AD-A153 543 DESCRIPTION AND ILLUSTRATION OF THE USE OF CRACKS IV (U) AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABS MELBOURNE (AUSTRALIA) C S DENTRY AUG 84 ARL/STRUC-TM-389 1/1

UNCLASSIFIED F/G 20/11 NL






MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

ARL-STRUC-TM-389

AR-003-956

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE

## DEFENCE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANISATION

## AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES

MELBOURNE, VICTORIA

AD-A153 543

Structures Technical Memorandum 389

# DESCRIPTION AND ILLUSTRATION OF THE USE OF CRACKS IV

by

C. S. DENTRY

THE UNITED STATES NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE IS AUTHORISED TO REPRODUCE AND SELL THIS REPORT

Approved for Public Release

DTIC FILE COPY

DTIC ELECTE MAY 9 1985 S A

(C) COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA 1984

COPY No

AUGUST 1984



85 5 09 295

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

AD-A153 543

Department of Defence

# DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA

| 1. a. AR No | 1. b. Establishment No | 2. Document Date | 3 Task No |
|---|---|---|---|
| AR-003-956 | ARL-STRUC-TM-389 | AUGUST, 1984 | AIR 82/056 |

| 4. Title | 5. Security a. document | 6. No Pages |
|---|---|---|
| DESCRIPTION AND ILLUSTRATION OF THE USE OF CRACKS IV | UNCLASSIFIED<br>b. title: U c. abstract: U | 55<br>7 No Refs: 23 |

| 8. Author(s) | 9. Downgrading Instructions |
|---|---|
| C. S. DENTRY | |

| 10. Corporate Author and Address | 11 Authority (as appropriate) |
|---|---|
| Aeronautical Research Laboratories,<br>P.O. BOX 4331,<br>MELBOURNE, VIC. 3001<br>AUSTRALIA | a. Sponsor b. Security c. Downgrading d. Approval<br>(a) Air Force Office<br>DGAIRENG |

12. Secondary Distribution (of this document)

Approved for Public Release

Overseas enquirers outside stated limitations should be referred through ASDIS, Defence Information Services Branch, Department of Defence, Campbell Park, CANBERRA ACT 2601

13. a. This document may be ANNOUNCED in catalogues and awareness services available to ...

No limitations

13. b. Citation for other purposes (ie casual announcement) may be (select) unrestricted (or) as for 13 a.

| 14. Descriptors | 15. COSATI Group |
|---|---|
| Crack propagation<br>Fatigue (materials)<br>Damage tolerance<br>Mathematical models<br>Computer programs | 09020<br>11130 |

16. Abstract

This Memorandum provides an explanation of the procedure required to implement the computer program CRACKS IV for the calculation of crack growth. The procedure is illustrated by means of sample calculations.

Additional keywords: Boeing, crack propagation, fatigue (materials), damage tolerance, mathematical models, flight loads, input.

PF 65

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

This page is to be used to record information which is required by the Establishment for its own use but which will not be added to the DISTIS data base unless specifically requested.

16. Abstract (Cont'd)

17. Imprint

Aeronautical Research Laboratories,
MELBOURNE, AUSTRALIA.

| 18. Document Series and Number | 19. Cost Code | 20. Type of Report and Period Covered |
|---|---|---|
| Structures Technical Memorandum 389 | 241020 | |

21. Computer Programs Used

CRACKS IV

22. Establishment File Ref(s)

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

AR-003-956

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE

DEFENCE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANISATION

AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES

Structures Technical Memorandum 389

# DESCRIPTION AND ILLUSTRATION OF THE USE OF CRACKS IV

by

C. S. DENTRY

Summary

This Memorandum provides an explanation of the procedure required to implement the computer program CRACKS IV for the calculation of crack growth. The procedure is illustrated by means of sample calculations.

© COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA 1984.

POSTAL ADDRESS: Director, Aeronautical Research Laboratories, P.O. Box 4331, Melbourne, Victoria, 3001, Australia

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

CONTENTS


| | | | Page No. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | | 1 |
| 2. | CRACK GROWTH MODELLING | | 1 |
| 3. | INPUT DATA REQUIRED FOR CRACKS IV | | 2 |
| | 3.1 | Material Data | 2 |
| | 3.2 | Load Sequence | 4 |
| | 3.3 | Geometric Factors | 5 |
| | 3.4 | Retardation Models | 7 |
| 4. | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | | 11 |
| 5. | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | | 12 |

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

TABLES

FIGURES

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA

DISTRIBUTION




REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

## 1. INTRODUCTION

CRACKS IV is a highly versatile computer program for the calculation of crack growth rates using data on material properties, load sequence and crack geometry. Details of the derivation of the formulae used in the program have been given previously[1,2], along with instructions on the use of the program[3].

This Memorandum is directed towards an explanation of the procedure required to implement CRACKS IV to convert flight load data input to an output in terms of crack growth. The procedure will be illustrated by means of a sample calculation of the crack growth rate in a critical area of fuselage frame 26 of the Mirage IIIO aircraft (Appendix 1). Details of the aircraft, the geometry of the fuselage frame and the requirement for the crack growth rate analysis are given elsewhere[4].

## 2. CRACK GROWTH MODELLING

The essence of a crack growth program is to calculate the change in crack length resulting from the application of a single load cycle to a sample with an existing crack. The calculation is made repetitively for sequential load cycles to determine the time taken for the crack to grow between designated lengths.

The basic materials data used for the calculations are generally documented in the form of a da/dN - $\Delta K$ relationship (see also Section 3). In order to calculate the increment of crack growth per load cycle, the value of the stress intensity factor ($\Delta K$) must be determined. This factor requires a knowledge of the crack length, component and crack geometry, and the magnitude of the load cycle as indicated in equation [1].

$$\Delta K = \Delta\sigma \sqrt{\pi a} \times \beta \qquad [1]$$

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

where $\Delta K$ = range of stress intensity factor
$\Delta\sigma$ = cyclic stress range
$a$ = crack length
$\beta$ = geometrical factor

The above procedure takes no account of the effect on subsequent crack growth of large stress cycles which may produce a retardation of crack growth rate. This retardation effect can be incorporated into the calculation in a number of ways as will be described in Section 3.

## 3. INPUT DATA REQUIRED FOR CRACKS IV

There are four basic components of the input data required to service CRACKS IV. These are:

### 3.1 Material Data

The material properties required by CRACKS IV are the yield stress, $\sigma_y$, the fracture toughness, $K_c$, and the rate of crack growth as a function of stress intensity. A typical form of the latter relationship is shown in Figure 1. These data can be used in the program with a variety of formats, described below, which arise from alternative descriptions of the relationship. If raw material data are available it may be more convenient to enter the data in tabulated form.

(a) Paris equation[5]

This equation has the form

$$\frac{da}{dN} = C_o(\Delta K)^{n_o} \qquad [2]$$

specification of the parameters $C_o$ and $n_o$ being required by CRACKS IV. These parameters are sometimes quoted in materials data books.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

(b) Walker model[6]

Walker's equation in original form was an extension of the Paris equation to include the influence of the stress ratio, R, i.e.

$$\frac{da}{dN} = C(1-R)^m K_{max}^n \qquad [3]$$

However CRACKS IV uses the following equation

$$\frac{da}{dN} = C_1 \left[ \frac{\Delta K}{(1-R)^{(1-m_1)}} \right]^{n_1} \qquad [4]$$

The three parameters $C_1$, $m_1$ and $n_1$ are the required input to the program.

(c) Forman equation[7]

The Forman equation has the form

$$\frac{da}{dN} = \frac{C_2(\Delta K)^{n_2}}{(1-R)K_c - \Delta K} \qquad [5]$$

which takes into account the influence of the stress ratio R and the fracture toughness $K_c$. The two parameters $C_2$ and $n_2$ and the material fracture toughness $K_c$ may be found in materials data books.

(d) Tabulated data

The most convenient form of input of constant load amplitude da/dN - ΔK data is tabulated values. A maximum of 10 tables of da/dN - ΔK values, each appropriate to a specific R value, can be accepted by CRACKS IV. The program has the capacity to carry out a two dimensional interpolation/extrapolation on the log-linear plot of da/dN - ΔK, and between the various R values. The influence of extrapolation on crack growth prediction has been investigated in an earlier study[8].

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

Tabulated values are normally obtained as the output of analysis of the experimental values of constant load amplitude crack growth data (a-N) by an ARL computer program entitled "DADN". This program is based on the ASTM Standard E647[21] which recommends a seven-point incremental polynomial method. It should be noted that this method of analysis provides averaging which results in a loss of data range, i.e. if twenty a-N data values are entered in "DADN", then fourteen da/dN - $\Delta K$ values only are determined.

### 3.2 Load Sequence

The load sequence is commonly described in terms of either turning point data or a range-pair table (RPT). The former retains all sequence information but the RPT loses some of this information by virtue of the intervals over which the data are collected. Typically AFDAS (Aircraft Fatigue Data Analysis System), the source of much of the RPT data, is read after each flight.

If the load sequence is available as a series of turning points, it is used most simply in blocks (e.g. flights) of either max-min or mean-amplitude load cycles. Such a procedure is computationally inefficient in that it requires both large core storage and CPU time. The first level of reduction of core storage/CPU time is to edit out all cycles which will not contribute signficant crack growth. This method of truncation has been discussed in Reference 8. Programs EDIT1 and EDIT2 have been developed to generate edited tabulations of data appropriate for input to CRACKS IV and CRACKS V (see below) respectively.

