

AD-A147 188

USAAEFA PROJECT NO. 82-15-1



UH-60A EXTERNAL STORES SUPPORT SYSTEM FIXED PROVISION FAIRINGS DRAG DETERMINATIONS

ROBERT A. WILLIAMS
CW4, AV
PROJECT OFFICER / PROJECT PILOT

ROBERT M. BUCKANIN
PROJECT ENGINEER

ROBERT MACMULLIN
CPT (P), AV
PROJECT PILOT

WILLIAM ABBOTT
PROJECT ENGINEER

JOSEPH O. MIESS
CW4, AV
PROJECT PILOT

GARY L. SKINNER
PROJECT ENGINEER

FINAL REPORT

MAY 1984



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE, DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

UNITED STATES ARMY AVIATION ENGINEERING FLIGHT ACTIVITY
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA 93523

DISC FILE COPY

USAAEFA

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

TRADE NAMES

The use of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of the commercial hardware and software.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER USAAEFA PROJECT NO. 82-15-1	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD A147188	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) UH-60A EXTERNAL STORES SUPPORT SYSTEM FIXED PROVISION FAIRINGS DRAG DETERMINATION		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Report 30 Aug - 22 Sep 1983
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) ROBERT A. WILLIAMS ROBERT MACMULLIN JOSEPH O. MIESS ROBERT BUCKANIN WILLIAM Y. ABBOTT GARY L. SKINNER		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US ARMY AVN ENGINEERING FLIGHT ACTIVITY EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CA 93523-5000		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 68-3-BH019-01-68-EC
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS US ARMY AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND 4300 GOODFELLOW BOULEVARD ST. LOUIS, MO 63120-1798		12. REPORT DATE MAY 1984
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 48
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Equivalent Flat Plate Area UH-60A External Stores Support System Performance Evaluation Fixed Provision Fairings Hover Capability		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A comparative performance evaluation of the UH-60A helicopter in the normal utility configuration and with the External Stores Support System (ESSS) fixed provision fairings configuration (ESSS wings removed) was conducted at Edwards AFB, California. A total of eight flights were flown between 30 August and 22 September 1983 for a total of 10.0 productive hours. The increase in equivalent flat plate area due to installation of the ESSS fixed provision fairings was 2.5 feet ² . With the ESSS fixed provision fairings installed at the out-of-ground		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 63 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Effect hover guarantee conditions of 95 percent intermediate rated power at 4700 feet pressure altitude on a 35°C day, the hover capability was reduced 466 pounds. Incorporating the weight of the airframe fixed provisions will reduce the payload by 596.6 pounds or the equivalent of eliminating two combat equipped troops and 117 pounds of fuel or equipment. 4



