOE B. FRYAR
Chief, Engineering Division

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for a Phase I Investigation. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigations, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test Flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm run-off), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general conditions and the downstream damage potential.

The Phase I Investigation does not include an assessment of the need for fences, gates, no-trespassing signs, repairs to existing fences and railings and other items which may be needed to minimize trespass and provide greater security for the facility and safety to the public. An evaluation of the project for compliance with OSHA rules and regulations is also excluded.

TABLE OF CONTENTS


<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	
BRIEF ASSESSMENT	
REVIEW BOARD PAGE	
PREFACE	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii-iv
OVERVIEW PHOTO	v
LOCATION MAP	vi
<u>REPORT</u>	
1. PROJECT INFORMATION	
1.1 General	1-1
a. Authority	1-1
b. Purpose of Inspection	1-1
1.2 Description of Project	1-1
a. Location	1-1
b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances	1-2
c. Size Classification	1-3
d. Hazard Classification	1-3
e. Ownership	1-3
f. Operator	1-3
g. Purpose of Dam	1-3
h. Design and Construction History	1-3
i. Normal Operational Procedure	1-4
1.3 Pertinent Data	1-4
2. ENGINEERING DATA	
2.1 Design Data	2-1
2.2 Construction Data	2-1
2.3 Operation Data	2-1
2.4 Evaluation of Data	2-1

3.	VISUAL INSPECTION	
3.1	Findings	3-1
	a. General	3-1
	b. Dam	3-1
	c. Appurtenance Structures	3-2
	d. Reservoir Area	3-3
	e. Downstream Channel	3-3
3.2	Evaluation	3-4
4.	OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	
4.1	Operational Procedures	4-1
	a. General	4-1
	b. Warning System	4-1
4.2	Maintenance Procedures	4-1
	a. General	4-1
	b. Operating Facilities	4-1
4.3	Evaluation	4-1
5.	EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES	
5.1	General	5-1
5.2	Design Data	5-1
5.3	Experience Data	5-1
5.4	Test Flood Analysis	5-1
5.5	Dam Failure Analysis	5-2
6.	EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
6.1	Visual Observation	6-1
6.2	Design and Construction Data	6-1
6.3	Post-Construction Changes	6-1
6.4	Seismic Stability	6-1
7.	ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	
7.1	Dam Assessment	7-1
	a. Condition	7-1
	b. Adequacy of Information	7-1
	c. Urgency	7-1

7.2	Recommendations	7-1
7.3	Remedial Measures	7-1
	a. Operation and Maintenance Procedures	7-1
7.4	Alternatives	7-2

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A	- INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1
APPENDIX B	- ENGINEERING DATA	B-1
APPENDIX C	- PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1
APPENDIX D	- HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS	D-1
APPENDIX E	- INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS	E-1



OVERVIEW

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERS
AUBURN-BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

NATIONAL PROGRAM
OF INSPECTION
OF NON-FED. DAMS

Bearhole Reservoir Dam
Paucatuc Brook

West Springfield, MA

MA 00073

March 4, 1981




FIGURE 1

BEARHOLE RESERVOIR DAM

LOCATION MAP

BEARHOLE RESERVOIR DAM
WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS.
1" = 2083'

CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.

MOUNT TOM QUADRANGLE 1979
WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS-CONN QUADRANGLE 1979

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION
PROGRAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

BEARHOLE RESERVOIR

SECTION 1

PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

(a) Authority. Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Cullinan Engineering Co., Inc., has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Massachusetts. Contract No. DACW 33-81-C-0025, dated December 19, 1980, has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

(b) Purpose:

- (1) Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.
- (2) Encourage and assist the States to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.
- (3) Update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 Description of Project

(a) Location. The Bearhole Reservoir Dam is located on Paucatuck Brook, a tributary of the Westfield River, in the City of West Springfield, Hampden County, Massachusetts (See Location Map). The coordinates of this location are latitude 42 degrees 07.6 minutes north and longitude 72 degrees 40.9 minutes west. The dam is located approximately 6800 feet north of the Westfield River.

(b) Description of Dam and Appurtenances. Bearhole Reservoir Dam is an earthfill dam 270 feet long and 34 feet high. The top of the earth embankment is a 13 foot wide paved roadway and is at El 172.0. The earth embankment has an 8-foot wide select impervious core zone with a batter of 1 horizontal to 6 vertical on the downstream side and a batter of 1 horizontal to 3 vertical on the upstream side. There is a cut off trench beneath the impervious zone which was excavated to depths of approximately 6 to 8 feet below original ground surface. The downstream slope of embankment is 3 horizontal to 1 vertical and consists of random pervious fill with a 6-inch layer of loam on the downstream slope. The upstream slope is protected by a 2-foot thick layer of rock riprap (see Photo No. 2) on a 1-foot thick gravel bed. Beneath the gravel bed is a 3-foot zone of select pervious material and the upstream shell zone is semi-pervious material. The upstream slope is 2-1/2 horizontal to 1 vertical.

A toe drainage system consisting of a 4-foot by 6-foot gravel filled trench surrounded by a 2-foot sand filter was placed under the downstream toe of the embankment and discharges in a riprapped outlet channel at the left of the outlet end of the spillway box structure.

The spillway is a rectangular concrete box drop inlet spillway with an intake well for the water supply (see Photos No's. 2, 3, and 4). Inflow into the spillway occurs over 2 sides of the structure, 50 and 60 feet long respectively, and over a 6 foot long section at the upstream end of the spillway. Flashboards can be installed at the 6 foot section only (see Photos No's. 5 and 7). The elevations for the various weirs are 6 foot weir without flashboards, El 163.75; 50 foot weir, El 165.0; and 60 foot weir, El 165.25.

There is also a 30-inch by 36-inch inlet at the upstream end of the spillway structure. Flow through this inlet is controlled by a manual handwheel operated sluiceway. Inflow from the spillway is discharged through a 12 by 12 foot concrete box sluiceway, approximately 127 feet long (see Photo No. 8). Concrete seepage rings were placed on both sides of the concrete box structure and underdrainage consisting of an 8-inch pipe and gravel is reported to have been placed beneath the downstream portion of the outlet structure.

Also, a 24-inch diameter raw water supply line which is encased in 16-inches of concrete is contained in the sluiceway structure. The sluiceway discharges into a channel at the downstream toe of the slope. By field measurements, the elevation of the channel bed at the discharge end of the sluiceway is 138.0. The channel has 2:1 side slopes covered with rock riprap (see Photo No. 10).

The inlet for the raw water supply line is through two 16-inch inlets in an intake well located at the upstream end of the spillway structure. The 16-inch inlets are at El 151.0 and El 140.5. Both inlets are controlled by manual handwheel operated sluiceways. There is also a 6-inch manually operated sluiceway outlet at El 139.5 to drain the intake well into the spillway chamber. Inflow raw water flows through two 1/4-inch mesh wire screens in the intake screen well before passing to the water treatment plant 400-feet upstream by means of a 24-inch lock joint pipe.

(c) Size Classification. According to the Corps of Engineers' Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, a dam is classified as "Small" in size if the height is between 25 feet and 40 feet, or the dam impounds between 50 Acre-Feet and 1,000 Acre-Feet. The maximum height of the dam is approximately 34 feet and the estimated total storage capacity at the top of the dam is 600 acre-feet. Thus, the dam is classified in the "small" category based on both storage capacity and height.

(d) Hazard Potential. The results of the dam failure analysis indicate that a water treatment plant, 2 minor roads, a railroad, a major highway (Route 20) and the Westfield River would all be subject to flooding in the event of a dam failure overflow. Anticipated flood waters at the water treatment plant were calculated to be approximately 5 feet above the sill of the structure as opposed to a prefailure depth of about 1 foot. Consequently, with the potential loss of a few lives, the loss of a water supply for the City of West Springfield, and the appreciable economic loss, the dam is classified in the "significant" hazard category.

(e) Ownership. The dam is owned by the City of West Springfield. The owner is represented by Mr. Carl M. Jacobson, Superintendent of the West Springfield Water Department, 26 Central Street, West Springfield, Massachusetts (Phone 413-781-7550, ext. 245 or 253).

(f) Operator. The dam is operated by personnel for the West Springfield Water Department, represented by Mr. Carl M. Jacobson, Superintendent, as stated above.

(g) Purpose of Dam. Bearhole Reservoir Dam provides storage and regulates its release as part of the water supply system for the City of West Springfield, Massachusetts.

(h) Design and Construction History. The present Bearhole Reservoir Dam was constructed in 1956-1957 under the contract for "Reconstruction of Flood Damage at Bearhole Dam" to replace the old dam (approximately 200 feet upstream) which was destroyed in the flood of August 1955. Drawings dated May 1956 and prepared by Tighe & Bond are available for review at the West Springfield Water Department. The drawings show that the dam was constructed essentially as it appears today.

Previous inspection reports indicated that, since construction, the dam has been in good condition and that no major repairs have been made.

(i) Normal Operating Procedures. Personnel from the West Springfield Water Department reportedly are on the site daily to check the dam and monitor activities at the water treatment facility downstream. The only records kept pertinent to the dam are reservoir levels and rainfall amounts which are recorded on forms kept at the Water Department Office.

- 1.3 Pertinent Data. Normal water surface elevation of 165.0 as shown on the USGS Mount Tom Quadrangle, Massachusetts, 1979, was adopted as the 50 foot long spillway crest elevation. All other elevations given in this report were estimated from the assumed spillway crest elevation. Elevations refer to National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD) formerly referred to as Mean Sea Level.

(a) Drainage Area. The drainage area tributary to the dam is 5.43 square miles. The pond is surrounded by moderately sloped hills which are heavily forested. Elevations within the watershed range from a low of El 165, reservoir level, to El 720 at the northerly end of the watershed area. There is only minor residential development in the drainage area. Bearhole Reservoir accounts for approximately 0.4 percent of the total drainage area. Total upstream ponds account for about 7.4 percent and marshlands 2.9 percent of the total watershed.

(b) Discharge at Dam Site. Normal discharge is over the sides of the rectangular concrete box drop inlet type spillway. The weir lengths of the spillway are 6, 50 and 60 feet with elevations of 163.75, 165.0 and 165.25 respectively. Flashboards can be installed at the 6-foot section only. Inflow from the spillway is discharged through a 12-foot by 12-foot concrete box sluiceway, approximately 127 feet long. The sluiceway discharges to a natural brook channel at the downstream toe of the slope.

The spillway can discharge an estimated 6425 cfs with the water surface at El 172.0 which is the elevation of the crest of the dam. The routed outflow test flood (one-half the Probable Maximum Flood) is 4770 cfs at El 170.8 which indicates that the outflow test flood will not overtop the dam, ignoring wave action.

The following is a list of pertinent values relative to discharge:

1. Outlet Works (Conduit) Size: (a) 16 inch
(b) 16 inch
(c) 30 x 36 inch

Invert Elevation: (a) 150.3
(b) 139.8
(c) 139.8

Discharge Capacity: (a) 42 cfs
(b) 52 cfs
(c) 278 cfs
2. Maximum Known Flood at Dam Site: Flood on August 19, 1955 (magnitude unknown) washed out dam 200 feet upstream of the present dam.
3. Ungated Spillway Capacity at Top of Dam: 6425 cfs
Elevation: 172.0
4. Ungated Spillway Capacity at Test Flood Elevation: 4770 cfs
Elevation: 170.8
5. Gated Spillway Capacity at Normal Pool Elevation: N/A
Elevation:
6. Gated Spillway Capacity at Test Flood Elevation: N/A
Elevation:
7. Total Spillway Capacity at Test Flood Elevation: 4770 cfs
Elevation: 170.8
8. Total Project Discharge at Top of Dam: 6425 cfs
Elevation: 172.0
9. Total Project Discharge at Test Flood Elevation: 4770 cfs
Elevation: 170.8

c. Elevation - Feet Above NGVD (formerly MSL Datum of 1929)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Streambed at Toe of Dam: | 138.0 |
| 2. Bottom of Cutoff: | N/A (not applicable) |
| 3. Maximum Tailwater: | Unknown |
| 4. Normal Pool: | 165.0 |
| 5. Full Flood Control Pool: | N/A |
| 6. Spillway Crest: | 6-foot - 163.75
50-foot - 165.0
60-foot - 165.25 |
| 7. Design Surcharge - Original Design: | 168.0 |
| 8. Top of Dam: | 172.0 |
| 9. Test Flood Surcharge: | 170.8 |

d. Reservoir - Length in Feet

- | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1. Normal Pool: | 3540 feet |
| 2. Flood Control Pool: | N/A |
| 3. Spillway Crest Pool: | 3540 feet (crest at El 165.0) |
| 4. Top of Dam: | 3700 feet |
| 5. Test Flood Pool: | 3670 feet |

e. Storage - Acre-Feet

- | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. Normal Pool: | 450 acre-feet |
| 2. Flood Control Pool: | N/A |
| 3. Spillway Crest Pool: | 450 acre-feet (crest at
El 165.0) |
| 4. Top of Dam: | 600 acre-feet |
| 5. Test Flood Pool: | 570 acre-feet |

f. Reservoir Surface - Acres

- | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Normal Pool: | 17 acres |
| 2. Flood Control Pool: | N/A |
| 3. Spillway Crest: | 17 acres (crest at El 165.0) |
| 4. Test Flood Pool: | 23 acres |
| 5. Top of Dam: | 24 acres |

- g. Dam
1. Type: Earthfill
 2. Length: 270 feet
 3. Height: 34 feet
 4. Top Width: 13 feet
 5. Side Slopes: 2.5 Horizontal to 1 Vertical Upstream
3 Horizontal to 1 Vertical Downstream
 6. Zoning: See Plans
 7. Impervious Core: 8 feet wide at top
Upstream Batter 1 Horizontal to 6 Vertical
Downstream Batter 1 Horizontal to 3 Vertical
 8. Cutoff: Core wall extends to top of existing impervious material
 9. Grout Curtain: None
 10. Other: Gravel underdrain at downstream toe
- h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel N/A
- i. Spillway
1. Type: Rectangular concrete box with discharge over walls on three sides
 2. Length of Weir: 6 feet
50 feet
60 feet
 3. Crest Elevation
with Flashboards: 6 feet - 165.75
without Flashboards: 6 feet - 163.75
50 feet - 165.0
60 feet - 165.25

- 4. Gates: N/A
- 5. Upstream Channel: Natural bed of Paucatuck Brook
- 6. Downstream Channel: Natural bed of Paucatuck Brook
- 7. General: Flow from spillway outlets through a 12 foot by 12 foot concrete box conduit to the downstream channel

j. Regulating Outlets

- 1. Invert: (a) 150.3
(b) 139.8
(c) 139.8
- 2. Size: (a) 16 inch
(b) 16 inch
(c) 30 x 36 inch
- 3. Description: (a)(b) Inlets to intake well for raw water supply.
(c) Outlet at north end of spillway structure.
- 4. Control Mechanism: Manually operated sluice gates (all outlets)
- 5. Other: Outlet from intake well is 24-inch lock joint pipe (invert 139.5) encased in concrete within 12 foot by 12 foot concrete box conduit. The 24-inch outlet runs to water treatment plant 400 feet downstream of the dam and is controlled by a manually operated sluice gate at the intake well.

