



National Defence
Défense nationale



UNCLASSIFIED

UNLIMITED
DISTRIBUTION

DRES

20030115012

SUFFIELD MEMORANDUM

NO. 1147


AN *IN VITRO* METHOD FOR THE SCREENING OF
TRICHOHECENE MYCOTOXIN CYTOTOXICITY AND
THE STUDY OF MYCOTOXIN COUNTERMEASURES (U)

by

V.L. DiNinno, D. Penman, A.R. Bhatti,
N.P. Erhardt and P.A. Lockwood

ACN No. 16A10

March 1985



DTIC FILE COPY



DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT SUFFIELD, RALSTON, ALBERTA

WARNING

The use of this information is permitted subject to
recognition of proprietary and patent rights.

Canada

85 05 10 085

AD-A153 663

UNCLASSIFIED

UNLIMITED
DISTRIBUTION

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT SUFFIELD
RALSTON ALBERTA

SUFFIELD MEMORANDUM NO. 1147

**AN *IN VITRO* METHOD FOR THE SCREENING OF
TRICHOHECENE MYCOTOXIN CYTOTOXICITY AND
THE STUDY OF MYCOTOXIN COUNTERMEASURES (U)**

by

V.L. DiNinno, D. Penman, A.R. Bhatti,
N.P. Erhardt and P.A. Lockwood

ACN No. 16A10

WARNING

The use of this information is permitted subject to recognition
of proprietary and patent rights.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNLIMITED
DISTRIBUTION

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT SUFFIELD
RALSTON ALBERTA

SUFFIELD MEMORANDUM NO. 1147

**AN *IN VITRO* METHOD FOR THE SCREENING OF
TRICHOHECENE MYCOTOXIN CYTOTOXICITY AND
THE STUDY OF MYCOTOXIN COUNTERMEASURES (U)**

by

V.L. DiNinno, D. Penman, A.R. Bhatti,
N.P. Erhardt and P.A. Lockwood



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
and/or	
Special	
A-1	

ABSTRACT

A thymocytic cell bio-assay was developed for assessing the toxicity of the trichothecene mycotoxin T-2. The *in vitro* toxicity of mycotoxin T-2 towards mouse mono-nuclear leukocytes prepared from spleen, thymus, peritoneal lavage and bone marrow cells was studied. Bone marrow cells were found to be more resistant to T-2 toxicity than thymus, spleen and peritoneal cell preparations. This method is rapid and provides consistent and reproducible results for the study of T-2 mycotoxicosis.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT SUFFIELD
RALSTON ALBERTA

SUFFIELD MEMORANDUM NO. 1147

**AN *IN VITRO* METHOD FOR THE SCREENING OF
TRICHOHECENE MYCOTOXIN CYTOTOXICITY AND
THE STUDY OF MYCOTOXIN COUNTERMEASURES (U)**

by

V.L. DiNinno, D. Penman, A.R. Bhatti,
N.P. Erhardt and P.A. Lockwood

INTRODUCTION

Several papers have described the action of trichothecene mycotoxins on prokaryotic cellular systems (1 - 4). This information, however, may not be directly relevant to mammalian systems in terms of mycotoxin toxicity and mode of action. Considerable work has been done on the feeding of mammalian species with trichothecene mycotoxins (5 - 8) and while these have the advantage of being more directly related to mammalian eukaryotic cells and thus, inferentially, to human cytopathogenicity, the methods used are slow (4 - 6 weeks) and time consuming.

UNCLASSIFIED

While the histological cellular evidence of such orally administered mycotoxins is well documented (9), this method lacks precision with respect to each quantitative challenge posed to cells sensitive to the effects of the mycotoxin. Lafarge-Frayssinet *et al.* (9), have demonstrated the effects of exposing certain cellular elements of the reticuloendothelial system in test mammals to various dosage challenge of mycotoxins.

It is felt that the work of Lafarge-Frayssinet *et al.* (9), could be developed to provide a rapid (7 – 22 hrs) *in vitro* method for the presumptive screening of the cytotoxic actions of mycotoxins and that this cytotoxicity could be related to the action of certain mycotoxins and/or their secondary metabolites in animals. In turn, this could also bear relationship to certain specific molecular configurations of these mycotoxin molecules.

In this paper, the development of an *in vitro* system using murine thymocytic cells as a bio-assay for a trichothecene mycotoxin is described. The method is rapid and has provided consistent and reproducible results for the study of T-2.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and Culture Conditions

Cells were maintained for the duration of the experiment in Eagle's modified minimal essential media with glutamine (Flow Laboratories) containing 2 mL of 1 M HEPES buffer and 1 mL (5000 units of penicillin, 500 μ g streptomycin) antibiotic solution per 100 mL of media. The cells were cultured in 12 $\times$ 75 mm polystyrene disposable culture tubes in an incubator at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

Preparation of Mouse Cells Suspensions

C-57 black male mice, 3 – 6 weeks of age, were used for all experiments. Mice were sacrificed by CO₂ inhalation and flooded with 70% ethanol prior to dissection. Spleen cell, thymocytes, bone marrow cells and peritoneal cells were prepared as described by Mishell and Shiigi (10).

