


LOWER COST TRIGGER. (U) HAMILTON TECHNOLOGY INC
LANCASTER PA J C YOO ET AL MAR 85

18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250	251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290	291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300	301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310	311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320	321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330	331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340	341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350	351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360	361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370	371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380	381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390	391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400	401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410	411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420	421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430	431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440	441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450	451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470	471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480	481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490	491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500	501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510	511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520	521	522	523	524	5
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A153 671

AD

AD-E401 316

CONTRACTOR REPORT ARLCD-CR-85002

**PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE M577
FUZE--VOLUME 6, LOWER
COST TRIGGER SHAFTS**

JOHN C. YOO
A. LUCILLE MEISSNER
HAMILTON TECHNOLOGY, INC.
P.O. BOX 4787
LANCASTER, PA 17604

THOMAS W. PERKINS, PROJECT ENGINEER
EDWINA CHESKY, PROJECT LEADER
ARDC

DTIC
ELECTE
APR 22 1985
S B D

MARCH 1985



U.S. ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER
LARGE CALIBER WEAPON SYSTEMS LABORATORY
DOVER, NEW JERSEY

DTIC FILE COPY

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

The citation in this report of the names of commercial firms or commercially available products or services does not constitute official endorsement by or approval of the U.S. Government.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return to the originator.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Contractor Report ARLCD-CR-85002	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A153 671	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE M577 FUZE--VOLUME 6, LOWER COST TRIGGER SHAFTS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final March 1981 to July 1982
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) John C. Yoo, Hamilton Technology, Inc. A. Lucille Meissner, Hamilton Technology, Inc. Thomas W. Perkins, ARDC Project Engineer Edwina Chesky, ARDC Project Leader		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAK10-80-C-0063
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Hamilton Technology, Inc. P.O. Box 4787 Lancaster, PA 17604		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Task 3
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS ARDC, TSD STINFO Div (SMCAR-TSS) Dover, NJ 07801-5001		12. REPORT DATE March 1985
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 29
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) ARDC, LCWSL Nuclear and Fuze Div (SMCAR-LCN-T) Dover, NJ 07801-5001		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) M577 fuze Trigger shafts Aluminum shafts		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The objective of this project was to reduce the cost of the trigger shafts in M577 fuzes through the use of 2024 aluminum instead of 416 stainless steel. All four shafts in the trigger were tested using aluminum. In the final analysis, two of these, the safety plate shaft and the release lever shaft, were recommended for implementation in aluminum.		

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Discussion	1
Testing	4
Spin Test	4
Air Gun Test	4
Ballistic Test	5
Forty-Foot Drop Test	5
Cost and Weight	6
Cost Comparison	6
Weight	6
Conclusions and Recommendations	7
Appendixes	8
A Stress Analysis	9
B Calculation of Alpha and Omega (α and ω)	21
Distribution List	25

DTIC
ELECTE
S **D**
APR 22 1985
B



Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

INTRODUCTION

This report covers a product improvement program aimed at reducing the cost and enhancing the producibility of the M577 fuze. The objective of the work was to reduce the cost of the trigger shafts by substituting aluminum for stainless steel.



The development of 2024, T4 aluminum trigger shafts is discussed. The material properties and manufacturing methods for the firing arm shaft, release shaft, rotor detent, and safety plate shaft were studied.

DISCUSSION

The material properties and manufacturing processes of various aluminum alloys were studied. Aluminum alloy, 2024, T4, was selected for its high strength, machinability, and availability for the rotor detent, safety plate shaft, and release shaft. It was decided to retain the stainless steel firing arm shaft because of burr removal problems with the aluminum.

The major areas of concern in substituting 2024, T4 aluminum for stainless steel are the strength and rigidity of the aluminum. The trigger shafts are required to withstand the combined loads of 30,000 g acceleration and 30,000 RPM spin and be functional afterwards. Since the safety plate shaft is the most severely stressed of the four trigger shafts, a force analysis of this shaft was performed (See Appendix A). To simplify the calculations, assume the load is applied at the centerline of the safety plate shaft. The shear stress of the safety plate shaft at the bottom pivot was found to be 9,442 psi. The minimum shear strength of 2024, T4 aluminum is 37,000 psi. The calculated safety factor for the proposed aluminum in the worst case is 3.9. In reality, the safety factor would be greater since these calculations were based on assumptions described earlier that increase the calculated stress over the actual stress. These calculations show that substituting 2024, T4 aluminum for stainless steel in the three trigger shafts is feasible. Therefore, static load tests were performed on the 2024, T4 aluminum safety plate shaft, since it is the most severely stressed of the trigger shafts.

