AD-A147 444   VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS OF HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATIONS AT THE   1/1
              BOUNDARY(U) WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH
              CENTER M G CRANDALL ET AL. JUL 84 MRC-TSR-2723
UNCLASSIFIED DAAG29-80-C-0041   F/G 12/1   NL

③

AD-A147 444

MRC Technical Summary Report #2723

VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS OF HAMILTON-JACOBI
EQUATIONS AT THE BOUNDARY

Michael G. Crandall and Richard Newcomb

Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin—Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53705

July 1984

(Received July 9, 1984)

DTIC FILE COPY

DTIC

NOV 0 . 1984

84 11 06 05 1

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON
MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS OF HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATIONS AT THE BOUNDARY

Michael G. Crandall and Richard Newcomb

ABSTRACT

At the classical level, when one considers boundary value problems for nonlinear scalar first order partial differential equations there are parts of the boundary where one does not expect to be able to prescribe boundary data. Likewise, uniqueness theorems can be proved for solutions which are prescribed only on parts of the boundary. However, globally defined classical solutions of first order nonlinear problems are rare, owing to the formation of "shocks". This theoretical difficulty has recently been overcome for equations of Hamilton-Jacobi type via the development of the theory of viscosity solutions, a sort of generalized solution for which good existence and uniqueness theorems hold. This note is concerned, in the context of viscosity solutions, with identifying parts of the boundary which are irrelevant for a given equation from the point of view of requiring data in order to prove uniqueness. This involves knowing when a viscosity solution of an equation (in the viscosity sense) in the interior of the domain may be extended by continuity to a solution in the viscosity sense to points on the boundary. The results obtained to this effect are supplemented by examples delimiting their sharpness.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 35D99, 35F30

Key Words: Hamilton-Jacobi equations, viscosity solutions, uniqueness, boundary value problem, first - order nonlinear partial differential equations.

Work Unit Number 1 (Applied Analysis)

Viscosity Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi Equations at the Boundary

by

Michael G. Crandall and Richard Newcomb

Introduction.

We begin by recalling an important notion of generalized solutions for scalar nonlinear first order partial differential equations. Let K be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^M$ and $F:K\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^M \to R$ be continuous (i.e., $F \in C(K\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^M)$). A function $u \in C(K)$ is called a viscosity solution of $F(y,u,Du) \leq 0$ on K if for each real-valued function ψ which is continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of K and each local maximum $z \in K$ of $u - \varphi$ relative to K one has

(0.1) $F(z,u(z),D\psi(z)) \leq 0.$

Here $D\varphi = (\varphi_{y_1},\ldots,\varphi_{y_M})$ is the gradient of φ. We will use the notation $C^1(K)$ to mean the set of functions which are defined and continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of K. Similarly, a viscosity solution of $F(y,u,Du) \geq 0$ in K is a $u \in C(K)$ such that for every $\varphi \in C^1(K)$ and local minimum $z \in K$ of $u - \varphi$ relative to K one has

(0.2) $F(z,u(z),D\varphi(z)) \geq 0.$

A viscosity solution of $F = 0$ on K is a function which is a viscosity solution of both $F \leq 0$ and $F \geq 0$. We also call viscosity solutions of $F \leq 0$ ($F \geq 0$) viscosity subsolutions (respectively, supersolutions) of $F = 0$. Observe that these notions do not require u to be anywhere differentiable. Indeed, although we will not do so here, there are circumstances when it is appropriate to require only a semicontinuity of u rather than continuity. The set K is also general - we have not yet restricted it in any way - but we will primarily be concerned with cases in which K satisfies $\Omega \subset K \subset \bar{\Omega}$, where Ω denotes an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^M$, $\bar{\Omega}$ is its closure and $\partial\Omega$ is its boundary.

The notion of viscosity solutions has become important in providing a theoretical basis for the interaction between equations of Hamilton-Jacobi type, control theory and differential games. The first uniqueness theorems using this notion are proved in Crandall and Lions [4]. Crandall, Evans and Lions [3] provides a simpler introduction to the subject while the book Lions [8] and the review paper Crandall and Souganidis [6] provide a view of the scope of the theory and the references to much of the recent literature.

