

AD-A145 627

BAYESIAN ANALYSIS OF ITEM RESPONSE CURVES(U) MISSOURI  
UNIV-COLUMBIA DEPT OF STATISTICS R K TSUTAKAWA ET AL.  
JUL 84 RR-84-1 N00014-81-K-0265

177


UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 12/1

NL



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
19 84  
DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A145 627

12

# BAYESIAN ANALYSIS OF ITEM RESPONSE CURVES

Robert K. Tsutakawa  
and  
Hsin Ying Lin

Research Report 84-1  
Mathematical Sciences Technical Report No. 132  
July 1984

Department of Statistics  
University of Missouri  
Columbia, MO 65211



Prepared under contract No. N80014-81-K0265, NR 150-464  
with the Personnel and Training Research Programs  
Psychological Sciences Division  
Office of Naval Research

Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.  
Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for  
any purpose of the United States Government

DTIC  
ELECTE  
SEP 17 1984  
S  
A B

DTIC FILE COPY

84 09 12 020

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Research Report 84-1	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A145627	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Bayesian Analysis of Item Response Curves		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Robert K. Tsutakawa and Hsin Ying Lin		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)  N00014-81-K 0265
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics University of Missouri Columbia, Missouri 65211		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS  NR-150-464
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Personnel and Training Research Office of Naval Research (Code 442PT) Arlington, VA 22217		12. REPORT DATE July 1984
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 30
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. <del>Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.</del>		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Item responses, Bayesian estimation, EM algorithm		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Item response curves for a set of binary responses are studied from a Bayesian viewpoint of estimating the item parameters. For the two-parameter logistic model with normally distributed ability, restricted bivariate beta priors are used to illustrate the computation of the posterior mode via the EM algorithm. The procedure is illustrated by data from a mathematics test		

DD FORM 1473  
1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE  
S/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

BAYESIAN ANALYSIS OF ITEM RESPONSE CURVES

by

Robert K. Tsutakawa

and

Hsin Ying Lin

University of Missouri-Columbia

This work was supported under Contract No. N00014-81-K-0265, NR150-464, from Personnel and Training Research Programs, Psychological Sciences Division, Office of Naval Research. The authors wish to thank Mark D. Reckase for providing the ACT data used in the illustration.

Requests for reprints should be sent to Robert K. Tsutakawa, Dept. of Statistics, University of Missouri, Columbia MO 65211.

**S** DTIC  
ELECTED  
SEP 17 1984  
**D**

B



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/ _____	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

## Bayesian Analysis of Item Response Curves

### Abstract

Item response curves for a set of binary responses are studied from a Bayesian viewpoint of estimating the item parameters. For the two-parameter logistic model with normally distributed ability, restricted bivariate beta priors are used to illustrate the computation of the posterior mode via the EM algorithm. The procedure is illustrated by data from a mathematics test.

**Key Words:** Item responses, Bayesian estimation, EM algorithm.

### Introduction

We will consider dichotomous responses to a set of test items which are designed to measure the abilities of individuals. We assume that each item is characterized by an item response curve, a function of ability which is indexed by unknown parameters called item parameters. We consider a Bayesian method for estimating these parameters when the abilities of the individuals are assumed to have a normal prior distribution.

A standard method for estimating abilities and item parameters in the absence of prior information is maximum likelihood (see Lord [1980]). Under the assumption that abilities are normally distributed, the maximum likelihood (m.l.) estimates of item parameters have been studied through various applications of the EM algorithm [Dempster, Laird, and Rubin, 1977] by Sanathanan and Blumenthal [1978], Bock and Aitkins [1981], and Rigdon and Tsutakawa [1983], among others.

The Bayesian hierarchical approach developed for linear models by Lindley and Smith [1972] has been adapted to estimating item parameters by Swaminathan and Gifford [1982]. The procedure is dependent on obtaining modal estimates as a solution to a simultaneous system of a large number of equations. As suggested by the illustration in Novick, Jackson, Thayer, and Cole [1972], one of the drawbacks of the hierarchical approach is the difficulty of specifying the hyperparameter for the prior distribution.

In this paper we show how the computational simplicity of the EM algorithm for m.l. estimation of item parameters continues to hold in finding the posterior mode, provided the item parameters

have independent prior distributions. This simplicity consists of being able to work iteratively one item at a time rather than with all items simultaneously.

We also introduce a new family of priors for the item parameters, which we believe can be more readily specified in practice. It is based on the user's prior belief about the probability of correct response to each item for subjects at given percentiles of the ability distribution.

An important difference between Swaminathan and Gifford's result and ours is that, whereas they obtain the joint posterior mode of ability and item parameters, we obtain the marginal posterior mode of the item parameters. The work by O'hagan [1976] on linear models suggests the two approaches can lead to different results and the marginal mode is preferred when the ability parameters are considered nuisance parameters.

We will start by stating the general model and assumptions. For the general model we derive expressions for the marginal posterior distribution of item parameters and show how the EM algorithm can be used to compute the posterior mode. For the two-parameter logistic model [see Lord, 1980] we introduce prior distributions on the item parameters via restricted bivariate beta distributions. The Bayesian approach is illustrated with responses to a mathematics test used by the American College Testing Program (ACT). A preliminary sample of 40 examinees is used to formulate priors. These priors are then used on the main sample of 400 examinees. The uncertainty in the estimates is given by the posterior covariance matrix, which is approximated through the curvative of the posterior distribution at the mode. The posterior modes are then compared to the more conventional m.l. estimates by using LOGIST [Wingersky, Barton, and Lord, 1982] and, as might be expected for the sample size used, the two point estimates are shown to be in close agreement.

### General Model for Item Responses

Consider binary responses to a set of  $k$  test items by a set of  $n$  examinees for evaluating some characteristics of the items. Let  $Y_{ij} = 0$  or  $1$ , according as the response of examinee  $i$  to item  $j$  is incorrect or correct,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Assume a probability model

$$P_{ij} = P(Y_{ij} = 1 | \theta_i, \xi_j) \quad (1)$$

depending on a real valued ability parameter  $\theta_i$  and a real or vector valued item parameter  $\xi_j$ . Although our numerical illustration will be for the 2-parameter logistic model, the discussion in this section applies more generally to the one and three-parameter logistic models and corresponding probit models.