1. Date	
2. Time	
3. Location	
4. Description	
5. Remarks	
6. Signature	
7. Initials	
8. Date	
9. Time	
10. Location	
11. Description	
12. Remarks	
13. Signature	
14. Initials	
15. Date	
16. Time	
17. Location	
18. Description	
19. Remarks	
20. Signature	
21. Initials	
22. Date	
23. Time	
24. Location	
25. Description	
26. Remarks	
27. Signature	
28. Initials	
29. Date	
30. Time	
31. Location	
32. Description	
33. Remarks	
34. Signature	
35. Initials	
36. Date	
37. Time	
38. Location	
39. Description	
40. Remarks	
41. Signature	
42. Initials	
43. Date	
44. Time	
45. Location	
46. Description	
47. Remarks	
48. Signature	
49. Initials	
50. Date	
51. Time	
52. Location	
53. Description	
54. Remarks	
55. Signature	
56. Initials	
57. Date	
58. Time	
59. Location	
60. Description	
61. Remarks	
62. Signature	
63. Initials	
64. Date	
65. Time	
66. Location	
67. Description	
68. Remarks	
69. Signature	
70. Initials	
71. Date	
72. Time	
73. Location	
74. Description	
75. Remarks	
76. Signature	
77. Initials	
78. Date	
79. Time	
80. Location	
81. Description	
82. Remarks	
83. Signature	
84. Initials	
85. Date	
86. Time	
87. Location	
88. Description	
89. Remarks	
90. Signature	
91. Initials	
92. Date	
93. Time	
94. Location	
95. Description	
96. Remarks	
97. Signature	
98. Initials	
99. Date	
100. Time	
101. Location	
102. Description	
103. Remarks	
104. Signature	
105. Initials	
106. Date	
107. Time	
108. Location	
109. Description	
110. Remarks	
111. Signature	
112. Initials	
113. Date	
114. Time	
115. Location	
116. Description	
117. Remarks	
118. Signature	
119. Initials	
120. Date	
121. Time	
122. Location	
123. Description	
124. Remarks	
125. Signature	
126. Initials	
127. Date	
128. Time	
129. Location	
130. Description	
131. Remarks	
132. Signature	
133. Initials	
134. Date	
135. Time	
136. Location	
137. Description	
138. Remarks	
139. Signature	
140. Initials	
141. Date	
142. Time	
143. Location	
144. Description	
145. Remarks	
146. Signature	
147. Initials	
148. Date	
149. Time	
150. Location	
151. Description	
152. Remarks	
153. Signature	
154. Initials	
155. Date	
156. Time	
157. Location	
158. Description	
159. Remarks	
160. Signature	
161. Initials	
162. Date	
163. Time	
164. Location	
165. Description	
166. Remarks	
167. Signature	
168. Initials	
169. Date	
170. Time	
171. Location	
172. Description	
173. Remarks	
174. Signature	
175. Initials	
176. Date	
177. Time	
178. Location	
179. Description	
180. Remarks	
181. Signature	
182. Initials	
183. Date	
184. Time	
185. Location	
186. Description	
187. Remarks	
188. Signature	
189. Initials	
190. Date	
191. Time	
192. Location	
193. Description	
194. Remarks	
195. Signature	
196. Initials	
197. Date	
198. Time	
199. Location	
200. Description	
201. Remarks	
202. Signature	
203. Initials	
204. Date	
205. Time	
206. Location	
207. Description	
208. Remarks	
209. Signature	
210. Initials	
211. Date	
212. Time	
213. Location	
214. Description	
215. Remarks	
216. Signature	
217. Initials	
218. Date	
219. Time	
220. Location	
221. Description	
222. Remarks	
223. Signature	
224. Initials	
225. Date	
226. Time	
227. Location	
228. Description	
229. Remarks	
230. Signature	
231. Initials	
232. Date	
233. Time	
234. Location	
235. Description	
236. Remarks	
237. Signature	
238. Initials	
239. Date	
240. Time	
241. Location	
242. Description	
243. Remarks	
244. Signature	
245. Initials	
246. Date	
247. Time	
248. Location	
249. Description	
250. Remarks	
251. Signature	
252. Initials	
253. Date	
254. Time	
255. Location	
256. Description	
257. Remarks	
258. Signature	
259. Initials	
260. Date	
261. Time	
262. Location	
263. Description	
264. Remarks	
265. Signature	
266. Initials	
267. Date	
268. Time	
269. Location	
270. Description	
271. Remarks	
272. Signature	
273. Initials	
274. Date	
275. Time	
276. Location	
277. Description	
278. Remarks	
279. Signature	
280. Initials	
281. Date	
282. Time	
283. Location	
284. Description	
285. Remarks	
286. Signature	
287. Initials	
288. Date	
289. Time	
290. Location	
291. Description	
292. Remarks	
293. Signature	
294. Initials	
295. Date	
296. Time	
297. Location	
298. Description	
299. Remarks	
300. Signature	
301. Initials	
302. Date	
303. Time	
304. Location	
305. Description	
306. Remarks	
307. Signature	
308. Initials	
309. Date	
310. Time	
311. Location	
312. Description	
313. Remarks	
314. Signature	
315. Initials	
316. Date	
317. Time	
318. Location	
319. Description	
320. Remarks	
321. Signature	
322. Initials	
323. Date	
324. Time	
325. Location	
326. Description	
327. Remarks	
328. Signature	
329. Initials	
330. Date	
331. Time	
332. Location	
333. Description	
334. Remarks	
335. Signature	
336. Initials	
337. Date	
338. Time	
339. Location	
340. Description	
341. Remarks	
342. Signature	
343. Initials	
344. Date	
345. Time	
346. Location	
347. Description	
348. Remarks	
349. Signature	
350. Initials	
351. Date	
352. Time	
353. Location	
354. Description	
355. Remarks	
356. Signature	
357. Initials	
358. Date	
359. Time	
360. Location	
361. Description	
362. Remarks	
363. Signature	
364. Initials	
365. Date	
366. Time	
367. Location	
368. Description	
369. Remarks	
370. Signature	
371. Initials	
372. Date	
373. Time	
374. Location	
375. Description	
376. Remarks	
377. Signature	
378. Initials	
379. Date	
380. Time	
381. Location	
382. Description	
383. Remarks	
384. Signature	
385. Initials	
386. Date	
387. Time	
388. Location	
389. Description	
390. Remarks	
391. Signature	
392. Initials	
393. Date	
394. Time	
395. Location	
396. Description	
397. Remarks	
398. Signature	
399. Initials	
400. Date	
401. Time	
402. Location	
403. Description	
404. Remarks	
405. Signature	
406. Initials	
407. Date	
408. Time	
409. Location	
410. Description	
411. Remarks	
412. Signature	
413. Initials	
414. Date	
415. Time	
416. Location	
417. Description	
418. Remarks	
419. Signature	
420. Initials	
421. Date	
422. Time	
423. Location	
424. Description	
425. Remarks	
426. Signature	
427. Initials	
428. Date	
429. Time	
430. Location	
431. Description	
432. Remarks	
433. Signature	
434. Initials	
435. Date	
436. Time	
437. Location	
438. Description	
439. Remarks	
440. Signature	
441. Initials	
442. Date	
443. Time	
444. Location	
445. Description	
446. Remarks	
447. Signature	
448. Initials	
449. Date	
450. Time	
451. Location	
452. Description	
453. Remarks	
454. Signature	
455. Initials	
456. Date	
457. Time	
458. Location	
459. Description	
460. Remarks	
461. Signature	
462. Initials	
463. Date	
464. Time	
465. Location	
466. Description	
467. Remarks	
468. Signature	
469. Initials	
470. Date	
471. Time	
472. Location	
473. Description	
474. Remarks	
475. Signature	
476. Initials	
477. Date	
478. Time	
479. Location	
480. Description	
481. Remarks	
482. Signature	
483. Initials	
484. Date	
485. Time	
486. Location	
487. Description	
488. Remarks	
489. Signature	
490. Initials	
491. Date	
492. Time	
493. Location	
494. Description	
495. Remarks	
496. Signature	
497. Initials	
498. Date	
499. Time	
500. Location	
501. Description	
502. Remarks	
503. Signature	
504. Initials	
505. Date	
506. Time	
507. Location	
508. Description	
509. Remarks	
510. Signature	
511. Initials	
512. Date	
513. Time	
514. Location	
515. Description	
516. Remarks	
517. Signature	
518. Initials	
519. Date	
520. Time	
521. Location	
522. Description	
523. Remarks	
524. Signature	
525. Initials	
526. Date	
527. Time	
528. Location	
529. Description	
530. Remarks	
531. Signature	
532. Initials	
533. Date	
534. Time	
535. Location	
536. Description	
537. Remarks	
538. Signature	
539. Initials	
540. Date	
541. Time	
542. Location	
543. Description	
544. Remarks	
545. Signature	
546. Initials	
547. Date	
548. Time	
549. Location	
550. Description	
551. Remarks	
552. Signature	
553. Initials	
554. Date	
555. Time	
556. Location	
557. Description	
558. Remarks	
559. Signature	
560. Initials	
561. Date	
562. Time	
563. Location	
564. Description	
565. Remarks	
566. Signature	
567. Initials	
568. Date	
569. Time	
570. Location	
571. Description	
572. Remarks	
573. Signature	
574. Initials	
575. Date	
576. Time	
577. Location	
578. Description	
579. Remarks	
580. Signature	
581. Initials	
582. Date	
583. Time	
584. Location	
585. Description	
586. Remarks	
587. Signature	
588. Initials	
589. Date	
590. Time	
591. Location	
592. Description	
593. Remarks	
594. Signature	
595. Initials	
596. Date	
597. Time	
598. Location	
599. Description	
600. Remarks	

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HEADQUARTERS, US ARMY AVIATION SYSTEMS COMMAND
4300 GOODFELLOW BOULEVARD, ST. LOUIS, MO 63120 -1798

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

AMSAV-E

SUBJECT: Directorate for Engineering Position on the Final Report of USAAEFA
Project 82-15-1, UH-60A External Stores Support System Fixed Provision
Fairings Drag Determination