While one primarily had the case in which F is open in mind in the theory referred to above, [4, Remark 1.13] pertained to the general case. Moreover, in [4, Proposition V.1] it is proved that a viscosity solution of

(0.3) $$u_t + H(x,t,u,Du) = 0 \text{ on } O \times (0,T)$$

where O is an open subset of R^N and $Du = (u_{x_1}, \ldots, u_{x_N})$ is the spatial gradient of u, which happens to extend continuously to $\bar{O} \times (0,T]$ is also a viscosity solution on the set $\bar{O} \times (0,T]$. See also [3, Lemma 4.1]. Of course, (0.3) is subsumed under the general case above by putting $M = N + 1$, $y_1 = x_1, \ldots, y_{M-1} = x_N$, and $y_M = t$, and

$$F(y,u,(p_1,\ldots,p_{N+1})) = p_{N+1} + H((y_1,\ldots,y_N),y_{N+1},u,(p_1,\ldots,p_N)).$$

It is further remarked in [4] that this extension property depends on a certain monotonicity of the equation in the direction of the normal to the domain, and this is the point we will examine in some generality in this note.

Our current interest in this point is partly generated by recent remarks of R. Jensen [7]. Jensen had the idea of formulating the uniqueness theorem on arbitrary closed sets, a formulation with attractive features. Our main results, which we introduce and prove in Section 1, are criteria which identify boundary points at which an inequation is automatically satisfied in the viscosity sense if it holds in the interior of a set, extending the above mentioned result for (0.3). Examples establishing the sharpness of the result are also given, and we formulate corresponding uniqueness theorems.

Section 1. The Extension Theorem and Examples

In this section Ω denotes an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^M$ and $\partial\Omega$ is its boundary. We consider sub - and supersolutions $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ of an equation $F = 0$ on Ω and define a subset I_F of $\partial\Omega$ (which we call the part of $\partial\Omega$ irrelevant for F). In nice situations u is then a sub - or supersolution (as appropriate) of $F = 0$ on $\Omega_+ I_F$. We will be concerned about how general u, Ω and F may be and still have the result hold. With this in mind, we shall make the definitions for a general open set Ω. We need to define an appropriate set of normals to a point $z \in \partial\Omega$. The open ball of radius r centered at z in $\mathbb{R}^M$ will be denoted by $B_r(z)$; i.e.

$$B_r(z) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^M: |y - z| < r\}.$$

Definition 1. Let $z \in \partial\Omega$. Then $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^M\backslash\{0\}$ is an inward normal to Ω at z if there is a $\lambda > 0$ for which the open ball of radius $|\lambda\nu|$ centered at $z + \lambda\nu$ is contained in Ω, i.e.

$$B_{|\lambda\nu|}(z + \lambda\nu) \subset \Omega.$$

The set of all inward normals to Ω at $z \in \partial\Omega$ is denoted by $N_\Omega(z)$. Another description of $N_\Omega(z)$ can be given the following way: For each $y \in \mathbb{R}^M$ there are points of $\partial\Omega$ which are nearest y. Let Py be the set of such points:

(1.1) $\qquad Py = \{ z \in \partial\Omega: |y - z| \leq |y - w| \text{ for } w \in \partial\Omega\}.$

Then

(1.2) $\qquad N_\Omega(z) = \{\lambda(y - z) : y \in \Omega, z \in Py, \lambda > 0\},$

that is, the inward normal vectors are just those in the directions from z to points in Ω for which z is a nearest point in $\partial\Omega$. We remark that there are a variety of choices for the definitions of "normal" and "tangent" vectors to an arbitrary set. We are using a notion appropriate for our purposes - as regards others and relationships among them, see Clarke [2] and Aubin and Ekeland [1]. The reader can easily convince himself that $N_\Omega(z)$ may be empty and it may be $\mathbb{R}^M\backslash\{0\}$ when $z \in \partial\Omega$.

3

__Definition 2.__ Let $z \in \partial\Omega$. Then $z \in I_F$ if there is an $r > 0$ such that for all $y \in \partial\Omega \cap B_r(z)$ and all $v \in N_\Omega(y)$ and all $(u,p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^M$

$$(1.3) \qquad\qquad F(y,u,p + v) \leq F(y,u,p).$$

In other words, z is irrelevant for F if F is nonincreasing in the inward normal directions in a neighborhood of z. Of course, I_F depends on Ω as well as F, but we won't need to indicate this in this note.

__Remark.__ Observe that the set of vectors v for which (1.3) holds for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^M$ is closed under addition. Using this and the continuity of F we deduce that if $z \in I_F$ then

$$F(z,u,p + v) \leq F(z,u,p)$$

for all v in the closed convex hull of $\limsup_{y \to z} N_\Omega(y)$, which may well be a much larger set than $N_\Omega(z)$.