The orginal version of CRACKS IV stored the entire sequence in two dimensional arrays in the central memory of the computer. However for long sequences, even after editing out the smaller load cycles, the computer central memory may still not have

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

sufficient capacity to store all turning points. The author therefore modified CRACKS IV (renamed CRACKS V) to allow segments of the sequence to be read consecutively. This results in a small increase in CPU time since all load data must now be read a number of times rather than only once as in the original version. The data re-reads arise from the repetitive application of the flight sequence until the final crack length is reached. The increase in CPU time may be offset by the reduction in required core storage since only a small segment of the total flight sequence now needs to be stored at any one time.

If the data are only available in RPT format, then some sequence information has already been lost. If the RPT summation is over a single flight then the loss of sequence information is believed to be of little consequence[9]. However the gain in speed of analysis may be considerable. Large summation periods (e.g. 500 flights) may offer greater gains in computational efficiency, but this is balanced by some reduction in the accuracy of the crack growth prediction due to the loss of sequence information. Such sequence information is essential for the calculation of the retardation effect of high loads. Balancing these two effects (computational efficiency/accuracy) requires judgement on the part of the user of CRACKS IV.

All load information must be entered into CRACKS IV in the form of stresses. The ARL version of the program includes a multiplier on stresses enabling the entire sequence to be factored if required. The method of incorporating this factor is illustrated in the example given in Appendix 1.

### 3.3 Geometric Factors

CRACKS IV as originally developed provided for five different crack geometries. These are:

(a) a through crack in a plate with a finite width correction developed by Fedderson[10] to allow for the non-infinite specimen width;

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

(b) a single through crack initiating from a hole in a plate, developed by Bowie[11];

(c) as (b) for a double through crack;

(d) ASTM compact tension specimen;

(e) Grumman compact tension specimen.

In addition CRACKS IV will accept a constant value of $\beta$, or tabulated values of $\beta$ as a function of crack length a.

The author has developed a further option which allows the insertion of an equation not included in the above, e.g. the Liu solution for a corner crack[12].

In order to make accurate crack growth predictions for aircraft structures, suitable stress intensity models are required. The above models are generally adequate for simple laboratory specimens, e.g. Fedderson's model has proven successful in defining the influence of geometry on the stress intensity of centre crack tension specimens; for compact tension specimens, both the ASTM and Grumman models can be used with confidence for the appropriate specimens. However these models cannot be justified for many of the complex crack geometries found in aircraft structures. For such situations finite element analysis could be carried out to define the influence of the crack geometry. Nevertheless this is inefficient and unnecessary when one considers the extensive literature available from studies of stress intensity factors and the influence of different crack geometries. While it is often difficult to find a solution already formulated for the geometry under consideration, a process of superposition can usually be applied. This enables the stress intensity of a complex geometry to be built up of a number of simpler models, e.g. the Bowie solution can be thought of as the superposition

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

of a stress intensity solution of a through crack upon the stress field from a hole. The solution of an embedded crack emanating from a hole has been developed using the above process and is described in Appendix 2.

The transition from one crack geometry to another must be formulated. The author has chosen to employ a method which follows a constant β value which passes through the crack length equidistant from the two endpoints. This may be illustrated by reference to Figure 2 for the transition from a corner crack to a through crack emanating from a hole, i.e. a transition from the Liu solution (corner crack) to the Bowie solution (through crack). When the crack length, a, has a value equal to the material thickness t, it is assumed that the crack geometry factor has a value midway between the Bowie and Liu solutions (point E). The transition between the two solutions is along a line of constant β passing through point E. In order to follow this process, CRACKS IV is commanded to use the Liu solution for crack lengths less than $a_B$, to use a crack geometry factor $\beta_E$ for crack lengths from $a_B$ to $a_D$ and to follow Bowie thereafter.

### 3.4 Retardation Models

CRACKS IV contains four retardation models which have been proposed to take account of the reduction of crack growth rate occurring after the application of a high load (commonly referred to as an overload). The theories behind these models can be found in references 1, 2 and 8. These models are:

(a) Wheeler[13]

This model introduces a retardation parameter $C_p$ which factors the crack growth rate as described by the relationship

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

$$a_n = a_o + \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{pi}\, F(\Delta K_i) \qquad [6]$$

where

$$C_{pi} = \left(\frac{R_y}{a_\rho - a}\right)^m \; ; \; (a + R_y < a_p)$$

$R_y$ = extent of current yield zone

$a_\rho - a$ = distance between crack tip and the longest elastic-plastic interface caused by prior cycling

$m$ = a shaping parameter which must be determined experimentally or obtained from data handbooks.

It has been suggested[13] that m is material dependent, although other authors[8,14] have reported that m also has load dependence. The value of $R_y$ is a function of whether the component is in a state of plane stress or plane strain. Reference 15 documents a technique which evaluates the stress state appropriate to any given geometry.

(b) Willenborg[16]

Willenborg effected retardation by reducing both the maximum and minimum stress values of cycles following an overload. The amount these stresses are reduced is expressed as

$$\sigma_{red} = \frac{\sigma_y}{\beta}\sqrt{\frac{2(a_\rho - a)}{a}} - \sigma_2 \qquad [7]$$

where

$\sigma_y$ = yield stress of material

$\beta$ = geometric factor as described previously

$\sigma_2$ = maximum value of current stress cycle.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

The above stress reductions have the effect of:

(i) reducing the stress ratio R leaving $\Delta K$ unchanged; or

(ii) reducing $\Delta K$ for $R = 0$; or

(iii) making $\Delta K$ and R both equal zero when $\sigma_{2(max)} < \sigma_{red}$

This model in its standard form requires no additional input parameters.

(c) Willenborg modified[17]

The Willenborg model in its standard form implies that for an overload ratio (Figure 3) $\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \geq 2$, no crack growth will occur. Experimental data have shown crack growth continuing for overload ratios in excess of 2.5[18]. To overcome this contradiction, Gallagher and Hughes[17] modified the Willenborg model by introducing the parameter

$$\phi = \frac{\sigma_{red}}{\sigma_{red} \text{ (due to Willenborg)}} \qquad [8]$$

where $\phi$ accounts for the effect of the overload ratio just producing crack arrest (S), and $K_{th}$ ($K_{th}$ is the threshold value of the stress intensity for crack growth). The parameter S has been found[8] to be both material and load dependent and as a consequence it cannot be expected that, in general, the standard version of Willenborg will be capable of accurate crack growth prediction. It must be noted that both of the Willenborg models can only be used with da/dN - $\Delta K$ data which incorporate the influence of the stress ratio R.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

(d) Grumman crack closure model[19,20]

This model introduces the concept of an effective stress range, i.e. the difference between the maximum stress and that corresponding to the crack closure/opening stress ($\sigma_c$). Defining a closure factor ($C_f$) as

$$C_f = \sigma_c/\sigma_{max} \quad [9]$$

the stress ratio effect is modelled by

$$C_f = C_{f_{-1}} + (C_{f_0} - C_{f_{-1}})(1 + R)^p \quad [10]$$

and $C_{f_0}$, $C_{f_{-1}}$ and p are constants.

The above equation only applies for crack growth under stabilised conditions, i.e. no retardation. In order to take retardation into account, the closure stress is modified as indicated in the equation

$$\sigma_c = \sigma_{c1} - (\sigma_{c1} - \sigma_{c2})\left(\frac{a_p - a}{R_y}\right)^b \quad [11]$$

where

$R_y$ = overload plastic zone radius
b = 1.0 for both aluminium and titanium
$\sigma_{c1}$ = stabilized closure stress for overload stress $\sigma_1$
$\sigma_{c2}$ = stabilized closure stress for stress $\sigma_2$

This model also takes account of the effect of multiple overloads by means of the equation

$$\gamma = \gamma_1 + (1 - \gamma_1)\left(\frac{N_{ol} - 1}{N_{sat} - 1}\right) \quad [12]$$

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

where $\gamma$ = the ratio of the closure stress after the application of a number of overloads ($N_{o\ell}$) to the stabilised overload closure stress.

$\gamma_1$ = the value of $\gamma$ for $N_{o\ell} = 1$

$N_{sat}$ = the number of overloads beyond which further overloads produce no increase in retardation.

The Grumman model was found to have similar problems to the Wheeler and Willenborg models in that the parameters were load as well as material dependent.

It was necessary to substantially modify the original version of the crack closure model in CRACKS IV in order for it to utilize varying amplitude cycle-by-cycle load data. This ARL-modified version of CRACKS IV has been designated CRACKS IVM.

The crack closure model requires that the constant amplitude da/dN - $\Delta K$ material data be relevant to a stress ratio R = 0. Consequently, if the constants $C_{f_0}$, $C_{f_{-1}}$, P are known for the material of interest, only the Paris constants for a single tabulation of da/dN - $\Delta K$ are required.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Of all descriptive forms of the material da/dN - $\Delta K$ data, the most convenient was found to be the tabulated data. Efficient generation of the above data is best achieved by converting raw a - N data to da/dN - $\Delta K$ by means of the ASTM seven point incremental polynomial technique.

The use of varying amplitude cycle-by-cycle load sequence data generally requires some form of editing in order to keep computational costs to a reasonable value.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

A study by the author has evaluated the Bowie solution for through cracks and the Liu formulation for corner cracks emanating from holes and has found both of them to be satisfactory. If solutions are required for crack geometries such as lugs it is recommended that Newman's[22] solution be employed.

