

AD-A161 116

ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF THE FACILITY ACQUISITION
PROCESS AS IT RELATES TO (U) AIR FORCE INST OF TECH
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH SCHOOL OF SVST L J BLAKE


1/4


UNCLASSIFIED

SEP 85 AFIT/GEM/LSV/855-9

F/G 15/5


NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A161 116



ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF THE FACILITY
ACQUISITION PROCESS AS IT RELATES TO
THE DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT OF NEW
WEAPON SYSTEMS

THESIS

Larry J. Blake
Captain, USAF

Richard D. Marchbanks
Captain, USAF

AFIT/GEM/LSY/83S-9

DTIC FILE COPY

DTIC
ELECTE
NOV 14 1985
S
E
D

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
AIR UNIVERSITY

AIR FORCE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

This document has been approved
public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

85 11 12 10g

AFIT/GEM/LSY/85

ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF THE FACILITY
ACQUISITION PROCESS AS IT RELATES TO
THE DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT OF NEW
WEAPON SYSTEMS

THESIS

Larry J. Blake
Captain, USAF

Richard D. Marchbanks
Captain, USAF

AFIT/GEM/LSY/85S-9

DTIC
ELECTE
NOV 14 1985
S D
E

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The contents of the document are technically accurate, and no sensitive items, detrimental ideas, or deleterious information are contained therein. Furthermore, the views expressed in the document are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the School of Systems and Logistics, the Air University, the United States Air Force, or the Department of Defense.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	



ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF THE FACILITY ACQUISITION PROCESS
AS IT RELATES TO THE DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT
OF NEW WEAPON SYSTEMS

THESIS

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Systems and Logistics
of the Air Force Institute of Technology

Air University

In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science in Engineering Management

Larry J. Blake, B.S.
Captain, USAF

Richard D. Marchbanks, B.S.
Captain, USAF

September 1985

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Preface

The purpose of this study was to investigate the conflict in timing between the acquisition of new weapon systems and that of support facilities. The idea for the research grew from both a need identified by Air Force Systems Command (AFSC) and the personal and professional interest of the researchers. The principal method of research was through the development and analysis of a computer model of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process. The model was encoded using the SLAM II simulation language.

Developing an accurate model of the acquisition process would have been impossible without a great deal of help from others. First our advisor, Lt Col William Shaw, provided special help and assistance in understanding the systems acquisition process. Further, Mr. George Taylor gave us almost unlimited access to the ASD/DES program records and provided invaluable insight into the role of the acquisition civil engineer. In addition, Lt Col Paul Baker from AFSC provided some of the key ideas examined in the research. Finally, we wish to thank our wives Debbie and Mindy for their understanding and concern for our research, especially Debbie for her untiring help in typing and formatting.

Larry J. Blake
Captain, USAF

Richard D. Marchbanks
Captain, USAF

Table of Contents

	Page
Preface.....	ii
Lists of Figures.....	vi
Lists of Tables.....	vii
Lists of Acronyms.....	viii
Abstract.....	x
I. Introduction.....	1
Problem Statement.....	2
Research Questions.....	4
Justification of Methodology.....	5
Scope and Limitations.....	6
II. Literature Review of the Acquisition Processes.....	8
Weapons System Acquisition Process.....	9
Need Identification.....	9
Concept Exploration.....	10
Demonstration and Validation.....	10
Full Scale Development.....	10
Production and Deployment.....	11
The Facilities Acquisition Process.....	12
Requirements Identification.....	13
Programming.....	14
Design.....	15
Construction.....	16
Integrated Logistics Support.....	17
III. Research Methodology.....	18
Literature Review.....	18
Consideration of Previous MCP Models.....	19
The SLAM Model of Integrated Systems and Facilities Process.....	21
Conceptual Model.....	22
The SLAM Model.....	22
Data Acquisition.....	25
Model Verification.....	26
Model Validation.....	27
Answering the Research Questions.....	28

	Page
IV. Findings and Analysis.....	29
The Literature Review.....	29
The Integrated Facilities and Systems Acquisition Model.....	30
General Assumptions and Limitations.....	32
Conceptual Model.....	36
The Requirements Phase [blocks 001 to 107].....	37
The Programming Phase [blocks 208-231]....	38
Design Phase [blocks 332-363].....	42
Construction Phase [464-471].....	48
Initial Operating Capability.....	49
Findings and Analysis of the Simulation Model..	50
Verification.....	51
Validation.....	53
Model Output.....	58
Integrated Systems and Facilities Acquisition Model.....	59
Model with Altered Resources.....	62
Weapons System Contractor as Facility Designer.....	64
Answering the Research Questions.....	66
Research Question 1.....	67
Research Question 2.....	70
Research Question 3.....	74
Research Question 4.....	81
V. Conclusions and Recommendations.....	87
Conclusions	87
Recommendations.....	90
Recommendation 1.....	90
Recommendation 2.....	91
Recommendation 3.....	92
Appendix A: Summary Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/Systems Acquisition Process.....	94
Appendix B: Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/Systems Acquisition Process.....	95
Appendix C: Verification and Validation Model.....	101
Appendix D: Integrated Systems and Facilities Acquisition Model.....	191

	Page
Appendix E: Simulation Two - Conceptual Model with Changes in the Design Phase.....	251
Appendix F: Simulation Model with System Contractor Accomplishing Facility Design.....	253
Appendix G: Definition and Explanation of SLAM Summary Report Statistics.....	316
Bibliography.....	323
Vita.....	328

List of Figures

Figure	Page
1. Weapons System and Facility Acquisition Cycles (2)..3	
2. Project Completion Time Vs Year of Simulation 54	
3. Summary Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/ Systems Acquisition Process.....94	
4. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/ Systems Acquisition Process.....95	
5. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/ Systems Acquisition Process.....96	
6. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/ Systems Acquisition Process.....97	
7. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/ Systems Acquisition Process.....98	
8. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/ Systems Acquisition Process.....99	
9. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/ Systems Acquisition Process.....100	
10. Simulation two - System Contractor Produces Facility Designs.....251	
11. Simulation two - System Contractor Produces Facility Designs.....252	

List of Tables

Table	page

I. Average Project Time to Completion in Specified FY....	55

List of Acronyms

AE	Architect-Engineer
AF	Air Force
AFIT	Air Force Institute of Technology
AFLC	Air Force Logistics Command
AFND	Air Force Need Date
AFR	Air Force Regulation
AFSC	Air Force Systems Command
AFSARC	Air Force Acquisition Review Council
AFRCE	Air Force Regional Civil Engineer
ASD	Aeronautical Systems Division
BCE	Base Civil Engineer
BES	Budget Estimation Submission
CBD	Commerce Business Daily
COE	US Army Corps of Engineers
DE	Directorate of Engineering
DI	Design Instruction
DOD	Department of Defense
DODD	Department of Defense Directive
DSARC	Defense Systems Acquisition Council
FDC	Facilities Design Criteria
FIX	Facility Item X-amination
F-Panel	Facilities Panel
FRP	Facilities Requirements Plan
FSD	Full Scale Development
FY	Fiscal Year

GPSS	General Purpose Simulation Language
ILS	Integrated Logistics Support
IOC	Initial Operational Capability
JMSNS	Justification of Major Systems New Starts
MAJCOM	Major Command
MCP	Military Construction Program
NAVFAC	Naval Facilities Engineering Command
NTP	Notice to Proceed
OPR	Office of Primary Responsibility
OSD	Office of the Secretary of Defense
PCE	Project Cost Estimate
PB	Project Booklet
PDM	Program Decision Memorandum
PM	Project Manager
PMD	Project Management Directive
PMP	Program Management Plan
PO	Program Office
POM	Program Objective Memorandum
PPBS	Planning, Programming and Budgeting System
RDT&E	Research, Development, Test and Evaluation
SECDEF	Secretary of Defense
SLAM	Simulation Language for Alternative Modeling
SON	Statement of Operational Need
SOW	Statement of Work
USAF	United States Air Force
USC	United States Code

Abstract

thesis
The purpose of this investigation was to identify potential modifications in the facilities acquisition process to better integrate it into a weapons system acquisition. In order to accomplish this objective, a computer model was developed to simulate the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process and to determine what changes would most favorably impact facility acquisition schedules.

Development and analysis of the model concluded that conflicts in timing came from four sources: 1) development of the list of facilities required to support system bed down; 2) development of the basing and deployment concepts; 3) system and equipment changes during the facility acquisition and; 4) the date and definition of Initial Operational Capability (IOC). ←

The following additional conclusions were reached regarding the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process. First, only 60% of the bed down facilities would be ready by IOC unless special management attention and handling are provided during processing. Further, an increase of personnel in the various elements of facilities acquisition may have little impact upon project processing time. Finally, the traditional civil engineering management philosophy of waiting for user submittal of requirements before responding may further aggravate an already difficult scheduling problem.

The following recommendations were developed as a result of the research. First, development of facility requirements should begin earlier in the systems acquisition cycle through increased civil engineering participation in the research and development effort. Second, the design of a weapon system's technical facilities should be performed by the systems contractor with contract management and technical support provided by the product division and the US Army Corps of Engineers. Finally, requirements definition and facility design tasking statements with suitable data by-products should be developed by civil engineering organizations for inclusion in weapon system development/production contracts.

ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF THE FACILITY ACQUISITION PROCESS AS IT RELATES TO THE DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT OF NEW WEAPON SYSTEMS

I. Introduction

The Air Force has often experienced significant difficulty in meeting programmed cost and schedule for the design and construction of facilities associated with the deployment of new weapon systems. As weapon systems become more complex, facility cost growth and schedule slippage problems will certainly intensify (19:103-105).

Unlike normal military construction which is intended to replace obsolete office buildings or dormitories, the facilities programmed to support a new weapon systems deployment are not an end in themselves. The deployment and operation of the system depends largely upon facility availability and adequacy. Thus, schedule slippage which can be tolerated in normal facility delivery can cause serious delays and significant cost growth in new weapon system deployment.

In the early stages of the development of a weapon system, estimates of production schedules and a target date for the delivery of the first operational unit are developed for planning and cost estimating purposes. These schedules often become fixed as Congress accepts the need for the developing system. While usually not considered when the

schedule for first unit delivery is developed, the facility acquisition process must respond with completed facilities.

The Air Force plans, programs and purchases facility design and construction through the Military Construction Program (MCP). While not directly tied to the programming for the weapons system acquisition process, MCP heavily depends upon it. Not only does the weapons system acquisition process establish the need date for the needed facilities but it also provides the requirements necessary to initiate the planning and programming for facility acquisition.

Problem Statement

The cumbersome nature of the Military Construction Program (MCP) is frequently blamed for both the cost and schedule problems of facility acquisition. It is often proposed that streamlining the MCP process would reduce or possibly eliminate the problem. The facility acquisition records and lessons learned reports from various weapon system acquisitions indicate that the real problem is not in the ability to acquire facilities, but in the ability to acquire them in time to support the deployment of a weapon system. Since the facility requirements of a weapons system cannot be fully established until the system is substantially developed, the MCP process must often respond to late and frequently changing requirements.

The President's Private Sector Survey on Cost Control found that the average federal construction project takes

seven years from identification of requirements and initial planning to occupancy (19). Planning, programming, and design were found to take sixty months and construction averaged twenty-four months. Projects requiring Congressional approval, as do those under MCP, can take up to fifteen years to complete (19:100). Figure 1 shows the system and facility acquisition processes for a typical weapons system bed down.




Figure 1. Weapons System and Facility Acquisition Cycles (2)

As indicated on the chart, completion of facilities acquired through even an ideal MCP cycle may lag IOC by several years.

Numerous computer networks have been developed to demonstrate the severity of the problem (24). PERT techniques have been employed in various studies (19) attempting to force the MCP process into an established program schedule. The purpose of this study was to identify potential modifications in the MCP process to better integrate it into the weapon system acquisition process.

Research Questions

To accomplish the objective of this investigation it was necessary to develop a computer model to simulate the MCP as it is integrated into the systems acquisition process. Then using the model, candidate changes to the MCP (and possibly the weapons system acquisition process) which most favorably compress facility acquisition schedules were identified. The validity of the model depends upon the ability to answer the following research questions:

1. What events or activities in the weapon systems acquisition process trigger events or activities in facility acquisition process?
2. What are the interrelationships and conflicts associated with the two processes?
3. Who are the key players and what are their assigned roles and responsibilities?

4. What activities or events within the two acquisition processes have the most impact on facility completion dates?

Justification of Methodology

Computer simulation is widely used in studying operational systems. Through its use the researcher can separate large complex processes into smaller more comprehensible activities. He can then study each activity and reconstruct the entire process as a computer model network. It is then possible to alter procedures or to make changes in the organizational structure for study and analysis inexpensively. The computer model permits the researcher to modify system inputs, resources, flow processing or other system variables to predict system behavior (26:1-2).

Representing a system or process with a model has at least five legitimate uses. It aids in the thinking, communicating, training, predicting and experimenting necessary to study an operational system (38:4). This analysis of the MCP process utilizes the predictive and experimental uses of modeling.

Historically, the biggest problems in the simulation of operational systems have been the mathematical complexity of the modeling process and the inability to capture the true nature of the system being modeled. The modeler needs an organized structure for viewing the system to be simulated. Several simulation languages for computers have been developed to provide such a structure. Four of these

languages -- GASP, GPSS, SIMSCRIPT and SLAM -- were considered for use in this study.

Each of the languages was designed with a different orientation to the modeled system's operations. The languages can be classified as event-oriented, process-oriented or combined event/process oriented. In the event-oriented languages a change in the state of a system is measured as an instantaneous occurrence or event. SIMSCRIPT is structured as a discrete-event simulation. As a system is modeled over time, changes in state occur at discrete points in time -- those points where an event (such as the arrival of a customer) occurs. SLAM, GPSS and some releases of SIMSCRIPT provide a process-oriented approach to system operation. These languages define a process as a time ordered collection of events, activities, and delays which together form the changes in state of the modeled system (3:53-80).

The SLAM simulation language was found to have the capability to model a system from a process, an event, or from a continuous activity perspective. This, plus a further enhancement which allows "combined network-event-continuous models with interactions between each orientation" (35:74), made it a suitable candidate for modeling the MCP.

Scope and Limitations

This study investigated the acquisition of new facilities required to support the deployment and operation of a new Air Force weapons system inside the continental United

States. Further, the study was limited to facilities or facility modifications which were included in the Military Construction Program (MCP).

To further define and limit the study the following general assumptions were made:

1. The weapons systems follow the life cycle phases of DODD 5000.1 (Concept Exploration, Demonstration and Validation, Full Scale Development, Production and Deployment).

2. The MAJCOM gaining the weapons system also operates the selected host base.

3. Weapons system deployments requiring construction of an entirely new base complex were excluded.

4. Congressional approval was obtained with minimal delay at the 35% design estimated cost.

5. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) served as Design/Construction Agent for the modeled facility projects.

6. An Environmental Assessment was adequate for system deployment.

7. Facility design and construction were accomplished by contract.

8. The Facility construction schedule was based upon providing beneficial occupancy prior to an Air Force Need Date (AFND) established by the deployment schedule of the weapons system.

9. The implementing Product Division provides facility requirements to the responsible MAJCOM for programming.

II. Literature Review of the Acquisition Processes

The acquisition of new Air Force facilities is always a lengthy and complex process and is normally the responsibility of Civil Engineering (12:1-1). However, during the deployment of a new weapons system the oversight of facilities acquisition becomes an element of Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) in the Weapon System Program Office (PO) (22:24). The program and ILS managers (9) must insure that facility acquisition does not constrain system deployment (24:79).

The Deputy Program Manager for Logistics (DPML), as well as the resident civil engineer, should understand the weapon system acquisition process, the facilities acquisition process, and the interaction between them (24:12-13). This chapter will summarize both acquisition processes and the relevant interfaces and dependencies between them. However, even a full understanding of the process without consideration of the political climate (particularly the budgetary emphasis being placed upon defense), can lead to additional cost and schedule problems.

The process of acquiring supporting facilities must be thoroughly integrated into the overall system acquisition process. To help clarify the underlying framework for this integration, a review of the systems acquisition process and

a discussion of the four phases of facility acquisition are provided along with a brief discussion of the ILS function.

Weapons System Acquisition Process

An acquisition program is "a directed effort funded either through procurement appropriations; through the security assistance program; or through the research, development, test and evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation with the goal of providing a new or improved capability in response to a validated need" (10:Attch.3). The systems acquisition process begins with the identification of a need by an operating command in the Air Force.

The Air Force acquires new systems according to AFR 800-2 (10) which invokes DOD Directive (DODD) 5000.1 (16). This directive breaks the process into four major phases: Concept Exploration, Demonstration and Validation, Full-Scale Development, and Production and Deployment.

Need Identification. Need identification is based upon mission analysis, assessment of available technology, initial life cycle cost analysis, and threat verification and analysis (16:2). A need may be satisfied without acquiring new weapon systems. However, in those cases where new systems or major modifications are necessary, a Statement of Operational Need (SON) is sent to Headquarters Air Force (HQ USAF) (14). If the SON is accepted by HQ USAF, then a Justification of Major Systems New Start (JMSNS) is prepared and approval for Program Initiation is sought by entering

the program in the next Program Objective Memorandum (POM). DODD 5000.1 (16) and the accompanying instruction DODI 5000.2 (17) outline the procedures, requirements and approval authority for acquisition programs.

Concept Exploration. If and when the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) issues a favorable Program Decision Memorandum (PDM) in the Planning, Programming and Budgeting System (PPBS) cycle, the program office may initiate the concept exploration phase. A "Program Management Directive (PMD) is issued by HQ USAF naming the implementing, participating and supporting commands" (5:19). "The Air Force seeks alternatives from existing military or commercial sources first, modification of existing equipment next, and finally new systems when adequate solutions are not available" (5:20).

Demonstration and Validation. The results of the concept phase are documented in a System Concept Paper. This paper forms the basis for making decisions to proceed with the second major program phase. This decision, Milestone I, selects those concepts that enter into the Demonstration and Validation phase. The results of Demonstration and Validation are the program plans for system development. These plans address the acquisition strategy schedules, performance, logistics support, and other details (5:20) of the proposed system candidates.

Full Scale Development. After the results of the Demonstration and Evaluation phase are evaluated and the Milestone II, Program Go-Ahead Decision, is passed, the acquisition

enters the Full Scale Development phase. During this phase, all required documentation is prepared, the prime mission and support system are designed, and developmental equipment is manufactured. The system and developmental equipment is tested and evaluated to insure it conforms to the performance specifications. Testing includes both the Development Test and Evaluation (DT&E) and the Operational Test and Evaluation (OT&E) programs. The objective of the DT&E program is to demonstrate that the system design is correct and complete per the system specification. The purpose of OT&E is to determine how well the system can be employed and supported in the operational environment.

The facility acquisition process usually begins during the Full Scale Development Phase of the systems acquisition cycle, and depending on the scope of the program, the Air Force Systems Command Product Division (ASD, ESD, or SD) may permanently assign a facility engineer to the PO. This engineer coordinates facilities related activities with the PO and manages early facility acquisition efforts after requirements have been identified. After Full Scale Development is nearly completed, Production and Deployment begins with an Air Force decision at Milestone III (5:21).

Production and Deployment. The Production and Deployment (P&D) phase includes all actions required to produce the system in the necessary quantities and to deploy it for operational use. P&D begins by contracting for production of the prime mission hardware. In addition, all support and

training equipment and initial spares required for system deployment are procured . Also, during P&D the facilities needed for the system must be designed and constructed before deployment.

The Facilities Acquisition Process

The facility acquisition process necessary to support the bed down of a new weapon system is complex, expensive, and lengthy. Program managers must coordinate all technical, programmatic and ILS decisions which may impact facilities with the civil engineering community.

Facilities are expensive; there was more than \$1 billion of construction effort in the bed down of the Ground-Launched Cruise Missile in Europe (1:32). Costs grow with time, and according to Parkinson, cost growth "begins in the program advocacy, the early planning phases, predefinition or in the concept phases" (34:20). Cost growth must be controlled since increases in estimates require additional programming action which increases the risk of project cancellation. Additionally, the cost of correcting mistakes increases in an almost exponential manner from the requirements through the construction phases (4:7).

Hansen determined that "the facility acquisition process is very likely to be a binding constraint" (24:79) on weapon system deployment. He found that the procedures and the time required to get the facilities ready were prohibitive without intensive management and numerous interim positions. Hansen's

work and the other references cited in this paper emphasize the need for program and ILS managers to involve the civil engineering community early in the conceptual phase of acquisition to insure that fully functional facilities are constructed in time to support system deployment.

Facility acquisition for the new system bed down is divided into four phases. Each has distinct requirements which input to the next phase. The four phases of the facility acquisition process are: 1) requirements identification, 2) programming, 3) design and 4) construction. While requirements identification is normally a responsibility of the product division, programming, design, and construction fall under MCP.

Requirements Identification. The first phase of facility acquisition is identification of requirements. According to an AFIT thesis by Captain Kevin P. Hansen (24), mission changes are one of the primary reasons new facilities are acquired (24:22). Hansen (24) and the Aeronautical System Division Directorate of Civil Engineering (ASD/DE) "Acquisition Management Orientation" course materials (6) describe how the systems contractor conducts facility studies and as a by-product of the studies develops the Facility Requirements Plan (FRP). The FRP lists the minimum essential facilities required to support the new system (6:3-4). The plan is officially designated under Data Item Description DI-S-6173 in DODL 5000.19L (15) and is to describe the facilities required for testing, training, operational and depot

locations. The FRP provides the floor space, mechanical, and electrical characteristics of each facility required and information on the potential reuse of existing facilities as determined by site surveys at the host bases.

Programming. After the determination of the facility requirements the host base begins the programming phase of the MCP Process. Initially the base prepares a DD Form 1391, "Military Construction Project Data", which briefly describes the project, requirement, current situation, impact if not provided, and a cost estimate. For a major system bed down, the MAJCOM or the Air Force Regional Civil Engineer (AFRCE) may assist or actually prepare the DD Form 1391. Once completed the DD Form 1391 is submitted to the MAJCOM for approval (13:3-1).

If the DD Form 1391 is approved by the MAJCOM, the host base prepares a project book for each facility. The project book must be coordinated with the using agency (often the PO), Communications Officer, Fire Chief, Safety Officer, Base Civil Engineer and Base Commander prior to submittal. Upon obtaining the project books, projects are submitted by the MAJCOM to HQ USAF/LEE for review by the Facility Panel (F-Panel) (13:3-3).

If the F-Panel validates the project, a Design Instruction (DI) is issued. The DI informs the MAJCOM, the base, and the responsible AFRCE to proceed with the design of the project. The DI specifies the design agent, the allowable design completion level, project scope, the budget and any changes to the project recommended by HQ USAF (19:2-103).

In September a simplified DD Form 1391 is prepared for incorporation into the Congressional Briefing Booklet. Congressional disposition of the project is based upon this document. Congressional approval of the MCP, unlike the weapons system process, is on a line item basis. If approval is received, the programming phase for the project is complete (11:54).

Design. Although it occurs prior to the completion of the programming phase and does not signify authorization for design completion, the DI issued by HQ USAF to the MAJCOM and the AFRCE marks the beginning of the design phase. After receiving the DI, the AFRCE forwards it and the project book to the design agent (12:4-2). The Army Corps of Engineers (COE), the Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC), or occasionally the AFRCE or MAJCOM can serve as the design agent (45:17). The Design Agent then proceeds with in-house design or selects an Architect-Engineer (AE) firm to accomplish the design work. Normally the AE is authorized only to complete design to the 30% level. The design is then submitted for review. Technical review is accomplished by the design agent (COE) while functional review is the responsibility of the AFRCE, MAJCOM, PO, base and the user. Once the review process is complete and comments are incorporated the design is considered 35% complete (12:4-5).

Design to the 35% level is termed Concept Design. The designer may not proceed beyond this point until HQ USAF approves the project. If it receives conditional approval or

is not approved, the project must be reprogrammed for the next budget cycle and the design work is terminated. If Congress approves and appropriates construction funds, the designer is instructed to proceed to the 95% level. Projects are not, however, held at 35% design pending budget approval. Assuming the need for a project still exists when it reaches the 35% milestone, HQ USAF authorizes the continuation of design using funds established for procuring AE services. Again the Design Agent and the AF agencies review the drawings and specifications. After incorporation of the review comments the AE submits the final project design. The AE is usually retained on contract to correct design errors, or to incorporate new requirements and user originated changes during construction (19:2-103).

Construction. The AFRCE must now wait for HQ USAF authorization to advertise for bids on the construction of the project. After receipt of authorization, the AFRCE notifies the construction agent who releases a request for bids. Normally, the design agent and the construction agent are the same organization (i.e., COE, NAVFAC, AFRCE or MAJCOM). Within a specified time period, a contract is awarded. During construction, contract changes may be required because of design errors, unforeseen site conditions, changes in requirements, development of new requirements, and problems with material or equipment availability. Since most changes affect construction time and cost, it is important to minimize change. This is particularly true when the Air Force need date is tied

closely to the initial deployment schedule (IOC) for a new weapons system (19:2-107).

As the project nears completion the Air Force (AF) conducts final acceptance inspections. The contractor corrects any deficiencies and transfers the accepted facility to the using agency. Often in more complex or urgent projects the user accepts the facility in parts (19:2-108). This is known as beneficial occupancy wherein the user occupies part of the facility while the contractor completes work on the remainder.

Integrated Logistics Support

The Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) Program is governed by AFR 800-8. ILS is the acquisition discipline that insures system requirements and design are supportable and affordable (37:17-12). The Air Force identifies a number of support elements in the ILS program which include reliability, maintainability, supply support, manpower, and facilities.

Early in the Concept Exploration phase the ILS group analyzes the life cycle costs associated with supporting the new system. Also, the various logistics constraints identified in the Systems Concept Paper are expanded and translated into requirements. ILS requirements will continue to evolve throughout the four phases of system acquisition as the logistics elements are blended into the overall acquisition process.

III. Research Methodology

The methodology describes each of the three distinct parts of the research effort: the literature review, the simulation model, and answering the research questions. The research effort began with a literature review describing the systems and facilities acquisition processes along with a discussion of previous MCP models and thesis work. The literature review was followed by a review of the SLAM simulation language and the development of an MCP simulation model. Finally, the simulation model was analyzed and altered to aid in the formulation of answers to the research questions listed in Chapter I.

Literature Review

Applicable AF publications and published literature were examined to establish the role and impact of the MCP process on the deployment of a new weapon system. Each phase of the weapon system acquisition process was examined to determine when and how requirements for new or modified facilities were developed.

In order to obtain answers to the first four research questions presented in Chapter I, a review of Army and Air Force publications was conducted to establish the elements which comprise the MCP. These elements were then reduced to a conceptual model (Appendix B) with the agency responsible for each element identified. The inputs associated with

facility requirements were then tied to the weapons system acquisition process. This conceptual model later served as the basis for the simulation of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process.

The publications reviewed established the structure of the process. The next step was to review previous MCP modeling efforts and finally to construct the simulation model and obtain the required supporting data.

Consideration of Previous MCP Models

The computer simulation model was derived primarily from the Facility Item Examination (FIX) study (incomplete) accomplished by the engineering staff at Air Force Logistics Command (AFLC) Headquarters in June 1980. The purpose of that study was to develop an exhaustive network analysis of the facility acquisition process. Each of the functional areas within the engineering division of AFLC prepared comprehensive networks of their respective responsibilities in the construction of an MCP facility. Each directorate (Programs, Engineering and Construction) identified all of the activities and events necessary for successful completion of their portion of the process (24:29-30).

These separately identified tasks were then combined to define the complete process. There were a total of 756 separate activities identified which resulted in a very complex network (24:30). The FIX network was never completed

and due to its complexity, probably would not have been very useful as a model of the MCP process anyway.

Development of a computer simulation with the detail of activities in the FIX network was not considered feasible nor particularly useful because of the difficulty in obtaining reliable information to quantify the 756 data elements. Thus, it was necessary to combine activities into meaningful groups while attempting to maintain the integrity of the process. Also, since new data could not be generated to satisfy the input needs of the model it was designed around those data elements normally collected.

A study conducted by Capt. Kevin P. Hansen in 1981 (24) used the FIX network to develop a PERT Network diagram of the Facility Acquisition process. This study was performed using considerably less detail than the FIX network and consisted of only seventy-five activity inputs and sixty identified events. However, Capt. Hansen designed the model for a specific weapons system program and therefore it may not fit the more general facility types. It was also based on assumptions which eliminated large blocks of activity.

The model developed in this study is a compromise between the highly detailed FIX study and the generalized approach of the Hansen network. The model was developed using the SLAM programming language (35) and the Cyber computer system at Aeronautical Systems Division, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio. The output data collected from the model was analyzed using a traditional statistical approach to model

verification and validation. This approach was presented by Pritsker (35), the author of the SLAM programming language, and by Banks and Carson (3), authorities on the analysis of simulation model output.

The SLAM Model of Integrated Systems and Facilities Process

The SLAM simulation language was chosen due to its relative flexibility. By using SLAM, the system can be approached from a process, event, continuous or single activity perspective. Further, the language allows almost unlimited combinations of these perspectives (35:78-79). Since the facilities acquisition process consists of an intermittent flow of both activities and events through a single network, the adaptive characteristics of the SLAM language were beneficial in generating an accurate model.

Several other simulation languages were considered for use in the model. Facilities acquisition could also have been modeled with the GASP, SIMSCRIPT II.5, or GPSS V languages. These languages, however, offer no distinct advantages over SLAM (3:104). Banks and Carson (3) offer the following reason for choosing a particular language when several are adequate: "If the simulator already knows a language, and that language has the capability to model the given system, familiarity may become the overriding criteria" (3:105). Thus, the SLAM language was selected for its ability to adequately model the acquisition process, and because of the simulators' prior knowledge.

The integrated systems and facilities acquisition model was developed through a five part process. First, a conceptual one-line model was constructed as a basis for the simulation model, followed by application of the SLAM language coding. Next, data were collected to support the various phases of the model. Verification and validation were the two final phases of model development.

Conceptual Model

Appendix B shows the conceptual model of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process used to develop the simulation model. This diagram, which details the system, formed the basis of the simulation model and was constructed in three parts. First, a conceptual model of the MCP process was developed from a design and construction management survey performed for HQ USAF (19:102-107). The conceptual model was then augmented with a detailed model of the special requirements phase unique to acquiring the facilities needed to support new weapons systems. Finally, this model which represented only the civil engineering aspects of the facility acquisition process, was overlaid with system and ILS milestones to provide a complete diagram of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process.

The SLAM Model

The SLAM model of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process is presented in Appendix D. The program has been documented with numerous comments to aid the reader

in understanding the logic of the code. Before discussing the overall organization and development of the model, however, the following summary is a general description of the various SLAM statements used in the simulation code. The capitalized words in the following three paragraphs refer to specific statements found in the simulation code. For a more complete discussion of the SLAM language see Pritsker (35).

A facility project begins as an "entity" emerging from a CREATE statement and continues in existence until it passes through a TERMINATE statement. Associated characteristics, such as type of project and beginning time, are defined by ASSIGNING various attributes. The project then AWAITS for a person from a RESOURCE group to perform some ACTIVITY. After the ACTIVITY is completed, the person performing the ACTIVITY is FREEed and goes back to the RESOURCE group and the project proceeds to the next step. For example, after a project is identified or CREATED, it AWAITS for a base level programmer to complete the ACTIVITY of preparing the project booklet. Once the project booklet is complete, the programmer is FREE to begin another task. Since any RESOURCE group may have a number of specific tasks to perform in the MCP process, the order of performance is specified by ASSIGNING a specific PRIORITY to the work.

GATES represent specific dates or milestones which must occur before a project can move forward. If a project arrives at a GATE which is CLOSED, it AWAITS until the milestone or date is achieved and the GATE is OPENed. For

instance, a project arriving at the GATE labeled NEED must WAIT for GATE,NEED to OPEN before proceeding. GATE, NEED is OPENed when the Facility Requirements Plan (FRP) is provided by the weapons system contractor.

ACTIVITY nodes can be used to assign probabilities when a project has the possibility of taking more than one path. For instance, ACTIVITY,,.80 and ACTIVITY,,.20 would be used to direct 80% of all projects in one direction and 20% in another. GOON ("go on") statements are used to force the simulation program to continue to the next activity and COLCT statements are used to format and collect data.

The model is organized in four parts; the SLAM control statements, resource and gate identifiers, model segments which control the gates, and the main program. The first block of statements coded in the model are the SLAM control statements. These statements identify the simulators, set limits on the size of the model, initialize the various parameters within the model, and assign the priorities associated with the various events modeled. The resource and gate identifiers follow the control statements. Each resource group is represented by a resource statement which includes data concerning the number of resource elements available and the number of tasks performed by the resource group. Each gate is described by a gate statement which initializes the gate in the open or closed position. The next several groups of statements, listed as model segments, are the controllers for the gates, and in some cases, for the allocation of

resources. The final section entitled "Main Program" is the actual coding assigned to the conceptual model. It includes the four basic phases of the facility acquisition process and provides for generation of all data.

Data Acquisition

Before an accurate model of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process could be developed, data had to be obtained to verify the accuracy of the conceptual diagram (Appendix B) and support the various SLAM statements used in the computer model (38:19). This data, needed to establish the linkages between the various offices and processes modeled in the MCP process, included personnel strengths and activity processing times. Obtaining the necessary data required contacts with base level, MAJCOM, AFRCE, Air Staff, product division program office, and US Army Corps of Engineers personnel. Only a few bases and MAJCOMS (Myrtle Beach/DE, Andrews/DE, Carswell/DE, MAC/DE, TAC/DE, SAC/DE, and AFSC/DE) were contacted since the facilities acquisition process is virtually the same for each base and MAJCOM. Also, since the process is virtually the same for each AFRCE, only the Eastern Region AFRCE in Atlanta was contacted. AF/LEEPD and AF/LEECD were contacted to represent the HQ USAF. The F-16 tactical fighter and the B-1 bomber program offices were chosen to represent the system program offices and the product divisions. The Baltimore and Louisville Districts were contacted for information regarding the

Army Corps of Engineers' (COE) role in the facility acquisition process.

The needed information was obtained by telephone or personal interviews with the managers closest to the actual process in order to insure the highest degree of accuracy. Questionnaires based on the various elements in the conceptual model served as the framework for the interviews to insure the data obtained was complete and the interview was conducted in an orderly manner. The interviews, however, were not tied inflexibly to the questionnaires. Because of the wide range of information needed, they tended to be evolutionary as the dialogue progressed.

After analyzing the data from the interviews, the conceptual model was updated where changes were deemed necessary. The SLAM model was then altered to reflect the revisions incorporated into the conceptual model. Finally, the revised model was verified and validated against the process as reflected in the conceptual model.

Model Verification

The purpose of model verification is to insure that the simulation model actually represents the conceptual model of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process. In a more general sense, Banks and Carson stipulate that "Verification asks the question: Is the conceptual model with its abstractions and simplifications accurately represented by the computer model" (3:377).

Actual verification of the model followed several suggestions also provided by Banks and Carson (3:379). First, the computer code was double checked for accuracy by several SLAM programmers and debugged of syntax errors. Next, the simulation code was rechecked against the conceptual model for logic error. After determining the logical correctness of the code, the model was run several times and the resulting statistics were checked for reasonableness. These tests, once performed, indicated that the model did, in fact, perform according to the conceptual diagram of the acquisition process. Verification of the model did not, however, indicate to what degree the simulation model or the one-line conceptual model actually replicated the process.

Model Validation

Verification insures that the simulation model is free of syntax and logic errors and follows the conceptual model. Validation determines how faithfully the verified model represents the real world process being simulated.

The SLAM model of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process was validated by checking statistical outputs for time and quantities flowing through the model against known values. The statistical times checked included the mean times of projects moving through the four phases of acquisition and the overall mean time for projects in the system. The values obtained from the model were compared with those tabulated in AFR 89-1 (12) and the Engineering

Management Study of the Air Force Design and Construction Management Establishment (19). The input parameters were validated with HQ USAF/LEECD for overall accuracy and with AFSC/DE for accuracy in the requirements phase. The number of projects flowing through the model were validated by comparing the model's output with historical data. Where differences occurred between the model and the real system, the model was calibrated to minimize the deviation.

Answering the Research Questions

The research questions listed on page three of Chapter I were answered through development, analysis, and manipulation of the simulation model. Development of the model provided direct insight into the events and activities in the weapon systems acquisition process which trigger and moderate the facilities acquisition process. The key players and their roles and responsibilities in the facility acquisition process were also identified during model construction. Analysis of the literature reviewed and the problems associated with projects flowing through the model provided information on the interrelationships and conflicts between systems and facilities acquisition processes. Analysis also disclosed which activities and events within the integrated systems and facility acquisition process have the greatest impact on the overall MCP schedule. Finally, the model was manipulated and analyzed to identify changes to the MCP and weapons system processes which would favorably impact acquisition schedules.

IV. Findings and Analysis

The results of the research conducted on facility acquisition as it relates to the development and deployment of new weapon systems are discussed in this chapter. The findings and analysis are presented in four parts along the lines of the methodology described in Chapter III; the literature review, the SLAM model of the integrated facilities and systems acquisition process, and answering the research questions. The overall objective of the research was to find ways to improve the integration of the facility and systems acquisition processes through the use of a simulation model. In this regard, each part of the findings and analysis focuses primarily upon development and analysis of the simulation model.

The Literature Review

The primary purpose of the literature review was to gain an overall understanding of the systems and facilities acquisition processes which would serve as a basis for the one-line diagram. The literature review did not seek to provide a detailed flow of the integrated facilities and systems acquisition processes, but only to provide a skeletal framework which could be filled as data were obtained and the research evolved.