We would like to prove that if $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ is a viscosity sub – or supersolution of $F = 0$ in Ω, then it is also a viscosity sub – or supersolution in $\Omega_+ I_F$, but this is not true without further restrictions. To formulate our first result we still need to define "regular points" of $\partial\Omega$. These will be defined by properties of the function

$$(1.4) \qquad\qquad d(y) = \inf\{ |y - w|^2 : w \in \partial\Omega \},$$

which is the square of the distance from y to $\partial\Omega$. Associated with $d(y)$ is the mapping P of (1.1). Indeed

$$Py = \{ w \in \partial\Omega : d(y) = |y - w|^2 \}.$$

If Py is a singleton (i.e., there is only one point in $\partial\Omega$ nearest y), we will abuse notation and also use Py to denote this closest point.

__Definition 3.__ Let $z \in \partial\Omega$. Then z is regular for Ω if there is an $r > 0$ such that if $y \in B_r(z) \cap \Omega$, then Py is a singleton, d is differentiable at y and

$$(1.5) \qquad\qquad Dd(y) = 2(y - Py).$$

__Remark.__ It is a standard and elementary exercise to show that if Ω is of class C^2 near $z \in \partial\Omega$, then z is regular for Ω.

4

Theorem 1. Let $F \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^M)$. Let $z \in I_F$ be regular for Ω, $u \in C(\Omega_+ \ I_F)$ be Lipschitz continuous near z and u be a viscosity solution of $F < 0$ ($F > 0$) on Ω. Then u is also a viscosity solution of $F < 0$ (respectively, $F > 0$) on $\Omega_+ \{z\}$. In particular, if u is a viscosity solution of $F = 0$ on Ω, then it is a viscosity solution on $\Omega_+ \{z\}$.

Before proving this result, we give two examples. The first shows that the restriction to Lipschitz continuous u's is necessary in this generality, while the second shows that if z is not regular for Ω the result may fail.

Example 1. Consider the situation $\Omega = (0,1)$ and

$$F(x,u,u') = x^\alpha u' - 1 = 0,$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$. In this example, $0 \in I_F$, since $F(0,u,p)$ is independent of p and hence is nonincreasing in all directions. Moreover, 0 is clearly regular for Ω. The function $u = x^{(1-\alpha)}/(1-\alpha)$ is a classical (and hence viscosity) solution of $F = 0$ on Ω. However, u is not Lipschitz continuous near 0. If $\varphi(x) = x$ then $u - \varphi$ has a minimum relative to $\bar{\Omega} = [0,1]$ at 0 but $F(0,u(0),\varphi'(0)) = -1 < 0$, so u is not a viscosity supersolution on $[0,1)$.

Example 2. Let $M = 2$ and (x,y) denote points of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Put

$$\Omega = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < y < x^2, \ 0 < x < 1 \}$$

and

(1.6)
$$F(x,y,u,u_x,u_y) = u_x + 3(\sqrt{y})u_y.$$

We have

$$N_\Omega((x,y)) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,0). \\ \{\lambda(2x,-1): \lambda > 0\} & \text{if } (x,y) = (x,x^2), \ 0 < x < 1. \\ \{(0,\lambda): \lambda > 0\} & \text{if } (x,y) = (x,0) \ , \ 0 < x < 1. \end{cases}$$

and it is straightforward to check that $(0,0) \in I_F$. For example, F is nonincreasing in a direction $v = (v_x,v_y)$ at a point (x,x^2) exactly when (using the linear form (1.6) of F)

$$v_x + 3(\sqrt{x^2})v_y = v_x + 3xv_y < 0.$$

5

For the normal $\nu = (2x,-1)$ this quantity is $2x - 3x < 0$. Now $u = 0$ is a Lipschitz continuous viscosity solution of $F = 0$ in Ω. The function $0 - x = - x$ has a maximum on $\bar{\Omega}$ at $(0,0)$, but $F(0,0,(1,0)) = 1 > 0$, so 0 is not a viscosity subsolution on Ω_+ $(0,0)$. (We conclude that $(0,0)$ is not regular and the requirement of regularity cannot be relaxed.)