We assume conditional independence among the responses, so that given  $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)^T$  and  $\underline{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)^T$ , the joint probability of the  $n \times k$  matrix of responses  $\underline{Y}$  is

$$P(\underline{Y} | \underline{\theta}, \underline{\xi}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^k p_{ij}^{Y_{ij}} (1 - p_{ij})^{1 - Y_{ij}}. \quad (2)$$

We further assume that  $\underline{\theta}$  and  $\underline{\xi}$  have independently distributed prior distributions with pdf's  $p(\underline{\theta})$  and  $p(\underline{\xi})$ , respectively.

The posterior distribution of  $(\underline{\theta}, \underline{\xi})$  is then given by the pdf

$$p(\underline{\theta}, \underline{\xi} | \underline{Y}) = \frac{p(\underline{Y} | \underline{\theta}, \underline{\xi}) p(\underline{\theta}) p(\underline{\xi})}{P(\underline{Y})} \quad (3)$$

where  $P(\underline{Y})$  is the marginal probability function of  $\underline{Y}$ . The marginal posterior pdf's of  $\underline{\theta}$  and  $\underline{\xi}$  are then

$$p(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}) = \frac{p(\underline{\theta}) \int p(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}, \underline{\xi}) p(\underline{\xi}) d\underline{\xi}}{P(\underline{y})} \quad (4)$$

and

$$p(\underline{\xi}|\underline{y}) = \frac{p(\underline{\xi}) \int p(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}, \underline{\xi}) p(\underline{\theta}) d\underline{\theta}}{P(\underline{y})} \quad (5)$$

A relation between  $p(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y})$  and  $p(\underline{\xi}|\underline{y})$  may be seen in the alternative expressions

$$p(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}) = \int p(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}, \underline{\xi}) p(\underline{\xi}|\underline{y}) d\underline{\xi} \quad (6)$$

and

$$p(\underline{\xi}|\underline{y}) = \int p(\underline{\xi}|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}) p(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}) d\underline{\theta}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$p(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}, \underline{\xi}) = \frac{P(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}, \underline{\xi}) p(\underline{\theta})}{P(\underline{y}|\underline{\xi})} \quad (8)$$

and

$$p(\underline{\xi}|\underline{y}, \underline{\theta}) = \frac{P(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}, \underline{\xi}) p(\underline{\xi})}{P(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta})} \quad (9)$$

which are the posterior pdf's of  $\underline{\theta}$  and  $\underline{\xi}$ , given  $\underline{\xi}$  and  $\underline{\theta}$ , respectively. The equivalence of (4) to (6) and (5) to (7) may be seen by noting that

$$p(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y})/P(\underline{y}|\underline{\theta}) = p(\underline{\theta})/P(\underline{y}) \quad (10)$$

and

$$p(\underline{\xi}|\underline{y})/P(\underline{y}|\underline{\xi}) = p(\underline{\xi})/P(\underline{y}), \quad (11)$$

whenever  $P(\underline{y})$ ,  $p(\underline{\theta})$ , and  $p(\underline{\xi})$  are positive.

If  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k$  are independent then (4) reduces to

$$p(\underline{\theta}|\underline{y}) \propto p(\underline{\theta}) \prod_{j=1}^k \int \prod_{i=1}^n P(y_{ij}|\theta_i, \xi_j) p(\xi_j) d\xi_j \quad (12)$$

and similarly if  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$  are independent then (5) reduces to

$$p(\underline{\xi}|\underline{y}) \propto p(\underline{\xi}) \prod_{i=1}^n \int \prod_{j=1}^k P(y_{ij}|\theta_i, \xi_j) p(\theta_i) d\theta_i. \quad (13)$$

We note that the integrals in (12) have dimensions equal to the dimensions of the item parameters  $\xi_j$ , but those in (13) are single.

### Computing the Posterior Mode of $\xi$

When  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$  are independent, the EM algorithm [Dempster, Laird and Rubin, 1977] is a powerful tool for computing the posterior mode  $\hat{\xi}$  of  $\xi$ . The computation of the posterior mode of  $\theta$  is somewhat more difficult since multiple integrals of order equal to the dimension of  $\xi_j$  must be numerically evaluated. Here we restrict our discussion to  $\hat{\xi}$ .

In the terminology of the EM algorithm,  $(\underline{y}, \underline{\theta})$  is the complete data and  $\xi$  the unknown parameter.  $\underline{y}$  is the incomplete (or observed) data and  $\underline{\theta}$  the missing data. The joint distribution of  $(\underline{y}, \underline{\theta})$  given  $\xi$  is

$$p(\underline{y}, \underline{\theta} | \xi) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^k P(y_{ij} | \theta_i, \xi_j) p(\theta_i). \quad (14)$$

To find the m.l. estimate of  $\xi$ , the EM algorithm uses a function of  $\xi$  defined by

$$Q(\xi | \xi^\circ) = E\{\log p(\underline{y}, \underline{\theta} | \xi) | \underline{y}, \xi^\circ\}, \quad (15)$$

where the expectation is with respect to  $\underline{\theta}$  conditionally on  $\underline{y}$  and some fixed value  $\xi^\circ$  of  $\xi$  [see Ridgon and Tsutakawa, 1983]. To find the posterior mode of  $\xi$ , we append to  $Q$  the log of the pdf of  $\xi$  and work with the function,

$$R(\xi | \xi^\circ) = Q(\xi | \xi^\circ) + \log p(\xi). \quad (16)$$

(See end of section 2 in Dempster, Laird and Rubin [1977].)