SECTION 2 ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 DESIGN

A complete set of design plans (stamped record plans) were obtained from the West Springfield Water Department. The plans entitled "Reconstruction of Flood Damage at Bearhole Dam" May 1956, were drawn for the construction contract to replace the old dam (approximately 200-feet upstream) which was destroyed in the flood of 1955. The plans were prepared by Tighe & Bond, Consulting Engineers, Holyoke, Massachusetts (see Appendix B).

2.2 CONSTRUCTION

The above-mentioned plans are labeled as record plans and are in general conformity with the existing structure with the following exceptions. The top of the dam appears to be about 2 feet higher (El 172) in relation to elevations of the concrete spillway structure than shown on the cross sections contained in the plans. Also, the top of the dam is only 13 to 14 feet wide instead of 20 feet as the plans denote. These discrepancies between the crest width and elevation can apparently be attributed to the construction of a paved access road across the crest of the dam. It appears that the road was added during the construction phase of the dam, as page 3 of the Record Plans (see Appendix B) denotes an access road approximately 12 feet wide at El 172, and that the cross sectional record plans were never modified to reflect this change. In addition, a concrete post and cable guard has been installed along the upstream side of the roadway. Periodic inspection reports by the Massachusetts DPW indicate that since its construction, the dam has been in good condition and no major repairs have been made.

2.3 OPERATION

Daily operating records are kept by personnel from the Water Department and maintained at the office of the West Springfield Water Department, 26 Central Street, West Springfield, Massachusetts.

2.4 EVALUATION

- a. Availability. Documents described above are available at the City of West Springfield Water Department, Hampden County, Massachusetts, and The Division of Waterways, State of Massachusetts.
- b. Adequacy. The available data, in combination with the visual evaluation described in the following section, is adequate for the purpose of the Phase I Investigation.
- c. Validity. Except for the elevation and width of the crest of the dam, the general observed configuration of the dam and appurtenances appear to be in agreement with the record plans.

SECTION 3
VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1. Findings

(a) General. Bearhole Dam is a compacted earthfill embankment having a crest length of approximately 270 feet. There is a principal spillway consisting of a concrete box structure located near the right abutment and discharging near the downstream toe of the embankment fill. Bearhole Reservoir Dam is in FAIR condition at the present time.

(b) Dam. The alignment of the upstream slope is good and the riprap is generally in good condition, however, there is some very minor small grass growth on the upstream slope which should be trimmed. An area of minor sloughing of the riprap caused by slope creep is located at the left training wall of the spillway for a distance of approximately 30 feet.

Along the crest of the dam is a paved access road with a concrete post and cable guard along the upstream side of the roadway. It was observed that the pavement is deteriorated and in need of repair or replacement.

There is heavy brush growth and small tree growth near the right abutment of the downstream slope with some minor brush growth on the remainder of the downstream slope and a single tree growing at the left abutment.

A depression approximately 6 feet square by a maximum of 2-feet deep is located in the riprap slope approximately 10 feet left of the left wall of the box outlet structure as it emerges from the downstream slope of the embankment (see Photo No. 11). Ravelling of the underlying fines through the riprap is the apparent cause of the settlement.

Some seepage is issuing from the downstream toe of the embankment. This seepage is flowing clear and clean and is located 5 feet upstream of the right wall of the outlet structure and 3 to 4 feet normal to the wall where it emanates from the riprap slope. Additionally, a considerable amount of clear and clean seepage is issuing from the riprap slope at the downstream toe of embankment adjacent to the left wall of the outlet structure to a distance of 30 feet downstream (see Photo No. 9). The total seepage is estimated to be 20 to 30 GPM on the left side of the channel and 5 to 10 GPM on the right side.

The outlet channel downstream of the outlet structure and the riprap protecting the slopes is generally in good condition (see Photo No. 10).

(c) Appurtenant Structures. The spillway structure consists of a rectangular concrete box drop inlet spillway with an internally cast raw water intake well. The structure extends into the impoundment pool normal to the dam axis with a 60-foot spillway on the right side, a 50-foot spillway on the left side and a 6-foot spillway on the outboard end with all crests being 18 inches wide (see Photos No's. 2, 3, and 4). The raw water intake well is located on the left outboard end of the structure. A timber framed footbridge 3-feet wide with a pipe rail fence and 42-inch high chain link fencing spans the length of the structure from the earth embankment to the raw water intake well (see Photo No. 2). Three buttress type walls (struts) 11.5-feet deep, the lower 4-feet being a 2-foot wide ledger beam and the top 7.5-feet being 1-foot wide, internally brace the long walls of the structure (see Photo No. 3). Projecting piers above the struts support the footbridge. A 4.5-foot concrete encasement for the 24-inch raw water supply to the treatment plant has been cast at the base of the west well and along the entire length of the left spillway wall.

Spalling and surface erosion has occurred on the top surface of the struts and the ledger beams. Furthermore the interface between the sidewalls and the struts has been subjected to cracking, efflorescence and in some instances exudation. The top surface of the raw water pipe encasement has been subjected to surface erosion. Surface repairs as a result of spalling of the platform over the raw water intake well have been subjected to random surface cracking (see Photo No. 6). The sidewalls of the structure has been subjected to horizontal and vertical cracking and are effloresced. Surface spalling has occurred at the right outboard end of the structure.

A flashboard consisting of 3/4-inch plywood spans across the 6-foot long sluiceway. The flashboard is approximately 24-inches high. It is held in place by means of a chain attached to the waste gate bench stand. There is considerable seepage flowing around the flashboard (see Photo No. 5).

The footbridge, railing and chain link fence are in good condition.

There are five gates at the outboard end of the structure. Four are equipped with Chapman bench stands with rising stems and hand crank operators. The fifth gate is not operated below the platform level. Hand cranks have been removed from the site in order to prevent unauthorized use. The extreme right gate functions as a reservoir draw down, this waste gate is 30 x 36 inches and is fabricated from cast-iron. It is reported that this waste gate has never been operated. The two upstream bench stands on the right side of the structure operate 16-inch gates which control the entrance of the raw

water supply (see Photo No. 6). The inboard bench stand operates a 24-inch gate for raw water supply to the water treatment plant. The nut operated gate controls a 6-inch valve for dewatering the raw water wet well. One raw water supply gate was fully opened; the other opened 1-inch, and the 24-inch outlet gate was fully opened. The waste gate was closed. All bench stands are well maintained and, with the exception of the waste gate, are operated on a continuous basis. A galvanized checkered plate covers an opening 5 x 2 feet for access to screens. A 24-inch manhole cover is located adjacent to the bench stand operating the 24-inch gate (see Photo No. 6). The access panel and the manhole cover are in good condition.

The outlet structure consists of a 127 foot long, 12 x 12 foot reinforced concrete conduit with an 18-inch thick roof and sidewalls. The concrete encasement for the 24-inch raw water supply line is located on the left side of this structure, terminating approximately 10-feet from the outlet end of the culvert. With the exception of minor surface erosion on the invert slab, the culvert is in good condition. A 24-inch diameter access manhole is bolted in place at the terminating end of the concrete encasement.

(d) Reservoir Area. No development has occurred along the shore of Bearhole Reservoir. The area is heavily wooded and hilly, with steep slopes down to the reservoir. There is little potential that future development will occur in the reservoir area.

(e) Downstream Channel. Bearhole Reservoir discharges into a well defined channel free of any debris with 2:1 side slopes covered with riprap. The outflow then follows the natural stream bed of Paucatuck Brook, passing underneath two minor roads, a railroad, and a state highway (Route 20) before reaching the Westfield River, approximately 6800-feet downstream of the dam.

3.2 Evaluation

The visual inspection indicates that the dam is in fair condition. There are some deficiencies which must be corrected to assure the continued performance of this dam. Items of concern observed during the inspection include the following:

- (a) seepage on both sides of the outlet structure at the downstream toe of the dam that can lead to erosion and piping;
- (b) sloughing of the riprap on the upstream slope to the left of the spillway resulting in a loss of slope protection and potential erosion;

- (c) brush and tree growth on the downstream slope with root systems that can effect the integrity of the embankment;
- (d) a depression in the riprap slope on the left side of the outlet channel causing a loss of slope protection and possible erosion;
- (e) deteriorated pavement on the crest of the dam resulting in a loss of protection of the crest and possible erosion; and,
- (f) spalled concrete in the spillway and outlet structure which compromises the structural integrity of the outlet and could lead to further deterioration of the outlet.

Measures to improve these conditions are stated in Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

SECTION 4
OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 Operational Procedures

(a) General. The dam is maintained by the West Springfield Water Department. Records of air temperature, water level, and rainfall are taken daily at 7:15 AM by Water Department personnel and are maintained at the Water Department Office at 26 Central Street, West Springfield, Massachusetts.

(b) Warning System. There is no established warning system or emergency preparedness plan in effect for this structure.

4.2 Maintenance Procedures

(a) General. Maintenance of the dam is performed on an informal basis rather than on a formally established routine or procedure. The dam is generally maintained in fair condition.

(b) Operating Facilities. A flashboard consisting of 3/4 inch plywood and approximately 24 inches in height can be installed at the 6 foot long spillway. Considerable seepage is flowing around this flashboard. The bench stands and hand crank operators for the control valves are well maintained and operable (see Photos No's. 5, 6, and 7). It is reported that all sluice gates, except the 30-inch by 36-inch sluiceway, are operated at least once a year. The 30-inch by 36-inch sluice gate for draining the reservoir has reportedly never been opened. Spalling and surface erosion have occurred on the spillway structure (see Photos No's. 5, 6 and 7). The footbridge, railing, and chain link fence are in good condition.

4.3 Evaluation

Maintenance of the facility is performed on an informal basis and the overall maintenance procedure should be expanded to include the monitoring of seepage. There is no regular program for technical inspections of the dam. Formal maintenance procedures, warning system, and annual technical inspections by a qualified registered professional engineer should be established. An "Emergency Action Plan" should be developed to include an effective preplanned downstream warning system, locations of emergency equipment, materials and manpower, authorities to contact and potential areas that require evacuation. Also, the 30 by 36 inch sluice gate should be operated regularly to insure that it remains functional. These programs should be implemented as recommended in Section 7.3.

SECTION 5
EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES

5.1 General

Bearhole Reservoir Dam is a 270 foot long, 34 foot high earth embankment dam which is fed by Paucatuck Brook and forms a water supply impoundment in West Springfield, Massachusetts. The watershed is 5.43 square miles of rolling terrain with eight (8) upstream ponds and some swampy areas. The rectangular concrete box spillway receives flow from three (3) sides of different lengths and elevations. The weir lengths are 6, 50, and 60 feet with elevations of 163.75, 165.0, and 165.25, respectively. The crest elevation of the 6 foot spillway with flashboards is 165.75. The outlet for the spillway is a 12 foot by 12 foot concrete box conduit.

5.2 Design Data

Hydraulic and hydrologic computations are not available for the design of the spillway.

5.3 Experience Data

Records of water level, and rainfall are kept by the West Springfield Water Department. These records are maintained at the Water Department Office, 26 Central Street, West Springfield, Massachusetts.

A notable flood occurred at the Reservoir on August 19, 1955, when a previous dam was overtopped at a location immediately upstream of the present dam.

5.4 Test Flood Analysis

Based on the Corps of Engineers Guidelines, the recommended test flood range for the size (small) and hazard potential (significant) is a 100- year frequency to one-half Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Because a failure of the dam would cause a loss of water supply, appreciable economic loss, and the possible loss of a few lives, one-half the PMF was adopted as the test flood inflow. The watershed terrain is mostly rolling with a gentle slope (approximately 1.9%) and a considerable amount of upstream ponded water (approximately 7.4% of the total drainage area) and marshland (another 2.9%). Applying one-half the PMF (910 CSM) to the 5.43 square miles of drainage area results in a calculated peak flood flow of 4940 cfs as the inflow test flood. By adjusting the inflow test flood for surcharge storage, the maximum discharge rate was established as 4770 cfs. The spillway capacity is 6425 cfs with the water surface at the top of the dam, which represents 135% of the peak test flood outflow.

As the top of dam is at El 172.0, the routed test flood outflow of 4770 cfs at a stage of 170.8 would result in a freeboard of 1.2 feet, assuming no flashboards in the 6 foot spillway and the sluicegates closed for the various pipe outlets. Therefore, the spillway can discharge the full test flood without overtopping the dam. Even with flashboards in place on the 6-foot spillway overtopping of the dam would not occur.

5.5 Dam Failure Analysis

Based on the Corps of Engineers Guidelines for estimating dam failure hydrographs, and assuming a breach width of 60-feet which represents 40 percent of the mid-height length of 150-feet, at a water surface elevation of 172.0, the dam failure outflow would be 20,000 cfs. This does not include the discharge from the spillway as it is assumed that the spillway is included in the breach. As a result of a dam failure, the water treatment plant and pumping station, approximately 400 feet downstream, would be inundated. Other areas downstream which would be subject to some flooding are a power line (2900± feet downstream from the dam), two (2) minor roadways (5300± and 6250± feet) a railroad track (6450± feet), a state highway, Route 20 (6650± feet) and the Westfield River (6800± feet).

The hazard potential for Bearhole Reservoir is based upon the appreciable economic loss, due to the loss of water supply and the damage at the water treatment plant and pumping station, that would be incurred as a result of dam failure. In addition, there is potential for the loss of a few lives depending upon the number of people working at the water treatment plant at the time of dam failure. Calculations (see Appendix D) indicate that the depth of flow in the downstream channel adjacent to the water treatment plant prior to failure would be on the order of 5.9 feet (El 145.9) while the depth following failure would be 10.3 feet (El 150.3). The water treatment plant and pumping station is estimated to be at El 145.0, therefore, while both conditions would cause flooding, the plant to be under approximately 5-feet of water (as compared to about 1-foot prior to failure) at which depth it is anticipated that extensive damage would occur. Downstream of Section 4 (see Appendix D) are several other potential damage areas (as outlined in the previous paragraph), however, since the channel widens considerably through this area, flooding is expected to be minor with minimal economic loss and no potential for loss of life. Based upon the appreciable economic loss at the water treatment plant and potential loss of a few lives, the hazard potential due to a failure of the Bearhole Reservoir Dam is "significant".

SECTION 6
EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 VISUAL OBSERVATIONS

The field inspection of the embankment, spillway, and outlet channel indicates that these structures are in fair condition. Spalling and erosion was observed on the principal spillway (see Photos No's 5, 6, and 7). No structural deficiencies were noted which would warrant further investigations. However, there are several items of a maintenance nature and items requiring continuous monitoring as outlined in Section 7. Further indepth engineering studies do not appear to be warranted. However, a yearly inspection should be made to monitor any changes in the seepage noted at the downstream toe of the embankment.