Aluminum and stainless steel safety plate shafts were assembled in trigger spacers, and the assembled triggers were loaded through the firing pin using a test fixture to simulate the setback condition of the safety plate assembly as shown in Figure 1. The aluminum safety plate shaft failed by shearing off the bottom pivot of the safety plate shaft at the equivalent load of 114,000 g's, and the stainless steel safety plate shaft failed the same failure at the equivalent load of 226,000 g's. Using 30,000 g's as the design criteria, this indicates an adequate safety factor of 3.8 for the aluminum shaft and 7.5 for the stainless steel shaft. The safety plate shafts with the sheared bottom pivots still performed satisfactorily at 1000 RPM no-fire and 2000 RPM with 600 lb. load must fire tests.



Note: The center hole diameter of Trigger Top Plate was drilled to .200 inch to perform the Static Compression Test.

Figure 1. Static test fixture

All testing, but forty foot drop test, was performed with all four shafts in the trigger. The forty foot drop test was conducted with three aluminum shafts and stainless steel firing arm shaft. The aluminum firing arming shaft was later dropped from consideration because no economical means could be found to remove the chips at the edge of the shaft slot.

The compatibility between aluminum and stainless steel was checked and found to be acceptable. The electrochemical potential relative to galvanic action between aluminum and stainless steel is 0.10 volts. Any galvanic couple having a potential of .25 volts or less is considered acceptable. There are many horological type parts that are not lubricated nor subjected to additive protective finishes. In the M577 fuze there are already stainless steel parts in direct contact with bare aluminum parts in several places; e.g., the gear and pinion, and the pivots and plates in the SSD. This combination has caused no adverse function of the fuze. Therefore, no protective finish is recommended for the aluminum shafts in the trigger.

TESTING

Spin Test

Ten units, with aluminum firing arm shafts, safety plate shafts, release shafts, and rotor detents in the triggers, were built and spin tested at 20,000 to 30,000 RPM. The triggers functioned properly in all units. Unit by unit results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Spin test results

<u>Unit</u>	<u>RPM</u>	<u>Result</u>
1	25,000	Fired properly
2	20,000	Fired properly
3	28,000	Fired properly
4	30,000	Fired properly
5	28,000	Fired properly
6	25,000	Fired properly
7	30,000	Fired properly
8	30,000	Fired properly
9	30,000	Fired properly
10	27,000	Fired properly

Air Gun Test

Ten units, containing aluminum trigger shafts, were air gun tested at 29,000 g to 32,000 g. After the test, the fuzes were disassembled and tested satisfactorily in the 1,000 RPM no fire and 2,000 RPM under 600 lb. load must fire tests. No parts in the trigger were damaged from the test. Results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Air gun test results

<u>Unit</u>	<u>g Level</u>	<u>Spin Tests Acceptable</u>	<u>Observation</u>
1	31,811	Yes	No damage
2	30,910	Yes	No damage
3	30,552	Yes	No damage
4	30,672	Yes	No damage
5	30,298	Yes	No damage
6	30,342	Yes	No damage
7	31,434	Yes	No damage
8	31,488	Yes	No damage
9	30,788	Yes	No damage
10	29,738	Yes	No damage

Ballistic Test

One hundred twenty fuzes with four aluminum trigger shafts were ballistically tested in September, 1981. The point reliability of the functional tests was 98%. A nonfunction safety test with the fuze set on the shipping setting showed the trigger held the interlock pin in the safe position. A summary of the test results is shown in Table 3. Round by round data were reported by the U.S. Army Yuma Proving Grounds in Firing Report No. 81-PI-0231-L5.

Table 3. Ballistic test results

TPR #2594, Supplement 12

Lot #HAT81H000E063

<u>No. of Units</u>	<u>Gun</u>	<u>Zone</u>	<u>Time Sec.</u>	<u>Envi- ronment (°F)</u>	<u>Function</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Std. Dev.</u>
20	155mm, M198	8	105	70	19/20 ^a	105.496	.362
20	8 in., M2A2	1	3	70	19/20 ^b	3.055	.038
20	155mm, M185	8	3	70	20/20	3.078	.046
20	105mm, M103	7	3	145	20/20	3.132	.042
20	105mm, M103	7	Ship Set	70	0/20	Must not fire - 820 ft.	
20	155mm, M107	1	PD	70	20/20	Must fire - 820 ft.	

a. Dud did not function ground impact and was not recovered.

b. Dud functioned on ground impact.