None of the retardation models in CRACKS IV were found to be satisfactory in their original form. The Wheeler, Willenborg modified and Grumman models could be forced to provide crack growth estimates close to experimentally determined values if parameters appropriate to each model were made dependent on material properties and the maximum stress within the load sequence. This parameter dependence cannot be easily obtained for either the Willenborg modified or the Grumman model because of a limited data base for these models. On the other hand, the Wheeler model has been used so extensively that published data, from which the parameter dependence can be obtained, are readily available. It is therefore recommended that the Wheeler model be used whenever possible.

Crack growth rate is so highly dependent on stress level that significant errors can be introduced by small stress uncertainties. Typically a 10% change in applied stress may produce a 50% difference in crack growth rate[8]. In a practical aircraft structure considerable effort will often be necessary to determine the stress levels with sufficient accuracy to ensure that the crack growth rate estimation is meaningful.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author gratefully acknowledges the help of Dr. J. G. Sparrow in the writing of this report.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

## REFERENCES


1. B. Wicks and M. Packer, CRACKS - a low cycle fatigue crack growth prediction program. ARL-Mat-Tech-Memo. (draft).

2. J. J. Kacprzynski, Cracks IV. United States Air Force Crack Propagation Program. NRC Modification No. 1, NAE LTR-ST-1260 April 1981.

3. B. Wicks and M. Packer, CRACKS IV User Guide, ARL-Mat-Tech-Memo. (in preparation).

4. B. C. Hoskin, R. J. Callinan and R. Jones, Interim damage tolerance analysis of Mirage frame 26; stress distribution, critical areas and critical crack sizes. ARL-Struc-Tech-Memo-356, Jan. 1983.

5. P. C. Paris, 'The fracture mechanics approach to fatigue', in Fatigue - An Interdisciplinary Approach (Eds. J. J. Burke, N. L. Reed and V. Weiss), pp. 107-132, Syracuse University Press, Syracuse, 1964.

6. K. Walker, The effect of stress ratio during crack propagation and fatigue for 2024-T3 and 7075-T6 aluminium. ASTM STP 462, 1970, pp. 1-14.

7. R. G. Forman, V. E. Kearney and R. M. Engle, Numerical analysis of crack propagation in cyclic loaded structures. J. Basic Eng., Trans. ASME 89, 1967, pp. 459-463.

8. J. M. Finney, F. G. Harris, R. A. Pell, C. S. Dentry and C. T. Stefoulis, Modelling for fatigue crack growth prediction in Mirage IIIO frame 26. ARL Struc. Rpt 401, April 1984.

9. R. M. Stephens, Comparison of a flight-by-flight and a cycle-by-cycle crack growth analysis. CAC Report AA622, 1983.

10. C. E. Feddersen, Evaluation and prediction of the residual strength of centre-cracked tension panels. ASTM STP 486, 1971, pp. 50-78.

11. O. L. Bowie, Analysis of an infinite plate containing radial cracks originating at the boundary of an internal circular hole. J. Math. and Phys. 35, 1956, pp. 60-71.

12. A. F. Liu, Stress intensity solution for a corner flaw, Eng. Fract. Mech. 4, 1972, pp. 175-179.

13. O. E. Wheeler, Spectrum loading and crack growth, Trans. ASME, J. Basic Eng., 94, 1972, pp. 181-186.

14. C. R. Saff, Crack growth retardation and acceleration models, MCAIR No. 82-007. Presented at ASTM Forum on Damage Tolerance Analysis, UCLA, June 1981.


REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

15. M. A. Wood and R. M. Engle, USAF damage tolerance design handbook: guidelines for the analysis and design of damage tolerant aircraft. AFFDL-TR-79-302, March 1979.

16. J. Willenborg, R. M. Engle and M. A. Wood, A crack growth retardation model using an effective stress concept. U.S. AFFDL-TM-71-1-FBR, Jan. 1971.

17. J. P. Gallagher and T. F. Hughes, Influence of yield strength on overload affected fatigue crack growth behaviour in 4340 steel. U.S. AFFDL-TR-74-27, July, 1974.

18. E. P. Probst and B. M. Hillberry, Fatigue crack delay and arrest due to single peak tensile overloads. AIAA Dynamics Specialists Conference, Williamsburg, Va., March 1973.

19. P. D. Bell and M. Creager, Crack growth analysis for arbitrary spectrum loading. U.S. AFFDL-TR-74-129-Vol-1, Oct. 1974.

20. P. D. Bell and A. Wolfman, 'Mathematical modelling of crack growth interaction effects', Fatigue Crack Growth under Spectrum Loads, ASTM STP 595, 1976, pp. 157-171.

21. Recommended Data Reduction Techniques', ASTM Standard E647-81, Appendix X1, pp. 784-788, 1981.

22. J. C. Newman, Jr., Predicting failure of specimens with either surface cracks or corner cracks at holes, NASA TN D-8244, June 1976.

23. J. M. Grandage and J. G. Sparrow, An analysis and interpretation of cracks in the RAAF Mirage fleet. ARL-Struc-Note 476, July 1981.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

## APPENDIX 1

PROBLEM 1: CRACK GROWTH PREDICTION FOR CALIBRATING WHEELER PARAMETER m

The example below is used to illustrate the input parameters and output data of CRACKS IV for a typical calculation. The calculation was conducted in order to calibrate the Wheeler retardation parameter m against experimental data. A centre-cracked tension specimen (20 x 100 mm in section) was made of A7-U4SG-T651 aluminium alloy with an initial half-crack length of 10.42 mm. This specimen was loaded under a cycle-by-cycle sequence consisting of 24 basic flights arranged in a specified manner to produce a 200 flight sequence. The maximum load in the sequence was 134 MPa. EDIT1 was used to edit the sequence suppressing all maximum loads below 27 MPa. The constant amplitude da/dN - $\Delta K$ material data as illustrated in Tables 1, 2 and 3 were derived from experimental data produced at ARL and processed through the program DADN as described in Section 3.1.

The threshold stress intensity value was specified as

$$\Delta K_{th} = (2.1 \times 10^6)(1 - R) \quad MPa\sqrt{m}$$

The following values were specified as input to CRACKS IV: viz

$$K_c = 48.6 \ MPa\sqrt{m}$$

$$\sigma_y = 458 \ MPa$$

A final half-crack length was specified as 49 mm. The input file is documented in part in Table 4. The output file is given in Table 5.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPEN

**PROBLEM 2:** PREDICTION OF CRACK GROWTH FOR HOLE IN MIRAGE FUSELAGE FRAME 26

In this problem a comparison was made between theoretical calculations of crack growth from CRACKS IV with experimental results from a specimen simulating a section of the bottom of the Mirage fuselage frame 26. The area of interest had a material thickness of 8 mm and hole diameter of 6 mm. An initial crack depth of 0.36 mm was assumed. A Wheeler retardation parameter of 4.2 was applied using the method outlined in Section 3.4 with the curves of Reference 14. The geometry assumed was initially an embedded crack; a description of the stress intensity for such a crack is given in Appendix 2. The method of incorporating the transition from embedded to through crack is that as described in Section 3.3, although in this case the crack was initially embedded.

As stated in Appendix 2, c/a is assumed to be 1.50 for embedded cracks. Consequently for $t = 8$ mm

$d = 6$ mm

and taking $2a/t = 1.0$ as the dimensions of the crack where its shape may be considered as either embedded or through, it follows that $c = 4$ mm and $a = 2.67$ mm. This implies $a/d = 0.44$ giving $\beta = 1.44$ from Figure 4. It can also be seen from Figure 4, that the crack remains embedded from the initial crack size until $a = 2.1$ mm, it has a constant $\beta$ value of 1.44 until $a = 3.36$ mm, whereafter it continues as a through crack.

The material and load sequence was as described in Problem 1. The maximum stress however had been increased to 330 MPa. The input file for CRACKS IV is documented in part in Table 6. The output file is given in Table 7. A comparison of the experimental and calculated crack growth is shown in Figure 5.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

## APPENDIX 2

## SOLUTION FOR THE STRESS INTENSITY OF AN EMBEDDED CRACK EMANATING FROM A HOLE

Reference 15 recommends the following formula for the modelling of a symmetric embedded crack emanating from a hole:

$$\text{Stress intensity } K = M_f(c/a) \times M_b(c/a, a/t, \theta) \times M_{2h}(\tfrac{a}{d}, \theta) K_{Ie}$$

where
- $M_f$ = front face surface correction
  - $= 1 + 0.12(1 - a/2c)^2$
- $M_b$ = back face correction
  - $= 1.0$ for $2c/t < 0.5$
- $M_{2h}$ = stress field correction
- $K_{Ie}$ = the stress intensity for an embedded crack and is given by the following equations.

For $c/a \leq 1.0$

$$K_{Ie} = \sigma\sqrt{\pi c} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}} [\cos^2\theta + (\tfrac{c}{a})^2 \sin^2\theta]^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

$c/a > 1.0$

$$K_{Ie} = \sigma\sqrt{\pi a} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}} [\sin^2\theta + (\tfrac{a}{c})^2 \cos^2\theta]^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

Q = shape factor (Figure 6)




The variations of stress intensity around the crack front were evaluated for various values of c/a. Table 8 shows values of the non-dimensional stress intensity as a function of θ for various values of c/a. The variation of the stress intensity with θ is smallest for

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

c/a = 1.50. It is therefore assumed that embedded cracks will stabilise at this value of c/a. This occurs because a crack with a large difference in stress intensity as a function of θ will continue to change shape as the crack preferentially grows in the region of highest stress intensity. An experimental confirmation of this is illustrated in Figure 7.