6.2 Design and Construction Data

Definitive plans of the embankment and spillway were reviewed and appear to be consistent with the superficial features observed. Laboratory test data of the soils forming the embankment was not available, therefore, calculations pertaining to the stability of the embankment are not available.

6.3 Post-Construction Changes

No changes appear to have been made since the original construction of the dam.

6.4 Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone No. 2 and in accordance with Corps of Engineers' Guidelines does not warrant further seismic analysis at this time.

SECTION 7
ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

(a) Condition. The Bearhole Dam is in fair condition at the present time. The major items of concern are the seepage noted on both sides of the box outlet structure at the downstream toe of the dam, the sloughing of the riprap on the upstream slope to the left of the spillway, the brush growth and tree growth on the downstream slope, the depression in the riprap slope caused by the ravelling of fines through the riprap, thereby creating a settlement of the riprap on the left side of the outlet channel, the deteriorated road paving at the crest of the dam caused by an apparent insufficient thickness of pavement and spalled concrete in the spillway and outlet structure.

(b) Adequacy of Information. Design drawings are available for the dam embankment and spillway. Consequently, the adequacy of the engineering data is considered good. The assessment of this dam is based on a review of these drawings plus the visual inspection conducted on March 4, 1981.

(c) Urgency. The recommendations and remedial measures are enumerated below and should be implemented by the owner within one year of receipt of this Phase I inspection report.

7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the services of a qualified registered professional engineer be retained to:

(a) Prepare plans for rehabilitation of all spalling and erosion of the principal spillway and outlet structure.

(b) Fill in depression in the riprap slope on the left side of the outlet channel downstream of the box outlet structure with graded rock riprap.

(c) Investigate the seepage emanating from the toe drainage system and the seepage issuing from both sides of the box outlet structure as it emerges from the downstream toe. Pay particular attention to changes in the quantity and clarity of the seepage issuing from the toe of the dam.

7.3 REMEDIAL MEASURES

(a) Operation and Maintenance Procedures

- (1) Remove brush growth and tree growth on the downstream slope of embankment and within 15 feet of the toe of the embankment and trim grass growth on the upstream slope of embankment.

- (2) Monitor seepage with particular attention paid to any change in the quantity or clarity until the recommendations of the aforementioned engineering study have been implemented.
- (3) Repair the broken pavement at the crest of the dam.
- (4) Investigate the operability of the 30 x 36 inch sluice gate to insure its continued performance.
- (5) Implement a formal program of yearly technical inspections by a qualified registered professional engineer.
- (6) Develop an "Emergency Action Plan" that will include an effective preplanned downstream warning system, locations of emergency equipment, materials and manpower, authorities to contact and potential areas that require evacuation.

7.4 ALTERNATIVES

There are no practical alternatives to the above recommendations and remedial measures.

APPENDIX A
INSPECTION CHECKLISTS

INSPECTION TEAM ORGANIZATION

Date: March 4, 1981

Project: MA 00073
Bearhole Reservoir Dam
West Springfield, Massachusetts

Weather: Clear, cold

INSPECTION TEAM

William S. Parker	Cullinan Engineering Co., Inc. (CEC)	Team Captain
Kenneth W. Hodgson, Jr.	CEC	Hydraulics
Gregory M. Valiton	CEC	Hydraulics
William S. Zoino	Goldberg, Zoino & Associates (GZ)	Soils
Steve Trettel	GZ	Soils
Andrew Christo	Andrew Christo Engineers, Inc (ACE)	Structures
Paul Razgha	ACE	Structures
Carl Razgha	ACE	Structures

Owner was not represented at inspection

NOTE: Observed water surface elevation in reservoir at time
of inspection = El 165.0±

BEARHOLE RESERVOIR DAM
MA 00073

March 4, 1981

CHECKLISTS FOR VISUAL INSPECTION

<u>AREA EVALUATED</u>	<u>BY</u>	<u>CONDITION & REMARKS</u>
<u>UPSTREAM SLOPE</u>		
Vegetation	GZ	Minor grass and brush
Sloughing or Erosion		Minor settlement (12") to a distance of 20' left of left spillway
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures		Good - None except settlement noted
Animal Burrows		None
<u>CREST</u>		
Vegetation		None
Sloughing or Erosion		None
Surface Cracks		Numerous on downstream side of paved surface
Movement or Settlement		None
<u>DOWNSTREAM SLOPE</u>		
Vegetation		6" pines at abutment - minor brush
Sloughing or Erosion		None
Surface Cracks		None
Animal Burrows		None
Movement or Cracking Near Toe		Settlement of riprap adjacent to concrete box outlet structure. One 6' x 6' pothole on left side
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	GZ	Seepage both sides of box. 20 to 30 GPM left side, 5 to 10 right side

BEARHOLE RESERVOIR DAM
MA 00073

March 4, 1981

CHECKLISTS FOR VISUAL INSPECTION

<u>AREA EVALUATED</u>	<u>BY</u>	<u>CONDITION & REMAPKS</u>
Piping or Boils	GZ	None
Foundation Drainage Features		None
Toe Drains		None
<u>GENERAL</u>		
Lateral Movement		Good
Vertical Alignment		Good
Horizontal Alignment		Good
Condition at Abutments and at Structures		Settlement of riprap along box structure
Indications of Movement of Structural Items		None
Trespassing		None
Instrumentation Systems	GZ	None
<u>PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY</u>		
Condition of Concrete	ACE	Good
Spalling		Top surface of outboard strut 4' long x 3' deep. Top corner of water in- take platform spalled 10' x 4". Right spill- way crest 2' x 18" x 2" at two locations
Ledger	ACE	Ledger of outboard strut eroded 3' x 2". Minor at ledger of inboard strut. Surface erosion on top of concrete encasement

BEARHOLE RESERVOIR DAM
MA 00073

March 4, 1981

CHECKLISTS FOR VISUAL INSPECTION


<u>AREA EVALUATED</u>	<u>BY</u>	<u>CONDITION & REMARKS</u>
Cracking	ACE	Minor at ledger beam of outboard strut. Minor at ledger beam of inboard strut. Minor at interface of struts and sidewalls. Random surface cracking on water intake platform. Cracks on left vertical face of platform 90% of length by height of 18". Cracks on right vertical face 6' long x 12" high.
Efflorescence		Located at all cracks.
Exudation		Minor on ledger beam of inboard and outboard struts and sidewalls.
Rusting or Staining of Concrete		None noted
Visible Reinforcing		None noted
Seepage		None noted
Flashboard		3/4" plywood supported by chain. Considerable seepage around flashboard.
Footbridge		All components including beams, decking, metal railing and chain link fence are in good condition.
<u>GATES</u>		
Bench Stands		All bench stands are in good condition and operable
Access Openings	ACE	Checkered plate cover and manhole cover in good condition.

BEAPHOLE RESERVOIR DAM
MA 00073

March 4, 1981

CHECKLISTS FOR VISUAL INSPECTION

<u>AREA EVALUATED</u>	<u>BY</u>	<u>CONDITION & REMARKS</u>
<u>OUTLET CONDUIT</u>		
Condition of Concrete	Ace	With the exception of minor surface erosion of invert slab there was no evidence of spalling, cracking, efflorescence, rusting or staining of concrete or visible reinforcing



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ENGR.
DIVISION OF WATERWAYS

100 Nashua Street, Boston 02114

June 11, 1976

West Springfield Water Department
26 Central Street
West Springfield, Massachusetts

RE: Inspection Dam #2-7-325-6
West Springfield
Bear Hole Reservoir Dam

ATT: Mr. Carl M. Jacobson

Gentlemen:

On January 2, 1976, an Engineer from the Massachusetts Department of Public Works made a visual inspection of the above dam. Our records indicate the owner to be W. Springfield Water Department. If this information is incorrect will you please notify this office.

The inspection was made in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 253 of the Massachusetts General Laws as amended (Dams-Safety Act). Chapter 706 of the Acts of 1975 transferred the jurisdiction of the so-called "Dams Safety Program" to the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering.

The results of the inspection indicate that this dam is safe; however the following conditions were noted that require attention:

Brush and trees should be removed, one small pine in particular on the westerly end of the dam at the crest of the downstream slope.

We call these conditions to your attention before they become serious and more expensive to correct. With any correspondence please include the number of the Dam as indicated above.

Very truly yours,

DAVID STANDLEY
COMMISSIONER

A.Mc:eh

INSPECTION REPORT - DAMS AND RESERVOIRS

1. LOCATION:

City/Town West Springfield. County Hampden. Dam No. 2-7-325-6.

Name of Dam Bear Hole Reservoir.

Topo Sheet No. 12 A. Coordinates: N 413,300, E 279,100.
Mass. Rect.

Inspected by: Harold T. Shumway, On Jan. 2, 1976. Date Nov. 15, 1973
Last Inspection

2. OWNER/S: As of January 2, 1976

per: Assessors X, Reg. of Deeds _____, Prev. Insp. X, Per. Contact X.

1. West Springfield Water Dept., 26 Central St., West Springfield, Mass.
Name _____ St. & No. _____ City/Town _____ State _____ Tel. No. _____

2. _____
Name _____ St. & No. _____ City/Town _____ State _____ Tel. No. _____

3. _____
Name _____ St. & No. _____ City/Town _____ State _____ Tel. No. _____

3. CARETAKER: (if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.

Carl M. Jacobson
Supt. of West Springfield Water Dept., 26 Central St., West Springfield,
Name _____ St. & No. _____ City/Town _____ State _____ Tel. No. Mass.

4. DATA:

No. of Pictures Taken none. Sketches See description of Dam.
Plans, Where West Springfield Water Dept. office.

5. DEGREE OF HAZARD: (if dam should fail completely)*

- | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. Minor _____. | 3. Severe <u>X</u> _____. |
| 2. Moderate _____. | 4. Disastrous _____. |

Comments: Would flood out pumping station and filter plant directly below dam - plus 2 ea. Town Hwys. and a state hwy., Rte. #20, and a railroad.

*This rating may change as land use changes (future development).

6.

OUTLETS: OUTLET CONTROLS AND DRAWDOWN

No. 1 Location and Type: Westerly end of dam - conc. overflow D.I. sluiceway
12'W.x 26'H.x 50'L. with a 12'x 12' concrete sluice
box conduit 127'L.

Controls None, TYPE: _____.

Automatic _____. Manual _____. Operative Yes _____, No _____.

Comments: Structure appears sound with no spalling or cracks visible.

No. 2 Location and Type: North or upstream end of sluiceway - 12'W.x 31'H.x
13'L. concrete controll well with a 21'long intake
structure.

Controls yes, Type: 2 Ea. 16" gate valves - 1 ea. 6" gate valve - 1 ea
2 1/2'x 3' slide gate.

Automatic _____. Manual X. Operative Yes X, No _____.

Comments: Top edges of conc. control well slightly spalled -all con-
trols in working order per Water Dept. personnel.

No. 3 Location and Type: West side and upstream wall of control well - 5 1/2'W.
x 1 1/2'W. opening.

Controls yes, Type: Steel slide gate.

Automatic _____. Manual X. Operative Yes X, No _____.

Comments: Gate in good condition.

Drawdown present Yes X, No _____. Operative Yes X, No _____.

Comments: 2 1/2'x 3' slide gate is a drawdown gate.

See item #2 above.

7.

DAM UPSTREAM FACE: Slope 2 1/2:1, Depth Water at Dam 12' out from shore is

Material: Turf X. Brush & Trees _____. Rock fill _____. Masonry _____. Wood _____.
6' to 7' deep.

Other Stone rubble paving.

Condition: 1. Good _____. 3. Major Repairs _____.

2. Minor Repairs X. 4. Urgent Repairs _____.

Comments: Minor brush growth on upstream slope.

8.

DAM DOWNSTREAM FACE: Slope 3:1.

Material: Turf X. ~~Brush & Trees~~ Trees X. Rock Fill _____. Masonry _____. Wood _____.
6' to 7' deep.

Other _____.

Condition: 1. Good X. 3. Major Repairs _____.

2. Minor Repairs _____. 4. Urgent Repairs _____.

Comments: Lower portion of slope set out with pine trees - 2" to 4" dia.

1 ea. 2" or 3" pine on top edge of downstream slope.

9. EMERGENCY SPILLWAY: Available No. . Needed No. .

Height Above Normal Water _____ Ft.

Width _____ Ft. Height _____ Ft. Material _____

Condition: 1. Good _____ 3. Major Repairs _____

2. Minor Repairs _____ 4. Urgent Repairs _____

Comments: Overflow sluice box has been adequate since built in 1956.

10. WATER LEVEL AT TIME OF INSPECTION: 1/6 Ft. Above X Below _____

Top Dam _____ F.L. Principal Spillway X _____

Other _____

Normal Freeboard 7 1/2' to 8 Ft.

11. SUMMARY OF DEFICIENCIES NOTED:

1 ea. 2" to 3" pine tree at crest of
Growth (Trees and Brush) on Embankment downstream slope on westerly end of
dike. Minor brush growth on upstream slope.

Animal Burrows and Washouts None found

Damage to Slopes or Top of Dam None found

Cracked or Damaged Masonry Minor spalling of concrete structure on top edge.

Seepage flows noted in rock blanket on easterly side of
Evidence of Seepage conc. box conduit on downstream slope.

Evidence of Piping None found

Leaks None found

Erosion None found

Trash and/or Debris Impeding Flow None found

Clogged or Flocked Spillway None

Other _____

(12.)

OVERALL CONDITION:

1. Safe _____.
2. Minor repairs needed X _____.
3. Conditionally safe - major repairs needed _____.
4. Unsafe _____.
5. Reservoir impoundment no longer exists (explain)
Recommend removal from inspection list _____.

(13.)

REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS: (Fully Explain)

Grade and alignment of dam appeared good. Slopes did not appear to have been mowed this year and a light growth of brush was noted on upstream slope. Flows were noted emerging from rock blanket on downstream slope but these flows were minimal and normal for this type of constructed dike. Water Dept. personnel stated that in dry months of summer these flows disappear entirely.

The concrete structures all appeared sound and the 12' x 12' concrete sluice box conduit interior has been refaced since last inspection. No leaks or seepage were found inside of box. All controls appeared to be in good order and all are operable per Water Dept. personnel.

One small pine, 2" to 3" in diameter and about 5 feet tall was noted growing on westerly end of dam at crest of downstream slope. This tree could become a hazard in future years as top of dam is only 14 feet wide.

Dam appears safe at time of inspection.

HTS/bk

OK
File 546

INSPECTION REPORT - DAMS AND RESERVOIRS

1. LOCATION:

~~City/Town~~ West Springfield . County Hampden . Dam No. 2-7-325-6 .

Name of Dam Bear Hole Reservoir .

Mass. Rect.

Topo Sheet No. 12A . Coordinates: N 413,300 , E 279,100 .