<u>Proof of Theorem 1</u>. Let $u \in C(\Omega_+ I_F)$ be (locally) Lipschitz continuous and a viscosity solution of $F \leq 0$ in Ω. Let $z \in I_F$ be regular for Ω. Put

$$\Omega_z = \Omega_+ \{z\}$$

If $\varphi \in C^1(\Omega_z)$ and $u - \varphi$ have z as a local maximum relative to Ω_z (and hence relative to a neighborhood of z in $\bar{\Omega}$). We seek to prove that

$$(1.7) \qquad\qquad F(z,u(z),D\varphi(z)) \leq 0.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that for each small $r > 0$

$$(1.8) \qquad u(y) - \varphi(y) < u(z) - \varphi(z) \text{ for } y \in \bar{\Omega} \text{ and } |y - z| = r,$$

that is, the maximum is strict. This is because φ can be perturbed to $\varphi(y) + |y - z|^2$ which makes z a strict maximum without affecting $D\varphi(z)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We claim that

$$(1.9) \qquad\qquad \Psi_\varepsilon(y) = u(y) - \varphi(y) - \varepsilon/\delta(y)$$

has a local maximum $y_\varepsilon \in \Omega$ relative to Ω satisfying

$$(1.10) \qquad\qquad y_\varepsilon \to z \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Indeed, because $u - \varphi$ is continuous, for each small $r > 0$ we can find $w \in B_r(z) \cap \Omega$ such that (1.8) holds with w in place of z:

$$(1.11) \qquad u(y) - \varphi(y) < u(w) - \varphi(w) \text{ for } y \in \bar{\Omega} \text{ and } |y - z| = r,$$

Now consider Ψ_ε in the set $B_r(z) \cap \Omega$. We will argue informally, as it is best if the reader convinces himself of the validity of what follows: Ψ_ε tends to $-\infty$ on $\partial\Omega$. On the other hand, we can guarantee that $\Psi_\varepsilon(y) < \Psi_\varepsilon(w)$ on $|y - z| = r$ away from $\partial\Omega$ by choosing ε small, because of (1.11), and we can make $\Psi_\varepsilon(w)$ as close as we please to $u(w) - \varphi(w)$. The existence of local maxima y_ε satisfying (1.10) follows, as does the fact that we can guarantee

6

(1.12) $\Psi_\epsilon(y_\epsilon) + u(z) - \varphi(z)$.

Next let z_ϵ be nearest points to y_ϵ in $\partial\Omega$: $z_\epsilon = Py_\epsilon$. Then, by the assumption that u

is a viscosity subsolution in Ω, the regularity of z and (1.5)

(1.13) $F(y_\epsilon, u(y_\epsilon), D\varphi(y_\epsilon) - 2\epsilon(y_\epsilon - z_\epsilon)/(d(y_\epsilon))^2) < 0$.

With future uses in mind, we put

(1.14) $p_\epsilon = D\varphi(y_\epsilon)$, $\nu_\epsilon = (y_\epsilon - z_\epsilon)$, $\lambda_\epsilon = 2\epsilon/(d(y_\epsilon))^2$,

and write (1.13) as

$$F(y_\epsilon, u(y_\epsilon), p_\epsilon - \lambda_\epsilon\nu_\epsilon) - F(z_\epsilon, u(y_\epsilon), p_\epsilon - \lambda_\epsilon\nu_\epsilon) +$$
(1.15)
$$+ F(z_\epsilon, u(y_\epsilon), p_\epsilon - \lambda_\epsilon\nu_\epsilon) < 0.$$

We treat the various terms of this inequality: First, since u is Lipschitz

continuous,

(1.16) $|p_\epsilon - \lambda_\epsilon\nu_\epsilon| < L$

where L is a Lispschitz constant for u in the neighborhood of z in which y_ϵ lies.

(See [4, Lemma II.3]). Since y_ϵ, $z_\epsilon \to z$, we may use (1.15) and the continuity of F to

conclude that the difference comprised by the first two terms in (1.14) tends to 0

with ϵ. As regards the last term, observe that $z_\epsilon \to z$ and $\nu_\epsilon \in N_\Omega(z_\epsilon)$ imply, because

$z \in I_F$, that

(1.17) $F(z_\epsilon, u(y_\epsilon), p_\epsilon - \lambda_\epsilon\nu_\epsilon) > F(z_\epsilon, u(y_\epsilon), p_\epsilon)$.

Using (1.17) and the prior remark together with (1.15), we may pass to the limit as ϵ

$\to 0$ to find (1.7) as desired. The case of supersolutions is handled in the parallel

way, and the case of solutions follows from the sub and super cases.

