

AD-A153 635


ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT RESEARCH PROGRAM A LOW-COST  
TRANSPLANTING TECHNIQUE F. (U) ARMY ENGINEER WATERWAYS  
EXPERIMENT STATION VICKSBURG MS ENVIR.  
M S FONSECA ET AL. JAN 85 WES/IR/EL-84-1


1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 2/4

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

(2)



US Army Corps of Engineers

AD-A153 635

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT RESEARCH PROGRAM

INSTRUCTION REPORT EL-84 1

A LOW-COST TRANSPLANTING TECHNIQUE FOR SHOALGRASS (*HALODULE WRIGHTII*) AND MANATEE GRASS (*SYRINGODIUM FILIFORME*)

by

M. S. Fonseca

Department of Environmental Sciences  
University of Virginia  
Charlottesville, Virginia 22903

W. J. Kenworthy, K. M. Cheap, C. A. Currin, G. W. Thayer  
Beaufort Laboratory, Southwest Fisheries Center  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
Beaufort, North Carolina 28516



DTIC ELECTE  
MAY 13 1985  
S B

January 1985

Final Report (Corrected)

Approved For Public Release: Distribution Unlimited

DTIC FILE COPY

Prepared for DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
US Army Corps of Engineers  
Washington, DC 20314-1000

Under Environmental Impact Research Program  
Work Unit 31632

Monitored by Environmental Laboratory  
US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station  
PO Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-0631



85 04 15 000

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the generator.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
P O BOX 631  
VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI 39180

REPLY TO  
ATTENTION OF

WESEP-E

SUBJECT: Distribution of Corrected Version of Instruction Report EL-84-1

TO: All Report Recipients

1. Due to an error in processing the subject report for publication, changes made in response to review comments were not incorporated in the report that was previously submitted (dated September 1984).
2. The report has been reprinted with the changes incorporated and is re-submitted herewith with a date of January 1985. Please note that the title and report number have not changed.
3. Copies of the September 1984 version of this report should be destroyed and replaced with the January 1985 version.

FOR THE COMMANDER AND DIRECTOR:

ROGER T. SAUCIER  
Manager  
Environmental Impact  
Research Program

1 Incl  
as

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER Instruction Report EL-84-1	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A153 633	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A LOW-COST TRANSPLANTING TECHNIQUE FOR SHOALGRASS ( <i>HALODULE WRIGHTII</i> ) AND MANATEE GRASS ( <i>SYRINGODIUM FILIFORME</i> )		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final report (corrected)	
7. AUTHOR(s) M. S. Fonseca, W. J. Kenworthy, K. M. Cheap, C. A. Currin, and G. W. Thayer		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of Virginia, Department of Environmental Sciences, Charlottesville, Va. 22903; National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Center, Beaufort Laboratory, Beaufort, N. C. 28516		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY US Army Corps of Engineers Washington, DC 20314-1000		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Environmental Impact Re- search Program, Work Unit 31632	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station Environmental Laboratory PO Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-0631		12. REPORT DATE January 1985	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 16	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)  Unclassified	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  Available from National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Va. 22161.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Cost evaluation                      Sediment stabilization Currents                                Shoalgrass Manatee grass                        Transplanting techniques Seagrass			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A simplified, low-cost transplanting technique has been developed for seagrasses, <i>Halodule wrightii</i> and <i>Syringodium filiforme</i> , for use in high- and low-current regimes. The method makes use of mature, vegetative shoots, free of sediment and anchored to the substrate. The technique accounts for selection of planting stock, species growth rate, and depth of planting site for estimat- ing the design, labor, and material requirements of a transplant. Examples are provided to illustrate use of the tables and formulas for computing the neces- sary requirements.			

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

## PREFACE

This report was sponsored by the Office, Chief of Engineers (OCE), U. S. Army, as a part of the Environmental Impact Research Program (EIRP) Work Unit 31632 entitled Coastal Engineering Uses of Submerged Plants, which was assigned to the U. S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC). The Center, originally located at Fort Belvoir, Va., moved to the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES), Vicksburg, Miss., on 1 July 1983. The Technical Monitors for the study were Dr. John Bushman and Mr. Earl Eiker of OCE and Mr. David B. Mathis, Water Resources Support Center.