Inspected by: H. T. Shumway , On Nov. 15, 1973 . Date 1970 . Last Inspection

2. OWNER/S: As of November, 1972

per: Assessors X , Reg. of Deeds _____ , Prev. Insp. _____ , Per. Contact X .

West Springfield Water Department

1. Office of Superintendent, Piper Road, West Springfield, Mass.
Name _____ St. & No. _____ City/Town _____ State _____ Tel. No. _____

2. _____
Name _____ St. & No. _____ City/Town _____ State _____ Tel. No. _____

3. _____
Name _____ St. & No. _____ City/Town _____ State _____ Tel. No. _____

3. CARETAKER: (if any) e.g. superintendent, plant manager, appointed by
absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.

Carl M. Jacobson

Supt. of W. Springfield Water Dept. 26 Central St. W. Springfield 781-7550 ext. 245
Name _____ St. & No. _____ City/Town _____ State _____ Tel. No. or 253 _____

4. DATA:

No. of Pictures Taken None . Sketches See description of Dam.
Plans, Where at West Springfield Water Department Office.

5. DEGREE OF HAZARD: (if dam should fail completely)*

1. Minor _____ . 3. Severe X .

2. Moderate _____ . 4. Disastrous _____ .

Comments: Complete failure would wash out pumping station and filter plant.

*This rating may change as land use changes (future development). Directly below dam,
plus 2 Town Highways and possibly Rte. 20, a State Highway, plus damage to railroad.

6. OUTLETS: OUTLET CONTROLS AND DRAWDOWN

No. 1 Location and Type: Westerly end of dam a concrete overflow drop inlet sluicibox
12'W. x 12'H. x 190'Long. Plus a 21'Long intake basin.

Controls No, TYPE: _____.

Automatic _____. Manual _____. Operative Yes _____, No _____.

Comments: 30' of north end of sluice has overflow sidewalls 26'⁺ High above floor
of sluicibox plus a 13'L x 12'W. x 31'H. control well on end of sluice.

No. 2 Location and Type: At north end of sluicibox concrete control well 13' x 12' x 31'

Controls Yes, Type: Screw lift sluice gate 2' - 6"W. x 3' - 0"H.

Automatic _____. Manual X. Operative Yes X, No _____.

Comments: This sluicgate is draw-down gate.

No. 3 Location and Type: In east side and northeast end of control well

Controls Yes, Type: Two 16" sluice gates with screw lifts - See sketch sheet #3

Automatic _____. Manual X. Operative Yes X, No _____.

Comments: All controls operable per Water Department personnel.

Drawdown present Yes X, No _____. Operative Yes X, No _____.

Comments: See No. 2 above.

7. DAM UPSTREAM FACE: Slope 2:1, Depth Water at Dam 6' deep out 12' from shore.

Material: Turf X. Brush & Trees _____. Rock fill _____. Masonry _____. Wood _____.
 Other Stone rubble paving

Condition: 1. Good X. 3. Major Repairs _____.

2. Minor Repairs _____. 4. Urgent Repairs _____.

Comments: Slope appeared stable and of good alignment at time of inspection.

Grass and weeds had not been cut.

8. DAM DOWNSTREAM FACE: Slope 3:1.

Material: Turf X. Brush & Trees _____. Rock Fill _____. Masonry _____. Wood _____.
 Other _____

Condition: 1. Good X. 3. Major Repairs _____.

2. Minor Repairs _____. 4. Urgent Repairs _____.

Comments: Heavy weed and grass growth uncut but this condition no hazard to slope
at this time.

9. EMERGENCY SPILLWAY: Available No. Needed No.

Height Above Normal Water _____ Ft.

Width _____ Ft. Height _____ Ft. Material _____.

Condition: 1. Good _____. 3. Major Repairs _____.

2. Minor Repairs _____. 4. Urgent Repairs _____.

Comments: This sluiceway has carried high water run-offs since it was built in 1956.

10. WATER LEVEL AT TIME OF INSPECTION: 1 Ft. Above _____. Below X _____.

Top Dam _____ F.L. Principal Spillway _____.

Other Top of west overflow wall of sluiceway.

Normal Freeboard 7 1/2 Ft.⁺

11. SUMMARY OF DEFICIENCIES NOTED:

Growth (Trees and Brush) on Embankment Heavy weed & grass growth uncut.

Animal Burrows and Washouts None found

Damage to Slopes or Top of Dam None found

Cracked or Damaged Masonry Minor spalling of concrete on interior walls of spillway
Seepage noted coming through concrete spillway at const. joint.

Evidence of Seepage Yes - seepage at southeast toe of channel slope also seepage
noted at end of conc. encasement of raw water pipe line.

Evidence of Piping None found

Leaks None found

Erosion None found

Trash and/or Debris Impeding Flow None

Clogged or Blocked Spillway None

Other _____

(12.)

OVERALL CONDITION:

1. Safe X.
2. Minor repairs needed_____.
3. Conditionally safe - major repairs needed_____.
4. Unsafe_____.
5. Reservoir impoundment no longer exists (explain)
Recommend removal from inspection list_____.

(13.)

REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS: (Fully Explain)

The grade and alignment of this dike appears good. The concrete structure appears sound with only minor spalling noted on inner wall surfaces of sluicibox. Some seepage was noted in top of sluicibox at an expansion joint on northerly side of dike.

It was noted that the width of top of dike was only 14 feet instead of 20 feet as shown on plans on file. Also, it was noted that elevation of top of dike appeared to be 2' $\frac{1}{2}$, higher in relation to elevations of concrete structure than what was shown on plans on file in Water Department Office. This is probably due to construction of road on top of dam after completion of dam.

This dam was completely rebuilt in 1956 and 1957 after loss of old dam in 1955 flood. The sluicibox under the dike is a 12' x 12' concrete conduit and has proved adequate to date to carry high water run-offs.

The weeds and grass growth on slopes have not been mowed but this is of no hazard to dam slopes.

The downstream slope is well turfed and appears stable. The upstream slope is stone rubble paved to within 6 feet of the top of dike and turfed over on this last 6 feet.

Dike and concrete structure appears safe at time of inspection.

DESCRIPTION OF DAM

DISTRICT 2.Submitted by H. T. ShumwayDam No. 2-7-325-6Date November 15, 1973~~City~~/Town West SpringfieldName of Dam Bear Hole Reservoir

1. Location: Topo Sheet No. 12A Mass. Rect. Coordinates N 413.300 E 279.100

Provide 8½" x 11" in clear copy of topo map with location of Dam clearly indicated.

Right of Bear Hole Road "Great Plains Road approximately ¼ mile northwesterly from Dewey Street at West Springfield Water Works about ¼ mile from Bear Hole Road.

2. Year built 1956 Year/s of subsequent repairs Unknown

3. Purpose of Dam: Water Supply X Recreational _____
Flood Control _____ Irrigation _____ Other _____

4. Drainage Area: 5.5 sq. mi. _____ acres.
Type: City, Bus. & Ind. _____ Dense Res. _____ Suburban _____ Rural, Farm _____
Wood & Scrub Land X Slope: Steep 1 Med. 1 Slight _____

5. Normal Ponding Area: 25 Acres; Ave. Depth 8' to 9' (maximum depth 27' per W. Spfld. W.D.)
Impoundment: 63 million gals.; 200+ acre ft.
Silted in: Yes _____ No X Approx. Amount Storage Area _____

6. No. and type of dwellings located adjacent to pond or reservoir _____
i.e. summer homes etc. Pumping Station & water treatment plant below dam.

7. Dimensions of Dam: Length 250'± Max. Height 34'
Freeboard 7' - 6"
Slopes: Upstream Face 2:1
Downstream Face 3:1
Width across top 14' (12' paved)
B-10

Dam No. 2-7-325-6

8.

Classification of Dam by Material:

Earth X Conc. Masonry X Stone Masonry _____
Timber _____ Rockfill _____ Other Stone Paving

8A.

Dam Type: Gravity X Straight X Curved, Arched _____ Other _____
~~Embankment~~ Overflow _____ Non-overflow X

9.

A. Description of present land usage downstream of dam:

100 % rural; _____ % urban

B. Is there a storage area or flood plain downstream of dam which could accommodate the impoundment in the event of a complete dam failure? Yes _____ No X

C. Character Downstream Valley: Narrow 85% Wide 15% Developed 2%
Rural 98% Urban _____

10.

Risk to life and property in event of complete failure.

No. of people 1

No. of homes 1

No. of businesses None

No. of industries None Type _____

No. of utilities 5 Type Telephone, Electric, Gas, Sewer, and water lines
Town Water Supply

Railroads 1 - Penn Central


Other dams 1

Other 2 Town Highways and 1 State Highway (Route #20)


11.

Attach Sketch of dam to this form showing section and plan on 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ " x 11" sheet.

RCS/vk/rt
Attachments
Locus Plan
Sketches




SIDE ELEVATION




PLAN OF SP
SCALE

BEAR HOLE RESERVOIR DAM
 DAM No. 2-7-325-6
 West Springfield, Mass.
 Sheet # 1 of 3 sheets






VATION (EAST) OF SPILLWAY STRUCTURE
SCALE = 1" = 20'-0"



CF. SPILLWAY STRUCTURE
SCALE = 1" = 20'-0"

Copied from plans in
 West Springfield Water
 Dept. Office.
 Bear Hole Dam
 Plan Sheet # 11 May 1956
 Tighe Bond Consulting Eng.





[illegible][illegible]

LOCUS PLAN

TURNPIKE

B-15

WEST SPRING

BRADLEY

DIAMOND 7315

12A

Amstow

WEST SPRING

YORK

B-15

DAMS IN HAMPDEN COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS

WEST SPRINGFIELD

1. Strathmore Paper Company Dam
Strathmore Paper Company, West Springfield, Mass.
2. Country Club Dam
Springfield Country Club, 1375 Elm Street, West Springfield, Mass.
3. Lyncosky Dam - Upper Dam
Mr. Fred Lyncosky, 573 Piper Road, West Springfield, Mass.
4. Lyncosky Dam - Lower Dam
Mr. Fred Lyncosky, 573 Piper Road, West Springfield, Mass.
5. Piper Reservoir Dam
Town of West Springfield, Town Office, West Springfield, Mass.
6. Bear Hole Dam
Office of the Superintendent, West Springfield Water Department,
Piper Road, West Springfield, Mass.

The last routine inspections of all dams located within the Town of West Springfield were conducted in July of 1970. A letter-report on the conditions noted at each of the dams was sent to the Board of County Commissioners on July 27, 1970.

Of all of the dams inspected, only one required any comment regarding maintenance. This was the Strathmore Paper Co. Dam located in Westfield River just upstream of the paper mill in West Springfield.

A copy of my report to the Commissioners of Hampden County is attached hereto for your information and file. In that letter-report under Section B, you will note two dams in Mitteneague Park. These are being dropped from the inspection list since they are so small and have been abandoned by the Park Department. They have only been on the inspection list because of the

**THE
BOND CONSULTING ENGINEERS**

size of the drainage area involved. There is no further need for inspecting these two small dams in Mitteneague Park.

George H. McDonnell
George H. McDonnell
County Hydraulic Engineer
Hampden County

DONNELL
HERIDAN
BAYON

WIGHE & BOND

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

CIVIL, SANITARY AND ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
INVESTIGATIONS, REPORTS, PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS
SUPERVISION OF CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION

BOWERS AND PEOQUOT STREETS
HOLYOKE, MASSACHUSETTS
TEL. JEFFERSON 3-3991

CD West Springfield
July 27, 1970

The Honorable the Board of County Commissioners
52 State Street
Springfield, Massachusetts

Gentlemen:

Each dam located within the Town of West Springfield has been inspected at least once during the year 1970. Inspections were made from time to time, with the final inspection being made on July 17, 1970. The following is a report on the condition noted at each of the dams located within the Town of West Springfield.

A. Strathmore Paper Co. Dam

The abutment areas at this dam are in fair condition. However, the old log crib and plank dam is only in fair condition. The crest sags at two locations, probably the result of settlement and crushing of some of the old logs and timbers forming the crib construction. Leakage was observed at a number of places along the toe area of the dam.

The volume of storage in the stream behind the dam is not very great since much of the volume has been filled in with material washed down from upstream and other material used for coffer dam construction in the past when repairs were made to the crib dam.

The repaired section of the dam located at the left end appears to be holding up fairly well.

The canal headworks were observed to be in satisfactory condition. The head gate openings were wide open and river water flowed into the canal as well as over the crest of the dam at the location of the

ME
AND

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

two sag areas. One of the canal drawdown gates was partly open and water discharged from the canal. The canal spillway was in fair condition.

Water level flowing in the canal was below normal elevation, probably as a result of a lowered elevation of the pond behind the dam due to discharge of water over the crest of the dam thru the two sag locations.

It is possible that a failure in the dam could occur again as has been experienced in the past. A failure of this sort will not endanger persons and property downstream since the amount of water that would be released could be handled very easily in the stream bed. The stream bed below the dam is quite wide and dry.

The only danger to any such failure would come about if a person happened to be in the bed of the stream directly in the front of the timber crib dam when failure of a section occurred.

In the opinion of the undersigned, the owner of the dam should make frequent inspections of the dam and if there is evidence that further settling and crushing is occurring, steps should be taken to repair that portion of the timber crib dam that is affected.

B. Mittineague Park Dams

Upper Dam

The drainpipes were found to be open at this small dam and no water was stored. The pond volume behind the dam has been completely filled up with silt and earth washed in from upstream. The masonry top section of the spillway is o.k. but the cobblestone masonry at the base of this small dam and the cemented cobblestone apron were noted to be eroded, broken and in poor condition.

Since this dam stores an insignificant quantity of water and since failure of this small dam could not do any damage to persons and property downstream, no further inspections will be made of this dam unless the undersigned is advised to the contrary.

Lower Dam

This dam is inactive and the drainpipe is open. Masonry construction forming the dam is in fair condition.

As in the case of the Upper Dam, little or no water is stored by this Lower Dam and much of the pond volume has been filled in by material washed in from upstream.

The dam stores such a small quantity of water and since the depth of the pond is extremely shallow, the dam does not endanger persons and property downstream.

As mentioned in my report of a year ago, this dam will be dropped from the inspection schedule unless the undersigned is advised to the contrary. I see no further need for inspecting this small dam in Mittineague Park.

C. Country Club Dam

The embankment forming this dam was in good condition. The toe area was found to be dry. The turf surfaces on the slopes and at the edges of the roadway along the embankment were in good condition.

The old spillway facility was operating and water level in storage was at the top of the upper stoplog. The masonry of the old spillway is in fair condition. Some debris was in the spillway inlet but the condition is not dangerous.

The flood flow spillway shaft was in good condition. The tube was examined from end to end and found to be o.k.

The first joint in the tube thru the embankment located upstream from the portal end of the tube shows signs of opening and failure of the joint repair work. The condition is o.k. for now but in another year it is possible that this joint may need repair work again. All joints in the flood flow spillway tube should be checked carefully in 1971 and any repair work needed at that time should be done by the owner of the dam.

