

AD-A154 344


NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS
HAMILTON RESERVOIR DA. (U) CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM
MA NEW ENGLAND DIV NOV 78


1/1

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 13/13

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET

AD-A154 344

DTIC ACCESSION INFORMATION

I

LEVEL

1

INVENTORY

MA-00536

DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION

Nov 1978

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

ACCESSION FOR

NTIS GRA&I ☒

DTIC TAB ☐

UNANNOUNCED ☐

JUSTIFICATION

BY

DISTRIBUTION /

AVAILABILITY CODES

DIST

AVAIL AND/OR SPECIAL

A-1

DISTRIBUTION STAMP



**DTIC
ELECTE
JUN 3 1985
S D**

DATE ACCESSIONED

DATE RETURNED

85 6 3 029

DATE RECEIVED IN DTIC

REGISTERED OR CERTIFIED NO.

PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET AND RETURN TO DTIC-DDAC

AD-A154 344

THAMES RIVER BASIN
HOLLAND, MASSACHUSETTS

HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM
MA 00536

PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

NOVEMBER 1978

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER MA 00536	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Hamilton Reservoir Dam NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED INSPECTION REPORT
7. AUTHOR(s) U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED 424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02254		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE November 1978
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 70
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		18a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, National Dam Inspection Program; however, the official title of the program is: National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of report.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Thames River Basin Holland, Massachusetts Quinebaug River		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The dam is an earthfill embankment and concrete spillway dam. It is about 100 ft. long and has a maximum height of 17 ft. The project is considered to be in good condition. It is intermediate in size with a significant hazard potential.. It is recommended that the owner retain a competent con- sulting engineer to conduct further studies to determine the measures that are necessary to improve the discharge capacities.		

**HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM
MA 00536**

**THAMES RIVER BASIN
HOLLAND, MASSACHUSETTS**

**PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM**

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM
PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

Inventory No.:	MA 00536
Name of Dam:	HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM
Town Located:	HOLLAND
County Located:	HAMPDEN
State Located:	MASSACHUSETTS
Stream:	QUINEBAUG RIVER
Date of Inspection:	27 OCTOBER 1978

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Hamilton Reservoir Dam is a combined earthfill embankment and concrete spillway on the Quinebaug River, a tributary of the Thames River. The earthfill embankment is about 100 feet long with a crest width varying from 4 feet to 20 feet and a maximum height of 17 feet. The spillway which is ogee shaped, is 150 feet long, 11 feet high with 5 feet of freeboard. An intake structure with a low level outlet sluiceway is located at the contact between the embankment and the spillway. The downstream channel, adjacent to the spillway, is a concrete stepped apron, and is followed by a zone of riprap.

Phase I investigation of Hamilton Reservoir Dam does not indicate conditions which would constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property. Based on engineering judgment and the performance of the embankment, the spillway and the low level outlet, the project is considered to be in good condition. The project, however, does have inadequacies and deficiencies which, if not remedied, have the potential for developing into hazardous conditions.

Because the dam is classified as intermediate in size, with a significant hazard potential, the test flood in accordance with Corps of Engineers guidelines, is one half the Probable Maximum Flood (1/2 PMF). The resulting inflow, as developed by summing the 1/2 PMF hydrograph ordinates for the drainage basin land area and the corresponding runoff rates, is 12,710 cfs. Routing the Test Flood through the reservoir using a computer routing technique results in a rise of the reservoir to El 689.06 or 1.06 feet above the top of the dam. The total outflow corresponding to the Test Flood maximum elevation is

8,994 cfs of which only 69% could be passed by the spillway. Since the dam will be overtopped by the Test Flood, it is considered that the spillway is inadequate from a hydraulic and hydrologic standpoint.

It is recommended that within 12 months of receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report the owner retain a competent consulting engineer to conduct further studies to determine the measures that are necessary to improve discharge capacities.

In addition, remedial measures are recommended for implementation by the owner within 24 months of receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report to improve overall conditions. These measures, in general, are to establish formal programs of operation, maintenance and inspection of the dam.



Eugene O'Brien, P. E.
New York No. 29823

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

THAMES RIVER BASIN
HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM
INVENTORY NO. MA 00536
PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	
BRIEF ASSESSMENT	
PREFACE	
OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPH	i
VICINITY MAP	ii
TOPOGRAPHIC MAP	iii
1 PROJECT INFORMATION	1-1
1.1 GENERAL	1-1
a. Authority	1-1
b. Purpose	1-1
1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT	1-1
a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances	1-1
b. Location	1-2
c. Ownership	1-2
d. Purpose of Dam	1-2
e. Design and Construction History	1-2
f. Normal Operating Procedures	1-3
g. Size Classification	1-3
h. Hazard Classification	1-3
i. Operator	1-3
1.3 PERTINENT DATA	1-4
a. Drainage Area	1-4
b. Discharge at Damsite	1-4
c. Elevation	1-4
d. Reservoir	1-5
e. Storage	1-5
f. Reservoir Surface	1-5
g. Dam	1-5
h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel	1-5

		<u>Page No.</u>
	i. Spillway	1-6
	j. Regulating Outlets	1-6
2	ENGINEERING DATA	2-1
2.1	DESIGN	2-1
2.2	CONSTRUCTION RECORDS	2-1
2.3	OPERATION RECORDS	2-1
2.4	EVALUATION OF DATA	2-1
	a. Availability	2-1
	b. Adequacy	2-2
	c. Validity	2-2
3	VISUAL INSPECTION	3-1
3.1	FINDINGS	3-1
	a. General	3-1
	b. Embankment	3-1
	c. Spillway	3-1
	d. Appurtenant	3-1
	e. Abutments	3-2
	f. Downstream Channel	3-2
	g. Reservoir Area	3-2
3.2	EVALUATION OF OBSERVATIONS	3-3
4	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	4-1
4.1	PROCEDURES	4-1
4.2	MAINTENANCE OF DAM	4-1
4.3	MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACILITIES	4-1
4.4	WARNING SYSTEMS IN EFFECT	4-1
4.5	EVALUATION	4-1
5	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	5-1
5.1	EVALUATION OF FEATURES	5-1

		<u>Page No.</u>
a.	Design Data	5-1
b.	Experience Data	5-1
c.	Visual Inspection	5-2
d.	Overtopping Potential	5-2
6	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	6-1
6.1	EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	6-1
a.	Visual Observations	6-1
b.	Design and Construction Data	6-1
c.	Operating Records	6-1
d.	Post-Construction Changes	6-1
e.	Seismic Stability	6-1
7	ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	7-1
7.1	DAM ASSESSMENT	7-1
a.	Condition	7-1
b.	Adequacy of Information	7-1
c.	Urgency	7-2
d.	Necessity for Additional Investigations	7-2
7.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	7-2
7.3	REMEDIAL MEASURES	7-2
a.	Alternatives	7-2
b.	Operating and Maintenance Procedures	7-2

APPENDICES

A. VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

B. DRAWINGS AND INSPECTION REPORTS

1. Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Plan
2. Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Plan
3. Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Boring Details
4. Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Spillway Details
5. Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Spillway Details
6. Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Spillway Sections
7. Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Spillway Sections
8. Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Wall & Apron
Details
9. Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Embankment &
Misc. Details

APPENDICES (Cont'd)

10. Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Miscellaneous
Details
11. Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Miscellaneous
Details


C. PHOTOGRAPHS

D. HYDROLOGIC DATA AND COMPUTATIONS

E. INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE
NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS




1. GENERAL OVERVIEW OF DAM.



VICINITY MAP
HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM

WALES, MASS. - CONN. QUADRANGLE



TOPOGRAPHIC MAP
HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM
THAMES RIVER BASIN
INVENTORY NO. MA 00536
HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM
TOWN OF HOLLAND
HAMPDEN COUNTY, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. Authority

Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Tippetts-Abbett-McCarthy-Stratton has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Massachusetts. Authorization and notice to proceed was issued to Tippetts-Abbett-McCarthy-Stratton under a letter of May 3, 1978, from Ralph T. Garver, Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW 33-78-C-0298 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. Purpose

- (1) Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.
- (2) Encourage and prepare the States to initiate quickly effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.
- (3) To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

1.2 DESCRIPTIONS OF THE PROJECT

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

Hamilton Reservoir Dam is a combined earthfill embankment and concrete spillway. The earthfill portion of the dam has a crest length of about 100 feet with a maximum height of about 17 feet. The crest width varies from about 4 to 20 feet and the upstream slope varies from 1V on 2.5H to 1V on 4H. The downstream slope is 1V on 2H. The crest and downstream slope are grassed

and the upstream slope is grassed and riprapped.

The concrete spillway is ogee shaped, 150 feet long with a maximum drop height of 11 feet and a freeboard of 5 feet. (See Photograph No. 3). Flanking the spillway are two training walls. On the right abutment, upstream and downstream of the crest, the wall is concrete, 105 feet long with a maximum height of 14 feet. (See Photograph No. 7). On the left side, the upstream wall is the riprapped slope of the earthfill embankment. Downstream the wall is concrete, stone and stone masonry, curved and about 80 feet long. (See Photograph Nos. 4 and 5). A reinforced concrete intake structure is located at the contact between the spillway and the earthfill embankment. The structure is 22 feet high, 12 feet long and 7.5 feet wide. An uncontrolled intake opening, 8 feet high, 4.5 feet wide, is protected by a steel trash rack. The low level outlet, located at the base of the structure, is a sluiceway 4 feet high, 2 feet wide. Discharges through the sluice are controlled by a manually operated center-rising screw type stemmed sluice gate. Two 12 inch square, high level uncontrolled outlets with ogee shaped lips are located on the downstream face of the structure. (See Photograph No. 6).

Three concrete apron slabs are located at the base of the spillway and are stepped parallel to the base. A concrete curbing is located at the downstream edge of the slabs and creates a three level plunge pool. (See Photograph No. 3). Downstream of the plunge pool the channel is riprapped with large placed stone. The channel flows under Sturbridge Road through about a 17 feet wide bridge opening and three 36 inch diameter asphalt covered corrugated metal pipe conduits. The channel beyond the road is the natural Quinebaug River.

b. Location

The dam is located in the Town of Holland, near the intersection of Sturbridge Road, Leno Road and Dug Hill Road.

c. Ownership

Hamilton Reservoir Dam is owned by the Town of Holland. The day-to-day operation and maintenance is managed by the Department of Public Works, Town of Holland.

d. Purpose of Dam

The impoundment provided by the dam is for recreational purposes.

e. Design and Construction History

The present dam, designed by Tighe and Bond, Inc., Holyoke, Mass., was built and completed about 1960. The dam was constructed to replace an original dam which had been destroyed by a 1955 flood.