Viability Assay

Mycotoxin T-2 (Sigma Chemical Co.) was dissolved (20 mg/mL) in dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO). Toxin dissolved in DMSO was added to 500 μ L of cells adjusted to 2×10^7 cells/mL. The amount of DMSO added to cell cultures did not exceed 25 mL. Appropriate controls were also set up and the viability of the cell suspension was assessed at hourly intervals by removing an aliquot and mixing it with an equal volume of 0.2% Eosin Y solution prepared with culture medium. A percentage viability count was determined by counting in a Neubauer hemocytometer; four areas containing a total of not less than 400 cells were counted in each instance. All statistical analyses were performed using a statistical package for F test analysis and linear regression, available for the Tektronix 4054 (Tetronix Inc., Beaverton, Oregon).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Trichothecene mycotoxin T-2 produces widespread degenerative and necrotic changes in lymphoid cells of spleen, thymus, lymph node and bone marrow. In spite of this toxicity, there is regeneration and repopulation of lymphoid tissue even upon prolonged exposure to T-2 toxin in feed (8). This repopulation phenomena indicates either the existence of some hemopoietic cell line resistant to T-2 mycotoxin and therefore capable of repopulating the various lymphoid organs or the slow adaptation of hemopoietic cells to the toxic effects of T-2 mycotoxin. Figure 1 illustrates the percent survival of various lymphoid cells upon exposure to a standard dose of 400 μ g of T-2 mycotoxin per 2×10^7 cells/mL. Thymocytes (Figure 1A) and peritoneal lavage cells (Figure 1B) are exquisitely sensitive to the effects of T-2 mycotoxin, showing virtually no survival after 5 - 6 hr exposure. Spleen cells (Figure 1D) show an intermediate pattern of survival, and while less sensitive than thymocytes, still show a rapid decline in viability. Bone marrow cells (Figure 1C), on the other hand, proved to be quite resistant to T-2 cytotoxicity showing only a small but significant decline in viability. A large proportion of the heterogenous population of bone marrow cells are resistant to T-2 mycotoxin and, even if incubated for 24 hr (data not included) in the presence of the mycotoxin, showed a 40 - 50% survival. While this resistant population awaits identification, it is suggested that these may be the cells which allow the lymphoid organs to be repopulated even upon prolonged exposure to T-2 mycotoxin.

In utilizing such a system for an *in vitro* screening of trichothecene toxicity, the use of thymocytes is proposed. Figure 1, clearly shows the advantage of using thymocytes over bone marrow and/or spleen cells. Thymocytes are also preferred over peritoneal cells, because of the higher yield of thymocyte cells available from a mouse.

The use of thymocytes for the purpose of detection would not circumvent the lack of specificity associated with other biological methods (11), but it is, nevertheless, a rapid and simple technique. More importantly, however, it offers an *in vitro* method to study the relationship between molecular structure and trichothecene toxicity. In addition, this system lends itself to the screening and study of chemical countermeasures against the deleterious cytotoxic effects of mycotoxins.

REFERENCES

1. Claridge, C.A. and Schmitz, H. (1978). Microbial and chemical transformation of some 12,13-epoxytrichothec-9,10-enes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **36**: 63 - 67.
2. Pavanadasivam, G. and Jarvis, B.B. (1983). Microbial transformation of macrocyclic trichothecenes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **46**: 480 - 483.
3. Ueno, Y., Nakayama, K., Ishii, K., Tashiro, F., Minoda, Y., Omori, T. and Komagata, K. (1983). Metabolism of T-2 toxin in *Curtobacterium* sp. strain 114-2. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **46**: 120 - 127.
4. Buckelew, Jr. A.R., Chakravati, A., Burge, W.R., Thomas, Jr. V.M. and Ikawa, M. (1972). Effect of mycotoxins and coumarins on the growth of *Bacillus megaterium* from spores. *J. Agr. Food Chem.* **20**: 431 - 433.
5. Hayes, M.A. and Wobeser, G.A. (1983). Subacute toxic effects of dietary T-2 toxin in young mallard ducks. *Can. J. Comp. Med.* **47**: 180 - 187.
6. Friend, S.C.E., Schiefer, H.B. and Babiuk, L.A. (1983). The effects of dietary T-2 toxin on acute herpes simplex virus type 1 infection in mice. *Vet. Pathol.* **20**: 737 - 760.
7. Friend, S.C.E., Babiuk, L.A. and Schiefer, H.B. (1983). The effects of dietary T-2 toxin on the immunological function and herpes simplex reactivation in swiss mice. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **69**: 234 - 244.
8. Hayes, M.A., Bellamy, J.E.C. and Schiefer, H.B. (1980). Subacute toxicity of dietary T-2 toxin in mice: Morphological and hematological effects. *Can. J. Comp. Med.* **44**: 203 - 218.
9. Lafarge-Frayssinet, C., Lespinats, G., Lafont, P., Lorsillier, F., Mousset, S., Rosenstein, Y. and Frayssinet, C. (1979). Immunosuppressive effects of *Fusarium* extracts and trichothecenes. Blastogenic response of murine splenic and thymic cells to nitroguen. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* **160**: 302 - 311.