In the case of independence, described above,  $R$  reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} R(\xi | \xi^\circ) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^k \int \log P(y_{ij} | \theta_i, \xi_j) p(\theta_i | \underline{y}, \xi^\circ) d\theta_i \\ &\quad + k \prod_{j=1}^k \int \log p(\theta_i) p(\theta_i | \underline{y}, \xi^\circ) d\theta_i \\ &\quad + \prod_{j=1}^k \log p(\xi_j). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Basically, the EM algorithm starts with some initial value  $\xi^\circ$  and iteratively maximizes  $R(\xi|\xi^\circ)$  with respect to  $\xi$  while holding  $\xi^\circ$  fixed and replacing  $\xi^\circ$  by the maximizing value at the beginning of the successive iterations. The iteration continues until some convergence criterion is satisfied. We note that the middle term on the right hand side of (17) may be ignored since it does not depend on  $\xi$ . Moreover, since the summands, with respect to  $j$ , of the remaining terms of (17) are each a function of a single  $\xi_j$ , we may maximize  $R(\xi|\xi^\circ)$  by maximizing separately for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \log P(y_{ij}|\theta_i, \xi_j) p(\theta_i|y, \xi^\circ) \right) d\theta_i \\ & + \log p(\xi_j). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Upon convergence the final value  $\hat{\xi}$  of  $\xi^\circ$  maximizes the posterior pdf (4).

### Class of Priors for Two-parameter Logistic Curves

To illustrate some of the computational work needed to implement the EM algorithm we consider the two-parameter logistic model defined by

$$p_{ij} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\{-\alpha_j(\theta_i - \beta_j)\}}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} P\{y_{ij} | \theta_i, \alpha_j, \beta_j\} \\ = \frac{\exp\{y_{ij} \alpha_j (\theta_i - \beta_j)\}}{1 + \exp\{\alpha_j (\theta_i - \beta_j)\}} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for  $y_{ij} = 0, 1$ ;  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, k$  where we now have  $\xi_j = (\alpha_j, \beta_j)^T$  with  $\alpha_j > 0$  and  $-\infty < \beta_j < \infty$ . We assume that  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$  are iid  $N(0, 1)$ . Note that if we use  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with unknown  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  in place of  $N(0, 1)$ , the parameterization in (19) would not be unique without some restriction on  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ .

For the prior distribution of  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$  we consider the family of bivariate pdf's of the form

$$p(\alpha, \beta | w) \propto \begin{cases} \alpha p_1^{r_1} (1 - p_1)^{s_1} p_2^{r_2} (1 - p_2)^{s_2}, & \text{if } \alpha > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

where

$$p_u = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\{-\alpha(t_u - \beta)\}}, \quad u = 1, 2,$$

and

$$w = \{r_u, s_u, t_u; u = 1, 2\}.$$

is a known hyperparameter with  $t_1 < t_2$  and  $r_u, s_u > 0$ ,  $u = 1, 2$ .

When  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_k, \beta_k)$  are independent with possibly different hyperparameters  $\underline{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_k)^T$ , the joint pdf is

$$p(\xi|\underline{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^k p(\alpha_j, \beta_j | w_j). \quad (22)$$

The use of this family of priors may be motivated through the examinee's prior belief about the probability of correct responses to the items at different ability levels. The family of natural conjugate priors for the binomial distribution with parameter  $p$  is the set of beta distributions,

$$g(p|r,s) \propto \begin{cases} p^{r-1} (1-p)^{s-1} & \text{if } 0 < p < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where the hyperparameter is  $(r,s)$  with  $r,s > 0$ . For two independent binomials having parameters  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  with the restriction  $p_1 < p_2$ , the family of natural conjugate priors is the restricted beta,

$$g(p_1, p_2 | r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2) \propto \begin{cases} p_1^{r_1-1} (1-p_1)^{s_1-1} p_2^{r_2-1} (1-p_2)^{s_2-1} & \text{if } 0 < p_1 < p_2 < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

where the hyperparameter is  $(r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2)$  with  $r_u, s_u > 0$ ,  $u = 1, 2$ . The constant of proportionality  $K$  is given by

$$K^{-1} = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 p_1^{r_1-1} (1-p_1)^{s_1-1} p_2^{r_2-1} (1-p_2)^{s_2-1} dp_1 dp_2, \quad (25)$$

which is finite since  $r_u, s_u > 0$ .

Conjugate priors have been advocated for many distributions because of their richness, tractability and interpretability [see Raiffa and Schlaifer, 1961]. The fact that the pdf's have the same functional form as the likelihood functions makes it convenient to interpret the prior as the likelihood function from a previous ex-

periment or for some subjective and hypothetical one. A member of the restricted bivariate beta may be selected by specifying the mode  $(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2)$ ,  $\hat{p}_1 < \hat{p}_2$  and the amount of weight,  $n_u = r_u + s_u$ ,  $u = 1, 2$  to be placed on the prior. Given these specifications, the value for  $(r_u, s_u)$  may be determined from

$$r_u = 1 + (n_u - 2)\hat{p}_u$$

(26)

and

$$s_u = n_u - r_u.$$

For a given item, suppose the prior distribution of probability of correct responses,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , at ability levels  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ,  $t_1 < t_2$ , is the restricted bivariate beta (24). Under the two parameter logistic model, this then implies a prior on  $(\alpha, \beta)$  through the relation

$$p_u = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\{-\alpha(t_u - \beta)\}},$$

(27)

$u = 1, 2$ . Using standard methods for deriving distribution of functions of random variables, it is readily shown that the pdf of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is then given by (20).

We have thus shown how the prior of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  for a given item may be obtained through the prior for  $(p_1, p_2)$ . Many investigators may find it easier to select a prior for  $(p_1, p_2)$  at levels  $(t_1, t_2)$  than one for  $(\alpha, \beta)$  directly. Although the choice of  $(t_1, t_2)$  is arbitrary and may vary from item to item, or user to user, familiar levels such as the 25 and 75 percent points of the ability levels are likely to be easier to work with. In working with the  $N(0, 1)$  distribution these are  $\pm 0.674$ . The use of these ideas will be illustrated in the examples to follow.

## Computational Notes

The computation required for deriving the posterior mode under the two-parameter logistic model is an extension of that developed for m.l. estimation by Tsutakawa [1983]. We now summarize some of the computational formulas when the restricted bivariate prior is used.