The study and preparation of a draft final report were accomplished during the time period October 1, 1982 to October 1, 1983; preparation of the reproducible copy was done during February, 1984.

The report was prepared by Mr. Mark S. Fonseca, Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, and Mr. W. Judson Kenworthy, Ms. Kathleen M. Cheap, Ms. Carolyn A. Currin, and Dr. Gordon W. Thayer of the Beaufort Laboratory, Southeast Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service.

The authors express appreciation to D. Robertson, M. Robertson, and C. Foltz for assistance in the field exercises, and to H. Gordy for graphics.

Mr. Paul L. Knutson was technical monitor for this report, under the general supervision of Mr. E. J. Pullen, Chief, Coastal Ecology Branch, and Mr. R. P. Savage, Chief, Research Division. Dr. Roger T. Saucier, WES, was the Program Manager of EIRP.

Technical Director of CERC at Fort Belvoir during the study and preparation of the draft final report was Dr. Robert W. Whalin. Commander and Director of WES during preparation of the reproducible copy was COL Tilford C. Creel, CE; Technical Director was Mr. F. R. Brown.

This report should be cited as follows:

Fonseca, M. S., Kenworthy, W. J., Cheap, K. M., Currin, C. A., and Thayer, G. W. 1985. "A Low-Cost Transplanting Technique for Shoalgrass (*Halodule wrightii*) and Manatee Grass (*Syringodium filiforme*)," Instruction Report EL-84-1, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES), Vicksburg, Miss., prepared by National Marine Fisheries Service under contract to Environmental Laboratory.

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PREFACE .....	1
CONVERSION FACTORS, U. S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI)..... UNITS OF MEASUREMENT	4
INTRODUCTION.....	5
HARVESTING AND STORING PLANTS.....	6
Identifying Preferred Harvest Sites.....	6
Harvest Technique.....	8
Storage Guidelines.....	8
PREPARING PLANTING UNITS.....	9
PLANTING METHOD.....	9
PLANT MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS.....	11
LABOR REQUIREMENTS.....	13
Harvesting and Preparation of Planting Units.....	13
Anchor Attachment.....	13
Planting.....	13
Total Man-Hours.....	13
SUMMARY.....	15
REFERENCES.....	16



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

CONVERSION FACTORS, U. S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI)  
UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

U. S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

<u>Multiply</u>	<u>By</u>	<u>To Obtain</u>
acres	4046.873	square metres
feet	0.3048	metres
feet per second	0.3048	metres per second
inches	25.4	millimetres
square feet	0.09290304	square metres

A LOW-COST TRANSPLANTING TECHNIQUE FOR  
SHOALGRASS (*Halodule wrightii*) AND  
MANATEE GRASS (*Syringodium filiforme*)

Introduction

1. A cooperative research program between the Beaufort Laboratory of the Southeast Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U. S. Army Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC) has developed low-cost transplanting techniques for the subtropical seagrass species shoalgrass (*Halodule wrightii*) and manatee grass (*Syringodium filiforme*). Research is continuing into establishment of turtlegrass (*Thalassia testudinum*), which does not readily develop adequate bottom cover using transplants of mature vegetative parts, as described here for shoalgrass and manatee grass. Techniques described in this report can be used within the natural distributional range of the seagrasses, which encompass the Caribbean, central and southern Florida and the Gulf of Mexico coast.

2. The transplanting techniques presented are effective for: restoring areas damaged by coastal engineering activities, habitat enhancement and sediment stabilization. The technique involves planting a relatively sparse array of sprig bundles over a barren area. Lateral rhizome growth from these bundles results in creation of a characteristic meadow. This technique is estimated to result in substantial labor reductions compared to plug or fiber-mesh methods recommended in a previous report by Phillips (1980). A similar technique was developed for temperate species (Fonseca, Kenworthy, and Thayer 1982) and should be used in concert

with upcoming technical reports that describe environmental requirements for some seagrass species and sediment stabilization properties of others.