SEE DISTRIBUTION

1. The purpose of this letter is to establish the Directorate for Engineering position on the subject report. The subject evaluation was conducted to determine the increased drag due to the External Store Support System (ESSS) fixed provision fairings and hover performance with the ESSS fixed provision fairings installed. In August 1977, USAAEFA conducted an Airworthiness and Flight Characteristics (A&FC) evaluation of the normal utility configured UH-60A using aircraft, S/N 77-22716. Following development of the ESSS, AEFA conducted an A&FC evaluation of the ESSS configured UH-60A using aircraft S/N 77-22714, which included a comparison of the full ESSS and ESSS fixed provision hover performance. When the results of the hover performance tests of the UH-60A A&FC and ESSS A&FC were compared, a download penalty due to the ESSS fixed provisions of 5 percent of gross weight out of ground effect (HOGE) and 7.4 percent of gross weight in ground effect (HIGE) was shown. This appeared to be excessive and it was decided to conduct back to back tests using the UH-60A A&FC aircraft (S/N 77-22716). This back to back test (ESSS fixed provisions on vs ESSS fixed provisions off) is reported here.

2. The back-to-back test results reported herein show penalties of 0.5 percent of design gross weight HIGE, 2.7 percent of design gross weight HOGE and 2.5 ft² equivalent flat plate drag area in forward flight. The accuracy of these results is supported by AEFA's ability to exactly reproduce, in these tests, the UH-60A A&FC HOGE tests conducted on the same helicopter six years earlier. A review of the back-to-back tests reported here shows the test conditions were comparable (density altitude, temperature and rotor tip speed) for both configurations, ESSS fixed provisions on and off. There is very little scatter in the data, but there is a distinct difference between the data of the two configurations. However, these data differ significantly with the penalties predicted by the contractor's analysis of 0 percent design gross weight HIGE and HOGE and 1 ft² equivalent flat plate drag area in forward flight.

AMSAV-E

SUBJECT: Directorate for Engineering Position on the Final Report of USAAEFA
Project 82-15-1, UH-60A External Stores Support System Fixed Provision
Fairings Drag Determination

3. This Directorate agrees with the report conclusions and recommendations, except that the UH-60A operator's manual should not be updated until completion of the A&FC evaluation of the sixth year production UH-60A (AEFA Project No. 83-25). The A&FC of the sixth year UH-60A will strengthen the data base of performance measurements with ESSS fixed provisions on and off and clear up some anomalies in flight performance data (non-dimensional hover performance variation with density altitude and inflection points on advancing tip Mach number trends).

FOR THE COMMANDER:


RONALD E. GORMONT
Acting Director of Engineering

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	
Background.....	1
Test Objectives.....	1
Description.....	1
Test Scope.....	2
Test Methodology.....	2
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
General.....	4
Hover Performance.....	4
Level Flight Performance.....	5
CONCLUSIONS.....	6
RECOMMENDATIONS.....	7
APPENDIXES	
A. References.....	8
B. Aircraft Description.....	9
C. Instrumentation.....	20
D. Test Techniques and Data Analysis Methods.....	22
E. Test Data.....	28
DISTRIBUTION	

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

1. The US Army has stated a requirement for self deployment capability for the UH-60A helicopter. To satisfy this requirement, Sikorsky Aircraft (SA), Division of United Technologies, has designed the External Stores Support System (ESSS), which consists of airframe fixed provisions and an external stores subsystem. The external stores subsystem can be removed and the UH-60A can be flown in this configuration with the fixed provision fairings installed.

2. In August 1983 the US Army Aviation Engineering Flight Activity (USAAEFA) was tasked by the US Army Aviation Systems Command (ref 1, app A) to evaluate aircraft performance with the fixed provision fairings.

TEST OBJECTIVES

3. The objectives of this test were to determine the increased drag due to the fixed provision fairings and to obtain hover performance data with fixed provision fairings installed.

DESCRIPTION

4. The test helicopter was a UH-60A, US Army S/N 77-22716, the third production UH-60A. Primary mission gross weight (ref 2, app A) is 16,260 pounds and the present maximum alternate gross weight is 20,250 pounds. The UH-60A is powered by two General Electric T700-GE-700 turboshaft engines, each rated at 1553 shaft horsepower (shp) installed at sea level, standard-day static conditions. Installed dual-engine power is transmission limited to 2828 shp. In the ESSS configuration, the UH-60A is equipped with integral airframe fixed provisions and a removable external stores subsystem. With the external stores subsystem (wings) removed, a set of aerodynamic fairings (fixed provision fairings) are installed. The fixed provision fairings used during this evaluation were handmade and had significantly smoother surface texture and slight shape differences when compared to the 6th year production UH-60A fairings (photos 4 and 5, app B). A more detailed description of the UH-60A and the fixed provision fairings is included in appendix B.

Best Available Copy

TEST SCOPE

5. The flight testing was performed at Edwards Air Force Base, California (2302 feet). A total of eight flights were conducted between 30 August and 22 September 1983 for a total of 15.6 flight test hours of which 10.0 were productive flight hours. USAAEFA calibrated and maintained the test instrumentation and performed all required maintenance on the helicopter. Personnel from SA installed the tested fixed provision fairings. Flight restrictions and operating limitations observed during the test are contained in the operator's manual (ref 3, app A). Testing was conducted in accordance with the test plan (ref 4, app A) at the conditions shown in table 1.

Table 1. Test Conditions¹

Type	Gross Weight (lb)	Longitudinal Center of Gravity (FS)	Density Altitude (ft)	Referred Rotor Speed (RPM)	Trim Airspeed (KTAS)
Hover	14,900 to 23,200 ²	353 (MID)	3280 to 3780	242 to 261	0
Level Flight	14,500 to 16,200	347 (FWD)	7510 to 13,860	258	45 to 168

NOTES:

¹Tests were conducted at a mid lateral center of gravity (0.1 inch left) in two configurations: normal utility and ESSS fixed provision fairings.