Forty-Foot Drop Test

Five fuzes with three aluminum trigger shafts were subjected to the Forty-Foot Drop Test per MIL-STD-331, Test 103. All units were examined and found to satisfy the requirements of MIL-F-50983 and be safe to handle and dispose of following testing:

COST & WEIGHT

Cost Comparison

A cost comparison of the stainless steel and aluminum trigger shafts is shown in Table 4. The lower cost, using aluminum, results from lower material cost and faster cycle time. The costs of the trigger shafts are based on a quantity of 300,000 units. The projected cost of the tooling is approximately \$1,500. The projected cost savings of \$.1119 per fuze for the three trigger shafts does not include general and administrative expenses, tooling and profit.

Table 4. Cost comparison*

	416 Stainless Steel (\$)	2024 Aluminum (\$)	Savings (\$)
Safety Plate Shaft	.0763	.0604	.0159
Release Shaft	.1090	.0723	.0367
Rotor Detent	.1792	.1199	.0593
Total			.1119

* 1982 dollars.

Weight

The weight reduction from substituting three aluminum shafts for stainless steel shafts is .0011 lbs., which is negligible.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Fuzes made with 2024, T4 aluminum trigger shafts were subjected to spin, air gun, environmental, and ballistic tests with excellent results. It was recommended that 2024, T4 aluminum be substituted for stainless steel in the rotor detent, safety plate shaft, and release shaft and that the current firing arm shaft be retained. However, problems were experienced in trying to implement the rotor detent. A rejection rate of 20% occurred during implementation in the 2,000 RPM spin test versus a 4% rejection rate during development. After a lengthy investigation, no explanation could be found for this difference. It is, therefore, now recommended that the stainless steel rotor detent be retained.

APPENDIX A
STRESS ANALYSIS

Since the safety plate shaft is the most severely stressed of the four trigger shafts, the stress analysis of this shaft is shown in details:

1. Force Analysis of Safety Plate Shaft

The combination of the force due to 30,000-g acceleration (of insert, spring, firing pin and safety plate assembly) and the force due to 30,000-RPM spin (of the safety plate assembly) will be applied to the Safety Plate Shaft at the instant the projectile is fired.

a. Force due to 30,000-g acceleration of insert, spring and firing pin

$$\text{Force} = m \cdot a = (W/g)(30,000 \text{ g}) = 30,000 W, \text{ in lbs.}$$

where m = mass in slugs

W = weight in lbs.

a = linear acceleration in ft./sec.²

g = gravitational acceleration

$$= 32.2 \text{ ft./sec.}^2$$

therefore,

$$\text{Force} = 30,000 W, \text{ (See table 5 for the weight.)}$$

$$= 30,000 (.0001 + .0005 + .0014)$$

$$= 60 \text{ lbs.}$$

b. Force due to 30,000-g acceleration of Safety Plate Assembly

$$\text{Force} = m \cdot a$$

$$= 30,000 W$$

$$= 30,000 (.0015)$$

$$= 45 \text{ lbs.}$$

Table A-1. Weight comparison

Part or Assembly	Current Design		Proposed Design	
	Stainless Steel Shaft		Aluminum Shaft	
	Weight, Lbs.	Mass, Slug	Weight, Lbs.	Mass, Slug
Top Plate	0.0328			
Bottom Plate	0.0230			
Spacer with 4 Pins	0.0625			
Insert	0.0001			
Firing Pin Spring	0.0005			
Firing Pin	0.0014			
Firing Arm Ass'y.	0.0048	0.0001491	*(0.0032)	*(0.0000994)
Rotor Detent Ass'y.	0.0010	0.0000311	0.0008	0.0000248
Release Lever Ass'y.	0.0016	0.0000497	0.0010	0.0000311
Safety Plate Ass'y.	0.0018	0.0000560	0.0015	0.0000466
Setback Pin	0.0005			
Setback Spring	0.0001			
Setback Retainer	0.0001			
Two Rivets	0.0026			
TOTAL	0.1328			
Trigger Assembly	0.1321			
Firing Arm	0.0011			
Rotor Detent	0.0006			
Release Lever	0.0007			
Safety Plate	0.0013			

NOTES: 1. The weight difference between Trigger Assembly (0.1321 lbs.) and Total (0.1328 lbs.) indicates the inaccuracy of measurement and/or variation of parts weight.

*2. The aluminum Firing Arm Shaft is not the recommended design.

c. Force due to 30,000-RPM spin of Safety Plate Assembly

$$\text{Normal Force} = m r \omega^2, \text{ in lbs.}$$

$$\text{Tangential Force} = m r \alpha, \text{ in lbs.}$$

where m = mass in slug

r = radius in ft.