The original dam, which had been constructed to provide water power to the Hamilton Woolen Co. mill, consisted of a rubble dam and spillway, and a gatehouse. The spillway and gatehouse were demolished and replaced by the present larger spillway and concrete gatehouse (intake structure). The rubble dam remained, but was covered over by the existing earthfill embankment. On the right side of the dam the alignment of Leadmine Road was moved about 60 feet to the east, to its present location. This was done to accommodate the enlarged spillway. As a result of the relocation, the right abutment had to be regraded. There are no construction records available for this work.

f. Normal Operating Procedures

The normal operating procedure is to maintain the reservoir level at spillway crest during the summer months and to draw down the reservoir level in the fall and in the winter. However, it is reported that should the reservoir level be lowered by more than 32 inches, local wells tend to become dry. Therefore, the reservoir is never drawn down below this level.

g. Size Classification

The dam is less than 40 feet high and has a maximum storage capacity of over 1000 acre-feet but less than 5000 acre-feet. It is, therefore, classified as an "intermediate" dam.

h. Hazard Classification

The dam is in the significant hazard potential category because downstream of the dam there are only 3 or 4 houses and three minor roadway crossings which could be damaged in the event of a dam failure.

For details on the selection of the hazard potential category see Section 5.1d.

i. Operator

The individual responsible for the day-to-day operation of the dam is:

Mr. Walter Woods
Superintendent of Public Works and
Civil Defense Director
Department of Public Works
Town Hall
Holland, Massachusetts
Telephone No. (Office) 413-245-3276
(Home) 413-245-7597

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

a. Drainage Area

The total drainage area contributing to the Hamilton Reservoir is 11,536 acres (18.03 square miles) including the 426 acres of the reservoir, which is 3.7% of the total area. The drainage area adjacent to the reservoir is wooded with relatively short length parallel tributaries having an elevation differential of up to 630 feet.

b. Discharges at Damsite

Discharges at the damsite are over an uncontrolled concrete spillway, a controlled low level outlet sluiceway and two high level uncontrolled outlets.

The spillway is 150 feet long, with a drop of 11 feet and a free-board of 5 feet. The computed maximum discharge capacity with the reservoir level at the top of the dam, El 688, is 6205 cfs.

The low level outlet sluiceway is 4 feet high, 2 feet wide and discharges are controlled by a manually operated sluice gate. The computed maximum discharges, with a head equivalent to the spillway crest, El 683, and top of the dam, El 688 are 106.0 cfs and 140 cfs respectively. The two high level outlets are each 12 inch square openings with ogee shaped lips. The discharge through these openings with a head equivalent to spillway crest is minimal. The computed discharge with a head equivalent to the top of dam, El 688 is 6345 cfs.

There is no official record of the maximum flood at the damsite. It is reported, however, that in the 1955 flood, which destroyed the original dam, the water level was about 2 feet above Mashapaug Road, which crosses the reservoir on a causeway.

c. Elevation (ft. above MSL)

Top of dam	688
Maximum pool-design surcharge (100 yr flood)	686.5
Maximum pool-test flood	689.06
Full flood control pool	Not Applicable
Recreation pool	683
Spillway crest (gated)	Not Applicable
Upstream portal invert diversion tunnel	Not Applicable
Downstream portal invert diversion tunnel	Not Applicable
Streambed at centerline of dam	671+
Maximum tailwater	Unknown

d. Reservoir (feet)

Length of maximum pool	20500
Length of recreation pool	20500
Length of flood control pool	Not Applicable

e. Storage (acre-feet)

Recreation pool (gross)	1918
Flood control pool	Not Applicable
100 Yr. flood design surcharge (net)	1561
Test flood surcharge (net)	2827
Top of dam (gross)	4200

f. Reservoir Surface (acres)

Top of dam	496.7
Test flood pool	516
Flood-control pool	Not Applicable
Recreation pool	416
Spillway crest	416

g. Dam

Type	Earthfill
Length, feet	100+
Height, feet	17+
Top width, feet	Varies from 4 to 20
Side Slopes - U/S	Varies from 1V on 2.5H to 1V on 4H
	1V on 2H
Zoning	See below
Impervious core	Remnants of old rubble dam
Cutoff	None
Grout curtain	None

h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel

Type	Not Applicable
Length	Not Applicable
Closure	Not Applicable
Access	Not Applicable
Regulating facilities	Not Applicable

i. Spillway

Type	Ogee
Length of weir, feet	150
Crest elevation, feet	683
Gates	None
U/S channel	None
D/S channel	Concrete apron; riprap; natural brook

j. Regulating Outlets

The regulating outlets consists of an uncontrolled spillway and a low level outlet system.

The uncontrolled spillway (crest El 683) is 150 feet long, drop distance of 11 feet and freeboard of 5 feet.

The low level outlet system consists of a reinforced concrete intake structure, 22 feet high, 12 feet long and 7.5 feet wide. A low level outlet sluiceway, 4 feet high by 2 feet wide, with invert at El 674 is equipped with a manually operated sluice gate which is operable.

The uncontrolled two high level outlets are each 12 inches square openings with ogee shaped lips at El 682.5.

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 DESIGN

Design data and specific memoranda dealing with the construction of the dam were not available for this evaluation. There are, however, two sets of contract drawings, dated 1957 and 1959, which cover the reconstruction of the spillway, gatehouse (intake structure) and embankment. The earlier set of drawings shows plan, sections and details of the spillway and is included in the Appendix. The later set of drawings, which show plan, sections and details of the gatehouse and embankment are available at the Town Clerk's Office, Town Hall, Holland, Mass. A list of titles for these drawings is given in the Appendix. In addition, a sketch of the dam, plan and section, from a previous inspection report is included in the Appendix. A few discrepancies in dimensions are noted between the drawings, the sketch and actual field conditions. For example, the top of dam, shown on the 1957 drawings, indicates El 687.5, whereas, as observed, the top of dam is level with the top of the intake structure El 688.

Information regarding subsurface conditions is available from eight borings; the logs are given in the 1957 contract drawings.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION RECORDS

There are no construction records available.

2.3 OPERATION RECORDS

Operation of the low level sluice gate and the reservoir levels are recorded after each gate operation. These records are available at the Public Works Department.

No records are kept of the rainfall at the damsite.

2.4 EVALUATION OF DATA

a. Availability

Existing information was made available by the Town Clerk's Office and the Department of Public Works, Town of Holland; County Commissioner's Office, Hampden County, Springfield, Mass; Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, Division of Waterways, Boston, Mass.

b. Adequacy

The lack of in-depth engineering data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing the design and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history and sound engineering judgment.

c. Validity

In general, the information obtained from the above mentioned drawings, sketches and personal interviews, with noted exceptions, is consistent with observations made during the inspection and, therefore, considered reliable.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

a. General

A visual inspection of Hamilton Reservoir Dam was conducted on 27 October 1978. The weather was sunny, temperature between 60° and 70°F. The last rainfall occurred the previous day. At the time of the inspection the reservoir level was 15 inches below the crest of the spillway.

b. Embankment

The earthfill embankment appears to be in generally good condition. The horizontal and vertical alignments of the crest are good. The crest is grassed and well maintained.

The upstream slope is in generally good condition. The stone rip-rap is sound with some tall grass growing through it. There are no signs of trespassing, sloughing or erosion, (See Photograph No. 5).

The downstream slope is in generally good condition. The grass is cut, however, there are about four ten foot tall evergreens and a few small fruit trees which have been planted by the owner of the house adjacent to the embankment. (See Photograph No. 4).

c. Spillway

The spillway appears to be generally in good condition. The concrete appears to be sound, with little to no spalling. Some minor repair work to the concrete reportedly was performed about 10 years ago. It consisted of patching small areas of concrete which had broken off at the vertical construction joints on the face of the spillway. The crest of the spillway is in good condition with no observed debris. (See Photograph Nos. 2 and 3).

The area of the downstream apron appears to be in good condition. The concrete appears sound; some large gravel and small boulders were observed on the apron.

d. Appurtenant Structures

The right abutment concrete training wall is in good condition. The concrete is sound with no spalled surfaces observed. Several of the weep holes located at the base of the wall were running water. The left downstream training wall is in good condition. The lower stone portion of the wall is sound with minimal vegetation growing out of the joints. The upper stone masonry portion

of the wall is in good condition. (See Photograph No. 7).

The intake structure is in good condition, the concrete is sound and free of spalled areas. There was no debris, other than some leaves observed at the trash rack. The operating stand is in good condition. (See Photograph No. 10). The operating handle was not in place, but reportedly is kept at the Public Works Dept. At the time of the inspection, the sluice gate was operable and open about two or three inches and the discharge did not appear to be impeded. (See Photograph No. 6).

The top of the structure is enclosed by an anchor fence which has a locked gate. The fence is in good condition.

e. Abutments

There were no signs of seepage or other unusual conditions at the abutments.

f. Downstream Channel

The channel, downstream of the apron area, passes under Sturbridge Road through a bridge opening and three conduits into a natural channel. The channel which is about 20 feet wide is clear, free of debris and there are only a few overhanging trees growing on its banks. Some minor debris was observed in the vicinity of the downstream end of the conduits. (See Photograph Nos. 8 and 9).

g. Reservoir Area

In the vicinity of the dam there is no evidence of sloughing or potentially unstable slopes which could adversely affect the dam.

At the south end of the reservoir, in the vicinity of I-86, just over the Connecticut border, are two ponds. The larger is Mashapaug Pond and the smaller is commonly known as Upper Mashapaug Pond. The two ponds are separated by a short embankment containing a control structure. At the time of the inspection, the control structure, which could not be seen, apparently was closed since the level of Upper Mashapaug Pond was substantially lower than Mashapaug Pond. Information regarding the owner, the function and the operation of the embankment and control structure was not available.

The normal level of Upper Mashapaug Pond, with the control structure closed, is maintained by a concrete overflow weir whose crest is about 4 feet higher than the spillway level of Hamilton Reservoir. The weir is located between the southbound lanes of I-86 and the access road to Interchange 106. The weir contains a low level outlet pipe, which at the time of the inspection

was closed. (See Topographic Map, Page v).

3.2 EVALUATION OF OBSERVATIONS

Visual observations made during the course of the investigation revealed a few deficiencies which at present do not adversely affect the adequacy of the dam. However, these deficiencies do require attention and should be corrected before further deterioration leads to a hazardous condition. Recommended measures to improve these conditions are given in Section 7.