### Harvesting and Storing Plants

#### Identifying preferred harvest sites

3. Seagrass transplants should be obtained from healthy, well-established meadows large enough to minimize the impact of plant removal. When no reasonable alternative to loss of seagrass habitat exists, areas to be destroyed should also be considered as possible harvest sites for onsite compensation of that loss. Research has demonstrated that eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) transplants collected from high-current areas are found at higher densities than low-current areas and sometimes exhibit higher growth rates than transplants from low-current areas (Fonseca et al. 1979). These attributes can improve collection efficiency and rates of seagrass bed development (Fonseca, Kenworthy, and Thayer 1981). Our experience suggests that these characteristics may also be true for shoalgrass and manatee grass collected from moderate- and high-current areas. Moderate- to high-current areas are defined as those areas in which water surface current velocity ranges between 0.8 and 1.6 ft/sec.\*

4. Sufficient numbers of apical meristems, or growing tips, are necessary for successful transplants. These are illustrated in Figure 1, and collectors should be familiar with their appearance. Upon identifying a potential harvest site, random subsamples of at least 10 planting units (a group of shoots with anchoring device, see page 9) should be made from transplant stock collected from several different locations in the harvest site. The number of apical meristems per planting unit should be

---

\* A table of factors for converting U.S. customary units of measurement to metric (SI) units is presented on page 4.

recorded. It is recommended that there be a mean ratio of apical meristems to planting units of 1.0, although successful plantings have been established with a mean ratio as low as 0.4. Aerial runners, which always have apical meristems, are sometimes available as an alternative to rhizomes which must be dug up in transplanting these species (Derrenbacker and Lewis 1982; techniques are described in later sections). "Aerial runners," while a term in common usage, is a misnomer for what are actually rhizomes growing out of the sediment exhibiting a stoloniferous-like form. The distribution of aerial runners is not known to be controlled by current regime; therefore, current is not a harvesting site criterion when using this method.




Figure 1. Aerial runners (A and B) and rhizomes (C and D) with apical meristems. Section A illustrates the anchor's placement on a planting unit. The dashed lines indicate the point of detachment when harvesting planting unit.

### Harvest technique

5. Two techniques that may be employed in the harvest of shoalgrass and manatee grass are (a) the collection of aerial runners and (b) the removal of "sods" or mats of seagrass from the sediment.

6. Aerial runners containing at least 6 shoots and the apical meristem are removed from the plant by hand. The dashed lines in Figure 1 (A and B) illustrate the point at which aerial runners should be detached.

7. Harvesting sods is most readily accomplished with a dive knife or shovel inserted 6-8 in. into the substrate. A sod of seagrass is cut out and carefully removed from the sediment to avoid damaging the plants and to preserve the root-rhizome mat. Sod harvest necessitates some disruption of the donor meadows. Sods should be cut in small, shovel-sized sections from the meadow as widely dispersed as possible to facilitate regrowth into the shovel hole. The harvested seagrass mat should be gently rinsed free of sediment. Individual planting units from this material will resemble the rhizomes in Figure 1 (C and D).

### Storage guidelines

8. Aerial runners and mats of seagrass should be stored in ambient seawater and processed into planting units within 36 hr. If possible, the water in closed storage containers (e.g. plastic trashcans) should be aerated; otherwise, replace the water frequently. For outdoor storage the planting material can be retained in coarse mesh bags (such as typical nylon dive bags) and hung overboard from a boat or pier. For prolonged storage of 2-3 weeks, floating pens with open tops (for light) are suggested. Setting the mats of seagrass into shallow, flowing seawater tables works well and

provides an ideal area for sorting and preparing planting units. These submerged plants do not tolerate any exposure to direct sun when out of the water; they should always be kept in ambient seawater.

#### Preparing Planting Units

9. A planting unit (PU) consists of a section of aerial runner that has at least 6 shoots and the apical meristem. Properly harvested aerial runners will need no sorting prior to transplanting. If the plant material was harvested by the sod method, planting units consisting of at least 3 healthy rhizomes bearing a minimum of 5 intact shoots will need to be sorted from the mat.