REFERENCES (Cont'd)

10. Mishell, B.B. and Shiigi, S.M. (1980). Selected Methods in Cellular Immunology. Edition I, W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco.
11. Pathre, S.V. and Mirocha, C.J. (1977). Assay methods for trichothecenes and review of their natural occurrence. pp. 229 - 253 in Rodricks, J.V., Hesseltine, C.M. and Mehlman, M.A., eds. Mycotoxins in Human and Animal Health, University of Maryland, College Park, Md. October 4 - 8, 1976. Pathotox Publisher, Park Forest South Ill.




Figure 1

The % survival of mouse thymocytes (A), peritoneal lavage (B), bone marrow (C) and spleen cells (D). In each case, 2×10^7 cells were exposed to 400 μ g of T-2 toxin and the % survival calculated at hourly intervals. The mean and standard error of not less than five separate experiments are presented. Solid and hollow circles represent control and T-2 treated cells, respectively.

UNCLASSIFIED

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall document is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY DRES		2a. DOCUMENT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3. DOCUMENT TITLE AN IN VITRO METHOD FOR THE SCREENING OF TRICHOHECENE MYCOTOXIN CYTOTOXICITY AND THE STUDY OF MYCOTOXIN COUNTERMEASURES			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, middle initial) V.L. DiNinno, D. Penman, A.R. Bhatti, N.P. Erhardt and P.A. Lockwood			
6. DOCUMENT DATE March 1985		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 7	7b. NO. OF REFS 11
8a. PROJECT OR GRANT NO. 16A10		9a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER(S) SM 1147	
8b. CONTRACT NO.		9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO.(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this document)	
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Unlimited			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY	
13. ABSTRACT A thymocytic cell bio-assay was developed for assessing the toxicity of the trichothecene mycotoxin T-2. The <u>in vitro</u> toxicity of mycotoxin T-2 towards mouse mono-nuclear leukocytes prepared from spleen, thymus, peritoneal lavage and bone marrow cells was studied. Bone marrow cells were found to be more resistant to T-2 toxicity than thymus, spleen and peritoneal cell preparations. This method is rapid and provides consistent and reproducible results for the study of T-2 mycotoxicosis.			

KEY WORDS

Trichothecene
 T-2
 mono-nuclear leukocytes
 spleen
 thymus
 peritoneal
 lavage
 bone marrow

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY** Enter the name and address of the organization issuing the document.
- 2a. **DOCUMENT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION** Enter the overall security classification of the document including special warning terms whenever applicable.
- 2b. **GROUP** Enter security reclassification group number. The three groups are defined in Appendix 'M' of the DRB Security Regulations.
3. **DOCUMENT TITLE** Enter the complete document title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a sufficiently descriptive title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification with the usual one-capital-letter abbreviation in parentheses immediately following the title.
4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES** Enter the category of document, e.g. technical report, technical note or technical letter. If appropriate, enter the type of document, e.g. interim, progress, summary, annual or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
5. **AUTHOR(S)** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the document. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
6. **DOCUMENT DATE** Enter the date (month, year) of Establishment approval for publication of the document.
- 7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES** Enter the total number of references cited in the document.
- 8a. **PROJECT OR GRANT NUMBER** If appropriate, enter the applicable research and development project or grant number under which the document was written.
- 8b. **CONTRACT NUMBER** If appropriate, enter the applicable number under which the document was written.
- 9a. **ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER(S)** Enter the official document number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this document.
- 9b. **OTHER DOCUMENT NUMBER(S)** If the document has been assigned any other document numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).
10. **DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the document, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:
 - (1) "Qualified requestors may obtain copies of this document from their defense documentation center."
 - (2) "Announcement and dissemination of this document is not authorized without prior approval from originating activity."
11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES** Use for additional explanatory notes.
12. **SPONSORING ACTIVITY** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring the research and development. Include address.
13. **ABSTRACT** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the document itself. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified documents be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the security classification of the information in the paragraph (unless the document itself is unclassified) represented as (TS), (S), (C), (R), or (U).

The length of the abstract should be limited to 20 single-spaced standard typewritten lines; 7 1/4 inches long.
14. **KEY WORDS** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a document and could be helpful in cataloging the document. Key words should be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context.