SECTION 4 - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES

Operational procedures for the project are not formally established. The low level outlet gate is operated as required to maintain the reservoir level at spillway crest during the summer and 32 inches below spillway crest during the fall.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM

There is no formal maintenance manual for the project. It is reported that the grass is cut twice a year. There is no scheduled program of inspection by the Town. It is reported that the dam is "looked at" by the Town's Department of Public Works once or twice a year. There is, however, a state-wide program of inspection which was established several years ago by the Department of Environmental Quality Engineering, Division of Waterways. Copies of their latest reports, dated July 23, 1970, and August 1, 1972, are included in the Appendix.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACILITIES

There is no established maintenance program for the operating facilities. Maintenance is carried out as needed.

4.4 WARNING SYSTEMS IN EFFECT

There is no warning system in effect.

4.5 EVALUATION

The maintenance and operating procedures for the dam and appurtenant structures are, in some respects, inadequate. Measures to improve these inadequacies are given in Section 7.

SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

5.1 EVALUATION OF FEATURES

a. Design Data

No design data are available. The reservoir is created by an earth-fill embankment and a concrete spillway located on Quinebaug River in the Town of Holland. The entire drainage area contributing runoff to the Hamilton Reservoir is 11,536 acres (18.03 square miles) including the reservoir which covers 426 acres (3.7%) of the total drainage area. Storm runoff from about 13.7% of the total drainage area is subject to attenuation affected by the Leadmine Pond and extensive swamp areas northeast and south of the Pond. Outflow from these ponding areas is controlled by a natural narrow crossing of the Leadmine Brook and subsequently by the culvert built to cross under the Wilbur Cross Highway (I-86). The existence of storage and flow control features and/or structures results in retarding and reducing the flood peak contributed by the portion of the Leadmine Brook watershed. Considering that the reduced outflow from Leadmine Brook would contribute very minor amounts to the peak flow entering Hamilton Reservoir, it is omitted in the subsequent analysis.

The remaining drainage area is adjacent to the two sides of the reservoir which is wooded and contains tributaries of relatively short length with an elevation differential of up to 630 feet. The tributaries enter the lake in parallel and almost simultaneously. The expected effect of these topographic features on flood runoff is a relatively higher and earlier peak than is normally encountered in the watersheds of comparable size. The reservoir is divided into approximately two equal parts by Mashapaug Road with an interconnection of the reservoirs provided by means of a 9 by 11.5 feet corrugated metal pipe arch. In the subsequent analysis it is assumed that the pipe arch is large enough to maintain pool equilibrium between the two parts of the reservoir and thus acts as one unit. Similar assumptions were made for the Wilbur Cross Highway crossing at the upper (Southern) end of the reservoir where an existing control weir would be submerged in the event of the Test Flood. For the purposes of this study it was also assumed that any conduit communication between Upper Mashapaug and Mashapaug Ponds would not be operative during the Test Flood.

b. Experience Data

It is reported by persons interviewed that during the 1955 flood the right abutment was breached and the old spillway was destroyed. Extensive damage also was sustained by the embankment and the abutments to the Sturbridge Road bridge immediately downstream of the dam. Much of this information is corroborated by the available contract drawings (See Appendix) which were used for the restoration of the project.

c. Visual Inspection

At the time of the inspection, the reservoir level was 15 inches below the crest of the spillway. The spillway, training walls, intake structure, embankment and downstream channel were in generally good condition. Some minor deficiencies were observed, for details see Section 3.1c.

d. Overtopping Potential

The structure, based on its reported^{1/*} maximum impoundment, is in the "intermediate" size category. A small number (3 or 4) of homes and three minor roads cross between Hamilton Reservoir and Holland Pond and could sustain damage in the event of a dam failure. Therefore, the dam is in the "significant" hazard category. This conclusion was arrived at using the Corps of Engineers' "Rule of Thumb" for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs, as follows:

A breach equivalent to 40% of dam length (107 feet) and a channel roughness of 0.07 was assumed. The hypothetical flood wave height was estimated at locations 1000, 2500, 3600, 4400 and 6000 feet downstream from the dam. The following results were obtained:

<u>Distance From Dam</u> (ft)	<u>Wave Height</u> (ft)	<u>Flood Elev.</u> (ft)	<u>Flood Discharge</u> (cfs)
1000	11.8	676.8	12489
2500	12.2	668.2	12300
3600	11.5	664.5	11993
4400	9.3	654.3	11908
6000	11.2	647.2	11614

At the investigated distances a few isolated homes would be subjected to about a 50 feet high flood wave as a result of a hypothetical dam breach. Beyond 5750 feet the storage in Holland Pond and in the extensive swamp area surrounding it is likely to attenuate considerably the effect of such a flood wave. Since the dam is classified as intermediate in size, with a significant hazard potential, the Test Flood, in accordance with Corps of Engineers' guidelines, is one-half the Probable Maximum Flood.

The Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) at the Town of Holland is 23.5 inches^{2/} for a 6-hour rainfall over a 10 square mile area. After proper adjustments for area size and for conformity of the generalized isohyetal patterns with the watershed shape^{3/}, the effective 6-hour PMP becomes 18.02

*Numbers denote references listed at the end of the Section.

inches. This rainfall is distributed^{4/} so as to give a maximum 1-hour increment of 6.40 inches. For the land area, the application of losses at the rate of 0.2 inches per hour results in a total Rainfall Excess of 16.82 inches in 6 hours. The PMF hydrograph for the effective land area was generated on the basis of the computed rainfall excess and the ordinates of the Snyder 30-minute unit hydrograph. Runoff rates resulting from the PMP over the reservoir area were also generated on the basis of the rainfall distribution. The Test Flood hydrograph was developed by the addition of one-half of PMF hydrograph ordinates for the land area and corresponding runoff rates mentioned above. The resulting peak inflow is 12,710 cfs. Assuming that the reservoir would be at spillway crest and that the low level outlet would be inoperative at the beginning of the PMP occurrence, the Test Flood was routed through the estimated available discharge and storage capacities using a computer routing technique. This discharge capacity estimate was based on plans of the structure by others.^{5/} The storage capacity estimate was based on USGS topographic information.^{6/} The results of the routing indicate that the reservoir pool under the assumed Test Flood conditions would reach a maximum elevation of 689.06, a level at which flow over the estimated crest of the dam would be 1.06 feet deep. The total outflow corresponding to the maximum pool elevation would be 8994 cfs. As a part of this study it was determined that 22% of the PMF could be processed by the existing works without overtopping. Accordingly, the existing spillway capacity in conjunction with the available storage are termed inadequate from the hydrologic and hydraulic standpoint.

References

- 1/ "National Program of Inspection of Dams" Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314, May 1975.
- 2/ "Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the United States" USWB Technical Paper No. 40.
- 3/ Engineer Circular EC 1110-2-27, August 1, 1966.
- 4/ "Manual for Estimation of Probable Maximum Precipitation", World Meteorological Organization WMO-No. 332, 1973.
- 5/ Drawings Entitled: Proposed Dam Restoration, Hamilton Reservoir, Holland, Mass. by Tighe & Bond, Inc., Holyoke, Massachusetts, August, 1957.
- 6/ USGS Quad. Sheet Wales, Mass. - Conn., 1967.

SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

a. Visual Observations

Visual observations did not indicate any serious structural problems with the embankment, spillway or outlets. The deficiencies described in Section 3 require attention; measures to improve these deficiencies are given in Section 7.

b. Design and Construction Data

No design computations or other data pertaining to the structural stability of the dam have been located.

c. Operating Records

Operating records are kept for the low level gate and are available at the Department of Public Works. There are no records or reports of any operational problems which would affect the stability of the dam.

d. Post-Construction Changes

The dam was built in about 1960 to replace a previous dam which had been destroyed in the 1955 floods. There are no records of any construction changes made to the dam. Minor repairs were made about 10 years ago to the spillway. Some of the concrete along the vertical construction joints had broken off and were patched.

e. Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone No. 2 and in accordance with recommended Phase I guidelines does not warrant seismic analyses.

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

a. Condition

Phase I investigation of Hamilton Reservoir Dam does not indicate conditions which would constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property. Based on engineering judgment and the performance of the embankment, the spillway and the low level outlet, the project is considered to be in good condition. The project, however, does have inadequacies and deficiencies which, if not remedied, have the potential for developing into hazardous conditions.

Based on the size and hazard potential, the Test Flood, in accordance with Corps of Engineers' guidelines is one half the Probable Maximum Flood. The Probable Maximum Precipitation over the Hamilton Reservoir drainage area, when adjusted, results in a total rainfall excess of 16.82 inches in six hours. The PMF hydrograph for the effective land area was generated on the basis of the computed rainfall excess and the ordinates of a modified 15 minute unit hydrograph. Runoff rates resulting from the PMP over the reservoir area were also generated on the basis of rainfall distribution. The Test Flood hydrograph was developed by summing one half the PMF hydrograph ordinates for the land area and the corresponding runoff rates. The resulting inflow is 12,710 cfs.

The adequacy of the spillway was tested by routing the flood through the reservoir using a computer routing technique. It was assumed that the reservoir level at the start of the flood was at spillway crest (El 683) and the low level outlet was inoperative. The Test Flood (1/2 PMF) causes the reservoir level to rise to El 689.06 or 1.06 feet above the top of the dam. The total outflow corresponding to the Test Flood maximum elevation would be 8,994 cfs.

Since the dam is expected to be overtopped by an inflow equal to 1/2 PMF, it is considered that the spillway is inadequate from a hydraulic and hydrologic standpoint. Furthermore, the anticipated overtopping, which has a computed duration of about 4 hours would probably cause a failure of the non-cohesive right abutment. The left abutment rubble cored earthfill could probably withstand the overtopping.

b. Adequacy of Information

The lack of in-depth engineering data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history and sound engineering judgment.

c. Urgency

The recommendations and remedial measures described in subsequent paragraphs should be undertaken by the owner within the next 12 to 24 months after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

d. Necessity for Additional Investigations

Additional investigations to assess the adequacy of the dam and appurtenant structures appear to be necessary and are enumerated in the following paragraph.

7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the owner within 12 months of receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report retain a competent consulting engineer to conduct detailed hydraulic studies to determine the measures necessary to improve discharge capacities.

7.3 REMEDIAL MEASURES

a. Alternatives

The results of the additional investigations recommended above may indicate alternatives which will be needed to provide discharge adequacy under flood conditions. These alternatives can only be determined after the completion and evaluation of the additional investigations.

b. Operating and Maintenance Procedures

It is recommended that the following measures be undertaken by the owner within 24 months after receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

1. Establish a formal program of operation and maintenance and initiate biennial inspections of the dam.
2. Provide round-the-clock surveillance during periods of unusually heavy precipitation.
3. Develop, with local officials, a formal system for warning downstream residents in case of emergency.
4. Remove all trees and young saplings from both slopes of the embankment and keep other vegetation in a close cut condition.
5. Remove debris and overhanging trees from downstream channel.