At this point we have not even recovered the results for (0.3) mentioned above,

as they do not require Lipschitz continuity of u. By Example 1 it is clear that

further restrictions are needed on F in order to deal with more general u's. Looking

at the proof of Theorem 1 and Example 1 will make the condition we are about to

formulate more palatable.

<u>Definition 4</u>. Let $z \in I_F$. Then z is regular for F if for all sequences $z_n \in \partial\Omega$ and $y_n \in (N_\Omega(z_n) + z_n) \cap \Omega$ convergent to z and $\lambda_n > 0$ satisfying

(1.18)
$$\lambda_n |y_n - z_n|^2 \to 0$$

we have

(1.19) $\quad \displaystyle\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf F(y_n,u,p - \lambda_n(y_n - z_n)) - F(z_n,u,p - \lambda_n(y_n - z_n)) \geq 0$

uniformly for bounded u and p.

In particular, the continuity requirement we are imposing is laid only on the behaviour of F near z and in appropriate directions. We have:

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Let $F \in C(\bar{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^M)$. Let $z \in I_F$ be regular for Ω and F, $u \in C(\Omega_+ \cup I_F)$ be a viscosity solution of $F \leq 0$ ($F \geq 0$) on Ω. Then u is also a viscosity solution of $F \leq 0$ (respectively, $F \geq 0$) on $\Omega_+ \cup \{z\}$. In particular, if u is a viscosity solution of $F = 0$ on Ω, then it is a viscosity solution on $\Omega_+ \cup \{z\}$.

<u>Proof</u>. The proof follows the proof of Theorem 1 exactly up to the discussion of (1.15). With the notation (1.14), the relation (1.16) no longer holds for any L. However, p_ϵ is bounded and if we observe that

$$\lambda_\epsilon |v_\epsilon|^2 = \lambda_\epsilon |y_\epsilon - z_\epsilon|^2 = 2\epsilon/d(y_\epsilon) \to 0,$$

as follows immediately from (1.12) and the definition of Y_ϵ, then the assumption that z is regular for F may be used to claim that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\inf(F(y_\epsilon,u(y_\epsilon),p_\epsilon - \lambda_\epsilon v_\epsilon) - F(z_\epsilon,u(y_\epsilon),p_\epsilon - \lambda_\epsilon v_\epsilon)) \geq 0$$

and the proof is completed as before.

<u>Example 3</u>. We may use the situation of Example 1 to show that the "rate" in (1.18) is sharp among power laws. Indeed, with the notation of Example 1, if $z_n = 0$ for all n and y_n is a sequence of positive numbers convergent to zero which satisfies

$$\lambda_n |y_n - z_n|^{1+\alpha} = \lambda_n (y_n)^{1+\alpha} \to 0$$

we have

$$F(y_n,u,p - \lambda_n y_n) - F(0,u,p - \lambda_n y_n) = y_n^\alpha p - \lambda_n y_n^{1+\alpha} \to 0$$

uniformly for bounded p. That is, the assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied except

8

that the exponent 2 in (1.18) is replaced by $1 + \alpha$. Yet we know that the viscosity solution $x^{(1-\alpha)}/(1 - \alpha)$ of $F = 0$ on $(0,1)$ is not a supersolution on $[0,1)$.

Remarks. The proof of Theorems 1 and 2 couples the general line of argument used in the special case (0.3) in [4] and [3] with the use of the distance function to replace the particular construction used in this case. Use of the distance function is frequently advantageous in proofs in this subject (see, for example, Lions [8], [9] and Jensen [7]). By the way, it is an elementary (and standard) exercise to show that $u(x) = (d(x))^{1/2}$ is a viscosity solution of $|Du|^2 = 1$ in Ω whether or not $\partial\Omega$ is smooth. It is the only viscosity solution of this equation vanishing on $\partial\Omega$ (see [8] and [4]).

Finally, we formulate uniqueness theorems corresponding to Theorems 1 and 2. There are many possible variants of these results, and these are choosen to simply illustrate the interaction between Theorems 1 and 2 and uniqueness. Corresponding Theorem 1 we have:

Theorem U1. Let Ω be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^M$. Let $F \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^M)$ satisfy:

For $R > 0$ there is a strictly increasing function γ_R such that $\gamma_R(0) = 0$
and $F(y,u,p) - F(y,v,p) \geq \gamma_R(u - v)$ for $y \in \bar{\Omega}$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^M$ and $u,v \in [-R,R]$.