Analysis of the literature review revealed that the systems acquisition process occurs in four fairly distinct

phases: Concept Exploration; Demonstration and Validation; Full-Scale Development; and Production and Deployment. Transition from one phase to the next occurs as a result of a favorable review at the designated approval level (Air Force Acquisition Review Council (AFSARC), Defense Systems Acquisition Review Council (DSARC), or other). Each systems acquisition is unique and the time required to complete the successive phases varies accordingly. Thus, the systems acquisition process tends to be more event than time oriented.

The facilities acquisition process occurs through four phases: Identification of Requirements; Programming; Design; and Construction as shown on the summary diagram (Appendix A). After needed facilities are identified, the facilities acquisition process must flow through the Military Construction Program (MCP) which is managed and administered independent of the PO. Each project submitted through the MCP channel must achieve several milestone dates which are predicated on the annual PPBS calendar (11). The MCP is thus, time rather than event driven (as was the systems acquisition process).

The Integrated Facilities and Systems Acquisition Model

The integrated facilities and systems acquisition computer simulation model was constructed by first developing a top level flow diagram (Appendix A) from the literature review and then expanding this simplified network into the full one-line diagram or conceptual model (Appendix B).

The conceptual model was then translated into a computer model using the SLAM simulation language and checked against known times and values to insure that it faithfully represented the actual facilities acquisition process.

This section describes in detail the conceptual model of the integrated facilities and systems acquisition process, and the findings and analysis of the SLAM simulation model and its output. The analysis of the conceptual model includes a discussion of the underlying assumptions and a walk-through description of each activity block in the four phases of facility acquisition. Since the SLAM simulation model is a literal translation of the conceptual model, a step by step description of each activity coded into the simulation language is not provided. Instead, the findings and analysis of the SLAM model are presented in terms of the model verification and validation tests which assured faithfulness to the facility acquisition process. The discussion of the SLAM model then concludes with an analysis of the model's output.

In accordance with the methodology provided in Chapter III, the conceptual model of the integrated facilities and systems acquisition process was constructed as a visual representation of the MCP process as the researchers understood it. This section analyzes the conceptual model (Appendix B) by discussing the process flow of facility projects through the network. It also discusses the assumptions and limitations included in the construction of the conceptual model. These assumptions and limitations were necessary to

compensate for insufficient or nonexistent data, the relatively short time available to develop the model, and to stabilize the evolutionary nature of the MCP process. The impact each assumption would have upon the acquisition processes will also be discussed.

General Assumptions and Limitations. This study assumed adherence of the overall facility acquisition process to the network of the conceptual model followed by the concept of a generic weapon system with multiple bed downs. The next two assumptions and limitations addressed concern the tie to the DOD Planning, Programming, and Budgeting System (PPBS) and the environmental impact statement. The final assumption concerns the type of facility projects encountered in the MCP process and the treatment of these projects in the model. An examination of these issues at this point should prove helpful in following the flow and logic of the facilities acquisition process depicted in the conceptual model and in the coding of the simulation model.

The first general assumption concerns adherence to the actual acquisition process. In reality, facilities have not been a stumbling block to system bed down because of the copious employment of work-arounds, temporary measures, and crisis management. Each of these deviations from the basic MCP process could be modeled in SLAM language, but so doing would be contrary to the intent of this research, which seeks to identify permanent rather than expedient solutions.

Therefore, this study assumes that facility projects follow the basic MCP process implicitly.

The first general assumption also specifies that the facilities and systems acquisition processes operate over a period of several years without change. Like the rigid adherence mentioned above, an unchanging acquisition scenario does not exist in reality, indeed several significant changes in the MCP process have been incorporated since this study began (e.g. the Air Staff has significantly changed the procedure for beginning facility design by providing a 2% design instruction in addition to the 35% design instruction referenced in the conceptual model) (33).

The change-free approach to an integrated facilities and systems acquisition process was taken to provide a stable basis for the simulation model. This limited view, however, cannot account for the evolutionary nature of the overall facilities and systems acquisition processes. Thus, the relative applicability of the model depends upon the degree of deviation between the current acquisition process in effect and the conceptual model. Also, this limitation is in keeping with the research objective to analyze the overall processes in effect at the time the research was conducted.

The second general assumption involves the concept of a generic weapon system with multiple bed downs. Under this assumption, intended to simplify the SLAM model, consideration is limited to one generic system deployed with bed downs occurring every year. In reality, however, numerous weapon

systems, each with accompanying facility projects, are in various phases of acquisition at any given time (31). Even though the conceptual diagram and subsequent SLAM model do not directly reference the total number of on-going acquisitions, the effect of MCP projects not associated with the modeled weapon system is accounted for in the total number of projects processed. However, statistics were only collected on weapon system projects.

Under the generic weapon system assumption, the facilities acquisition process, and hence the conceptual model, begin with the generic system entering the Production and Deployment Phase and ends with the facilities ready for user occupancy. Facility completion or user occupancy may occur before or after the actual facility need dates generated by the system timelines. The generic system concept also assumes that all facility projects flow through one MAJCOM, one AFRCE, and that the US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) is the design and construction agent for the Air Force.

The next assumption and limitation considers linkages to the PPBS process. Although the PPBS process is the funding avenue for all MCP projects, it is not directly referenced in the conceptual model. Rather, the model is constructed around the assumption that as the facility projects achieve Air Staff milestones they will be automatically included in the POM. This assumption, which simplifies the SLAM coding, is reasonable because Air Staff MCP milestones are established to assure proper integration with PPBS (19). Also, since the

PPBS is relatively fixed and as such is not unique to facility acquisition for new weapon systems, detailed modeling of that process was beyond the scope of this study.

Another consideration, under the PPBS assumption, concerns the process variations that occur as project and design funding requirements cross approval thresholds. Since larger facility projects necessitate increased funding there will be an associated increase in the time required to obtain project approval (31). The conceptual model assumes that these increases in approval time can be effectively included through appropriate time expansion in the affected blocks without the need for detailed examination of each approval channel. The model also assumes funding is available for facility design and thus, does not address non-availability of design funds.

The fourth general assumption concerns the exclusion of environmental impact statements from the conceptual model. While a system's environmental impact can be a stumbling block to facility construction and system bed down, it was not included in this study due to the complexity of the process and insufficient time available for research and data acquisition. Instead, for modeling purposes the facilities encountered were considered to have negligible environmental impact. Under actual conditions, this assumption was adjudged to be essentially valid for new weapon systems which replace existing in place systems (e.g. F-15s replacing F-4s). Appropriate compensation, however, would be required for systems having significant environmental impacts such as

the Peacekeeper missile, and for certain facilities such as the hydrazine handling and storage facility for the F-16 aircraft (46).

The types of facility projects encountered in the MCP process form the basis of the final general assumption and limitation. The MCP process addresses two major categories of facility projects: modernization and non-modernization. Modernization projects include barracks, dining halls, administration facilities, etc. while non-modernization projects are more in line with operational needs and include facilities such as: communications centers, aircraft shelters, and weapon system bed down facilities. Weapon system bed down facilities can be further divided into test, training, depot, and operational facilities (42). While the model includes the total effect of all facilities, only technical facilities for weapon system bed down -- a subset of the non-modernization operational facilities -- are tracked.

Conceptual Model

Analysis of the conceptual model of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process follows the four phases of facility acquisition identified and discussed in Chapter II: identification of requirements, programming, facility design, and construction. Furthermore, the analysis is presented primarily in terms of the MCP process. Specific elements, or blocks, shown on the conceptual model are referenced by bracketed ([]) numbers. Appendix A provides the

general flow of the facilities acquisition process and is supplemental to Appendix B in which the entire process is flow charted and presented as a conceptual model. The following discussion analyzes the flow of the facilities acquisition process as it is depicted in the conceptual model. The references shown are to the various blocks of the diagram in Appendix B.

The Requirements Phase [blocks 001 to 107]. The requirements phase of facilities acquisition begins during the Full Scale Development of system acquisition [001]. At the beginning of this phase the system contractor is tasked to provide the Facilities Requirements Plan (FRP) and Facilities Design Criteria (FDC) as required by Data Item Descriptions DI-S-6173 and DI-S-6174 listed in DODD 5000.2 (17). After about 140 days the system contractor produces the first volume of the FRP [102]. The FDC becomes more important during the design phase. The FRP is then reviewed for reasonableness, technical, and functional accuracy by the PO and MAJCOM. The next decision point [103] provides a 15% chance that the PO and MAJCOM require substantial revision to the FRP. The system contractor normally completes this action in about 180 days [104]. In reality, revisions to the FRP and FDC occur throughout the weapon system bed down and can have significant impact, particularly during the design and construction phases (47). For simulation purposes, FRPs were assumed to be produced annually and revised semiannually to support annual weapon systems bed downs.

After required revisions to the FRP are completed, the next step is to determine whether a basing concept and site plan have been formalized [105]. Based upon a typical bed down without major funding or political considerations, an assumption was made that facility siting on the operational base is known 95% of the time (42). Site assignments for the remaining 5% were assumed to be provided within a fifty to ninety day period [106].

The final step of the facilities identification phase is the site survey. After the FRP is finalized, a survey team normally consisting of representatives from the Program Office, MAJCOM and the deployment base visit the proposed bed down location. The team then surveys the existing facilities and determines which ones can be reused and which new facilities must be constructed to support the bed down (46). The model assumes that site surveys will occur annually and that each survey will generate requirements for twenty technical facilities for weapon system bed down [107].

The Programming Phase [blocks 208-231]. After the FRP has been translated by the site surveys into specific requirements for construction at a bed down location, the programming phase begins. The first step in programming occurs as base personnel prepare a DD Form 1391, "Military Construction Project Data", and Project Booklet (PB) for each project identified by the site survey. These 1391s and PBs are the basic programming documents and take thirty to forty-five days for preparation [208] at the base. Base personnel

prepare 1391s for all planned MCP projects (41). However, the model does not include any base level work other than the twenty projects identified for the system bed down.

Base personnel forward the completed 1391s (the PB is more applicable to the design phase) to the MAJCOM for review and coordination [209]. In this step, which normally requires seven to 30 days (29), the MAJCOM coordinates the Form 1391 through affected headquarters offices to check for accuracy, and for technical and functional adequacy. These offices include fire, safety, security, and the office of primary responsibility (OPR), etc. (7). A five day period is then assigned to allow base personnel to answer any questions generated by the MAJCOM review and to update the 1391 [210] (8). After the base update is completed, the MAJCOM prepares the final 1391, along with the appropriate cover letters, for transmission to the Air Staff, Engineering and Services Office [211]. This step, which requires from nine to twenty-five days, includes administrative and printing times (29).

At this point in the conceptual model, additional facility projects are entered to simulate the total development effort of all MAJCOMs for the annual MCP program. This includes 210 non-modernization projects [212] and 250 modernization projects [213] (33). These 460 projects, plus the 20 technical projects for weapon system bed down and those projects feeding back into the MAJCOM through feedback node "D" between blocks [208] and [209], constitute the usual 480 to 500 MCP projects submitted annually to the Air Staff (33).

These additional projects are entered to insure that the percentage splits in the programming phase operate efficiently when coded in the SLAM language. They also serve to realistically employ the various resources in the model.

The priority assignment decision block [214] represents each MAJCOM submitting MCP projects to the Air Staff. Twenty percent of the projects are assigned a priority of one which represents urgent, essential and special interest projects. The remaining 80% are uniformly assigned priorities between two and four (31). Where two projects require the same action, the SLAM language is programmed to operate on the one with the highest priority (one is highest) first (35:152).

After the projects are prioritized and prepared for transmission to the Air Staff by the MAJCOMs, they are sorted by the Air Staff call block [215] according to type. This can be either modernization or non-modernization (technical facilities for weapon system bed down are non-modernization facilities). The MAJCOMs must submit modernization projects to the Air Staff by July first [217] and non-modernization projects by November first [216].

Upon receipt of the MCP packages from the MAJCOMs, the Air Staff begins preparation for the Facility Panel (F Panel) review [218], which takes from one to three days (33). The F-Panel, which is composed of representatives from the various directorates within the Air Staff, decide the disposition of each MCP project during this review [219].

Normally 65% of the projects survive the F-Panel review [220]. The projects are sorted [221, 223] and the technical facilities for weapon system bed down rejected by the F-Panel are routed back to the MAJCOM [225] through feedback node "D". These projects are resubmitted in the next year's MCP program (33). The remaining projects are terminated [222, 224]. Actually some of the remaining projects rejected by the F- Panel would also be returned to the responsible MAJCOM for resubmission. In the conceptual model this is not necessary because the 210 non-modernization projects and 250 modernization projects entered annually by blocks [212] and [213] includes those projects which were returned the previous year.

Following the F-Panel review, the Air Staff determines which of the remaining projects require action under Title 10 of the U.S. Code [226]. According to Title 10 of the U.S. Code, Congress must be notified of all projects whose anticipated design fee is over \$300,000 prior to advertisement for design services. Approximately 27% of all Air Force MCP projects fall into this category. It takes the Air staff twenty-one to forty-five days to coordinate transmittal of these projects to Congress [227]. Of the projects sent to Congress 5% generate Congressional questions [228]. Answering these questions normally takes from five to twenty-six days [229], and in many instances requires the Air Staff to obtain additional information from the respective MAJCOMs before a satisfactory answer can be provided (33). Congress

holds all projects requiring Title 10 action for twenty-one days in addition to the time required for answering any questions [230]. This twenty-one day waiting period is also applicable to those which generated no questions. If the waiting period passes without further questions or comments, the projects requiring Title 10 action rejoin the others. At this point the Air Staff issues design instructions (DIs) to the Air Force Regional Civil Engineers (AFRCEs) to proceed to 35% design [231].

As stated in the Literature Review in Chapter II, design normally commences before programming is complete. Even though the 35% design drawings are also considered significant programming documents and several programming steps remain to be discussed, the major emphasis shifts at this point from programming to design.

Design Phase [blocks 332-363]. The design phase begins when the AFRCE receives the DIs from the Air Staff. Before moving into the design process, however, all projects pass through block [332], an administrative block which splits the projects among the AFRCEs. The conceptual model assumes all of the projects identified in the site surveys [107] go to a single AFRCE along with 20% of the other MCP projects. The remaining 80% of the MCP projects are no longer necessary since only one AFRCE is modeled. They are therefore terminated in block [333].

Nearly 40% of the projects included in the DIs received by the AFRCE require some base level rework before the AFRCE

can forward the DI to the design agent [334]. Four to seven days are allowed for the base to revise 1391s and PBs [335].

Then the AFRCE issues a DI to the design agent within three to five days [336]. The AFRCE issues a DI for a minimum of ten projects at a time (39). The Air Force design agent is assumed to be the US Army Corps of Engineers (COE).

After the COE receives a DI from the AFRCE, work proceeds on a parallel track. The top half of the track is selection of the Architect Engineer (AE) firm to complete the facility design which begins with block [337]. The bottom half of the track involves preparation of the preliminary design schedule [340] which takes between twenty and forty-five days (40).

Selection of the AE involves several actions on the part of the COE. First, the Forms 1391 and PBs are analyzed and a synopsis which includes the project location, scope, etc. is prepared to advertise the work in the "Commerce Business Daily" (CBD). This action listed in block [337] takes from ten to nineteen days. Starting on the day the solicitation appears in the CBD, the COE waits thirty days, closes the invitation and evaluates the AE firms which have responded [338]. During the evaluation process, the COE narrows the list of interested AEs to the ones best qualified to complete the work based on special experience, DOD experience, capability to perform the work, and location of the firm. This evaluation process can take an additional twenty-five days. Thus, the total time listed in block [338] is from thirty to fifty-

five days. The next action [339], which is about twelve days in duration, consists of a pre-selection board followed by a selection board which identifies three firms ranked in order of preference. Selection is made at the COE district level and does not necessarily constitute approval authority (40).

The parallel tracking mentioned above terminates in an administrative block [341] which holds the projects until both the AE selection is made and the design schedule is prepared. When the conditions specified in block [341] are satisfied, the COE makes a final AE selection and issues a notice to proceed (NTP) [342]. The sixty to 120 days prior to the NTP are based on the time required to review the design criteria with the selected AE; time for fee negotiations; time for an audit of AE firms where the negotiated fee is greater than \$200,000; and time to obtain approval when it is beyond the district engineer's authority. The district has approval authority when the AE fee is less than \$200,000. AE selection must be approved at the COE division level for fees between \$200,000 and \$500,000 (25).

The AE normally completes the 30% design and returns it to the COE within sixty to 120 days after receiving the NTP from the COE [343]. An original estimate for completing the 30% design, which is the first visual representation of the PB and FDC, was thirty to forty-five days (40). This time was re-evaluated and raised to sixty to 120 days based upon COE experience with PBs and FDC for technical facilities for unfielded weapon systems which contained numerous errors and

omissions. After the COE receives the 30% design three days were allotted for distribution through review channels [344].

In addition to its own review, the COE transmits the 30% designs to the AFRCE, MAJCOM, and host base for functional reviews. During these reviews, which take about forty-five days [345], the drawings are checked against the PBs and FDC to insure the project concepts, drawings, outline specifications, and initial cost estimates are both complete and correct. After the reviews are complete, four to twelve days are required for the AFRCE to compile and transmit the comments to the COE [346]. The COE and AFRCE then conduct a design review meeting over a one to two day period to insure that each of the comments are understandable and valid [47]. After the review meeting the COE reformats the comments (this is necessary for organization and to prevent duplication of comments) and forwards them within five to fifteen days to the AE for inclusion in the 30% design. The AE normally takes twenty to thirty days to include the comments and turn a new set of drawings over to the COE [349]. This set of drawings is referred to as the 35% drawings (40).

After the AFRCE receives the 35% cost estimate from the COE, an AF Form 1178/1178a, Project Cost Estimate Work Sheet (PCE), is prepared and forwarded to HQ USAF MCP Program Management Branch (LEECC). Its purpose is to provide HQ USAF with a clear description of the project and an accurate cost analysis (12:A-1). The PCE, which takes in-house AFRCE personnel three to seven days to prepare and transmit [350], is

basically a programming document. At this point the design process essentially reverts back to a programming effort.

Block [351] of the conceptual model is the 35% milestone. All 35% designs (signified by a completed PCE) must be at HQ USAF between August and November for inclusion in the PPBS via the Budget Estimation Submission (BES). Congress and OSD consider this milestone important because it indicates the cost estimate has a valid basis and the project will most likely be ready for construction when the appropriations are released (33). After LEECC reviews the PCE for adequacy, the project is forwarded to OSD [352] on 15 September in the BES. Sixty days are allowed in block [353] for OSD to review and validate the project for submission in the President's budget and transmit the approved project listing back to LEECC.

After LEECC reviews the listing of projects OSD has approved, 100% DIs are issued. The outcome of the OSD review is reflected in decision block [353] where LEECC issues a 100% DI for 95% of all projects which were previously transmitted to OSD (31). For purposes of simulation the remaining 5% of the projects are terminated in block [354]. In reality, however, these projects are normally sent back to the MAJCOMs for further action. Since DIs are issued electronically, a SLAM gate was used to simulate issuing 100% DIs to the AFRCE in groups of ten to fifteen [355].

Upon release of the DIs the conceptual model breaks into two parallel paths. One path continues with programming

through the PPBS and Congressional budget enactment while the other moves again to the design phase. The activities on both paths must be complete before the start of construction.

Following along the programming path, block [356] is the first encountered. This block is an amalgamation of several relatively fixed activities which include preparation of the Program Budget Decisions and preparation of the President's Budget for presentation fifteen days after Congress convenes in January. It also includes the variable time associated with Congressional review and approval of the Military Construction Bill (Milcon) typically in late spring or early summer. Decision block [357] represents Congressional approval of 95% of the projects in the Milcon bill for funding while the remaining 5% are terminated. After Congress passes the Milcon bill, block [358] allows ninety days to complete the budget enactment process and for funds to reach the construction contracting office. Block [358] also ends the programming phase of the facilities acquisition process (33).

Having completed the programming phase, the projects move again to the design phase in block [359]. After the 100% DI is transmitted from LEECC [355] reach the COE, the AE is allowed to proceed to 95% design. This process, which takes between 100 and 200 days, may be cancelled if the project is terminated by Congressional action [360].

Upon receipt of the 95% design, the COE conducts a review over a fifteen to thirty day period and forwards comments to the AE [361]. The AE then normally requires

fifteen to thirty days to incorporate the comments and return the completed design to the COE [362]. Once the COE has the completed design, it performs a fifteen to twenty-five day backcheck and notifies the AFRCE that the project design work is complete [363], thus ending the design phase (40).

Construction Phase [464-471]. Once the AFRCE receives notification that the designs are complete, it must wait for HQ USAF authorization, funding and the beginning of the new fiscal year (FY) before advertising the construction project [464-465]. After the AFRCE receives authorization to proceed, funds are transferred to the COE for contracting purposes. The COE normally requires forty-five to sixty days to prepare and advertise the contract in the CBD [466]. Each prospective contractor submits a sealed bid. After these bids are opened, another five to fifteen days are needed to certify the contractor and his bid and to award the contract [467]. The COE contracting officer then meets with the new contractor to coordinate the rules of the contract and to insure that any questions the contractor has are answered. After a seven to twenty-one day period the contractor is issued a notice to proceed (NTP) and construction begins [468] (40).

Construction projects for technical facilities for weapon system bed downs require one to two years (365 to 730 days listed in block [469]) for completion depending on the size and complexity (21). On occasion, construction projects will require several additional years. However, projects of

this magnitude were considered rare and not included in the model. In addition to time specified in the construction contract, changes and modifications to the work may add up to 120 days [470]. After construction is complete, the user occupies the facility signifying the end of the construction phase [471].

Initial Operating Capability. The final block on the conceptual model represents Initial Operational Capability (IOC) [572]. For the conceptual model five years plus up to 365 days are allowed from the beginning of FSD in block [001] to IOC (46), which terminates the integrated system and facilities acquisition process, based on AFSC/DEP experience (2).

Forecasting IOCs involves a high probability of risk for several reasons. First, the system acquisition process operates in an environment of emerging technologies, uncertainties, and changing needs in the Air Force mission (30:32). In addition, any phase may require repetition or additional time before the next phase begins, and the system is vulnerable to cancellation at any of several reviews. "Consequently the system acquisition process or cycle must be flexible to the fact that every system development is unique (30:32)". While this flexibility is needed to minimize the risk of fielding a system with operational deficiencies, there is a corresponding risk that changes in the IOC will occur. Changes in the political climate and estimated threat may also have a significant impact on IOC.

Findings and Analysis of the Simulation Model

Simulation languages are used to build models which simulate real processes or systems occurring in industrial, social, governmental, and other organizations. The processes range from car washes to world population growth (35:12-13). Once a model is proven to be a faithful representation of a real process, it can be manipulated to simulate and forecast the result of changes to the process where actual changes for test purposes may not be practical, desirable, or possible.

The Air Force uses simulation models in both research and development and operational scenarios. However, there are considerable differences between the simulation tools. For example, many research and development simulations are coded directly in FORTRAN language, while operational processes are typically coded in a FORTRAN based simulation language. This research focused on an operational application of the FORTRAN based SLAM simulation language. The Air Force has developed a number of simulation models to analyze activities such as airfield operations and supply inventory control. The literature review indicated, though, that this research may be the first attempt to apply simulation techniques to the facilities acquisition process.

When the conceptual model of the integrated facilities and systems acquisition process was first coded into SLAM language it contained 539 lines of code. This initial coding was expanded to the 862 lines of code listed in Appendix C through the iterative process of verifying, validating,

checking output and making adjustments. This section discusses the findings and analysis of the SLAM model in terms of verification, validation, model output. A detailed analysis of each adjustment is not provided. However, a general description of the types of adjustments made and the problems encountered in developing the SLAM code is included.

Verification. A complete listing of the computer code used to verify and validate the systems and facilities acquisition model is provided in Appendix C. As mentioned above, the program is quite long and a line by line description would be both tedious and repetitious of the material presented in the discussion of the conceptual model. The program is, however, documented so that the novice programmer with some knowledge of the SLAM simulation language can track the logic of each step in the model.

Once the computer code for the simulation model was developed, it was necessary to complete a two step verification process. The first step was to insure that the conceptual model or one-line diagram (Appendix B) was correctly implemented in the computer code. Second, the input parameters and logic structure of the computer code were verified with representatives of the various organizations included in the model (3:267).

Accomplishing the first step in the verification process required that the computer code be compared line by line with the flow of activity depicted on the conceptual model. Each decision point was carefully examined to insure the correct

choices were available and the percentage of projects assigned each choice was correct. It was also necessary to calculate the number of projects each activity would encounter on a yearly basis to insure that proper workloads were assigned. For example, an oversight in an early version of the model caused nine AFRCE project managers to attempt to process over 500 projects per year to the COE. The addition of a simple sort routine reduced the number of projects to a more reasonable workload of sixty to ninety per year (39).

During this first step of the verification process nearly 200 lines of code were added to the model. While some were merely comments and additional information to help future programmers understand the program's logic, many were corrections to activity flow, logic and resource assignments.

Once confident that the model accurately represented the activity flow of the conceptual model, the next step was to review logic and input information. Each activity which had a time period or a conditional sorting routine involved was carefully examined. Times for activities were again verified with individuals working in the organizations associated with the activity. For example, the times associated with HQ USAF programming activities were verified with HQ USAF/LEECC (33); base level programming activities with base level programmers (27); and AE selection activities with the Army Corps of Engineers in Baltimore (32). Following this review the appropriate adjustments were made in the computer code and the program again grew by nearly 200 lines.

At this point verification of the model was complete and it was ready to validate against the real system. It must be kept in mind, however, that verification and validation are iterative processes and the model made several passes through each before reaching an acceptable level of credibility.

Validation. While model verification dealt primarily with the computer code and the conceptual model, validation is achieved by comparing model output to the performance of the real system. For the purpose of this study the performance of the real system was equated to the MCP cycle discussed in Chapter I and depicted in Figure 1. Selection of the five year time period seemed justified on the basis that it is accepted and briefed at all levels of DOD. While the MCP cycle shown in Figure 1 is somewhat idealistic (2), the model could be made to perform in a similarly idealistic manner.

Thus, the model as it appears in Appendix C includes statements which prevent the projects generated for the support of the weapons system from being delayed by siting decisions, poor requirements documents, or design/construction changes. It should be noted that this version of the model is solely for the purpose of verifying and validating the computer code and therefore represents an ideal MCP process much like that of Figure 1.

The first step in output validation was to determine the time period required by the model to warm-up or to become stable. Thus, the model was run for a period of eleven years and the values of average project completion time were

plotted (Figure 2). Based upon the plot of Figure 2 the model seemed to stabilize around the sixth year. Examination of file and activity statistics for the first five years of the simulation revealed that almost no activity was occurring in the later parts of design or construction. In fact, the first project completed took 1,000 days and occurred in the third year. Twenty-one projects were completed in the first




Figure 2. Project Completion Time vs. Year of Simulation

six years, eighteen of these in year six, with an average completion time of 1,680 days. Thus, the warm-up period was established at the six year point and all model output prior to year seven discarded.

Next it was necessary to establish the number of years the simulation should be run to obtain an output within a 95% confidence interval. Based upon the relative smoothness of the curve in Figure 2, a run period of sixteen years was selected with statistics collected in the last ten years. The complete output of this simulation run is contained in Appendix C following the program listing. For the purpose of

Table I

Average Project Time to Completion in Specified FY

Year	Time Complete (Days)
7	1830
8	1780
9	1840
10	1920
11	1920
12	1890
13	1820
14	1850
15	1800
16	1890
Mean = $\bar{X}$ = 1,854 Days	
Std. Dev. = S = 49 Days	

checking the output confidence interval, the time complete statistic from the SLAM summary report is the statistic of interest. Table I provides a summary of the time complete statistic, its mean and standard deviation.

Based upon the values in Table I and the Students t value for a 95% confidence interval (i.e. 2 1/2% high or low) the error is calculated by: $E = t_{crit} * S / (n)^{1/2}$. The number of repetitions required to achieve a 95% confidence interval is: $n = (t_{crit})^2 * S^2 / (E^2)$. Performing these calculations yields a value of ten for the number of repetitions required to insure a 95% confidence interval about the mean of the model's output. Therefore, the assumption that a sixteen year simulation run with statistics collected for the last ten years was valid (18:259).

The final test of model validity was a comparison of the average model output ($\bar{X}$ from Table I) to the five year MCP cycle (Figure 1). The hypothesis for this test is that the average output $\bar{X}$ is equal to the actual mean ($\bar{X}_A$) or 1,825 days, the five year MCP cycle. Again, a 95% confidence level is desired so there will be a 5% rejection region. Assuming that the individual project completions are normally and independently distributed the Students "t" test can again be used. The value of t to be used for comparison is calculated as $t_c = (\bar{X} - 1,825) / (S / n^{1/2})$ which yields $t_c = 1.87$. The critical value of t_{crit} for a two tailed test is 2.26 (18:259). Since t_c is less than t_{crit} , the hypothesis that $\bar{X} = \bar{X}_A$ or 1,825 days cannot be rejected (18:259).

Thus, it can be concluded with a 95% level of confidence that the hypothesis will not be rejected. However, this is considered a weak test unless the hypothesis is rejected. The power of the test must also be checked. The power of a test is the probability of rejecting the hypothesis when in fact it is false. Based upon the 5% rejection region from the Students "t" test and the ratio of the difference between $\bar{X}$ and the five year MCP to the standard deviation S, a value for the power of the test of .15 can be determined from power curves (18:264). Since the power of the test is small it can now be concluded that accepting the hypothesis that $\bar{X} = 1,825$ and therefore that the model is valid is a strong conclusion. Based upon these statistics the probability of accepting the model when it is false is 15% and the probability of rejecting it as invalid when it is in fact valid is 5%.

Further checks for model validity were made on the values for the mean time issuing the Design Instruction and the mean time to 30% design. The results of both tests were similar to those discussed above. It was therefore concluded that the model could be accepted as an accurate representation of the MCP process. These statistics and the accompanying histograms (Appendix C) were not included in subsequent simulations since they served no useful purpose beyond verification and validation of the model. For example, monitoring "others complete", "DI other AFRCEs", "DI other Programs" and the "priority of other projects" was done only to insure that these parts of the model were working as planned.

The file and activity statistics included in Appendix C were obtained on other simulation runs to insure that changes to the model did not adversely affect the flow of activity, but are not included in this report since they also are of little value beyond verification and validation.

A brief discussion on the meaning of resource statistics will serve to conclude this section of the report. The SLAM summary report for each year monitored (Appendix C) provides statistics on resource utilization. For example, in the seventh year of the simulation the average utilization for LEECD is shown as 1.34 of the five available resources or 27%, while the AFRCE shows a utilization of 5.43 of nine or 60%. The low utilization of manpower in LEECD does not imply that the organization is overmanned. The average utilization figures only account for time spent directly involved with the MCP. Since the AFRCE and COE are not likely to be involved in construction or civil engineering work other than MCP, their utilization is much higher than the other organizations.

Model Output. The computer code and the SLAM output for three of the simulation runs accomplished in this study are included in the appendices. Appendix C contains the computer code and output for the verification/validation model (idealized model) discussed above. Appendix D contains the simulation program and output for the model of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process. Appendix F contains the program and output for the process modified to allow the systems contractor to accomplish facility design.

The SLAM Summary Report, which immediately follows the simulation program in each of the three appendices, displays the statistical results of each simulation run. The report begins with a general section which identifies the project, the run number, the simulated time for the statistics and the time the statistics were last cleared. This general section is followed by the statistical results of the simulation categorized by type. A definition of the statistics included in the SLAM Summary Report and a brief explanation of each value is included as Appendix G for the reader interested in reviewing the output of the simulation models.

Integrated Systems and Facilities Acquisition Model.

Once the model was verified and validated, it was modified to permit delays in the processing of projects. The first potential for delay encountered by a project passing through the system was a 15% chance that its facility requirements plan was not sufficiently complete to permit programming and subsequent design of the project (46). Projects experiencing this delay could also be those which experience delay during design or setbacks in the programming cycle. The second delay encountered was a 5% chance that a construction site had not been identified. A project experiencing both of these delays could be set back as much as nine months before even starting through the programming cycle. The third delay was a 35% chance of non-acceptance by the F-Panel, in which case the project was sent back to the MAJCOM for rework or reprogramming. The final delay was that of potential

construction changes. Each project was given a 60% chance of experiencing a contract change during construction, which would cause a delay in project completion. Delays ranged from ten to 120 days per change with some projects receiving as many as three changes (39). The revised model and its output are included in Appendix D.

As mentioned earlier, the model used in the verification and validation processes was actually a constrained version of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition model and was intended to represent the ideal acquisition. Reintroduction of the problems often experienced in facility acquisition changes not only the model's output, but the basis of its validity.

The output of the integrated system and facility acquisition model cannot be validated against briefing charts or ideal acquisition cycles. It can be expected to generate some completion times within statistical range of the accepted ideal, but should not be considered invalid because its average output is well beyond the ideal range. The statistical tests performed on the verification/validation version of the model have little meaning when performed on the integrated systems and facilities acquisition model unless reliable values for the completion time at each phase of the acquisition process are obtained. The fact that the type of information needed for comparison normally is not recorded prevented a quantitative analysis of validity.

Banks and Carson (3) discuss modeling situations in which the data needed for statistically testing model validity is unavailable or unreliable. They suggest that the use of expert opinion as to the validity of the model's output is equally acceptable in these situations. Therefore, the range of values and expected average values for each phase of the modeled process was reviewed with representatives of Air Force Systems Command (2), HQ USAF/LEEPD (33), the Army Corps of Engineers (32) and facility engineers from ASD/DE (43). In all cases the reviewers felt the output was a reasonable representation of the acquisition process.

The fact that the integrated systems and facility acquisition model varies only in its potential for delay from the idealized model makes this subjective test of validity less disturbing. Since the validity of the idealized model was tested and proven, then the integrated systems and facilities model is also valid if changes were correctly implemented (3:387-389). The question of correctness of logic is one of verification and each change was verified through the process previously discussed. Thus, on this base the output of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition model was accepted as valid.

The model was run for a simulation period of sixteen years with a six year stabilization period. As with the verification/validation model, this time period was established as adequate to establish the systems performance within a 95% confidence interval. The mean time for project

completion was calculated as 1,981 days with a standard deviation of forty-eight days. The range of completion times was from 1,600 to 2,600 days. The mean time for projects to reach 30% design was 579 days and the mean time for issue of the design instruction (DI) was 395.

The summary report for the model also plotted a histogram for the project delivery status. The completion time of each weapon system project was compared to an assigned IOC related need date. The need dates were assigned in a range between five and one half to six years from project initiation. The histogram reflects an average of 25% of the projects would not be complete in time for IOC. In some years as many as 40% of the projects were late.

Comparing the percentage of late projects in this model to the output of the idealized model shows an increase of 15% in the number of late projects. Based upon the fact that facilities have never caused a delay in IOC, the model's output implies that about 25% of all weapons system projects receive special management attention. Based upon discussions with HQ USAF (33) and Corps of Engineers' representatives (40), this output statistic and the conclusion that numerous weapons systems projects receive special attention and processing to make up lost time are accurate.

Model With Altered Resources. The second simulation involved using the integrated systems and facilities model in eleven separate simulation runs. In each run the available resources were altered. Three types of alterations were

made. First, the four most critical resources in the model (the personnel in COE, AFRCE, MAJCOM and LEE) were increased by a factor of two. The increases were accomplished one organization at a time. Then all organizational personnel levels were doubled. When all four organizations were doubled in size, all other resources had to be doubled to prevent overloading other organizations.

The second type of alterations involved decreasing resources in the four critical organizations by a factor of two. The simulated force reduction was accomplished in the same manner as the increases.

The output from these simulations is not included in the report due to the volume of the model output. The findings of the simulations do, however, warrant some discussion. The doubling of one of the four organizations mentioned above had no impact on the average project completion time. Increasing the COE staff for example, caused the workload (projects waiting for service), of the AFRCE and MAJCOM to increase by 30%. The average completion time for projects was found to be 1,971 days, which was not significantly different from the average time of the unchanged model.

Similar results were experienced when each of the other resources was increased. Doubling all of the resources also resulted in a relatively small decrease in completion time. In this case the average completion time dropped to 1,900 days. However, the standard deviation of ninety-eight days recorded made it statistically questionable whether the

change resulted in a true decrease in model output. When the resources were all increased to 100 the average project completion time dropped to 1,800 days with a standard deviation of thirty-nine days. Reviewing the file statistics provided in the model's summary report reflected a total absence of projects waiting for service. Thus, the 180 day decrease in time required for project completion is a reflection of the time spent waiting for an individual or organization to accomplish a task.

The simulations representing decreased resources provided a more dramatic result. In all cases the model was unable to complete the sixteen year run due to overloading of the model's filing system. The size of the files could have been increased but the result would have been the same. The reason for file overloading was evident. All available resources were employed 100% of the time starting in the fifth year of the simulation. Once the 100% utilization level was reached, the backlog of work began to increase rapidly. Increasing file size would only have delayed model overload as the system had no chance of recovering unless no new projects were added to the system.

Weapons System Contractor as Facility Designer. The final simulation run accomplished placed responsibility for facility design with the weapons system contractor. Since this change was considerably more complex than that of altering resources it was necessary to first develop a conceptual model (Appendix E) to determine the new flow of projects

through the system. The computer code of the integrated systems and facilities model was then altered to reflect the flow diagrammed in the conceptual. The resulting model and its output is included as Appendix F.