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

APPENDIX A

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST
PARTY ORGANIZATION

PROJECT HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM

DATE 10-27-78

TIME 9.00 AM

WEATHER Sunny, 60°-70° F

W.S. ELEV. 681.75 U.S.

PARTY:

- | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| 1. <u>Harvey S Feldman</u> | 6. _____ |
| 2. <u>Jyotindra H Patel</u> | 7. _____ |
| 3. _____ | 8. _____ |
| 4. _____ | 9. _____ |
| 5. _____ | 10. _____ |

PROJECT FEATURE	INSPECTED BY	REMARKS
1. <u>All project features inspected by above party members.</u>		
2. _____		
3. _____		
4. _____		
5. _____		
6. _____		
7. _____		
8. _____		
9. _____		
10. _____		

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM DATE 10-27-78
 PROJECT FEATURE _____ NAME _____
 DISCIPLINE _____ NAME _____

DAM EMBANKMENT

Crest Elevation (MSL) 688.0

Current Pool Elevation (MSL) 681.75

Maximum Impoundment to Date _____

Surface Cracks None observed

Pavement Condition No pavement on crest.

Movement or Settlement of Crest None observed

Lateral Movement None observed

Vertical Alignment Generally Good

Horizontal Alignment Generally Good

Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures Both abutments good; also good at concrete structures

Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes None observed

Trespassing on Slopes None on both slopes

Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments None observed

Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures None observed (see misc. comment.)

Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes None observed

Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage None observed

Piping or Boils None observed

Foundation Drainage Features None

Toe Drains None

Instrumentation System None

Miscellaneous: 1) There are four ten foot tall evergreens and few small fruits plant which have been planted by the owner of the house adjacent to the embankment

2) The Stone riprap is in sound condition with some tall grass growing through it

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM DATE 10-27-78

PROJECT FEATURE _____ NAME _____

DISCIPLINE _____ NAME _____

OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE

a. Approach Channel

Slope Conditions Generally in good condition

Bottom Conditions Reservoir full therefore it was impossible to observe the bottom condition

Rock Slides or Falls None

Log Boom None

Debris None

Condition of Concrete Lining None

Drains or Weep Holes None

b. Intake Structure Sluice Gate located upstream face of 'Gate House' and submerged.

Condition of Concrete Reported in good condition

Stop Logs and Slots None

c. Miscellaneous Reported that trash racks in good condition; and some leaves collected at rack.

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM DATE 10-27-78

PROJECT FEATURE _____ NAME _____

DISCIPLINE _____ NAME _____

OUTLET WORKS - CONTROL TOWER

a. Concrete and Structural

General Condition _____

Condition of Joints _____

Spalling _____

Visible Reinforcing _____

Rusting or Staining of Concrete _____

Any Seepage or Efflorescence _____

Joint Alignment _____

Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber _____

Cracks _____

Rusting or Corrosion of Steel _____

b. Mechanical and Electrical

Air Vents _____

Float Wells _____

Crane Hoist _____

Elevator _____

Hydraulic System _____

Service Gates Sluice gate (See comments below)
and manually operated

Emergency Gates _____

Lightning Protection System _____

Emergency Power System _____

Wiring and Lighting System _____

Miscellaneous. 1. The operating stand (control)
is in good condition.
2. It is reported that sluice gate
is in operating condition.

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM DATE 10-27-78

PROJECT FEATURE _____ NAME _____

DISCIPLINE _____ NAME _____

OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND

OUTLET CHANNEL

*There is no outlet structure
and outlet channel is shallow
channel. See comments on Spillway below...
and Discharge channel*

~~General Condition of Concrete~~ _____

Rust or Staining _____

Spalling _____

Erosion or Cavitation _____

Visible Reinforcing _____

Any Seepage or Efflorescence _____

Condition at Joints _____

Drain Holes _____

Channel _____

Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel _____

Condition of Discharge Channel _____

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST

PROJECT HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM DATE 10-27-78

PROJECT FEATURE _____ NAME _____

DISCIPLINE _____ NAME _____

OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS

a. Approach Channel None

General Condition _____

Loose Rock Overhanging Channel _____

Trees Overhanging Channel _____

Floor of Approach Channel _____

b. Weir and Training Walls

General Condition ~~of concrete~~ Weir and training walls are
in generally in good condition. - see also Misc. Comments

Rust or Staining No rust or staining on weir. Right
downstream training wall shows some staining at bottom

Spalling little or no spalling on weir and
training walls.

Any Visible Reinforcing None

Any Seepage or Efflorescence None

Drain Holes None at weir and left downstream training
wall; Right downstream training wall drain holes are
running.

c. Discharge Channel

General Condition Discharge channel is in
good condition.

Loose Rock Overhanging Channel None

Trees Overhanging Channel None

Floor of Channel Concrete, stone protected and
natural bed.


Other Obstructions Roadway bridge and 2 (three)
Asphalt covered corrugated metal pipe; some
large gravel and small boulders were observed
on the apron.

Miscellaneous. Lower portion of left downstream
training wall shows vegetation growing out of
the joints.

DRAWINGS AND INSPECTION REPORTS

APPENDIX B

PROPOSED DAM RESTORATION
HAMILTON RESERVOIR
HOLLAND MASS
SHEET 1 - PLAN
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OF MASSACHUSETTS
DIVISION OF WATERWAYS
ADD. 1957
SCALE AS SHOWN
TIGHE & BOND, INC.
MOLYNEE, MASSACHUSETTS
CONTRACT NO. 124 - ALL 0350 A



PLAN OF EXISTING STRUCTURES

NOTE
SEE SHEET 2 FOR
SECTIONAL VIEW

PROPOSED LEAD MINE ROAD

LOCATION PLAN
PROPOSED STRUCTURES

PLAN OF PROPOSED STRUCTURES

PROCESSED AND RECORDED
MAR 10 1964
HOLLAND


DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
OFFICE OF THE ADJUTANT GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D. C.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Aug. 1955

1. The first group of people who are not allowed to enter the country are those who are on the "No Fly List". This list is maintained by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and the Department of Homeland Security. It includes individuals who are suspected of being involved in terrorism or other activities that could threaten the national security.

[illegible]



DETAIL OF BORINGS


PROPOSED DAM RESTORATION
HAMILTON RESERVOIR
HOLLAND, MASS.


SHEET 3 - BORING DETAILS
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OF MASSACHUSETTS
DIVISION OF WATERWAYS
AUG. 1957

SCALE: AS SHOWN
VERTICAL SCALE: 1" = 10'
HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1" = 100'


LOCATION OF BORINGS

PROFILE OF BORINGS





NOTE
1. THE SPILLWAY IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED OF GRAVEL
2. THE RETAINING WALLS ARE TO BE CONSTRUCTED OF GRAVEL
3. THE SPILLWAY IS TO BE 10 FEET WIDE
4. THE RETAINING WALLS ARE TO BE 10 FEET HIGH
5. THE SPILLWAY IS TO BE 10 FEET LONG
6. THE RETAINING WALLS ARE TO BE 10 FEET LONG






SECTION D-D

SECTION E-E

SECTION F-F

STANDARD EXPANSION JOINT
SHEET PILING DETAILS
REINFORCED CONCRETE
ALG. 1997
SHEET PILING
SHEET PILING
SHEET PILING



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
84

STEEL REINFORCEMENT
ELEVATION

GENERAL VIEW

SECTION A-A

SECTION B-B

SECTION C-C


PROJECT: CANAL REPAIR
HAMILTON, NEW ZEALAND
HOLLAND, N.Z.
SHEET 8 - WALL AND WATERWAY
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, HAMILTON
SCALE: 1/2" = 1'-0"
DATE: 1954
DRAWN BY: [illegible]
CHECKED BY: [illegible]
APPROVED BY: [illegible]

SECTION A-A

SECTION B-B

SECTION C-C

SECTION D-D



SECTION D-D

SECTION D-D-1

HOLLAND, MISSISSIPPI
SHEET 9 - EMBANKMENT & MISC DETAILS
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
AUG 1957
SCALE 1" = 10' HORIZ.
1" = 10' VERT.
1784 015001

ELEVATION — LOCK NO. UPSTREAM

SECTION D-D-1



SHEET 12 - 10' ELEVATION

10' ELEVATION

10' ELEVATION

10' ELEVATION

10' ELEVATION

Following drawings are available in records of owner, Town of Holland.
These drawings are not included in this report.

Dwg. Dated: February, 1959

Contract No. 1942

Title	<u>Reference No.</u>
Sheet 1 - Plan	ACC 03889-A
Sheet 2 - Plan	ACC 03889-B
Sheet 3 - Gate House	ACC 03889-C
Sheet 4 - Gate House	ACC 03889-D
Sheet 5 - Gate House Reinforcing	ACC 03889-E
Sheet 6 - Gate House Reinforcing	ACC 03889-F
Sheet 7 - Miscellaneous Details	ACC 03889-G

**TIGHE
& BOND**

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

12

DAMS IN HAMPDEN COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS

HOLLAND

1. Holland Rod & Gun Club - Upper Dam 3-7-135-1
Holland Rod & Gun Club, Holland, Mass.
2. Holland Rod & Gun Club - Lower Dam 3-7-135-2
Holland Rod & Gun Club, Holland, Mass.
3. Hamilton Reservoir Dam 3-7-135-3
Town of Holland, Mass.


The last routine inspections of all dams located in the Town of Holland were conducted in July of 1970. A letter-report on the conditions noted at each of the dams in Holland was submitted to the Commissioners of Hampden County on July 23, 1970.

Of the three dams listed, all three were in need of certain maintenance and repair work.

A copy of my report to the Commissioners of Hampden County is attached hereto for your information. Letters outlining the recommended maintenance and repair work were sent to the dam owners by the Commissioners of Hampden County.

An examination of the report of July 29, 1970 shows two additional dams that are normally inspected whenever I have been inspecting the other three dams in Holland. The first, the Domaingue Dam, is checked since it is on a stream having more than a square mile of drainage area and, though the dam formed is negligible in size, I have periodically made a notation of conditions at the dam.

Upstream on Stevens Brook, there is an old dam that has been breached for many years. Periodically, I inspect the old dam to be certain that the breach is open and can pass flood flows safely.