²Aircraft gross weight plus cable tension

TEST METHODOLOGY

6. A detailed listing of the test instrumentation is contained in appendix C. Established flight test techniques and data reduction procedures were used (ref 5, app A), and are described in appendix D. The flight test data were obtained from test

instrumentation displayed on the instrument panel and recorded on magnetic tape installed in the aircraft. Real time telemetry monitoring of selected data parameters was used during these tests.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

GENERAL

7. Limited performance flight testing was conducted on the UH-60A helicopter to determine the comparative performance differences between the normal utility configuration, as described in USAAFEA Report No. 77-17 (ref 6, app A), and the ESSS fixed provision fairings configuration. The increase in equivalent flat plate area (F_e) due to installation of the ESSS fixed provision fairings was 2.5 feet². At the out-of-ground effect (OGE) hover guarantee conditions of 95 percent intermediate rated power (IRP) at 4700 feet pressure altitude (Hp) on a 35°C day, the hover capability was reduced 466 pounds.

HOVER PERFORMANCE

8. Hover performance tests were conducted at Edwards AFB, CA at the conditions and configurations listed in table 1. A left main wheel height of 5.3 feet was used for in-ground effect (IGE) and 100 feet for OGE. The tethered hover method was used to obtain the majority of the data with a limited amount gathered using the free flight hovering method. A cable tensiometer was used to measure total thrust less gross weight. Variations in the coefficient of thrust (C_T) were attained by varying cable tension or rotor speed. Hover test results are presented in figures 1 and 2, appendix E. Test data with the fairings installed, compared to the normal utility configuration, indicate an increase in power required of approximately 1 percent to hover at 5.3 feet and 4 percent to hover OGE. When the same comparison was made during a previous test (ref 7, app A), an increase in power required of 11 percent (IGE) and 7 percent (OGE) was noted. This difference between test results for the same configuration confirms the observation reported in the previous test, that the increase in power required was too great. Since test results presented in this report agree with the Airworthiness and Flight Characteristics Evaluation OGE test results in the normal utility configuration (ref 6), and a baseline was flown for each wheel height, the previous data should be disregarded. The increase in power required, to hover with the ESSS fixed provision fairings installed as reported herein, is representative and should be incorporated in the operator's manual.

9. The standard day OGE hover ceiling at the primary mission gross weight of 16,260 pounds using IRP was 11,200 feet in the normal utility configuration as published in USAAFEA Report

No. 77-17 (ref 6, app A). With the fairings installed there was a decrease of 850 feet in the hover ceiling. At 4000 feet H_p on a 35°C day, the maximum gross weight of 17,721 pounds for OGE hover in the normal utility configuration decreased 522 pounds to 17,199 pounds with the ESSS fixed provision fairings installed. At the hover performance guarantee condition of 95 percent IRP at 4700 feet H_p on a 35°C day, the hover capability was reduced 466 pounds from 16,570 to 16,104 pounds. Incorporating the weight of the airframe fixed provisions (130.6 pounds, table 1, app B) will reduce the payload by 596.6 pounds (466 + 130.6 pounds) or the equivalent of eliminating two combat equipped troops and 117 pounds of fuel or equipment.

LEVEL FLIGHT PERFORMANCE

10. Level flight performance tests were conducted at the conditions listed in table 1 to determine power required and fuel flow at various airspeeds. The method used maintained the ratio of gross weight to pressure altitude ratio (W/δ) and referred rotor speed (ratio of rotor speed to ambient temperature ratio) ($N_p/\sqrt{T}$) constant resulted in a constant C_T . This was accomplished by increasing altitude as fuel was consumed and adjusting rotor speed for changes in ambient temperature. Each test was flown in ball-centered flight by reference to a calibrated lateral accelerometer. Level flight test results in the normal utility configuration are presented in figures 3 through 5, appendix E, and with the ESSS fixed provision fairings installed in figures 6 through 8. The baseline power required and inherent sideslip curves shown in these figures were derived from USAAEEA Final Report No. 81-16 (ref 8, app A). With the ESSS fixed provision fairings installed on the UH-60A helicopter, F_e increased 2.5 feet² which reduces the level flight airspeed by 2 knots at maximum continuous power.

CONCLUSIONS

11. Based on this limited evaluation, installation of the ESSS fixed provision fairings on the UH-60A helicopter resulted in the following conclusions:

a. Power required to hover was increased compared to test results of the normal utility configured UH-60A (para 8).

b. Power required to hover was decreased compared to previous test results of an ESSS fixed provision fairings configured UH-60A (para 8).

c. Drag in level flight increased by 2.5 feet² of equivalent flat plate area (para 10).

RECOMMENDATIONS

12. The following recommendations are made:

a. The hover performance data obtained during USAAEFA Project No. 82-15, dated December 1983, should be disregarded (para 8).

b. The increase in power required with the ESSS fixed provision should be incorporated in the operator's manual (para 8).

APPENDIX A. REFERENCES

1. Letter, AVRADCOM, DRDAV-D1, 31 August 1983, subject: Airworthiness and Flight Characteristics Test of the UH-60A Configured with the External Stores Support System (ESSS), USAAFEA Project No. 82-15.
2. Prime Item Development Specification, Sikorsky Aircraft Division, "PARCOM-CP-2222-S1000D Part 1", 15 October 1979.
3. Technical Manual, TM55-1520-237-10, *Operator's Manual, UH-60A Helicopter*, Headquarters Department of the Army, 21 May 1979, with change 21 dated 12 August 1983.
4. Disposition Form, USAAFEA, DAVTE-TB, 12 August 1983, subject: Test Plan for USAAFEA Project No. 82-15-1, UH-60 ESSS (Fixed Provision Fairings), Drag Determination.
5. Engineering Design Handbook, Army Material Command, AMC Pamphlet 706-204, *Helicopter Performance Testing*, 2 August 1974.
6. Final Report, USAAFEA Project No. 77-17, *Airworthiness and Flight Characteristics Evaluation UH-60A (Black Hawk) Helicopter*, September 1981.
7. Final Report, USAAFEA Project No. 82-15, *Airworthiness and Flight Characteristics Test of the UH-60A Configured with the Prototype External Stores Support System (ESSS)*, December 1983 (to be published).
8. Final Report, USAAFEA Project No. 81-16, *UH-60A Expanded Gross Weight and Center of Gravity Evaluation*, Unpublished.
9. Technical Manual, TM55-1520-237-23-2, *Aircraft General Information Manual, UH-60A Helicopter*, Headquarters Department of the Army, 29 December 1978.
10. Final Report, USAAFEA Project No. 82-09, *Preliminary Airworthiness Evaluation of UH-60A with a Improved Airspeed System*, Unpublished.