If the value of the stress intensity is assumed to be independent of θ for c/a = 1.50 then

$$Q = 1.60$$

$$K_{Ie} = \sigma\sqrt{\pi a} \times 0.790$$

Furthermore, the following equation can be derived from figures supplied in reference 15.

$$M_{2h} = \left[ \frac{0.262}{0.1708+a/d} + 1.36 \right] \quad \text{for } a/d \leq 0.15$$

$$= \left[ \frac{0.3433}{0.1386+a/d} + 0.9439 \right] \quad \text{for } a/d > 0.15$$

consequently

$$K = K_{Ie} \times M_{2h} \times M_b \times M_f$$

$$= \Delta\sigma\sqrt{\pi a} \times \left[ \frac{0.218}{0.1708+a/d} + 1.132 \right] \quad \text{for } a/d \leq 0.15$$

$$= \Delta\sigma\sqrt{\pi a} \left[ \frac{0.2857}{0.1153+a/d} + 0.7853 \right] \quad \text{for } \frac{a}{d} > 0.15$$

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 1. CONSTANT AMPLITUDE $\frac{da}{dN}$ - $\Delta K$ MATERIAL DATA*, R = 0

(A7-U4SG-T651)

```
0.0
34
     3411266.        0.2529789E-08
     3788746.        0.3032713E-08
     3842476.        0.3173423E-08
     5756974.        0.7263639E-08
     6001927.        0.8250527E-08
     6136541.        0.8875001E-08
     6290292.        0.9916666E-08
     6371183.        0.1050000E-07
     6455282.        0.1117937E-07
     6644311.        0.1296602E-07
     6830418.        0.1535339E-07
     7107603.        0.1898393E-07
     7609374.        0.2849419E-07
     8963895.        0.6970323E-07
     9638227.        0.1031339E-06
  0.1199231E+08  0.2059717E-06
  0.1278307E+08  0.2649429E-06
  0.1342312E+08  0.3174021E-06
  0.1457318E+08  0.4253397E-06
  0.1595467E+08  0.5203570E-06
  0.1641250E+08  0.5596428E-06
  0.2007755E+08  0.9487257E-06
  0.2049340E+08  0.1097839E-05
  0.2098889E+08  0.1254679E-05
  0.2161195E+08  0.1452330E-05
  0.2245008E+08  0.1704576E-05
  0.2351969E+08  0.2012259E-05
  0.2413143E+08  0.2266666E-05
  0.2490697E+08  0.2816609E-05
27.27273E6  0.619E-5
31.81818E6  0.3677701E-4
36.36364E6  0.3501728E-3
40.90909E6  0.5049506E-2
45.45455E6  0.1036146
```

* Input file as required by CRACKS IV for tabulated material data.

| | |
|---|---|
| First line | R |
| Second line | No. of lines of data values |
| Third and subsequent lines | Pairs of $\Delta K(Pa\sqrt{m})$ and $\frac{da}{dN}$ (m cycle$^{-1}$) values |

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 2. CONSTANT AMPLITUDE da/dN - ΔK MATERIAL DATA, R = 0.2 (A7-U4SG-T651)

0.2
37

| | |
|---|---|
| 2808717. | 0.1706983E-08 |
| 2837185. | 0.1788651E-08 |
| 2932283. | 0.2061685E-08 |
| 3003399. | 0.2161899E-08 |
| 3076432. | 0.2270229E-08 |
| 3236970. | 0.2508910E-08 |
| 5142188. | 0.7383497E-08 |
| 5418546. | 0.8114286E-08 |
| 5467171. | 0.8578571E-08 |
| 5639517. | 0.9785714E-08 |
| 5888241. | 0.1050714E-07 |
| 5949979. | 0.1110714E-07 |
| 6167458. | 0.1402857E-07 |
| 6453030. | 0.1674750E-07 |
| 6552214. | 0.1814999E-07 |
| 6773514. | 0.2257448E-07 |
| 7054406. | 0.2689851E-07 |
| 7280166. | 0.3253410E-07 |
| 7900266. | 0.5058482E-07 |
| 8374838. | 0.6901570E-07 |
| 9080572. | 0.9298651E-07 |
| 9529765. | 0.1222800E-06 |
| 0.1160082E+08 | 0.2342857E-06 |
| 0.1280948E+08 | 0.3224219E-06 |
| 0.1349662E+08 | 0.4060715E-06 |
| 0.1427173E+08 | 0.4907144E-06 |
| 0.1544440E+08 | 0.6674244E-06 |
| 0.1754811E+08 | 0.9700278E-06 |
| 0.1793710E+08 | 0.1043132E-05 |
| 0.1834195E+08 | 0.1097024E-05 |
| 0.1934058E+08 | 0.1440078E-05 |
| 20.03205E6 | 0.1695190E-5 |
| 24.03846E6 | 0.619E-5 |
| 28.04487E6 | 0.36777E-4 |
| 32.05128E6 | 0.350172E-3 |
| 36.05769E6 | 0.5049506E-2 |
| 40.06410E6 | 0.1036146 |

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 3. CONSTANT AMPLITUDE da/dN - ΔK MATERIAL DATA, R = 0.7
(A7-U4SG-T651)

| | |
|---|---|
| 0.6999531 | |
| 27.00000 | |
| 2365061. | 0.1978670E-08 |
| 2428595. | 0.2160712E-08 |
| 2494953. | 0.2289286E-08 |
| 4176679. | 0.8707143E-08 |
| 4337826. | 0.1099286E-07 |
| 4680269. | 0.1592857E-07 |
| 4850378. | 0.1826745E-07 |
| 5028986. | 0.2107912E-07 |
| 5210989. | 0.2483928E-07 |
| 5435592. | 0.3005128E-07 |
| 5714171. | 0.3583769E-07 |
| 6051183. | 0.4607704E-07 |
| 6272433. | 0.5432908E-07 |
| 6698376. | 0.7653572E-07 |
| 7671962. | 0.1473751E-06 |
| 8037057. | 0.1752877E-06 |
| 9092664. | 0.3074945E-06 |
| 9621431. | 0.4123801E-06 |
| 9982782. | 0.5082955E-06 |
| 0.1047012E+08 | 0.6945087E-06 |
| 0.1130393E+08 | 0.1293509E-05 |
| 12100000 | 0.1695190E-5 |
| 14500000 | 0.6190034E-5 |
| 16900000 | 0.3677701E-4 |
| 19800000 | 0.3501728E-3 |
| 22700000 | 0.5049506E-2 |
| 25000000 | 0.1036146 |

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 4. INPUT FILE FOR CRACKS IV FOR PROBLEM 1. FOR KEYWORD DEFINITION. SEE REFERENCE 3.

```
TITLE
1
SPECIMEN 20 MM THICK CCT 17.09 MPA/C
EQUATION
R-DA/DN
MATERIAL
ALUMINIUM ALLOY
48.6E6 458.0E6
THRESHOLD
2.1E6 1.0
LIMITS
0.01042 0.0 0.0 1.00
ANALYSIS
RETARD      1 0 1.50 1 0
BETA        2 0.100 0.01042 0.050
END
LOADS
110 1              SWISS SEQUENCES SPECIMEN (24)
* 1.000
MAX-MIN
    0.1976700E+08  0.0000000E+00    1
    0.3594000E+08  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.3594000E+08  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.7188000E+08  0.2695500E+08    1
    0.5391000E+08  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.6289500E+08  0.3594000E+08    1
    0.5391000E+08  0.3594000E+08    1
    0.8086500E+08  0.6289500E+08    1
    0.8086500E+08  0.5391000E+08    1
    0.6289500E+08  0.4492500E+08    1
    0.7188000E+08  0.2695500E+08    1
    0.5391000E+08  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.9883500E+08  0.3594000E+08    1
    0.9883500E+08  0.3594000E+08    1
    0.8086500E+08  0.5391000E+08    1
    0.8985000E+08  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.3594000E+08  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.1168050E+09  0.5391000E+08    1
    0.8086500E+08  8985000.         1
    0.4492500E+08  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.1168050E+09  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.3594000E+08 -0.3594000E+08    1
    0.8985000E+08  8985000.         1
    0.5391000E+08  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.1078200E+09  0.2695500E+08    1
    0.5391000E+08  0.2695500E+08    1
    0.8086500E+08  0.2695500E+08    1
    0.8086500E+08  0.3594000E+08    1
    0.1078200E+09  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.6289500E+08  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.9883500E+08  8985000.         1
    0.3594000E+08  0.1797000E+08    1
    0.4492500E+08  8985000.         1
END
```

* This is the stress multiplier factor.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 4. CONTINUED

```
MAX-MIN
     0.1976700E+08  0.0000000+00      1
     0.3594000E+08  0.1797000E+08      1
     0.3594000E+08  0.1797000E+08      1
     0.3594000E+08  0.1797000E+08      1
     0.7188000E+08  0.2695500E+08      1
     0.5391000E+08  0.1797000E+08      1
     0.6289500E+08  0.3594000E+08      1
     0.5391000E+08  0.3594000E+08      1
     0.8086500E+08  0.6289500E+08      1
     0.8086500E+08  0.5391000E+08      1
     0.6289500E+08  0.44925
     0.5391000E+08  0.1797000E+08      1
     0.3594000E+08  0.1797000E+08      1
     0.5391000E+08  0.1797000E+08      1
     0.6289500E+08  0.3594000E+08      1
     0.5391000E+08  0.1797000E+08      1
     0.4492500E+08  0.1797000E+08      1
     0.3594000E+08  0.1797000E+08      1
     0.3594000E+08  0.1797000E+08      1
END
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

## TABLE 5. OUTPUT FROM CRACKS IV FOR PROBLEM 1.