The principal change in the acquisition process involved having the systems contractor select an AE firm to accomplish design while the Air Force accomplished the early stages of programming. The AE was then permitted to start the design at the same time DD Forms 1391 were forwarded to HQ USAF. Design was allowed to continue to 30% but forced to wait for Facility Panel (F-Panel) approval of the project before continuing. At this point the 35% design cost estimate was forwarded to LEECC and design continued to 95%. Once 95% design was achieved, the simulation program reverted to the original model's project flow. This change in project processing was accomplished primarily by the addition of the code in Model Segment H (Appendix F).

The model as modified still contains all the potential delays described in the integrated systems and facilities acquisition model. However, the average project completion time decreased by 400 days. In fact, the average completion time of this modified model was 277 days less than the ideal MCP cycle modeled in the verification/validation simulation.

Analysis of the output statistics show that the average time for a project to reach 30% design under the modified system was within forty days of the completion of project programming. It was noted in previous runs of the integrated

systems and facilities model that the largest delay periods occurred between programming and forwarding the 35% cost estimate to Congress. Under the modified system the simulated project could be programmed and meet the date for BES submission in the same year. This would clearly be an advantage over the current process.

A few added limitations must be considered under this system. For example, the Corps of Engineers remained responsible for design. Based upon information provided by the various organizations involved in the current process, the Air Force does not have the manpower or the organizational structure required to perform the services now assigned to the Corps. Thus, wholesale or unqualified inclusion of projects in an acquisition process such as the one simulated would soon overtax the available resources in the COE or the Air Force. The expected result would be that managers in both agencies would give special attention to certain projects much as they do under the current acquisition process.

Answering the Research Questions

This final section of Chapter IV, Findings and Analysis, provides answers to the research questions propounded in Chapter I. These questions were developed in support of the overall research objective to identify potential changes in the facilities acquisition process which would allow more effective integration with the acquisition of weapon systems. In consideration of the building block approach to research

described at the beginning of this chapter, the answers to the four research questions are dependent on the findings and analysis of each preceding section of this chapter. This section is divided into four parts which begin with a restatement of the research question under consideration. The answers to the research questions form the basis of the conclusions and recommendations presented in the next chapter.

Research Question 1. What events or activities in the weapon systems acquisition process trigger events or activities in the facility acquisition process?

The research identified four key events and activities in the systems acquisition process which triggered responses in the facilities acquisition process: entering Full Scale Development (FSD) and the initiation of the Facility Requirements Plan (FRP) and the Facility Design Criteria (FDC), the basing decision and deployment planning (including Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) planning), refinement and evolution of system design and mission capabilities, and date and definition of initial operational capability (9). While the following discussion describes each of these four motivators, their overall effect on the facilities acquisition process is further explored in research question two.

When system acquisition enters Full Scale Development (FSD), facilities definition begins in earnest with the initiation of the Facility Requirements Plan (FRP) and the Facility Design Criteria (FDC). These two contract documents form the backbone of MCP programming and later of facility

design by providing the various engineering parameters of the facilities required to support the weapon system.

A records review (36) of the KC-10 advanced tanker program supports the finding that only limited product division facilities planning occurred before the system contractor was selected and directed to proceed with preparation of the FRP and FDC. The product division civil engineer presentation in the Intermediate Program Management Course (SYS400) at Wright-Patterson AFB (46) also indicates that civil engineering efforts are normally a reaction to events in the program office. This type of facility support is consistent with the traditional civil engineering philosophy where the civil engineer waits for a user or requestor generated facility need before responding.

The second motivator in the system development process is deployment planning (including ILS planning) and the basing concept. This information -- which describes the scope of system deployment -- maintenance concept, and basing locations, is critical to effective facility planning since the requirements at each operational location will result in a unique mix of new and renovation projects for facilities.

The following two examples indicate the types of problems which may result from inadequate deployment and basing concepts. First, proper identification of facility requirements to support a mission change from C-130 to C-141 aircraft at Andrews AFB indicated that problems induced by an inadequate definition of the maintenance concept may

continue throughout the life cycle of the weapon system (27). In addition, the KC-10 records review (36) indicated that HQ USAF is reluctant to program for facilities before a firm basing decision is reached.

Technological evolution and refinement of the mission capability can significantly change the scope of the facilities required to support a particular weapon system. These changes, which may precipitate changes in ILS planning, are normally incorporated into revisions of the FRP and FDC. Their impact is usually most noticeable in the design and construction phases. However, if the change in scope of a particular project exceeds programming margins, the entire facility project may revert back to the beginning of the programming phase.

The final motivator is date and definition of Initial Operating Capability (IOC). These events may be politically inspired or result from actual or perceived foreign threat. The definition of IOC may range from one element of the system fielded (e.g. one aircraft, one missile, or one radar unit) to a full operational squadron or wing on alert. The date may be set with ample time for facility acquisition to flow through the normal MCP process, or with a suspense so short that maximum compression of the MCP cycle would not be responsive. Given the large possible variations in IOC timing, this research project assumed IOC would occur five to six years after beginning FSD, based projections by Air Force Systems Command programmers (AFSC/DEP) (2).

Research Question 2. What are the interrelationships and conflicts associated with the two processes?

The research indicated that the key motivators identified in question one also formed the primary interface points between the systems and facilities acquisition processes. Following this understanding, the four motivators discussed in question one will be readdressed from the perspective of the conceptual model in Appendix B and the SLAM model output in Appendices C and D.

The conceptual model (Appendix B) indicates that the facilities acquisition process is dependent on the first motivator (initiation of the FRP and FDC documents after entering the full scale development phase). The following discussion indicates how each of these documents affects the overall timing of the facilities acquisition process.

From the conceptual model it is apparent that the programming phase which begins with base level preparation of programming documents in block [208] cannot start until the requirements phase, which culminates in the FRP, is complete. Once the facility requirements have been completed in the FRP, the facility project flows through the MCP process in a way similar to any other non-systems related construction project. For system acquisitions which have a significant facilities impact, such as the generic system modeled, preparation and review of the FRP may add over a year to the basic MCP process. In addition, if completion of the FRP precludes sufficient programming time to meet the HQ USAF August call

for 35% PCEs (block [351]) the facility acquisition process may be delayed an additional year.

Initiation of the programming phase of facilities acquisition is not dependent on completion of the FDC. However, this document can add considerable time to the facility design process. An original estimate of thirty to forty-five days for completion of the 30% concept designs (40) was raised to sixty to 120 days (block [343]) (32) based on the risks associated with omissions, errors, and changes in the FDC. As with the FRP, if the increased times resulting from deficiencies in the FDC cause the project to miss the August first HQ USAF call for PCEs, the facility acquisition process may be delayed. Design of support facilities for the B-1 bomber which has been delayed for upto nine months (41) is an example of an FDC induced delay. Deficiencies identified in the FDC during the construction phase will extend the time the contractor requires to complete the facility [470].

The second motivator identified in question one concerned the basing decision and deployment planning which included ILS planning. The conceptual model assumes that the basing decision is confirmed before the FRP is completed. In reality, if the basing decision is not finalized the site surveys (block [107]) which precede the programming phase cannot be completed. Efforts to program facilities based on the FRP or other facility listings before the basing decision is confirmed may be only marginally successful. This concept is supported by the records review of the KC-10 program (36)

which indicated that while the gaining command had submitted projects as programming line items before the FRP was completed, the projects were subsequently rejected because a firm basing decision had not been reached.

The conceptual model also assumes that deployment and ILS planning were completed before conducting the site surveys. The deployment and ILS planning information, which directly impacts the number of construction projects at a particular location, is essential to both the programming phase and design phase.

Deficiencies in deployment and ILS planning can delay the programming phase. First, the results of the site survey indicate which requirements on the FRP can be satisfied by reusing existing facilities and which ones require total new construction. The programming phase then requires preparation of separate programming documents for each project identified during the site surveys.

Deficiencies in deployment and ILS planning may include unknown facility requirements and changes in facility scope that necessitate complete re-accomplishment of the facility acquisition process beginning with the site survey.

Deficiencies in the deployment and ILS planning have an effect on the design phase similar to their effect on the programming phase. As these deficiencies are disclosed, any design work already completed must be corrected. Depending on the severity of the required changes the design process may revert to the beginning of the concept designs (block

[343]) or in cases where complete facilities were omitted, the AE selection process may need to be reaccomplished (block [337]). Deficiencies in deployment and ILS planning, as well as in the basing decision, affect the overall facilities acquisition process in much the same way as problems with the FRP and FDC. These deficiencies may cause the 35% concept designs to miss the August first gate which could result in project slippage of upto several years. Deficiencies identified during the construction phase will also require additional time for correction or reaccomplishment of part of the construction (block [470]).

The third motivator concerned refinement and evolution of system design and mission capabilities. As a weapon system progresses through the system acquisition cycle new uses and requirements emerge as a result of technological growth and changes in the mission employment scenario. These alterations may result in changes to the FDC and an expansion of the FRP, along with corresponding changes in the basing concept and deployment plans. In turn, respective impacts on the facilities acquisition process will occur.

The final motivator to be discussed is IOC definition and date. As previously stated, the definition and date of IOC may vary considerably. This would also result in considerable variation in the level of facility acquisition activity required to support IOC. For simulation purposes, IOC was described as a requirement for twenty facilities with

five years plus up to 365 days from entering full scale development and initiation of the FRP and FDC. During the time interval, each of the facilities had to pass through the four phases of facility acquisition shown in the conceptual model. The output of the SLAM simulation model indicated that with no changes in the construction phase only 75% of the facilities would be available at IOC. With construction changes this number dropped to 35%. These numbers support Hansen's thesis that facilities would be a binding constraint on achieving IOC (24).

Research Question 3. Who are the key players and what are their roles and responsibilities?

The key players in the facilities acquisition process described on the conceptual model (Appendix B) can be broken into three basic categories: first, those making decisions concerning the operational deployment and employment scenario which consists primarily of personnel from the gaining MAJCOM, HQ USAF and OSD; next, those intrinsic to the program office, which would include the system contractor, the facility engineer assigned to the program office, and the ILS division of the program office; the third category includes those players directly associated with the MCP aspects of facilities acquisition. This last category includes, base level engineering, gaining MAJCOM civil engineering, HQ USAF, the AFRCE, the Army Corps of Engineers, the construction contractor, OSD and Congress. These three categories are not

all-inclusive, but contain those players most directly affecting facilities acquisition.

The information discussed in this section is primarily applicable to the systems acquisition process within ASD. However, the basic ideas are typical of each of the product divisions. The order of discussion of the various players does not necessarily follow the facility acquisition process.

The first general category of key players includes those making decisions concerning the deployment and employment of the new system at the operational locations. The primary roles and responsibilities of this group, which includes personnel from the gaining MAJCOM, HQ USAF and OSD, is to develop the basing concepts and IOCs. This information determines where the facilities must be constructed and when they must be ready for occupancy. Also depending on the number of units of the weapon system scheduled for bed down at a particular base, it also determines the mix between new and existing facilities necessary to fulfill mission support requirements. Since this research did not address the process by which the MAJCOM, HQ USAF, and OSD personnel reach basing and deployment decisions, that decision process is not discussed nor was it modeled.

The roles and responsibilities of the system contractor, ILS division, and facility engineer constitute the second general category. The system contractor and ILS division impact the facility acquisition process along two primary lines. The first of these lines is production of the

Facility Requirements Plan (FRP) and Facility Design Criteria (FDC) along with any subsequent changes. The second line involves the process of developing operational deployment plans. While facilities are an element of ILS, the facilities engineer working in a particular program office may not be functionally assigned to the ILS division. The roles and responsibilities of the facility engineer are discussed as a separate item of ILS.

As the system is developed, the system contractor gathers information on the types of support facilities required and quantitative descriptions of any special system and facility interfaces. This system generated data is then integrated with data describing government provided support equipment and maintenance requirements provided by the ILS branch. From this integrated data bank the system contractor develops the FRP and FDC. The system contractor also revises the FRP and FDC to incorporate system and program induced changes.

The ILS branch and the system contractor also play key roles from a facilities perspective during deployment planning. A significant facility impact occurs as the maintenance element of the ILS branch decides what level of maintenance will be provided at the operational location and alternately, which maintenance requirements will be supported at depot level. The system contractor helps define facility acquisition during deployment planning by providing individual listings of the facilities required to support each

specific bed down of the new system (i.e. the facility requirements for bedding down two fighter squadrons differ from those to bed down one squadron).

The facility engineer is the member of the program office most directly affecting facilities acquisition. According to ASD/DE prepared literature (46), the facility engineer prepares inputs to the program management plan (PMP), the contractor's statement of work (SOW) and deployment plans, and he participates in source selection. He is also responsible for review and coordination of the the FRP and FDC and other facilities related documents which the system contractor prepares. The facility engineer acts as a liaison between the MCP cycle and the program office. However, his responsibility does not extend beyond the requirements phase of facility acquisition.

Other roles and responsibilities of the facility engineer include reviewing proposed system changes and support equipment proposals for facility impact and participating in resolution of facility to system interface problems. The facility engineer also reviews concept designs for functional adequacy, monitors progress in the MCP cycle, and forecasts potential facility conflicts with IOC and other system milestones. In addition, the facility engineer along with system contractor, base level, MAJCOM, and other ILS personnel conduct the site surveys.

Concerning system development, the facility engineer primarily responds to system and ILS generated facility

requirements and provides only limited input into shaping system and equipment profiles to conform to the parameters imposed by existing facilities (43). As a result, the systems may be developed and equipment proposed or selected with little prior assessment of the impact on the facilities acquisition process.

The third category includes those players most responsible for the MCP cycle embedded in facilities acquisition process. This category includes base level civil engineering, gaining MAJCOM civil engineering, HQ USAF, the AFRCE, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the AE and construction contractor, OSD and Congress.

After the facility requirements have been identified and the basing concept confirmed, base level civil engineers participate in the site surveys. From these surveys, the base engineers prepare a DD Form 1391, "Military Construction Project Data," and a project booklet for each facility required. After these programming documents are forwarded to the MAJCOM, the base engineers provide revisions and updates as necessary. During the construction phase, base level engineers participate in acceptance inspections. At the close of the construction phase the Base Civil Engineer assumes custody of the finished facility.

The MAJCOM is primarily responsible for programming and advocating the facility projects. In this role, the MAJCOM reviews and coordinates the programming documents prepared by the base level civil engineering personnel and prioritizes

the weapon support facility projects with the balance of the non-system related MCP projects. After the programming documents are completed, the MAJCOM forwards them to HQ USAF as candidates for design. The MAJCOM also reviews DIs and 35% concept drawings and acts as an advocate for the facility projects at the HQ USAF F-Panel in August.

HQ USAF reviews the programming documents and issues the Design Instructions (DIs) along with transferring the construction funds to the AFRCE. After the MAJCOMs forward the programming documents, HQ USAF reviews and coordinates them through the Air Staff. HQ USAF is also responsible for conducting the F-Panel, completing required USC Title 10 article 2807 action, and answering congressional questions. In addition, HQ USAF transmits 35% and 100% DIs to the AFRCEs, reviews the 35% concept designs in August, and insures the facility projects are included in the POM. After Congress passes the Milcon Bill, HQ USAF transmits construction funds to the AFRCE.

The AFRCE is the Air Force's manager for design and construction. In this capacity the AFRCE receives design and construction funds from HQ USAF and transmits them to the design and construction agent (COE only in this research paper). The AFRCE then reviews and approves the preliminary design schedules prepared by the COE, performs functional reviews of the 35% concept designs and final designs, and conducts design review meetings with the COE. The AFRCE also acts as the liaison between the various Air Force offices in

the MCP cycle and the COE and prepares Program Cost Estimates (PCEs) for submission to HQ USAF. In addition, the AFRCE monitors construction, and reports and resolves discrepancies between the contractor's work and the facility designs.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) is the design and construction agent. It is responsible for selecting contractors for design and construction. In addition, the COE performs as contracting officer in both cases and executes the design and construction contracts according to DOD and federal contract regulations and law. The COE is responsible for issuing all required changes to the contracts and resolving any contractor claims or disputes.

The AE and construction contractors are responsible for design and construction of the facilities. Each formally contracts through the COE to complete the design or construction work specified in the contract documents. As changes are incurred in the FDC, the AE or construction contractor (depending on the phase of facility acquisition) incorporates the actual changes into the work already in progress. These changes may result in increased cost and time for completion.

The final group in the third category includes OMB and Congress. OMB prepares the proposed Milcon Bill for Congressional consideration. In turn, Congress reviews, revises, and approves their version of the Milcon Bill. Afterwards, Congress appropriates funds for construction. OMB apportions these funds to the Air Force. Congress also reviews

all projects where the design fee is estimated over \$300,000 before HQ USAF issues a DI.

Research Question 4. What types of activities or events within the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process have the greatest impact upon the construction completion dates?

To gain an understanding of the way the facility construction completion dates would vary to changes within the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process, simulations were performed on the SLAM model. The first simulation involved variations in resource strengths of several of the key players identified in research question three. In a second simulation, initiation of the facility design phase was moved up to coincide with the beginning of the programming phase, and design responsibility transferred from the COE to the system contractor.

Varying resource strengths in the SLAM coding were relatively straightforward and required no additional diagramming. Changes in the design phase were more complicated, however, and a flow diagram which highlights these changes is provided in Appendix E.

Given that the SLAM model is a faithful representation within the parameters and assumptions previously described, the outcomes of the simulations should reflect actual changes to the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process. The predictive power of the model will be abated, however,

whenever deviations from the original SLAM model described by the conceptual model of Appendix B occur.

In the first simulation of the SLAM model the personnel resource levels of several of the key players were varied to determine what the impact would be on the time required for facility acquisition. These variations included increasing personnel strengths first in one office at a time, then in all offices at once. A personnel strength of 100 was chosen for the increase under the assumption that this number was sufficient to eliminate any process waiting time within an office. A subsequent variation was conducted to show the effects of decreasing the personnel resources. Resource levels were reduced in increments of two until a significant change was noted in the output. No resource was reduced to zero since this would cause complete stoppage of the simulation. First resources were reduced one at a time then all at one time.

The output of the SLAM simulation indicated that increasing resources one at a time had no significant effect on overall model output -- both in terms of numbers of projects and the time required for completion. Instead, a ripple effect was created around the resource increased which negated any overall savings. For instance, increasing AFRCE resources tended to overload and slow down the COE; increasing COE resources tended to overload and slow down the MAJCOM and AFRCE; and increasing MAJCOM resources had a similar effect on HQ USAF and the AFRCE. Increasing all


AD-A161 116


ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF THE FACILITY ACQUISITION
PROCESS AS IT RELATES T (U) AIR FORCE INST OF TECH
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH SCHOOL OF SVST L J BLAKE
SEP 85 AFIT/GEM/LSV/855-9 F/G 15/5

2/4

UNCLASSIFIED

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

resources at once reduces the overall acquisition time by about 180 days.

Decreasing personnel resources, on the other hand, had no effect on model output until the decrease raised resource utilization levels to 100%. As the resources were decreased below this level, the overall process began to bog down significantly as reasonably expected (i.e. the resources cannot perform at greater than 100% capacity).

In the second simulation, the design and programming phases were initiated at the same time. This simulation assumed that a time savings would result from two sources. First, the design and programming would occur essentially in a parallel rather than series fashion. Secondly, the system contractor would be responsible for facility designs resulting in significant time savings by eliminating the COE AE selection process. However, the COE would still be responsible for design management through the program office and the Air Force engineering community would remain responsible for programming, environmental, etc.

The following narrative supports Appendix E, Simulation Two, which illustrates the changes made in the SLAM model to support the simulation. In Appendix E the three digit activity blocks shown correspond to blocks on the conceptual model of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process in Appendix B. The S-blocks refer to simulation activities inserted into the SLAM coding.

In the simulation the system contractor hires an AE [S1] to perform design after entering Full Scale Development (FSD) [001]. After the MAJCOM prepares the programming documents [211], the SLAM checks that the AE is hired [S2] and design begins. In the simulation, design was initiated after the programming documents were completed by the MAJCOM, which would indicate that the basing and ILS concepts were confirmed. This follows the logic that even an AE hired by the system contractor would be unable to effectively begin the facility concept designs without firm basing, ILS concepts and the results from the site surveys.

After the AE receives the 1391 [S2], the design work begins. The AE completes the facility concept drawings based on 35% of 300 to 500 days [S3]. This time period was intentionally assigned well above that given a COE selected AE to do the same work since some added delay was anticipated because of the early start. After the concept designs are completed, the COE and AFRCE review sequence is performed and PCEs are submitted to HQ USAF [345-350].

On a course paralleling design, the project flows through the programming phase [212-219]. If the project is rejected by the F-Panel, it moves back to the MAJCOM for reaccomplishment [211]. However, once the F-Panel approves the project it goes through a bypass block [S5] which diverts it around Title 10, 2807 action [226] since design has already started. At this point, the simulation checks that

the PCE has been submitted and the project approved by the F-Panel at block [S4], which is also the 35% milestone.

After reaching 35%, design continues to 95% [S3] while the project is being approved through OSD, OMB, and Congressional channels [356]. After reaching 95% the facility designs are reviewed and backchecked by the COE and AFRCE [361-363] and simulation reverts back to the original coding of the SLAM model for construction.

The revised model was run for the same simulation period as the standard integrated systems and facilities model. The resulting output (Appendix F) predicted that at least one year could be cut from even the ideal five year MCP cycle. It further demonstrated that under such a contracting arrangement weapons system facilities could be expected to be completed prior to IOC 96% of the time. However, a system such as this would have to be maintained under tight control of the program offices, HQ USAF and OSD to insure that only technical facilities directly related to successful IOC are included. Wholesale inclusion of other projects, even high visibility projects, would soon erase any potential for facility schedule compression. When large numbers of projects were permitted to enter the alternate design path described above, the COE and all levels of Air Force engineering rapidly became overloaded. The overloaded resources not only slowed the weapons system projects, but extended the time of completion for the other projects in the MCP system as well.

Based upon the simulation results and discussions with those individuals most closely involved with the acquisition processes (2,39,40,47), it became apparent that the time dependency of the MCP process conflicted almost directly with the events and goals of the weapons system acquisition process. When requirements are slow in development, meeting 35% design times became difficult. If the 35% cost estimate was late, a delay of one year could be expected unless special action was taken. Should the one year delay occur, the facility would almost certainly break its need date. This same scenario can be applied to each of the milestones on the MCP calendar. In each case delay seems to result due to late or inadequate requirements. However, when the early stages of facility acquisition are relieved of the MCP milestones, the impact of late requirements is not compounded by a separate acquisition cycle. Thus, a one month delay in requirements results in a one month delay in facility design.

V. Conclusions and Recommendations

As the title suggests, this section is divided into two parts. In the first part, the conclusions drawn from the research are presented. The second part provides recommendations made by the researchers for possible changes in the acquisition process and for further research.

Conclusions

This section presents the conclusions of this research project in three basic categories: the key motivators between the systems and facilities acquisition processes, the key players in the integrated process, and the results of simulations performed on the SLAM model of the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process. These conclusions are based primarily on the answers to the research questions presented in Chapter IV, Findings and Analysis. However, the entire research project was considered in their formulation.

The first set of conclusions is drawn from the four key motivators discussed in research questions one and two. In answering these research questions, four key events and activities in the systems acquisition process were identified which trigger responses and possible timing conflicts with the facilities acquisition process: entering FSD and the initiation of the FRP and FDC, the basing decision and deployment planning (including ILS planning), refinement

and evolution of system design and mission capabilities, and the date and definition of IOC.

Analysis of these four motivators resulted in a conclusion that three principle causes of delay exist in the facilities acquisition process: late development and errors or changes in the facilities requirement plan and facilities design criteria, late development of the ILS and basing and deployment concepts, changes in the weapon system induced by technological evolution and expanded mission capability. These delays can occur in the programming, design and construction phases. However, from the simulation model the date most often missed was the 35% design milestone in the programming phase.

The research also concluded that the facilities acquisition process does not begin in earnest before the initiation of the FRP and FDC. Also, the product division civil engineers may approach the facilities requirements for new systems along the traditional civil engineering philosophy of not responding before the user or requestor generates specific needs. In addition, the facilities engineer may have little direct input into the research and development of the new system. Further, effort to begin programming before the FRP is completed may have limited results.

A final conclusion from the four key motivators concerns IOC. The research concludes that from 25% to 50% of the facility projects flowing through the MCP cycle would not be completed in time to support IOC without special management

attention. This conclusion is also in harmony with other thesis research work on the same subject (24).

The second set of conclusions is derived from research question three. It concerns the key players and their roles and responsibilities. The research concluded that the key players in the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process are divided into three categories: those making decisions concerning the operational deployment and employment scenario, those intrinsic to the program office (which would include the system contractor and the product division engineer), and those players directly associated with the MCP aspects of the facilities acquisition process.

These three groups must interact to develop the need dates and new/existing facility mixes required to support the system at each bed down location. From the analysis, however, a conclusion was drawn that the overall management of the facilities acquisition process is somewhat disjointed. For instance, the product division facility engineers may not be functionally assigned to the ILS division of the program office and are not responsible for the programming, design or construction of the facilities acquisition process. Also, the lines of communication may be excessively long between the key players (e.g. changes to the FDC must flow from the system contractor, to the program facilities engineer, to the AFRCE, to the COE, and then to the design AE).

The final set of conclusions is based on the results of simulations performed on the SLAM model discussed in research

question four. From these simulations a conclusion is drawn that a uniform increase in personnel strength would shorten the MCP cycle by only about six months. Adding facility design to the system contractor's responsibilities would save an estimated twelve months in the facility acquisition schedule.

Recommendations

The recommendations in this section are based on the conclusions developed from the project research. They are presented in the following three categories: increase the system contractor's responsibility in the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process, begin development of the Facility Requirements Plan and the Facility Design Criteria earlier and provide a facility engineering input to the research and development of the new system, and continue the research through modification and refinement of the SLAM model.

Recommendation 1. Increase the system contractor's responsibility in the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process -- particularly for technical facilities with a high degree of system interface.

One way of increasing the system contractor's responsibility would be to change the existing practice when preparing the civil engineering input to the PO's statement of work. For example, facility design tasking statements with the appropriate data by-products could be included in

the contractual statement of work. With the weapons system prime contractor thusly responsible for sub-contracting the AE design work, many time consuming government contracting steps are by-passed. This procedure is recommended only for technical/operational facilities associated directly with successful achievement of the weapon system's IOC. In practice, this approach to facility design would mean that the PO would receive (as tasking by-products) the Preliminary Facilities Design Package (DI-S-3558) and the Final Design Package (DI-S-3559) from the prime contractor (15:I-40). The existing practice is to task the prime for only the Facility Requirement Plan (DI-S-3557) (15:I-40). Product division civil engineering organizations such as ASD/DE, should develop suitable model tasking statements for inclusion in weapon system contracts. The US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) involvement in facility design, although changed from the current practice, should continue. The COE should be tasked to provide technical support for the review of the Preliminary and Final Design Packages. The Air Force Civil Engineering Organization is not currently manned to perform this review function unaided and there is no apparent need for them to do so.

This approach has been employed successfully by ASD/DES in conjunction with facility designs for an instrument flight simulator facility for deployment of a new training aircraft (43). In this instance the PO initially funded the facility design and was later reimbursed with MCP design funds. A

general application of this recommendation would also require a change in public law to allow facility design to proceed with Research and Development rather than design funds.

Recommendation 2. Begin development of the Facility Requirements Plan (FRP) and the Facility Design Criteria (FDC) earlier and provide a facility engineering input to the research and development effort for new weapons systems.

In this recommendation the product division facility engineer breaks away from the traditional civil engineering philosophy of waiting for the customer to identify a requirement. Instead, the facility engineer becomes pro-active in preparation of the FRP and FDC through greater use of computer information systems and increased advocacy of existing facility and infrastructure constraints during research and development of the new system.

To begin earlier development of the FRP and FDC, the product division facility engineers should develop management and decision support systems with suitable data bases to allow prediction of facility requirements. A typical system would include a data base of information concerning the parameters and facility to system interfaces of previous weapon systems. The FRP and FDC for a new system would then be derived as an evolution of the existing data (28).

The facility engineer should advocate development of new systems to fit within the general constraints of the existing infrastructure. In this recommendation the facility engineer actively participates during the early phases of system

acquisition to insure that system development and evolution incorporates known facility and civil engineering repair force limitations (e.g. new computer systems should be designed to operate from the typical quality of electrical power available on the utility power grids, new aircraft should be developed around the pavement loading restrictions imposed by their operational locations).

Recommendation 3. Continue the research through modification and refinement of the SLAM simulation model.

Additional research should be conducted in the area of facilities acquisition through simulations with the SLAM model. This research should involve modification and refinement of the current SLAM model to reflect greater detail in the acquisition process. Also, more activity start/stop data should be collected to further verify and validate the model. Where possible, model simulations should be performed where actual changes to the facility acquisition process have been made. The model's predictive capability could then be tested and validated against a known change in processing time.

Appendix A: Summary Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/Systems Acquisition Process




Figure 3. Summary Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/Systems Acquisition Process

Appendix B: Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/Systems Acquisition Process




Figure 4. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/Systems Acquisition Process




Figure 5. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/Systems Acquisition Process




Figure 6. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/Systems Acquisition Process




Figure 7. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/Systems Acquisition Process




Figure 8. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/Systems Acquisition Process




Figure 9. Conceptual Diagram of the Integrated Facilities/Systems Acquisition Process

Appendix C: Verification and Validation Model

```
*****
*
*      INTEGRATED SYSTEMS AND FACILITIES ACQUISITION MODEL
*
*      VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION VERSION
*
*      USING
*
*      * * * * *
*      *      SLAM II VERSION 2.1      *
*      * * * * *
*
*      C   COPYRIGHT 1983 BY PRITSKER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
*
*      ALL RIGHTS RESERVED
*
*      SLAM SOFTWARE IS PROPRIETARY TO AND A TRADE SECRET OF PRITSKER &
*      ASSOCIATES, INC. ACCESS TO AND USE OF THIS SOFTWARE IS GRANTED
*      UNDER THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SOFTWARE LICENSE AGREEMENT
*      BETWEEN PRITSKER & ASSOCIATES, INC., AND LICENSEE, IDENTIFIED BY
*      NUMBER AS FOLLOWS:
*
*      LICENSE AGREEMENT NUMBER: 83-0408-1
*
*      THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE AGREEMENT SHALL BE STRICTLY
*      ENFORCED. ANY VIOLATION OF THE AGREEMENT MAY VOID LICENSEE'S
*      RIGHT TO USE THE SOFTWARE.
*
*
*      PRITSKER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
*      P.O. BOX 2413
*      WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47906
*      (317)463-5557
*
*****
```

ECHO OF INPUT PROGRAM

```

1 GEN, BLAKE & MARCHBANKS, MCP FACILITY MODEL, 8/16/85, 1, Y, N, Y, N, Y, 1, 72;
2 LIMITS, 54, 10, 2000;
3 INTLC, XX(1)=0, XX(2)=0, XX(3)=0, XX(4)=0, XX(5)=0, XX(6)=0, XX(7)=0;
4 INTLC, XX(8)=0, XX(9)=0, XX(10)=0, XX(13)=0, XX(14)=0, XX(15)=0, XX(88)=0;
5 PRIORITY/1, LVF(9)/2, LVF(9)/3, LVF(9)/4, LVF(9)/5, LVF(9)/8, LVF(9);
6 PRIORITY/9, LVF(9)/10, LVF(9)/14, LVF(9)/17, LVF(9)/18, LVF(9);
7 PRIORITY/21, LVF(9)/22, FIFO/24, LVF(9)/28, LVF(9)/30, LVF(9)/31, HVF(7);
8 PRIORITY/32, HVF(7)/33, HVF(7)/34, LVF(9)/35, LVF(9)/37, LVF(9);
9 PRIORITY/38, LVF(9)/41, LVF(9)/42, LVF(9)/45, LVF(9)/46, LVF(9);
10 PRIORITY/47, LVF(9)/48, LVF(9)/49, LVF(9)/50, LVF(9)/51, LVF(9);
11 PRIORITY/52, LVF(9);
12 ;
13 ; EXPLANATION OF FILE PRIORITIES:
14 ;
15 ;     PRIORITIZED FILES, LVF(9) = LOWEST VALUE FIRST BASED ON VALUE
16 ; RECORDED IN FILE NO. 9. FILE 9 IS THE ASSIGNED PROJECT PRIORITY.
17 ; HVF(7) = HIGHEST VALUE FIRST BASED ON VALUE IN FILE NUMBER 7. FILE
18 ; 7 RECORDS THE NUMBER OF RESOURCE UNITS REQUIRED FOR AN ACTIVITY.
19 ; THUS IT WILL PROCESS THOSE REQUIRING THE MOST RESOURCES FIRST.
20 ; FIFO = FIRST IN FIRST OUT. ALL FILES NOT OTHERWISE SPECIFIED USE
21 ; FIFO PROCESSING.
22 ;
23 ;
24 ;
25 ; *****
26 ; * INTEGRATED SYSTEMS AND FACILITIES *
27 ; * ACQUISITION MODEL *
28 ; *
29 ; * TIME UNIT IS ONE DAY *
30 ; * DAY 1, 366, ECT. = 1 JAN *
31 ; *
32 ; * REV V: - 27 JULY 85 *
33 ; * VERIFICATION/VALIDATION *
34 ; *****
35 ;
36 ;
37 ;
38 ;     RESOURCE STATEMENTS REPRESENT INDIVIDUALS ASSIGNED TO THE
39 ; VARIOUS ORGANIZATIONS INVOLVED IN THE ACQUISITION OF AIR FORCE
40 ; FACILITIES UNDER THE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM.
41 ;     THE NUMBER IN PARENTHESIS REPRESENTS THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE
42 ; ASSIGNED TO THE FUNCTIONAL AREA REPRESENTED. THE OTHER NUMBERS
43 ; REPRESENT THE FILES IN WHICH PROJECTS ARE AWAITING ACTION BY THE
44 ; ORGANIZATION WHERE THE RESOURCE IS EMPLOYED. THE RESOURCE WILL
45 ; CONSIDER THE ORDER OF THE FILE LIST WHEN SELECTING A PROJECT TO
46 ; SERVICE NEXT.
47 ;
48 ;
49 ;
50 ;

```

```

51 ;
52 NETWORK;
53     RESOURCE/LEECD(5),17,7,43,15,36,13,11,18; AIR STAFF, PROGRAMS.
54     RESOURCE/LEECC(6),40,39,47,51; AIR STAFF,CONSTRUCTION.
55     RESOURCE/REQ(6),4,22,1; BASE PROGRAMMERS & ENGRS.
56     RESOURCE/BDEE(7),33,9; BASE ENGINEERING.
57     RESOURCE/BASE(1),2; MAJCOM MISSION PLANNERS.
58     RESOURCE/MDEE(4),32; MAJCOM,ENGINEERING.
59     RESOURCE/MPROG(5),5,20,52,3; MAJCOM PROGRAMMERS.
60     RESOURCE/AFRCE(9),19,35,31,23,12,46,50; AFRCE PROJECT MANAGERS.
61     RESOURCE/COE(18),26,27,29,34,41,25,48,49,45; CORPS OF ENGR.
62 ; PROJECT MANAGERS.
63 ;
64 ; GATES ARE USED TO CONTROL THE FLOW OF PROJS. THROUGH THE MCP
65 ; PROCESS. PROJECTS ARE STOPPED AT CLOSED GATES AND ACCUMULATE IN
66 ; THE FILE ASSIGNED TO THE GATE. WHEN THE GATE IS OPEN THE PROJECTS
67 ; ARE ALLOWED TO PASS. EITHER ALL OF THE PROJECTS IN THE FILE OR A
68 ; SPECIFIED NUMBER OF THEM MAY PASS BEFORE THE GATE CLOSSES. GATE
69 ; OPERATION IS CONTROLLED BY THE MODEL SEGMENTS LISTED PRIOR TO THE
70 ; MAIN PROGRAM. GATE OPENING IS DEPENDENT EITHER ON THE PASSAGE OF
70 ; TIME OR THE ACCUMULATION OF A DEFINED NUMBER OF PROJECTS.
71 ;
72 GATE/CALL,CLOSED,8; PROJECT CALL FOR ALL
MODERNIZATION PROJECTS.
73 GATE/CALLN,CLOSED,10; PROJECT CALL FOR ALL
NON-MODERNIZATION PROJS.
74 ; -1 NOV XX.
75 ; NEW FY, 1 OCT XX.
76 GATE/NEWFY,CLOSED,42; IDENTIFY REQ.
77 GATE/NEED,CLOSED,44; PROJ. FROM OTHER MAJCOMS
78 GATE/OTHER,CLOSED,6; HOLD FOR TITLE 10.
79 GATE/CONG28,CLOSED,16; GROUP PROJS. BY 5.
80 GATE/FRC,CLOSED,14; WAITING FOR DI.
81 GATE/DI,CLOSED,24; WAITING AT AFRCE.
82 GATE/DISTR,CLOSED,21; WAITING FOR CORPS PM.
83 GATE/CORPS,CLOSED,28; 30% PROJ REVIEW.
84 GATE/REV30,CLOSED,30; PROJS TO CONG. ABOUT
85 GATE/CALL2,CLOSED,37; 1 JANUARY EACH YEAR.
86 ; 100% DESIGN INSTRU-
87 GATE/DI100,CLOSED,38; TION TO MAJCOM AND
88 ; AFRCE.
89 ;
90 GATE/HOLD,OPEN,53;
91 GATE/HOLD1,OPEN,54;
92 ;
93 ;
94 ; MODEL SEGMENT A ** RELEASE PROJECTS FOR PROGRAMMING **
95 ;
96 ;
97 ;
98 ;
99     CREATE,,40,,1;
100 A1 GOON;