ω = angular velocity in radians/sec.

α = angular acceleration in radians/sec.²

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Normal Force} &= m \cdot r \cdot \omega^2 \\ &= (.0000466)(.02)(3141.6)^2 \\ &= 9.20 \text{ lbs.}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tangential Force} &= m \cdot r \cdot \alpha \\ &= (.0000466)(.02)(1545600) \\ &= 1.44 \text{ lbs.}\end{aligned}$$

(See Appendix B for values of ω and α .)

d. Direction of Loading

The center of the Safety Plate Shaft is assigned as "0" position of X-Y axis, and the midpoint of the assembled safety plate thickness is assigned as "0" position of Z axis. (See the Force Analysis Diagrams shown in Figures 2 and 3.)

e. Load in X-Y Direction

There are two forces acting on the Safety Plate Assembly in X-Y direction. One is a force of the safety plate assembly due to 30,000-RPM spin, and the other is a reaction force in X-Y direction due to the force described in "article a."

The reaction force in the X-Y direction may be found as follows:

$$R = P_z \cdot \tan \theta,$$

where R = reaction force

P_z = force described in "article a."

θ = inclined angle of firing pin

then,

$$\begin{aligned} R &= 60 \tan 20^\circ \\ &= 21.8 \text{ lbs.} \end{aligned}$$

It is assumed that the direction of the tangential and normal force due to spin is the same. To simplify the calculation further, the direction of the reaction force and the normal force is assumed the same, which is the most severe case.

Then the load in the X-Y direction can be added, and the total force is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{xy} &= \text{Normal Force} + \text{Tangential Force} + \text{Reaction Force} \\ &= 9.2 + 1.44 + 21.8 \\ &= 32.44 \text{ lbs.} \end{aligned}$$

f. Load in Z Direction

When the projectile is fired, the force due to 30,000-g acceleration of insert, spring, firing pin and the safety plate assembly is in the direction of the Z axis.

To simplify the calculations, assume the load is applied at the centerline of the safety plate shaft. This is a conservative assumption.

Then 60 lbs. will be loaded to the safety plate with 0.199 inch distance as shown in Figure 3. (0.199 inch may be obtained from $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ in Figure 2.)

g. Action and Reaction of the Safety Plate Assembly

The total force P_{xy} (32.44 lbs.) will act on the safety plate assembly in X-Y direction, causing reactions at the top and bottom of the Safety Plate Shaft and at the point where the safety plate contacts the Firing Arm Shaft. (See Figure 2.)




Figure A-1. Force Analysis Diagram (X-Y Direction)

where $a = .031$, measured
 $b = .2161 - e = .2161 - .031 \tan 32$
 $= .1967$
 $c = .4002 - f = .4002 - .095 \cos 59$
 $= .3513$
 $d = .0409 + .095 \sin 59$
 $= .1223$

- NOTES:
1. 32° and 59° angles and dimension "a" were measured from a 12x layout drawing.
 2. C.G. is the approximate center of gravity in Safety Plate Assembly.




Figure A-2. Force Analysis Diagram (Z Direction)

where

$$1 = .165/2 = .0825$$

$$m = .120 + (.060 + .049)/2 = .1745$$

$$n = m - .033 - (.060 + .065)/2 = .079$$

In the Z direction, (60 + 45) lbs. will act on the safety plate causing reactions at the top and bottom of the Safety Plate Shaft and at the shoulder of the Safety Plate Shaft. (See Figure 3.)

2. Stress Analysis of the Safety Plate Shaft

a. Reaction of the Safety Plate Shaft due to total force (Pxy)

From the diagram in Figure 2, the following equations may be obtained.

$$F_x = 0 = -P_x + S_x + H_x$$

$$F_y = 0 = -P_y + S_y + H_y$$

$$\text{where } P_x = P_{xy} \cos 32 = 32.44 \cos 32 = 27.5 \text{ lbs.}$$

$$P_y = P_{xy} \sin 32 = 32.44 \sin 32 = 17.2 \text{ lbs.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_H = 0 &= -P_x(b) - P_y(a) + S_x(d) + S_y(c) \\ &= -27.5(.1967) - 17.2(.031) + .1223 S_x + .3513 S_y \\ &= -5.41 - 0.53 + .1223 S_x + .3513 S_y \end{aligned}$$