```
CRACKS-IV    VERSION 5, 04/26/79    R.M. ENGLE JR.
ARL ADAPTATION - JANUARY 1980

CASE  1     RUN  1
SWISS SPECIMEN RUN
DIRECT INPUT OF DA/DN VS DELTAK FOR   SEVERAL VALUES OF STRESS RATIO R.
ALUMINIUM ALLOY

KSUBQ = 4.8600E+07      YIELD STRESS = 4.5800E+08

THRESHOLD DELTA K = 2.1000E+06*(1.0- 1.000*R)

INITIAL HALF CRACK LENGTH =  1.0420E-02
INITIAL CYCLE NUMBER =       0.00
R CUTOFF = 0.950
AUTOMATIC UNRETARDED SOLUTION SUPPRESSED
WHEELER'S RETARDATION MODEL WITH SMALL = 0.750
PLANE STRAIN YIELD ZONE CONDITION ASSUMED
CORRECTION FACTOR BETA(2) IS FINITE WIDTH CORRECTION
    BETA(2) = SQRT(SEC(PI*A/B))
    WHERE THE EFFECTIVE PLATE WIDTH  B = 1.0000E-01
    APPLIED FROM A =  1.0420E-02 TO  A = 4.9000E-02
MULTIPLICATION FACTOR =    1.000000
          SWISS SEQUENCES SPECIMEN (24)

    40 BLOCKS IN SPECTRUM


END OF INPUT
**********CRACKS IV ANALYSIS**********

          SWISS SEQUENCES SPECIMEN (24)

 FLT NSN LYR CYCLES      A      DELTA K    K MAX      DADN      RETARD
   1  14   1  1.0   0.0104   .368E+07  .368E+07  2.972E-09  1.000
   1  14   2  2.0   0.0104   .501E+07  .835E+07  1.069E-08  1.000
   1  14   3  3.0   0.0104   .501E+07  .668E+07  5.484E-09  0.716
   1  14   4  4.0   0.0104   .501E+07  .668E+07  5.486E-09  0.716
   1  14   5  5.0   0.0104   .501E+07  .668E+07  5.487E-09  0.716
   1  14   6  6.0   0.0104   .334E+07  .668E+07  2.607E-09  0.716
   1  14   7  7.0   0.0104   .668E+07  .100E+08  2.917E-08  1.000
   1  14   8  8.0   0.0104   .334E+07  .668E+07  1.981E-09  0.544
   1  14   9  9.0   0.0104   .501E+07  .835E+07  8.133E-09  0.761
END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    1     CRACK LENGTH =  1.0564E-02
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    1     CRACK LENGTH =  1.0731E-02
END OF BLOCK    1        CRACK LENGTH =  1.0731E-02
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  3.1056E-04     TOTAL GROWTH =  3.1056E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    2     CRACK LENGTH =  1.0920E-02
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    2     CRACK LENGTH =  1.1057E-02
END OF BLOCK    2        CRACK LENGTH =  1.1057E-02
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  3.2621E-04     TOTAL GROWTH =  6.3677E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    3     CRACK LENGTH =  1.1216E-02
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    3     CRACK LENGTH =  1.1406E-02
END OF BLOCK    3        CRACK LENGTH =  1.1406E-02
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  3.4830E-04     TOTAL GROWTH =  9.8508E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    4     CRACK LENGTH =  1.1526E-02
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    4     CRACK LENGTH =  1.1741E-02
END OF BLOCK    4        CRACK LENGTH =  1.1741E-02
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  3.7515E-04     TOTAL GROWTH =  1.3602E-03
```

Reproduced from best available copy.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 4. CONTINUED

```
END LOADS
SPECTRUM
200 1
1 14
1 10
1 6
1 11
1 15
1 16
1 17
1 21
1 23
1 13
1 11
1 22
1 24
1 5
1 12
1 18
1 14
1 5
1 23
1 13
1 3
1 8
1 21
1 17
1 11
1 13
1 15
1 24
1 21
1 11
1 23
1 22
1 7
1 11
1 22
1 6
1 10
1 14
1 16
1 5
1 14
1 23
1 13
1 23
1 17
1 15
1 14
1 16
PRINT
1 100 0 0 0 1000
END DATA
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

Reproduced from best available copy.

TABLE 5. CONTINUED

END OF SEGMENT 100 OF BLOCK 5 CRACK LENGTH = 1.1965E-02
END OF SEGMENT 200 OF BLOCK 5 CRACK LENGTH = 1.2187E-02
END OF BLOCK 5 CRACK LENGTH = 1.2187E-02
GROWTH THIS BLOCK = 4.0617E-04 TOTAL GROWTH = 1.7669E-03

END OF SEGMENT 100 OF BLOCK 6 CRACK LENGTH = 1.2388E-02
END OF SEGMENT 200 OF BLOCK 6 CRACK LENGTH = 1.2632E-02
END OF BLOCK 6 CRACK LENGTH = 1.2632E-02
GROWTH THIS BLOCK = 4.4465E-04 TOTAL GROWTH = 2.2115E-03

END OF SEGMENT 100 OF BLOCK 7 CRACK LENGTH = 1.2855E-02
END OF SEGMENT 200 OF BLOCK 7 CRACK LENGTH = 1.3125E-02
END OF BLOCK 7 CRACK LENGTH = 1.3125E-02
GROWTH THIS BLOCK = 4.9391E-04 TOTAL GROWTH = 2.7055E-03

END OF SEGMENT 100 OF BLOCK 8 CRACK LENGTH = 1.3375E-02
END OF SEGMENT 200 OF BLOCK 8 CRACK LENGTH = 1.3676E-02
END OF BLOCK 8 CRACK LENGTH = 1.3676E-02
GROWTH THIS BLOCK = 5.5090E-04 TOTAL GROWTH = 3.2564E-03

END OF SEGMENT 100 OF BLOCK 9 CRACK LENGTH = 1.3954E-02
END OF SEGMENT 200 OF BLOCK 9 CRACK LENGTH = 1.4291E-02
END OF BLOCK 9 CRACK LENGTH = 1.4291E-02
GROWTH THIS BLOCK = 6.1482E-04 TOTAL GROWTH = 3.8712E-03

END OF SEGMENT 100 OF BLOCK 10 CRACK LENGTH = 1.4604E-02
END OF SEGMENT 200 OF BLOCK 10 CRACK LENGTH = 1.4994E-02
END OF BLOCK 10 CRACK LENGTH = 1.4994E-02
GROWTH THIS BLOCK = 7.0305E-04 TOTAL GROWTH = 4.5742E-03

END OF SEGMENT 100 OF BLOCK 11 CRACK LENGTH = 1.5360E-02
END OF SEGMENT 200 OF BLOCK 11 CRACK LENGTH = 1.5828E-02
END OF BLOCK 11 CRACK LENGTH = 1.5828E-02
GROWTH THIS BLOCK = 8.3385E-04 TOTAL GROWTH = 5.4081E-03

END OF SEGMENT 100 OF BLOCK 12 CRACK LENGTH = 1.6271E-02
END OF SEGMENT 200 OF BLOCK 12 CRACK LENGTH = 1.6862E-02
END OF BLOCK 12 CRACK LENGTH = 1.6862E-02
GROWTH THIS BLOCK = 1.0341E-03 TOTAL GROWTH = 6.4422E-03

END OF SEGMENT 100 OF BLOCK 13 CRACK LENGTH = 1.7434E-02
END OF SEGMENT 200 OF BLOCK 13 CRACK LENGTH = 1.8265E-02
END OF BLOCK 13 CRACK LENGTH = 1.8265E-02
GROWTH THIS BLOCK = 1.4031E-03 TOTAL GROWTH = 7.8453E-03

END OF SEGMENT 100 OF BLOCK 14 CRACK LENGTH = 1.9128E-02
END OF SEGMENT 200 OF BLOCK 14 CRACK LENGTH = 2.0752E-02
END OF BLOCK 14 CRACK LENGTH = 2.0752E-02
GROWTH THIS BLOCK = 2.4961E-03 TOTAL GROWTH = 1.0333E-02

END OF SEGMENT 100 OF BLOCK 15 CRACK LENGTH = 2.3633E-02

MEAN APPLIED STRESS (KSI) = [illegible] TEMPERATURE =
LIFE CALCULATIONS MADE AT

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 5. CONTINUED

| | |
|---|---|
| BLOCK IN SPECTRUM | 15 |
| SEGMENT NUMBER | 122 |
| MISSION NUMBER | 5 |
| FLIGHT NUMBER | 2922 |
| LAYER IN MISSION | 29 |
| ACCUMULATED CYCLES | 8.6597E+04 |
| CRACK LENGTH | 3.4084E-02 |
| KMAX APPLIED | 5.0955E+07 |
| KMAX EFFECTIVE | 5.0955E+07 |
| DELTA K | 4.2463E+07 |
| DA/DN | 3.8954E-05 |