According to (18), at each iteration of the EM algorithm we are given  $\xi^\circ = (\alpha_1^\circ, \beta_1^\circ, \dots, \alpha_k^\circ, \beta_k^\circ)^T$  and must maximize, with respect to  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$  the functions

$$F_j = T_j + S_j$$

$j = 1, \dots, k$ , where

$$T_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \log \left\{ \frac{\exp\{y_{ij} \alpha_j (\theta_i - \beta_j)\}}{1 + \exp\{\alpha_j (\theta_i - \beta_j)\}} \right\} \right\} p(\theta_i | y_i, \xi^\circ) d\theta_i, \quad (29)$$

$$S_j = \log \alpha_j + \sum_{u=1}^2 (r_{uj} \log p_{uj} + s_{uj} \log q_{uj}),$$

and

$$p(\theta_i | y_i, \xi^\circ) \propto \exp(-\theta_i^2/2) \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\exp\{y_{ij} \alpha_j^\circ (\theta_i - \beta_j^\circ)\}}{1 + \exp\{\alpha_j^\circ (\theta_i - \beta_j^\circ)\}}, \quad (30)$$

the posterior pdf of  $\theta_i$  given  $\xi^\circ$ . The maximization must be performed numerically by some iterative method such as that of Marquardt [1963]. The computational expressions for the first two derivatives of  $F_j$  with respect to  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ , which are needed for Marquardt's method, have been used for m.l. estimation and summarized in Tsutakawa [1983]. The first two derivatives of  $S_j$  are considerably simpler to evaluate

and given by

$$\frac{\partial S_j}{\partial \alpha_j} = \alpha_j^{-1} + \sum_{u=1}^2 (t_{uj} - \beta_j) (r_{uj} - n_{uj} p_{uj}), \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{\partial S_j}{\partial \beta_j} = - \alpha_j \sum_{u=1}^2 (r_{uj} - n_{uj} p_{uj}), \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_j}{\partial \alpha_j^2} = - \alpha_j^{-2} - \sum_{u=1}^2 (t_{uj} - \beta_j)^2 w_{uj}, \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_j}{\partial \alpha_j \partial \beta_j} = - \sum_{u=1}^2 (r_{uj} - n_{uj} p_{uj}) + \alpha_j \sum_{u=1}^2 (t_{uj} - \beta_j) w_{uj}, \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_j}{\partial \beta_j^2} = - \alpha_j^2 \sum_{u=1}^2 w_{uj}, \quad (35)$$

where

$$w_{uj} = n_{uj} p_{uj} q_{uj}, \quad (36)$$

and

$$p_{uj} = 1 - q_{uj} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-\alpha_j (t_{uj} - \beta_j)]}.$$

With some additional computation, the posterior covariance matrix of  $\xi$  may be approximated by the inverse of the second derivative matrix of the negative log posterior of  $\xi$  evaluated at the posterior mode [see Leonard, 1975]. From (13) and (19) we see that the log of the posterior pdf of  $\xi$  is the sum of two terms

$$\log p(\xi) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^k p(y_{ij} | \theta_i, \alpha_j, \beta_j) p(\theta_i) \right\} \quad (37)$$

where the first term is  $\sum_{j=1}^k S_j$  and the second is the log marginal likelihood function. The second derivatives of the first term

are simply the sums, over items, of the second derivatives of  $S_j$  used in computing the mode. Expressions needed for computing the second derivatives of the second term are given in Tsutakawa [1983].

### Example

The Bayesian method will now be illustrated using responses from a random sample of 400 examinees to 39 items used in the mathematics portion of a 1983 ACT examination. Although the original set consisted of 40 items, one item was deleted, because its low item score and apparent high frequency of guessing suggested its departure from the two-parameter logistic model.

In order to formulate prior distributions, a preliminary random sample of 40 examinees was taken and a probit analysis [Finney, 1971] was performed on each item against the raw scores, i.e.,  $\sum_{j=1}^k y_{ij}$ . (In practice one may use data from a preliminary test of the items.) For each item the estimated probability of correct responses  $\hat{p}_1$  and  $\hat{p}_2$  at the 25th and 75th percentiles of the raw scores were computed. These estimates were then used as the prior modes at the 25th and 75th percentiles of the  $N(0,1)$  distribution of abilities, i.e.,  $t_{2j} = -t_{1j} = 0.674$ . (Although the logit analysis would seem more appropriate than the probit, due to the similarities of the normal and logistic curves, the difference in the estimated probabilities should be negligible.) For all items,  $n_{uj} = 7$  was used as the prior weight and  $(r_{uj}, s_{uj})$  computed according to (26). (See listing in Table 1.) Although the choice of 7 is partly subjective, it seemed more realistic and conservative than the somewhat larger values suggested by the asymptotic variances obtained from the probit analysis.

-----  
 Insert Table 1 about here  
 -----

Table 1 lists the posterior modes and approximate variances and covariances of  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ . Under the normal approximation these values may be used to compute interval estimates and to assess the uncertainties in the parameter values. For comparison the joint m.l. estimate of item and ability parameters were computed by LOGIST. Due to the different parameterizations used, the LOGIST estimates were rescaled to correspond to the Bayesian ones. The scatter diagrams in Figures 1 and 2 show that both methods produce very similar point estimates of the item parameters, as might be expected for such a large sample size. The m.l. estimate obtained via the EM algorithm [see Tsutakawa, 1983] were also computed for the same 400 examinees and found to be indistinguishable from the posterior modes.

-----  
Insert Figures 1 & 2 about here  
-----

One advantage of the Bayesian over the m.l. approach is that it provides results which have probabilistic interpretations based on the observed data. This advantage however is at the expense of having to specify a prior distribution for the item parameters. For data sets as large as the one considered here, the resulting posterior distributions are not likely to be very sensitive to changes in priors, provided they are not excessively "informative". This was verified by performing additional runs with  $n_{uj} = 3.5$  and 14.0, corresponding to one half and double the weights used in our example.