```

101	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;	
102 A1A	OPEN,NEED,1;	FACILITY REQUIREMENTS
103	ASSIGN,XX(88) = TNOW + UNFRM(70,99);	PLAN (FRP) PRODUCED
104	GOON,1;	EVERY 365 DAYS. EACH
105	ACT,,XX(4).GE.20,A2;	PLAN IDENTIFIES NEED
106	ACT,1,,A1A;	FOR 20 NON-MODERNIZA-
107 ;		TION PROJECTS.
108 ;		
109 A2	CLOSE,NEED;	
110	ASSIGN,XX(4) = 0;	PROJECT COUNTER.
111	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TRIB(1) + 365 - TNOW;	
112	ACT,TRIB(1),,A1;	RECYCLE EVERY 365
113 ;		DAYS, BACK TO A1.
114 ;		
115 ;		
116	CREATE,365,45;	
117	ACT;	
118 A3	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;	
119 A4	GOON;	
120 A4A	OPEN,OTHER,1;	RELEASE 250 PROJECTS
121	ACT,,XX(6).GE.250,A5;	FROM OTHER MAJCOMS
122	ACT,1,,A4;	EACH YEAR.
123 A5	CLOSE,OTHER;	
124	ASSIGN,XX(6) = 0;	
125 A6	GOON,1;	
126	ACT,,TNOW - TRIB(1).GE.165,A7;	CALL OCCURS IN AUG.
127	ACT/90,2,,A6;	FOR PROJECTS WITH
128 A7	OPEN,CALL2;	35% DESIGN COMPLETE
129 ;		FOR SUBMISSION TO
130 ;		CONGRESS (1 JAN).
131	AWAIT(36),LEECD/2;	WAIT FOR 2 STAFFERS
132	ACT,90;	ACCEPT PROJECTS FOR
133 A8	CLOSE,CALL2;	NEXT 60 DAYS.
134	FREE,LEECD/2;	
135	GOON;	
136	TERMINATE;	
137 ;		
138 ;		
139 ;		
140 ;		
141 ;	MODEL SEGMENT B	** AIR STAFF PROJECT CALL **
142 ;		
143 ;		
144 ;		
145 ;		
146	CREATE,,180,,1;	INITIALIZE AT DAY
147 B0	GOON,2;	180 EACH YEAR - ABOUT
148	ACT,,B8;	JULY FIRST.
149	ACT;	
150 B1	OPEN,CALL;	USAF CALL FOR PROJS
151	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;	(MODERNIZATION ONLY).
152 B2	AWAIT(7),LEECD/1;	

153	ACT,30;	MAJCOM SUBMISSION.
154 B3	CLOSE,CALL;	SUBMISSION PER. OVER.
155	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW - TRIB(1);	CALCULATE LAPSED TIME.
156	GOON,2;	
157	ACT,,B6;	
158	ACT;	
159 B4	GOON,1;	
160	ACT,,NNACT(10).EQ.0,B5;	
161	ACT,1,,B4;	
162 B5	FREE,LEECD/1;	RELEASE LEECD
163	TERMINATE;	STAFFER.
164 B6	GOON,1;	
165	ACT,90-TRIB(1);	1 OCT XX --
166	OPEN,NEWFY;	BEGIN NEW FY.
167	ACT/91,30;	1 NOV XX; USAF CALL
168	OPEN,CALLN;	FOR NON-MODERNIZA-
169	GOON;	TION PROJECTS.
170	AWAIT(43),LEECD/1;	LEECD STAFF PROJECTS.
171	ACT,60;	
172	CLOSE,NEWFY;	
173	CLOSE,CALLN;	
174 B7	GOON,1;	
175	ACT,,NNACT(10).EQ.0,B5;	
176	ACT,1,,B7;	
177 B8	GOON,1;	
178	ACT,365,,B0;	RECYCLE EVERY 365
179 ;		DAYS TO B0.
180 ;		
181 ;		
182 ;		
183 ;	MODEL SEGMENT C	** STAFF TITLE 10, 2807 ACTION **
184 ;		
185 ;		
186 ;		
187 ;		
188	CREATE,,,1;	
189 C2	GOON,1;	CHECK EVERY 30 DAYS
190	ACT,,NNQ(16).GE.5,C3;	FOR FIVE OR MORE
191	ACT,30,,C2;	PROJECTS REQUIRING
192 C3	GOON,1;	CONGRESSIONAL ACTION.
193	ACT,,NNQ(15).GT.0,C2;	
194	ACT,,NNQ(15).LE.0;	
195	AWAIT(15),LEECD/2;	STAFF AT LEAST 5
196	ASSIGN,XX(1) = UNFRM(21,45);	PROJECTS AT A TIME.
197 ;		XX(1)= PROCESSING
198	OPEN,CONG28;	TIME.
199	ACT/92,2;	
200 C4	GOON,1;	PROCESS UNTIL ALL
201	ACT,,NNQ(16).EQ.0,C5;	PROJECTS WAITING FOR
202	ACT,1,,C4;	CONGRESSIONAL ACTION
203 C5	CLOSE,CONG28,1;	HAVE BEEN WORKED.
204	ACT,,NNACT(20).EQ.0,C6;	

205	ACT,1,,C5;	
206	C6 FREE,LEECD/2;	RELEASE LEECD WHEN
207	ACT,,,C2;	PROCESSING COMPLETE.
208	;	
209	;	
210	;	
211	;	
212	MODEL SEGMENT D	** FACILITY PANEL ACTION **
213	;	
214	;	
215	;	
216	;	
217	CREATE,,,,1;	
218	D1 GOON,1;	
219	ACT,,NNQ(14).GT.0.AND.NNQ(14).EQ.XX(2),D3;	
220	ACT,,NNQ(14).GT.0.AND.NNQ(14).EQ.XX(15),D6;	
221	ACT,1,,D1;	
222	D3 AWAIT(11)/LEECD/1;	
223	CLOSE,HOLD;	TEMPORARY DELAY WHILE
224	CLOSE,HOLD1;	PROJS ENTER FACILITY
225	D4 OPEN,FRC;	PANEL (F PANEL).
226	ACT,2;	F PANEL CONVENES.
227	GOON,1;	
228	ACT,,NNQ(14).EQ.0,D5;	
229	ACT,2,,D4;	
230	D5 CLOSE,FRC;	
231	OPEN,HOLD;	END TEMPORARY DELAY.
232	OPEN,HOLD1;	NOTE: GATES HOLD & HOLD1
233	ASSIGN,XX(2)=0;	USED IN FIRST 2 OR 3
234	ACT,,,D7A;	YRS. OF MODEL RUN.
235	D6 AWAIT(13),LEECD/1;	THEY CONTINUE TO
236	CLOSE,HOLD;	OPERATE THROUGHOUT
237	CLOSE,HOLD1;	THE SIMULATION RUN,
238	D6A OPEN,FRC;	BUT NO DELAY RESULTS.
239	ACT,2;	THEY ACT TO ARTI-
240	GOON,1;	FICIALLY CLOSE GATE
241	ACT,,NNQ(14).EQ.0,D7;	'OTHER' SHOULD IT BE
242	ACT,1,,D6A;	OPEN WHEN GATE FRC
243	D7 CLOSE,FRC;	OPENS.
244	OPEN,HOLD;	
245	OPEN,HOLD1;	
246	ASSIGN,XX(15) = 0;	
247	D7A GOON,2;	
248	ACT,,,D1;	
249	ACT/93,5;	
250	D8 GOON,1;	
251	ACT,,NNACT(21).EQ.0,D9;	
252	ACT,1,,D8;	
253	D9 FREE,LEECD/1;	RELEASE LEECD WHEN
254	TERMINATE;	PROJECTS RELEASED
255	GOON;	COMPLETE ACTIVITY 21.
256	;	


```

257 ;
258 ;
259 ;
260 ;
261 ;      MODEL SEGMENT E      **DISTRIBUTE DESIGN INSTRUCTIONS**
262 ;
263 ;
264 ;
265 ;
266      CREATE,30,30,3,,1;
267 E0      GOON,1;
268      ASSIGN,TRIB(5) = TNOW - TRIB(3);
269      GOON,1;
270      ACT,,TRIB(5).GE.16,E5A;
271      ACT,1;
272      GOON,1;
273      ACT,,NNQ(21).GT.0.AND.NNQ(21).LE.10,E2;
274      ACT,,NNQ(21).EQ.0,E0;
275      ACT,,NNQ(21).GT.10,E1;
276      ACT,,,E5A;
277 E1      ASSIGN,TRIB(4) = 2;
278      ACT,,,E3;
279 E2      ASSIGN,TRIB(4) = 1;
280 E3      AWAIT(19/1),AFRCE/TRIB(4),BALK(E5A);
281      AWAIT(20/1),MPROG/TRIB(4),BALK(E5;
282      ACT/94;
283      OPEN,DISTR,1;                                USAF DISTRIBUTES
284      ACT,,TNOW.GE.TRIB(3) + 5,E4;                    DI'S.
285      ACT,5;
286 E4      CLOSE, DISTR;
287      ACT,UNFRM(5,15);                                PROCESS DI.
288      FREE,MPROG/TRIB(4);
289 E5      FREE,AFRCE/TRIB(4);                            FREE AFRCE AND MAJCOM
290 E5A     TERMINATE;                                    AFTER RECEIPT OF DI.
291 ;
292 ;      MODEL SEGMENT E1      ** 35% DESIGN BEING PROCESSED AT USAF **
293 ;
294 ;
295      CREATE,,250,,1,1;
296      ACT;
297 E6      ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;
298 E7      GOON,1;
299      ACT,,NNQ(38).GT.0,E8;
300      ACT,5,,E7;
301 E8      AWAIT(39),LEECC/1;                            WAIT FOR LEECC
302      ASSIGN,TRIB(3) = 1;                                STAFFER.
303      ACT/95;
304 E9      OPEN,DI100;                                    ISSUE APPROVAL TO
305      GOON,1;                                            PROCEED WITH DESIGN
306      ACT,,XX(3).GT.0.AND.XX(3).LE.5,E13;                TO 100%.
307      ACT,,XX(3).GT.5.AND.XX(3).LE.10,E10;
308      ACT,,XX(3).GT.10,E11;

```

309	ACT,5,,E9;	
310 E10	ASSIGN,TRIB(3) = 2;	INCREASE REQUIREMENT
311	ACT,,,E12;	FOR LEECC STAFF BY 1.
312 E11	ASSIGN,TRIB(3) = 3;	INCREASE REQUIREMENT
313	ACT,,,E12;	BY 2. (BASED ON # OF
314 E12	ASSIGN,TRIB(4) = TRIB(3) - 1;	PROJECTS - XX(3).)
315	AWAIT(40),LEECC/TRIB(4);	
316 E13	GOON;	
317	ACT,2;	
318	CLOSE,DI100;	RELEASE COMPLETED.
319	ASSIGN,XX(3) = 0;	
320	GOON,2;	
321	ACT,,,E16;	
322	ACT,UNFRM(30,50);	
323 E14	GOON,1;	
324	ACT,,NNACT(41).EQ.0,E15;	
325	ACT,,NNACT(41).NE.0;	
326	ACT,1,,E14;	
327 E15	FREE,LEECC/TRIB(3);	RELEASE LEECC STAFF.
328	TERMINATE;	
329 E16	GOON,1;	
330	ACT,,NNQ(38).GT.0,E8;	WAIT FOR MORE PROJS.
331	ACT,1,,E16;	
332 ;		
333 ;		
334 ;		
335 ;		
336 ;		
337 ;		
338 ;		
339 ;	MODEL SEGMENT F	** ISSUE DESIGN INSTRUCTION TO CORPS **
340 ;		
341 ;		
342 ;		
343 ;		
344 ;		
345 ;		
346 ;		
347	CREATE,,,1;	
348 F1	GOON,1;	
349	ACT,,NNQ(24).GE.10,F2;	CHECK FOR 10 OR MORE
350 ;		IN FILE 24.
351	ACT,,NNQ(24).GT.0.AND.NNQ(24).LT.10.AND.NNACT(25).EQ.0,F3;	
352	ACT,,,F7;	
353 F2	ASSIGN,TRIB(3) = 2;	ASSIGN 2 AFRCE PROJ
354	ACT,,,F4;	MANAGERS (PM).
355 F3	ASSIGN,TRIB(3) = 1;	ASSIGN 1 AFRCE PM.
356 F4	GOON;	
357	AWAIT(23),AFRCE/TRIB(3);	
358 F5	OPEN,DI,1;	AFRCE FORWARDS DESIGN
359	ACT,,NNQ(24).EQ.0,F6;	INSTRUCTION TO COE.
360	ACT,1,,F5;	

361	F6	GOON,1	
362		ACT/97,5;	
363		CLOSE,DI;	
364		ACT,UNFRM(4,8);	PROCESS FOR COE ISSUE
365		FREE,AFRCE/ATRIB(3);	
366	F7	GOON,1;	
367		ACT,1,,F1;	
368		;	
369		;	
370		;	
371		;	
372		MODEL SEGMENT G	** ARCHITECT-ENGINEER (AE) SELECTION **
373		;	
374		;	
375		;	
376		;	
377		CREATE,,,1;	
378	G1	GOON;	
379		ACT;	
380		ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 0;	RESET COUNTER
381		GOON,1;	TAKE ONLY 1 OF THE
382		ACT,,NNQ(28).EQ.0,G7;	FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES.
383		ACT,,NNQ(28).LT.5.AND.NNQ(24).EQ.0.AND.NNACT(26).EQ.0,G2;	
384		ACT,,NNQ(28).EQ.5,G2;	
385		ACT,,NNQ(28).GT.5,G5;	
386		ACT,,G7;	
387	G2	AWAIT(25),COE/1;	WAIT FOR COE PM.
388		ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 1;	ASSIGN 1 COE PM
389		ASSIGN,XX(8) = UNFRM(20,35);	ASSIGN TIMES FOR :
390		ASSIGN,XX(9) = UNFRM(3,8);	XX(8)= PREPARE DESIGN SCHEDULE.
391		ASSIGN,XX(10) = EXPON(10,3);	XX(9)= PREPARE CBD ANNOUNCEMENT.
392	G3	OPEN,CORPS,1;	XX(10) = AE SELECTION.
393		ACT/98,4;	
394		GOON,1;	
395		ACT,,XX(7).LE.5.AND.XX(7).GT.0,G6;	ASSIGN GROUPS OF 5.
396		ACT,,XX(7).GT.5,G4;	
397		ACT,1,,G3;	
398	G4	AWAIT(26),COE/1;	ASSIGN MORE COE PM'S
399		ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 2;	(2 ASSIGNED).
400		ACT,,,G6;	
401	G5	AWAIT(27),COE/2;	DI ISSUED TO COE.
402		GOON;	
403		ASSIGN,XX(8) = UNFRM(20,35);	
404		ASSIGN,XX(9) = UNFRM(10,20);	
405		ASSIGN,XX(10) = EXPON(12,3);	
406		GOON;	
407		OPEN,CORPS,1;	
408		ACT/98,4;	
409		ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 2;	ASSIGN TWO COE PMS.
410	G6	CLOSE,CORPS;	

411	ASSIGN,XX(7) = 0;	RESET COUNTER.
412	GOON,2;	START DUAL PATH.
413	ACT,1,,61;	
414	ACT;	
415	AWAIT(12),AFRCE/1;	
416	ACT,XX(10);	AE SELECTION BOARD.
417	FREE,AFRCE/1;	RELEASE AFRCE.
418	GOON;	
419	ACT,UNFRM(5,21);	NEGOTIATE WITH AE.
420	GOON;	
421	ACT,9;	
422	GOON;	
423	ACT,UNFRM(5,20);	PREPARE,SUBMIT AND
424	FREE,COE/ATRIB(3);	REVIEW AUDIT OF AE
425	TERMINATE;	
426 67	GOON;	
427	ACT,1,,61;	
428	TERMINATE;	
429	GOON;	
430	;	
431	;	
432	;	
433	CREATE,,,,,1;	
434 68	GOON,1;	ACCOMPLISH 30%
435	;	DESIGN REVIEW.
436	ACT,,NNQ(30).CT.0.AND.NNQ(30).LT.5.AND.NNACT(31).EQ.0,69;	
437	ACT,,NNQ(30).EQ.5,69;	
438	ACT,,NNQ(30).GT.5.AND.NNQ(30).LE.15,610;	
439	ACT,,NNQ(30).GT.15,611;	
440	ACT,1,,68	
441 69	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 1;	ASSIGNING NUMBER OF
442	ACT,,,612;	RESOURCES REQUIRED
443 610	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 2;	BASED ON NUMBER OF
444	ACT,,,612;	PROJECTS WAITING.
445 611	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 4;	
446 612	GOON,1;	
447	ACT,,NNQ(29).EQ.0,612A;	SELECT ONE OF THE
448	ACT,,NNQ(29).NE.0;	FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES.
449	GOON,1;	
450	ACT,1,,68;	
451 612A	AWAIT(29),COE/ATRIB(7);	
452	ASSIGN,XX(21) = EXPON(3);	TIME FOR COE TO
		DISTRIBUTE TO ALL
		REVIEWERS.
453	ASSIGN,XX(22) = TNOW;	
454	OPEN,REV30;	
455	ACT/99,1;	
456	CLOSE,REV30;	
457	GOON,2;	TAKE BOTH OF THE
458	ACT,5,,68;	NEXT ACTIVITIES.
459	ACT;	
460	AWAIT(31),AFRCE/ATRIB(7);	
461	AWAIT(32),MDEE/ATRIB(7);	

462	AWAIT(33),BDEE/ATRIB(7);	
463	613 GOON,1;	
464	ACT,,NNACT(36).EQ.0,614;	HOLD REVIEWERS UNTIL
465	ACT,3,,613;	PROJECTS COMPLETE
466	614 FREE,MDEE/ATRIB(7);	REVIEW ACTIVITY IN
467	FREE,BDEE/ATRIB(7);	MAIN PROGRAM(ACT/36).
468	615 GOON,1;	
469	ACT,,NNACT(37).EQ.0,616;	
470	ACT,3,,615;	
471	616 FREE,AFRCE/ATRIB(7);	
472	GOON,1;	
473	FREE,COE/ATRIB(7);	
474	TERMINATE;	
475 ;		
476 ;		
477 ;		
478 ;	*****	
479 ;		
480 ;	***** MAIN PROGRAM *****	
481 ;		
482 ;	*****	
483 ;		
484 ;		
485 ;	PROCESSING OF MCP PROJECTS IS ACCOMPLISHED IN THIS PART OF	
486 ;	THE PROGRAM. THE PRECEEDING SEGMENTS CONTROL TME MCP MILESTONES	
487 ;	SUCH AS THE PROJECT CALLS IN JULY AND NOVEMBER AND THE START OF	
488 ;	NEW FY. THEY ALSO CONTROL PROJECT GROUP PROCESSING WHEN REQUIRED.	
489	CREATE,0,30,,20;	
490	ACT,,M0;	
491	CREATE,17,47;	
492	M0 GOON;	GATE NEED, RELEASE IS
493	AWAIT(44/20),NEED,BALK(M9);	CONTROLLED IN SEGMENT A
494	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = XX(88);	TIME FRP RELEASED.
495	ACT;	
496	ASSIGN,XX(4) = XX(4)+1;	COUNT PROJECTS.
497	ASSIGN,ATRIB(9) = UNFRM(0,4,2);	ASSIGN PRIORITY.
498	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 1;	IDENTIFY BED DOWN PROJ.
499	ASSIGN,ATRIB(2) = 0;	
500	ACT,,M2;	
501	GOON,1;	
502	ACT,,.85,M1;	FACILITY REQUIREMENT
503 ;		PLAN ADEQUATE TO
504 ;		START PROGRAMMING.
505	ACT,,.15;	FACILITY REQUIREMENT
506 ;		PLAN (FRP) INADEQUATE.
507	GOON,1;	
508	ACT/2,UNFRM(140,185),,M2;	FRP REVISED.
509	M1 GOON,1;	
510	ACT,,.95,M2;	95% HAVE CONSTRUCTION
511	ACT,,.05;	SITE IDENTIFIED.
512	ASSIGN,ATRIB(2) = TNOW;	

513	AWAIT(2),BASE/1;	WAITING FOR SITE TO
514	ACT/1,RNORM(90,50,1);	BE SELECTED.
515	FREE, BASE/1;	
516	ASSIGN,TRIB(2) = TNOW - TRIB(2);	TIME SITE ASSIGNED.
517 M2	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;	
518	AWAIT(1),REQ/1;	ASSEMBLE SITE SURVEY
519	AWAIT(9),BDEE/1;	TEAM.
520	AWAIT(52),MPROG/1;	
521	ACT/3,UNFRM(4,14);	SURVEY AT SELECTED
522	FREE,BDEE/1;	BASE.
523	FREE,MPROG/1;	
524	ACT/4,UNFRM(30,50);	PREPARE 1391'S AND
525 ;		PROJECT BOOKLETS (PB).
526	FREE,REQ/1;	
527	ACT,,,M3;	
528 M2A	ASSIGN,TRIB(7) = 4;	IDENTIFY RETURNED
529 ;		PROJECTS.
530 M3	AWAIT(3),MPROG/1;	MAJCOM PROGRAMMER
531	ACT/5,UNFRM(3,10);	MAJCOM REVIEW.
532	FREE,MPROG/1;	MAJCOM PROGRAMMER
533	ACT/6,UNFRM(7,30);	REVIEW AND COORDIN.
534	AWAIT(4),REQ/1;	BASE PROGRAMMER
535	ACT/7,EXPON(5,3);	REVISE PB'S
536	FREE,REQ/1;	
537	AWAIT(5),MPROG/1;	
538	ACT/8,UNFRM(10,16);	MAJCOM REV. AND PREP.
539 ;		FOR TRANSMITTAL TO
540	FREE,MPROG/1;	USAF/LEE.
541	ACT,UNFRM(9,25);	TIME FOR PRINTING AND
542	GOON,1;	TRANSMITTAL.
543	ACT,,,TRIB(7).EQ.4,M7;	
544	ACT;	
545	COLCT,INT(1),TIME TO USAF;	
546	GOON,1;	
547	ACT,,,M7;	
548	CREATE,2,2,4;	ALL NON-MODERNIZATION
549	ACT,UNFRM(95,170);	PROJECTS FROM OTHER
		MAJCOMS.
550	ASSIGN,TRIB(9) = UNFRM(0,4);	ASSIGN PRIORITY
551	ASSIGN,TRIB(7) = 2;	IDENTIFY PROJ'S. FROM
552 ;		OTHER MAJCOMS (NON-
553	ACT,,,M7;	MODERNIZATION).
554	CREATE,0,0,4,250;	
555	ASSIGN,TRIB(7) = 3;	IDENTIFY MODERNIZA-
556 ;		TION PROJECTS.
557	ACT,,,M4;	
558 ;		
559	CREATE,1,10,4;	ALL AF MODERNIZATION
560	ASSIGN,TRIB(7) = 3;	PROJECTS SENT TO HQ
561 M4	GOON;	USAF/LEE.
562	AWAIT(6/250),OTHER,BALK(M9);	GATE OTHER CONTROL IS
563	ASSIGN,TRIB(4) = TNOW;	IN SEGMENT A.

564	ASSIGN,XX(6) = XX(6) + 1;	
565	ACT,UNFRM(115,130);	
566	GOON,1;	
567	ACT,,.80,M5;	
568	ACT,,.20;	
569	ASSIGN,TRIB(9) = UNFRM(0,1);	20% ASSIGNED PRI. 1.
570	ACT,,M6;	
571 M5	ASSIGN,TRIB(9) = UNFRM(1,4);	ASSIGN REMAINING PRI.
572 M6	AWAIT(8),CALL;	USAF CALL FOR MCP
573	AWAIT(53),HOLD;	MODERNIZATION PROJS.
574	ASSIGN,XX(2) = XX(2)+1;	
575	ACT,,M8;	GATE CONTROL,SEG. B
576 M7	AWAIT(10),CALLN;	USAF CALL FOR NON-
577	AWAIT(54),HOLD1;	MODERNIZATION PROJS
578 ;		
579 ;		IN NOVEMBER.
580	ASSIGN,XX(15) = XX(15) + 1;	
581	ACT;	GATE CONTROL,SEGMENT B
582 M8	GOON,1;	
583	ACT/9,UNFRM(1,3);	PREPARE FOR FACILITY
584	GOON;	PANEL (F PANEL).
585	ACT/10,UNFRM(2,4);	F PANEL REVIEW.
586	AWAIT(14),FRC;	
587	GOON,1;	
588	ACT,,TRIB(7).EQ.4,M10;	
589	ACT;	
590	ASSIGN,TRIB(5) = 0;	
591	GOON,1;	
592	ACT,,TRIB(7).NE.1,M8A;	
593	ACT,,M12;	
594	GOON,1;	
595	ACT,,.75,M10;	
596	ACT,,.25,M8A;	
597 M8A	GOON,1;	35% REJECTED.
598	ACT/11,,.65,M10;	
599	ACT,,.35;	
600	GOON,1;	REJECTED BED DOWN
601	ACT/12,,TRIB(7).EQ.1,M2A;	PROJECTS SENT BACK
602	ACT;	TO MAJCOM --M2A.
603 M9	TERMINATE;	
604 M10	GOON,1;	
605	ACT/13,,.73,M12;	
606	ACT/14,,.27;	27% REQUIRE TITLE 10,
607	ASSIGN,TRIB(8) = TNOW;	2807 ACTION BY CONG.
608	ASSIGN,XX(5) = XX(5)+1;	
609	AWAIT(16),CONG28;	
610	ACT/15,XX(1);	STAFF 2807 ACTION
611	GOON,1;	
612	ACT/16,,.95,M11;	
613	ACT/17,,.05;	CONGRESS QUESTIONS
614	GOON;	ON 5% OF PROJECTS.
615	ACT/18,UNFRM(2,18);	CONG. QUESTIONS

616 ;		RETURNED TO LEECC.
617	AWAIT(17),LEECD/1;	
617	AWAIT(17),LEECD/1;	
618	ACT/19,UNFRM(3,10);	PREPARE RESPONSE
619 ;		FOR CONGRESS.
620	FREE,LEECD/1;	
621 M11	GOON;	
622	ACT/20,21;	WAIT 21 DAYS BEFORE
623	ASSIGN,ATRIB(5) = TNCW - ATRIB(8);	RELEASE FROM CONG.
624 M12	AWAIT(18),LEECD/1;	
625	ACT/21,UNFRM(0,1);	ISSUE DESIGN INSTRU-
626	FREE,LEECD/1;	CTION.
627	GOON,1;	
628	AWAIT(21),DISTR;	RELEASE OF DI(35%)
629	GOON,1	
630	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4,M13;	
631	ACT;	
632	GOON,1;	
633	ACT,,.20,M14;	
634	ACT/22,,.80;	PROJS. TO OTHER
635	COLCT,INT(4),DI OTHER AFRCES;	AFRCES.
636	TERMINATE;	
637 M13	COLCT,INT(1),DI ISSUED;	
638	ACT,,M15;	
639 M14	COLCT,INT(4),DI FOR OTHER PROJ;	
640 M15	GOON,1;	
641	ACT/23,,.60,M16;	
642	ACT/24,,.40;	
643	AWAIT(22),REQ/1;	BASE REVISE PB & 1391
644	ACT/25,UNFRM(4,9);	
645	FREE,REQ/1;	
646 M16	GOON;	
647	AWAIT(24),DI;	DESIGN INSTRUCTION
648	ACT/26,UNFRM(3,6);	ISSUED COE BY AFRC.
649	AWAIT(28),CORPS;	
650	ASSIGN,XX(7) = XX(7) + 1;	COUNT PROJECTS.
651	ASSIGN,ATRIB(8) = TNCW;	
652	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = XX(8);	TIME FOR PREPARATION
653 ;		OF A DESIGN SCHEDULE.
654	GOON;	
655	ACT/27,XX(9);	PREPARE COMMERCE BUS.
656	GOON;	DAILY (CBD) AD.
657	ACT/28,UNFRM(20,55);	ADVERTIZE AND AWAIT
658 ;		AE RESPONSE.
659	GOON;	
660	ACT/29,XX(10);	AE SELECTION.
661 NA	GOON;	
662	ASSIGN,ATRIB(6) = TNCW - ATRIB(8);	CHECK TIME FOR PREP.
663	ASSIGN,ATRIB(6) = ATRIB(6) - ATRIB(3);	OF COE FINAL DESIGN
664	GOON,1;	SCHEDULE.
665	ACT,,ATRIB(6).GE.0,N0;	
666	ACT,1,,NA;	

667 NO	GOON,1;	
668	ACT/30,UNFRM(45,100);	ISSUE NOTICE TO
669 ;		PROCEED TO AE.
670	GOON;	
671	ACT/31,UNFRM(60,120);	30% DESIGN COMPLETION.
672	GOON,1;	
673	ACT,,ATRIB(7).NE.1.AND.ATRIB(7).NE.4,M17;	PROJS OTHER THAN
674	ACT/32,,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4;	WEAPON SYS (WS) PROJS.
675	GOON;	
676	COLCT,INT(1),TIME TO 30%;	
677	ACT,,M18;	
678 M17	COLCT,INT(4),OTHERS TO 30%;	SYSTEM TIME STATS.
679 M18	ASSIGN,ATRIB(8) = TNOW - ATRIB(2);	
680	GOON,1;	
681	ACT,,ATRIB(2).EQ.0,M19;	SEPARATE SITE DELAYED
682	ACT,,ATRIB(2).NE.0;	FROM THOSE WHICH HAD
683	GOON;	SITE ASSIGNED.
684	COLCT,INT(8),HOST BASE ASSIGNED;	SITE ASSIGNMENT STATS.
685 M19	GOON,1;	
686	ACT,,ATRIB(5).EQ.0,M20;	COLLECT STATS ONLY ON
687	ACT,,ATRIB(5).NE.0;	PROJS WHICH HAD DELAY.
688	ASSIGN,ATRIB(5) = TNOW - ATRIB(5);	
689	COLCT,INT(5),CONG. DELAY;	
690 M20	GOON;	
691	AWAIT(30),REV30;	30% DESIGN REVIEW.
692	ASSIGN,ATRIB(6) = XX(22);	
693	ACT/33,XX(21);	PREPARE FOR DIST OF
694	GOON,1;	30% DESIGN PACKAGE.
695	ACT;	
696	ASSIGN,ATRIB(6) = 45 - TNOW + ATRIB(6);	TIME REMAINING FOR
697	GOON,1;	30% REVIEW.
698	ACT/34,,ATRIB(6).LE.0,M21;	REVIEW TIME EXPIRED,
		NO INPUT FROM AFRCE.
		REVIEW TIME REMAINING.
699	ACT/35,,ATRIB(6).GT.0;	
700	GOON;	
701	ACT/36,ATRIB(6);	ACCOMPLISH REVIEW.
702 M21	GOON,1;	
703	ACT,UNFRM(4,12);	AFRCE COMPILES AND
704	GOON;	GIVES COMMENTS TO COE.
705	ACT,UNFRM(1,2);	DESIGN REVIEW MEETING.
706	GOON;	
707	ACT/37,UNFRM(5,15);	CORPS COMPILES REVIEW
708	GOON;	COMMENTS.
709	ACT,UNFRM(20,30);	AE MAKES CHANGES AND
710	AWAIT(34),COE/1;	RETURNS 35% DESIGN.
711	ACT/38,UNFRM(2,5);	COE FORWARDS TO AFRCE.
712	FREE,COE/1;	
713	AWAIT(35),AFRCE/1;	AFRCE PREPARES 1178 &
714	ACT,UNFRM(0,2);	FORWARDS TO LEECC.
715	FREE,AFRCE/1;	
716	AWAIT(37),CALL2;	PROJECTS TO LEECC ON
		1 AUGUST EACH YEAR.

717	ACT/39,EXPON(60);	OSD REVIEWS & INCLUDES
718	GOON,1;	PROJECTS IN BUDGET.
719	AWAIT(38),DI100;	AUTHORIZED TO PROCEED
720 ;		WITH DESIGN TO 100%.
721	ASSIGN,XX(3) = XX(3) + 1;	
722	GOON,1;	
723	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4,M22;	
724	ACT;	
725	GOON,1;	
726	ACT/40,,.95,M22;	95% TO CONGRESS IN
727	ACT,,.05;	BUDGET, 5% CANCELLED
728	TERMINATE;	& PROJECT TERMINATED.
729 M22	GOON;	
730	ACT/41,UNFRM(165,185);	CONG. REVIEWS & PASSES
731	GOON,1;	MCP CONSTRUCTION BILL.
732	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4,M23;	WEAPONS SYS. PROJECTS
733	ACT;	APPROVED FOR CONSTR.
734	GOON,1;	
735	ACT,,.95,M23;	95% INCLUDED IN MCP
736	ACT,,.05;	BILL, 5% NOT INCLUDED
737	TERMINATE;	CANCELL DESIGN & PROJ.
738 M23	GOON;	
739	ACT/42,UNFRM(60,80);	CONGRESS/OSD PROVIDE
740 ;		FUNDING FOR CONSTR.
741	GOON;	
742	ACT,UNFRM(2,3);	NOTIFY MAJCOM'S ECT.
743	GOON;	PROJECTS FUNDED.
744	ACT/43,UNFRM(15,25);	COMPLETE 95% DESIGN
745 ;		REVIEW (ALL PARTIES).
746	GOON;	
747	ACT,UNFRM(17,30);	AE MAKES CHANGES.
748	GOON;	
749	ACT/44,UNFRM(15,25);	COE CHECKS DESIGN TO
750 ;		INSURE ALL COMMENTS
751	AWAIT(42),NEWFY;	WERE INCORPORATED.
752	GOON;	START NEW FY 1 OCT.
753	AWAIT(41),COE/1;	
754	ACT,EXPON(2);	COE PREPARES C8D AD.
755 ;		FOR CONSTRUCTION.
756	FREE,COE/1;	
757	ACT/45,UNFRM(35,50);	ADVERTISE FOR PROJECT
758	GOON;	CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT.
759	ACT/46,UNFRM(4,10);	CONTRACT AWARD.
760	GOON;	
761	ACT,UNFRM(7,14);	NOTICE TO PROCEED.
762 N	GOON;	
763	ACT/47,UNFRM(285,720);	FACILITY CONSTRUCTION
764	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 0;	PERIOD ASSIGNED.
765	GOON,1;	
766	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4,M28	
767	ACT;	
768	GOON,1;	

```

769 ACT,,,40,M28;
770 ACT,,,60;
771 M24 GOON,1;
772 ACT,,,80,M26;
773 ACT,,,05,M27;
774 ACT,,,15;
775 M25 GOON,1;
776 AWAIT(45),COE/1;
777 ACT,UNFRM(1,3);
778 FREE,COE/1;
779 AWAIT(46),AFRCE/1;
780 ACT,EXPON(2);
781 FREE,AFRCE/1;
782 AWAIT(47),LEECC/1;
783 ACT/49,UNFRM(5,7);
784 FREE,LEECC/1;
785 ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = ATRIB(3) + 1;
786 GOON,1;
787 ACT,,,55,M28;
788 ACT,,,45;
789 GOON,1;
790 ACT,,ATRIB(3).EQ.2,M27;
791 ;
792 ;

793 ACT,,,M25;
794 M26 ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = ATRIB(3) + 1;
795 AWAIT(48),COE/1;
796 ACT/48,UNFRM(5,7);
797 FREE,COE/1;
798 ;
799 GOON,1;
800 ACT,,,20,M28;
801 ACT,,,80;
802 GOON,1;
803 ACT,,ATRIB(3).EQ.3,M28;
804 ACT,,,M24;
805 M27 GOON,1;
806 ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = ATRIB(3) + 1;
807 AWAIT(49),COE/1;
808 ACT,UNFRM(1,2);
809 FREE,COE/1;
810 AWAIT(50),AFRCE/1;
811 ACT,EXPON(2);
812 FREE,AFRCE/1;
813 AWAIT(51),LEECC/1;
814 ACT,UNFRM(5,7);
815 FREE,LEECC/1;
816 ACT/50,UNFRM(45,60);
817 GOON,1;
818 ACT,,,65,M28;
819 ACT,,,35;

```

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES
ON 60% OF THE PROJECTS.

ON 15% OF THE PROJS
CUMULATIVE CHANGES
TOTAL BETWEEN 5% &
15% OF THE PROGRAMMED
AMOUNT.
COE FORWARDS TO AFRCE.

AFRCE FORWARDS TO USAF.
USAF/LEECC PROCESSES
REQUEST FOR FUNDS.

CHANGES PER PROJECT.

55% NO FURTHER CHANGE.
45% GET MORE CHANGES.
AF AVE. IS 2.5 /PROJ.
NEXT CHANGE WILL CAUSE
INCREASE TO EXCEED 25%
OF PA. THEREFORE REQ.
CONG.ACTION(GO TO M27).
CHECK FOR MORE CHANGES.
COUNT CHANGES PER PROJ.

CUMULATIVE CHANGE
COST DOES NOT EXCEED
5% OF PROJECT PRO-
GRAMMED AMOUNT (PA).
20% RECEIVE NO MORE
CONSTR. CHANGES.
CHECK # OF CHANGES.
ALLOW A MAXIMUM OF 3
CHANGES PER PROJECT.

COUNT CHANGES PER PROJ.

CUMULATIVE COST OR
COST OF THIS CHANGE
EXCEEDS 25% OF PROJ.
PA, AFRCE FORWARDS TO
HQ USAF/LEECC.

LEECC FORWARDS TO CONG.

CONGRESS REVIEWS AND
APPROVES ADDED FUNDS.
65% RECEIVE NO FURTHER
CHANGES.