APPENDIX B. AIRCRAFT DESCRIPTION

GENERAL

1. The Sikorsky UH-60A (Black Hawk) is a twin turbine engine, single-main-rotor helicopter capable of transporting 11 combat troops plus a crew of three. It is equipped with 3 nonretractable conventional wheel-type landing gear. A movable horizontal stabilator is located on the lower portion of the tail rotor pylon. The main and tail rotors are both four-bladed with a capability of manual main rotor blade and tail pylon folding. The cross-beam tail rotor with composite blades is attached to the right side of the pylon and is canted 20 degrees upward from the horizontal. A complete description of the aircraft is contained in the operator's manual (ref 3, app A) and the aircraft general information manual (ref 9).

EXTERNAL STORES SUPPORT SYSTEM (ESSS) FIXED PROVISION FAIRINGS

2. In the ESSS configuration, the UH-60A is equipped with integral airframe fixed provisions and a removable external stores subsystem. With the external stores subsystem removed, a set of aerodynamic fairings (fixed provision fairings) (photos 1 through 4) are installed. The fixed provision fairings used during this evaluation were handmade (fiberglass) and when compared to the 6th year production UH-60A fairings, significant surface texture and slight shape differences were noted. Photo 4 is a top view side-by-side comparison of both fixed provision fairings. Photo 5, a top view of a 6th year production UH-60A fairing, shows the rough surface texture. Table 1 is a detailed weight description of the airframe fixed provisions provided by the Aviation Systems Command.

AIRSPPEED/STABILATOR MODIFICATIONS

3. The airspeed/stabilator system on the test aircraft included five modifications from the original production aircraft in an attempt to eliminate pitch oscillations during takeoff, improve climb handling qualities, and reduce large position error during various airspeed regimes. Three changes were incorporated in the pitot-static pressure systems and two changes were electrical circuit modifications to the stabilator amplifiers in the stabilator system. Major features of this system are summarized in table 2 and are described in detail in the Preliminary Airworthiness Evaluation of UH-60A with an Improved Airspeed System (ref 10, app A).



Photo 1. Fixed Provision Fairings
Looking Aft (Test Aircraft)



Photo 2. Fixed Provision Fairings
Looking Forward (Test Aircraft)




Photo 3. Fixed Provision Fairings
Left Side




Photo 4. Comparison of Fixed Provision
Fairings Looking Down




Photo 5. Sixth Year Production Fairing

Table 1. Airframe Fixed Provisions - Detail Weights

	Weight (lb)	Horizontal Arm	Lateral Arm	Vertical Arm
Upper Fitting Sta. 295 (2)	17.1	295.0	0	260.0
Lower Fitting Sta. 295 (2)	6.6	295.0	0	217.1
Upper Fitting Sta. 308 (2)	18.8	308.0	0	260.0
Lower Fitting Sta. 308 (2)	7.9	308.0	0	217.1
Longitudinal Structure (2)	5.1	301.5	0	263.3
Lettison System	7.2	245.0	0	218.0
Auxiliary Fuel System - Provisions In Main Tank	2.2	428.5	-6.8	225.5
Auxiliary Fuel System - Provisions In Fuselage	10.9	348.0	0	263.0
Auxiliary Fuel System - Wiring in Fuselage	1.1	369.0	0	265.0
Blood Air System	2.6	315.5	-0.8	266.7
Wine Dispenser	2.0	255.0	0	250.0
Work Platform	16.3	301.5	0	266.1
Boxes 245 and 308 Roof-top	17.6	301.5	0	251.2
Emergency Steps	7.2	295.0	0	241.0
TOTAL AIRFRAME FIXED PROVISIONS	(122.6)	(303.9)	(-0.1)	(250.7)
Removable Fittings	8.0	301.3	0	251.4
Total Change to HH-60A Baseline	(130.6)	(303.7)	(-0.1)	(250.7)

Table 2. Airspeed/Stabilator System Configuration

Item	Original Production	Current Production
Stabilator Airspeed Damping	0.4 sec	3.0 sec (electrical)
Pitot-Tube Orientation	Straight	Rolled 20 deg outboard 3 deg down
Stabilator Program	--	Collective gain reduced
Airspeed Indicator Damping	0.0 sec	0.4 sec
Vertical Speed Indicator Static Source Location	Pitot Tubes	Cabin

ENGINES

4. The primary power plants for the HH-60A helicopter are General Electric T700-GE-700 front drive turboshaft engines, rated at 1553 shaft horsepower (shp) at a power turbine speed 20,900 rpm (sea level, standard day installed). The engines are mounted in nacelles on either side of the main transmission. Each engine has four modules: cold section, hot section, power turbine section, and accessory section. Design features include an axial-centrifugal flow compressor, a through-flow combustor, a two-stage air-cooled high pressure gas generator turbine, a two-stage uncooled power turbine, and self contained lubrication and electrical systems. Pertinent engine data are shown below.

Model	T700-GE-700
Type	Turboshaft
Rated power	1553 shp installed at sea level, standard-day static conditions at 20,900 rpm
Compressor	Five axial stages, 1 centrifugal stage
Combustion chamber	Single annular chamber with axial flow
Gas generator stages	2
Power turbine stages	2
Direction of engine rotation (aft looking fwd)	Clockwise
Weight (dry)	415 pounds max
Length	47 in.
Maximum diameter	25 in.
Fuel	MIL-T-5624 grade JP-4 or JP-5

BASIC AIRCRAFT INFORMATION

5. General data of the HH-60A helicopter are as follows:

Gross Weight

Maximum alternate gross weight	20,250 pounds
Empty weight	Approximately 10,620 pounds
Primary Mission gross weight	16,260 pounds
Fuel capacity	364 gallons

Main Rotor

Number of blades	4
Diameter	53 ft, 8 in.
Blade chord	1.73/1.75 ft
Blade twist	-18 deg (equivalent)
Blade tip sweep	20 deg aft
Blade area (one blade)	46.7 sq ft
Airfoil section (root to tip) designation thickness (percent chord)	SC1095/SC1095R8 9.5 percent
Main rotor mast tilt (forward)	3 deg

Tail Rotor

Number of blades	4
Diameter	11 ft
Blade chord	0.81 ft
Blade twist (equivalent linear)	-18 deg
Blade area (one blade)	4.46 sq ft
Airfoil section (root to tip designation) thickness (percent chord)	SC1095/SC1095R8 9.5 percent
Cant angle	20 deg