From the geometry of S_x and S_y ,

$$S_y / S_x = \tan 59^\circ = 1.6643 \text{ or } S_y = 1.6643 S_x$$

Now the moment equation may be solved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_H = 0 &= -5.41 - 0.53 + .1223 S_x + .3513 S_y \\ &= -5.94 + .1223 S_x + .3513 (1.6643 S_x) \\ &= -5.94 + .7070 S_x \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$S_x = 5.94 / .7070 = 8.4 \text{ lbs.}$$

$$S_y = 1.6643 S_x = 1.6643 (8.4) = 14.0 \text{ lbs.}$$

$$H_x = P_x - S_x = 27.5 - 8.4 = 19.1 \text{ lbs.}$$

$$H_y = P_y - S_y = 17.2 - 14.0 = 3.2 \text{ lbs.}$$

b. Shear Load at the top and bottom of shaft pivot

To find the shear load on the trigger shaft pivots, the forces in Z direction should be considered. From the diagram in Figure 3, the following equations may be obtained.

$$F_z = 0 = 60 - B_b$$

$$F_{xy} = 0 = H_b - H_t - H_{xy}$$

therefore,

$$B_b = 60$$

$$H_b - H_t = H_{xy} = \sqrt{H_x^2 + H_y^2} = \sqrt{19.1^2 + 3.2^2} = 19.4$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} M_t = 0 &= -H_{xy}(n) + H_b(m) + B_b(l) - (60)(.199) \\ &= -(19.4)(.079) + H_b(.1745) + 105(.0825) \\ &\quad - (60)(.199) \\ &= -4.81 + .1745 H_b \end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$H_b = 4.81 / .1745 = 27.57 \text{ lbs.}$$

$$H_t = H_b - 19.4 = 78.9 - 19.4 = 59.5 \text{ lbs.}$$

c. Stress of the Safety Plate Shaft Pivot in the Bottom Plate

$$\sigma = L / A, \text{ where } \sigma = \text{Stress in psi}$$

$$L = \text{Load in lbs.}$$

$$A = \text{Shear Area in inch}^2$$

$$A = \pi D^2 / 4 = \pi (.061)^2 / 4 = .00202 \text{ in.}^2$$

Therefore, the stress at the bottom pivot is

$$\sigma = 27.57 / .00292 = 9,442 \text{ psi}$$

APPENDIX B
CALCULATION OF ALPHA AND OMEGA (α and ω)

1. Calculation of ω

The value of ω (angular velocity in radians per second) may be found from 30,000-RPM spin as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= 30,000 \frac{\text{revolutions}}{\text{minute}} = 30,000 \frac{2\pi \text{ radians}}{\text{minute}} = 30,000 \frac{2\pi \text{ radians}}{60 \text{ seconds}} \\ &= (30,000)(2\pi/60)(\text{radians/sec}) = 3141.6 \text{ (rad/sec)}\end{aligned}$$

2. Calculation of α

The value of α (angular acceleration in radians per second squared) may be found as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{d\omega}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}(KV) = K \frac{dV}{dt} = K \cdot a$$

where K is a constant and $K = \frac{12(2\pi)}{ND}$, Radians/ft

V is the Muzzle Velocity in ft/sec

N is a constant and $N = 1/\text{twist}$

D is the Land Diameter in inches

a is the Linear Acceleration in ft/sec²

Now the maximum linear acceleration (a) is 30,000 g, and the maximum K results when the value of N times D is the smallest. From the cannon data in Report No. APG-MT-4503 (Methodology Investigation on Setback and Spin by Heppner), the minimum value of N times D is 47.19 for the 40mm gun.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{therefore, } \alpha &= K \cdot a = [12(2\pi)/ND] a = 1.60(a) = 1.60(30,000 \text{ g}) \\ &= 1.60(30,000)(32.2) = 1,545,600 \text{ (rad/sec}^2\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Commander
Armament Research and Development Center
U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command
ATTN: SMCAR-TSS (5)
SMCAR-LCN-T (5)
Dover, NJ 07801-5001

Administrator
Defense Technical Information Center
ATTN: Accessions Division (12)
Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22314

Director
U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity
ATTN: DRXSY-MP
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

Commander
Chemical Research and Development Center
U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command
ATTN: SMCCR-SPS-IL
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423

Commander
Chemical Research and Development Center
U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command
ATTN: SMCCR-RSP-A
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423

Director
Ballistic Research Laboratory
ATTN: AMXBR-OD-ST
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

Chief
Benet Weapons Laboratory, LCWSL
Armament Research and Development Center
U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command
ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-TL
Watervliet, NY 12189-5000

Commander
U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command
ATTN: AMSMC-LEP-L
AMSMC-TDR(R)
Rock Island, IL 61299-6000

Director
U.S. Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity
ATTN: ATAA-SL
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

END

FILMED

5-85

DTIC