820	GOON,1;	
821	ACT,,ATRIB(3).GT.1,M28;	MAX. OF 2 CHANGES PER
822	ACT,,,M24;	PROJ. (AF AVE IS 2.5)
823 M28	ASSIGN,ATRIB(8) = TNOW - ATRIB(7);	
824	COLCT,INT(8),TYPE PROJECT,4,0,1;	TYPE OF PROJ.COMPLETED
825	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = TNOW - ATRIB(3);	
826	COLCT,INT(3),CONSTR. CHANGES,3/0/1;	NUMBER OF CHANGES.
827	GOON,1;	
828	ACT,,ATRIB(7).NE.1.AND.ATRIB(7).NE.4,M33;	OTHER PROJECTS SORTED.
829	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4;	
830	COLCT,INT(1),TIME COMPLETE;	CONSTR. COMPLETE TIME.
831	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = ATRIB(10) + UNFRM(100,365) + 1825;	
832	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = TNOW - ATRIB(10);	IOC CALCULATED.
833	GOON,1;	
834	ACT,,ATRIB(10).GT.0,M29;	PROJ. COMPLETED LATE.
835	ACT,,ATRIB(10).LT.0,M30;	PROJ. COMPLETED EARLY.
836	ACT,,ATRIB(10).EQ.0,M31;	PROJECT ON TIME.
837 M29	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = 3;	3 = MISSED IOC.(LATE)
838	ACT,,,M32;	
839 M30	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = 1;	1 = PRIOR TO IOC.
840	ACT,,,M32;	
841 M31	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = 2;	2 = READY AT IOC.
842 M32	GOON,1;	
843	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = TNOW - ATRIB(10);	
844	COLCT,INT(10),DELIVERY STATUS,3/0/1;	DELIVERY TIME STATS.
845	GOON,1;	
846	ASSIGN,ATRIB(9) = TNOW - ATRIB(9);	CALCULATE PRIORITY.
847	COLCT,INT(9),PRIORITY,4/0/1;	BED DOWN PROJ.PRIORITY
848	ACT,,,M34;	
849 M33	GOON;	
850	COLCT,INT(4),OTHERS COMPLETE;	OTHERS PROJ. COMPLETE.
851	GOON;	
852	ASSIGN,ATRIB(9) = TNOW - ATRIB(9);	CALCULATE & COLLECT
853	COLCT,INT(9),PRIORITY OTHERS,4/0/1;	STATS ON PRIORITY OF
854 M34	GOON,1;	ALL OTHER PROJECTS.
855	ENDNETWORK;	END OF SIMULATION.
856 ;		
857 ;		
858 INIT,0,5840;		SIMULATE 10 YRS. PLUS
859 ;		6 YR. WARM-UP PERIOD.
860 MONTR,SUMRY,2190,365		
861 MONTR,CLEAR,2190,365;		COLLECT STATS. EVERY
862 FIN;		YEAR STARTING AT YR. 6.

Immediately following this program listing are the results of the simulation run. An explanation and definition of the statistics printed in the SLAM Summary Report are included as Appendix G.

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .2190E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .0000E+00

END OF WARM-UP PERIOD

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO USAF	.192E+03	.550E+02	.287E+00	.906E+02	.296E+03	122
D1 OTHER AFRCS	.434E+03	.125E+03	.287E+00	.121E+03	.620E+03	1130
D1 ISSUED	.314E+03	.122E+03	.387E+00	.226E+03	.661E+03	119
D1 FOR OTHER PRO	.443E+03	.122E+03	.276E+00	.140E+03	.620E+03	283
TIME TO 30%	.557E+03	.118E+03	.213E+00	.441E+03	.925E+03	98
OTHERS TO 30%	.693E+03	.138E+03	.199E+00	.376E+03	.943E+03	231
CONG. DELAY	.784E+02	.365E+02	.465E+00	.592E+02	.263E+03	56
TYPE PROJECT	.167E+01	.837E+00	.500E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	43
CONSTR. CHANGES	.512E+00	.103E+01	.202E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	43
TIME COMPLETE	.168E+04	.166E+03	.991E-01	.135E+04	.202E+04	24
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	24
PRIORITY	.157E+01	.109E+01	.694E+00	.755E-01	.400E+01	24
OTHERS COMPLETE	.187E+04	.210E+03	.112E+00	.127E+04	.211E+04	19
PRIORITY OTHERS	.190E+01	.124E+01	.656E+00	.567E-01	.387E+01	19

REMAINDER OF STATISTICS FOR WARM-UP PERIOD NOT INCLUDED.

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .2555E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .2190E+04

END OF YEAR 7

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO USAF	.188E+03	.537E+02	.286E+00	.973E+02	.292E+03	20
DI OTHER AFRCS	.446E+03	.120E+03	.269E+00	.149E+03	.625E+03	226
DI ISSUED	.346E+03	.134E+03	.387E+00	.291E+03	.656E+03	20
DI FOR OTHER PRO	.465E+03	.118E+03	.252E+00	.181E+03	.625E+03	62
TIME TO 30%	.559E+03	.129E+03	.231E+00	.449E+03	.869E+03	21
OTHERS TO 30%	.682E+03	.987E+02	.145E+00	.451E+03	.831E+03	58
CONG. DELAY	.697E+02	.289E+00	.414E-02	.696E+02	.702E+02	13
TYPE PROJECT	.226E+01	.829E+00	.367E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	90
CONSTR. CHANGES	.867E+00	.119E+01	.138E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	90
TIME COMPLETE	.183E+04	.215E+03	.117E+00	.141E+04	.213E+04	22
DELIVERY STATUS	.109E+01	.426E+00	.391E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	22
PRIORITY	.164E+01	.128E+01	.780E+00	.319E-01	.391E+01	22
OTHERS COMPLETE	.205E+04	.256E+03	.125E+00	.124E+04	.249E+04	68
PRIORITY OTHERS	.181E+01	.116E+01	.644E+00	.522E-01	.394E+01	68

FILE STATISTICS

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
1	AWAIT	3.601	4.823	14	0	65.710
2	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
3	AWAIT	.122	.417	2	0	2.234
4	AWAIT	.338	.883	4	0	6.171
5	AWAIT	.036	.217	3	0	.649
6	AWAIT	164.041	83.464	250	250	119.511
7	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
8	AWAIT	218.148	82.011	251	251	158.614
9	AWAIT	.046	.217	2	0	.834
10	AWAIT	67.313	52.996	169	29	104.107
11	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000

FILE STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
12	AWAIT	.359	.480	1	0	18.730
13	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
14	AWAIT	32.807	71.539	251	0	26.145
15	AWAIT	.000	.021	1	0	.082
16	AWAIT	4.281	11.966	45	0	21.404
17	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
18	AWAIT	6.423	21.045	123	0	8.084
19	AWAIT	.252	.434	1	0	18.383
20	AWAIT	.018	.134	1	0	1.339
21	AWAIT	22.460	33.345	124	0	26.617
22	AWAIT	1.470	3.513	11	0	17.888
23	AWAIT	.295	.456	1	0	15.372
24	AWAIT	4.229	6.190	30	0	18.826
25	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
26	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
27	AWAIT	.002	.047	1	0	.403
28	AWAIT	.081	.704	14	0	.359
29	AWAIT	.002	.048	1	0	.060
30	AWAIT	1.646	1.572	6	3	7.237
31	AWAIT	1.321	1.941	5	0	34.431
32	AWAIT	1.496	1.842	4	0	39.004
33	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
34	AWAIT	1.573	4.171	18	0	7.177
35	AWAIT	6.335	10.861	38	0	28.199
36	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
37	AWAIT	21.787	18.809	64	64	82.835
38	AWAIT	.030	.180	2	0	.330
39	AWAIT	.015	.120	1	0	.484
40	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
41	AWAIT	7.181	11.739	32	0	41.604
42	AWAIT	11.308	10.258	35	3	62.539
43	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
44	AWAIT	10.581	6.107	20	19	99.026
45	AWAIT	.434	.929	4	0	13.211
46	AWAIT	.326	.469	1	0	10.807
47	AWAIT	.052	.350	3	0	1.579
48	AWAIT	3.172	5.446	17	0	15.858
49	AWAIT	.275	.474	2	0	12.564
50	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
51	AWAIT	.009	.096	1	0	.427
52	AWAIT	.133	.482	3	0	2.430
53	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
54	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
55	CALENDAR	456.528	137.873	870	375	10.082

REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
1	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
2	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
3	.4517	.9768	5	0	20
4	2.2364	2.2260	6	0	20
5	.3507	.6753	3	0	20
6	.8425	1.1746	5	0	20
7	.2971	.6334	3	0	20
8	.7255	1.0410	4	0	20
9	2.5044	20.1356	251	0	458
10	3.7932	23.1197	251	0	458
11	.0000	.0000	1	0	288
12	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
13	.0000	.0000	1	0	215
14	.0000	.0000	1	0	73
15	7.1836	15.5816	45	0	73
16	.0000	.0000	1	0	69
17	.0000	.0000	1	0	4
18	.1569	.5812	3	1	3
19	.0473	.2640	2	0	3
20	3.6021	10.8458	43	27	45
21	.4088	1.0867	5	0	295
22	.0000	.0000	1	0	226
23	.0000	.0000	1	0	52
24	.0000	.0000	1	0	30
25	.5251	1.3989	6	0	30
26	.9907	4.4601	35	0	82
27	2.9487	8.4671	39	0	82
28	6.5138	11.1147	55	51	68
29	1.1981	2.7603	16	0	73
30	14.4767	15.7510	50	11	79
31	19.8482	14.6102	50	14	79
32	.0000	.0000	1	0	21
33	.3794	1.4414	7	0	80
34	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
35	.0000	.0000	1	0	80
36	9.4763	8.0832	35	0	80
37	2.1128	2.4735	10	0	80
38	.7693	1.3154	10	0	82
39	4.8873	6.5716	33	2	33
40	.0000	.0000	1	0	21
41	20.7144	13.2204	48	28	49
42	9.4735	9.3548	27	0	49
43	2.7348	3.0548	10	0	50
44	2.8244	2.8352	9	0	53
45	7.3959	11.6133	32	1	62
46	1.0289	2.9293	19	17	45
47	137.2751	20.5154	173	111	95

****REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)****

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
48	1.2051	2.3388	13	1	72
49	.2167	.6412	4	1	12
50	.8055	1.2737	5	5	4
90	.4493	.4974	1	0	82
91	.0822	.2747	1	0	1
92	.0110	.1041	1	0	2
93	.0274	.1632	1	0	2
94	.0000	.0000	1	0	5
95	.0000	.0000	1	0	11
97	.0959	.2944	1	0	7
98	.0767	.2661	1	0	7
99	.0384	.1921	1	0	14

****RESOURCE STATISTICS****

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.34	1.815	5	0
2	LEECC	6	2.02	1.923	6	2
3	REQ	6	3.69	2.597	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.89	2.197	7	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	2.31	1.739	4	0
7	MPROG	5	1.82	1.775	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	5.43	3.425	9	1
9	COE	18	10.56	6.229	18	10

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT AVAILABLE	AVERAGE AVAILABLE	MINIMUM AVAILABLE	MAXIMUM AVAILABLE
1	LEECD	5	3.6645	0	5
2	LEECC	4	3.9781	0	6
3	REQ	6	2.3108	0	6
4	BDEE	7	4.1055	0	7
5	BASE	1	1.0000	1	1
6	MDEE	4	1.6904	0	4
7	MPROG	5	3.1786	0	5
8	AFRCE	8	3.5708	0	9
9	COE	8	7.4387	0	17

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0110
8	DI	CLOSED	.0959
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0274
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0767
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0384
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	CLOSED	.2110
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9890
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9890

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
22	.244	.100E+01	*****					+
23	.256	.200E+01	*****			C		+
45	.500	.300E+01	*****					C
0	.000	.400E+01	+					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
90			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.226E+01	.829E+00	.367E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	90

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	REL	UPPER								
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100		
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
53	.589	.000E+00	*****							+
13	.144	.100E+01	*****				C			+
7	.078	.200E+01	*****					C		+
17	.189	.300E+01	*****							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
90			0	20	40	60	80	100		

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.867E+00	.119E+01	.138E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	90

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 12****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	REL	UPPER								
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100		
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+							+
21	.955	.100E+01	*****							+
0	.000	.200E+01	+						C	+
1	.045	.300E+01	***							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
22			0	20	40	60	80	100		

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.109E+01	.426E+00	.391E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	22

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 13****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL	LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ									
0	.000	.000E+00	+		+	+	+	+	+	+
9	.409	.100E+01	+	*****						+
5	.227	.200E+01	+	*****				C		+
3	.136	.300E+01	+	*****					C	+
5	.227	.400E+01	+	*****						C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+		+	+	+	+	+	+
22					0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.164E+01	.128E+01	.780E+00	.319E-01	.391E+01	22

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 15****

PRIORITY OTHERS

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL	LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ									
0	.000	.000E+00	+		+	+	+	+	+	+
20	.294	.100E+01	+	*****						+
20	.294	.200E+01	+	*****				C		+
15	.221	.300E+01	+	*****					C	+
13	.191	.400E+01	+	*****						C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+		+	+	+	+	+	+
68					0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY OTHERS	.181E+01	.116E+01	.644E+00	.522E-01	.394E+01	68

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .2920E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .2555E+04

END OF YEAR 8

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO USAF	.173E+03	.565E+02	.327E+00	.795E+02	.263E+03	20
DI OTHER AFRCS	.426E+03	.942E+02	.221E+00	.136E+03	.579E+03	256
DI ISSUED	.327E+03	.131E+03	.400E+00	.275E+03	.640E+03	21
DI FOR OTHER PRO	.409E+03	.107E+03	.261E+00	.162E+03	.541E+03	57
TIME TO 30%	.583E+03	.150E+03	.258E+00	.471E+03	.957E+03	20
OTHERS TO 30%	.716E+03	.132E+03	.184E+00	.408E+03	.892E+03	67
CONG. DELAY	.833E+02	.898E+01	.108E+00	.670E+02	.101E+03	16
TYPE PROJECT	.217E+01	.837E+00	.386E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	105
CONSTR. CHANGES	.114E+01	.135E+01	.119E+01	.000E+00	.600E+01	105
TIME COMPLETE	.178E+04	.283E+03	.159E+00	.126E+04	.232E+04	29
DELIVERY STATUS	.134E+01	.769E+00	.572E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	29
PRIORITY	.157E+01	.113E+01	.719E+00	.385E-01	.384E+01	29
OTHERS COMPLETE	.200E+04	.301E+03	.151E+00	.140E+04	.271E+04	76
PRIORITY OTHERS	.215E+01	.114E+01	.530E+00	.456E-01	.398E+01	76

FILE STATISTICS

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
1	AWAIT	3.425	4.879	14	0	62.507
2	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
3	AWAIT	.071	.390	3	0	1.298
4	AWAIT	.288	.805	4	0	5.261
5	AWAIT	.012	.107	1	0	.212
6	AWAIT	164.041	83.464	250	250	119.511
7	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
8	AWAIT	218.632	81.586	251	251	158.965
9	AWAIT	.022	.146	1	0	.399
10	AWAIT	66.911	52.137	162	37	103.485
11	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
12	AWAIT	.011	.103	1	0	.647
13	AWAIT	.000	.006	1	0	.006

FILE STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
14	AWAIT	21.465	58.057	251	0	17.411
15	AWAIT	.005	.071	1	0	.918
16	AWAIT	3.129	9.996	40	0	17.847
17	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
18	AWAIT	9.917	26.742	130	0	10.838
19	AWAIT	.051	.221	1	0	2.083
20	AWAIT	.008	.087	1	0	.309
21	AWAIT	9.259	21.754	118	0	10.118
22	AWAIT	1.040	2.674	12	0	10.541
23	AWAIT	.296	.457	1	0	15.446
24	AWAIT	2.528	3.976	25	0	11.827
25	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
26	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
27	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
28	AWAIT	.093	.747	14	0	.435
29	AWAIT	.002	.039	1	0	.035
30	AWAIT	1.629	1.499	8	3	6.608
31	AWAIT	.676	1.133	3	0	15.412
32	AWAIT	1.168	1.718	4	0	26.644
33	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
34	AWAIT	.013	.115	1	0	.059
35	AWAIT	5.852	12.640	51	0	26.371
36	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
37	AWAIT	48.189	31.929	80	65	121.302
38	AWAIT	.539	2.202	17	0	2.657
39	AWAIT	.076	.264	1	0	1.969
40	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
41	AWAIT	.301	2.002	18	0	3.787
42	AWAIT	3.465	5.102	23	2	40.801
43	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
44	AWAIT	11.019	6.050	20	19	103.128
45	AWAIT	.025	.155	1	0	.498
46	AWAIT	.689	1.186	4	0	13.245
47	AWAIT	.039	.291	3	0	.754
48	AWAIT	.030	.169	1	0	.137
49	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
50	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
51	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
52	AWAIT	.054	.236	2	0	.987
53	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
54	AWAIT	.004	.066	1	0	.008
55	CALENDAR	422.642	116.004	805	313	9.118

****REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS****

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
1	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
2	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
3	.4509	1.0514	5	0	20
4	2.1358	2.2444	6	0	20
5	.3504	.7415	3	0	20
6	.8853	1.2762	4	0	20
7	.3002	.5771	3	0	20
8	.6844	1.0356	4	0	20
9	2.4409	20.0297	251	0	450
10	3.6496	22.8128	251	0	450
11	.0000	.0000	1	0	286
12	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
13	.0000	.0000	1	0	222
14	.0000	.0000	1	0	64
15	4.6071	11.4178	40	0	64
16	.0000	.0000	1	0	60
17	.0000	.0000	1	0	4
18	.1309	.5195	3	0	5
19	.0792	.3525	3	0	5
20	4.2682	10.4687	40	1	91
21	.4529	1.1065	5	0	334
22	.0000	.0000	1	0	256
23	.0000	.0000	1	0	42
24	.0000	.0000	1	0	36
25	.6645	1.5374	6	0	36
26	.9860	3.9008	30	0	78
27	2.3694	6.9424	39	4	74
28	9.1855	13.5851	51	41	84
29	1.0756	2.3828	12	2	82
30	18.2629	16.5569	55	0	93
31	22.7379	15.7617	54	20	87
32	.0000	.0000	1	0	20
33	.7249	1.8143	8	0	87
34	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
35	.0000	.0000	1	0	87
36	9.7027	9.3404	35	5	82
37	2.2130	2.8689	12	0	82
38	.7486	1.1455	5	1	81
39	11.9426	18.7169	80	8	74
40	.0000	.0000	1	0	54
41	27.3725	25.2790	72	72	30
42	5.3901	6.2428	21	0	28
43	1.5567	2.3655	9	0	28
44	1.4861	2.3258	9	0	28
45	3.4028	7.3586	25	0	30
46	.7604	2.4057	17	0	47
47	94.7154	21.7839	139	72	98

REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
48	1.2769	1.1229	5	0	90
49	.3285	.5879	3	0	20
30	1.1828	1.2858	5	1	10
90	.4493	.4974	1	0	82
91	.0822	.2747	1	0	1
92	.0110	.1041	1	0	2
93	.0411	.1985	1	0	3
94	.0000	.0000	1	0	9
95	.0000	.0000	1	0	14
97	.0959	.2944	1	0	7
98	.0715	.2577	1	1	6
99	.0438	.2047	1	0	16

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.48	1.896	5	0
2	LEECC	6	2.72	2.166	6	4
3	REQ	6	3.63	2.665	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.41	2.067	7	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	1.91	1.751	4	0
7	MPROG	5	1.95	1.754	5	2
8	AFRCE	9	5.01	3.204	9	3
9	COE	18	8.61	5.079	18	4

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT AVAILABLE	AVERAGE AVAILABLE	MINIMUM AVAILABLE	MAXIMUM AVAILABLE
1	LEECD	5	3.5172	0	5
2	LEECC	2	3.2786	0	6
3	REQ	6	2.3727	0	6
4	BDEE	7	4.5881	0	7
5	BASE	1	1.0000	1	1
6	MDEE	4	2.0932	0	4
7	MPROG	3	3.0537	0	5
8	AFRCE	6	3.9887	0	9
9	COE	14	9.3890	0	17

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0164
8	DI	CLOSED	.0959
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0822
10	CORPS	OPEN	.0715
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0438
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	CLOSED	.2685
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9836
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9836

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
29	.276	.100E+01	*****					+
29	.276	.200E+01	*****			C		+
47	.448	.300E+01	*****					C
0	.000	.400E+01	+					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
105			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.217E+01	.837E+00	.386E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	105

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
53	.505	.000E+00	*****								+
14	.133	.100E+01	*****				C				+
11	.105	.200E+01	*****					C			+
26	.248	.300E+01	*****								C
1	.010	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
105			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.114E+01	.135E+01	.119E+01	.000E+00	.600E+01	105

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 12****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
24	.828	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+					C			+
5	.172	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
29			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.134E+01	.769E+00	.572E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	29

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 13****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
0	.000	.000E+00		+	+	+	+	+	+
11	.379	.100E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
8	.276	.200E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
6	.207	.300E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
4	.138	.400E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF		+	+	+	+	+	+
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
29				0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.157E+01	.113E+01	.719E+00	.385E-01	.384E+01	29

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 15****

PRIORITY OTHERS

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
0	.000	.000E+00		+	+	+	+	+	+
15	.197	.100E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
19	.250	.200E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
20	.263	.300E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
22	.289	.400E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF		+	+	+	+	+	+
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
76				0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY OTHERS	.215E+01	.114E+01	.530E+00	.456E-01	.398E+01	76

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .3285E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .2920E+04

END OF YEAR 9

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO USAF	.178E+03	.500E+02	.281E+00	.111E+03	.255E+03	20
DI OTHER AFRCS	.429E+03	.101E+03	.234E+00	.139E+03	.554E+03	217
DI ISSUED	.288E+03	.113E+03	.391E+00	.223E+03	.629E+03	22
DI FOR OTHER PRO	.419E+03	.114E+03	.273E+00	.154E+03	.569E+03	66
TIME TO 30%	.563E+03	.135E+03	.240E+00	.455E+03	.909E+03	21
OTHERS TO 30%	.653E+03	.120E+03	.184E+00	.397E+03	.902E+03	57
CONG. DELAY	.653E+02	.140E+01	.214E-01	.641E+02	.668E+02	9
TYPE PROJECT	.213E+01	.875E+00	.411E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	47
CONSTR. CHANGES	.104E+01	.125E+01	.120E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	47
TIME COMPLETE	.184E+04	.229E+03	.125E+00	.158E+04	.241E+04	15
DELIVERY STATUS	.127E+01	.704E+00	.554E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	15
PRIORITY	.214E+01	.109E+01	.511E+00	.262E+00	.391E+01	15
OTHERS COMPLETE	.196E+04	.267E+03	.136E+00	.151E+04	.262E+04	32
PRIORITY OTHERS	.207E+01	.103E+01	.498E+00	.229E+00	.387E+01	32

FILE STATISTICS

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
1	AWAIT	3.383	4.818	14	0	61.743
2	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
3	AWAIT	.149	.551	3	0	2.726
4	AWAIT	.323	1.056	6	0	5.897
5	AWAIT	.025	.155	1	0	.447
6	AWAIT	164.041	83.464	250	250	119.511
7	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
8	AWAIT	218.194	82.046	251	251	158.647
9	AWAIT	.036	.259	2	0	.653
10	AWAIT	67.852	52.895	170	36	104.059
11	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
12	AWAIT	.005	.069	1	0	.249

FILE STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
13	AWAIT	.001	.032	1	0	.127
14	AWAIT	9.103	37.535	251	0	7.335
15	AWAIT	.004	.060	1	0	.654
16	AWAIT	4.575	12.543	47	3	17.955
17	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
18	AWAIT	8.428	21.373	115	0	10.086
19	AWAIT	.041	.199	1	0	1.882
20	AWAIT	.006	.078	1	0	.278
21	AWAIT	10.156	19.538	95	0	12.154
22	AWAIT	.745	2.035	9	0	7.556
23	AWAIT	.119	.324	1	0	6.223
24	AWAIT	2.376	3.965	25	0	9.855
25	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
26	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
27	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
28	AWAIT	.084	.714	15	0	.354
29	AWAIT	.001	.031	1	0	.025
30	AWAIT	1.524	1.289	7	2	6.867
31	AWAIT	.039	.192	1	0	1.004
32	AWAIT	.477	.977	3	0	12.446
33	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
34	AWAIT	.001	.024	1	0	.003
35	AWAIT	1.210	3.082	14	0	5.888
36	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
37	AWAIT	48.411	36.601	93	23	126.214
38	AWAIT	.080	.419	4	0	.252
39	AWAIT	.017	.130	1	0	.369
40	AWAIT	.097	.295	1	0	7.050
41	AWAIT	.673	4.277	38	0	3.365
42	AWAIT	5.224	9.906	47	2	25.425
43	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
44	AWAIT	10.573	6.180	20	19	98.949
45	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
46	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
47	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
48	AWAIT	.015	.121	1	0	.169
49	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
50	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
51	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
52	AWAIT	.103	.451	3	0	1.877
53	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
54	AWAIT	.011	.135	2	0	.019
55	CALENDAR	436.599	104.197	789	391	9.360

REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
1	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
2	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
3	.4618	.9226	4	0	20
4	2.1192	2.1515	6	0	20
5	.3153	.6566	3	0	20
6	.9841	1.3261	5	0	20
7	.2644	.4971	2	0	20
8	.7002	1.1052	4	0	20
9	2.5193	20.7077	251	0	453
10	3.7391	23.4701	251	0	453
11	.0000	.0000	1	0	285
12	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
13	.0000	.0000	1	0	192
14	.0000	.0000	1	0	93
15	10.1771	18.8877	47	0	90
16	.0000	.0000	1	0	87
17	.0000	.0000	1	0	3
18	.0701	.3209	2	0	3
19	.0515	.2416	2	0	3
20	5.1900	13.9898	47	0	91
21	.4234	.9987	5	0	305
22	.0000	.0000	1	0	217
23	.0000	.0000	1	0	52
24	.0000	.0000	1	0	36
25	.6618	1.4966	6	0	36
26	1.0705	3.6861	25	1	87
27	2.4023	5.8997	25	14	77
28	8.7949	10.6658	43	22	96
29	1.4982	2.5850	13	5	93
30	15.1157	12.6881	46	21	72
31	17.3650	12.7347	44	14	78
32	.0000	.0000	1	0	21
33	.7203	2.0379	12	0	79
34	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
35	.0000	.0000	1	0	79
36	8.5989	7.6044	24	10	74
37	2.0314	2.8050	11	0	74
38	.7588	1.2081	6	0	75
39	16.8076	21.2251	93	10	115
40	.0000	.0000	1	0	86
41	45.6798	34.3515	106	106	78
42	14.3911	16.2884	52	1	74
43	4.0455	5.5495	21	1	73
44	3.8920	5.3322	20	0	73
45	8.4580	17.6306	62	0	73
46	1.3461	3.8723	23	0	73
47	64.8416	19.8399	102	97	48

REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
48	.5225	.6822	3	1	31
49	.2088	.4522	2	1	12
50	.7988	.9833	3	0	6
90	.4493	.4974	1	0	82
91	.0822	.2747	1	0	1
92	.0110	.1041	1	0	2
93	.0548	.2276	1	0	4
94	.0000	.0000	1	0	8
95	.0000	.0000	1	0	17
97	.0959	.2944	1	0	7
98	.0795	.2704	1	1	7
99	.0384	.1921	1	0	14

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.41	1.983	5	0
2	LEECC	6	3.29	2.047	6	5
3	REQ	6	3.65	2.693	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.63	2.138	7	1
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	2.07	1.787	4	1
7	MPROG	5	1.93	1.765	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	4.37	2.863	9	3
9	COE	18	6.52	4.206	18	5

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT AVAILABLE	AVERAGE AVAILABLE	MINIMUM AVAILABLE	MAXIMUM AVAILABLE
1	LEECD	5	3.5936	0	5
2	LEECC	1	2.7051	0	6
3	REQ	6	2.3541	0	6
4	BDEE	6	4.3686	0	7
5	BASE	1	1.0000	1	1
6	MDEE	3	1.9333	0	4
7	MPROG	5	3.0690	0	5
8	AFRCE	6	4.6264	0	9
9	COE	13	11.4841	0	18

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0219
8	DI	CLOSED	.0939
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0822
10	CORPS	OPEN	.0795
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0384
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	CLOSED	.4226
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9781
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9781

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
15	.319	.100E+01	*****					+
11	.234	.200E+01	*****			C		+
21	.447	.300E+01	*****					C
0	.000	.400E+01	+					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
47			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.213E+01	.875E+00	.411E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	47

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
24	.511	.000E+00	*****								+
8	.170	.100E+01	*****				C				+
4	.085	.200E+01	*****					C			+
11	.234	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
47			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.104E+01	.125E+01	.120E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	47

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 12****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
13	.867	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+					C			+
2	.133	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
15			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.127E+01	.704E+00	.556E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	15

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 13

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0		20		40		60		80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
2	.133	.100E+01	*****									+
5	.333	.200E+01	*****				C					+
4	.267	.300E+01	*****							C		+
4	.267	.400E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
15			0		20		40		60		80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.214E+01	.109E+01	.511E+00	.262E+00	.391E+01	15

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 15

PRIORITY OTHERS

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0		20		40		60		80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
4	.125	.100E+01	*****									+
11	.344	.200E+01	*****				C					+
9	.281	.300E+01	*****							C		+
8	.250	.400E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
32			0		20		40		60		80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY OTHERS	.207E+01	.103E+01	.498E+00	.229E+00	.387E+01	32

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .3650E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED .3285E+04

END OF YEAR 10

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO USAF	.193E+03	.530E+02	.274E+00	.994E+02	.292E+03	20
DI OTHER AFRCS	.432E+03	.122E+03	.284E+00	.125E+03	.601E+03	233
DI ISSUED	.252E+03	.162E+02	.645E-01	.241E+03	.276E+03	17
DI FOR OTHER PRO	.430E+03	.126E+03	.293E+00	.157E+03	.601E+03	57
TIME TO 30%	.532E+03	.106E+03	.199E+00	.453E+03	.855E+03	22
OTHERS TO 30%	.650E+03	.123E+03	.189E+00	.377E+03	.838E+03	59
CONG. DELAY	.757E+02	.730E+01	.963E-01	.702E+02	.850E+02	24
TYPE PROJECT	.224E+01	.839E+00	.375E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	51
CONSTR. CHANGES	.902E+00	.122E+01	.135E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	51
TIME COMPLETE	.192E+04	.223E+03	.116E+00	.164E+04	.240E+04	13
DELIVERY STATUS	.131E+01	.751E+00	.574E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	13
PRIORITY	.211E+01	.117E+01	.556E+00	.547E-01	.389E+01	13
OTHERS COMPLETE	.195E+04	.235E+03	.121E+00	.165E+04	.288E+04	38
PRIORITY OTHERS	.199E+01	.113E+01	.568E+00	.233E-01	.391E+01	38

FILE STATISTICS

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
1	AWAIT	3.808	4.970	14	0	69.495
2	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
3	AWAIT	.023	.149	1	0	.414
4	AWAIT	.480	1.087	5	0	8.764
5	AWAIT	.009	.096	1	0	.170
6	AWAIT	164.041	83.464	250	250	119.511
7	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
8	AWAIT	218.353	81.767	251	251	158.763
9	AWAIT	.050	.300	2	0	.914
10	AWAIT	69.083	52.943	172	43	102.919

FILE STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
11	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
12	AWAIT	.319	.466	1	0	14.535
13	AWAIT	.000	.018	1	0	.040
14	AWAIT	5.213	28.131	251	0	4.201
15	AWAIT	.004	.061	1	0	.691
16	AWAIT	5.168	11.325	47	1	26.198
17	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
18	AWAIT	11.704	25.768	117	0	13.916
19	AWAIT	.213	.409	1	0	12.950
20	AWAIT	.003	.050	1	0	.153
21	AWAIT	18.084	24.625	96	0	21.500
22	AWAIT	.896	2.192	8	0	10.897
23	AWAIT	.304	.460	1	0	18.521
24	AWAIT	3.258	4.764	26	0	16.071
25	AWAIT	.007	.084	1	0	.520
26	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
27	AWAIT	.000	.012	1	0	.018
28	AWAIT	.130	.752	9	0	.632
29	AWAIT	.004	.059	1	0	.092
30	AWAIT	2.154	1.628	6	3	9.471
31	AWAIT	.882	1.474	4	0	22.993
32	AWAIT	1.197	1.739	4	0	31.199
33	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
34	AWAIT	1.054	3.198	16	0	4.527
35	AWAIT	2.557	5.623	23	0	10.978
36	AWAIT	.000	.019	1	0	.126
37	AWAIT	21.219	16.876	50	12	71.713
38	AWAIT	.092	.343	3	2	.401
39	AWAIT	.046	.210	1	1	.848
40	AWAIT	.022	.148	1	0	2.719
41	AWAIT	14.482	27.024	78	0	51.320
42	AWAIT	8.551	14.937	64	11	27.378
43	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
44	AWAIT	10.964	6.059	20	19	102.615
45	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
46	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
47	AWAIT	.122	.342	2	2	4.936
48	AWAIT	1.015	2.355	9	0	9.752
49	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
50	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
51	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
52	AWAIT	.028	.165	1	0	.512
53	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
54	AWAIT	.005	.087	2	0	.008
55	CALENDAR	470.016	131.565	873	401	9.935

****REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS****

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
1	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
2	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
3	.4537	.9603	4	0	20
4	2.2253	2.2842	6	0	20
5	.3713	.7401	3	0	20
6	.9675	1.3364	5	0	20
7	.4640	.7925	3	0	20
8	.7014	.9243	3	0	20
9	2.4203	20.1210	251	0	453
10	3.7400	23.4221	251	0	453
11	.0000	.0000	1	0	288
12	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
13	.0000	.0000	1	0	219
14	.0000	.0000	1	0	69
15	7.2287	15.3967	47	0	71
16	.0000	.0000	1	0	67
17	.0000	.0000	1	0	4
18	.1359	.4685	2	0	4
19	.0750	.2965	2	0	4
20	4.0849	11.3942	47	0	71
21	.4227	.9532	5	0	307
22	.0000	.0000	1	0	233
23	.0000	.0000	1	0	44
24	.0000	.0000	1	0	30
25	.5484	1.3446	6	0	30
26	.9130	3.5483	31	0	75
27	2.8003	7.2760	35	7	82
28	9.2266	13.1610	47	11	93
29	1.7891	3.7388	20	14	84
30	14.0518	14.9388	45	33	72
31	19.6177	15.2733	49	5	81
32	.0000	.0000	1	0	22
33	.5307	1.6310	7	0	80
34	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
35	.0000	.0000	1	0	80
36	10.0293	7.8036	29	0	90
37	2.2197	2.5254	10	0	85
38	.8264	1.5297	16	0	85
39	14.2770	17.0984	55	22	84
40	.0000	.0000	1	0	56
41	43.0230	28.7380	110	71	116
42	21.7557	20.4391	65	0	114
43	6.2284	7.3953	31	0	115
44	6.3275	7.4117	31	3	112
45	11.7558	22.8220	79	0	103
46	1.9185	5.7393	42	0	103
47	84.4599	14.0519	147	147	51

****REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)****

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
48	.6297	1.4503	9	0	39
49	.1218	.3271	1	0	8
50	.2877	.6545	2	0	2
90	.4493	.4974	1	0	82
91	.0822	.2747	1	0	1
92	.0110	.1041	1	0	2
93	.0548	.2276	1	0	4
94	.0000	.0000	1	0	6
95	.0000	.0000	1	0	19
97	.0822	.2747	1	0	6
98	.0859	.2802	1	1	8
99	.0384	.1921	1	0	14

****RESOURCE STATISTICS****

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.49	2.035	5	0
2	LEECC	6	3.07	2.325	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.77	2.626	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.91	1.977	7	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	2.43	1.530	4	0
7	MPROG	5	1.95	1.694	5	2
8	AFRCE	9	5.44	2.807	9	3
9	COE	18	9.76	5.762	18	5

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT AVAILABLE	AVERAGE AVAILABLE	MINIMUM AVAILABLE	MAXIMUM AVAILABLE
1	LEECD	5	3.5133	0	5
2	LEECC	0	2.9303	0	6
3	REQ	6	2.2305	0	6
4	BDEE	7	4.0891	0	7
5	BASE	1	1.0000	1	1
6	MDEE	4	1.5708	0	4
7	MPROG	3	3.0466	0	5
8	AFRCE	6	3.5605	0	8
9	COE	13	8.2398	0	17

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0219
8	DI	CLOSED	.0822
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0548
10	CORPS	OPEN	.0859
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0384
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2469
13	DI100	CLOSED	.3867
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9781
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9781

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
13	.255	.100E+01	*****					+
13	.255	.200E+01	*****			C		+
25	.490	.300E+01	*****					C
0	.000	.400E+01	+					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
51			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO. OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.224E+01	.839E+00	.375E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	51

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
31	.408	.000E+00	*****								+
3	.059	.100E+01	****				C				+
8	.157	.200E+01	*****					C			+
9	.176	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
51			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.902E+00	.122E+01	.135E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	51

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 12****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
11	.846	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+				C				+
2	.154	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
13			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.131E+01	.751E+00	.574E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	13

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 13****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
2	.154	.100E+01	*****									+
5	.385	.200E+01	*****			C						+
2	.154	.300E+01	*****				C					+
4	.308	.400E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
13			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.211E+01	.117E+01	.554E+00	.547E-01	.389E+01	13

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 15****

PRIORITY OTHERS

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
9	.237	.100E+01	*****									+
10	.263	.200E+01	*****			C						+
10	.263	.300E+01	*****				C					+
9	.237	.400E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
38			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY OTHERS	.199E+01	.113E+01	.568E+00	.233E-01	.391E+01	38