Gear Ratios

<u>Main Transmission</u>	<u>Input RPM</u>	<u>Output RPM</u>	<u>Ratio</u>	<u>(Teeth)</u>
Input bevel	20,900.0	5747.5	3.6364	(80/22)
Main bevel	5747.5	1206.3	4.7647	(81/17)
Planetary	1206.3	257.9	4.6774	(228 + 62)
				62
Tail takeoff	1206.3	4115.5	0.2931	(34/116)
Accessory bevel (generator)	5747.5	11,805.7	0.4868	(37/76)
Accessory spur (hydraulics)	11,805.7	7186.1	1.6429	(92/56)
Intermediate Gearbox	4115.5	3318.9	1.2400	(31/25)
Tail Gearbox	3318.9	1189.8	2.7895	(53/19)
Overall				
Engine to main rotor	20,900.0	257.9	81.0419	
Engine to tail rotor	20,900.0	1189.8	17.5658	
Tail rotor to main rotor	1189.8	257.9	4.6136	

APPENDIX C. INSTRUMENTATION

1. The test instrumentation was installed, calibrated and maintained by the US Army Aviation Engineering Flight Activity personnel. A test boom with a swiveling pitot-static tube and angle of attack and sideslip vanes, was installed at the nose of the aircraft. The data acquisition system utilized pulse code modulation encoding on magnetic tape onboard the aircraft, and to the ground for real time monitoring through telemetry transmission. Data was displayed or recorded as indicated below.

Pilot Station

Airspeed (boom)
Altitude (boom)
Altitude (radar-dual range)*
Rate of climb*
Rotor speed (sensitive)
Engine torque* **
Turbine gas temperature ($T_{4.5}$)**
Engine gas generator speed**
Control positions
 Longitudinal
 Lateral
 Pedal
 Collective
Stabilator position*
Angle of sideslip
Sensitive bank angle (center of gravity lateral acceleration)

Copilot/Engineer Station

Airspeed (ship's system)
Altitude (ship's system)
Rotor speed*
Engine torque* **
Total air temperature
Engine fuel used (totalizer)
APU fuel used (totalizer)
Ballast cart position
Time code display
Run number
Event switch

Digital (PCM) Data Parameters

Airspeed (ship)
Airspeed (boom)
Altitude (boom)

*Ship's system/not calibrated

**Both engines

Altitude (ship)
 Altitude (radar)
 Total air temperature
 Rotor speed
 Engine torque**
 Turbine gas temperature (T_{4,5})**
 Engine gas generator speed**
 Engine power turbine speed**
 Engine fuel flow**
 Engine fuel used**
 Main rotor shaft torque
 Main rotor shaft bending
 Tail rotor shaft torque
 Tail rotor impress pitch
 Stabilator position
 Ballast cart position
 Control positions
 Longitudinal
 Lateral
 Pedal
 Collective
 Stability augmentation system actuator output positions
 Longitudinal
 Lateral
 Directional
 Angle of attack
 Angle of sideslip
 Aircraft attitude
 Pitch
 Roll
 Yaw
 Aircraft angular rate
 Pitch
 Roll
 Yaw
 Linear acceleration
 Center of gravity normal
 Center of gravity lateral
 Center of gravity longitudinal
 Time of day
 Run number
 Count

**Both engines

APPENDIX D. TEST TECHNIQUES AND DATA ANALYSIS METHODS

AIRCRAFT WEIGHT AND BALANCE

1. The aircraft was weighed in the test configuration with full oil and all fuel drained prior to the start of the program. The initial weight of the aircraft was 14,750 pounds with the longitudinal center of gravity (cg) located at fuselage station (FS) 359.5 with the cg of the empty ballast cart located at FS 301. The fuel cells and external sight gages were calibrated on a previous evaluation. The measured fuel capacity using the gravity fueling method was 364 gallons. The fuel weight for each test flight was determined prior to engine start and after engine shutdown by using the external sight gage to determine the fuel volume and measuring it's specific gravity. Aircraft cg was controlled by a moveable ballast system which was manually positioned to maintain a constant cg while fuel was burned. The moveable ballast system was a cart (2000 pound capacity) attached to the cabin floor by rails and driven by an electric screw jack with a total longitudinal travel of 72.3 inches.

PERFORMANCE

General

2. Helicopter performance was generalized through the use of nondimensional coefficients as follows using the 1968 US Standard Atmosphere:

a. Coefficient of Power (C_p):

$$C_p = \frac{SHP (550)}{\rho A (\Omega R)^3} \quad (1)$$

b. Coefficient of Thrust (C_T):

$$C_T = \frac{GW + CABLE TENSION}{\rho A (\Omega R)^2} \quad (2)$$

c. Advance Ratio (μ):

$$\mu = \frac{V_T (1.6878)}{\Omega R} \quad (3)$$

Where:

SHP = Engine output shaft horsepower (total for both engines)

ρ = Ambient air density (lb-sec²/ft⁴)

A = Main rotor disc area = 2262 ft²

Ω = Main rotor angular velocity (radians/sec)

R = Main rotor radius = 26.833 ft

GW = Gross weight (lb)

Cable Tension = Tension of tether hover cable (lb)

$$V_T = \text{True airspeed (kt)} = \frac{V_E}{1.6878 \sqrt{\rho/\rho_0}}$$

1.6878 = Conversion factor (ft/sec-kt)

ρ_0 = 0.0023769 (lb-sec²/ft⁴)

V_E = Equivalent airspeed (ft/sec) =

$$\left\{ \frac{7(70.7262 P_a)}{\rho_0} \left(\left[\left(\frac{P_c}{P_a} \right) + 1 \right]^{2/7} - 1 \right) \right\}^{1/2}$$

70.7262 = Conversion factor (lb/ft²-in.-Hg)

P_c = Dynamic pressure (in.-Hg)

P_a = Ambient air pressure (in.-Hg)

At the normal operating rotor speed of 257.9 (100%), the following constants may be used to calculate C_p and C_T :

$$QR = 724.685$$

$$(QR)^2 = 525,168.15$$

$$(QR)^3 = 380,581,411.2$$

3. The engine output shaft torque was determined by use of the engine torque sensor. The power turbine shaft contains a torque

sensor tube that measures the total twist of the shaft. A concentric reference shaft is secured by a pin at the front end of the power turbine drive shaft and is free to rotate relative to the power turbine drive shaft at the rear end. The relative rotation is due to transmitted torque, and the resulting phase angle between the reference teeth on the two shafts is picked up by the torque sensor. This torque sensor was calibrated in a test cell by the engine manufacturer. The output from the engine torque sensor was recorded on the on-board data recording system. The output SHP was determined from the engine's output shaft torque and rotational speed by the following equation.

$$\text{SHP} = \frac{O(N_p)}{5252.113} \quad (4)$$

Where:

O = Engine output shaft torque (ft-lb)

N_p = Engine output shaft rotational speed (rpm)

5252.113 = Conversion factor (ft-lb-rev/min-SHP)

The output SHP required was assumed to include 13 horsepower for daylight operations of the aircraft electrical system, but was corrected for the effects of test instrumentation installation. A power loss of 1.82 horsepower was determined for electrical operation of the instrumentation.