The stone filled toe area at the spillway outlet was in good condition.

No changes have been made at this dam since the time of the previous inspection and the structure was considered to be safe when checked.

D. Lyncosky Dams

Upper Dam

This small dam is in the same general condition as reported each year. As mentioned previously, it is my opinion that the dam does not come under County jurisdiction, but since it is directly upstream of the Lower Dam and, since it carries the access road to the Lower Dam, a report is submitted on the general condition of the Upper Dam.

The paved roadway and the embankment fill were observed to be in satisfactory condition. The embankment is quite shallow in height for its relatively large width. Even if high rates of surface runoff should exceed the capacity of the small spillway tube thru the upper dam embankment, excess flow would pass over the dam embankment and cross the roadway without doing any substantial damage to the dam.

In the opinion of the undersigned, this dam is in satisfactory condition.

Lower Dam

The embankment forming this dam is fairly well shaped and has a good growth of turf on its top. Side slopes are weed covered and there is a fairly heavy brush growth along the toe area.

Water level in storage was at the crest of the masonry overflow. The spillway inlet structure was o. k. There were no stoplogs or flashboards on the crest. The spillway screen was in place and it was clean. There was no debris in the spillway inlet.

The outlet area of the spillway is rather dilapidated but it is serviceable.

No changes have been made at this dam since the time of the last inspection, and in the opinion of the undersigned, the dam is safe.

E. Piper Reservoir Dam (new Swimming Pool Dam)

The embankment forming this dam was satisfactory as to shape. The turf cover is very poor. In fact, it is almost non-existent. In spite of the fact that there is little or no turf cover, there is

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

very little evidence of any erosion on the surface of the embankment.

The spillway shaft was in satisfactory condition and water level in storage was at the crest of the hole thru the concrete wall of the shaft.

The tube spillway thru the embankment was o.k. There was no debris in the spillway pipe. The outlet end of the spillway was satisfactory.

In years past, this dam formed a body of water that was used for swimming purposes. However, the Town of West Springfield has since built an artificial swimming pool on the left bank of the stream just above the dam and all swimming is now done in this artificial concrete, standard-type municipal pool. Little or no use is now made of the dam and the pond formed by the dam.

In the opinion of the undersigned, the Piper Reservoir Dam is in satisfactory condition and it is safe.

F. Bear Hole Dam

The spillway masonry at this dam was noted to be in good condition. On the day of inspection water level in storage was at the crest of the low side wall of the spillway structure. There were no flashboards on the side wall crests. The normal small metal stoplog plate was in the slots at the upper end of the spillway structure.

The walkway bridge out over the spillway was o.k.

The embankment was found to be in satisfactory condition. The gravel road along the top of the embankment was satisfactory. The toe areas of the embankment, one on each side of the spillway conduit outlet, were in good condition. Seepage was noted on each side of the conduit portal. The largest amount of seepage was observed on the left side. This is a normal condition.

The amount of seepage observed was about the same as noted in the past. There is no evidence of any movement of soil particles with the seepage water.

The rock filled toe area of the embankment was in good condition.


**THE
COND**

CONSULTING ENGINEERS


The downstream slope of the embankment has very little turf cover. It is mostly weeds. There are areas of the embankment surface where there is no vegetation growth at all. However, no erosion was observed on these areas.


In the opinion of the undersigned, the dam is in good condition and it is safe.

Respectfully submitted,


George H. McDonnell
County Hydraulic Engineer

GHM/amd





RECORD PLAN

EXISTING CONTOURS

RECONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD DAMAGE
AT

BEAR HOLE DAM

BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

TOWN OF WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS.

THOMAS B. BOND, CONSULTING ENGINEER


MADE


SCALE: 1"=20'-0"

MAY, 1936


2

B-24





D
 P
 O
 N
 M
 L
 K
 J
 I
 H
 G
 F
 E
 D
 C
 B
 A



TYPICAL SECTION TOE DRAIN
SCALE 1/4" = 1'-0"

RECORD PLAN

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT
 RECONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD DAMAGE
 AT
 BEAR HOLE DAM
 BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
 TOWN OF WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS.
 TOWN & BOND CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 SPRINGFIELD, MASS.
 SCALE 1" = 20'-0" MAY, 1956

3

24.100

176

180

184

188

192

196

200

204

208

24.100
24.104
24.108
24.112
24.116
24.120
24.124
24.128
24.132
24.136
24.140
24.144
24.148
24.152
24.156
24.160
24.164
24.168
24.172
24.176
24.180
24.184
24.188
24.192
24.196
24.200
24.204
24.208
24.212
24.216
24.220
24.224
24.228
24.232
24.236
24.240
24.244
24.248
24.252
24.256
24.260
24.264
24.268
24.272
24.276
24.280
24.284
24.288
24.292
24.296
24.300
24.304
24.308
24.312
24.316
24.320
24.324
24.328
24.332
24.336
24.340
24.344
24.348
24.352
24.356
24.360
24.364
24.368
24.372
24.376
24.380
24.384
24.388
24.392
24.396
24.400
24.404
24.408
24.412
24.416
24.420
24.424
24.428
24.432
24.436
24.440
24.444
24.448
24.452
24.456
24.460
24.464
24.468
24.472
24.476
24.480
24.484
24.488
24.492
24.496
24.500
24.504
24.508
24.512
24.516
24.520
24.524
24.528
24.532
24.536
24.540
24.544
24.548
24.552
24.556
24.560
24.564
24.568
24.572
24.576
24.580
24.584
24.588
24.592
24.596
24.600
24.604
24.608
24.612
24.616
24.620
24.624
24.628
24.632
24.636
24.640
24.644
24.648
24.652
24.656
24.660
24.664
24.668
24.672
24.676
24.680
24.684
24.688
24.692
24.696
24.700
24.704
24.708
24.712
24.716
24.720
24.724
24.728
24.732
24.736
24.740
24.744
24.748
24.752
24.756
24.760
24.764
24.768
24.772
24.776
24.780
24.784
24.788
24.792
24.796
24.800
24.804
24.808
24.812
24.816
24.820
24.824
24.828
24.832
24.836
24.840
24.844
24.848
24.852
24.856
24.860
24.864
24.868
24.872
24.876
24.880
24.884
24.888
24.892
24.896
24.900
24.904
24.908
24.912
24.916
24.920
24.924
24.928
24.932
24.936
24.940
24.944
24.948
24.952
24.956
24.960
24.964
24.968
24.972
24.976
24.980
24.984
24.988
24.992
24.996
25.000
25.004
25.008
25.012
25.016
25.020
25.024
25.028
25.032
25.036
25.040
25.044
25.048
25.052
25.056
25.060
25.064
25.068
25.072
25.076
25.080
25.084
25.088
25.092
25.096
25.100
25.104
25.108
25.112
25.116
25.120
25.124
25.128
25.132
25.136
25.140
25.144
25.148
25.152
25.156
25.160
25.164
25.168
25.172
25.176
25.180
25.184
25.188
25.192
25.196
25.200
25.204
25.208
25.212
25.216
25.220
25.224
25.228
25.232
25.236
25.240
25.244
25.248
25.252
25.256
25.260
25.264
25.268
25.272
25.276
25.280
25.284
25.288
25.292
25.296
25.300
25.304
25.308
25.312
25.316
25.320
25.324
25.328
25.332
25.336
25.340
25.344
25.348
25.352
25.356
25.360
25.364
25.368
25.372
25.376
25.380
25.384
25.388
25.392
25.396
25.400
25.404
25.408
25.412
25.416
25.420
25.424
25.428
25.432
25.436
25.440
25.444
25.448
25.452
25.456
25.460
25.464
25.468
25.472
25.476
25.480
25.484
25.488
25.492
25.496
25.500
25.504
25.508
25.512
25.516
25.520
25.524
25.528
25.532
25.536
25.540
25.544
25.548
25.552
25.556
25.560
25.564
25.568
25.572
25.576
25.580
25.584
25.588
25.592
25.596
25.600
25.604
25.608
25.612
25.616
25.620
25.624
25.628
25.632
25.636
25.640
25.644
25.648
25.652
25.656
25.660
25.664
25.668
25.672
25.676
25.680
25.684
25.688
25.692
25.696
25.700
25.704
25.708
25.712
25.716
25.720
25.724
25.728
25.732
25.736
25.740
25.744
25.748
25.752
25.756
25.760
25.764
25.768
25.772
25.776
25.780
25.784
25.788
25.792
25.796
25.800
25.804
25.808
25.812
25.816
25.820
25.824
25.828
25.832
25.836
25.840
25.844
25.848
25.852
25.856
25.860
25.864
25.868
25.872
25.876
25.880
25.884
25.888
25.892
25.896
25.900
25.904
25.908
25.912
25.916
25.920
25.924
25.928
25.932
25.936
25.940
25.944
25.948
25.952
25.956
25.960
25.964
25.968
25.972
25.976
25.980
25.984
25.988
25.992
25.996
26.000
26.004
26.008
26.012
26.016
26.020
26.024
26.028
26.032
26.036
26.040
26.044
26.048
26.052
26.056
26.060
26.064
26.068
26.072
26.076
26.080
26.084
26.088
26.092
26.096
26.100
26.104
26.108
26.112
26.116
26.120
26.124
26.128
26.132
26.136
26.140
26.144
26.148
26.152
26.156
26.160
26.164
26.168
26.172
26.176
26.180
26.184
26.188
26.192
26.196
26.200
26.204
26.208
26.212
26.216
26.220
26.224
26.228
26.232
26.236
26.240
26.244
26.248
26.252
26.256
26.260
26.264
26.268
26.272
26.276
26.280
26.284
26.288
26.292
26.296
26.300
26.304
26.308
26.312
26.316
26.320
26.324
26.328
26.332
26.336
26.340
26.344
26.348
26.352
26.356
26.360
26.364
26.368
26.372
26.376
26.380
26.384
26.388
26.392
26.396
26.400
26.404
26.408
26.412
26.416
26.420
26.424
26.428
26.432
26.436
26.440
26.444
26.448
26.452
26.456
26.460
26.464
26.468
26.472
26.476
26.480
26.484
26.488
26.492
26.496
26.500
26.504
26.508
26.512
26.516
26.520
26.524
26.528
26.532
26.536
26.540
26.544
26.548
26.552
26.556
26.560
26.564
26.568
26.572
26.576
26.580
26.584
26.588
26.592
26.596
26.600
26.604
26.608
26.612
26.616
26.620
26.624
26.628
26.632
26.636
26.640
26.644
26.648
26.652
26.656
26.660
26.664
26.668
26.672
26.676
26.680
26.684
26.688
26.692
26.696
26.700
26.704
26.708
26.712
26.716
26.720
26.724
26.728
26.732
26.736
26.740
26.744
26.748
26.752
26.756
26.760
26.764
26.768
26.772
26.776
26.780
26.784
26.788
26.792
26.796
26.800
26.804
26.808
26.812
26.816
26.820
26.824
26.828
26.832
26.836
26.840
26.844
26.848
26.852
26.856
26.860
26.864
26.868
26.872
26.876
26.880
26.884
26.888
26.892
26.896
26.900
26.904
26.908
26.912
26.916
26.920
26.924
26.928
26.932
26.936
26.940
26.944
26.948
26.952
26.956
26.960
26.964
26.968
26.972
26.976
26.980
26.984
26.988
26.992
26.996
27.000
27.004
27.008
27.012
27.016
27.020
27.024
27.028
27.032
27.036
27.040
27.044
27.048
27.052
27.056
27.060
27.064
27.068
27.072
27.076
27.080
27.084
27.088
27.092
27.096
27.100
27.104
27.108
27.112
27.116
27.120
27.124
27.128
27.132
27.136
27.140
27.144
27.148
27.152
27.156
27.160
27.164
27.168
27.172
27.176
27.180
27.184
27.188
27.192
27.196
27.200
27.204
27.208
27.212
27.216
27.220
27.224
27.228
27.232
27.236
27.240
27.244
27.248
27.252
27.256
27.260
27.264
27.268
27.272
27.276
27.280
27.284
27.288
27.292
27.296
27.300
27.304
27.308
27.312
27.316
27.320
27.324
27.328
27.332
27.336
27.340
27.344
27.348
27.352
27.356
27.360
27.364
27.368
27.372
27.376
27.380
27.384
27.388
27.392
27.396
27.400
27.404
27.408
27.412
27.416
27.420
27.424
27.428
27.432
27.436
27.440
27.444
27.448
27.452
27.456
27.460
27.464
27.468
27.472
27.476
27.480
27.484
27.488
27.492
27.496
27.500
27.504
27.508
27.512
27.516
27.520
27.524
27.528
27.532
27.536
27.540
27.544
27.548
27.552
27.556
27.560
27.564
27.568
27.572
27.576
27.580
27.584
27.588
27.592
27.596
27.600
27.604
27.608
27.612
27.616
27.620
27.624
27.628
27.632
27.636
27.640
27.644
27.648
27.652
27.656
27.660
27.664
27.668
27.672
27.676
27.680
27.684
27.688
27.692
27.696
27.700
27.704
27.708
27.712
27.716
27.720
27.724
27.728
27.732
27.736
27.740
27.744
27.748
27.752
27.756
27.760
27.764
27.768
27.772
27.776
27.780
27.784
27.788
27.792
27.796
27.800
27.804
27.808
27.812
27.816
27.820
27.824
27.828
27.832
27.836
27.840
27.844
27.848
27.852
27.856
27.860
27.864
27.868
27.872
27.876
27.880
27.884
27.888
27.892
27.896
27.900
27.904
27.908
27.912
27.916
27.920
27.924
27.928
27.932
27.936
27.940
27.944
27.948
27.952
27.956
27.960
27.964
27.968
27.972
27.976
27.980
27.984
27.988
27.992
27.996
28.000
28.004
28.008
28.012
28.016
28.020
28.024
28.028
28.032
28.036
28.040
28.044
28.048
28.052
28.056
28.060
28.064
28.068
28.072
28.076
28.080
28.084
28.088
28.092
28.096
28.100
28.104
28.108
28.112
28.116
28.120
28.124
28.128
28.132
28.136
28.140
28.144
28.148
28.152
28.156
28.160
28.164
28.168
28.172
28.176
28.180
28.184
28.188
28.192
28.196
28.200
28.204
28.208
28.212
28.216
28.220
28.224
28.228
28.232
28.236
28.240
28.244
28.248
28.252
28.256
28.260
28.264
28.268
28.272
28.276
28.280
28.284
28.288
28.292
28.296
28.300
28.304
28.308
28.312
28.316
28.320
28.324
28.328
28.332
28.336
28.340
28.344
28.348
28.352
28.356
28.360
28.364
28.368
28.372
28.376
28.380
28.384
28.388
28.392
28.396
28.400
28.404
28.408
28.412
28.416
28.420
28.424
28.428
28.432
28.436
28.440
28.444
28.448
28.452
28.456
28.460
28.464
28.468
28.472
28.476
28.480
28.484
28.488
28.492
28.496
28.500
28.504
28.508
28.512
28.516
28.520
28.524
28.528
28.532
28.536
28.540
28.544
28.548
28.552
28.556
28.560
28.564
28.568
28.572
28.576
28.580
28.584
28.588
28.592
28.596
28.600
28.604
28.608
28.612
28.616
28.620
28.624
28.628
28.632
28.636
28.640
28.644
28.648
28.652
28.656
28.660
28.664
28.668
28.672
28.676
28.680
28.684
28.688
28.692
28.696
28.700
28.704
28.708
28.712
28.716
28.720
28.724
28.728
28.732
28.736
28.740
28.744
28.748
28.752
28.756
28.760
28.764
28.768
28.772
28.776
28.780
28.784
28.788
28.792
28.796
28.800
28.804
28.808
28.812
28.816
28.820
28.824
28.828
28.832
28.836
28.840
28.844
28.848
28.852
28.856
28.860
28.864
28.868
28.872
28.876
28.880
28.884
28.888
28.892
28.896
28.900
28.904
28.908
28.912
28.916
28.920
28.924
28.928
28.932
28.936
28.940
28.944
28.948
28.952
28.956
28.960
28.964
28.968
28.972
28.976
28.980
28.984
28.988
28.992
28.996
29.000
29.004
29.008
29.012
29.016
29.020
29.024
29.028
29.032
29.036
29.040
29.044
29.048
29.052
29.056
29.060
29.064
29.068
29.072
29.076
29.080
29.084
29.088
29.092
29.096
29.100
29.104
29.108
29.112
29.116
29.120
29.124
29.128
29.132
29.136
29.140
29.144
29.148
29.152
29.156
29.160
29.164
29.168
29.172
29.176
29.180
29.184
29.188
29.192
29.196
29.200
29.204
29.208
29.212
29.216
29.220
29.224
29.228
29.232
29.236
29.240
29.244
29.248
29.252
29.256
29.260
29.264
29.268
29.272
29.276
29.280
29.284
29.288
29.292
29.296
29.300
29.304
29.308
29.312
29.316
29.320
29.324
29.328
29.332
29.336
29.340
29.344
29.348
29.352
29.356
29.360
29.364
29.368
29.372
29.376
29.380
29.384
29.388
29.392
29.396
29.400
29.404
29.408
29.412
29.416
29.420
29.424
29.428
29.432
29.436
29.440
29.444
29.448
29.452
29.456
29.460
29.464
29.468
29.472
29.476
29.480
29.484
29.488
29.492
29.496
29.500
29.504
29.508
29.512
29.516
29.520
29.524
29.528
29.532
29.536
29.540
29.544
29.548
29.552
29.556
29.560
29.564
29.568
29.572
29.576
29.580
29.584
29.588
29.592
29.596
29.600
29.604
29.608
29.612
29.616
29.620
29.624
29.628
29.632
29.636
29.640
29.644
29.648
29.652
29.656
29.660
29.664
29.668
29.672
29.676
29.680
29.684
29.688
29.692
29.696
29.700
29.704
29.708
29.712
29.716
29.720
29.724
29.728
29.732
29.736
29.740
29.744
29.748
29.752
29.756
29.760
29.764
29.768
29.772
29.776
29.780
29.784
29.788
29.792
29.796
29.800
29.804
29.808
29.812
29.816
29.820
29.824
29.828
29.832
29.836
29.840
29.844
29.848
29.852
29.856
29.860
29.864
29.868
29.872
29.876
29.880
29.884
29.888
29.892
29.896
29.900
29.904
29.908
29.912
29.916
29.920
29.924
29.928
29.932
29.936
29.940
29.944
29.948
29.952
29.956
29.960
29.964
29.968
29.972
29.976
29.980
29.984
29.988
29.992
29.996
30.000
30.004
30.008
30.012
30.016
30.020
30.024
30.028
30.032
30.036
30.040
30.044
30.048
30.052
30.056
30.060
30.064
30.068
30.072
30.076
30.080
30.084
30.088
30.092
3