George H. McDonnell
County Hydraulic Engineer
Hampden County

H. McDONNELL
W. SHERIDAN
EDWARD J. BAYON

TIGHE & BOND

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

CIVIL, SANITARY AND ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
INVESTIGATIONS, REPORTS, PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS
SUPERVISION OF CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION

BOWERS AND PEQUOT STREETS
HOLYOKE, MASSACHUSETTS
TEL. JEFFERSON 3-3991

CD Holland
July 23, 1970

The Honorable the Board of County Commissioners
52 State Street
Springfield, Massachusetts

Gentlemen:

Inspections have been made of all dams located in the Town of Holland. Each dam has been inspected at least once during the year 1970. The following report shows the condition of each dam at the time of inspection.

A. Holland Rod & Gun Club - Upper Dam

The embankment is partially breached in the vicinity of the old masonry spillway. The breach is wide enough but it should be deepened by the removal of stones and earth until the breach elevation approaches that of the bottom of the pond.

Stones lying in the stream bed between the dam and the roadway culvert should be removed. If the stones are allowed to remain in their present location they may be washed into the culvert opening and plug the culvert. Should this occur, storm flow runoff could result in a washout of the roadway adjacent to and immediately below the dam.

No water is ponded by the dam at time of normal stream flow. However, in time of high rates of runoff, water is ponded up to the elevation of the bottom of the breach thru the dam embankment. Normally, flow of the brook seeps thru the rock fill of the embankment at a rate approximately equal to the normal stream flow.

The embankment is becoming brush covered and it is apparent that the dam and pond have been abandoned by the Holland Rod & Gun Club.

If the owner will deepen the breach as now existing thru the dam at the location of the old spillway, the quantity of water that can be stored during time of high rates of runoff will be reduced greatly. Also, by lowering the elevation of the breach, the breach itself will become more stable and there will be less chance of boulders being washed downstream towards the roadway culvert.

B. Holland Rod & Gun Club - Lower Dam

This dam receives very little maintenance and, as a result, is becoming dilapidated. Brush and small tree growth occurring on the top of the dam embankment has become so thick, on that portion of the embankment to the right of the spillway, that a proper inspection of the dam could not be made. All brush, weed and small tree growth on the top of the dam embankment and at the toe of the dam must be cut down if the dam is to remain in operation. This type of growth should be cut down annually, its regrowth discouraged and a good growth of turf developed on the top of the dam embankment.

The upstream concrete wall extending the length of the dam needs patching just to the right of the spillway.

Water level in storage on the day of inspection was at the crest of the spillway. There were no stoplogs or flashboards on the spillway crest.

Seepage was observed again under the dam and the rate of seepage is approximately equal to the dry weather rate of stream flow in the brook. The greatest amount of seepage was noted at the toe of the downstream stone masonry wall just to the left of the spillway. Wet areas were observed all along the toe area of the dam. None of these areas indicate a flow of water of sufficient quantity to be dangerous. No soil movement was noted.

The stone wall forming the downstream face of the embankment is rough but satisfactory.

In the opinion of the undersigned, the dam must be given more attention and be maintained in a better manner. It is recommended that the owner be advised to do the maintenance work as outlined in my report.

C. Hamilton Reservoir Dam

The masonry of the right abutment and the right abutment wall was noted to be in satisfactory condition. One construction joint shows a minor amount of movement but this is of no concern whatsoever. Small tree growth is occurring adjacent to the concrete masonry wall on the abutment fill and this growth should be cut down and re-growth discouraged.

The stone filled and paved surface of the right abutment area should be cleared of all brush and small tree growth.

The overflow dam itself was in good condition. Masonry was satisfactory and there was little evidence of any erosion. Water level in storage in Hamilton Reservoir was at the crest of the spillway. There were no flashboards on the crest. Construction joints of the concrete spillway were o. k.

The toe area construction including channels, concrete construction and riprap were all noted to be o. k.

The twin ports at the gatehouse were operating normally. However, water was observed squirting out from under the seat of the drawdown gate. The gate should be re-positioned to eliminate this seat leakage. If allowed to continue week after week and month after month, it is possible that the seat and the gate itself may become eroded to the point where it will not be watertight. Opening the gate an inch or two and then closing it again will probably eliminate the leakage now evident, provided scouring of the seat and the gate has not already occurred.

The crack in the concrete of the gate structure is still the same as previously reported. There is no evidence of further enlargement of the crack. The crack is dry and approximately 3" deep at its deepest point.

The earth embankment at the left side of the gate structure was in good condition. The toe of the embankment was dry and the surface cover of turf was satisfactory.

It is recommended that the owner of the dam, the Town of Holland, do the following maintenance work as soon as possible.



CONSULTING ENGINEERS

- A. Clear all brush and tree growth from the right abutment area and from the stone paved area to the right of and below the dam.
- B. Re-position the drawdown gate in the gate structure to prevent squirting of water under the gate as now takes place.
- C. Dig out loose masonry in the vertical crack on the outside of the gate structure and repair the crack with proper cement grout.

D. Domaingue Dam

This small structure, constructed for aesthetic purposes and to provide the owner a shallow wading pool, is in satisfactory condition. Though technically the small dam at the outlet end of the wading pool, and the small diversion dam located upstream, could be classified as dams under the provisions of the law because the brook has more than a square mile of drainage area, so little water is stored at shallow depths that loss of one or even both of these two dams would not release enough water downstream to do any damage to persons or property. In fact, an examination of the small pond behind the diversion dam shows that the volume of the pond has been nearly filled completely with soil washed in from upstream.

In the opinion of the undersigned, the two small dams and the related facilities of Mr. Domaingue are satisfactory.

E. Stevens Brook Dam

This old earth and stone dam has been breached for many years and no pond whatsoever is formed. The breach as observed at the time of the inspection this year was found to be very wide and to the full depth of the brook. The old pond area is heavily overgrown with brush and trees. Normally, I would recommend that this old dam site be dropped from the inspection list. However, since it is adjacent to the roadway leading into Holland from Wales and, since the site might be purchased by persons unfamiliar with the requirements for re-activating an old dam, the undersigned will continue to check the site from time to time when routine dam inspections are conducted in the Wales-Holland area.

Respectfully submitted,


 George H. McDonnell
 County Hydraulic Engineer

GHM/amd

INSPECTION REPORT - DAME AND RESERVOIRS

1. Location: City/Town HOLLAND Dam No. 3-7-135-3
 Name of Dam HOLLAND RESERVOIR DAM Inspected by TROIANO, MLLCANY, MARK
 Date of Inspection AUG. 1, 1972

2. Owner/s: pers: Assessors _____ Prev. Inspection _____
 Reg. of Deeds _____ Pers. Contact _____

1. TOWN OF HOLLAND
 Name _____ St. & No. _____ City/Town State Tel. No. _____

2. _____
 Name _____ St. & No. _____ City/Town State Tel. No. _____

3. _____
 Name _____ St. & No. _____ City/Town State Tel. No. _____

3. Caretaker (if any) e.g. superintendant, plant manager, appointed by absentee owner, appointed by multi owners.

Name: _____ St. & No. _____

City/Town: _____ States: _____ Tel. No. _____

4. No. of Pictures taken 1

5. Degree of Hazards: (if dam should fail completely)*

1. Minor ✓ 2. Moderate _____

3. Severe ✓ 4. Disastrous _____

*This rating may change as land use changes (future development)

6. Outlet Controls: Automatic _____ Manual 3X5 SCREW GATE

Operative ✓ Yes; _____ No.

Comments: RODNEY HUNT SCREW GATE

7. Upstream Face of Dam: Conditions:

1. Good ✓ 2. Minor Repairs _____

3. Major Repairs _____ 4. Urgent Repairs _____

Comments:

CONCRETE DAM IN NEARLY NEW CONDITION

8. Downstream Face of Dam:

Condition: 1. Good ✓ 2. Minor Repairs _____
3. Major Repairs _____ 4. Urgent Repairs _____

Comments:

9. Emergency Spillway:

Condition: 1. Good ✓ 2. Minor Repairs _____
3. Major Repairs _____ 4. Urgent Repairs _____

Comments:

10. Water Level at time of inspection: .2 ft. above ✓ below _____
top of dam ✓ principal spillway ✓
other _____

11. Summary of Deficiencies Noted:

Growth (Trees and Brush) on Embankment SOME
Animal Burrows and Washouts NONE
Damage to slopes or top of dam NONE
Cracked or Damaged Masonry NONE
Evidence of Seepage NONE
Evidence of Piping NONE
Erosion NONE
Leaks NONE
Trash and/or debris impeding flow NONE
Clogged or blocked spillway PARTIALLY
Other _____

DAM #3-7-135-3

2. REMARKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS: (FULLY EXPLAIN)

THE MASONRY OF THE RIGHT ABUTMENT AND THE RIGHT ABUTMENT WALL WAS NOTED TO BE IN SATISFACTORY CONDITION, ONE CONSTRUCTION JOINT SHOWS A MINOR AMOUNT OF MOVEMENT BUT THIS IS OF NO CONCERN WHATSOEVER. SMALL TREE GROWTH IS OCCURRING ADJACENT TO THE CONCRETE MASONRY WALL ON THE ABUTMENT FILL AND THIS GROWTH SHOULD BE CUT DOWN AND REGROWTH DISCOURAGED.

THE STONE FILLED AND PAVED SURFACE OF THE RIGHT ABUTMENT AREA SHOULD BE CLEARED OF ALL BRUSH AND SMALL TREE GROWTH.

THE OVERFLOW DAM ITSELF WAS IN GOOD CONDITION, MASONRY WAS SATISFACTORY AND THERE WAS LITTLE EVIDENCE OF ANY EROSION. WATER LEVEL IN STORAGE IN HAMILTON RESERVOIR WAS AT THE CREST OF THE SPILLWAY, THERE WERE NO FLASHBOARDS ON THE CREST, CONSTRUCTION JOINTS OF THE CONCRETE SPILLWAY WERE O.K.

THE TOP MASON CONSTRUCTION INCLUDING CHANNELS, CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION AND RIP RAP WERE ALL NOTED TO BE O.K.

THE TWIN GATES AT THE GATEHOUSES WERE OPERATING NORMALLY THERE WERE NO LEAKS AT THE GATES, THE CRACK HAS BEEN REFILLED SINCE LAST INSPECTION.

THE EARTH EMBANKMENT AT THE LEFT SIDE OF THE GATE STRUCTURE WAS IN GOOD CONDITION. THE TOP OF THE EMBANKMENT WAS DRY AND THE SURFACE COVER OF TURF WAS SATISFACTORY.

SPILLWAY ON RIGHT SIDE HAS BEEN PARTIALLY BLOCKED WITH STONE TO DIVERT THE WATER OUT TO THE MAIN COURSE.