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .4015E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .3650E+04

END OF YEAR 11

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO USAF	.195E+03	.618E+02	.317E+00	.906E+02	.311E+03	20
D1 OTHER AFRCS	.453E+03	.120E+03	.264E+00	.128E+03	.634E+03	207
D1 ISSUED	.326E+03	.131E+03	.401E+00	.274E+03	.639E+03	21
D1 FOR OTHER PRO	.450E+03	.141E+03	.313E+00	.114E+03	.634E+03	47
TIME TO 30%	.499E+03	.297E+02	.595E-01	.421E+03	.545E+03	17
OTHERS TO 30%	.690E+03	.141E+03	.205E+00	.408E+03	.883E+03	56
CONG. DELAY	.788E+02	.534E+01	.678E-01	.744E+02	.961E+02	16
TYPE PROJECT	.197E+01	.789E+00	.401E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	62
CONSTR. CHANGES	.742E+00	.119E+01	.160E+01	.000E+00	.400E+01	62
TIME COMPLETE	.192E+04	.227E+03	.118E+00	.136E+04	.233E+04	20
DELIVERY STATUS	.140E+01	.821E+00	.586E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	20
PRIORITY	.204E+01	.136E+01	.668E+00	.136E+00	.372E+01	20
OTHERS COMPLETE	.200E+04	.213E+03	.106E+00	.141E+04	.247E+04	42
PRIORITY OTHERS	.204E+01	.103E+01	.503E+00	.156E+00	.397E+01	42

FILE STATISTICS

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
1	AWAIT	3.826	5.016	14	0	69.816
2	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
3	AWAIT	.059	.304	2	0	1.071
4	AWAIT	.726	1.524	5	0	13.253
5	AWAIT	.032	.179	2	0	.584
6	AWAIT	164.041	83.464	250	250	119.511
7	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
8	AWAIT	218.266	81.923	251	251	158.700
9	AWAIT	.073	.430	3	0	1.334
10	AWAIT	69.363	51.883	164	36	105.051
11	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000

FILE STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
12	AWAIT	.402	.490	1	0	36.662
13	AWAIT	.001	.023	1	0	.063
14	AWAIT	20.603	57.294	251	0	16.491
15	AWAIT	.001	.029	1	0	.153
16	AWAIT	4.198	10.654	43	2	21.889
17	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
18	AWAIT	8.337	23.146	116	0	10.602
19	AWAIT	.255	.436	1	0	23.239
20	AWAIT	.027	.163	1	0	2.479
21	AWAIT	20.925	31.115	144	12	26.612
22	AWAIT	1.703	3.278	9	0	18.835
23	AWAIT	.392	.488	1	0	35.769
24	AWAIT	4.254	6.079	43	0	22.834
25	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
26	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
27	AWAIT	.003	.054	1	0	.359
28	AWAIT	.146	.865	17	0	.786
29	AWAIT	.008	.087	1	0	.214
30	AWAIT	1.473	1.440	7	3	7.073
31	AWAIT	1.711	2.382	6	0	48.033
32	AWAIT	1.029	1.376	3	0	28.880
33	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
34	AWAIT	5.340	11.466	41	0	24.987
35	AWAIT	4.536	7.541	19	0	21.224
36	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
37	AWAIT	18.741	18.761	67	67	76.007
38	AWAIT	.055	.275	2	0	.453
39	AWAIT	.015	.122	1	0	.252
40	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
41	AWAIT	19.132	26.400	68	0	92.728
42	AWAIT	12.510	11.331	46	11	50.176
43	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
44	AWAIT	10.518	6.185	20	19	98.436
45	AWAIT	.289	.657	2	0	13.197
46	AWAIT	.356	.479	1	0	16.256
47	AWAIT	.031	.202	2	0	1.134
48	AWAIT	2.176	3.457	10	0	18.473
49	AWAIT	.000	.015	1	0	.017
50	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
51	AWAIT	.008	.087	1	0	.696
52	AWAIT	.030	.176	2	0	.540
53	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
54	AWAIT	.012	.135	2	0	.022
55	CALENDAR	458.495	147.762	896	354	10.434

****REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS****

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
1	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
2	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
3	.5697	1.1394	5	0	20
4	2.2084	2.3609	6	0	20
5	.3385	.6733	3	0	20
6	.9897	1.3744	5	0	20
7	.2876	.6098	3	0	20
8	.6826	1.0554	4	0	20
9	2.4565	19.9692	251	0	456
10	3.7721	23.1650	251	0	456
11	.0000	.0000	1	0	268
12	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
13	.0000	.0000	1	0	199
14	.0000	.0000	1	0	69
15	7.1639	14.9088	43	0	68
16	.0000	.0000	1	0	64
17	.0000	.0000	1	0	4
18	.1208	.4607	3	0	4
19	.0612	.2566	2	0	4
20	3.9060	10.8232	42	1	67
21	.3824	.9998	5	0	287
22	.0000	.0000	1	0	207
23	.0000	.0000	1	0	35
24	.0000	.0000	1	0	33
25	.5675	1.4734	6	0	33
26	.8533	4.8975	45	0	68
27	2.4935	8.2071	46	3	72
28	5.8969	13.3105	58	41	42
29	1.4138	2.7983	17	17	39
30	12.7556	16.9768	51	0	72
31	18.2827	18.4227	54	4	73
32	.0000	.0000	1	0	17
33	.8048	2.3418	15	0	73
34	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
35	.0000	.0000	1	0	73
36	8.1851	9.3080	35	0	73
37	2.3226	3.0665	14	0	78
38	.7255	2.3200	16	0	78
39	9.6448	6.5003	24	3	42
40	.0000	.0000	1	0	29
41	31.1876	20.7744	78	19	93
42	16.9109	10.2716	36	1	88
43	4.6786	4.0547	18	3	85
44	4.3967	4.1356	16	6	80
45	7.8823	19.0747	68	63	17
46	.2590	.8694	5	5	12
47	119.3132	16.8524	149	95	66

****REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)****

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
48	.7051	1.5222	10	0	43
49	.1613	.4611	3	1	9
50	.0577	.3755	3	3	0
90	.4493	.4974	1	0	82
91	.0822	.2747	1	0	1
92	.0110	.1041	1	0	2
93	.0548	.2276	1	0	4
94	.0000	.0000	1	0	4
95	.0000	.0000	1	0	22
97	.0548	.2276	1	0	4
98	.0436	.2043	1	1	4
99	.0356	.1853	1	0	13

****RESOURCE STATISTICS****

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.34	1.871	5	0
2	LEECC	6	3.03	1.529	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.74	2.657	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.54	2.222	7	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	1.94	1.830	4	0
7	MPROG	5	1.84	1.905	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	5.04	3.762	9	2
9	COE	18	10.03	7.244	18	5

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT AVAILABLE	AVERAGE AVAILABLE	MINIMUM AVAILABLE	MAXIMUM AVAILABLE
1	LEECD	5	3.6578	0	5
2	LEECC	0	2.9689	0	6
3	REQ	6	2.2642	0	6
4	BDEE	7	4.4610	0	7
5	BASE	1	1.0000	1	1
6	MDEE	4	2.0603	0	4
7	MPROG	5	3.1634	0	5
8	AFRCE	7	3.9623	0	9
9	COE	13	7.9674	0	18

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CON628	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0219
8	DI	CLOSED	.0548
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0137
10	CORPS	OPEN	.0436
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0356
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.4095
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9781
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9781

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
20	.323	.100E+01	*****					+
24	.387	.200E+01	*****				C	+
18	.290	.300E+01	*****					C
0	.000	.400E+01	+					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
62			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.197E+01	.789E+00	.401E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	62

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER								
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100		
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
41	.661	.000E+00	*****							+
7	.113	.100E+01	*****				C			+
4	.065	.200E+01	****				C			+
9	.145	.300E+01	*****							C+
1	.016	INF	++							C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
62			0	20	40	60	80	100		

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.742E+00	.119E+01	.160E+01	.000E+00	.400E+01	62

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 12****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER								
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100		
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+							+
16	.800	.100E+01	*****							+
0	.000	.200E+01	+				C			+
4	.200	.300E+01	*****							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
20			0	20	40	60	80	100		

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.140E+01	.821E+00	.586E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	20

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 13****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
7	.350	.100E+01	*****								+
2	.100	.200E+01	*****			C					+
3	.150	.300E+01	*****				C				+
8	.400	.400E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
20			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.204E+01	.136E+01	.668E+00	.136E+00	.372E+01	20

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 15****

PRIORITY OTHERS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
6	.143	.100E+01	*****								+
16	.381	.200E+01	*****			C					+
12	.286	.300E+01	*****				C				+
8	.190	.400E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
42			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY OTHERS	.204E+01	.103E+01	.503E+00	.156E+00	.397E+01	42

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .4380E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .4015E+04

END OF YEAR 12

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO USAF	.197E+03	.595E+02	.302E+00	.127E+03	.303E+03	20
DI OTHER AFRCS	.437E+03	.118E+03	.271E+00	.123E+03	.605E+03	216
DI ISSUED	.328E+03	.122E+03	.371E+00	.268E+03	.661E+03	18
DI FOR OTHER PRO	.451E+03	.119E+03	.265E+00	.152E+03	.605E+03	61
TIME TO 30%	.561E+03	.132E+03	.236E+00	.446E+03	.884E+03	21
OTHERS TO 30%	.704E+03	.162E+03	.231E+00	.315E+03	.902E+03	56
CONG. DELAY	.774E+02	.975E+01	.126E+00	.661E+02	.854E+02	17
TYPE PROJECT	.221E+01	.824E+00	.373E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	95
CONSTR. CHANGES	.789E+00	.118E+01	.150E+01	.000E+00	.400E+01	95
TIME COMPLETE	.189E+04	.237E+03	.125E+00	.144E+04	.241E+04	24
DELIVERY STATUS	.142E+01	.830E+00	.586E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	24
PRIORITY	.189E+01	.144E+01	.759E+00	.599E-01	.392E+01	24
OTHERS COMPLETE	.203E+04	.304E+03	.150E+00	.129E+04	.278E+04	71
PRIORITY OTHERS	.201E+01	.106E+01	.527E+00	.200E-01	.397E+01	71

FILE STATISTICS

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
1	AWAIT	3.875	5.063	14	0	70.711
2	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
3	AWAIT	.128	.433	2	0	2.341
4	AWAIT	.460	1.254	6	0	8.401
5	AWAIT	.034	.193	2	0	.612
6	AWAIT	164.041	83.464	250	250	119.511
7	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
8	AWAIT	218.238	81.892	251	251	158.679
9	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
10	AWAIT	66.701	52.932	163	28	103.599
11	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000

FILE STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
12	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
13	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
14	AWAIT	32.480	70.622	251	0	25.885
15	AWAIT	.001	.023	1	0	.094
16	AWAIT	5.565	13.290	49	0	24.475
17	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
18	AWAIT	5.898	19.815	118	0	7.607
19	AWAIT	.188	.391	1	0	11.457
20	AWAIT	.003	.052	1	0	.163
21	AWAIT	17.153	25.941	87	0	21.223
22	AWAIT	.315	.899	6	0	3.593
23	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
24	AWAIT	.952	2.821	20	0	4.400
25	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
26	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
27	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
28	AWAIT	.161	.819	9	0	.743
29	AWAIT	.000	.017	1	0	.008
30	AWAIT	1.778	1.817	8	1	8.112
31	AWAIT	.417	.799	2	0	11.695
32	AWAIT	.755	1.501	4	0	21.195
33	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
34	AWAIT	.020	.164	2	0	.107
35	AWAIT	1.999	5.677	33	0	10.576
36	AWAIT	.001	.035	1	0	.438
37	AWAIT	42.667	32.545	74	14	114.512
38	AWAIT	.146	.626	6	0	.522
39	AWAIT	.050	.217	1	0	1.208
40	AWAIT	.066	.248	1	0	4.795
41	AWAIT	.397	2.555	27	0	3.711
42	AWAIT	13.189	10.839	31	5	109.407
43	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
44	AWAIT	10.910	6.067	20	19	102.103
45	AWAIT	.063	.348	2	0	2.316
46	AWAIT	.452	1.002	3	0	18.313
47	AWAIT	.151	.381	2	0	6.119
48	AWAIT	.070	.349	3	0	.472
49	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
50	AWAIT	.089	.409	2	0	4.658
51	AWAIT	.367	.793	3	0	16.751
52	AWAIT	.234	.815	4	0	4.266
53	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
54	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
55	CALENDAR	453.934	104.149	819	430	10.025

****REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS****

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
1	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
2	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
3	.4789	1.0617	5	0	20
4	2.3689	2.3796	6	0	20
5	.3204	.6965	4	0	20
6	.9907	1.3363	6	0	20
7	.2915	.5559	3	0	20
8	.6618	1.0483	5	0	20
9	2.4501	19.7979	251	0	458
10	3.7510	23.0182	251	0	458
11	.0000	.0000	1	0	296
12	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
13	.0000	.0000	1	0	215
14	.0000	.0000	1	0	81
15	7.5894	16.8574	49	0	83
16	.0000	.0000	1	0	78
17	.0000	.0000	1	0	5
18	.1414	.5347	4	1	4
19	.0659	.3060	2	0	4
20	4.1151	12.2273	48	33	50
21	.3994	1.0719	4	0	283
22	.0000	.0000	1	0	216
23	.0000	.0000	1	0	47
24	.0000	.0000	1	0	32
25	.5894	1.3445	6	0	32
26	.9673	3.2211	23	0	79
27	2.7036	5.9335	25	25	57
28	7.5912	8.5410	43	13	85
29	2.2967	5.4044	33	4	98
30	18.9631	19.1863	61	7	91
31	20.2512	19.6533	61	18	77
32	.0000	.0000	1	0	21
33	.7159	1.9968	13	0	79
34	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
35	.0000	.0000	1	0	79
36	8.5010	12.5203	51	10	69
37	1.8358	3.5202	15	0	69
38	.6575	1.4478	9	0	69
39	17.4233	21.7337	74	23	102
40	.0000	.0000	1	0	66
41	29.1884	27.4242	92	92	22
42	4.0802	3.1963	10	0	22
43	1.3026	1.3990	5	0	25
44	1.6885	1.4978	7	0	33
45	6.1253	11.8878	63	0	102
46	2.0669	5.5592	32	0	107
47	117.6038	15.7432	150	107	95

REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
48	.8857	.9941	4	0	54
49	.1519	.3985	2	0	10
50	1.0879	1.4423	5	3	7
90	.4493	.4974	1	0	82
91	.0822	.2747	1	0	1
92	.0110	.1041	1	0	2
93	.0274	.1632	1	0	2
94	.0000	.0000	1	0	6
95	.0000	.0000	1	0	15
97	.1233	.3288	1	0	9
98	.1031	.3040	1	0	10
99	.0356	.1853	1	0	13

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.34	1.785	5	0
2	LEECC	6	2.72	2.394	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.96	2.444	6	0
4	BDEE	7	1.71	1.957	7	1
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	1.00	1.226	4	1
7	MPROG	5	1.90	1.830	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	4.07	3.335	9	3
9	COE	18	7.12	5.312	18	8

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT AVAILABLE	AVERAGE AVAILABLE	MINIMUM AVAILABLE	MAXIMUM AVAILABLE
1	LEECD	5	3.6553	0	5
2	LEECC	0	3.2765	0	6
3	REQ	6	2.0376	0	6
4	BDEE	6	5.2897	0	7
5	BASE	1	1.0000	1	1
6	MDEE	3	3.0023	0	4
7	MPROG	5	3.0992	0	5
8	AFRCE	6	4.9323	0	9
9	COE	10	10.8796	0	18

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0110
8	DI	CLOSED	.1233
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0685
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.1031
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0356
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2478
13	DI100	CLOSED	.3658
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9890
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9890

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
0	.000	.000E+00	+	+	+	+	+	+
24	.253	.100E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
27	.284	.200E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
44	.463	.300E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.400E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF	+	+	+	+	+	+
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
95			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.221E+01	.824E+00	.373E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	95

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
60	.632	.000E+00	*****									+
12	.126	.100E+01	*****				C					+
7	.074	.200E+01	*****					C				+
15	.158	.300E+01	*****								C	+
1	.011	INF	++								C	
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
95			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.789E+00	.118E+01	.150E+01	.000E+00	.400E+01	95

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 12****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
19	.792	.100E+01	*****									+
0	.000	.200E+01	+				C					+
5	.208	.300E+01	*****								C	
0	.000	INF	+								C	
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
24			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.142E+01	.830E+00	.586E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	24

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 13

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
9	.375	.100E+01	*****								+
5	.208	.200E+01	*****								+
1	.042	.300E+01	***								+
9	.375	.400E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
24			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.189E+01	.144E+01	.759E+00	.599E-01	.392E+01	24

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 15

PRIORITY OTHERS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
11	.155	.100E+01	*****								+
27	.380	.200E+01	*****								+
20	.282	.300E+01	*****								+
13	.183	.400E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
71			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY OTHERS	.201E+01	.106E+01	.527E+00	.200E-01	.397E+01	71

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .4745E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .4380E+04

END OF YEAR 13

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO USAF	.199E+03	.544E+02	.274E+00	.123E+03	.299E+03	20
DI OTHER AFRCS	.434E+03	.993E+02	.229E+00	.135E+03	.603E+03	242
DI ISSUED	.358E+03	.148E+03	.414E+00	.266E+03	.656E+03	21
DI FOR OTHER PRO	.404E+03	.120E+03	.297E+00	.143E+03	.531E+03	57
TIME TO 30%	.565E+03	.127E+03	.225E+00	.472E+03	.915E+03	18
OTHERS TO 30%	.685E+03	.132E+03	.193E+00	.362E+03	.900E+03	57
CONG. DELAY	.785E+02	.111E+02	.142E+00	.657E+02	.109E+03	18
TYPE PROJECT	.209E+01	.825E+00	.395E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	78
CONSTR. CHANGES	.103E+01	.140E+01	.136E+01	.000E+00	.600E+01	78
TIME COMPLETE	.182E+04	.270E+03	.148E+00	.143E+04	.246E+04	23
DELIVERY STATUS	.126E+01	.689E+00	.546E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	23
PRIORITY	.192E+01	.142E+01	.740E+00	.238E+00	.390E+01	23
OTHERS COMPLETE	.200E+04	.249E+03	.125E+00	.138E+04	.259E+04	55
PRIORITY OTHERS	.207E+01	.119E+01	.577E+00	.908E-01	.395E+01	55

FILE STATISTICS

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
1	AWAIT	3.961	5.028	14	0	72.294
2	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
3	AWAIT	.143	.494	2	0	2.610
4	AWAIT	.398	1.087	5	0	7.256
5	AWAIT	.041	.237	2	0	.748
6	AWAIT	164.041	83.464	250	250	119.511
7	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
8	AWAIT	218.610	81.531	251	251	158.949
9	AWAIT	.060	.318	2	0	1.091
10	AWAIT	61.701	50.343	165	26	97.917
11	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
12	AWAIT	.217	.468	3	0	8.791

FILE STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
13	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
14	AWAIT	31.511	69.207	251	0	25.278
15	AWAIT	.001	.027	1	0	.134
16	AWAIT	3.867	10.824	40	0	21.066
17	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
18	AWAIT	6.000	20.806	126	0	6.843
19	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
20	AWAIT	.024	.154	1	0	1.270
21	AWAIT	15.416	34.246	125	0	17.583
22	AWAIT	1.323	2.733	10	0	14.199
23	AWAIT	.009	.094	1	0	.404
24	AWAIT	.639	2.040	24	0	2.992
25	AWAIT	.030	.170	1	0	2.168
26	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
27	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
28	AWAIT	.146	.624	6	0	.681
29	AWAIT	.000	.010	1	0	.003
30	AWAIT	1.986	1.548	5	2	9.537
31	AWAIT	.052	.221	1	0	1.347
32	AWAIT	.945	1.757	5	0	24.645
33	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
34	AWAIT	.003	.056	1	0	.015
35	AWAIT	1.152	3.176	19	0	5.321
36	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
37	AWAIT	17.998	13.920	41	20	70.637
38	AWAIT	.113	.477	4	0	.515
39	AWAIT	.032	.176	1	0	.488
40	AWAIT	.006	.080	1	0	.789
41	AWAIT	.706	4.402	43	0	2.865
42	AWAIT	8.629	10.580	49	18	29.163
43	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
44	AWAIT	10.463	6.189	20	19	97.923
45	AWAIT	.028	.166	1	0	.574
46	AWAIT	.272	.600	2	0	5.232
47	AWAIT	.049	.225	2	0	.940
48	AWAIT	.034	.193	2	0	.271
49	AWAIT	.005	.068	1	0	.426
50	AWAIT	.019	.137	1	0	1.747
51	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
52	AWAIT	.200	.726	4	0	3.653
53	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
54	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
55	CALENDAR	470.298	121.147	862	393	9.720

****REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS****

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
1	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
2	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
3	.5428	1.0352	4	0	20
4	2.1231	2.1878	6	0	20
5	.3911	.7022	3	0	20
6	.9784	1.2309	5	0	20
7	.3884	.6711	4	0	20
8	.6879	.9924	4	0	20
9	2.5620	20.5804	251	0	455
10	3.7287	23.2374	251	0	455
11	.0000	.0000	1	0	292
12	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
13	.0000	.0000	1	0	225
14	.0000	.0000	1	0	67
15	6.4049	13.6225	40	0	67
16	.0000	.0000	1	0	67
17	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
18	.0018	.0429	1	0	1
19	.0244	.1544	1	0	1
20	3.3944	10.5926	40	27	74
21	.4195	1.1770	5	0	320
22	.0000	.0000	1	0	242
23	.0000	.0000	1	0	44
24	.0000	.0000	1	0	34
25	.5859	1.4041	6	0	34
26	.9909	3.4279	24	0	78
27	2.8826	6.3583	35	15	88
28	8.1071	8.7754	35	27	74
29	.8476	1.4628	6	0	78
30	16.1252	11.1199	42	5	80
31	18.3994	11.8592	43	23	75
32	.0000	.0000	1	0	18
33	.7738	1.9214	10	0	74
34	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
35	.0000	.0000	1	0	74
36	8.8471	6.9076	28	0	84
37	2.1702	2.2685	9	4	79
38	.7729	1.0351	5	0	79
39	15.1636	12.3794	42	16	80
40	.0000	.0000	1	0	61
41	44.3633	25.5907	101	55	113
42	20.6342	15.9320	49	2	106
43	5.7803	5.4241	19	0	106
44	5.7092	5.6832	19	0	103
45	10.3540	18.2834	63	0	90
46	1.7229	4.3371	25	0	90
47	89.4300	18.6364	125	124	73

REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
48	.7392	.8595	4	1	45
49	.3065	.5599	2	0	19
50	1.1119	1.4468	5	0	9
90	.4493	.4974	1	0	82
91	.0822	.2747	1	0	1
92	.0110	.1041	1	0	2
93	.0274	.1632	1	0	2
94	.0000	.0000	1	0	7
95	.0000	.0000	1	0	24
97	.1096	.3124	1	0	8
98	.0986	.2982	1	0	9
99	.0384	.1921	1	0	14

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.32	1.757	5	0
2	LEECC	6	3.80	1.811	6	4
3	REQ	6	3.90	2.560	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.93	2.339	7	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	2.19	1.718	4	0
7	MPROG	5	2.04	1.757	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	4.60	2.910	9	0
9	COE	18	7.40	5.177	18	5

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT AVAILABLE	AVERAGE AVAILABLE	MINIMUM AVAILABLE	MAXIMUM AVAILABLE
1	LEECD	5	3.6821	0	5
2	LEECC	2	2.1969	0	6
3	REQ	6	2.0998	0	6
4	BDEE	7	4.0657	0	7
5	BASE	1	1.0000	1	1
6	MDEE	4	1.8086	0	4
7	MPROG	5	2.9559	0	5
8	AFRCE	9	4.4018	0	9
9	COE	13	10.6023	0	18

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0110
8	DI	CLOSED	.1096
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0411
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0986
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0384
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.4621
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9890
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9890

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
0	.000	.000E+00	+	+	+	+	+	+
23	.295	.100E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
25	.321	.200E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
30	.385	.300E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.400E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF	+	+	+	+	+	+
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
78			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.209E+01	.825E+00	.395E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	78

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
44	.564	.000E+00	*****									+
9	.115	.100E+01	*****				C					+
9	.115	.200E+01	*****					C				+
14	.179	.300E+01	*****									C+
2	.026	INF	++									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
78			0	20	40	60	80	100				

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.103E+01	.140E+01	.136E+01	.000E+00	.600E+01	78

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 12

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
20	.870	.100E+01	*****									+
0	.000	.200E+01	+					C				+
3	.130	.300E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
23			0	20	40	60	80	100				

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.126E+01	.689E+00	.546E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	23

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 13****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
0	.000	.000E+00		+	+	+	+	+	+
9	.391	.100E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
3	.130	.200E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
3	.130	.300E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
8	.348	.400E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF		+	+	+	+	+	+
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
23				0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.192E+01	.142E+01	.740E+00	.238E+00	.390E+01	23

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 15****

PRIORITY OTHERS

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
0	.000	.000E+00		+	+	+	+	+	+
14	.255	.100E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
14	.255	.200E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
9	.164	.300E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
18	.327	.400E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF		+	+	+	+	+	+
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
55				0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY OTHERS	.207E+01	.119E+01	.577E+00	.908E-01	.395E+01	55

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5110E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .4745E+04

END OF YEAR 14

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO USAF	.191E+03	.542E+02	.283E+00	.125E+03	.284E+03	20
DI OTHER AFRCS	.443E+03	.894E+02	.202E+00	.143E+03	.547E+03	195
DI ISSUED	.342E+03	.137E+03	.402E+00	.260E+03	.651E+03	19
DI FOR OTHER PRO	.441E+03	.103E+03	.233E+00	.139E+03	.535E+03	57
TIME TO 30%	.587E+03	.144E+03	.245E+00	.468E+03	.881E+03	21
OTHERS TO 30%	.658E+03	.114E+03	.174E+00	.379E+03	.787E+03	59
CONG. DELAY	.772E+02	.139E+01	.179E-01	.752E+02	.781E+02	13
TYPE PROJECT	.190E+01	.768E+00	.404E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	61
CONSTR. CHANGES	.738E+00	.111E+01	.150E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	61
TIME COMPLETE	.185E+04	.303E+03	.164E+00	.134E+04	.252E+04	21
DELIVERY STATUS	.138E+01	.805E+00	.583E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	21
PRIORITY	.190E+01	.109E+01	.573E+00	.493E-01	.358E+01	21
OTHERS COMPLETE	.194E+04	.256E+03	.132E+00	.118E+04	.233E+04	40
PRIORITY OTHERS	.214E+01	.101E+01	.473E+00	.574E+00	.398E+01	40

FILE STATISTICS

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
1	AWAIT	3.780	5.048	14	0	68.992
2	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
3	AWAIT	.122	.398	2	0	2.221
4	AWAIT	.291	.879	5	0	5.314
5	AWAIT	.040	.197	2	0	.735
6	AWAIT	164.041	83.464	250	250	119.511
7	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
8	AWAIT	218.235	82.022	251	251	158.677
9	AWAIT	.060	.313	2	0	1.093
10	AWAIT	64.332	49.906	159	38	100.778
11	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
12	AWAIT	.011	.102	1	0	.428

FILE STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
13	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
14	AWAIT	27.753	64.606	251	0	22.713
15	AWAIT	.001	.032	1	0	.185
16	AWAIT	5.978	15.431	47	0	23.715
17	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
18	AWAIT	4.446	16.379	109	0	5.988
19	AWAIT	.001	.034	1	0	.060
20	AWAIT	.027	.163	1	0	1.430
21	AWAIT	12.043	27.036	107	0	16.220
22	AWAIT	1.166	2.875	11	0	12.894
23	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
24	AWAIT	.617	1.803	17	0	2.963
25	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
26	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
27	AWAIT	.001	.024	1	0	.043
28	AWAIT	.149	.721	11	0	.717
29	AWAIT	.002	.041	1	0	.040
30	AWAIT	1.643	1.484	6	1	7.314
31	AWAIT	.016	.125	1	0	.388
32	AWAIT	1.058	1.409	4	0	25.742
33	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
34	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
35	AWAIT	1.465	4.832	26	0	6.600
36	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
37	AWAIT	24.789	16.991	52	45	89.584
38	AWAIT	.058	.266	2	0	.307
39	AWAIT	.014	.116	1	0	.209
40	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
41	AWAIT	.686	4.236	36	0	3.577
42	AWAIT	16.243	12.663	45	16	68.940
43	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
44	AWAIT	10.855	6.075	20	19	101.590
45	AWAIT	.023	.149	1	0	.634
46	AWAIT	.337	.743	2	0	9.453
47	AWAIT	.012	.111	1	0	.348
48	AWAIT	.019	.135	1	0	.178
49	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
50	AWAIT	.134	.341	1	0	16.301
51	AWAIT	.029	.169	1	0	3.587
52	AWAIT	.133	.511	3	0	2.419
53	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
54	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
55	CALENDAR	462.595	116.563	850	393	10.058

REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
1	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
2	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
3	.4715	.9967	4	0	20
4	2.2434	2.2182	6	0	20
5	.3326	.6822	3	0	20
6	1.0950	1.3444	6	0	20
7	.2448	.5654	3	0	20
8	.6964	1.0507	5	0	20
9	2.4468	19.9813	251	0	446
10	3.6489	22.8031	251	0	446
11	.0000	.0000	1	0	270
12	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
13	.0000	.0000	1	0	178
14	.0000	.0000	1	0	92
15	6.4640	15.9730	47	0	92
16	.0000	.0000	1	0	88
17	.0000	.0000	1	0	4
18	.0596	.3198	3	0	4
19	.0663	.3938	3	1	3
20	4.9462	13.1621	47	44	74
21	.3674	1.1029	5	0	271
22	.0000	.0000	1	0	195
23	.0000	.0000	1	0	43
24	.0000	.0000	1	0	33
25	.5608	1.3662	6	0	33
26	.9585	3.0848	17	0	76
27	3.0971	6.3498	32	1	90
28	8.8279	10.3985	41	33	84
29	1.7105	2.8777	12	1	83
30	16.5038	13.4248	45	5	83
31	19.7090	12.7649	46	26	80
32	.0000	.0000	1	0	21
33	.7165	1.8349	7	0	81
34	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
35	.0000	.0000	1	0	81
36	8.7224	8.6035	30	5	76
37	2.2604	2.6614	11	0	81
38	.7771	1.0575	6	0	81
39	11.1627	12.8449	53	3	69
40	.0000	.0000	1	0	50
41	34.1526	14.5353	60	49	70
42	13.2164	8.3864	29	0	70
43	3.8719	3.4928	15	1	69
44	3.6431	3.0732	11	1	68
45	7.8820	15.5695	55	0	70
46	1.3637	3.9327	26	0	70
47	111.1584	14.2720	134	129	65

REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
48	.6541	.8453	3	1	38
49	.1920	.4548	2	2	11
50	.3411	.6016	2	2	1
90	.4493	.4974	1	0	82
91	.0822	.2747	1	0	1
92	.0110	.1041	1	0	2
93	.0274	.1632	1	0	2
94	.0000	.0000	1	0	7
95	.0000	.0000	1	0	24
97	.1233	.3288	1	0	9
98	.0986	.2982	1	0	9
99	.0411	.1985	1	0	15

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.29	1.723	5	1
2	LEECC	6	3.20	1.940	6	5
3	REQ	6	3.71	2.701	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.95	2.212	7	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	2.35	1.838	4	0
7	MPROG	5	1.91	1.786	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	5.07	2.878	9	2
9	COE	18	7.45	4.668	18	7

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT AVAILABLE	AVERAGE AVAILABLE	MINIMUM AVAILABLE	MAXIMUM AVAILABLE
1	LEECD	4	3.7115	0	5
2	LEECC	1	2.8025	0	6
3	REQ	6	2.2871	0	6
4	BDEE	7	4.0453	0	7
5	BASE	1	1.0000	1	1
6	MDEE	4	1.6493	0	4
7	MPROG	5	3.0942	0	5
8	AFRCE	7	3.9327	0	9
9	COE	11	10.5506	0	18

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0110
8	DI	CLOSED	.1233
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0411
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0986
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0411
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.4493
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9890
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9890

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
0	.000	.000E+00	+	+	+	+	+	+
21	.344	.100E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
25	.410	.200E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
15	.246	.300E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.400E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF	+	+	+	+	+	+
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
61			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.190E+01	.768E+00	.404E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	61

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER								
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100		
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
39	.639	.000E+00	*****							+
7	.115	.100E+01	*****				C			+
7	.115	.200E+01	*****					C		+
8	.131	.300E+01	*****							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
61			0	20	40	60	80	100		

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.738E+00	.111E+01	.150E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	61

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 12****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER								
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100		
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+							+
17	.810	.100E+01	*****							+
0	.000	.200E+01	+				C			+
4	.190	.300E+01	*****							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
21			0	20	40	60	80	100		

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.138E+01	.805E+00	.583E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	21

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 13

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0		20		40		60		80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
5	.238	.100E+01	*****									+
7	.333	.200E+01	*****					C				+
5	.238	.300E+01	*****							C		+
4	.190	.400E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
21			0		20		40		60		80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.190E+01	.109E+01	.573E+00	.493E-01	.358E+01	21

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 15

PRIORITY OTHERS

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0		20		40		60		80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
7	.175	.100E+01	*****									+
11	.275	.200E+01	*****				C					+
13	.325	.300E+01	*****							C		+
9	.225	.400E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
40			0		20		40		60		80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY OTHERS	.214E+01	.101E+01	.473E+00	.574E+00	.398E+01	40

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5475E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .5110E+04

END OF YEAR 15

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO USAF	.172E+03	.521E+02	.302E+00	.985E+02	.265E+03	20
DI OTHER AFRCS	.452E+03	.121E+03	.267E+00	.148E+03	.650E+03	225
DI ISSUED	.354E+03	.141E+03	.400E+00	.290E+03	.655E+03	23
DI FOR OTHER PRO	.440E+03	.140E+03	.319E+00	.146E+03	.650E+03	67
TIME TO 30%	.577E+03	.140E+03	.243E+00	.474E+03	.926E+03	19
OTHERS TO 30%	.670E+03	.115E+03	.171E+00	.359E+03	.814E+03	66
CONG. DELAY	.716E+02	.733E+01	.103E+00	.633E+02	.777E+02	21
TYPE PROJECT	.209E+01	.814E+00	.388E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	74
CONSTR. CHANGES	.919E+00	.125E+01	.136E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	74
TIME COMPLETE	.180E+04	.201E+03	.112E+00	.141E+04	.210E+04	21
DELIVERY STATUS	.110E+01	.436E+00	.398E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	21
PRIORITY	.200E+01	.116E+01	.578E+00	.114E-02	.376E+01	21
OTHERS COMPLETE	.200E+04	.272E+03	.136E+00	.136E+04	.248E+04	53
PRIORITY OTHERS	.200E+01	.108E+01	.538E+00	.606E-01	.376E+01	53

FILE STATISTICS

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
1	AWAIT	3.396	4.916	14	0	61.973
2	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
3	AWAIT	.065	.343	2	0	1.183
4	AWAIT	.153	.438	2	0	2.800
5	AWAIT	.023	.151	1	0	.427
6	AWAIT	164.041	83.464	250	250	119.511
7	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
8	AWAIT	218.174	82.019	251	251	158.632
9	AWAIT	.019	.135	1	0	.339
10	AWAIT	69.913	52.972	165	36	105.885
11	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
12	AWAIT	.384	.486	1	0	34.997

FILE STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
13	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
14	AWAIT	30.891	69.148	251	0	24.727
15	AWAIT	.001	.024	1	0	.108
16	AWAIT	4.266	12.333	49	0	20.222
17	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
18	AWAIT	4.919	17.558	110	0	5.700
19	AWAIT	.355	.478	1	0	32.372
20	AWAIT	.011	.105	1	0	1.016
21	AWAIT	28.741	39.812	163	0	33.303
22	AWAIT	.837	2.086	9	0	11.317
23	AWAIT	.035	.183	1	0	2.536
24	AWAIT	1.819	8.339	50	0	7.376
25	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
26	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
27	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
28	AWAIT	.128	.645	12	0	.520
29	AWAIT	.016	.126	1	0	.395
30	AWAIT	1.896	1.501	6	3	8.046
31	AWAIT	1.034	1.536	5	0	25.171
32	AWAIT	1.409	1.261	3	2	34.293
33	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
34	AWAIT	.369	.956	5	0	1.727
35	AWAIT	5.113	9.774	37	0	24.235
36	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
37	AWAIT	35.844	27.506	77	44	107.237
38	AWAIT	.266	1.042	7	0	1.278
39	AWAIT	.080	.271	1	0	2.077
40	AWAIT	.031	.172	1	0	2.231
41	AWAIT	1.033	4.744	45	0	5.628
42	AWAIT	14.176	12.653	48	5	71.864
43	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
44	AWAIT	10.408	6.193	20	19	97.410
45	AWAIT	.235	.566	2	0	6.585
46	AWAIT	1.983	2.518	8	0	60.316
47	AWAIT	.047	.411	5	4	1.443
48	AWAIT	.713	1.447	5	0	4.197
49	AWAIT	.015	.120	1	0	1.076
50	AWAIT	1.047	1.432	4	1	76.450
51	AWAIT	.003	.058	1	1	.609
52	AWAIT	.102	.426	3	0	1.854
53	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
54	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
55	CALENDAR	441.676	123.208	835	397	9.419

****REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS****

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
1	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
2	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
3	.5163	1.0334	5	0	20
4	2.1299	2.1593	6	0	20
5	.3489	.6269	3	0	20
6	.9297	1.2230	5	0	20
7	.1657	.4180	2	0	20
8	.7219	1.0862	4	0	20
9	2.4809	19.9827	251	0	456
10	3.7444	23.1103	251	0	456
11	.0000	.0000	1	0	275
12	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
13	.0000	.0000	1	0	198
14	.0000	.0000	1	0	77
15	5.8822	13.3735	49	28	49
16	.0000	.0000	1	0	47
17	.0000	.0000	1	0	2
18	.0335	.2481	2	0	2
19	.0599	.3165	2	0	3
20	4.2931	13.2743	49	0	94
21	.4332	1.2157	5	0	315
22	.0000	.0000	1	0	225
23	.0000	.0000	1	0	63
24	.0000	.0000	1	0	27
25	.5045	1.4811	6	0	27
26	1.0917	5.7366	56	0	90
27	3.7992	11.7440	59	37	54
28	5.9122	8.4649	34	22	65
29	.9470	1.9833	13	0	66
30	12.9867	10.6139	35	0	71
31	19.4502	8.5976	37	12	85
32	.0000	.0000	1	0	19
33	.8483	1.9382	7	0	83
34	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
35	.0000	.0000	1	0	83
36	9.7498	4.8620	23	6	82
37	2.2499	2.4496	10	0	82
38	.7035	.9711	5	1	77
39	12.4817	19.6784	77	5	76
40	.0000	.0000	1	0	58
41	31.8485	23.3314	72	72	52
42	9.8213	10.9142	36	0	51
43	2.9167	3.9838	14	0	52
44	3.0388	3.6238	14	0	56
45	7.8343	16.8845	61	0	67
46	1.2988	3.8938	24	0	67
47	104.5689	17.5278	129	115	80


AD-A161 116


ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF THE FACILITY ACQUISITION
PROCESS AS IT RELATES TO (U) AIR FORCE INST OF TECH
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH SCHOOL OF SYST L J BLAKE
SEP 85 AFIT/GEN/LSV/855-9 F/G 15/5

3/4

UNCLASSIFIED

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
48	1.0303	.9783	4	0	63
49	.1219	.4017	2	2	8
50	.2259	.4460	2	0	3
90	.4493	.4974	1	0	82
91	.0822	.2747	1	0	1
92	.0110	.1041	1	0	2
93	.0274	.1632	1	0	2
94	.0000	.0000	1	0	4
95	.0000	.0000	1	0	14
97	.0685	.2526	1	0	5
98	.0475	.2126	1	1	4
99	.0411	.1985	1	0	15

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.36	1.736	5	0
2	LEECC	6	2.79	2.455	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.44	2.693	6	0
4	BDEE	7	3.97	1.602	7	4
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	3.35	1.197	4	4
7	MPROG	5	1.80	1.800	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	6.39	2.801	9	9
9	COE	18	10.85	5.469	18	13

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT AVAILABLE	AVERAGE AVAILABLE	MINIMUM AVAILABLE	MAXIMUM AVAILABLE
1	LEECD	5	3.6439	0	5
2	LEECC	0	3.2064	0	6
3	REQ	6	2.5635	0	6
4	BDEE	3	3.0291	0	7
5	BASE	1	1.0000	1	1
6	MDEE	0	.6471	0	4
7	MPROG	5	3.1968	0	5
8	AFRCE	0	2.6097	0	9
9	COE	5	7.1510	0	16

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0110
8	DI	CLOSED	.0685
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0274
10	CORPS	OPEN	.0475
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0411
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	CLOSED	.3147
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9890
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9890

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
21	.284	.100E+01	+	+	+			+
25	.338	.200E+01	+	+	+			+
28	.378	.300E+01	+	+	+			+
0	.000	.400E+01	+					+
0	.000	INF	+					+
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
74			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.209E+01	.814E+00	.388E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	74

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER								
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100		
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
45	.608	.000E+00	*****							+
5	.068	.100E+01	***				C			+
9	.122	.200E+01	*****				C			+
15	.203	.300E+01	*****							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
74			0	20	40	60	80	100		

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.919E+00	.125E+01	.136E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	74

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 12****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER								
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100		
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+							+
20	.952	.100E+01	*****							+
0	.000	.200E+01	+						C	+
1	.048	.300E+01	***							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
21			0	20	40	60	80	100		

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.110E+01	.436E+00	.398E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	21

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 13****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
4	.190	.100E+01	*****								+
7	.333	.200E+01	*****			C					+
5	.238	.300E+01	*****				C				+
5	.238	.400E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
21			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.200E+01	.116E+01	.578E+00	.114E-02	.376E+01	21

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 15****

PRIORITY OTHERS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
11	.208	.100E+01	*****								+
16	.302	.200E+01	*****			C					+
13	.245	.300E+01	*****				C				+
13	.245	.400E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
53			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY OTHERS	.200E+01	.108E+01	.538E+00	.606E-01	.376E+01	53

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5840E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .5475E+04

END OF YEAR 16

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO USAF	.197E+03	.505E+02	.256E+00	.123E+03	.286E+03	20
DI OTHER AFRCS	.418E+03	.113E+03	.270E+00	.136E+03	.678E+03	223
DI ISSUED	.285E+03	.842E+02	.295E+00	.259E+03	.641E+03	20
DI FOR OTHER PRO	.451E+03	.126E+03	.280E+00	.169E+03	.658E+03	62
TIME TO 30%	.588E+03	.153E+03	.260E+00	.473E+03	.932E+03	23
OTHERS TO 30%	.676E+03	.146E+03	.215E+00	.406E+03	.915E+03	60
CONG. DELAY	.683E+02	.129E+02	.188E+00	.612E+02	.899E+02	16
TYPE PROJECT	.231E+01	.822E+00	.356E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	75
CONSTR. CHANGES	.112E+01	.140E+01	.125E+01	.000E+00	.500E+01	75
TIME COMPLETE	.189E+04	.244E+03	.129E+00	.129E+04	.235E+04	17
DELIVERY STATUS	.124E+01	.664E+00	.538E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	17
PRIORITY	.201E+01	.960E+00	.477E+00	.388E+00	.381E+01	17
OTHERS COMPLETE	.203E+04	.320E+03	.158E+00	.150E+04	.273E+04	58
PRIORITY OTHERS	.221E+01	.103E+01	.463E+00	.270E-01	.395E+01	58

FILE STATISTICS

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
1	AWAIT	3.753	5.165	14	0	68.485
2	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
3	AWAIT	.384	1.013	4	0	7.003
4	AWAIT	.339	.999	5	0	6.189
5	AWAIT	.094	.352	2	0	1.722
6	AWAIT	164.041	83.464	250	250	119.511
7	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
8	AWAIT	218.366	81.808	251	251	158.772
9	AWAIT	.119	.525	3	0	2.175
10	AWAIT	64.972	51.086	161	36	99.225
11	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000

FILE STATISTICS (CONTINUED)

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
12	AWAIT	.224	.417	1	0	8.183
13	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
14	AWAIT	13.855	45.284	251	0	11.139
15	AWAIT	.005	.073	1	0	.980
16	AWAIT	4.564	11.288	38	2	24.499
17	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
18	AWAIT	8.412	22.091	109	0	9.969
19	AWAIT	.438	.496	1	0	20.000
20	AWAIT	.009	.093	1	0	.397
21	AWAIT	16.038	16.374	77	3	19.006
22	AWAIT	.293	1.121	6	0	4.284
23	AWAIT	.026	.158	1	0	1.044
24	AWAIT	.946	3.130	21	0	4.209
25	AWAIT	.019	.135	1	0	1.366
26	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
27	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
28	AWAIT	.309	.960	9	0	1.377
29	AWAIT	.001	.036	1	0	.034
30	AWAIT	1.566	1.642	7	4	6.647
31	AWAIT	.537	.989	3	0	14.013
32	AWAIT	2.000	1.872	5	0	45.619
33	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
34	AWAIT	.103	.486	5	0	.448
35	AWAIT	2.926	6.405	35	0	12.564
36	AWAIT	.000	.018	1	0	.123
37	AWAIT	40.721	24.842	70	54	116.118
38	AWAIT	.736	2.454	14	0	3.631
39	AWAIT	.098	.297	1	0	2.237
40	AWAIT	.010	.098	1	0	.878
41	AWAIT	.593	3.159	31	0	3.134
42	AWAIT	6.524	8.853	41	4	32.621
43	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
44	AWAIT	10.800	6.083	20	19	101.077
45	AWAIT	.058	.235	1	0	1.334
46	AWAIT	.709	1.175	4	0	15.233
47	AWAIT	.140	.609	5	0	2.431
48	AWAIT	.017	.128	1	0	.121
49	AWAIT	.000	.000	1	0	.000
50	AWAIT	.010	.102	1	0	.543
51	AWAIT	.265	.910	4	0	9.681
52	AWAIT	.097	.397	3	0	1.762
53	AWAIT	.000	.000	0	0	.000
54	AWAIT	.002	.050	3	0	.003
55	CALENDAR	456.697	111.620	834	359	9.695

REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
1	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
2	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
3	.4945	.9250	3	0	20
4	2.1536	2.3269	6	0	20
5	.3434	.7600	4	0	20
6	1.1633	1.5765	6	0	20
7	.2324	.4973	3	0	20
8	.6924	1.0672	5	0	20
9	2.4594	19.9467	251	0	454
10	3.7473	23.2183	251	0	454
11	.0000	.0000	1	0	262
12	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
13	.0000	.0000	1	0	194
14	.0000	.0000	1	0	68
15	6.2968	12.7237	38	0	94
16	.0000	.0000	1	0	88
17	.0000	.0000	1	0	6
18	.1764	.5731	3	0	6
19	.1042	.4243	3	0	6
20	5.4082	11.2790	38	0	94
21	.4073	1.0502	5	0	308
22	.0000	.0000	1	0	223
23	.0000	.0000	1	0	57
24	.0000	.0000	1	0	25
25	.4655	1.2540	6	0	25
26	1.0213	3.4398	25	0	82
27	2.5777	6.2736	37	7	112
28	12.9713	17.0577	59	22	112
29	.8538	2.2955	16	15	97
30	17.2921	18.1972	59	9	88
31	21.8158	17.4881	58	17	83
32	.0000	.0000	1	0	23
33	.6832	1.8272	11	0	82
34	.0000	.0000	0	0	0
35	.0000	.0000	1	0	82
36	9.1138	11.1412	46	6	82
37	2.1141	3.2011	13	0	82
38	.8182	1.3041	6	0	85
39	12.5701	16.5128	70	5	74
40	.0000	.0000	1	0	48
41	33.1480	22.3563	74	66	76
42	13.5544	14.0149	45	1	69
43	3.8152	4.8311	19	0	69
44	3.7073	4.5861	16	0	68
45	8.1529	15.8905	57	0	69
46	1.3062	3.7933	27	0	69
47	94.9473	17.2293	117	117	68

****REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS (CONTINUED)****

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
48	.8124	1.0507	5	2	48
49	.3663	.8275	3	0	23
50	1.3901	1.6285	5	2	8
90	.4493	.4974	1	0	82
91	.0822	.2747	1	0	1
92	.0110	.1041	1	0	2
93	.0411	.1985	1	0	3
94	.0000	.0000	1	0	8
95	.0000	.0000	1	0	16
97	.1233	.3288	1	0	9
98	.1060	.3078	1	0	10
99	.0384	.1921	1	0	14

****RESOURCE STATISTICS****

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.44	1.899	5	0
2	LEECC	6	3.13	2.300	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.56	2.614	6	0
4	BDEE	7	3.23	2.136	7	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	2.64	1.861	4	0
7	MPROG	5	2.01	1.895	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	6.93	2.644	9	3
9	COE	18	10.61	4.464	18	7

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT AVAILABLE	AVERAGE AVAILABLE	MINIMUM AVAILABLE	MAXIMUM AVAILABLE
1	LEECD	5	3.5625	0	5
2	LEECC	0	2.8695	0	6
3	REQ	6	2.4383	0	6
4	BDEE	7	3.7702	0	7
5	BASE	1	1.0000	1	1
6	MDEE	4	1.3612	0	4
7	MPROG	5	2.9898	0	5
8	AFRCE	6	2.0669	0	8
9	COE	11	7.3939	0	18

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0164
8	DI	CLOSED	.1233
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0548
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.1060
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0384
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2469
13	DI100	OPEN	.3103
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9836
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9836

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
0	.000	.000E+00	+	+	+	+	+	+
17	.227	.100E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
18	.240	.200E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
40	.533	.300E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.400E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF	+	+	+	+	+	+
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
75			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.231E+01	.822E+00	.356E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	75

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
40	.533	.000E+00	*****								+
9	.120	.100E+01	*****				C				+
7	.093	.200E+01	*****				C				+
17	.227	.300E+01	*****								C+
2	.027	INF	++								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
75			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.112E+01	.140E+01	.125E+01	.000E+00	.500E+01	75

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 12

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
15	.882	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+				C				+
2	.118	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
17			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.124E+01	.664E+00	.538E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	17

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 13****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL	LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ									
0	.000	.000E+00	+		+	+	+	+	+	+
2	.118	.100E+01	+	*****						+
6	.353	.200E+01	+	*****			C			+
7	.412	.300E+01	+	*****					C	+
2	.118	.400E+01	+	*****						C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+		+	+	+	+	+	+
17			0		20	40	60	80	100	

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.201E+01	.960E+00	.477E+00	.388E+00	.381E+01	17

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 15****

PRIORITY OTHERS

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL	LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ									
0	.000	.000E+00	+		+	+	+	+	+	+
8	.138	.100E+01	+	*****						+
16	.276	.200E+01	+	*****			C			+
18	.310	.300E+01	+	*****					C	+
16	.276	.400E+01	+	*****						C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+		+	+	+	+	+	+
58			0		20	40	60	80	100	

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY OTHERS	.221E+01	.103E+01	.463E+00	.270E-01	.395E+01	58

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/16/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5840E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .5840E+04

END OF SIMULATION RUN

Appendix D: Integrated Systems and Facilities
Acquisition Model

```
*****
*
*      INTEGRATED SYSTEMS AND FACILITIES ACQUISITION MODEL
*
*      (NORMAL MODEL)
*
*      USING
*
*      * * * * *
*      *      SLAM II VERSION 2.1      *
*      * * * * *
*
*      COPYRIGHT 1983 BY PRITSKER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
*
*      ALL RIGHTS RESERVED
*
*      SLAM SOFTWARE IS PROPRIETARY TO AND A TRADE SECRET OF PRITSKER &
*      ASSOCIATES, INC. ACCESS TO AND USE OF THIS SOFTWARE IS GRANTED
*      UNDER THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SOFTWARE LICENSE AGREEMENT
*      BETWEEN PRITSKER & ASSOCIATES, INC., AND LICENSEE, IDENTIFIED BY
*      NUMBER AS FOLLOWS:
*
*      LICENSE AGREEMENT NUMBER: 83-0408-1
*
*      THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE AGREEMENT SHALL BE STRICTLY
*      ENFORCED. ANY VIOLATION OF THE AGREEMENT MAY VOID LICENSEE'S
*      RIGHT TO USE THE SOFTWARE.
*
*      PRITSKER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
*      P.O. BOX 2413
*      WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47906
*      (317)463-5557
*
*****
```

ECHO OF INPUT PROGRAM

```

1 GEN, BLAKE & MARCHBANKS, FACILITY MODEL, 8/16/85, 1, Y, N, Y, N, Y, 1, 72;
2 LIMITS, 54, 10, 2000;
3 INTLC, XX(1)=0, XX(2)=0, XX(3)=0, XX(4)=0, XX(5)=0, XX(6)=0, XX(7)=0;
4 INTLC, XX(8)=0, XX(9)=0, XX(10)=0, XX(13)=0, XX(14)=0, XX(15)=0, XX(88)=0;
5 PRIORITY/1, LVF(9)/2, LVF(9)/3, LVF(9)/4, LVF(9)/5, LVF(9)/8, LVF(9);
6 PRIORITY/9, LVF(9)/10, LVF(9)/14, LVF(9)/17, LVF(9)/18, LVF(9);
7 PRIORITY/21, LVF(9)/22, FIFO/24, LVF(9)/28, LVF(9)/30, LVF(9)/31, HVF(7);
8 PRIORITY/32, HVF(7)/33, HVF(7)/34, LVF(9)/35, LVF(9)/37, LVF(9)/38, LVF(9);
9 PRIORITY/41, LVF(9)/42, LVF(9)/45, LVF(9)/46, LVF(9)/47, LVF(9)/48, LVF(9);
10 PRIORITY/49, LVF(9)/50, LVF(9)/51, LVF(9)/52, LVF(9);

```

```

11 ;
12 ;

```

EXPLANATION OF FILE PRIORITIES:

```

13 ;
14 ;
15 ;     PRIORITIZED FILES, LVF(9) = LOWEST VALUE FIRST BASED ON VALUE
16 ;     RECORDED IN FILE NO. 9. FILE 9 IS THE ASSIGNED PROJECT PRIORITY.
17 ;     HVF(7) = HIGHEST VALUE FIRST BASED ON VALUE IN FILE NUMBER 7. FILE
18 ;     7 RECORDS THE NUMBER OF RESOURCE UNITS REQUIRED FOR AN ACTIVITY.
19 ;     THUS IT WILL PROCESS THOSE REQUIRING THE MOST RESOURCES FIRST.
20 ;     FIFO = FIRST IN FIRST OUT. ALL FILES NOT OTHERWISE SPECIFIED USE
21 ;     FIFO PROCESSING.

```

```

22 ;
23 ;

```

```

24 ;
25 ;

```

```

*****
*                                     *
*   INTEGRATED SYSTEMS AND FACILITIES   *
*                               MODEL    *
*                                     *
*   TIME UNIT IS ONE DAY              *
*   DAY 1, 366, ECT. = 1 JAN          *
*                                     *
*   REV E - 16 AUG. 85                *
*   (NORMAL MODEL)                    *
*****

```

```

26 ;
27 ;

```

```

28 ;
29 ;

```

```

30 ;
31 ;

```

```

32 ;
33 ;

```

```

34 ;
35 ;

```

```

36 ;
37 ;

```

```

38 ;     RESOURCE STATEMENTS REPRESENT INDIVIDUALS ASSIGNED TO THE
39 ;     VARIOUS ORGANIZATIONS INVOLVED IN THE ACQUISITION OF AIR FORCE
40 ;     FACILITIES UNDER THE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM.

```

```

41 ;     THE NUMBER IN PARENTHESIS REPRESENTS THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE
42 ;     ASSIGNED TO THE FUNCTIONAL AREA REPRESENTED. THE OTHER NUMBERS
43 ;     REPRESENT THE FILES IN WHICH PROJECTS ARE WAITING ACTION BY THE
44 ;     ORGANIZATION WHERE THE RESOURCE IS EMPLOYED. THE RESOURCE WILL
45 ;     CONSIDER THE ORDER OF THE FILE LIST WHEN SELECTING A PROJECT TO
46 ;     SERVICE NEXT.

```

```

47 ;
48 ;

```



```

49 ;
50 ;
51 ;
52 NETWORK;
53     RESOURCE/LEECD(5),17,7,43,15,36,13,11,18; AIR STAFF, PROGRAMS.
54     RESOURCE/LEECC(6),40,39,47,51; AIR STAFF, CONSTRUCTION.
55     RESOURCE/REQ(6),4,22,1; BASE PROGRAMERS & ENGRS.
56     RESOURCE/BDEE(7),33,9; BASE ENGINEERING.
57     RESOURCE/BASE(1),2; MAJCOM MISSION PLANNERS(XR)
58     RESOURCE/MDEE(4),32; MAJCOM, ENGINEERING.
59     RESOURCE/MPROG(5),5,20,52,3; MAJCOM PROGRAMERS.
60     RESOURCE/AFRCE(9),19,35,31,23,12,46,50; AFRCE PROJECT MANAGERS.
61     RESOURCE/COE(18),26,27,29,34,41,25,48,49,45; CORPS OF ENGINEERS
62 ; PROJECT MANAGERS.
63 ;
64 ; GATES ARE USED TO CONTROL THE FLOW OF PROJECTS THROUGH THE MCP
65 ; PROCESS. PROJECTS ARE STOPPED AT CLOSED GATES AND ACCUMULATE IN
66 ; THE FILE ASSIGNED TO THE GATE. WHEN THE GATE IS OPEN THE PROJECTS
67 ; ARE ALLOWED TO PASS. EITHER ALL OF THE PROJECTS IN THE FILE OR A
68 ; SPECIFIED NUMBER OF THEM MAY PASS BEFORE THE GATE CLOSURES. GATE
69 ; OPERATION IS CONTROLLED BY THE MODEL SEGMENTS LISTED PRIOR TO THE
70 ; MAIN PROGRAM. GATE OPENING IS DEPENDENT EITHER ON THE PASSAGE OF
70 ; TIME OR THE ACCUMULATION OF A DEFINED NUMBER OF PROJECTS.
71 ;
72 GATE/CALL,CLOSED,8; PROJECT CALL FOR ALL
MODERNIZATION PROJECTS.
73 GATE/CALLN,CLOSED,10; PROJECT CALL FOR ALL
NON-MODERNIZATION PROJS
74 ; -1 NOV XX.
75 ; NEW FY, 1 OCT XX.
76 GATE/NEWFY,CLOSED,42; IDENTIFY REQ.
77 GATE/NEED,CLOSED,44; PROJ. FROM OTHER MAJCOMS
78 GATE/OTHER,CLOSED,6; HOLD FOR TITLE 10 ACTION.
79 GATE/CONG28,CLOSED,16; GROUP PROJS. BY 5 AT USAF
80 GATE/FRC,CLOSED,14; WAITING FOR DI.
81 GATE/DI,CLOSED,24; WAITING AT AFRCE.
82 GATE/DISTR,CLOSED,21; WAITING FOR CORPS PM.
83 GATE/CORPS,CLOSED,28; 30% PROJ REVIEW.
84 GATE/REV30,CLOSED,30; PROJS TO CONGRESS AROUND
85 GATE/CALL2,CLOSED,37; 1 JANUARY EACH YEAR.
86 ; 100% DESIGN INSTRUCTION
87 GATE/DI100,CLOSED,38; (DI) TO MAJCOM AND AFRCE
88 ; EACH YEAR.
89 ;
90 GATE/HOLD,OPEN,53;
91 GATE/HOLD1,OPEN,54;
92 ;
93 ;
94 ; MODEL SEGMENT A ** RELEASE PROJECTS FOR PROGRAMMING **
95 ;
96 ;
97 ;
98 ;

```

99	CREATE,,40,,1;	
100 A1	GOON;	
101	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;	
102 A1A	OPEN,NEED,1;	FACILITY REQUIREMENTS
103	ASSIGN,XX(88) = TNOW + UNFRM(70,99);	PLAN (FRP) IS PRODUCED
104	GOON,1;	EVERY 365 DAYS. EACH
105	ACT,,XX(4).GE.20,A2;	PLAN IDENTIFIES A NEED
106	ACT,1,,A1A;	FOR 20 NON-MODERNIZA-
107 ;		TION PROJECTS.
108 ;		
109 A2	CLOSE,NEED;	
110	ASSIGN,XX(4) = 0;	COUNTER
111	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TRIB(1) + 365 - TNOW;	
112	ACT,TRIB(1),,A1;	RECYCLE EVERY 365 DAYS.
113 ;		
114 ;		
115 ;		
116	CREATE,365,45;	
117	ACT;	
118 A3	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;	
119 A4	GOON;	
120 A4A	OPEN,OTHER,1;	RELEASE 250 PROJECTS
121	ACT,,XX(6).GE.250,A5;	FROM OTHER MAJCOMS
122	ACT,1,,A4;	EACH YEAR.
123 A5	CLOSE,OTHER;	
124	ASSIGN,XX(6) = 0;	
125 A6	GOON,1;	
126	ACT,,TNOW - TRIB(1).GE.165,A7;	CALL OCCURS IN AUGUST.
127	ACT/90,2,,A6;	
128 A7	OPEN,CALL2;	CALL FOR PROJS AT 35%
129 ;		DESIGN FOR SUBMISSION
130 ;		TO CONGRESS (1 JAN).
131	AWAIT(36),LEECD/2;	WAIT FOR 2 STAFFERS
132	ACT,90;	ACCEPT PROJECTS FOR
133 A8	CLOSE,CALL2;	NEXT 60 DAYS.
134	FREE,LEECD/2;	
135	GOON;	
136	TERMINATE;	
137 ;		
138 ;		
139 ;		
140 ;		
141 ;	MODEL SEGMENT B	** AIR STAFF PROJECT CALL **
142 ;		
143 ;		
144 ;		
145 ;		
146	CREATE,,180,,1;	INITIALIZE AT DAY 180.
147 B0	GOON,2;	EACH YEAR. (AROUND
148	ACT,,B8;	JULY FIRST).
149	ACT;	

150 B1	OPEN,CALL;	AIR STAFF CALL FOR PROJS
151	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;	(MODERNIZATION ONLY).
152 B2	AWAIT(7),LEECD/1;	
153	ACT,30;	MAJCOM SUBMISSION.
154 B3	CLOSE,CALL;	SUBMISSION PERIOD OVER.
155	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW - TRIB(1);	CALCULATE EXPIRED TIME.
156	GOON,2;	
157	ACT,,,B6;	
158	ACT;	
159 B4	GOON,1;	
160	ACT,,NNACT(10).EQ.0,B5;	
161	ACT,1,,B4;	
162 B5	FREE,LEECD/1;	RELEASE LEECD STAFFER.
163	TERMINATE;	
164 B6	GOON,1;	
165	ACT,90 - TRIB(1);	1 OCT XX
166	OPEN,NEWFY;	BEGIN NEW FY.
167	ACT/91,30;	1 NOV XX
168	OPEN,CALLN;	CALL FOR NON-MODERNIZA-
169	GOON;	TION PROJECTS BY USAF.
170	AWAIT(43),LEECD/1;	LEECD STAFF PROJECTS.
171	ACT,60;	
172	CLOSE,NEWFY;	
173	CLOSE,CALLN;	
174 B7	GOON,1;	
175	ACT,,NNACT(10).EQ.0,B5;	
176	ACT,1,,B7;	
177 B8	GOON,1;	
178	ACT,365,,B0;	RECYCLE EVERY 365 DAYS
179 ;		
180 ;		
181 ;		
182 ;		
183 ;	MODEL SEGMENT C	** STAFF TITLE 10, 2807 ACTION **
184 ;		
185 ;		
186 ;		
187 ;		
188	CREATE,,,1;	
189 C2	GOON,1;	CHECK EVERY 30 DAYS
190	ACT,,NNQ(16).GE.5,C3;	FOR FIVE OR MORE
191	ACT,30,,C2;	PROJECTS REQUIRING
192 C3	GOON,1;	CONGRESSIONAL ACTION.
193	ACT,,NNQ(15).GT.0,C2;	
194	ACT,,NNQ(15).LE.0;	
195	AWAIT(15),LEECD/2;	STAFF AT LEAST 5
196	ASSIGN,XX(1) = UNFRM(21,45);	PROJECTS AT A TIME.
197 ;		XX(1)= PROCESSING TIME.
198	OPEN,CONG28;	
199	ACT/92,2;	
200 C4	GOON,1;	PROCESS UNTIL ALL

201	ACT,,NNQ(16).EQ.0,C5;	PROJECTS WAITING FOR
202	ACT,1,,C4;	CONGRESSIONAL ACTION
203	C5 CLOSE,CONG28,1;	HAVE BEEN TRANSMITTED.
204	ACT,,NNACT(20).EQ.0,C6;	
205	ACT,1,,C5;	
206	C6 FREE,LEECD/2;	RELEASE LEECD WHEN ALL
207	ACT,,,C2;	PROCESSING COMPLETE.
208	;	
209	;	
210	;	
211	;	
212	MODEL SEGMENT D	** FACILITY PANEL ACTION **
213	;	
214	;	
215	;	
216	;	
217	CREATE,,,,,1;	
218	D1 GOON,1;	
219	ACT,,NNQ(14).GT.0.AND.NNQ(14).EQ.XX(2),D3;	
220	ACT,,NNQ(14).GT.0.AND.NNQ(14).EQ.XX(15),D6;	
221	ACT,1,,D1;	
222	D3 AWAIT(11)/LEECD/1;	TEMPORARY DELAY WHILE
223	CLOSE,HOLD;	PROJECTS ENTER FACILITY
224	CLOSE,HOLD1;	PANEL (F PANEL).
225	D4 OPEN,FRC;	F PANEL CONVENES.
226	ACT,2;	
227	GOON,1;	
228	ACT,,NNQ(14).EQ.0,D5;	
229	ACT,2,,D4;	
230	D5 CLOSE,FRC;	
231	OPEN,HOLD;	END TEMPORARY DELAY.
232	OPEN,HOLD1;	NOTE: GATES HOLD & HOLD1 ARE
233	ASSIGN,XX(2) = 0;	USED IN FIRST 2 OR 3
234	ACT,,,D7A;	YEARS OF THE MODEL RUN.
235	D6 AWAIT(13),LEECD/1;	THEY CONTINUE TO OPEN
236	CLOSE,HOLD;	& CLOSE THROUGHOUT THE
237	CLOSE,HOLD1;	SIMULATION PERIOD, BUT
238	D6A OPEN,FRC;	DO NOT CAUSE DELAY OF
239	ACT,2;	PROJECTS. THEY ACT TO
240	GOON,1;	ARTIFICIALLY CLOSE
241	ACT,,NNQ(14).EQ.0,D7;	GATE OTHER SHOULD IT
242	ACT,1,,D6A;	BE OPEN WHEN GATE FRC
243	D7 CLOSE,FRC;	OPENS.
244	OPEN,HOLD;	
245	OPEN,HOLD1;	
246	ASSIGN,XX(15) = 0;	
247	D7A GOON,2;	
248	ACT,,,D1;	
249	ACT/93,5;	
250	D8 GOON,1;	
251	ACT,,NNACT(21).EQ.0,D9;	
252	ACT,1,,D8;	RELEASE LEECD WHEN ALL

253	D9	FREE,LEECD/1;	THE PROJECTS RELEASED
254		TERMINATE;	COMPLETE ACTIVITY 21.
255		GOON;	
256		;	
257		;	
258		;	
259		;	
260		;	
261		MODEL SEGMENT E	**DISTRIBUTE DESIGN INSTRUCTIONS**
262		;	
263		;	
264		;	
265		;	
266		CREATE,30,30,3,,1;	
267	E0	GOON,1;	
268		ASSIGN,ATRIB(5) = TNOW - ATRIB(3);	
269		GOON,1;	
270		ACT,,ATRIB(5).GE.16,E5A;	
271		ACT,1;	
272		GOON,1;	
273		ACT,,NNQ(21).GT.0.AND.NNQ(21).LE.10,E2;	
274		ACT,,NNQ(21).EQ.0,E0;	
275		ACT,,NNQ(21).GT.10,E1;	
276		ACT,,,E5A;	
277	E1	ASSIGN,ATRIB(4) = 2;	
278		ACT,,,E3;	
279	E2	ASSIGN,ATRIB(4) = 1;	
280	E3	AWAIT(19/1),AFRCE/ATRIB(4),BALK(E5A);	
281		AWAIT(20/1),MPROG/ATRIB(4),BALK(E5;	
282		ACT/94;	
283		OPEN,DISTR,1;	USAF DISTRIBUTES DI'S.
284		ACT,,TNOW.GE.ATRIB(3) + 5,E4;	
285		ACT,5;	
286	E4	CLOSE, DISTR;	
287		ACT,UNFRM(5,15);	PROCESS DI.
288		FREE,MPROG/ATRIB(4);	
289	E5	FREE,AFRCE/ATRIB(4);	FREE AFRCE AND MAJCOM
290	E5A	TERMINATE;	AFTER RECIEPT OF DI.
291		;	
292		MODEL SEGMENT E1	** 35% DESIGN BEING PROCESSED AT USAF **
293		;	
294		;	
295		CREATE,,250,,1,1;	
296		ACT;	
297	E6	ASSIGN,ATRIB(1) = TNOW;	
298	E7	GOON,1;	
299		ACT,,NNQ(38).GT.0,E8;	
300		ACT,5,,E7;	
301	E8	AWAIT(39),LEECC/1;	WAIT FOR LEECC STAFFER.
302		ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 1;	
303		ACT/95;	ISSUE APPROVAL TO
304	E9	OPEN,DI100;	PROCEED WITH PROJECT

305	GOON,1;	DESIGN TO 100%.
306	ACT,,XX(3).GT.0.AND.XX(3).LE.5,E13;	
307	ACT,,XX(3).GT.5.AND.XX(3).LE.10,E10;	
308	ACT,,XX(3).GT.10,E11;	
309	ACT,5,,E9;	
310 E10	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 2;	INCREASE REQUIREMENT
311	ACT,,E12;	FOR LEECC STAFF BY 1.
312 E11	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 3;	INCREASE REQUIREMENT
313	ACT,,E12;	BY 2. (BASED ON # OF
314 E12	ASSIGN,ATRIB(4) = ATRIB(3) - 1;	PROJECTS - XX(3).)
315	AWAIT(40),LEECC/ATRIB(4);	
316 E13	GOON;	
317	ACT,2;	
318	CLOSE,DI100;	RELEASE COMPLETED.
319	ASSIGN,XX(3) = 0;	
320	GOON,2;	
321	ACT,,E16;	
322	ACT,UNFRM(30,50);	
323 E14	GOON,1;	
324	ACT,,NNACT(41).EQ.0,E15;	
325	ACT,,NNACT(41).NE.0;	
326	ACT,1,,E14;	
327 E15	FREE,LEECC/ATRIB(3);	RELEASE LEECC STAFF.
328	TERMINATE;	
329 E16	GOON,1;	
330	ACT,,NNQ(38).GT.0,E8;	WAIT FOR MORE PROJECTS.
331	ACT,1,,E16;	
332 ;		
333 ;		
334 ;		
335 ;		
336 ;		
337 ;		
338 ;		
339 ;	MODEL SEGMENT F	** ISSUE DESIGN INSTRUCTION TO CORPS **
340 ;		
341 ;		
342 ;		
343 ;		
344 ;		
345 ;		
346 ;		
347	CREATE,,,1;	
348 F1	GOON,1;	
349	ACT,,NNQ(24).GE.10,F2;	CHECK FOR 10 OR MORE
350 ;		IN FILE 24.
351	ACT,,NNQ(24).GT.0.AND.NNQ(24).LT.10.AND.NNACT(25).EQ.0,F3;	
352	ACT,,F7;	
353 F2	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 2;	ASSIGN 2 AFRCE PROJECT
354	ACT,,F4;	MANAGERS (PM).
355 F3	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 1;	ASSIGN 1 AFRCE PM.
356 F4	GOON;	

357		AWAIT(23),AFRCE/ATRIB(3);	
358	F5	OPEN,DI,1;	AFRCE FORWARDS DESIGN
359		ACT,,NNQ(24).EQ.0,F6;	INSTRUCTION TO COE.
360		ACT,1,,F5;	
361	F6	GOON,1	
362		ACT/97,5;	
363		CLOSE,DI;	
364		ACT,UNFRM(4,8);	AFRCE PROCESS FOR
365		FREE,AFRCE/ATRIB(3);	ISSUE OF DI TO COE.
366	F7	GOON,1;	
367		ACT,1,,F1;	
368		;	
369		;	
370		;	
371		;	
372		MODEL SEGMENT G	** ARCHITECT-ENGINEER (AE) SELECTION **
373		;	
374		;	
375		;	
376		;	
377		CREATE,,,1;	
378	G1	GOON;	
379		ACT;	
380		ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 0;	RESET COUNTER
381		GOON,1;	TAKE ONLY 1 OF THE
382		ACT,,NNQ(28).EQ.0,G7;	FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES.
383		ACT,,NNQ(28).LT.5.AND.NNQ(24).EQ.0.AND.NNACT(26).EQ.0,G2;	
384		ACT,,NNQ(28).EQ.5,G2;	
385		ACT,,NNQ(28).GT.5,G5;	
386		ACT,,,G7;	
387	G2	AWAIT(25),COE/1;	WAIT FOR COE PM.
388		ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 1;	ASSIGN 1 COE PM
389		ASSIGN,XX(8) = UNFRM(20,35);	ASSIGN TIMES FOR :
390		ASSIGN,XX(9) = UNFRM(3,8);	XX(8) = PREPARE DESIGN
			SCHEDULE.
391		ASSIGN,XX(10) = EXPON(10,3);	XX(9) = PREPARE CBD
			ANNOUNCEMENT.
392	G3	OPEN,CORPS,1;	XX(10) = AE SELECTION.
393		ACT/98,4;	
394		GOON,1;	
395		ACT,,XX(7).LE.5.AND.XX(7).GT.0,G6;	ASSIGN GROUPS OF FIVE.
396		ACT,,XX(7).GT.5,G4;	
397		ACT,1,,G3;	
398	G4	AWAIT(26),COE/1;	ASSIGN ADDITIONAL COE
399		ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 2;	(2 ASSIGNED).
400		ACT,,,G6;	
401	G5	AWAIT(27),COE/2;	DI ISSUED TO COE.
402		GOON;	
403		ASSIGN,XX(8) = UNFRM(20,55);	
404		ASSIGN,XX(9) = UNFRM(10,20);	
405		ASSIGN,XX(10) = EXPON(12,3);	
406		GOON;	