## REFERENCES

- Bock, R.D. & Aitkin, M. Marginal maximum likelihood estimator of item parameters: an application of an EM algorithm. Psychometrika, 1981, 46, 443-459.
- Dempster, A.P., Laird, N.M. & Rubin, D.B. Maximum likelihood from from incomplete data via the EM algorithm (with discussion). Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, B, 1977, 39, 1-38.
- Finney, D.J. Probit analysis: a statistical treatment of the sigmoid response curve, (3rd ed.). New York: Cambridge University Press, 1971.
- Leonard, T. Bayesian estimation methods for two-way contingency tables. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, B, 1975, 37, 23-37.
- Lindley, D.V. & Smith, A.F.M. Bayes estimates for the linear model (with discussion). Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, B, 1972, 34, 1-41.
- Lord, F.M. Applications of item response theory to practical testing problems. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum, 1980.
- Marquardt, D.W. An algorithm for least-squares estimation of non-linear parameters. Journal for the Society of Applied Mathematics, 1963, 11, 431-441.
- Novick, M.R., Jackson, P.H., Thayer, D.T. & Cole, N.S. Estimating multiple regressions in m groups: a cross-validation study. British Journal of Mathematical & Statistical Psychology, 1972, 25, 33-50.
- O'hagan, A. On posterior joint and marginal modes. Biometrika, 1976, 63, 329-333.
- Raiffa, H. & Schlaifer, R. Applied statistical decision theory. Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University, Boston, 1961.
- Rigdon, S.E. & Tsutakawa, R.K. Estimation in latent trait models. Psychometrika, 1983, 48, 567-574.
- Sanathanan, L. & Blumenthal, S. The logistic model and estimation of latent structure. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 1978, 73, 794-799.
- Swaminathan, H. & Gifford, J.A. Bayesian estimation in the Rasch model. Journal of Educational Statistics, 1982, 7, 175-191.
- Tsutakawa, R.K. Estimation of two-parameter logistic item response curves, Mathematical Sciences Technical Report No. 130, Department of Statistics, University of Missouri-Columbia, 1983.
- Wingersky, M.S., Barton, M.A. & Lord, F.M. Logist user's guide, Educational Testing Service, Princeton, NJ, 1982.

TABLE 1  
Bayesian Parameter Estimate for ACT Math Test

Item No.	$\hat{P}_1$ $\times 100$	$\hat{P}_2$ $\times 100$	Item Score	Mode		V( $\alpha$ ) $\times 100$	Cov( $\alpha, \beta$ ) $\times 100$	V( $\beta$ ) $\times 100$
				$\alpha$	$\beta$			
1	67	80	281	1.42	-0.88	2.89	0.73	0.96
2	53	81	251	0.90	-0.73	1.86	0.96	2.08
3	53	100	258	1.48	-0.64	2.96	0.35	0.70
4	47	84	241	1.01	-0.55	2.02	0.55	1.36
5	32	67	194	0.68	0.05	1.44	-0.24	2.30
6	33	76	236	1.42	-0.41	3.00	0.15	0.65
7	59	97	298	1.32	-1.11	2.97	1.36	1.61
8	28	83	241	0.99	-0.55	1.98	0.55	1.39
9	32	72	225	1.07	-0.34	2.13	0.22	1.06
10	34	70	218	1.21	-0.25	2.44	0.05	0.83
11	21	82	193	1.13	0.01	2.29	-0.23	0.94
12	33	86	215	1.42	-0.22	3.08	-0.06	0.63
13	10	89	168	1.50	0.23	3.44	-0.48	0.65
14	30	64	179	1.01	0.19	1.99	-0.41	1.20
15	26	82	215	1.54	-0.21	3.48	-0.11	0.54
16	8	69	170	1.05	0.30	2.07	-0.52	1.18
17	27	87	182	1.19	0.12	2.45	-0.35	0.89
18	6	63	161	1.45	0.31	3.28	-0.56	0.72
19	31	85	196	0.95	-0.02	1.91	-0.16	1.25
20	37	89	191	0.81	0.05	1.64	-0.24	1.66
21	26	87	232	1.25	-0.40	2.55	0.22	0.83
22	14	49	133	1.48	0.59	3.23	-0.76	0.81
23	48	87	199	0.88	-0.06	1.75	-0.09	1.42
24	19	91	172	1.37	0.20	2.95	-0.44	0.73
25	15	63	206	0.96	-0.11	1.92	-0.04	1.21
26	19	60	174	1.31	0.20	2.77	-0.45	0.79
27	29	71	146	1.05	0.57	1.99	-0.79	1.40
28	9	93	162	2.03	0.22	6.96	-0.69	0.44
29	33	91	190	1.63	0.00	4.01	-0.35	0.53
30	15	46	125	0.90	0.97	1.68	-1.34	2.64
31	5	70	168	1.48	0.24	3.43	-0.51	0.67
32	10	47	107	0.87	1.28	1.66	-1.87	4.02
33	28	77	213	1.01	-0.21	2.00	0.06	1.13
34	5	30	116	0.75	1.30	1.55	-2.25	5.70
35	14	61	121	0.75	1.18	1.47	-1.86	4.63
36	48	64	181	0.71	0.23	1.46	-0.51	2.27
37	12	44	93	1.37	1.10	2.73	-1.19	1.43
38	11	47	113	0.93	1.12	1.73	-1.54	2.96
39	4	56	80	1.20	1.39	2.34	-1.65	2.44



Figure 1. Bayesian vs. Logist Estimates of  $\alpha$ .

Figure 2. Bayesian vs. Logist Estimates of  $\beta$ .

