

NPS-61-84-007

(2)

AD-A145 458

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



DTIC
ELECTE
SEP 10 1984
B

DTIC FILE COPY

CERENKOV RADIATION IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF THE EMISSION THRESHOLD

Fred R. Buskirk and John R. Neighbours

Revised August 1984

Technical Report

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Prepared for:
Naval Surface Weapons Center
R401

84 09 04 050

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
Monterey, California

Commodore R. H. Shumaker
Superintendent

David Schrady
Provost

The work reported herein was supported by the Chief
of Naval Research.

Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized.

This report was prepared by:

Fred R Buskirk

F. R. Buskirk
Professor of Physics

John R Neighbours

J. R. Neighbours
Professor of Physics

Reviewed by:

G. E. Schacher, Chairman
Department of Physics

J. N. Dyer
Dean of Science and Engineering

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NPS-61-84-007	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A145458	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Cerenkov Radiation in the neighborhood of the Emission Threshold		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Fred R. Buskirk and John R. Neighbours		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Surface Weapons Center N401, White Oak, MD 20910		12. REPORT DATE Revised August 1984
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) approved for public release, distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) <i>Superseded AD-A143088</i>		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Cerenkov Radiation Relativistic Electron Beams		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Cerenkov radiation for constant velocity electrons in an infinite uniform dielectric has a sharp threshold for v (electron) larger than the speed of light in the dielectric. A medium of finite length produces diffraction which smears the Crenkov emission angle and lowers the threshold velocity for emission. $\wedge$		

DD FORM 1473

1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

CERENKOV RADIATION IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD
OF THE EMISSION THERESHOLD

F.R. Buskirk and John R. Neighbours
Physics Department
Naval Postgraduate School

Introduction - Microwave Cerenkov

Cerenkov radiation in the simplest form occurs when a charged particle in uniform motion exceeds the velocity of light in an infinite medium. The radiation is emitted in a cone, with the rays occurring at a sharp angle given by $\cos \theta_c = c \text{ (medium)}/v \text{ (particle)}$, and for an infinite medium and uniform velocity, the radiation would disappear for $v < c$. The result above is the consequence of requiring the phase of the radiation, emitted at an angle θ_c , to remain in phase with the charge as it moves in time. We have studied microwave Cerenkov radiation experimentally and theoretically^{1,2,3}. These studies describe the microwave or other R.F. emission which is significant for bunches of electrons with dimensions shorter than the wavelength of emitted radiation so that all electrons in the bunch radiate coherently. These effects will be explored elsewhere.

Diffraction Effects

The point of this paper is as follows: If the ideal conditions (constant electron velocity or infinite medium) are changed, the radiation changes, possibly dramatically. In ¹ it was noted that for a finite length of medium, diffraction occurred and the Cerenkov angle is smeared. In ^{2,3} these effects were considered further and, besides the smearing of the emission angle,

it was noted that the radiated power may be larger for a finite medium.

The theory is based on Ref. 1, Eq. (A13), which gives the energy radiated per unit solid angle in the frequency range $d\omega$:

$$W(\omega, \vec{k}) d\omega = \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \frac{u}{c} \omega^2 \sin^2 \theta^2 \left(\frac{L}{v}\right)^2 \frac{\sin^2 u}{u^2} q^2 F(\vec{k}) \quad (1)$$

where u is defined below, L is the length of the medium, $\vec{k}$ is the wave number of the emitted radiation, and F is the form factor for the bunch.

Threshold of Cerenkov Radiation

The mechanism allowing the smearing of the angle and the increase in power for a finite medium is relaxation of the phase matching between the electron and the wave. If the wave is emitted at an angle $\theta \neq \theta_c$, the electron and wave will be only slightly out of phase at the end of a finite path L . In fact from 2, the null of the radiation pattern occurs for

$$u = \frac{kL}{2} \left(\frac{c}{v} - \cos \theta \right) = \pi \quad (2)$$

Thus we have radiation from $\theta = 0$, to θ_c (where $u = 0$) and beyond, to θ_n (where $u = \pi$). Now note that, if $v < c$, there is no Cerenkov angle ($\cos \theta_c = c/v$ has no solution) but θ_n may exist, and radiation occurs below the usually accepted threshold.

This effect was investigated in the optical region 4,5,6,, both theoretically and experimentally by Kobzev and Frank. We may calculate how much the usual Cerenkov threshold could be lowered by noting that the radiation will disappear for all practical purposes when v decreases such that $\theta_n \rightarrow 0$. A simple calculation gives:

$$\frac{1}{2\gamma^2} (\text{threshold}) = \frac{\lambda}{L} + \Delta, \quad (3)$$

where $1/1-\Delta$ is the relative index of refraction.

Numerical Example

Example: $\Delta = 2.68 \times 10^{-4}$ for air. Let $kL = \infty$. Then $\gamma = 43$. Now let $L = 1\text{m}$, $\lambda = 1\text{ cm}$. Then $\gamma = 7.07$. The change in threshold is indeed dramatic. No attempt has been made to include effects other than abrupt termination of the ideal, infinite uniform medium. This could be accomplished by abruptly stopping the beam, which is assumed to have constant velocity before the stop.

REFERENCES

1. F. R. Buskirk and J. R. Neighbours, Physics Rev. A 28, 1531 (1983).
2. J. R. Neighbours and F. R. Buskirk (To be published in Phys. Rev. A 29, June (1984)).
3. J. R. Neighbours and F. R. Buskirk, "Diffraction Effects in Cerenkov Radiation" NPS Technical Report, (1983).
4. A. P. Kobzev, Yad. Fiz. 27 1256 (1978) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 27 664 (1978)].
5. A. P. Kobzev and I. M. Frank Yad. Fiz. 31 1253 (1982) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 31 647, (1980)].
6. A. P. Kobzev and I. M. Frank, Yad. Fiz. 34 125 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 34 71 (1981)].



A-1

☒	
☐	
☐	
odes or	




Figure Caption:

Qualitative illustration of diffraction effects in Cerenkov radiation associated with a finite length of path. In the upper curve $v > c$ and the radiation is spread about the Cerenkov angle (shaded area). In the lower curve, $v < c$ but the same diffraction function allows radiation of occur.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Office of Naval Research CDR R. Swafford 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217	1
Office of Naval Research CDR James Offutt 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, CA 91106	1
Library Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	2
Office of Research Administration Code 012A Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	2
F. R. Buskirk & J. R. Neighbours Naval Postgraduate School Physics Department, Code 61 Monterey, CA 93943	20
Dr. Thomas Starke M4, M.S. P942 Los Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos, NM 87545	1
MAJ E. W. Pogue M4, M.S. P942 Los Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos, NM 87545	1
Dr. Charles Bowman D442 Los Alamos National Laboratory Los Alamos, NM 87545	1
Dr. Thomas Fessenden L-436 Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550	1

Dr. Richard Briggs
L-321
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Box 808
Livermore, CA 94550

2

Dr. C. M. Huddleston
R-401
Naval Surface Weapons Center
White Oak
Silver Spring, MD 20910

3

CAPT R. L. Topping
PMS 405
Naval Sea Systems Command
Washington, D.C. 20376

1

Dr. David Merritt
PMS 405
Naval Sea Systems Command
Washington, D.C. 20376

1

CDR William Bassett
PMS 405
Naval Sea Systems Command
Washington, D.C. 20376

1

Director, Defense Advanced Research
Project Agency
ATTN: LCOL Richard A. Gullickson
1400 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22209

2

Defense Technical Information Center
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

2