Shaft Horsepower Available

4. Shaft horsepower available for the T700-GE-700 engine installed in the HH-60A was obtained from data received from Aviation Systems Command and presented in USAAFFA Report No. 77-17 (ref 5, app A). This data was calculated using the General Electric engine deck number 80024, dated 26 February 1981 with a power turbine shaft speed of 20,900 rpm. The installation losses used were based on 0.25 degree C engine inlet temperature rise in a hover, exhaust losses as obtained from the Sikorsky aircraft Document Number SER-70410, Revision 2, dated 8 March 1979, inlet ram pressure recovery as obtained from the Sikorsky Prime Item Development Specification, and an inlet temperature rise in forward flight assuming an adiabatic rise referenced to a zero degree rise in a hover.

Hover Performance

5. Hover performance was obtained by the tethered hover technique. Additional free flight hover data were accumulated to verify the tethered hover data. All hover tests were conducted in winds of less than 3 knots. Tethered hover consists of restraining the helicopter to the ground by a cable in series with a load cell. An increase in cable tension, measured by the load cell, is equivalent to increasing gross weight. Free-flight hover tests consisted of stabilizing the helicopter at a desired height using the radar altimeter as a height reference. All hovering data were reduced to nondimensional parameters of C_p and C_T using equations 1 and 2, respectively. Adjustments in C_p for changes in density altitude as presented in reference 5, app A, were required for dimensional comparisons.

LEVEL FLIGHT PERFORMANCE

6. Each speed power was flown in ball centered flight by reference to a calibrated lateral accelerometer at a predetermined C_T and referred rotor speed ($N_R/\sqrt{\delta}$). To maintain the ratio of gross weight to pressure ratio (W/δ) constant, altitude was increased as fuel was consumed. To maintain $N_R/\sqrt{\delta}$ constant, rotor speed was decreased as temperature decreased.

Where:

$$\delta = \text{Temperature ratio} = \frac{\text{OAT} + 273.15}{288.15}$$

OAT = Ambient air temperature (°C)

N_R = Main rotor speed (rev/min)

$$\delta = \text{Pressure ratio} = \frac{P_A}{P_{AO}}$$

$P_{AO} = 29.9126 \text{ in.-Hg}$

Changes in equivalent flat plate area were determined from the following equation.

$$\Delta C_p = \frac{\Delta C_p \cdot 2A}{\dots} \quad (5)$$

The effects of external instrumentation drag were determined by the following equation, where the ΔF_e was estimated to be 0.833 ft².

$$\Delta \text{SHP}_{\text{instr drag}} = \frac{\Delta F_e (\rho/\rho_0)(V_T^3)}{96254} \quad (6)$$

Where:

96254 = Conversion factor (ft²-kt³/SHP)

Power required for level flight at the test day conditions was determined using the following equation.

$$\text{SHP}_t = \text{SHP} - \Delta \text{SHP}_{\text{instr drag}} - 1.82 \quad (7)$$

7. Test-day (measured) level flight data was corrected to average test day conditions by the following equations.

$$\text{SHP}_s = \text{SHP}_t \frac{(\delta_s \sqrt{\theta_s}) \left[\frac{N_R}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right]_s^3}{(\delta_t \sqrt{\theta_t}) \left[\frac{N_R}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right]_t^3} \quad (8)$$

$$V_{T_s} = V_{T_t} \frac{\left[\frac{N_R}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right]_s}{\left[\frac{N_R}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right]_t} \quad (9)$$

Where:

Subscript t = Test day

Subscript s = Average test day

8. The specific range (SR) data were derived from the test level flight power required and fuel flow (W_{P_t}). Selected level flight

performance SHP and fuel flow data for each engine were referred as follows.

$$SHP_{REF} = \frac{SHP_t}{\delta\theta^{0.5}} \quad (10)$$

$$W_{F_{REF}} = \frac{W_{P_t}}{\delta\theta^{0.55}} \quad (11)$$

A curve fit was subsequently applied to this referred data and was used as the basis to correct W_{P_t} to standard day fuel flow using the following equation.

$$W_{P_s} = W_{P_t} + \Delta W_P \quad (12)$$

Where:

ΔW_P = Changes in fuel flow between SHP_t and SHP_s

The following equation was used for determination of specific range.

$$SR = \frac{V_T}{W_{P_s}} \quad (13)$$

APPENDIX E. TEST DATA

INDEX

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Figure No.</u>
Nondimensional Hover Performance	
IGE	1
OGE	2
Level Flight Performance	
Normal Utility Configuration	3 through 5
ESSS Fixed Provision Fairings Configuration	6 through 8

FIGURE 2
NONDIMENSIONAL HOVER PERFORMANCE
 DT-88A USA 3/N 77-22718
 WHEEL HEIGHT = 100 FT

SYMBOL	METHOD	CONFIGURATION	DENSITY ALTITUDE (FT)	REFERRED ROTOR SPEED (RPM)	OAT (DEG C)
□	TETHERED	ESSS F P FAIRINGS	9788	250	21.5
○	TETHERED	ESSS F P FAIRINGS	3688	248	21.0
■	FREE	ESSS F P FAIRINGS	9748	258	22.0
●	FREE	ESSS F P FAIRINGS	3788	242	22.0
◆	TETHERED	NORM UTILITY	9348	258	19.5
△	TETHERED	NORM UTILITY	9388	281	20.0
★	TETHERED	NORM UTILITY	9388	251	19.5
▽	TETHERED	NORM UTILITY	9388	244	19.5