## Navy

- 1 Dr. Nick Bond  
Office of Naval Research  
Liaison Office, Far East  
APO San Francisco, CA 96503
- 1 Dr. Robert Breaux  
NAVTRAEQUIPCEN  
Code N-095R  
Orlando, FL 32813
- 1 Dr. Stanley Collyer  
Office of Naval Technology  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217
- 1 CDR Mike Curran  
Office of Naval Research  
800 N. Quincy St.  
Code 270  
Arlington, VA 22217
- 1 Dr. John Ellis  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92252
- 1 Dr. Richard Elster  
Department of Administrative Sciences  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 DR. PAT FEDERICO  
Code P13  
NPRDC  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Mr. Dick Hoshaw  
NAVOP-133  
Arlington Annex  
Room 2B34  
Washington , DC 20350
- 1 Dr. Norman J. Kerr  
Chief of Naval Technical Training  
Naval Air Station Memphis (75)  
Millington, TN 38054
- 1 Dr. Leonard Kroeker  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Daryll Lang  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152

## Navy

- 1 Dr. William L. Maloy (02)  
Chief of Naval Education and Training  
Naval Air Station  
Pensacola, FL 32508
- 1 Dr. James McBride  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr William Montague  
NPRDC Code 13  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Library, Code P201L  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Technical Director  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 6 Personnel & Training Research Group  
Code 442PT  
Office of Naval Research  
Arlington, VA 22217
- 1 DR. MARTIN F. WISKOFF  
NAVY PERSONNEL R&D CENTER  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. Carl Ross  
CNET-PDCD  
Building 90  
Great Lakes NTC, IL 60088
- 1 Mr. Drew Sands  
NPRDC Code 62  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Mary Schratz  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. Robert G. Smith  
Office of Chief of Naval Operations  
OP-987H  
Washington, DC 20350
- 1 Dr. Alfred F. Soode, Director  
Department M-7  
Naval Training Equipment Center  
Orlando, FL 32813

## Navy

- 1 Dr. Richard Snow  
Liaison Scientist  
Office of Naval Research  
Branch Office, London  
Box 39  
FPD New York, NY 09510
- 1 Dr. Richard Sorenson  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. Frederick Steinheiser  
CNO - OP115  
Navy Annex  
Arlington, VA 20370
- 1 Mr. Brad Sympson  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. James Tweeddale  
Technical Director  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. Frank Vicino  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. Edward Meehan  
Office of Naval Research (Code 411S&P)  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217
- 1 Dr. Ronald Weitzman  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Department of Administrative  
Sciences  
Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 Dr. Douglas Metzler  
Code 12  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 DR. MARTIN F. WISKOFF  
NAVY PERSONNEL R&D CENTER  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92152
- 1 Mr John H. Wolfe  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152

## Navy

- 1 Dr. Wallace Mufbeck, III  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152

Marine Corps	Army
1 Jerry Lehnus CAT Project Office HQ Marine Corps Washington , DC 20380	1 Dr. Kent Eaton Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Blvd. Alexandria , VA 22333
1 Headquarters, U. S. Marine Corps Code MPI-20 Washington, DC 20380	1 Dr. Myron Fischl U.S. Army Research Institute for the Social and Behavioral Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
1 Special Assistant for Marine Corps Matters Code 100N Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217	1 Dr. Ciessen Martin Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Blvd. Alexandria, VA 22333
1 Major Frank Yohannan, USMC Headquarters, Marine Corps (Code MPI-20) Washington, DC 20380	1 Dr. William E. Nordbrock FMC-ADCO Box 25 APO, NY 09710
	1 Dr. Harold F. O'Neil, Jr. Director, Training Research Lab Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
	1 Commander, U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral & Social Sciences ATTN: PERI-BR (Dr. Judith Orasanu) 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
	1 Mr. Robert Ross U.S. Army Research Institute for the Social and Behavioral Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
	1 Dr. Robert Sasoor U. S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
	1 Dr. Joyce Shields Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
	1 Dr. Hilda Wing Army Research Institute 5001 Eisenhower Ave. Alexandria, VA 22333

## Air Force

## Department of Defense

1 Dr. Earl A. Alluisi  
HQ, AFHRL (AFSC)  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

1 Mr. Raymond E. Christal  
AFHRL/NOE  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

1 Dr. Alfred R. Fregly  
AFOSR/ML  
Bolling AFB, DC 20332

1 Dr. Genevieve Haddad  
Program Manager  
Life Sciences Directorate  
AFOSR  
Bolling AFB, DC 20332

1 Dr. Patrick Kyllonen  
AFHRL/NOE  
  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

1 Mr. Randolph Park  
AFHRL/NOAM  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

1 Dr. Roger Pennell  
Air Force Human Resources Laboratory  
Lowry AFB, CO 80230

1 Dr. Malcolm Ree  
AFHRL/MP  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

1 Major John Welsh  
AFHRL/NOAM  
Brooks AFB , TX 78223

12 Defense Technical Information Center  
Cameron Station, Bldg 5  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Attn: TC

1 Military Assistant for Training and  
Personnel Technology  
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense  
for Research & Engineering  
Room 3D129, The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301

1 Dr. W. Steve Sellman  
Office of the Assistant Secretary  
of Defense (MRA & L)  
2B269 The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301

1 Dr. Robert A. Wisner  
OUSDRE (ELS)  
The Pentagon, Room 3D129  
Washington, DC 20301

## Civilian Agencies

- 1 Dr. Vern W. Urry  
Personnel R&D Center  
Office of Personnel Management  
1900 E Street NW  
Washington, DC 20415
- 1 Mr. Thomas A. Ware  
U. S. Coast Guard Institute  
P. O. Substation 10  
Oklahoma City, OK 73169
- 1 Dr. Joseph L. Young, Director  
Memory & Cognitive Processes  
National Science Foundation  
Washington, DC 20550

## Private Sector

- 1 Dr. James Algina  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, FL 32605
- 1 Dr. Erling B. Andersen  
Department of Statistics  
Studiestraede 6  
1435 Copenhagen  
DENMARK
- 1 Dr. Isaac Dejar  
Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, NJ 08450
- 1 Dr. Menucha Birenbaum  
School of Education  
Tel Aviv University  
Tel Aviv, Ramat Aviv 69978  
Israel
- 1 Dr. Werner Birke  
Personalstammamt der Bundeswehr  
D-5000 Koeln 90  
WEST GERMANY
- 1 Dr. R. Darrell Bock  
Department of Education  
University of Chicago  
Chicago, IL 60637
- 1 Mr. Arnold Bohrer  
Section of Psychological Research  
Casernes Petits Chateau  
CRS  
1000 Brussels  
Belgium
- 1 Dr. Robert Brennan  
American College Testing Programs  
P. O. Box 168  
Iowa City, IA 52243
- 1 Dr. Glenn Bryan  
6208 Pca Road  
Bethesda, MD 20817
- 1 Dr. Ernest R. Cadotte  
307 Stokely  
University of Tennessee  
Knoxville, TN 37916
- 1 Dr. John B. Carroll  
409 Elliott Rd.  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