THE 3-30" DIAMETER PIPE UNDER THE ROAD, WHICH ARE PART OF THE RIGHT EMERGENCY SPILLWAY HAVE BEEN PARTIALLY BLOCKED WITH STONE.

APPROX 100' DOWNSTREAM A HOUSE IS BEING BUILT W. ITS FOUNDATION AS PART OF THE BANKING OF THE EMERGENCY WATER COURSE, THE FILL BEING PLACED AROUND THE HOUSE IS FALLING INTO THE WATER COURSE.

THIS TOWN SHOULD TAKE STEPS TO CLEAR OUT THESE STONE DAMS AND INFORM THE OWNER TO STOP DUMPING FILL INTO THIS WATER COURSE.

DESCRIPTION OF DAM

DISTRICT 3

Submitted by TRINITY MULCHETT MARKT

Dam No. 3-7-135-3

Date AUG. 1, 1972

City/Town HOLLAND

Name of Dam HAMILTON RESERVOIR DAM

1. Location: Topo Sheet No. 18C

Provide 8 1/2" x 11" in clear copy of topo map with location of Dam clearly indicated.

2. Year built: 1956 Year/s of subsequent repairs _____

3. Purpose of Dam: Water Supply _____ Recreational ✓
Irrigation _____ Other _____

4. Drainage Area: _____ sq. mi. _____ acra

5. Normal Ponding Area: _____ acres; Ave. depth _____

Impoundment: _____ gals; _____ acre ft.

6. No. and type of dwellings located adjacent to pond or reservoir

1000+ i.e. summer homes, etc. SUMMER HOMES

7. Dimensions of Dam: Length 175' Max. Height 10'

Slopes: Upstream Face VERTICAL

Downstream Face 1:5

Width across top 4'

8. Classification of Dam by Material:

Earth _____ Conc. Masonry ✓ Stone Masonry _____

Timber _____ Rockfill _____ Other _____

9. A. Description of present land usage downstream of dam:

100 % rural; _____ % urban.

B. Is there a storage area or flood plain downstream of dam which could accomodate the impoundment in the event of a complete dam failure? yes ✓ no _____

DAM NO. 3-7-135-3

10. Risk to life and property in event of complete failure.

No. of people 25 ±.

No. of homes 5.

No. of Businesses 1.

No. of industries NONE. Type BUILDING SUPPLY

No. of utilities NONE. Type _____


Railroads NONE.

Other dams NONE.



Other STURBRIDGE RD., EAST BRIMFIELD RD., & ALEXANDER RD.

11. Attach Sketch of dam to this form showing section and plan:
on 8½" x 11" sheet.

12. HOW TO LOCATE: AT THE INTERSECTION
OF STURBRIDGE RD. & LENO ROAD IN HOLLAND.




DAM No. 3-7-135-3
HOLLAND



PHOTOGRAPHS

APPENDIX C

AMILTON RESERVOIR DAM PHOTOGRAPH LOCATION PLAN






4. VIEW OF DOWNSTREAM SCOPE OF EARTH EROSION
FROM POINT 1.



5. VIEW OF UPSTREAM DIVIDE OF EARTH
FROM POINT 1.



6. VIEW OF GATEHOUSE, DOWNSTREAM TRAINING WALL AND RIPRAP ON CHANNEL FLOOR.



7. VIEW OF DOWNSTREAM TRAINING WALL, FENCE, AND RIPRAP ON CHANNEL FLOOR.



VIEW OF AREA



VIEW OF AREA



10. VIEW OF LOW LEVEL GATE OPERATING STAND.

HYDROLOGIC DATA AND COMPUTATIONS

APPENDIX D



HAMILTON RESERVOIR
WATERSHED

TAMS

Job No. 1497-19
Project Hamilton Reservoir
Subject _____

Sheet 1 of
Date Dec 20 78
By DLC
Ch'k. by

Sub-basin	Area mi ²	L mi	L _{CA} mi	LxA	L _{CA} x A.
A	1.126	1.14	0.25	1.445	0.282
B	1.366	1.14	1.02	1.557	1.393
C	5.04	1.8	2.2	24.192	11.088
D	7.26	3.2	1.3	23.232	9.438
	14.792			50.426	22.201
Weighted.				3.409	1.501

$$t_p = C_c (LL_{cn})^{0.3} \\ = 2 (3.409 \times 1.501)^{0.3} \\ = 3.26 \text{ hrs}$$

$$t_1 = t_p / 15.6 = 0.55 \text{ hrs} \quad 33.6 \text{ mins} \quad \text{use } 30 \text{ mins}$$

$$t_R = 0.5$$

$$t_{PR} = t_p + 0.25(t_R - t_n)$$

$$= 3.26 + [0.25(-0.09)]$$

$$= 3.24 \text{ hrs. Say } 3.25 \text{ hrs}$$

Time to peak = $3.25 + .25 = 3.50$ hrs.

$$\frac{Q_P}{D_P} = \frac{640 \text{ C}_P}{2_{P_B}} = 123.08$$

$$Q_{P_n} = 123.08 \times 14.792 \approx 1820 \text{ cfs.}$$

TAMS

Job No. 1497-19

Project HAMILTON RESERVOIR


Subject _____

Sheet 2 of _____

Date DEC 20 73

By DLC

Ch'k. by _____



D-2

TAMS

Job No. 1497-19

Project HAMILTON RESERVOIR


Subject _____

Sheet _____ of _____

Date DEC 26, 78

By D.L.C.

Ch'k. by _____



D-3

* JOB NO..... 1497-19 *
* DESCRIPTION.. HAMILTON RESERVOIR INFLOW HYDR *
* USER NAME... O-CV *
* DATE..... 10/26-78 *

FLOW ORDNATES (CES.) FOR UNIT HYDROGRAPH 1

TIME	FLOW	TIME	FLOW	TIME	FLOW	TIME	FLOW	TIME	FLOW	TIME	FLOW
0.00	0.00	0.50	200.00	1.00	490.00	1.50	850.00	1.99	1250.00	2.49	1599.99
2.92	1289.99	3.49	1820.00	3.99	1730.00	4.49	1570.00	4.99	1360.00	5.49	1200.00
5.99	1030.00	6.49	890.00	6.99	740.00	7.49	610.00	7.99	500.00	8.49	400.00
8.99	320.00	9.49	260.00	9.99	190.00	10.49	150.00	10.99	90.00	11.49	60.00
11.99	20.00										

TOTAL PRECIPITATION IN

TIME	PREC	TIME	PREC	TIME	PREC	TIME	PREC	TIME	PREC	TIME	PREC
0.50	0.56	1.00	0.63	1.50	0.97	1.99	1.69	2.49	3.09	2.99	3.12
3.49	2.15	3.99	1.37	4.49	0.93	4.99	0.79	5.49	0.74	5.99	0.67

THE TOT. PREC. FOR 5.99 HOURS= 16.81

PRECIPITATION EXCESS (IN)

APPLIED TO HYDROGRAPH NO. 1

TIME	PREC	TIME	PREC	TIME	PREC	TIME	PREC	TIME	PREC	TIME	PREC
0.50	0.56	1.00	0.63	1.50	0.97	1.99	1.69	2.49	3.09	2.99	3.12
3.49	2.15	3.99	1.37	4.49	0.93	4.99	0.79	5.49	0.74	5.99	0.67

THE TOT EXCESS PREC FOR 5.99 HOURS= 16.81

APPLIED TO HYDROGRAPH NO. 1

[illegible]

D-7

TIME (HOURS)	TOTAL RUN-OFF COMPUTED (CFS)	LAKES AREA					RUN-OFF CONTRIBUTIONS BY HYDROGRAPH				
		LAKES AREA		RUN-OFF		(CFS)	1	2	3	4	5
		(CFS)	(CFS)	(CFS)	(CFS)		(CFS)	(CFS)	(CFS)	(CFS)	(CFS)
0.000	0.0		0.0		0.0						
0.500	631.3		519.6		112.6						
1.000	922.7		580.5		402.2						
1.500	1821.0		897.8		983.1						
1.999	3521.8		1563.5		2058.2						
2.499	6219.1		2848.4		3970.6						
2.999	9702.9		2879.4		6823.4						
3.499	12414.7		1931.5		10433.1						
3.999	15715.1		1262.4		14445.7						
4.499	19262.9		905.6		18357.3						
4.999	22322.4		735.3		21587.0						
5.499	24463.0		681.1		23781.9						
5.999	25485.2		619.2		24866.0						
6.499	24922.5		0.0		24922.5						
6.999	23913.9		0.0		23913.9						
7.499	22409.4		0.0		22409.4						
7.999	20493.3		0.0		20493.3						
8.499	18307.3		0.0		18307.3						
8.999	16001.2		0.0		16001.2						
9.499	13720.9		0.0		13720.9						
9.999	11574.3		0.0		11574.3						
10.499	9639.5		0.0		9639.5						
10.999	7940.8		0.0		7940.8						
11.499	6459.4		0.0		6459.4						
11.999	5159.6		0.0		5159.6						
12.499	4026.2		0.0		4026.2						
12.999	3051.8		0.0		3051.8						
13.499	2230.1		0.0		2230.1						
13.999	1550.9		0.0		1550.9						
14.499	1023.0		0.0		1023.0						
14.999	649.7		0.0		649.7						
15.499	397.3		0.0		397.3						
15.999	235.1		0.0		235.1						
16.499	120.9		0.0		120.9						

D-8

TAMS

Job No. 1497-19

Project HAMILTON RESERVOIR


Subject SAFETY INSPECTION

Sheet 19 of

Date 102578

By CV

Ch'k. by



TAMS

Job No. 1497-19

Project HAMILTON RESERVOIR


Subject SAFETY INSPECTION

Sheet 10 of

Date 103178

By CV

Ch'k. by



HAMILTON RESERVOIR

JOB NO. 1497-19 TAMS

WALF FPF

INPUT PARAMETERS

STARTING ELEV. (FT.)	TIME INTERVAL (HOURS)	STARTING TIME (HOURS)	ENDING TIME (HOURS)	PRINT INTERVAL (HOURS)	GATE OPTION	PLOT OPTION	STORAGE COEF.	OUTFLOW COEF.	INFLOW COEF.	TIME COEF.	BREAK TIME
603.00	0.08	0.00	16.49	1	NO	YES	1.000	1.000	0.500	1.000	0.000
RESERVOIR											
ELEV. (FT.)			RESERVOIR STORAGE (ACFT)			RESERVOIR OUTFLOW (CFS)					
603.00			1918.4001			0.00					
604.00			2342.4003			495.00					
606.00			2238.9003			2720.00					
608.00			4200.6009			6205.00					
609.00			4712.6007			8741.00					
600.00			5225.7002			12804.00					
602.00			6320.6004			26236.00					
604.00			7400.5009			44894.00					