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. INPUT FILE FOR CRACKS IV FOR PROBLEM 2. FOR KEYWORD DEFINITION SEE REFERENCE 3.

```
TITLE
1
SWISS SPECIMEN RUN
EQUATION
R-DA/DN
MATERIAL
ALUMINIUM ALLOY
48.6E6 458.0E6
THRESHOLD
2.1E6 1.0
LIMITS
0.00035590 0.0 0.0 0.95
ANALYSIS
RETARD     1 0 4.20 1 0
BETA       5 0.003 0.0003559 0.0009
BETA       9 0.003 0.0009 0.0021
BETA       1 1.44 0.0021 0.00336
BETA       6 0.003 0.00336
END
LOADS
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
40 1          SWISS SEQUENCES SPECIMEN (24)
1.0
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08  0.0000000E+00 ]
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08 ]
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08 ]
     0.1050000E+09  0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.9000000E+08  0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.1350000E+09  0.1050000E+09 ]
     0.1350000E+09  0.9000000E+08 ]
     0.1050000E+09  0.7500000E+08 ]
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08 ]
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08 ]
     0.1650000E+09  0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.1650000E+09  0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.1350000E+09  0.9000000E+08 ]
     0.1500000E+09  0.3000000E+08 ]
     0.1950000E+09  0.9000000E+08 ]
     0.1350000E+09  0.1500000E+08 ]
     0.1950000E+09 -0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.1500000E+09  0.1500000E+08 ]
     0.9000000E+09  0.3000000E+08 ]
     0.1800000E+09  0.4500000E+08 ]
     0.9000000E+08  0.4500000E+08 ]
     0.1350000E+09  0.4500000E+08 ]
     0.1350000E+09  0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.1800000E+09  0.3000000E+08 ]
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08 ]
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08  0.0000000E+00 ]
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08 ]
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08 ]
     0.1050000E+09  0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.9000000E+08  0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.1350000E+09  0.1050000E+09 ]
     0.1350000E+09  0.9000000E+08 ]
     0.1050000E+09  0.7500000E+08 ]
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08 ]
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08 ]
     0.1200000E+09  0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.1200000E+09  0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.1350000E+09  0.9000000E+08 ]
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08 ]
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08 ]
     0.1950000E+09  0.9000000E+08 ]
     0.1350000E+09 -0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.2250000E+09 -0.7500000E+08 ]
     0.1500000E+09  0.1500000E+08 ]
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08 ]
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08 ]
     0.9000000E+08  0.4500000E+08 ]
     0.1350000E+09  0.4500000E+08 ]
     0.1350000E+09  0.6000000E+08 ]
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08 ]
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08 ]
END
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08  0.0000000E+00      1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08      1
     0.1050000E+09  0.6000000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.6000000E+08      1
     0.1350000E+09  0.1050000E+09      1
     0.1350000E+09  0.9000000E+08      1
     0.1050000E+09  0.7500000E+08      1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08      1
     0.1200000E+09  0.6000000E+08      1
     0.1200000E+09  0.6000000E+08      1
     0.1350000E+09  0.9000000E+08      1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08      1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08      1
     0.1950000E+09  0.9000000E+08      1
     0.1350000E+09  0.1500000E+08      1
     0.1950000E+09 -0.6000000E+08      1
     0.1500000E+09  0.1500000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08      1
     0.1800000E+09  0.4500000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.4500000E+08      1
     0.1350000E+09  0.4500000E+08      1
     0.1350000E+09  0.6000000E+08      1
     0.1800000E+09  0.3000000E+08      1
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08  0.0000000E+00      1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08      1
     0.1050000E+09  0.6000000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.6000000E+08      1
     0.1350000E+09  0.1050000E+09      1
     0.1350000E+09  0.9000000E+08      1
     0.1050000E+09  0.7500000E+08      1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08      1
     0.1200000E+09  0.6000000E+08      1
     0.1200000E+09  0.6000000E+08      1
     0.1350000E+09  0.9000000E+08      1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08      1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08      1
     0.1800000E+09  0.9000000E+08      1
     0.1350000E+09 -0.3000000E+08      1
     0.2100000E+09 -0.4500000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.1500000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08      1
     0.1800000E+09  0.4500000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.4500000E+08      1
     0.1350000E+09  0.4500000E+08      1
     0.9000000E+08  0.6000000E+08      1
     0.1800000E+09  0.3000000E+08      1
END
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08  0.0000000E+00  1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.9000000E+08  0.6000000E+08  1
     0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.1500000E+08  1
     0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1500000E+09  0.1500000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08  1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08  1
     0.9000000E+08  0.1500000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08  0.0000000E+00  1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.9000000E+08  0.6000000E+08  1
     0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.1500000E+08  1
     0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1500000E+09  0.1500000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08  1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08  1
     0.9000000E+08  0.1500000E+08  1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08  1
END
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08   0.0000000E+00    1
     0.1200000E+09   0.4500000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09   0.6000000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08   0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09   0.1050000E+09    1
     0.1350000E+09   0.9000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09   0.7500000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09   0.4500000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09   0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09   0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09   0.9000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1800000E+09   0.9000000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09  -0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1950000E+09  -0.4500000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08   0.1500000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1800000E+09   0.4500000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08   0.4500000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09   0.4500000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09   0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1800000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08   0.0000000E+00    1
     0.9000000E+08   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08   0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09   0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1500000E+09   0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09   0.4500000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09   0.4500000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08   0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09   0.3000000E+08    1
END
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08   0.0000000E+00        1
     0.1200000E+09   0.1500000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09   0.7500000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09   0.4500000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1500000E+09   0.1500000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.9000000E+08   0.6000000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09   0.4500000E+08        1
     0.9000000E+08   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09   0.4500000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1500000E+09   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.9000000E+08   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.9000000E+08   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1650000E+09   0.7500000E+08        1
     0.9000000E+08   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1650000E+09   0.6000000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09   0.7500000E+08        1
     0.1650000E+09   0.1500000E+08        1
     0.1650000E+09   0.6000000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09   0.6000000E+08        1
     0.1350000E+09   0.7500000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09   0.3000000E+08        1
     0.9000000E+08   0.3000000E+08        1
END
```

IS PRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
MAX-HIT
     0.3300000E+08 -0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1500000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1500000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08 -0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1650000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08  0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
     0.1650000E+09  0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
     0.1350000E+09  0.7500000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
     0.1500000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1500000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08    1
END
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
MAX-MIN
    0.3300000E+08 -0.1500000E+08    1
    0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
    0.1500000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
    0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
    0.1500000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
    0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
    0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
    0.1200000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
    0.1050000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
    0.9000000E+08 -0.1500000E+08    1
    0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
    0.1650000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
    0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
    0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
    0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
    0.9000000E+08  0.1500000E+08    1
    0.1050000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
    0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
    0.1200000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
    0.1650000E+09  0.1500000E+08    1
    0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
    0.1350000E+09  0.7500000E+08    1
    0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
    0.1350000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
    0.1500000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
    0.1350000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
    0.1050000E+09  0.1500000E+08    1
    0.1500000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
    0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
    0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
    0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08    1
    0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08    1
END
```

IS PRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+09 -0.1500000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1500000E+09  0.6000000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1500000E+09  0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09  0.6000000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09  0.4500000E+08        1
     0.9000000E+08 -0.1500000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1650000E+09  0.4500000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00        1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08        1
     0.9000000E+08  0.1500000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09  0.6000000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09  0.0000000E+00        1
     0.1650000E+09  0.1500000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00        1
     0.1350000E+09  0.7500000E+08        1
     0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1350000E+09  0.0000000E+00        1
     0.1500000E+09  0.6000000E+08        1
     0.1350000E+09  0.6000000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09  0.1500000E+08        1
     0.1500000E+09  0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08        1
     0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00        1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08        1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08        1
END
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+09 -0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1500000E+09  0.6000000E+09    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1500000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08 -0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1650000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.4500000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08  0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
     0.1650000E+09  0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
     0.1350000E+09  0.7500000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
     0.1500000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1350000E+09  0.6000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.1500000E+08    1
     0.1500000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1200000E+09  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.1050000E+09  0.0000000E+00    1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08    1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08    1
END
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+09   0.0000000E+00        1
     0.9000000E+09   0.1500000E+08        1
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+09   0.0000000E+00        1
     0.9000000E+08   0.1500000E+08        1
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+09   0.0000000E+00        1
     0.9000000E+09   0.1500000E+08        1
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08   0.0000000E+00        1
     0.9000000E+08   0.1500000E+08        1
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08   0.0000000E+00        1
     0.9000000E+08   0.0000000E+00        1
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08   0.0000000E+00        1
     0.9000000E+08   0.0000000E+00        1
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08   0.0000000E+00        1
     0.9000000E+08   0.0000000E+00        1
END
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08  0.0000000E+00     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.1500000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.6000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.1050000E+09  0.1500000E+08     1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.1050000E+09  0.6000000E+08     1
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08  0.0000000E+00     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.1500000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.6000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.1050000E+09  0.1500000E+08     1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.1050000E+09  0.6000000E+08     1
END
MAX-MIN
     0.3300000E+08  0.0000000E+00     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.1500000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.6000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.1050000E+09  0.1500000E+08     1
     0.1050000E+09  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.9000000E+08  0.3000000E+08     1
     0.1050000E+09  0.6000000E+08     1
END
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

END LOADS
SPECTRUM
200 1
1 14
1 10
1 6
1 11
1 15
1 16
1 17
1 21
1 23
1 13
1 11
1 22
1 24
1 5
1 12
1 18
1 14
1 5
1 23
1 13
1 3
1 8
1 21
1 17
1 11
1 13
1 15
1 24
1 21
1 11
1 23
1 22
1 7
1 11
1 22
1 6
1 10
1 14
1 16
1 8
1 14
1 12
1 21
1 6

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | 17 |
| 1 | 13 |
| 1 | 10 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 21 |
| 1 | 23 |
| 1 | 13 |
| 1 | 23 |
| 1 | 15 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 19 |
| 1 | 14 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 15 |
| 1 | 12 |
| 1 | 24 |
| 1 | 23 |
| 1 | 16 |
| 1 | 21 |
| 1 | 22 |
| 1 | 19 |
| 1 | 13 |
| 1 | 22 |
| 1 | 21 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 24 |
| 1 | 17 |
| 1 | 10 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 1 | 15 |
| 1 | 13 |
| 1 | 16 |
| 1 | 12 |
| 1 | 14 |
| 1 | 21 |
| 1 | 14 |
| 1 | 10 |
| 1 | 15 |
| 1 | 23 |
| 1 | 24 |
| 1 | 21 |
| 1 | 12 |
| 1 | 17 |
| 1 | 19 |
| 1 | 16 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 13 |
| 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 20 |
| 1 | 14 |

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 23 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 20 |
| 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 17 |
| 1 | 18 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 10 |
| 1 | 13 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 12 |
| 1 | 22 |
| 1 | 13 |
| 1 | 23 |
| 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 19 |
| 1 | 10 |
| 1 | 15 |
| 1 | 18 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 16 |
| 1 | 13 |
| 1 | 17 |
| 1 | 21 |
| 1 | 22 |
| 1 | 15 |
| 1 | 17 |
| 1 | 21 |
| 1 | 12 |
| 1 | 24 |
| 1 | 14 |
| 1 | 13 |
| 1 | 24 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 23 |
| 1 | 16 |
| 1 | 22 |
| 1 | 14 |
| 1 | 10 |
| 1 | 21 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 15 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 15 |
| 1 | 24 |
| 1 | 12 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 23 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 23 |
| 1 | 13 |

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 6. CONTINUED