FIGURE 3
LEVEL FLIGHT PERFORMANCE
UH-60A USA S/N 77-22718

AIRCRAFT CONFIGURATION: NORMAL UTILITY

AVG GROSS WEIGHT (LB)	AVG CG LOCATION LONG (FSS)	AVG CG LOCATION LAT (BL)	AVG DENSITY ALTITUDE (FT)	AVG OAT (DEG C)	AVG REFERRED ROTOR SPEED (RPM)	AVG THRUST COEFFICIENT
14,400	347.1 (FWD)	0.1 LT	10,200	14.5	258.1	0.007011

NOTE: BALL-CENTERED FLIGHT





FIGURE 4
LEVEL FLIGHT PERFORMANCE
UH-60A USA S/N 77-22716

AIRCRAFT CONFIGURATION: NORMAL UTILITY

AVG GROSS WEIGHT (LB)	AVG CG LOCATION LONG (FS)	AVG CG LOCATION LAT (BL)	AVG DENSITY ALTITUDE (FT)	AVG OAT (DEG C)	AVG REFFERRED ROTOR SPEED (RPM)	AVG THRUST COEFFICIENT
15,920	347.1 (FWD)	0.1 LT	11,510	14.5	258.2	0.006002

NOTE: BALL-CENTERED FLIGHT





FIGURE 5
LEVEL FLIGHT PERFORMANCE
UH-60A USA S/N 77-22716

AIRCRAFT CONFIGURATION: NORMAL UTILITY

AVG GROSS WEIGHT (LB)	AVG CG LONG (FSD)	AVG CG LAT (BL)	AVG DENSITY ALTITUDE (FT)	AVG OAT (DEG C)	AVG REFERRED ROTOR SPEED (RPM)	AVG THRUST COEFFICIENT
18,190	347.1 (FWD)	0.1 LT	13,850	7.0	258.0	0.009018

NOTE: BALL-CENTERED FLIGHT





FIGURE 6
LEVEL FLIGHT PERFORMANCE
UH-60A USA S/N 77-22716

AIRCRAFT CONFIGURATION: ESSS F. P. FAIRINGS

AVG GROSS WEIGHT (LB)	AVG CG LOCATION LONG (FSS)	AVG CG LOCATION LAT (BL)	AVG DENSITY ALTITUDE (FT)	AVG OAT (DEG C)	AVG REFERRED ROTOR SPEED (RPM)	AVG THRUST COEFFICIENT
18,100	347.0(FWD)	0.1 LT	7510	20.0	258.2	0.007006

NOTE: BALL-CENTERED FLIGHT




FIGURE 7
LEVEL FLIGHT PERFORMANCE
UH-60A USA S/N 77-22716

AIRCRAFT CONFIGURATION: ESSS F. P. FAIRINGS

AVG GROSS WEIGHT (LB)	AVG CG LOCATION LONG (FS)	AVG CG LOCATION LAT (BL)	AVG DENSITY ALTITUDE (FT)	AVG OAT (DEG C)	AVG REFERRED ROTOR SPEED (RPM)	AVG THRUST COEFFICIENT
16,120	347.2 (FWD)	0.1 LT	10,950	13.5	258.4	0.007975

NOTE: BALL-CENTERED FLIGHT





FIGURE 8
LEVEL FLIGHT PERFORMANCE
UH-60A USA S/N 77-22716


AIRCRAFT CONFIGURATION: ESSS F. P. FAIRINGS

AVG GROSS WEIGHT (LB)	AVG CG LOCATION LONG (F)	AVG CG LOCATION LAT (BL)	AVG DENSITY ALTITUDE (FT)	AVG OAT (DEG C)	AVG REFERRED ROTOR SPEED (RPM)	AVG THRUST COEFFICIENT
16,120	347.2(FWD)	0.1 LT	13,850	6.0	258.1	0.000006

NOTE: BALL-CENTERED FLIGHT



SIDESLIP AND BASELINE FAIRINGS (DASHED LINE)
DERIVED FROM USAAEFA REPORT NO. 81-16



DISTRIBUTION

HQDA (DALO-SMM, DALO-AV, DALO-RQ, DAMO-HRS, DAMA-PPM-T, DAMA-RA, DAMA-WSA, DACA-EA)	8
US Army Materiel Command (AMCDE-SA, AMCQA-E, AMCDE-I, AMCDE-P, AMCQA-SA, AMCSM-WA AMCQA-ST)	7
US Army Training and Doctrine Command (ATTG-U, ATCD-T, ATCD-ET, ATCD-B)	4
US Army Aviation Systems Command (AMSAV-ED, AMSAV-EI, AMSAV-EL, AMSAV-EA, AMSAV-EP, AMSAV-ES, AMSAV-Q, AMSAV-MC, AMSAV-ME)	11
US Army Test and Evaluation Command (DRSTE-CT-A, DRSTE-TO-O)	2
US Army Logistics Evaluation Agency (DALO-LEI)	1
US Army Materiel Systems Analysis Agency (DRXSY-R, DRXSY-MP)	2
US Army Operational Test and Evaluation Agency (CSTE-ASD-E)	1
US Army Armor Center (ATZK-CD-TE)	1
US Army Aviation Center (ATZQ-D-T, ATZQ-TSM-A, ATZQ-TSM-S, ATZQ-TSM-U)	4
US Army Combined Arms Center (ATZLCA-AM)	1
US Army Safety Center (IGAR-TA, IGAR-Library)	2
US Army Research and Technology Laboratories (AVSCOM) (SAVDL-AS, SAVDL-POM (Library))	2
US Army Research and Technology Laboratories/Applied Technology Laboratory (SAVDL-ATL-D, SAVDL-Library)	2
US Army Research and Technology Laboratories/Aeromechanics Laboratory (AVSCOM) (SAVDL-AL-D)	1

Best Available Copy

US Army Research and Technology Laboratories/Propulsion	
Laboratory (AVSCOM) (SAVDL-PL-D)	1
Defense Technical Information Center (DDR)	12
US Military Academy, Department of Mechanics	
(Aero Group Director)	1
NTMC-TEA (MTT-IRC)	1
ASD/AFXT, ASD/ENF	2
US Naval Post Graduate School, Department Aero Engineering	1
(Professor Donald Layton)	
Assistant Technical Director for Projects, Code: CT-24	
(Mr. Joseph Dunn)	2
6520 Test Group (ENML/Stop 238)	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command (AIR 5115B, AIR 5301)	3
US Army Aviation Systems Command (AMCPM-BH-Q)	5
Sikorsky Aircraft Division, United Technologies Corporation	
(Mr. Richard Connor)	5
General Electric (Mr. Koon)	2

Best Available Copy