D-11

-11-

9

TIME (HRS)	INFLOW (CFS)	OUTFLOW (CFS)	STORAGE (ACFT)	ELEVATION (FT.)
0.00	0.00		1918.4001	683.00
0.05	52.39	0.20	1918.5791	683.00
0.17	105.79	0.83	1918.1145	683.00
0.25	157.19	1.87	1920.0036	683.00
0.33	205.59	3.31	1921.2436	683.00
0.41	261.99	5.17	1922.8317	683.01
0.50	314.39	7.43	1924.7653	683.01
0.58	344.12	9.98	1926.9641	683.02
0.66	373.29	12.77	1929.3464	683.02
0.75	402.46	15.77	1931.9089	683.03
0.82	431.64	18.97	1934.6503	683.03
0.91	460.81	22.37	1937.5693	683.04
1.00	489.98	25.99	1940.6643	683.05
1.08	562.35	29.98	1944.0415	683.06
1.16	636.90	34.52	1947.9733	683.06
1.24	711.46	39.52	1952.3455	683.06
1.33	786.01	45.28	1957.1879	683.09
1.41	860.56	51.48	1962.5034	683.10
1.49	935.12	58.23	1968.2956	683.11
1.58	1074.82	65.79	1974.7536	683.13
1.66	1219.59	74.41	1982.1418	683.15
1.74	1364.37	84.12	1990.4602	683.17
1.83	1509.15	94.91	1999.7014	683.19
1.91	1653.93	106.77	2009.8581	683.21
1.99	1798.70	119.69	2020.9228	683.24
2.07	2053.90	134.10	2033.2656	683.27
2.16	2219.27	150.47	2047.2853	683.30
2.24	2584.65	168.82	2063.0122	683.34
2.32	2850.02	189.15	2080.4238	683.38
2.41	3115.39	211.43	2099.5092	683.42
2.49	3380.76	235.65	2120.2558	683.47
2.57	3622.64	261.70	2142.5708	683.52
2.64	3842.29	286.47	2166.3525	683.58
2.74	4101.65	316.92	2191.5500	683.64
2.82	4341.00	350.95	2218.2417	683.70
2.90	4580.36	382.83	2246.3256	683.77
2.99	4819.71	417.27	2275.8208	683.84
3.07	5046.69	453.29	2306.6738	683.91
3.15	5271.77	490.12	2338.8352	683.99
3.24	5496.85	528.19	2372.1342	684.06
3.32	5721.93	568.88	2406.4174	684.14
3.40	5947.02	611.33	2441.6528	684.22
3.49	6172.10	651.10	2477.8242	684.30
3.57	6435.38	693.50	2515.0561	684.38
3.65	6712.31	741.91	2553.4565	684.47
3.73	6986.28	791.33	2592.1513	684.55
3.82	7260.19	841.71	2634.0000	684.65
3.90	7534.12	893.00	2676.0219	684.74
3.98	7808.06	943.16	2719.1977	684.84

D-12

12

TIME (HRS)	INFLOW (CFS)	OUTFLOW (CFS)	STORAGE (ACFT)	ELEVATION (FT.)
4.07	8098.81	1540.27	2763.5649	684.93
4.15	8393.27	1651.47	2809.1733	685.04
4.23	8687.73	1769.72	2856.0146	685.14
4.32	8982.19	1888.98	2904.0683	685.25
4.40	9276.66	2011.20	2953.3134	685.36
4.48	9571.12	2136.53	3003.7299	685.47
4.56	9865.58	2264.05	3055.1889	685.50
4.65	10160.04	2393.96	3107.5346	685.70
4.73	10341.23	2525.97	3160.7236	685.82
4.81	10595.16	2660.04	3214.7421	685.94
4.90	10849.10	2831.02	3269.5180	686.06
4.98	11103.03	3031.11	3324.6997	686.17
5.06	11298.18	3231.80	3380.0468	686.29
5.15	11475.85	3432.14	3435.2973	686.40
5.23	11653.52	3631.92	3490.3940	686.52
5.31	11831.20	3831.17	3545.3413	686.63
5.39	12008.87	4029.88	3600.1420	686.75
5.48	12186.54	4228.07	3654.8002	686.86
5.56	12364.21	4424.50	3709.0905	686.97
5.64	12541.88	4619.22	3762.6718	687.08
5.72	12719.55	4810.81	3815.5102	687.19
5.81	12897.22	4999.75	3867.6142	687.30
5.89	13074.89	5186.08	3919.0019	687.41
5.98	13252.56	5369.88	3969.6009	687.52
6.06	13430.23	5550.100	4019.3637	687.62
6.14	13607.90	5724.54	4067.5835	687.72
6.22	13785.57	5894.08	4114.2568	687.82
6.31	13963.24	6057.85	4159.4218	687.91
6.39	14140.91	6220.58	4203.1713	688.00
6.47	14318.58	6382.29	4245.1533	688.08
6.56	14496.25	6542.14	4285.3808	688.16
6.64	14673.92	6700.14	4323.7812	688.24
6.72	14851.59	6858.19	4360.3916	688.31
6.81	15029.26	7014.69	4395.2724	688.38
6.89	15206.93	7170.92	4428.4814	688.44
6.97	15384.60	7324.92	4460.0742	688.50
7.05	15562.27	7491.16	4490.9922	688.56
7.14	15739.94	7659.12	4518.1240	688.62
7.22	15917.61	7828.24	4544.4755	688.67
7.30	16095.28	8000.37	4569.1064	688.71
7.39	16272.95	8173.95	4592.0732	688.76
7.47	16450.62	8349.58	4613.4316	688.80
7.55	16628.29	8527.15	4633.1611	688.84
7.64	16805.96	8706.36	4651.2002	688.87
7.72	16983.63	8887.28	4667.5644	688.91
7.80	17161.30	9069.21	4682.3105	688.94
7.88	17338.97	9252.40	4695.4922	688.96
7.97	17516.64	9437.11	4707.1611	688.98
8.05	17694.31	9622.68	4717.3056	689.00
8.13	17871.98	9809.65	4725.7558	689.02