## Private Sector

- 1 Dr. Norman Cliff  
Dept. of Psychology  
Univ. of So. California  
University Park  
Los Angeles, CA 90007
- 1 Dr. Hans Cronbach  
Education Research Center  
University of Leyden  
Boerhaavelaan 2  
2334 EN Leyden  
The NETHERLANDS
- 1 Lee Cronbach  
16 Laburnum Road  
Atherton, CA 94205
- 1 CTB/McGraw-Hill Library  
2500 Garden Road  
Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 Dr. Walter Cunningham  
University of Miami  
Department of Psychology  
Gainesville, FL 32611
- 1 Dr. Dattprasad Divgi  
Syracuse University  
Department of Psychology  
Syracuse, NE 33210
- 1 Dr. Emanuel Donchin  
Department of Psychology  
University of Illinois  
Champaign, IL 61820
- 1 Dr. Hui-Ki Dong  
Ball Foundation  
Room 314, Building B  
800 Roosevelt Road  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137
- 1 Dr. Fritz Drasgow  
Department of Psychology  
University of Illinois  
603 E. Daniel St.  
Champaign, IL 61820
- 1 Dr. Susan Eabertson  
PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT  
UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS  
Lawrence, KS 66045

## Private Sector

- 1 ERIC Facility-Acquisitions  
4833 Rugby Avenue  
Bethesda, MD 20014
- 1 Dr. Benjamin A. Fairbank, Jr.  
McFann-Gray & Associates, Inc.  
5825 Callaghan  
Suite 225  
San Antonio, TX 78228
- 1 Dr. Leonard Feldt  
Lindquist Center for Measurement  
University of Iowa  
Iowa City, IA 52242
- 1 Univ. Prof. Dr. Gerhard Fischer  
Liebiggasse 5/3  
A 1010 Vienna  
AUSTRIA
- 1 Professor Donald Fitzgerald  
University of New England  
Armidale, New South Wales 2351  
AUSTRALIA
- 1 Dr. Dexter Fletcher  
University of Oregon  
Department of Computer Science  
Eugene, OR 97403
- 1 Dr. John R. Frederiksen  
Bolt Beranek & Newman  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138
- 1 Dr. Janice Gifford  
University of Massachusetts  
School of Education  
Amherst, MA 01002
- 1 Dr. Robert Glaser  
Learning Research & Development Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
3939 O'Hara Street  
PITTSBURGH, PA 15260
- 1 Dr. Marvin B. Glock  
217 Stone Hall  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, NY 14853

## Private Sector

- 1 Dr. Bert Green  
Johns Hopkins University  
Department of Psychology  
Charles & 34th Street  
Baltimore, MD 21218
- 1 DR. JAMES G. GREENO  
LRDC  
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH  
3939 O'HARA STREET  
PITTSBURGH, PA 15213
- 1 Dr. Ron Hambleton  
School of Education  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, MA 01002
- 1 Dr. Paul Horst  
677 G Street, #184  
Chula Vista, CA 90010
- 1 Dr. Lloyd Huephreys  
Department of Psychology  
University of Illinois  
603 East Daniel Street  
Champaign, IL 61820
- 1 Dr. Steven Hunka  
Department of Education  
University of Alberta  
Edmonton, Alberta  
CANADA
- 1 Dr. Earl Hunt  
Dept. of Psychology  
University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98105
- 1 Dr. Jack Hunter  
2122 Coolidge St.  
Lansing, MI 48906
- 1 Dr. Huynh Huynh  
College of Education  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208
- 1 Dr. Douglas H. Jones  
Advanced Statistical Technologies  
Corporation  
10 Trafalgar Court  
Lawrenceville, NJ 08148

## Private Sector

- 1 Dr. Marcel Just  
Department of Psychology  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
- 1 Dr. Demetrios Karis  
Department of Psychology  
University of Illinois  
603 E. Daniel Street  
Champaign, IL 61820
- 1 Professor John A. Keats  
Department of Psychology  
The University of Newcastle  
N.S.W. 2308  
AUSTRALIA
- 1 Dr. William Koch  
University of Texas-Austin  
Measurement and Evaluation Center  
Austin, TX 78703
- 1 Dr. Alan Lesgold  
Learning R&D Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
3939 O'Hara Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15260.
- 1 Dr. Michael Levine  
Department of Educational Psychology  
210 Education Bldg.  
University of Illinois  
Champaign, IL 61801
- 1 Dr. Charles Lewis  
Faculteit Sociale Wetenschappen  
Rijksuniversiteit Groningen  
Oude Boteringestraat 23  
9712SC Groningen  
Netherlands
- 1 Dr. Robert Linn  
College of Education  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, IL 61801
- 1 Mr. Phillip Livingston  
Systems and Applied Sciences Corporatio  
6811 Kenilworth Avenue  
Riverdale, MD 20840

## Private Sector

- 1 Dr. Robert Lockman  
Center for Naval Analysis  
200 North Beauregard St.  
Alexandria, VA 22311
- 1 Dr. Frederic M. Lord  
Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, NJ 08541
- 1 Dr. James Luesden  
Department of Psychology  
University of Western Australia  
Nedlands W.A. 6009  
AUSTRALIA
- 1 Dr. Don Lyon  
P. O. Box 44  
Higley, AZ 85236
- 1 Dr. Gary Marco  
Stop 31-E  
Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, NJ 08451
- 1 Dr. Scott Maxwell  
Department of Psychology  
University of Notre Dame  
Notre Dame, IN 46556
- 1 Dr. Samuel T. Mayo  
Loyola University of Chicago  
820 North Michigan Avenue  
Chicago, IL 60611
- 1 Mr. Robert McKinley  
American College Testing Programs  
P.O. Box 168  
Iowa City, IA 52243
- 1 Dr. Barbara Means  
Human Resources Research Organization  
300 North Washington  
Alexandria, VA 22314
- 1  
Professor Jason Millan  
Department of Education  
Stone Hall  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, NY 14853
- 1 Dr. Robert Mislevy  
711 Illinois Street  
Geneva, IL 60134