10. Anchors must be used to secure the plant to the sediment. Anchors can be made from pieces of sturdy wire approximately 8 in. in length and bent into U-shaped pins. Bent sections of coat hangers or commercially available erosion control fabric pins will serve the purpose. Figure 1A illustrates placement of the anchors over the transplant stock.

11. Additional preparation may be necessary, depending upon the current velocity at the transplant site. In moderate- to high-current areas (> 1.7 ft/sec), planting units need to be attached to anchors by twist ties. The anchor should be attached to a sturdy portion of the runner(s) or rhizome(s). In low-current areas (< 1.7 ft/sec) it is not necessary to attach planting units to anchors; anchors will simply be placed over the transplant unit at the time of planting.

#### Planting Method

12. Proper handling and spacing of planting units is essential for a successful transplant. Planting units should be kept covered with seawater at all times and handled carefully to reduce breakage and transplant shock.

13. Transplanting can be done in shallow water areas (up to about 2 ft deep) by wading workers or by SCUBA divers in deeper areas. There are no particular limitations on sediment types for planting. Planting grids with the proper spacing should be established using a weighted line marked in the calculated spacing units or by other measuring devices.

14. Planting units need to be secured to the sediment surface but not buried. In low-current areas U-shaped anchors are placed over the rhizome or runner of the planting unit and then pushed into the sediments until the planting unit is held firmly against the bottom and will not be removed by current or wave action (Figure 2). Greatest efficiency is obtained when personnel work in teams, with one worker holding the planting unit in position while the other worker places the anchor to secure it. In moderate- to high-current areas, planting units are already attached to anchors; one worker plants while another individual provides a continuous supply of planting units.



Figure 2. Planting method described in text showing planting unit, anchor, and weighted line marked in spacing units. Planting unit is inserted next to the marked line.

Plant Material Requirements

15. To determine the required number of planting units and spacing between them for a transplant area, first determine which species will be used, the desired number of days for transplant stock to cover the area, and the size of the transplant area in square feet. Based on the species used and the desired number of days to coverage, determine the Y value from the table below. For example, if shoalgrass is to be used and the value for days to coverage is 125, then Y = 7.5406.

Days to Coverage	Y Value (ft <sup>2</sup> )	
	Shoalgrass (H.w.)	Manatee grass (S.f.)
50	0.7543	0.2765
75	1.6248	0.4250
100	3.5002	0.6521
125	7.5406	0.9996
150	16.2444	1.5333
175	34.9969	2.3381
200	75.3975	3.5852

16. The Y values given above were derived from the formula:

$$Y = ae^{rt} \tag{1}$$

where

a = Time 0 area (ft<sup>2</sup>)  
(H.w. = 0.1625; S.f. = 0.1173)

e = Base of the system of natural logarithms  
= (1+t)<sup>1/t</sup> as t goes to 0 = 2.7182818

r = Instantaneous growth coefficient  
(H.w. = 0.0307; S.f. = 0.0171)

t = Days to coverage.

17. To calculate the number of planting units needed for a transplant site use the following formula:

$$\text{Number of PUs} = \frac{\text{Area of transplant in square feet}}{Y} \quad (2)$$

To calculate the spacing between PUs in the planting grid, use the following formula:

$$\text{Distance between PUs in feet} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Area of transplant in square feet}}{\text{Number of PUs}}} \quad (3)$$

18. As another example, assume a transplant area of 3 acres is to be planted with manatee grass. The transplants should cover the area in 150 days. Convert acres to square feet as follows:

$$3 \text{ acres} \times 43,500 \text{ ft}^2/\text{acre} = 130,500 \text{ ft}^2$$

The Y value for manatee grass at 150 days is 1.5333; hence:

$$\text{Number of PUs} = \frac{130,500}{1.5333} = 85,111$$

$$\text{Distance between PUs} = \sqrt{\frac{130,500}{85,111}} = 1.24 \text{ ft}$$

19. The authors would like to point out that of the two species, shoalgrass had a higher growth rate when transplanted. If stock availability and site environmental conditions do not suggest a particular species, the choice of a faster-growing species will reduce total man-hours required for the transplant. Planting both species together would, however, allow for a natural selection of the best species for the site. The selection of a longer time period to coverage will also result in fewer total man-hours required.

20. Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the development of a shoalgrass planting unit from transplant to 160 days' growth.

### Labor Requirements

21. Labor rates for the three phases of planting are discussed below.

#### Harvesting and preparation of planting units

22. Aerial runners.

- a. Shoalgrass - 500 aerial runners or planting units per man-hour.
- b. Manatee grass - 325 aerial runners or planting units per man-hour.

23. Sods. For either species, 18,000 shoots or 3,000 planting units per man-hour.

#### Anchor attachment

24. If planting units are to be attached to anchors, labor rate is 100 PUs per man-hour.

#### Planting

25. Planting rates are as follows:

- a. For wading, non-SCUBA assisted workers, the rate is about 150 PUs per man-hour in most habitats.
- b. For SCUBA-assisted workers, the rate is about 175 PUs per man-hour. (Note: although SCUBA-assisted workers are at least 15 percent faster than non-SCUBA assisted workers, wage difference has always resulted in non-SCUBA workers being most economical when conditions permit.)

#### Total man-hours

26. As an example, determine total man-hours required to harvest, prepare, and plant 25,000 PUs of shoalgrass from sod samples. Planting




Figure 3. Newly planted shoalgrass stock




Figure 4. Shoalgrass transplant after 160 days of growth

units were attached to anchors, and wading workers were used.

	<u>Man-hours</u>
Harvest (25,000 PUs ÷ 3,000 PUs/man-hour)	8.3
Attaching anchors (25,000 PUs ÷ 100 PUs/man-hour)	250.0
Planting (25,000 PUs ÷ 150 PUs/man-hour)	166.7
TOTAL	<u>425.0</u>

27. This estimate includes only onsite work; it does not include travel, gear preparation, or additional requirements for safety of workers and equipment.

#### Summary

28. The planting techniques recommended in this report make use of mature vegetative plant material that can be acquired with or without impact to the donor site (i.e. harvesting sod or aerial runners). Based on the estimated man-hour requirements for each collection technique reported herein, a cost-benefit analysis with regard for the impact to the donor site could be completed.

29. Cost estimates must account for the attachment of anchors in moderate- to high-current areas, as well as consideration of shallow water versus SCUBA-assisted planting.

30. Material requirements are estimated by accounting for the species selected, the species growth rate, and the area to be covered. Formulas are provided for simplified computation of spacing of planting units to achieve coverage within the desired time period.

31. The table for computation of Y values was developed from the results of several experimental pilot plantings in which the transplants

were carefully monitored for 160 days and the growth rates and area covered were recorded.

#### References

- Derrenbacker, J., and R. R. Lewis. 1982. "Seagrass habitat restoration, Lake Surprise, Florida Keys," Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Conference on Wetlands Restoration and Creation, F. J. Webb, ed.
- Fonseca, M. S., Kenworthy, W. J., and Thayer G. W. 1981. "Transplanting of the Seagrasses Zostera marina and Halodule wrightii for the Stabilization of Subtidal Dredged Material," Annual Report, Beaufort Laboratory, Beaufort, N. C.
- Fonseca, M. S., Kenworthy, W. J., and Thayer, G. W. 1982. "A Low-Cost Planting Technique for Eelgrass (Zostera marina L.)," Coastal Engineering Technical Aid 82-6, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Ft. Belvoir, Va.
- Fonseca, M. S., Kenworthy, W. J., Homziak, J., and Thayer, G. W. 1979. "Transplanting of Eelgrass and Shoalgrass as a Potential Means of Mitigating a Recent Loss of Habitat," Proceedings of the Sixth Annual Conference on Wetlands Restoration and Creation, D. P. Cole, ed.
- Phillips, R. C. 1980. "Planting Guidelines for Seagrasses," Coastal Engineering Technical Aid 80-2, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Ft. Belvoir, Va.

**END**

**FILMED**

**6-85**

**DTIC**