160



170

180

190

145.5

140.0



RECORD PLAN

BORINGS

RECONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD DAMAGE AT
 BEAR HOLE DAM
 BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
 TOWN OF WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS.
 THOMAS & BONS CONSULTING ENGINEERS
 HOLYOKE, MASS.
 SCALE: 1"=8'-0" MAY, 1936

4

BT26

2

14.150

El. 143.0'		El. 144.0'		El. 145.0'		El. 140.0'	
146	143.0	142.8	144.0	144.0	145.0	144.0	140.0
	6		4		3		5
	6		5		3		7
	6		1		4		
	6		1		6		
	5		6				
145	142.8	142.6	142.6	142.0			
	8		7				
	8		5				
144	142.8	142.0	142.0				
	9		10				
	6		11				
	6		10				
143	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10		17		
	11		8		28		
	7		10		10		
	11		33		10		
	7		23		17		
	10		19		21		
142	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
141	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
140	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
139	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
138	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
137	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
136	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
135	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
134	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
133	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
132	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
131	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
130	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
129	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
128	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
127	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
126	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
125	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
124	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
123	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
122	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
121	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
120	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
119	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
118	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
117	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
116	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
115	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
114	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
113	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
112	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
111	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
110	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
109	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
108	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
107	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
106	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
105	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
104	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
103	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
102	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
101	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
100	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
99	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
98	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
97	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				
	7		23				
	10		19				
96	142.0	142.0	142.0	142.0			
	8		10				
	11		33				

6.1400.0
5 MEDIUM FINE SAND
4 LITTLE FINE GRAVEL
TRACE OF SILT LOOSE

126.0

RED SILT
SOME CLAY

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

6.1400.0

126.0 ORGANIC SILT
SOFT
3 FINE SAND
2 TRACE OF ORGANIC SILT
LOOSE
5 GRAY RED INORGANIC SILT
3 TRACE OF FINE SAND LOOSE

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

6.1439

2 FINE SAND
2 SOME ORGANIC SILT
LOOSE

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

6.177.3

2 MEDIUM TO FINE SAND
2 TRACE OF INORGANIC SILT
LOOSE

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

126.0

No 33

No 34

No 35

RECORD PLAN

BORINGS

RECONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD DAMAGE

AT

BEAR HOLE DAM

BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

TOWN OF WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS.

THOMAS & BROS. CONSULTING ENGINEERS.

MADE 1936

SCALE: 1"=8'-0"


MAY, 1936

(5)

B-27


2

126	1	1	1	1	1	1
	2	2	2	2	2	2
126.50	3	3	3	3	3	3
126.50	4	4	4	4	4	4
126.50	5	5	5	5	5	5
126.50	6	6	6	6	6	6
126.50	7	7	7	7	7	7
126.50	8	8	8	8	8	8
126.50	9	9	9	9	9	9
126.50	10	10	10	10	10	10
126.50	11	11	11	11	11	11
126.50	12	12	12	12	12	12
126.50	13	13	13	13	13	13
126.50	14	14	14	14	14	14
126.50	15	15	15	15	15	15
126.50	16	16	16	16	16	16
126.50	17	17	17	17	17	17
126.50	18	18	18	18	18	18
126.50	19	19	19	19	19	19
126.50	20	20	20	20	20	20
126.50	21	21	21	21	21	21
126.50	22	22	22	22	22	22
126.50	23	23	23	23	23	23
126.50	24	24	24	24	24	24
126.50	25	25	25	25	25	25
126.50	26	26	26	26	26	26
126.50	27	27	27	27	27	27
126.50	28	28	28	28	28	28
126.50	29	29	29	29	29	29
126.50	30	30	30	30	30	30
126.50	31	31	31	31	31	31
126.50	32	32	32	32	32	32
126.50	33	33	33	33	33	33
126.50	34	34	34	34	34	34
126.50	35	35	35	35	35	35
126.50	36	36	36	36	36	36
126.50	37	37	37	37	37	37
126.50	38	38	38	38	38	38
126.50	39	39	39	39	39	39
126.50	40	40	40	40	40	40
126.50	41	41	41	41	41	41
126.50	42	42	42	42	42	42
126.50	43	43	43	43	43	43
126.50	44	44	44	44	44	44
126.50	45	45	45	45	45	45
126.50	46	46	46	46	46	46
126.50	47	47	47	47	47	47
126.50	48	48	48	48	48	48
126.50	49	49	49	49	49	49
126.50	50	50	50	50	50	50
126.50	51	51	51	51	51	51
126.50	52	52	52	52	52	52
126.50	53	53	53	53	53	53
126.50	54	54	54	54	54	54
126.50	55	55	55	55	55	55
126.50	56	56	56	56	56	56
126.50	57	57	57	57	57	57
126.50	58	58	58	58	58	58
126.50	59	59	59	59	59	59
126.50	60	60	60	60	60	60
126.50	61	61	61	61	61	61
126.50	62	62	62	62	62	62
126.50	63	63	63	63	63	63
126.50	64	64	64	64	64	64
126.50	65	65	65	65	65	65
126.50	66	66	66	66	66	66
126.50	67	67	67	67	67	67
126.50	68	68	68	68	68	68
126.50	69	69	69	69	69	69
126.50	70	70	70	70	70	70
126.50	71	71	71	71	71	71
126.50	72	72	72	72	72	72
126.50	73	73	73	73	73	73
126.50	74	74	74	74	74	74
126.50	75	75	75	75	75	75
126.50	76	76	76	76	76	76
126.50	77	77	77	77	77	77
126.50	78	78	78	78	78	78
126.50	79	79	79	79	79	79
126.50	80	80	80	80	80	80
126.50	81	81	81	81	81	81
126.50	82	82	82	82	82	82
126.50	83	83	83	83	83	83
126.50	84	84	84	84	84	84
126.50	85	85	85	85	85	85
126.50	86	86	86	86	86	86
126.50	87	87	87	87	87	87
126.50	88	88	88	88	88	88
126.50	89	89	89	89	89	89
126.50	90	90	90	90	90	90
126.50	91	91	91	91	91	91
126.50	92	92	92	92	92	92
126.50	93	93	93	93	93	93
126.50	94	94	94	94	94	94
126.50	95	95	95	95	95	95
126.50	96	96	96	96	96	96
126.50	97	97	97	97	97	97
126.50	98	98	98	98	98	98
126.50	99	99	99	99	99	99
126.50	100	100	100	100	100	100
126.50	101	101	101	101	101	101
126.50	102	102	102	102	102	102
126.50	103	103	103	103	103	103
126.50	104	104	104	104	104	104
126.50	105	105	105	105	105	105
126.50	106	106	106	106	106	106
126.50	107	107	107	107	107	107
126.50	108	108	108	108	108	108
126.50	109	109	109	109	109	109
126.50	110	110	110	110	110	110
126.50	111	111	111	111	111	111
126.50	112	112	112	112	112	112
126.50	113	113	113	113	113	113
126.50	114	114	114	114	114	114
126.50	115	115	115	115	115	115
126.50	116	116	116	116	116	116
126.50	117	117	117	117	117	117
126.50	118	118	118	118	118	118
126.50	119	119	119	119	119	119
126.50	120	120	120	120	120	120
126.50	121	121	121	121	121	121
126.50	122	122	122	122	122	122
126.50	123	123	123	123	123	123
126.50	124	124	124	124	124	124
126.50	125	125	125	125	125	125
126.50	126	126	126	126	126	126
126.50	127	127	127	127	127	127
126.50	128	128	128	128	128	128
126.50	129	129	129	129	129	129
126.50	130	130	130	130	130	130
126.50	131	131	131	131	131	131
126.50	132	132	132	132	132	132
126.50	133	133	133	133	133	133
126.50	134	134	134	134	134	134
126.50	135	135	135	135	135	135
126.50	136	136	136	136	136	136
126.50	137	137	137	137	137	137
126.50	138	138	138	138	138	138
126.50	139	139	139	139	139	139
126.50	140	140	140	140	140	140
126.50	141	141	141	141	141	141
126.50	142	142	142	142	142	142
126.50	143	143	143	143	143	143
126.50	144	144	144	144	144	144
126.50	145	145	145	145	145	145
126.50	146	146	146	146	146	146
126.50	147	147	147	147	147	147
126.50	148	148	148	148	148	148
126.50	149	149	149	149	149	149
126.50	150	150	150	150	150	150
126.50	151	151	151	151	151	151
126.50	152	152	152	152	152	152
126.50	153	153	153	153	153	153
126.50	154	154	154	154	154	154
126.50	155	155	155	155	155	155
126.50	156	156	156	156	156	156
126.50	157	157	157	157	157	157
126.50	158	158	158	158	158	158
126.50	159	159	159	159	159	159
126.50	160	160	160	160	160	160
126.50	161	161	161	161	161	161
126.50	162	162	162	162	162	162
126.50	163	163	163	163	163	163
126.50	164	164	164	164	164	164
126.50	165	165	165	165	165	165
126.50	166	166	166	166	166	166
126.50	167	167	167	167	167	167
126.50	168	168	168	168	168	168
126.50	169	169	169	169	169	169
126.50	170	170	170	170	170	170
126.50	171	171	171	171	171	171
126.50	172	172	172	172	172	172
126.50	173	173	173	173	173	173
126.50	174	174	174	174	174	174
126.50	175	175	175	175	175	175
126.50	176	176	176	176	176	176
126.50	177	177	177	177	177	177
126.50	178	178	178	178	178	178
126.50	179	179	179	179	179	179
126.50	180	180	180	180	180	180
126.50	181	181	181	181	181	181
126.50	182	182	182	182	182	182
126.50	183	183	183	183	183	183
126.50	184	184	184	184	184	184
126.50	185	185	185	185	185	185
126.50	186	186	186	186	186	186
126.50	187	187	187	187	187	187
126.50	188	188	188	188	188	188
126.50	189	189	189	189	189	189
126.50	190	190	190	190	190	190
126.50	191	191	191	191	191	191
126.50	192	192	192	192	192	192
126.50	193	193	193	193	193	193
126.50	194	194	194	194	194	194
126.50	195	195	195	195	195	195
126.50	196	196	196	196	196	196
126.50	197	197	197	197	197	197
126.50	198	198	198	198	198	198
126.50	199	199	199	199	199	199
126.50	200	200	200	200	200	200
126.50	201	201	201	201	201	201
126.50	202	202	202	202	202	202
126.50	203	203	203	203	203	203
126.50	204	204	204	204	204	204
126.50	205	205	205	205	205	205
126.50	206	206	206	206	206	206
126.50	207	207	207	207	207	207




PLAN OF SCREEN WELL

SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"




PLAN OF INTAKE APRON

Case 1: $\theta = 180^\circ$



CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURE

1. CONCRETE OF LOWER FLOOR AND SIDEWALKS.
2. THERE IS NO AIR FLOW IN THE DOWNDRAUGHTS OF
3. THERMAL INSULATION CORRECTION APPROXIMATELY 50%.
4. THERE IS NO AIR FLOW IN THE DOWNDRAUGHTS OF
5. THERE IS NO AIR FLOW IN THE DOWNDRAUGHTS OF
6. THERE IS NO AIR FLOW IN THE DOWNDRAUGHTS OF
7. THERE IS NO AIR FLOW IN THE DOWNDRAUGHTS OF
8. THERE IS NO AIR FLOW IN THE DOWNDRAUGHTS OF