```
1 9
1 22
1 17
1 8
1 22
1 4
1 12
1 11
1 3
1 14
1 11
1 9
1 11
1 1
1 14
1 4
1 21
1 10
1 13
1 15
1 21
1 17
1 23
1 15
1 6
1 24
1 11
1 17
1 24
1 4
1 21
1 12
1 20
1 10
1 16
1 5
1 14
1 23
1 13
1 23
1 17
1 15
1 14
1 16
PRINT
1 100 0 0 0 1000
END DATA
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 7. OUTPUT FROM CRACKS IV FOR PROBLEM 2.

```
CRACKS-IV    VERSION 5, 04/26/79    R.M. ENGLE JR.
ARL ADAPTATION - JANUARY 1980

CASE  1      RUN  1
SWISS SPECIMEN RUN
DIRECT INPUT OF DA/DN VS DELTAK FOR   SEVERAL VALUES OF STRESS RATIO R.
ALUMINIUM ALLOY

KSUBO = 4.8600E+07      YIELD STRESS = 4.5800E+08

THRESHOLD DELTA K = 2.1000E+06*(1.0- 1.000*R)

INITIAL HALF CRACK LENGTH =  3.5590E-04
INITIAL CYCLE NUMBER =       0.00
R CUTOFF = 0.950
AUTOMATIC UNRETARDED SOLUTION SUPPRESSED
WHEELER'S RETARDATION MODEL WITH SMALLM = 4.200
PLANE STRESS YIELD ZONE CONDITION ASSUMED
CORRECTION FACTOR BETA(5) IS UNIAXIAL BOWIE SOLUTION FOR A SINGLE CRACK
    FROM A CIRCULAR HOLE OF RADIUS R =  3.0000E-03
    APPLIED FROM A =  3.5590E-04 TO A =  9.0000E-04
CORRECTION FACTOR BETA(9) IS UNIAXIAL LIU SOLUTION FOR A QUARTER CRACK
    FROM A DOUBLE HOLE OF   RADIUS R =  3.0000E-03
    APPLIED FROM A =  9.0000E-04 TO A =  2.1000E-03
CORRECTION FACTOR BETA(1) IS A CONSTANT
    BETA(1) =  1.440
    APPLIED FROM A= 2.1000E-03 TO A = 3.3600E-03
CORRECTION FACTOR BETA(6) IS UNIAXIAL BOWIE SOLUTION FOR TWO CRACKS
    FROM A CIRCULAR HOLE OF RADIUS R =  3.0000E-03
    APPLIED FROM A =  3.3600E-03 TO A =  1.0000E+39
MULTIPLICATION FACTOR =    1.000000
          SWISS SEQUENCES SPECIMEN (24)

    40 BLOCKS IN SPECTRUM


END OF INPUT
**********CRACKS IV ANALYSIS**********

          SWISS SEQUENCES SPECIMEN (24)

 FLT  MSN  LYR  CYCLES      A      DELTA K    K MAX      DADN      RETARD
   1   14    1   1.0   0.0004   .339E+07   .339E+07  2.504E-09   1.000
   1   14    2   2.0   0.0004   .462E+07   .770E+07  8.211E-09   1.000
   1   14    3   3.0   0.0004   .462E+07   .616E+07  9.332E-10   0.153
   1   14    4   4.0   0.0004   .462E+07   .616E+07  9.333E-10   0.153
   1   14    5   5.0   0.0004   .462E+07   .616E+07  9.334E-10   0.153
   1   14    6   6.0   0.0004   .308E+07   .616E+07  4.640E-10   0.153
   1   14    7   7.0   0.0004   .616E+07   .925E+07  1.965E-08   1.000
   1   14    8   8.0   0.0004   .308E+07   .616E+07  1.003E-10   0.033
   1   14    9   9.0   0.0004   .462E+07   .771E+07  1.776E-09   0.216
END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    1      CRACK LENGTH =  3.7901E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    1      CRACK LENGTH =  3.9381E-04
END OF BLOCK     1          CRACK LENGTH =  3.9381E-04
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  3.7915E-05      TOTAL GROWTH =  3.7915E-05


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    2      CRACK LENGTH =  4.0363E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    2      CRACK LENGTH =  4.2095E-04
END OF BLOCK     2          CRACK LENGTH =  4.2095E-04
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  2.7151E-05      TOTAL GROWTH =  6.5066E-05
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 7. CONTINUED

```
CRACKS-IV    VERSION 5, 04/26/79    R.M. ENGLE JR.
ARL ADAPTATION - JANUARY 1980

CASE  1      RUN  1
SWISS SPECIMEN RUN
DIRECT INPUT OF DA/DN VS DELTAK FOR SEVERAL VALUES OF STRESS RATIO R.
ALUMINIUM ALLOY

KSUBQ = 4.8600E+07      YIELD STRESS = 4.5800E+08

THRESHOLD DELTA K = 2.1000E+06*(1.0- 1.000*R)

INITIAL HALF CRACK LENGTH =  3.5590E-04
INITIAL CYCLE NUMBER =       0.00
R CUTOFF = 0.950
AUTOMATIC UNRETARDED SOLUTION SUPPRESSED
WHEELER'S RETARDATION MODEL WITH SMALLM = 4.200
PLANE STRESS YIELD ZONE CONDITION ASSUMED
CORRECTION FACTOR BETA(5) IS EMBEDDED CRACK FROM  A HOLE
    (A/D < 0.15) SYMMETRIC.  RADIUS OF HOLE = 3.0000E-03
    APPLIED FROM A =  3.5590E-04 TO A =   9.0000E-04
CORRECTION FACTOR BETA(9) IS UNIAXIAL EMBEDDED CRACK  FROM A HOLE,
    <A/D > 0.15) SYMMETRIC.  RADIUS OF HOLE  RADIUS R = 3.0000E-03
    APPLIED FROM A =  9.0000E-04 TO A =   2.1000E-03
CORRECTION FACTOR BETA(1) IS A CONSTANT
    BETA(1) =  1.440
    APPLIED FROM A= 2.1000E-03 TO A = 3.3600E-03
CORRECTION FACTOR BETA(6) IS UNIAXIAL BOWIE SOLUTION FOR TWO CRACKS
    FROM A CIRCULAR HOLE OF RADIUS R =  3.0000E-03
    APPLIED FROM A =  3.3600E-03 TO A =  1.0000E+38
MULTIPLICATION FACTOR =    1.000000
          SWISS SEQUENCES SPECIMEN (24)

    40 BLOCKS IN SPECTRUM


END OF INPUT
**********CRACKS IV ANALYSIS**********

          SWISS SEQUENCES SPECIMEN (24)

 FLT  MSN  LYR  CYCLES      A      DELTA K    K MAX      DADN      RETARD
   1   14    1   1.0    0.0004   .232E+07   .232E+07  1.485E-09   1.000
   1   14    2   2.0    0.0004   .527E+07   .632E+07  7.361E-09   1.000
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 7. CONTINUED

```
END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    3     CRACK LENGTH =  4.3174E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    3     CRACK LENGTH =  4.5069E-04
END OF BLOCK    3        CRACK LENGTH =  4.5069E-04
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  2.9743E-05     TOTAL GROWTH =  9.4793E-05


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    4     CRACK LENGTH =  4.6256E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    4     CRACK LENGTH =  4.8357E-04
END OF BLOCK    4        CRACK LENGTH =  4.8357E-04
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  3.2881E-05     TOTAL GROWTH =  1.2767E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    5     CRACK LENGTH =  4.9676E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    5     CRACK LENGTH =  5.2031E-04
END OF BLOCK    5        CRACK LENGTH =  5.2031E-04
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  3.6732E-05     TOTAL GROWTH =  1.6441E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    6     CRACK LENGTH =  5.3501E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    6     CRACK LENGTH =  5.6152E-04
END OF BLOCK    6        CRACK LENGTH =  5.6152E-04
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  4.1218E-05     TOTAL GROWTH =  2.0562E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    7     CRACK LENGTH =  5.7804E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    7     CRACK LENGTH =  6.0809E-04
END OF BLOCK    7        CRACK LENGTH =  6.0809E-04
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  4.6567E-05     TOTAL GROWTH =  2.5219E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    8     CRACK LENGTH =  6.2688E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    8     CRACK LENGTH =  6.6181E-04
END OF BLOCK    8        CRACK LENGTH =  6.6181E-04
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  5.3723E-05     TOTAL GROWTH =  3.0591E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK    9     CRACK LENGTH =  6.8406E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK    9     CRACK LENGTH =  7.2572E-04
END OF BLOCK    9        CRACK LENGTH =  7.2572E-04
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  6.3903E-05     TOTAL GROWTH =  3.6982E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK   10     CRACK LENGTH =  7.5244E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK   10     CRACK LENGTH =  8.0333E-04
END OF BLOCK   10        CRACK LENGTH =  8.0333E-04
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  7.7609E-05     TOTAL GROWTH =  4.4743E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK   11     CRACK LENGTH =  8.3692E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK   11     CRACK LENGTH =  9.0267E-04
END OF BLOCK   11        CRACK LENGTH =  9.0267E-04
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  9.9344E-05     TOTAL GROWTH =  5.4677E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK   12     CRACK LENGTH =  9.4464E-04
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK   12     CRACK LENGTH =  1.0232E-03
END OF BLOCK   12        CRACK LENGTH =  1.0232E-03
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  1.2252E-04     TOTAL GROWTH =  6.6929E-04


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK   13     CRACK LENGTH =  1.0782E-03
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK   13     CRACK LENGTH =  1.1922E-03
END OF BLOCK   13        CRACK LENGTH =  1.1922E-03
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  1.5697E-04     TOTAL GROWTH =  8.2626E-04
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 7. CONTINUED