## Private Sector

- 1 Dr. W. Alan Nicewander  
University of Oklahoma  
Department of Psychology  
Oklahoma City, OK 73069
- 1 Dr. Donald A. McCrean  
Cognitive Science, C-015  
Univ. of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093
- 1 Dr. Melvin R. Novick  
336 Lindquist Center for Measurement  
University of Iowa  
Iowa City, IA 52242
- 1 Dr. James Olson  
NICAT, Inc.  
1875 Scuth State Street  
Drew, UT 84057
- 1 Wayne M. Patience  
American Council on Education  
GED Testing Service, Suite 20  
One Dupont Circle, NW  
Washington, DC 20036
- 1 Dr. James Paulson  
Dept. of Psychology  
Portland State University  
P.O. Box 751  
Portland, OR 97207
- 1 Dr. James M. Pellegrino  
University of California,  
Santa Barbara  
Dept. of Psychology  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106
- 1 Dr. Douglas H. Jones  
Advanced Statistical Technologies  
Corporation  
10 Trafalgar Court  
Lawrenceville, NJ 08148
- 1 Dr. Steven E. Poltrock  
Bell Laboratories 2B-444  
600 Mountain Ave.  
Murray Hill, NJ 07974
- 1 Dr. Mark D. Reckase  
ACT  
P. O. Box 168  
Iowa City, IA 52243

## Private Sector

- 1 Dr. Thomas Reynolds  
University of Texas-Dallas  
Marketing Department  
P. O. Box 688  
Richardson, TX 75080
- 1 Dr. Lawrence Rudner  
403 Elm Avenue  
Takoma Park, MD 20012
- 1 Dr. J. Ryan  
Department of Education  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208
- 1 PROF. FUMIKO SAMEJIMA  
DEPT. OF PSYCHOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37916
- 1 Frank L. Schmidt  
Department of Psychology  
Bldg. 66  
George Washington University  
Washington, DC 20052
- 1 Dr. Walter Schneider  
Psychology Department  
603 E. Daniel  
Champaign, IL 61820
- 1 Lowell Schoer  
Psychological & Quantitative  
Foundations  
College of Education  
University of Iowa  
Iowa City, IA 52242
- 1 Dr. Emanuel Donchin  
Department of Psychology  
University of Illinois  
Champaign, IL 61820
- 1 Dr. Kazuo Shigenasu  
7-9-24 Kugenuwa-Kaigan  
Fujusawa 231  
JAPAN
- 1 Dr. William Sims  
Center for Naval Analysis  
200 North Beauregard Street  
Alexandria, VA 22311

## Private Sector

- 1 Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko  
Program Director  
Manpower Research and Advisory Services  
Smithsonian Institution  
801 North Pitt Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314
- 1 Martha Stocking  
Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, NJ 08541
- 1 Dr. Peter Stoloff  
Center for Naval Analysis  
200 North Beauregard Street  
Alexandria, VA 22311
- 1 Dr. William Stout  
University of Illinois  
Department of Mathematics  
Urbana, IL 61801
- 1 DR. PATRICK SUPPES  
INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL STUDIES IN  
THE SOCIAL SCIENCES  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
STANFORD, CA 94305
- 1 Dr. Hariharan Swaminathan  
Laboratory of Psychometric and  
Evaluation Research  
School of Education  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, MA 01003
- 1 Dr. Kikumi Tatsuoka  
Computer Based Education Research Lab  
252 Engineering Research Laboratory  
Urbana, IL 61801
- 1 Dr. Maurice Tatsuoka  
220 Education Bldg  
1310 S. Sixth St.  
Champaign, IL 61820
- 1 Dr. David Thissen  
Department of Psychology  
University of Kansas  
Lawrence, KS 66044
- 1 Dr. Douglas Towne  
Univ. of So. California  
Behavioral Technology Labs  
1845 S. Elena Ave.  
Redondc Beach, CA 90277

## Private Sector

- 1 Dr. Robert Tsutakawa  
Department of Statistics  
University of Missouri  
Columbia, MO 65201
- 1 Dr. Ledyard Tucker  
University of Illinois  
Department of Psychology  
603 E. Daniel Street  
Champaign, IL 61820
- 1 Dr. V. R. R. Uppuluri  
Union Carbide Corporation  
Nuclear Division  
P. O. Box Y  
Oak Ridge, TN 37830
- 1 Dr. David Vale  
Assessment Systems Corporation  
2233 University Avenue  
Suite 310  
St. Paul, MN 55114
- 1 Dr. Howard Wainer  
Division of Psychological Studies  
Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, NJ 08540
- 1 Dr. Michael T. Waller  
Department of Educational Psychology  
University of Wisconsin--Milwaukee  
Milwaukee, WI 53201
- 1 Dr. Brian Waters  
HumRRD  
300 North Washington  
Alexandria, VA 22314
- 1 Dr. David J. Weiss  
N660 Elliott Hall  
University of Minnesota  
75 E. River Road  
Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 1 Dr. Rand R. Wilcox  
University of Southern California  
Department of Psychology  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

## Private Sector

- 1 German Military Representative  
ATTN: Wolfgang Wildegrube  
Streitkräfteamt  
D-5300 Bonn 2  
4000 Brandywine Street, NW  
Washington , DC 20016
- 1 Dr. Bruce Williams  
Department of Educational Psychology  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, IL 61801
- 1 Ms. Marilyn Wingersky  
Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, NJ 08541
- 1 Dr. Wendy Yen  
CTB/McGraw Hill  
Del Monte Research Park  
Monterey, CA 93940

E  
ED  
B4  
C