SECTION 7-2

5541 1901-1902

TYPICAL ARRANGEMENT


24. PIPE INSTALLATION AND INSULATION

Scal. 1000 = 100




PLAN AT ELEVATION 140.5

5-2-9



ROOF PLAN AT ELEVATION 143.33

84-1501-9





SECTION Y-Y

SCALE 15000




PLAN OF SCOUTS
AND SCOUTS SINGERS


In Some Ways
Smaller Than It Is




SIDE ELEVATION (EAST) OF SPILLWAY STRUCTURE
 SCALE: 1" = 20'-0"




PLAN OF SPILLWAY STRUCTURE
 SCALE: 1" = 20'-0"



**PLAN OF INTAKE APRON
 AT ELEVATION 142.0**
 SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"



SECTION Y-Y
 SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"








**SECTION OF SCREENS AND
 STRUCTURE IN SCREEN WELL**
 SCALE: 1" = 10'-0"






BENDING SCHEDULE	
A	
B	
C	
D	
E	
F	
G	

SPILLWAY DETAILS
RECONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD DAMAGE
 A.
DEAR HOLE DAM
 BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
TOWN OF WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS.
 TOWN & BOARD COMMISSIONERS AND ENGINEERS
 SCALE: AS SHOWN
 MAY 1936

11













Typical Section 6-6
Scale 1/4" = 1'-0"



SPILLWAY SECTIONS
RECONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD DAMAGE
AT
BEAR HOLE DAM
BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
TOWN OF WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS.
TIGHE & BOND CONSULTING ENGINEERS
BOSTON, MASS.
SCALE AS SHOWN. MAY, 1956

12





CHANNEL SECTIONS
SPILLWAY BRIDGE DETAILS
RECONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD DAMAGE
AT
BEAR HOLE DAM
BOARDS OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
TOWN OF WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS
TOWN & BOARD COMMISSIONERS NEEDS
DEVELOPMENT
SCALE: AS SHOWN
MAY 1936

SPILLWAY CULVERTS CONSTRUCTED ON HARD PAN
SEE SHEET 12, SECTION A

SOFT SPILLWAY CULVERTS CONSTRUCTED ON BEDS
SEE SHEET 12, SECTION A

170

160

150

140

170

160

150

140

LEFT SIDE

RIGHT SIDE

NOT TO SCALE
VERTICAL SCALE
1" = 10'

NOT TO SCALE
HORIZONTAL SCALE
1" = 100'

WATER CURRENT CONSTRUCTION ON LEDGE
SEE SHEET 12-1 PARTIAL 2


SPILLWAY CONSTRUCTION ON LEDGE



WATER SIDE

WATER SIDE



APPENDIX C
PHOTOGRAPHS



PLAN
NO SCALE

FIGURE 2

ACCESS ROAD



FOOTBRIDGE
WALKWAY

BEARHOLE RESERVOIR



DENOTES PHOTO NUMBER AND
DIRECTION IN WHICH PHOTO WAS
TAKEN

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION
OF NON-FED. DAMS
PHOTO LOCATION PLAN
BEARHOLE RESERVOIR
WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS.

SCALE NO SCALE

DATE MARCH 1981

DWN NP

CKD GV

APP KH

PAGE C-1

CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERS
AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS



PHOTO NO. 1
VIEW OF DAM FROM LEFT ABUTMENT



PHOTO NO. 2
VIEW OF SPILLWAY FROM LEFT SIDE

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERS
AUBURN-BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

NATIONAL PROGRAM
OF INSPECTION
OF NON-FED. DAMS

Bearhole Reservoir Dam
Paucatuc Brook
West Springfield, MA
MA 00073
March 4, 1981



PHOTO NO. 3
VIEW OF SPILLWAY FROM RIGHT SIDE



PHOTO NO. 4
VIEW OF SPILLWAY FROM UPSTREAM

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERS
AUBURN-BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

NATIONAL PROGRAM
OF INSPECTION
OF NON-FED. DAMS

Bearhole Reservoir Dam
Paucatuc Brook
West Springfield, MA
MA 00073
March 4, 1981



PHOTO NO. 5
VIEW OF END WEIR ON SPILLWAY
AND OPERATOR FOR GATE ON
RESERVOIR DRAIN



PHOTO NO. 6
VIEW OF ACCESS HATCHES FOR
RAW WATER INTAKE WELL AND
OPERATORS FOR RAW WATER
INTAKE GATES

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERS
AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

NATIONAL PROGRAM
OF INSPECTION
OF NON - FED. DAMS

Bearhole Reservoir Dam
Paucatuc Brook
West Springfield, MA
MA 00073
March 4, 1981




PHOTO NO. 7
UPSTREAM VIEW OF SPILLWAY SHOWING SHORT
WEIR AND GATE OPERATORS. NOTE DETERIORATION
OF CONCRETE.



PHOTO NO. 8
VIEW OF SLUICWAY OUTLET LOOKING UPSTREAM.
NOTE CONCRETE ENCASEMENT FOR RAW WATER SUPPLY
LINE IN LOWER RIGHT CORNER OF SLUICWAY.

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERS
AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

NATIONAL PROGRAM
OF INSPECTION
OF NON - FED. DAMS

Bearhole Reservoir Dam
Paucatuc Brook
West Springfield, MA
MA 00073
March 4, 1981



PHOTO NO. 9
VIEW OF SEEPAGE AT LEFT
SIDE OF SLUCEWAY




PHOTO NO. 10
VIEW OF SLUCEWAY OUTLET
AND DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL.
NOTE PROXIMITY OF FILTRATION
PLANT/PUMPING STATION TO
LEFT SIDE OF CHANNEL.

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERS
AUBURN-BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

NATIONAL PROGRAM
OF INSPECTION
OF NON-FED. DAMS

Bearhole Reservoir Dam
Paucatuc Brook
West Springfield, MA
MA 00073
March 4, 1981



PHOTO NO. 11
VIEW OF DEPRESSION IN RIP-RAP SLOPE AT
LEFT SIDE OF OUTLET CHANNEL

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERS
AUBURN-BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

NATIONAL PROGRAM
OF INSPECTION
OF NON-FED. DAMS

Bearhole Reservoir Dam
Paucatuc Brook
West Springfield, MA
MA 00073
March 4, 1981

APPENDIX D
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS





FIGURE 3



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION
WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION
OF NON-FED. DAMS
WATERSHED

BEARHOLE RESERVOIR DAM
WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS.

SCALE 1" = 2083'

DATE MARCH 1981

DWN NP


CKD GV

APP KH

PAGE D-1

CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERS
AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

FIGURE 4



DAM FAILURE FLOOD LIMITS

BEARHOLE RESERVOIR DAM
WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS.

1" = 2083'

CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.

MOUNT TOM QUADRANGLE 1979
WEST SPRINGFIELD, MASS-CONN QUADRANGLE 1979

I. Classification:

Size: Storage (max.) = 450 Ac. Ft. $\therefore$ Small

height (struct.) = 36 Ft. $\therefore$ Small

Hazard Potential: Field investigation indicates that if failure were to occur with the water surface at the top of the dam, a water treatment facility and pumping station immediately downstream would be destroyed causing a sizable economic loss and possible loss of life, therefore, hazard potential is considered Significant

II. Spillway Design Flood:

With a significant hazard potential and a small dam, the COE "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" indicates that a test flood in the 100 Year Frequency to $\frac{1}{2}$ Probable Maximum Flood range is appropriate.

$\therefore$ Determine SDF using $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF (due to appreciable economic loss and possible loss of a few lives)

III. Inflow Hydrograph:

Tributary Area = 3475 Acres = 5.43 Sq. Miles

Terrain is Rolling (from inspection of USGS Mount Tom Quad)

$\therefore$ From COE "Maximum Probable Flood Peak Flow Rates"

PMF (CSM) = 1820 CSM

$\therefore$ SDF = $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF = $0.5 \times 1820 \text{ CSM} \times 5.43 \text{ Sq. Miles} = 4940 \text{ cfs}$

Time to peak $t_p = \frac{484AQ}{q_p}$

Where: A = Drainage Area = 5.43 Sq. Miles
 Q = Total Runoff = 9.5 Inches ($\frac{\text{PMF}}{2}$)
 q_p = Peak Flow = 4940 cfs

$\therefore t_p = \frac{484 \times 5.43 \times 9.5}{4940} = 5.1 \text{ hrs. (303 Min.)}$

Time base for hydrograph $t_b = 2.67 t_p$

$\therefore t_b = 2.67 \times 5.1 = 13.5 \text{ hrs. (810 Min.)}$



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.

AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ENGINEERS — LAND SURVEYORS

AD-A145 217

NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS
BEARHOLE RESERVOIR (B...U) CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM
MA NEW ENGLAND DIV JUN 81


2/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 13/13

NL






MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963-A

CLIENT / PROJECT U.S. Army CDE / Non-Federal Dams DATE 2/4/81 JOB NO. _____
SUBJECT Bearhole Reservoir Analysis and Evaluation BY GMV CHKD. BY JDP SHEET 2 OF 14

INFLOW HYDROGRAPH
(see previous sheet for development)



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS
CIVIL ENGINEERS - LAND SURVEYORS
D-41

CLIENT / PROJECT U.S. Army COE / Non-Federal DamsDATE 2/4/81

JOB NO. _____

SUBJECT Bearhole Reservoir Analysis and EvaluationBY GMV CHKD BY JDP SHEET 3 OF 14**IV. Flood Routing:**

Stage Discharge Data - to develop the stage storage data, it will be assumed that discharge is primarily over three spillways; elevations will be developed from field investigation assuming that the normal water level (elev. 165 from USGS) is at the lower of the two long spillways.

A. 6' Long Spillway at Elev. 163.75

B. 50' Long Spillway at Elev. 165.0

C. 60' Long Spillway at Elev. 165.25

D. 270' Long Embankment at Elev. 172.0

Discharge for all of the above is given by

$$Q = 3.03 L H^{3/2} \quad \text{Where } L = \text{length of weir (spillway)}$$

$$H = \text{height over weir}$$

NOTE: Flashboards may be used to raise the crest elev. of the 6' long spillway to 165 ±, however, since this analysis will neglect any discharge through the various pipe outlets (sluice gates assumed closed), it will be assumed that the flashboards are not in place.

ELEV.	H _A	H _B	H _C	H _D	Q _A	Q _B	Q _C	Q _D	Q _{TOTAL} (CFS)
164	0.25'	-	-	-	2.3 cfs	-	-	-	2.3
165	1.25'	-	-	-	25.4 cfs	-	-	-	25.4
166	2.25'	1.0'	0.75'	-	61.4 cfs	152.5 cfs	118.1 cfs	-	331
167	3.25'	2.0'	1.75'	-	106.5 cfs	428.5 cfs	420.9 cfs	-	956
168	4.25'	3.0'	2.75'	-	159.3 cfs	787.2 cfs	822.1 cfs	-	1776
169	5.25'	4.0'	3.75'	-	218.7 cfs	1212 cfs	1320 cfs	-	2751
170	6.25'	5.0'	4.75'	-	284.1 cfs	1694 cfs	1882 cfs	-	3860
171	7.25'	6.0'	5.75'	-	354.9 cfs	2227 cfs	2507 cfs	-	5089
172	8.25'	7.0'	6.75'	-	430.8 cfs	2806 cfs	3188 cfs	-	6425
173	9.25'	8.0'	7.75'	1.0'	511.5 cfs	3428 cfs	3922 cfs	816.1 cfs	8680


**CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.****AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS**

CIVIL ENGINEERS — LAND SURVEYORS

CLIENT / PROJECT U.S. Army COE / Nun - Federal Dams
 SUBJECT Bearhole Reservoir Analysis and Evaluation

DATE 2/5/81 JOB NO _____
 BY GMV CHKD BY JDP SHEET 4 OF 14

IV. Flood Routing: cont.



Stage Storage Data — to develop the stage storage curve, the areas at elevations 165, 170, and 180 will be determined from the USGS Mount Tom Quad and averaged to compute the volumes. The volume between elevations 164 and 165 will be determined assuming vertical sides on the reservoir.

Area @ Elev. 165 = 738,000 sf

Area @ Elev. 170 = 998,000 sf

Area @ Elev. 180 = 1,194,000 sf

∴ Storage Volume @ 165 = 738,000 cf (99.6 A.F.)
 Storage Volume @ 170 = $[(738,000 + 998,000) \div 2] \times 5 = 4,340,000$ cf
 Storage Volume @ 180 = $[(1,194,000 + 998,000) \div 2] \times 10 + 4,340,000$
 = 15,300,000 cf (or 351.2 A.F.)



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
 AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS
 CIVIL ENGINEERS — LAND SURVEYORS

CLIENT / PROJECT U.S. Army CDE / Non-Federal Dams

DATE 2/5/81


JOB NO. _____

SUBJECT Beauhatch Reservoir Analysis and Evaluation

BY GMV CHKD BY JDP

SHEET 5 OF 14

IV. Flood Routing: cont.



Routing of the SDF will be performed using the program for Muskingum Method Hydrograph Routing as contained in the text entitled "Hydrologic and Hydraulic Computations on Small Programmable Calculators" by Thomas E. Croley II.

$$\Delta t = 10 \text{ min.}$$

$$X = 0 \text{ (reservoir routing)}$$

K = approximated as slope of line obtained by plotting storage vs. outflow

$$\text{Storage @ el. 171} = 5.4 \times 10^6 \text{ cf}$$

$$\text{outflow @ el. 171} = 5089 \text{ cfs}$$

$$\therefore K = \frac{5.4 \times 10^6 \text{ cf}}{5089 \text{ cfs}} \times \frac{1 \text{ min.}}{60 \text{ sec.}} = 17.7 \text{ SAY } 18 \text{ Min.}$$



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.

AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ENGINEERS — LAND SURVEYORS

CLIENT / PROJECT U.S. Army COE / Non-Federal DamsDATE 2/5/81

JOB NO

SUBJECT Beachville Reservoir Analysis and EvaluationBY GMV CHKD BY JDPSHEET 6 OF 14

IV. Flood Routing: cont.

TIME	INFLOW	OUTFLOW
0 min	0 cfs	0 cfs
10	163	* 1.7
20	326	107
30	489	238
40	652	382
50	815	535
60	978	692
70	1141	852
80	1304	1013
90	1467	1175
100	1630	1337
110	1793	1500
120	1956	1663
130	2119	1826
140	2282	1989
150	2445	2152
160	2608	2315
170	2771	2478
180	2934	2641
190	3097	2804
200	3260	2967
210	3423	3130
220	3586	3293
230	3749	3456
240	3912	3619

TIME	INFLOW	OUTFLOW
250	4075	3782
260	4238	3945
270	4401	4108
280	4564	4271
290	4727	4434
300	4890	4597
310	4872	4720
320	4775	4765
330	4678	4748
340	4581	4697
350	4484	4625
360	4387	4543
370	4290	4454
380	4193	4362
390	4096	4267
400	3999	4172

* Starting Value - $I_1 + I_2 + \frac{2S_1}{\Delta t} \cdot 0 = \frac{2S_2}{\Delta t} + 0_2 \therefore I_1 + I_2 = 163 \text{ cfs} = \frac{2S_2}{\Delta t} + 0_2$
 Gel. 165 $\frac{2S_2}{\Delta t} + 0_2 = 2485.4 \text{ cfs}$ $0_2 = 25.4 \text{ cfs}$

$\therefore \frac{163}{2485.4} = \frac{0}{25.4} \Rightarrow 0 = 1.7 \text{ cfs}$



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.

AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ENGINEERS - LAND SURVEYORS

D-8

CLIENT / PROJECT U.S. Army COE / Non-Federal DamsDATE 2/5/81

JOB NO

SUBJECT: Bearhole Reservoir Analysis and EvaluationBY GMV CHKD BY JDPSHEET 7 OF 14

IV. Flood Routing: cont.

Analysis of the test flood routing indicates that a peak outflow of 4770 cfs $\pm$ at a water surface elevation of 170.8 $\pm$ would occur as a result of an event producing a reservoir inflow equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ of the Probable Maximum Flood. This indicates a freeboard of 1.2 feet during the test. Because of the steepness of the reservoir sides, it is likely that the full Probable Maximum Flood would overtop the dam, therefore, the failure analysis following will be performed with the water initially at the top of the dam.

V. Dam Failure Analysis:

To assess the downstream impacts due to dam failure, it will be assumed that 40% of the embankment will breach at mid-height as a result of the test flood ($\frac{1}{2}$ PMF).

Length of Dam at Mid Height = 150 ft.

Assume Breach Width W_b = 40% of length @ Mid Height

$$\therefore W_b = 0.40 \times 150 = 60 \text{ ft.}$$

Top of Dam Elev. = 172.0

Downstream Elevation = 138 $\pm$ (field observation)

$$\therefore Y_0 = 172.0 - 138 = 34.0 \text{ ft.}$$

$$\text{Peak Failure Outflow } Q_p = \frac{8}{27} W_b \sqrt{g} Y_0^{3/2}$$

$$\therefore Q_p = \frac{8}{27} \times 60 \times \sqrt{32.2} \times (34.0)^{3/2} = 20,000 \text{ cfs}$$

VI. Downstream Dam Failure Analysis:

Following the breaching of the dam, the failure outflow would travel south through a valley containing Pancake Brook. The first section of any importance encountered is the water treatment plant and pumping station approximately 400 ft. downstream of the dam. Downstream of the pumping station there are no significant structures or important economic areas.



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.

AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ENGINEERS — LAND SURVEYORS


CLIENT / PROJECT U.S. Army COE / Non-Federal Dams
 SUBJECT Beachole Reservoir Analysis and Evaluation

DATE 2/5/81 JOB NO _____
 BY GMV CHKD BY JDP SHEET 8 OF 14

VI. Downstream Dam Failure Analysis: cont.


① Section 400'± downstream of dam (from USGS)

1" = 100' Horiz.
 1" = 10' Vert.



ELEV.	AREA(A)	W	HYDRAULIC RADIUS(R)	$Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2}$
145	533 sf	164 ft.	$533 \div 164 = 3.25$ ft.	3862 cfs
150	1633 sf	278 ft.	$1633 \div 278 = 5.87$ ft.	17,548 cfs
155	3226 sf	362 ft.	$3226 \div 362 = 8.91$ ft.	45,385 cfs
160	5233 sf	446 ft.	$5233 \div 446 = 11.7$ ft.	89,060 cfs
165	7558 sf	490 ft.	$7558 \div 490 = 15.4$ ft.	154,488 cfs
170	10,100 sf	534 ft.	$10,100 \div 534 = 18.9$ ft.	236,648 cfs


NOT
 PLOTTED
 > Q_P



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
 AUBURN · BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS
 CIVIL ENGINEERS — LAND SURVEYORS

D-10

VI. Downstream Dam Failure Analysis: cont.



For Failure at Elev. 172.0' :

Total Failure Outflow = Breach Failure Outflow

$$Q_p = 20,000 \text{ cfs}$$

Total Storage at Failure = Storage at Spilling Elev. + Surge Storage

Storage at Spilling Elev. = 450 Ac. Ft. (from inventory sheet)

$$\text{Surge Storage} = 6,532,000 \times \frac{1}{43,560} = 150 \text{ Ac. Ft.} \pm$$

$$\therefore \text{Total Storage at Failure } S = 450 + 150 = 600 \text{ Ac. Ft.}$$

$$\textcircled{a} Q_p = 20,000 \text{ cfs}$$

$$H = 10.4 \text{ ft.} \pm (\text{Elev. } 150.4 \pm)$$

$$V_1 = 1770 \text{ sf} \times 400 \text{ ft.} \times \frac{1}{43,560} = 16.3 \text{ Ac. Ft.} < \frac{1}{2} S \therefore \text{Reach is OK}$$

$$\therefore Q_{p2} (\text{TRIAL}) = Q_p \left(1 - \frac{V_1}{S}\right) = 20,000 \left(1 - \frac{16.3}{600}\right) = 19,458 \text{ cfs}$$

$$\textcircled{a} Q_{p2} (\text{TRIAL}) = 19,458 \text{ cfs}$$

$$H = 10.3 \text{ ft.} \pm (\text{Elev. } 150.3 \pm)$$

$$V_2 = 1740 \text{ sf} \times 400 \text{ ft.} \times \frac{1}{43,560} = 16.0 \text{ Ac. Ft.}$$

$$\therefore V_{\text{avg}} = \frac{V_1 + V_2}{2} = \frac{16.3 + 16.0}{2} = 16.15 \text{ Ac. Ft.}$$

$$\therefore Q_{p2} = Q_p \left(1 - \frac{V_{\text{avg}}}{S}\right) = 20,000 \left(1 - \frac{16.15}{600}\right) = 19,462 \text{ cfs} \quad \begin{matrix} H=10.3' \\ A=17400 \text{ sf} \end{matrix}$$

Elevation of 150.3' is indicated which would inundate the water treatment plant and pumping station (elev. 145' $\approx$ 5' $\pm$ of water)



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.

AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ENGINEERS - LAND SURVEYORS

CLIENT / PROJECT U.S. Army COE / Non-Federal Dams

DATE 2/5/81

JOB NO. _____

SUBJECT Bearhole Reservoir Analysis and Evaluation


BY GMV CHKD. BY JD

SHEET 10 OF 14

VI. Downstream Dam Failure Analysis: cont.



② Section 1650'± downstream of dam (from USGS)

1" = 100' Horiz.
1" = 10' Vert.



ELEV.	AREA(A)	WP	HYDRAULIC RADIUS (R)	$Q = \frac{1.486}{S} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2}$
130	130 sf	90 ft.	$130 \div 90 = 1.44$ ft.	547 cfs
135	690 sf	135 ft.	$690 \div 135 = 5.11$ ft.	6760 cfs
140	1468 sf	180 ft.	$1468 \div 180 = 8.16$ ft.	19,648 cfs
145	2463 sf	225 ft.	$2463 \div 225 = 11.0$ ft.	40,228 cfs
150	3678 sf	270 ft.	$3678 \div 270 = 13.6$ ft.	64,200 cfs

NOT
PLOTED
> Q_P



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS
CIVIL ENGINEERS — LAND SURVEYORS

VI. Downstream Dam Failure Analysis: cont.

@ $Q_{P_2} = 19,462 \text{ cfs}$

$H = 11.9 \text{ ft} \pm$ (Elev. 139.99)

$V_1 = \frac{1740 + 1460}{2} \times 1250 \times \frac{1}{43,500} = 46 \text{ Ac. Ft.} < \frac{1}{2} S \therefore \text{Reach is OK}$


$\therefore Q_{P_3} (\text{TRIAL}) = Q_{P_2} (1 - \frac{V_1}{S}) = 19,462 (1 - \frac{46}{600}) = 17,973 \text{ cfs}$

@ $Q_{P_3} (\text{TRIAL}) = 17,973 \text{ cfs}$

$H = 11.4 \text{ ft} \pm$ (Elev. 139.4) $\Rightarrow V = 45, V_{\text{ave}} = 45.5 \text{ Ac. Ft.}$

$\therefore \text{SAY } Q_{P_3} = 19,462 (1 - \frac{45.5}{600}) = 17,986 \text{ cfs}$ $H = 11.4 \text{ ft} \pm$
 $A = 1370 \text{ sf} \pm$

③ Section 3750' downstream of dam (from USGS) $\frac{1''}{100' \text{ Horiz.}}$
 $\frac{1''}{10' \text{ Vert.}}$



ELEV.	AREA (A)	W	HYDRAULIC RADIUS (R)	$Q = \frac{1.486}{A} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2}$
120	140 sf	100 ft.	$140 \div 100 = 1.40 \text{ ft.}$	317 cfs
130	2665 sf	407 ft.	$2665 \div 407 = 6.55 \text{ ft.}$	76,874 cfs
140	7390 sf	544 ft.	$7390 \div 544 = 13.6 \text{ ft.}$	76,156 cfs

NOT PLOTTED
 $> Q_{P_3}$



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
 AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS
 CIVIL ENGINEERS - LAND SURVEYORS

CLIENT / PROJECT U.S. Army COE / Non-Federal Dams
 SUBJECT Bearhole Reservoir Analysis and Evaluation


DATE 2/6/81

JOB NO. _____

BY GMV CHKD BY JDP

SHEET 12 OF 14

VI. Downstream Dam Failure Analysis: cont.



A tributary to Pancatuck Brook flows into the main channel upstream of the section under study. The tributary area to the brook at this section is 960 Acres (1.5 Sq. Miles) which, assuming $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF for rolling terrain, yields an additional inflow to Pancatuck Brook of 1600 cfs. Since this is less than 10% of the failure outflow, it will be neglected.

$$Q_{P_3} = 17,986 \text{ cfs}$$

$$H = 12.2 \text{ ft (Elev. 130.2 ft)}$$

$$V_1 = \frac{1370 + 2755}{2} \times 2100 \times \frac{1}{43,560} = 119 \text{ Ac. Ft.} < \frac{1}{2} S \therefore \text{Reach is OK}$$

$$\therefore Q_{P_4} (\text{TRIAL}) = Q_{P_3} \left(1 - \frac{V_1}{S}\right) = 17,986 \left(1 - \frac{119}{600}\right) = 15,018 \text{ cfs}$$

$$Q_{P_4} (\text{TRIAL}) = 15,018 \text{ cfs}$$

$$H = 10.8 \text{ ft (Elev. 123.8 ft)}$$

$$V_2 = \frac{570 + 2380}{2} \times 2100 \times \frac{1}{43,560} = 90 \text{ Ac. Ft.}$$



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
 AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS
 CIVIL ENGINEERS - LAND SURVEYORS

CLIENT / PROJECT U.S. Army COE / Non-Federal Dams
 SUBJECT Beauregard Reservoir Analysis and Evaluation


DATE 2/6/81 JOB NO. _____
 BY GMV CHKD BY JD SHEET 13 OF 14

VI. Downstream Dam Failure Analysis: cont.



$$\therefore V_{avg} = \frac{199 + 90}{2} = 94.5 \text{ Ac.Ft.}$$

$$\therefore Q_{P_4} = Q_{P_3} \left(1 - \frac{V_{avg}}{5}\right) = 17,936 \left(1 - \frac{94.5}{600}\right) = 15,153 \text{ cfs}$$

$H = 11.0 \text{ ft.}$
 $A = 2400 \text{ sf}$



ELEV.	AREA(A)	W	HYDRAULIC RADIUS(R)	$Q = \frac{1.486}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2}$
115	744 sf	233 ft.	$744 \div 233 = 3.19 \text{ ft.}$	2916 cfs
120	2325 sf	401 ft.	$2325 \div 401 = 5.80 \text{ ft.}$	13,575 cfs
125	4648 sf	465 ft.	$4648 \div 465 = 10.0$	39,031 cfs



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
 AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS
 CIVIL ENGINEERS - LAND SURVEYORS
 D-15

VI. Downstream Dam Failure Analysis: cont.

Additional tributary area is minor at this point and will, therefore, be neglected.

$$@ Q_{P_4} = 15,153 \text{ cfs}$$

$$H = 10.3 \text{ ft. } \pm \text{ (Elev. 120.3 ft.)}$$

$$V_1 = \frac{2400 + 2470}{2} \times 1560 \times \frac{1}{43,500} = 87 \text{ Ac. Ft.} < \frac{1}{2} S \therefore \text{Reach is OK}$$

$$\therefore Q_{P_5} (\text{TRIAL}) = Q_{P_4} \left(1 - \frac{V_1}{S}\right) = 15,153 \left(1 - \frac{87}{600}\right) = 12,951 \text{ cfs}$$

$$@ Q_{P_5} (\text{TRIAL}) = 12,951 \text{ cfs}$$

$$H = 9.7 \text{ ft. } \pm \text{ (Elev. 119.7 ft.)}$$

$$V_2 = \frac{2400 + 2220}{2} \times 1560 \times \frac{1}{43,500} = 83 \text{ Ac. Ft.}$$

$$\therefore V_{\text{avg}} = \frac{87 + 83}{2} = 85 \text{ Ac. Ft.}$$

$$\therefore Q_{P_5} = Q_{P_4} \left(1 - \frac{V_{\text{avg}}}{S}\right) = 15,153 \left(1 - \frac{85}{600}\right) = 13,006 \text{ cfs}$$

$$H = 9.7 \text{ ft. } \pm$$

$$A = 2240 \text{ ft. } \pm$$

Approximately 500' downstream of the previous section there is a minor street that would probably be subject to some flooding in the event of a dam failure. Downstream of that, there is another minor street ($\approx 950 \text{ ft.}$), railroad tracks ($\approx 1000 \text{ ft.}$), a major highway (Rte. 20, $\approx 1250 \text{ ft.}$) and, finally, the Westfield River ($\approx 1450 \text{ ft.}$), all of which may be subject to flooding due to the dam failure outflow. The hazard potential for this dam is based upon the economic loss that would be sustained due to the loss of water supply and damage to the water treatment plant.

<u>DAMAGE AREA</u>	<u>PRE-FAILURE ELEV.</u>	<u>POST-FAILURE ELEV.</u>	<u>ELEV. OF DAMAGE AREA</u>
WATER TREATMENT PLANT	145.9 $\pm$	150.3 $\pm$	145 $\pm$



CULLINAN ENGINEERING CO., INC.
AUBURN - BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS
CIVIL ENGINEERS — LAND SURVEYORS

APPENDIX E

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN
THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

NOT AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME

DATE
FILMED
0-8