```
END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK   14      CRACK LENGTH =  1.2523E-03
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK   14      CRACK LENGTH =  1.3964E-03
END OF BLOCK   14          CRACK LENGTH =  1.3964E-03
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  2.1423E-04      TOTAL GROWTH =  1.0405E-03


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK   15      CRACK LENGTH =  1.4986E-03
END OF SEGMENT   200 OF BLOCK   15      CRACK LENGTH =  1.7224E-03
END OF BLOCK   15          CRACK LENGTH =  1.7224E-03
   GROWTH THIS BLOCK =  3.2601E-04      TOTAL GROWTH =  1.3665E-03


END OF SEGMENT   100 OF BLOCK   16      CRACK LENGTH =  1.8987E-03

KMAX APPLIED EXCEEDS KSUBO.  PROBLEM TERMINATED.
LAST CALCULATED VALUES ARE:

    BLOCK IN SPECTRUM      16
    SEGMENT NUMBER        192
    MISSION NUMBER          5
    FLIGHT NUMBER        3192
    LAYER IN MISSION       20
    ACCUMULATED CYCLES 9.4619E+04
    CRACK LENGTH       8.7498E-03
    KMAX APPLIED       5.0524E+07
    KMAX EFFECTIVE     5.0524E+07
    DELTA K            4.2103E+07
    DA/DN              4.4283E-06
```

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 7. CONTINUED

THIS FILE IS AN OUTPUT FILE FROM CRAY4.
IT INDICATES THE AMOUNT OF EXTRAPOLATION WHICH OCCURS
IN A PARTICULAR RUN OF CRAYS.

| CRACK LENGTH | CYCLE | DELTA CRACK | DELTA CYCLE | TOT. DELTA CRACK | TOT. DELTA CYCLE | EXTRA. NO. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| .523E-03 | .302E+05 | .152E-13 | .100E+01 | .108E-07 | 1001 | 1 |
| .815E-03 | .606E+05 | .252E-13 | .100E+01 | .108E-07 | 2001 | 1 |

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

TABLE 8. NON-DIMENSIONAL STRESS INTENSITY AS A FUNCTION OF $\theta$ FOR VARIOUS VALUES OF c/a.

| c/a | $\theta$ Degrees | Q | $K_{Ie} \times M_{2h}/\sigma\sqrt{\pi a}$ $\frac{a}{d} = 0.5$ $c = 0.6$mm | 0.125 1.50mm | 0.25 3.0mm |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.5 | 0 | 2.30 | 1.85 | 1.69 | 1.55 |
| | 45 | | 1.44 | 1.18 | 0.76 |
| | 90 | | 1.10 | 0.87 | 0.55 |
| 0.75 | 0 | 2.30 | 1.85 | 1.69 | 1.55 |
| | 45 | | 1.52 | 1.24 | 0.80 |
| | 90 | | 1.34 | 1.05 | 0.66 |
| 1.00 | 0 | 2.30 | 1.85 | 1.69 | 1.55 |
| | 45 | | 1.61 | 1.31 | 0.87 |
| | 90 | | 1.55 | 1.22 | 0.77 |
| 1.25 | 0 | 1.90 | 1.82 | 1.66 | 1.53 |
| | 45 | | 1.76 | 1.44 | 0.93 |
| | 90 | | 1.71 | 1.35 | 0.85 |
| 1.50 | 0 | 1.60 | 1.81 | 1.65 | 1.52 |
| | 45 | | 1.79 | 1.46 | 0.94 |
| | 90 | | 1.86 | 1.46 | 0.92 |
| 1.75 | 0 | 1.40 | 1.79 | 1.63 | 1.37 |
| | 45 | | 1.87 | 1.53 | 0.99 |
| | 90 | | 1.99 | 1.56 | 0.98 |
| 2.00 | 0 | 1.35 | 1.70 | 1.55 | 1.30 |
| | 45 | | 1.87 | 1.52 | 0.98 |
| | 90 | | 2.02 | 1.59 | 1.00 |
| 3.00 | 0 | 1.10 | 1.54 | 1.40 | 1.17 |
| | 45 | | 2.02 | 1.65 | 1.06 |
| | 90 | | 2.24 | 1.76 | 1.11 |

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE




FIG. 1 DA/DN vs DELTA K DATA FOR 5mm THICK CCT SPECIMEN [R = +0.7]

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE




FIG. 2 CRACK GEOMETRY FACTOR 'BETA' vs CRACK DEPTH
(FOR SYMMETRIC CRACKS EMANATING FROM HOLES)




FIG. 3 STRESS vs CYCLES INDICATING THE OVERLOAD RATIO

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE




FIG. 4 VARIATION OF CRACK GEOMETRY FACTOR β AS A FUNCTION OF THE RATIO CRACK DEPTH (a) TO HOLE DIAMETER (d). (EMBEDDED CRACK AND THROUGH CRACK).

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE




FIG. 5 CRACK GROWTH PREDICTION, USING WHEELER RETARDATION, FOR THE FRAME-BOTTOM SIMULATION SPECIMEN TESTED UNDER THE F+W SEQUENCE (43•80 MPa/g).




FIG. 6 SHAPE PARAMETER CURVES FOR SURFACE AND INTERNAL FLAWS

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE




FIG. 7 CRACK LENGTH TO DEPTH RATIO
(From Ref. 23)

## DISTRIBUTION

### AUSTRALIA

#### Department of Defence

##### Central Office

Chief Defence Scientist )
Deputy Chief Defence Scientist )
Superintendent, Science and Program Administration ) (1 copy)
Controller, External Relations, Projects and Analytical Studies )
Defence Science Adviser (U.K.) (Doc Data sheet only)
Counsellor, Defence Science (U.S.A.) (Doc Data sheet only)
Defence Science Representative (Bangkok)
Defence Central Library
Document Exchange Centre, D.I.S.B. (18 copies)
Joint Intelligence Organisation
Librarian H Block, Victoria Barracks, Melbourne
Director General - Army Development (NSO) (4 copies)

##### Aeronautical Research Laboratories

Director
Library
Divisional File - Structures
Author: C. S. Dentry
J. M. Finney
A. S. Machin
J. G. Sparrow
B. J. Wicks
M. E. Packer

##### Materials Research Laboratories

Director/Library

##### Defence Research Centre

Library

##### Navy Office

Navy Scientific Adviser

##### Army Office

Scientific Adviser - Army

##### Air Force Office

Air Force Scientific Adviser
Aircraft Research and Development Unit
Scientific Flight Group
Library
Technical Division Library
Director General Aircraft Engineering - Air Force
AIRENG 1

../...

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

## DISTRIBUTION

### AUSTRALIA

#### Department of Defence

##### Central Office

Chief Defence Scientist )
Deputy Chief Defence Scientist )
Superintendent, Science and Program Administration ) (1 copy)
Controller, External Relations, Projects and Analytical Studies )
Defence Science Adviser (U.K.) (Doc Data sheet only)
Counsellor, Defence Science (U.S.A.) (Doc Data sheet only)
Defence Science Representative (Bangkok)
Defence Central Library
Document Exchange Centre, D.I.S.B. (18 copies)
Joint Intelligence Organisation
Librarian H Block, Victoria Barracks, Melbourne
Director General - Army Development (NSO) (4 copies)

##### Aeronautical Research Laboratories

Director
Library
Divisional File - Structures
Author: C. S. Dentry
J. M. Finney
A. S. Machin
J. G. Sparrow
B. J. Wicks
M. E. Packer

##### Materials Research Laboratories

Director/Library

##### Defence Research Centre

Library

##### Navy Office

Navy Scientific Adviser

##### Army Office

Scientific Adviser - Army

##### Air Force Office

Air Force Scientific Adviser
Aircraft Research and Development Unit
Scientific Flight Group
Library
Technical Division Library
Director General Aircraft Engineering - Air Force
AIRENG 1



REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

DISTRIBUTION (CONT'D)

Statutory and State Authorities and Industry

Australian Aircraft Consortium

S. McCord
WGCDR G. R. Susans

Commonwealth Aircraft Corporation

R. Stephens
R. C. Beckett

Hawker de Havilland Aust. Pty Ltd.

V. Romeo

SPARES (10 copies)

TOTAL (62 copies)

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

# END

# FILMED

6-85

# DTIC