14

TIME (HRS)	INFLOW (CFS)	OUTFLOW (CFS)	STORAGE (ACFT)	ELEVATION (FT.)
8.22	9770.23	8897.42	4732.5478	689.03
8.30	9588.80	8938.78	4737.7885	689.04
8.38	9407.37	8988.36	4741.5019	689.05
8.47	9225.94	8988.78	4743.8271	689.06
8.55	9038.51	8994.47	4744.7978	689.06
9.02	8847.11	8991.73	4744.4521	689.06
9.10	8655.71	8979.02	4742.8476	689.05
9.18	8464.31	8956.85	4740.0498	689.04
9.26	8272.90	8925.74	4736.1220	689.03
9.34	8081.50	8886.15	4731.1240	689.02
9.42	7891.33	8838.55	4725.1162	689.01
9.50	7702.07	8783.45	4718.1601	688.99
9.58	7512.80	8728.63	4710.2998	688.97
10.06	7323.53	8674.91	4701.4609	688.95
10.14	7134.27	8626.35	4691.6406	688.93
10.22	6945.00	8583.09	4680.8711	688.91
10.30	6755.78	8543.40	4669.2050	688.89
10.38	6566.52	8503.60	4656.7099	688.86
10.46	6377.25	8463.82	4643.4296	688.83
10.54	6188.00	8428.50	4629.3506	688.80
10.62	5998.75	8397.44	4614.6181	688.77
10.70	5809.50	8371.09	4599.1377	688.74
10.78	5620.25	8349.09	4582.0029	688.71
10.86	5431.00	8321.24	4566.2949	688.68
10.94	5241.75	8293.24	4549.0615	688.64
11.02	5052.50	8264.50	4531.3193	688.61
11.10	4863.25	8235.33	4513.0859	688.57
11.18	4674.00	8205.81	4494.3779	688.53
11.26	4484.75	8176.18	4475.2441	688.49
11.34	4295.50	8146.85	4455.7646	688.46
11.42	4106.25	8117.03	4435.9843	688.42
11.50	3917.00	8087.77	4415.9130	688.38
11.58	3727.75	8058.12	4395.5605	688.34
12.06	3538.50	8028.12	4374.9355	688.30
12.14	3349.25	7997.96	4354.0771	688.25
12.22	3160.00	7967.39	4333.0537	688.21
12.30	2970.75	7936.37	4311.9013	688.17
12.38	2781.50	7905.36	4290.6259	688.13
12.46	2592.25	7874.36	4269.2304	688.09
12.54	2403.00	7843.36	4247.7197	688.05
13.02	2213.75	7812.36	4226.1201	688.00
13.10	2024.50	7781.36	4204.4853	687.96
13.18	1835.25	7750.36	4182.7812	687.91
13.26	1646.00	7719.36	4160.8857	687.87
13.34	1456.75	7688.36	4138.7968	687.82
13.42	1267.50	7657.36	4116.5205	687.77
13.50	1078.25	7626.36	4094.0800	687.73
14.00	889.00	7595.36	4071.5273	687.68
14.10	700.00	7564.36	4048.8916	687.63
14.20	511.00	7533.36	4026.1743	687.58
14.30	322.00	7502.36	4003.3770	687.53
14.40	133.00	7471.36	3980.5000	687.48
14.50	-56.00	7440.36	3957.5427	687.43
15.00	-267.00	7409.36	3934.5054	687.38
15.10	-478.00	7378.36	3911.3781	687.33
15.20	-689.00	7347.36	3888.1608	687.28
15.30	-900.00	7316.36	3864.8535	687.23
15.40	-1111.00	7285.36	3841.4562	687.18
15.50	-1322.00	7254.36	3817.9689	687.13
16.00	-1533.00	7223.36	3794.3916	687.08
16.10	-1744.00	7192.36	3770.7243	687.03
16.20	-1955.00	7161.36	3746.9670	686.98
16.30	-2166.00	7130.36	3723.1197	686.93
16.40	-2377.00	7100.00	3699.1824	686.88
16.50	-2588.00	7069.00	3675.1451	686.83
17.00	-2799.00	7038.00	3651.0078	686.78
17.10	-3010.00	7007.00	3626.7705	686.73
17.20	-3221.00	6976.00	3602.4332	686.68
17.30	-3432.00	6945.00	3578.0959	686.63
17.40	-3643.00	6914.00	3553.7586	686.58
17.50	-3854.00	6883.00	3529.4213	686.53
18.00	-4065.00	6852.00	3505.0840	686.48
18.10	-4276.00	6821.00	3480.7467	686.43
18.20	-4487.00	6790.00	3456.4094	686.38
18.30	-4698.00	6759.00	3432.0721	686.33
18.40	-4909.00	6728.00	3407.7348	686.28
18.50	-5120.00	6697.00	3383.3975	686.23
19.00	-5331.00	6666.00	3359.0602	686.18
19.10	-5542.00	6635.00	3334.7229	686.13
19.20	-5753.00	6604.00	3310.3856	686.08
19.30	-5964.00	6573.00	3286.0483	686.03
19.40	-6175.00	6542.00	3261.7110	685.98
19.50	-6386.00	6511.00	3237.3737	685.93
20.00	-6597.00	6480.00	3213.0364	685.88
20.10	-6808.00	6449.00	3188.6991	685.83
20.20	-7019.00	6418.00	3164.3618	685.78
20.30	-7230.00	6387.00	3139.9245	685.73
20.40	-7441.00	6356.00	3115.5872	685.68
20.50	-7652.00	6325.00	3091.2499	685.63
21.00	-7863.00	6294.00	3066.9126	685.58
21.10	-8074.00	6263.00	3042.5753	685.53
21.20	-8285.00	6232.00	3018.2380	685.48
21.30	-8496.00	6201.00	2993.9007	685.43
21.40	-8707.00	6170.00	2969.5634	685.38
21.50	-8918.00	6139.00	2945.2261	685.33
22.00	-9129.00	6108.00	2920.8888	685.28
22.10	-9340.00	6077.00	2896.5515	685.23
22.20	-9551.00	6046.00	2872.2142	685.18
22.30	-9762.00	6015.00	2847.8769	685.13
22.40	-9973.00	5984.00	2823.5396	685.08
22.50	-10184.00	5953.00	2799.2023	685.03
23.00	-10395.00	5922.00	2774.8650	684.98
23.10	-10606.00	5891.00	2750.5277	684.93
23.20	-10817.00	5860.00	2726.1904	684.88
23.30	-11028.00	5829.00	2701.8531	684.83
23.40	-11239.00	5798.00	2677.5158	684.78
23.50	-11450.00	5767.00	2653.1785	684.73
24.00	-11661.00	5736.00	2628.8412	684.68
24.10	-11872.00	5705.00	2604.5039	684.63
24.20	-12083.00	5674.00	2580.1666	684.58
24.30	-12294.00	5643.00	2555.8293	684.53
24.40	-12505.00	5612.00	2531.4920	684.48
24.50	-12716.00	5581.00	2507.1547	684.43
25.00	-12927.00	5550.00	2482.8174	684.38
25.10	-13138.00	5519.00	2458.4801	684.33
25.20	-13349.00	5488.00	2434.1428	684.28
25.30	-13560.00	5457.00	2409.8055	684.23
25.40	-13771.00	5426.00	2385.4682	684.18
25.50	-13982.00	5395.00	2361.1309	684.13
26.00	-14193.00	5364.00	2336.7936	684.08
26.10	-14404.00	5333.00	2312.4563	684.03
26.20	-14615.00	5302.00	2288.1190	683.98
26.30	-14826.00	5271.00	2263.7817	683.93
26.40	-15037.00	5240.00	2239.4444	683.88
26.50	-15248.00	5209.00	2215.1071	683.83
27.00	-15459.00	5178.00	2190.7698	683.78
27.10	-15670.00	5147.00	2166.4325	683.73
27.20	-15881.00	5116.00	2142.0952	683.68
27.30	-16092.00	5085.00	2117.7579	683.63
27.40	-16303.00	5054.00	2093.4206	683.58
27.50	-16514.00	5023.00	2069.0833	683.53
28.00	-16725.00	4992.00	2044.7460	683.48
28.10	-16936.00	4961.00	2020.4087	683.43
28.20	-17147.00	4930.00	1996.0714	683.38
28.30	-17358.00	4899.00	1971.7341	683.33
28.40	-17569.00	4868.00	1947.3968	683.28
28.50	-17780.00	4837.00	1923.0595	683.23
29.00	-17991.00	4806.00	1898.7222	683.18
29.10	-18202.00	4775.00	1874.3849	683.13
29.20	-18413.00	4744.00	1850.0476	683.08
29.30	-18624.00	4713.00	1825.7103	683.03
29.40	-18835.00	4682.00	1801.3730	682.98
29.50	-19046.00	4651.00	1777.0357	682.93
30.00	-19257.00	4620.00	1752.6984	682.88
30.10	-19468.00	4589.00	1728.3611	682.83
30.20	-19679.00	4558.00	1704.0238	682.78
30.30	-19890.00	4527.00	1679.6865	682.73
30.40	-20101.00	4496.00	1655.3492	682.68
30.50	-20312.00	4465.00	1631.0119	682.63
31.00	-20523.00	4434.00	1606.6746	682.58
31.10	-20734.00	4403.00	1582.3373	682.53
31.20	-20945.00	4373.00	1557.9900	682.48
31.30	-21156.00	4342.00	1533.6527	682.43
31.40	-21367.00	4311.00	1509.3154	682.38
31.50	-21578.00	4280.00	1484.9781	682.33
32.00	-21789.00	4249.00	1460.6408	682.28
32.10	-21990.00	4218.00	1436.3035	682.23
32.20	-22201.00	4187.00	1411.9662	682.18
32.30	-22412.00	4156.00	1387.6289	682.13
32.40	-22623.00	4125.00	1363.2916	682.08
32.50	-22834.00	4094.00	1338.9543	682.03
33.00	-23045.00	4063.00	1314.6170	681.98
33.10	-23256.00	4032.00	1290.2797	681.93
33.20	-23467.00	4001.00	1265.9424	681.88
33.30	-23678.00	3970.00	1241.6051	681.83
33.40	-23889.00	3939.00	1217.2678	681.78
33.50	-24100.00	3908.00	1192.9305	681.73
34.00	-24311.00	3877.00	1168.5932	681.68
34.10	-24522.00	3846.00	1144.2559	681.63
34.20	-24733.00	3815.00	1119.9186	681.58
34.30	-24944.00	3784.00	1095.5813	681.53
34.40	-25155.00	3753.00	1071.2440	681.48
34.50	-25366.00	3722.00	1046.9067	681.43
35.00	-25577.00	3691.00	1022.5694	681.38
35.10	-25788.00	3660.00	998.2321	681.33
35.20	-25999.00	3629.00	973.8948	681.28
35.30	-26210.00	3598.00	949.5575	681.23
35.40	-26421.00	3567.00	925.2202	681.18
35.50	-26632.00	3536.00	900.8829	681.13
36.00	-26843.00	3505.00	876.5456	681.08
36.10	-27054.00	3474.00	852.2083	681.03
36.20	-27265.00	3443.00	827.8710	680.98
36.30	-27476.00	3412.00	803.5337	680.93
36.40	-27687.00	3381.00	779.1964	680.88
36.50	-27898.00	3350.00	754.8591	680.83
37.00	-28109.00	3319.00	730.5218	680.78
37.10	-28320.00	3288.00	706.1845	680.73
37.20	-28531.00	3257.00	681.8472	680.68
37.30	-28742.00	3226.00	657.5099	680.63
37.40	-28953.00	3195.00	633.1726	680.58
37.50				

TIME (HRS)	INFLOW (CFS)	OUTFLOW (CFS)	STORAGE (ACFT)	ELEVATION (FT.)
12.37	2162.87	5492.03	4003.3779	687.50
12.45	2068.80	5409.09	3980.5044	687.54
12.53	1980.11	5325.94	3957.5737	687.40
12.62	1899.24	5242.75	3934.6318	687.44
12.70	1818.37	5159.62	3911.7055	687.40
12.78	1737.50	5076.55	3888.7049	687.35
12.86	1656.63	4993.53	3865.8989	687.30
12.95	1575.75	4910.56	3843.0175	687.25
13.03	1499.75	4827.70	3820.1669	687.20
13.11	1431.55	4745.11	3797.3691	687.16
13.20	1363.35	4662.87	3774.7089	687.11
13.28	1295.16	4580.97	3752.1240	687.06
13.36	1226.96	4499.41	3729.6318	687.02
13.45	1158.77	4418.18	3707.2299	686.97
13.53	1094.22	4337.33	3684.9311	686.92
13.61	1038.44	4256.98	3662.7724	686.88
13.69	982.06	4177.22	3640.7758	686.83
13.78	925.68	4098.03	3618.9379	686.79
13.86	869.30	4019.41	3597.2544	686.74
13.94	812.92	3941.33	3575.7216	686.70
14.03	760.76	3863.83	3554.3501	686.65
14.11	716.94	3787.06	3533.1782	686.61
14.19	673.13	3711.10	3512.2299	686.56
14.28	629.31	3635.93	3491.4995	686.52
14.36	585.50	3561.53	3470.9814	686.48
14.44	541.65	3487.88	3450.6704	686.44
14.52	501.86	3415.02	3430.5752	686.39
14.61	470.98	3343.07	3410.7338	686.35
14.69	439.90	3272.12	3391.1699	686.31
14.77	408.93	3202.17	3371.8764	686.27
14.86	377.95	3133.17	3352.8471	686.23
14.94	346.97	3065.11	3334.0756	686.19
15.02	313.87	2997.98	3315.5649	686.15
15.11	297.92	2931.91	3297.3432	686.12
15.19	276.97	2866.95	3279.4272	686.08
15.27	256.03	2803.06	3261.8090	686.04
15.35	235.08	2740.23	3244.4819	686.01
15.44	214.13	2691.47	3227.4082	685.97
15.52	193.15	2649.40	3210.4041	685.93
15.60	181.68	2607.95	3193.7548	685.89
15.69	163.22	2566.88	3177.2065	685.86
15.77	154.75	2526.27	3160.8461	685.82
15.85	141.29	2486.13	3144.6704	685.78
15.94	127.82	2446.43	3128.6762	685.75
16.02	115.31	2407.19	3112.8637	685.71
16.10	103.83	2368.42	3097.2431	685.68
16.18	96.35	2330.15	3081.8217	685.64
16.27	86.87	2292.36	3066.5961	685.61
16.35	77.39	2255.05	3051.5634	685.58
16.43	67.91	2218.21	3036.7197	685.54
MAX. VALUES				689.06


D+157

13

WILTON RESERVOIR

16

TIME-HRS



D-16

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE
NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS

APPENDIX E

END

FILMED

7-85

DTIC