Let $u,v \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ be Lipschitz continuous, u be a viscosity solution of $F \leq 0$ and v be a viscosity solution of $F \geq 0$ on Ω. Let each point of I_F be regular for Ω. Let $u \leq v$ on $\partial\Omega \setminus I_F$, then $u \leq v$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Corresponding to Theorem 2 we have

Theorem U2. Let Ω and F satisfy the assumptions of Theorem U1. In addition, assume that each point of I_F is regular for Ω and F and that for each R there is a continuous function $g_R: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ with $g_R(0) = 0$ such that
(1.20) $F(x,u,\lambda(x - y)) - F(y,u,\lambda(x - y)) \geq - g_R(\lambda|x - y|^2 + |x - y|)$
for $x,y \in \bar{\Omega}$, $\lambda > 0$, and $|u| \leq R$. Let $u, v \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, u be a viscosity solution of $F \leq 0$, v be a viscosity solution of $F \geq 0$ and $u \leq v$ on $\partial\Omega \setminus I_F$. Then $u \leq v$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

9

Remark. The condition (1.20) on F is a weakened version of a uniqueness condition used in [4]. The relevance of such a "one-sided" condition was pointed out by R. Jensen. See also [5] for uniquess (in unbounded domains) and existence using this condition, as well as a generalization of it.

The proofs of Theorems U1 and U2 are, given Theorems 1 and 2, are routine and will not be given here. See, however, Jensen [7] concerning general formulations of results on closed sets.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] Aubin, J.-P., and I. Ekeland, Nonsmooth analysis, Working Paper - 84 - 5,
International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg,
Austria (1984).

[2] Clarke, Frank P., Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis, Canadian
Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advance Texts,
Wiley - Interscience, Toronto (1983).

[3] Crandall, M. G., L. C. Evans and P. L. Lions, Some properties of
viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, Trans. Amer. Math .
Soc. 282 (1984), 487 - 502.

[4] Crandall, M. G., and P.-L. Lions, Viscosity solutions of Hamilton -
Jacobi equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 277 (1983), 1 - 42.

[5] Crandall, M. G., and P.-L. Lions, On existence and uniqueness of solutions
of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, J. Non. Anal. Th. Meth. Appl., to appear.

[6] Crandall, M. G., and P. E. Souganidis, Developments in the theory of
nonlinear first order partial differential equations, Proceedings of
the International Symposium on Differential Equations, Birmingham,
Alabama (1983), North Holland, to appear.

[7] Jensen, R., to appear

[8] Lions, P. L., Generalized Solutions of Hamilton - Jacobi Equations,
Research Notes in Mathematics 69, Pitman, Boston, 1982.

[9] Lions, P.-L., Existence results for first-order Hamilton-
Jacobi equations, Richerche Mat. Napoli., 32 (1983), 1 - 23.

11

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2723	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A147444	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS OF HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATIONS AT THE BOUNDARY		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report - no specific reporting period
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Michael G. Crandall and Richard Newcomb		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAG29-80-C-0041
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of 610 Walnut Street Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Work Unit Number 1 – Applied Analysis
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709		12. REPORT DATE July 1984
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 11
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Hamilton-Jacobi equations, viscosity solutions, uniqueness, boundary value problem, first-order nonlinear partial differential equations

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

At the classical level, when one considers boundary value problems for nonlinear scalar first order partial differential equations there are parts of the boundary where one does not expect to be able to prescribe boundary data. Likewise, uniqueness theorems can be proved for solutions which are prescribed only on parts of the boundary. However, globally defined classical
(cont.)

DD $_{1 \text{ JAN } 73}^{\text{FORM}}$ 1473   EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE   UNCLASSIFIED

ABSTRACT (cont.)

solutions of first order nonlinear problems are rare, owing to the formation
of "shocks". This theoretical difficulty has recently been overcome for
equations of Hamilton-Jacobi type via the development of the theory of
viscosity solutions, a sort of generalized solution for which good existence
and uniqueness theorems hold. This note is concerned, in the context of
viscosity solutions, with identifying parts of the boundary which are
irrelevant for a given equation from the point of view of requiring data in
order to prove uniqueness. This involves knowing when a viscosity solution
of an equation (in the viscosity sense) in the interior of the domain may be
extended by continuity to a solution in the viscosity sense to points on the
boundary. The results obtained to this effect are supplemented by examples
delimiting their sharpness.

END

FILMED

2-84

DTIC