407	OPEN,CORPS,1;	
408	ACT/98,4;	
409	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 2;	ASSIGN TWO COE PMS.
410 G6	CLOSE,CORPS;	
411	ASSIGN,XX(7) = 0;	RESET COUNTER.
412	GOON,2;	START DUAL PATH.
413	ACT,1,,G1;	
414	ACT;	
415	AWAIT(12),AFRCE/1;	
416	ACT,XX(10);	AE SELECTION BOARD.
417	FREE,AFRCE/1;	RELEASE AFRCE.
418	GOON;	
419	ACT,UNFRM(5,21);	NEGOTIATE WITH AE.
420	GOON;	
421	ACT,9;	
422	GOON;	
423	ACT,UNFRM(5,20);	PREPARE,SUBMIT AND
424	FREE,COE/ATRIB(3);	REVIEW AUDIT OF AE
425	TERMINATE;	
426 G7	GOON;	
427	ACT,1,,G1;	
428	TERMINATE;	
429	GOON;	
430 ;		
431 ;		
432 ;		
433	CREATE,,,,,1;	
434 G8	GOON,1;	ACCOMPLISH 30% DESIGN
435 ;		REVIEW.
436	ACT,,NNQ(30).GT.0.AND.NNQ(30).LT.5.AND.NNACT(31).EQ.0,G9;	
437	ACT,,NNQ(30).EQ.5,G9;	
438	ACT,,NNQ(30).GT.5.AND.NNQ(30).LE.15,G10;	
439	ACT,,NNQ(30).GT.15,G11;	
440	ACT,1,,G8	
441 G9	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 1;	ASSIGNING NUMBER OF
442	ACT,,,G12;	RESOURCES REQUIRED
443 G10	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 2;	BASED ON NUMBER OF
444	ACT,,,G12;	PROJECTS WAITING.
445 G11	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 4;	
446 G12	GOON,1;	
447	ACT,,NNQ(29).EQ.0,G12A;	SELECT ONE OF THE
448	ACT,,NNQ(29).NE.0;	FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES.
449	GOON,1;	
450	ACT,1,,G8;	
451 G12A	AWAIT(29),COE/ATRIB(7);	
452	ASSIGN,XX(21) = EXPON(3);	TIME FOR COE TO DIS-
		TRIBUTE 30% DESIGN
453	ASSIGN,XX(22) = TNOW;	TO REVIEWERS.
454	OPEN,REV30;	
455	ACT/99,1;	
456	CLOSE,REV30;	
457	GOON,2;	TAKE BOTH OF FOLLOWING

458	ACT,5,,G8;	ACTIVITIES.
459	ACT;	
460	AWAIT(31),AFRCE/ATRIB(7);	
461	AWAIT(32),MDEE/ATRIB(7);	
462	AWAIT(33),BDEE/ATRIB(7);	
463 G13	GOON,1;	
464	ACT,,NNACT(36).EQ.0,G14;	HOLD REVIEWERS UNTIL
465	ACT,3,,G13;	PROJECTS COMPLETE
466 G14	FREE,MDEE/ATRIB(7);	REVIEW ACTIVITY IN
467	FREE,BDEE/ATRIB(7);	MAIN PROGRAM (ACT/36).
468 G15	GOON,1;	
469	ACT,,NNACT(37).EQ.0,G16;	
470	ACT,3,,G15;	
471 G16	FREE,AFRCE/ATRIB(7);	
472	GOON,1;	
473	FREE,COE/ATRIB(7);	
474	TERMINATE;	
475 ;		
476 ;		
477 ;		
478 ;	*****	
479 ;		
480 ;	***** MAIN PROGRAM *****	
481 ;		
482 ;	*****	
483 ;		
484 ;		
485 ;	ALL PROCESSING OF MCP PROJECTS IS ACCOMPLISHED IN THIS SEGMENT	
486 ;	OF THE PROGRAM. THE PRECEEDING SEGMENTS CONTROL THE MCP MILESTONES	
487 ;	SUCH AS AIR STAFF PROJECT CALLS IN JULY AND NOVEMBER AND THE START	
488 ;	OF NEW FY. THEY ALSO CONTROL PROJECT GROUP PROCESSING WHEN REQUIRED.	
489	CREATE,0,30,,20;	
490	ACT,,M0;	
491	CREATE,17,47;	
492 M0	GOON;	GATE NEED, RELEASE IS
493	AWAIT(44/20),NEED,BALK(M9);	CONTROLLED IN SEGMENT A
494	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = XX(88);	TIME FRP RELEASED.
495	ACT;	
496	ASSIGN,XX(4) = XX(4) + 1;	COUNT PROJECTS.
497	ASSIGN,ATRIB(9) = UNFRM(0,4,2);	ASSIGN PRIORITY.
498	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 1;	IDENTIFY BED DOWN PROJ.
499	ASSIGN,ATRIB(2) = 0;	
500	ACT;	
501	GOON,1;	
502	ACT,,.85,M1;	FACILITY REQUIREMENT
503 ;		PLAN ADEQUATE TO START
504 ;		PROGRAMMING.
505	ACT,,.15;	FACILITY REQUIREMENT
506 ;		PLAN (FRP) INADEQUATE.
507	GOON,1;	
508	ACT/2,UNFRM(140,185),,M2;	FRP REVISED.
509 M1	GOON,1;	

510	ACT,,,95,M2;	95% HAVE CONSTRUCTION
511	ACT,,,05;	SITE IDENTIFIED.
512	ASSIGN,ATRIB(2) = TNOW;	
513	AWAIT(2),BASE/1;	WAITING FOR SITE TO
514	ACT/1,RNORM(90,50,1);	BE SELECTED.
515	FREE, BASE/1;	
516	ASSIGN,ATRIB(2) = TNOW - ATRIB(2);	TIME SITE ASSIGNED.
517 M2	ASSIGN,ATRIB(1) = TNOW;	
518	AWAIT(1),REQ/1;	ASSEMBLE SITE SURVEY
519	AWAIT(9),BDEE/1;	TEAM.
520	AWAIT(52),MPROG/1;	
521	ACT/3,UNFRM(4,14);	SURVEY AT SELECTED
522	FREE,BDEE/1;	BASE.
523	FREE,MPROG/1;	
524	ACT/4,UNFRM(30,50);	PREPARE 1391'S AND
525 ;		PROJECT BOOKLETS (PB).
526	FREE,REQ/1;	
527	ACT,,,M3;	
528 M2A	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 4;	IDENTIFY RETURNED
529 ;		PROJECTS.
530 M3	AWAIT(3),MPROG/1;	MAJCOM PROGRAMMER
531	ACT/5,UNFRM(3,10);	MAJCOM REVIEW.
532	FREE,MPROG/1;	MAJCOM PROGRAMMER
533	ACT/6,UNFRM(7,30);	REVIEW AND COORDINATION.
534	AWAIT(4),REQ/1;	BASE PROGRAMMER
535	ACT/7,EXPON(5,3);	REVISE PB'S
536	FREE,REQ/1;	
537	AWAIT(5),MPROG/1;	
538	ACT/8,UNFRM(10,16);	MAJCOM REVIEWS AND
539 ;		PREPARES FOR TRANS-
540	FREE,MPROG/1;	MITTAL TO HQ USAF/LEE.
541	ACT,UNFRM(9,25);	PRINTING AND TRAN-
542	GOON,1;	MITTAL TIME.
543	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.4,M7;	
544	ACT;	
545	COLCT,INT(1),TIME TO USAF;	
546	GOON,1;	
547	ACT,,,M7;	
548	CREATE,2,2,4;	ALL NON-MODERNIZATION
549	ACT,UNFRM(95,170);	PROJECTS FROM OTHER
		MAJCOMS.
550	ASSIGN,ATRIB(9) = UNFRM(0,4);	ASSIGN PRIORITY
551	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 2;	IDENTIFY PROJS. FROM
552 ;		OTHER MAJCOMS (NON-
553	ACT,,,M7;	MODERNIZATION).
554	CREATE,0,0,4,250;	
555	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 3;	IDENTIFY MODERNIZATION
556 ;		PROJECTS.
557	ACT,,,M4;	
558 ;		
559	CREATE,1,10,4;	ALL AF MODERNIZATION

560	ASSIGN, ATRIB(7) = 3;	PROJ. TO HQ USAF/LEE.
561 M4	GOON;	
562	AWAIT(6/250), OTHER, BALK(M9);	GATE OTHER CONTROL IS
563	ASSIGN, ATRIB(4) = TNOW;	IN SEGMENT A.
564	ASSIGN, XX(6) = XX(6) + 1;	
565	ACT, UNFRM(115, 130);	
566	GOON, 1;	
567	ACT, .80, M5;	
568	ACT, .20;	
569	ASSIGN, ATRIB(9) = UNFRM(0, 1);	20% ASSIGNED PRI. 1.
570	ACT, ., M6;	
571 M5	ASSIGN, ATRIB(9) = UNFRM(1, 4);	ASSIGN REMAINING PRI.
572 M6	AWAIT(8), CALL;	USAF CALL FOR MCP
573	AWAIT(53), HOLD;	MODERNIZATION PROJECTS
574	ASSIGN, XX(2) = XX(2) + 1;	
575	ACT, ., M8;	GATE CONTROL, SEGMENT B
576 M7	AWAIT(10), CALLN;	USAF CALL FOR NON-
577	AWAIT(54), HOLD1;	MODERNIZATION PROJECTS
578 ;		
579 ;		IN NOVEMBER.
580	ASSIGN, XX(15) = XX(15) + 1;	
581	ACT;	GATE CONTROL, SEGMENT B
582 M8	GOON, 1;	
583	ACT/9, UNFRM(1, 3);	PREPARE FOR FACILITY
584	GOON;	PANEL (F PANEL).
585	ACT/10, UNFRM(2, 4);	F PANEL REVIEW.
586	AWAIT(14), FRC;	
587	GOON, 1;	
588	ACT, ATRIB(7).EQ.4, M10;	
589	ACT;	
590	ASSIGN, ATRIB(5) = 0;	
591	GOON, 1;	
592	ACT, ATRIB(7).NE.1, M8A;	
593	ACT;	
594	GOON, 1;	
595	ACT, .75, M10;	
596	ACT, .25, M8A;	
597 M8A	GOON, 1;	
598	ACT/11, .65, M10;	35% REJECTED.
599	ACT, .35;	
600	GOON, 1;	
601	ACT/12, ATRIB(7).EQ.1, M2A;	BED DOWN PROJECTS SENT
602	ACT;	BACK TO MAJCOM.
603 M9	TERMINATE;	
604 M10	GOON, 1;	
605	ACT/13, .73, M12;	
606	ACT/14, .27;	27% REQUIRE TITLE 10,
607	ASSIGN, ATRIB(8) = TNOW;	2807 ACTION BY CONG.
608	ASSIGN, XX(5) = XX(5) + 1;	
609	AWAIT(16), CONG28;	
610	ACT/15, XX(1);	STAFF 2807 ACTION
611	GOON, 1;	

612	ACT/16,,.95,M11;	
613	ACT/17,,.05;	CONGRESS HAS QUESTIONS
614	GOON;	ON 5% OF PROJECTS.
615	ACT/18,UNFRM(2,18);	CONGRESSIONAL QUESTIONS
616 ;		RETURNED TO LEECC.
617	AWAIT(17),LEECD/1;	
617	AWAIT(17),LEECD/1;	
618	ACT/19,UNFRM(3,10);	PREPARE CONGRESSIONAL
619 ;		RESPONSE.
620	FREE,LEECD/1;	
621 M11	GOON;	
622	ACT/20,21;	WAIT 21 DAYS BEFORE
623	ASSIGN,TRIB(5) = TNOW - TRIB(8);	RELEASE FROM CONGRESS.
624 M12	AWAIT(18),LEECD/1;	
625	ACT/21,UNFRM(0,1);	ISSUE DESIGN INSTRU-
626	FREE,LEECD/1;	TION.
627	GOON,1;	
628	AWAIT(21),DISTR;	RELEASE OF DI(35%)
629	GOON,1	
630	ACT,,TRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.TRIB(7).EQ.4,M13;	
631	ACT;	
632	GOON,1;	
633	ACT,,.20,M14;	
634	ACT/22,,.80;	PROJS. TO OTHER AFRCS.
635	GOON;	
636	TERMINATE;	
637 M13	COLCT,INT(1),DI ISSUED;	
638	ACT,,M15;	
639 M14	GOON;	
640 M15	GOON,1;	
641	ACT/23,,.60,M16;	
642	ACT/24,,.40;	
643	AWAIT(22),REQ/1;	BASE REVISE PB & 1391
644	ACT/25,UNFRM(4,9);	
645	FREE,REQ/1;	
646 M16	GOON;	
647	AWAIT(24),DI;	DESIGN INSTRUCTION TO
648	ACT/26,UNFRM(3,6);	COE ISSUED BY AFRCE.
649	AWAIT(28),CORPS;	
650	ASSIGN,XX(7) = XX(7) + 1;	COUNT PROJECTS.
651	ASSIGN,TRIB(8) = TNOW;	
652	ASSIGN,TRIB(3) = XX(8);	TIME FOR PREPARATION
653 ;		OF A DESIGN SCHEDULE.
654	GOON;	
655	ACT/27,XX(9);	PREPARE COMMERCE BUS.
656	GOON;	DAILY (CBD) AD.
657	ACT/28,UNFRM(20,55);	ADVERTISE AND AWAIT AE
658 ;		RESPONSE.
659	GOON;	
660	ACT/29,XX(10);	AE SELECTION.
661 NA	GOON;	

662	ASSIGN, ATRIB(6) = TNOW - ATRIB(8);	CHECK TIME FOR PREP.
663	ASSIGN, ATRIB(6) = ATRIB(6) - ATRIB(3);	OF COE FINAL DESIGN
664	GOON, 1;	SCHEDULE.
665	ACT, , ATRIB(6).GE.0,N0;	
666	ACT, 1, , NA;	
667 NO	GOON, 1;	
668	ACT/30, UNFRM(45,100);	ISSUE NOTICE TO
669 ;		PROCEED TO AE.
670	GOON;	
671	ACT/31, UNFRM(60,120);	30% DESIGN COMPLETION.
672	GOON, 1;	
673	ACT, , ATRIB(7).NE.1.AND.ATRIB(7).NE.4,M17;	PROJS. OTHER THAN
674	ACT/32, , ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4;	WEAPON SYS (WS) PROJS.
675	GOON;	
676	COLCT, INT(1), TIME TO 30%;	
677	ACT, , , M18;	
678 M17	GOON;	
679 M18	ASSIGN, ATRIB(8) = TNOW - ATRIB(2);	
680	GOON, 1;	
681	ACT, , ATRIB(2).EQ.0,M19;	SEPERATE SITE DELAYED
682	ACT, , ATRIB(2).NE.0;	FROM THOSE WHICH HAD
683	GOON;	SITE ASSIGNED.
684	COLCT, INT(8), HOST BASE ASSIGNED;	SITE ASSIGNMENT STATS
685 M19	GOON, 1;	
686	ACT, , ATRIB(5).EQ.0,M20;	COLLECT STATS ONLY ON
687	ACT, , ATRIB(5).NE.0;	PROJS WHICH HAD DELAY.
688	ASSIGN, ATRIB(5) = TNOW - ATRIB(5);	
689	COLCT, INT(5), CONG. DELAY;	
690 M20	GOON;	
691	AWAIT(30), REV30;	30% DESIGN REVIEW.
692	ASSIGN, ATRIB(6) = XX(22);	
693	ACT/33, XX(21);	PREPARE FOR DIST OF
694	GOON, 1;	30% DESIGN PACKAGE.
695	ACT;	
696	ASSIGN, ATRIB(6) = 45 - TNOW + ATRIB(6);	TIME REMAINING FOR
697	GOON, 1;	30% REVIEW.
698	ACT/34, , ATRIB(6).LE.0,M21;	REVIEW TIME EXPIRED,
		NO INPUT FROM AFRCE.
		REVIEW TIME REMAINING
699	ACT/35, , ATRIB(6).GT.0;	
700	GOON;	
701	ACT/36, ATRIB(6);	ACCOMPLISH REVIEW
702 M21	GOON, 1;	
703	ACT, UNFRM(4,12);	AFRCE COMPILES & GIVES
704	GOON;	COMMENTS TO COE.
705	ACT, UNFRM(1,2);	DESIGN REVIEW MEETING
706	GOON;	
707	ACT/37, UNFRM(5,15);	COE COMPILES COMMENTS
708	GOON;	
709	ACT, UNFRM(20,30);	AE MAKES CHANGES &
710	AWAIT(34), COE/1;	RETURNS 35% DESIGN.
711	ACT/38, UNFRM(2,5);	COE FORWARDS TO AFRCE

712	FREE,COE/1;	
713	AWAIT(35),AFRCE/1;	AFRCE PREPARES 1178 &
714	ACT,UNFRM(0,2);	FORWARDS TO LEECC.
715	FREE,AFRCE/1;	
716	AWAIT(37),CALL2;	PROJECTS TO LEECC ON
		1 AUGUST EACH YEAR.
717	ACT/39,EXPON(60);	OSD REVIEWS & INCLUDES
718	GOON,1;	PROJECTS IN BUDGET.
719	AWAIT(38),DI100;	AUTHORIZED TO PROCEED
720 ;		WITH DESIGN TO 100%.
721	ASSIGN,XX(3) = XX(3) + 1;	
722	GOON,1;	
723	ACT, ,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4,M22;	
724	ACT;	
725	GOON,1;	
726	ACT/40, ,.95,M22;	95% TO CONGRESS IN
727	ACT, ,.05;	BUDGET, 5% CANCELLED
728	TERMINATE;	PROJECT TERMINATED.
729 M22	GOON;	
730	ACT/41,UNFRM(165,185);	CONG. REVIEWS & PASSES
731	GOON,1;	MCP CONSTRUCTION BILL.
732	ACT, ,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4,M23;	WEAPONS SYS. PROJECTS
733	ACT;	APPROVED FOR CONSTR.
734	GOON,1;	
735	ACT, ,.95,M23;	95% INCLUDED IN MCP
736	ACT, ,.05;	BILL, 5% NOT INCLUDED
737	TERMINATE;	CANCELL DESIGN & PROJ.
738 M23	GOON;	
739	ACT/42,UNFRM(60,80);	CONGRESS/OSD PROVIDE
740 ;		FUNDING FOR CONSTR.
741	GOON;	
742	ACT,UNFRM(2,3);	NOTIFY MAJCOM'S ECT.
743	GOON;	WHICH PROJECTS FUNDED.
744	ACT/43,UNFRM(15,25);	COMPLETE 95% DESIGN -
745 ;		REVIEW (ALL PARTIES).
746	GOON;	
747	ACT,UNFRM(17,30);	
748	GOON;	AE MAKES CHANGES.
749	ACT/44,UNFRM(15,25);	
750 ;		CORPS CHECKS DESIGN TO
751	AWAIT(42),NEWFY;	INSURE ALL COMMENTS
752	GOON;	WERE INCORPORATED.
753	AWAIT(41),COE/1;	START NEW FY 1 OCTOBER
754	ACT,EXPON(2);	
755 ;		COE PREPARES CBD AD.
756	FREE,COE/1;	FOR CONSTRUCTION.
757	ACT/45,UNFRM(35,50);	
758	GOON;	ADVERTISE FOR PROJECT
759	ACT/46,UNFRM(4,10);	CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT.
760	GOON;	CONTRACT AWARD.
761	ACT,UNFRM(7,14);	
762 N	GOON;	NOTICE TO PROCEED.

```

763 ACT/47,UNFRM(285,720);
764 ASSIGN,TRIB(3) = 0;
765 GOON,1;
766 ;
767 ACT;
768 GOON,1;
769 ACT,,.40,M28;
770 ACT,,.60;
771 M24 GOON,1;
772 ACT,,.80,M26;
773 ACT,,.05,M27;
774 ACT,,.15;
775 M25 GOON,1;
776 AWAIT(45),COE/1;
777 ACT,UNFRM(1,3);
778 FREE,COE/1;
779 AWAIT(46),AFRCE/1;
780 ACT,EXPON(2);
781 FREE,AFRCE/1;
782 AWAIT(47),LEECC/1;
783 ACT/49,UNFRM(5,7);
784 FREE,LEECC/1;
785 ASSIGN,TRIB(3) = TRIB(3) + 1;
786 GOON,1;
787 ACT,,.55,M28;
788 ACT,,.45;
789 GOON,1;
790 ACT,,TRIB(3).EQ.2,M27;
791 ;
792 ;

793 ACT,,,M25;
794 M26 ASSIGN,TRIB(3) = TRIB(3) + 1;
795 AWAIT(48),COE/1;
796 ACT/48,UNFRM(5,7);
797 FREE,COE/1;
798 ;
799 GOON,1;
800 ACT,,.20,M28;
801 ACT,,.80;
802 GOON,1;
803 ACT,,TRIB(3).EQ.3,M28;
804 ACT,,,M24;
805 M27 GOON,1;
806 ASSIGN,TRIB(3) = TRIB(3) + 1;
807 AWAIT(49),COE/1;
808 ACT,UNFRM(1,2);
809 FREE,COE/1;
810 AWAIT(50),AFRCE/1;
811 ACT,EXPON(2);
812 FREE,AFRCE/1;
813 AWAIT(51),LEECC/1;

```

CONSTR. PERIOD ASSIGNED

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES
ON 60% OF THE PROJECTS.

ON 15% OF THE PROJECTS
CUMULATIVE COST OF
CHANGES TOTAL BETWEEN
5% & 15% OF THE PRO-
GRAMMED AMOUNT.
COE FORWARDS TO AFRCE.

AFRCE FORWARDS TO USAF.
USAF/LEECC PROCESSES
REQUEST FOR FUNDS.

CHANGES PER PROJECT.

55% NO FURTHER CHANGE.
45% GET MORE CHANGES.
AF AVE. IS 2.5 /PROJ.
NEXT CHANGE WILL CAUSE
INCREASE TO EXCEED 25%
OF PA. THEREFORE REQ.
CONG.ACTION (GO TO M27)
CHECK FOR MORE CHANGES.

CUMULATIVE COST OF
CHANGES DOES NOT EX-
CEED 25% OF PROJECT
PROGRAMMED AMT. (PA).
20% RECIEVE NO MORE
CONSTR. CHANGES.
CHECK # OF CHANGES.
ALLOW A MAXIMUM OF 3
CHANGES PER PROJECT.

COUNT CHANGES PER PROJ.

CUMULATIVE COST WITH
THIS CHANGE EXCEEDS
25% OF THE PROJ. PA,
AFRCE FORWARDS TO HQ.
USAF/LEECC.

814	ACT,UNFRM(5,7);	LEECC FORWARDS TO CONG.
815	FREE,LEECC/1;	
816	ACT/50,UNFRM(45,60);	CONGRESS REVIEWS AND
817	GOON,1;	APPROVES ADDED FUNDS.
818	ACT,,.65,M28;	65% RECEIVE NO FURTHER
819	ACT,,.35;	CHANGES.
820	GOON,1;	
821	ACT,,ATRIB(3).GT.1,M28;	MAX. OF 2 CHANGES PER
822	ACT,,M24;	PROJ. (AF AVE IS 2.5)
823 M28	ASSIGN,ATRIB(8) = TNOW - ATRIB(7);	
824	COLCT,INT(8),TYPE PROJECT,4,0,1;	TYPE OF PROJ.COMPLETED
825	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = TNOW - ATRIB(3);	
826	COLCT,INT(3),CONSTR. CHANGES,3/0/1;	NUMBER OF CHANGES.
827	GOON,1;	
828	ACT,,ATRIB(7).NE.1.AND.ATRIB(7).NE.4,M33;	OTHER PROJECTS SORTED.
829	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4;	
830	COLCT,INT(1),TIME COMPLETE;	CONSTR. COMPLETE TIME.
831	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = ATRIB(10) + UNFRM(100,365) + 1825;	
832	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = TNOW - ATRIB(10);	IOC CALCULATED.
833	GOON,1;	
834	ACT,,ATRIB(10).GT.0,M29;	PROJ. COMPLETED LATE.
835	ACT,,ATRIB(10).LT.0,M30;	PROJ. COMPLETED EARLY.
836	ACT,,ATRIB(10).EQ.0,M31;	PROJECT ON TIME.
837 M29	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = 3;	3 = MISSED IOC.(LATE)
838	ACT,,M32;	
839 M30	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = 1;	1 = PRIOR TO IOC.
840	ACT,,M32;	
841 M31	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = 2;	2 = READY AT IOC.
842 M32	GOON,1;	
843	ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = TNOW - ATRIB(10);	
844	COLCT,INT(10),DELIVERY STATUS,3/0/1;	DELIVERY TIME STATS.
845	GOON,1;	
846	ASSIGN,ATRIB(9) = TNOW - ATRIB(9);	CALCULATE PRIORITY.
847	COLCT,INT(9),PRIORITY,4/0/1;	BED DOWN PROJ.PRIORITY
848	ACT,,M34;	
849 M33	GOON;	
850	ACT;	
851	GOON;	
852	ASSIGN,ATRIB(9) = TNOW - ATRIB(9);	
853	ACT;	
854 M34	GOON,1;	
855	ENDNETWORK;	END OF SIMULATION.
856	INIT,0,5840;	SIMULATE 10 YEARS PLUS
857	;	6 YEAR WARM-UP PERIOD.
858	MONTR,SUMRY,2190,365	
859	MONTR,CLEAR,2190,365;	COLLECT STATS. EVERY
860	FIN;	YEAR STARTING AT YR. 6.

The output from this model follows immediately. Refer to Appendix G for definition and explanation of SLAM Summary Report.

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .2190E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .0000E+00

END OF WARM-UP PERIOD

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
DI ISSUED	.404E+03	.155E+03	.383E+00	.124E+03	.879E+03	107
TIME TO 30%	.617E+03	.144E+03	.233E+00	.347E+03	.105E+04	78
HQST BASE ASSIGN	.651E+02	.526E+02	.808E+00	.279E+02	.102E+03	2
CONG. DELAY	.754E+02	.212E+02	.282E+00	.444E+02	.256E+03	111
TYPE PROJECT	.236E+01	.841E+00	.356E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	94
CONSTR. CHANGES	.131E+01	.129E+01	.984E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	94
TIME COMPLETE	.180E+04	.214E+03	.119E+00	.136E+04	.207E+04	22
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	22
PRIORITY	.161E+01	.116E+01	.721E+00	.755E-01	.400E+01	22

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.39	1.682	5	1
2	LEECC	6	2.03	2.090	6	4
3	REQ	6	3.62	2.605	6	2
4	BDEE	7	2.51	2.153	7	0
5	BASE	1	.09	.288	1	0
6	MDEE	4	1.92	1.760	4	0
7	MPROG	5	1.99	1.834	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	5.19	3.495	9	2
9	COE	18	8.06	5.544	18	5

REMAINING STATISTICS FOR WARM-UP PERIOD NOT PRINTED

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .2555E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .2190E+04

END OF YEAR 7

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
DI ISSUED	.336E+03	.131E+03	.390E+00	.177E+03	.691E+03	12
TIME TO 30%	.696E+03	.189E+03	.272E+00	.422E+03	.115E+04	41
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.703E+02	.000E+00	.000E+00	.703E+02	.703E+02	1
CONG. DELAY	.770E+02	.159E+02	.206E+00	.549E+02	.103E+03	22
TYPE PROJECT	.240E+01	.839E+00	.349E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	62
CONSTR. CHANGES	.115E+01	.129E+01	.113E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	62
TIME COMPLETE	.202E+04	.245E+03	.121E+00	.176E+04	.250E+04	14
DELIVERY STATUS	.143E+01	.852E+00	.596E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	14
PRIORITY	.195E+01	.134E+01	.685E+00	.119E+00	.373E+01	14

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.15	1.421	5	0
2	LEECC	6	2.16	1.988	6	5
3	REQ	6	3.41	2.522	6	0
4	BDEE	7	3.17	2.178	7	4
5	BASE	1	.19	.391	1	0
6	MDEE	4	2.62	1.857	4	4
7	MPROB	5	1.95	1.768	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	6.92	3.218	9	9
9	COE	18	12.92	6.102	18	18

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0055
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0110
8	DI	CLOSED	.0548
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0548
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0438
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0493
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.2443
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9890
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9890

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 5****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
12	.194	.100E+01	*****					+
15	.242	.200E+01	*****		C			+
33	.532	.300E+01	*****					C +
2	.032	.400E+01	***					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
62			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO. OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.240E+01	.839E+00	.349E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	62

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
31	.500	.000E+00	*****									+
7	.113	.100E+01	*****				C					+
8	.129	.200E+01	*****					C				+
16	.258	.300E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
62			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.115E+01	.129E+01	.113E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	62

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

TIME COMPLETE

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.160E+04	+									+
0	.000	.170E+04	+									+
4	.286	.180E+04	*****									+
2	.143	.190E+04	*****			C						+
1	.071	.200E+04	*****				C					+
2	.143	.210E+04	*****					C				+
2	.143	.220E+04	*****						C			+
0	.000	.230E+04	+						C			+
2	.143	.240E+04	*****								C	+
0	.000	.250E+04	+								C	+
1	.071	.260E+04	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
14			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
TIME COMPLETE	.202E+04	.245E+03	.121E+00	.176E+04	.250E+04	14

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 8****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL	LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100	
FREQ	FREQ				+	+	+	+	+	+	
0	.000	.000E+00	+		+					+	
11	.786	.100E+01	+	*****						+	
0	.000	.200E+01	+						C	+	
3	.214	.300E+01	+	*****						C	
0	.000	INF	+							C	
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
14			0		20		40		60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.143E+01	.852E+00	.596E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	14

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		
0	.000	.000E+00	+							+		
5	.357	.100E+01	*****								+	
2	.143	.200E+01	*****				C			+		
2	.143	.300E+01	*****					C		+		
5	.357	.400E+01	*****								C	
0	.000	INF	+							C		
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		
14			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.195E+01	.134E+01	.685E+00	.119E+00	.373E+01	14

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .2920E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .2555E+04

END OF YEAR 8

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
DI ISSUED	.354E+03	.135E+03	.380E+00	.171E+03	.780E+03	34
TIME TO 30%	.585E+03	.145E+03	.247E+00	.338E+03	.911E+03	20
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.689E+02	.000E+00	.000E+00	.689E+02	.689E+02	1
CONG. DELAY	.790E+02	.488E+01	.618E-01	.752E+02	.849E+02	18
TYPE PROJECT	.229E+01	.825E+00	.361E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	94
CONSTR. CHANGES	.157E+01	.128E+01	.815E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	94
TIME COMPLETE	.194E+04	.202E+03	.103E+00	.163E+04	.240E+04	22
DELIVERY STATUS	.127E+01	.703E+00	.552E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	22
PRIORITY	.143E+01	.884E+00	.621E+00	.319E-01	.308E+01	22

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.54	1.799	5	2
2	LEECC	6	3.93	1.930	6	4
3	REQ	6	4.05	2.494	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.12	2.078	6	3
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	1	0
6	MDEE	4	1.32	1.772	4	3
7	MPROG	5	2.03	1.929	5	1
8	AFRCE	9	4.32	3.489	9	8
9	COE	18	7.88	5.656	18	7

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0164
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0219
8	DI	CLOSED	.1370
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0548
10	CORPS	OPEN	.1073
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0301
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	CLOSED	.4144
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9781
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9781

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 5****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
21	.223	.100E+01	*****					+
26	.277	.200E+01	*****			C		+
46	.489	.300E+01	*****					C+
1	.011	.400E+01	**					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
94			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.229E+01	.825E+00	.361E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	94

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
30	.319	.000E+00	*****					+
15	.160	.100E+01	*****		C			+
14	.149	.200E+01	*****			C		+
35	.372	.300E+01	*****					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
94			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.157E+01	.128E+01	.815E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	94

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

TIME COMPLETE

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.160E+04	+					+
3	.136	.170E+04	*****					+
3	.136	.180E+04	*****		C			+
1	.045	.190E+04	***		C			+
7	.318	.200E+04	*****			C		+
2	.091	.210E+04	*****				C	+
2	.091	.220E+04	*****					+
3	.136	.230E+04	*****					C
1	.045	.240E+04	***					C
0	.000	.250E+04	+					C
0	.000	.260E+04	+					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
22			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME COMPLETE	.196E+04	.202E+03	.103E+00	.163E+04	.240E+04	22

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 8****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
19	.864	.100E+01	*****									+
0	.000	.200E+01	+						C			+
3	.136	.300E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
22			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.127E+01	.703E+00	.552E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	22

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
8	.364	.100E+01	*****									+
8	.364	.200E+01	*****						C			+
5	.227	.300E+01	*****									C
1	.045	.400E+01	***									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
22			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.143E+01	.886E+00	.621E+00	.319E-01	.308E+01	22

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .3285E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .2920E+04

END OF YEAR 9

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO. OF OBS
DI ISSUED	.323E+03	.605E+02	.188E+00	.214E+03	.482E+03	23
TIME TO 30%	.575E+03	.125E+03	.217E+00	.500E+03	.106E+04	21
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.710E+02	.120E+01	.132E+00	.630E+02	.790E+02	3
CONG. DELAY	.745E+02	.624E+01	.837E-01	.673E+02	.897E+02	29
TYPE PROJECT	.220E+01	.828E+00	.376E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	93
CONSTR. CHANGES	.117E+01	.129E+01	.110E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	93
TIME COMPLETE	.190E+04	.319E+03	.168E+00	.126E+04	.238E+04	24
DELIVERY STATUS	.150E+01	.885E+00	.590E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	24
PRIORITY	.189E+01	.124E+01	.656E+00	.594E-01	.391E+01	24

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.37	1.693	5	3
2	LEECC	6	3.35	2.219	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.46	2.719	6	0
4	BDEE	7	3.74	1.807	7	0
5	BASE	1	.89	.312	1	1
6	MDEE	4	3.26	1.448	4	0
7	MPROG	5	1.83	1.921	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	7.75	2.000	9	3
9	COE	18	10.70	3.424	18	8

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0219
8	D1	OPEN	.0845
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0548
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0681
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0411
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	D1100	OPEN	.4009
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9781
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9781

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 5****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
20	.215	.100E+01	*****					+
38	.409	.200E+01	*****			C		+
31	.333	.300E+01	*****					C +
4	.043	.400E+01	***					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
93			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.220E+01	.828E+00	.376E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	93

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
44	.473	.000E+00		+	+	+	+	+	+
15	.161	.100E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
8	.086	.200E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
26	.289	.300E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF		+	+	+	+	+	+
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
93				0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.117E+01	.129E+01	.110E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	93

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

TIME COMPLETE

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
4	.167	.160E+04		+	+	+	+	+	+
2	.083	.170E+04		+	+	+	+	+	+
2	.083	.180E+04		+	+	+	+	+	+
3	.125	.190E+04		+	+	+	+	+	+
3	.125	.200E+04		+	+	+	+	+	+
3	.125	.210E+04		+	+	+	+	+	+
3	.125	.220E+04		+	+	+	+	+	+
2	.083	.230E+04		+	+	+	+	+	+
2	.083	.240E+04		+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.250E+04		+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.260E+04		+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF		+	+	+	+	+	+
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
24				0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
TIME COMPLETE	.190E+04	.319E+03	.168E+00	.126E+04	.238E+04	24

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 8

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
18	.750	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+					C			+
6	.250	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
24			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.150E+01	.885E+00	.590E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	24

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
7	.292	.100E+01	*****								+
6	.250	.200E+01	*****				C				+
5	.208	.300E+01	*****					C			+
6	.250	.400E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
24			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.189E+01	.124E+01	.656E+00	.594E-01	.391E+01	24

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .3650E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .3285E+04

END OF YEAR 10

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
DI ISSUED	.341E+03	.000E+00	.000E+00	.341E+03	.341E+03	2
TIME TO 30%	.572E+03	.823E+02	.144E+00	.434E+03	.764E+03	18
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.758E+02	.000E+00	.000E+00	.758E+02	.758E+02	1
CONG. DELAY	.916E+02	.716E+02	.781E+00	.605E+02	.267E+03	22
TYPE PROJECT	.222E+01	.867E+00	.390E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	67
CONSTR. CHANGES	.157E+01	.128E+01	.818E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	67
TIME COMPLETE	.202E+04	.183E+03	.906E-01	.172E+04	.230E+04	19
DELIVERY STATUS	.163E+01	.955E+00	.585E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	19
PRIORITY	.175E+01	.138E+01	.790E+00	.385E-01	.391E+01	19

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.19	1.470	5	5
2	LEECC	6	3.22	2.246	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.54	2.630	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.69	2.042	7	3
5	BASE	1	.09	.290	1	0
6	MDEE	4	1.98	1.608	4	3
7	MPROG	5	2.10	1.867	5	1
8	AFRCE	9	5.44	3.124	9	5
9	COE	18	9.33	5.296	18	7

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	OPEN	.0075
8	DI	CLOSED	.1073
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0685
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0877
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0466
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	CLOSED	.3710
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9925
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9925

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 5****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
16	.239	.100E+01	*****					+
23	.343	.200E+01	*****			C		+
25	.373	.300E+01	*****					C +
3	.045	.400E+01	***					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
67			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.222E+01	.867E+00	.390E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	67

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
20	.299	.000E+00	*****								+
15	.224	.100E+01	*****			C					+
6	.090	.200E+01	*****				C				+
26	.388	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
67			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.157E+01	.128E+01	.818E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	67

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

TIME COMPLETE

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.160E+04	+								+
0	.000	.170E+04	+								+
3	.158	.180E+04	*****								+
3	.158	.190E+04	*****		C						+
3	.158	.200E+04	*****			C					+
2	.105	.210E+04	*****				C				+
4	.211	.220E+04	*****					C			+
3	.158	.230E+04	*****						C		+
1	.053	.240E+04	****							C	+
0	.000	.250E+04	+								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
19			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
TIME COMPLETE	.202E+04	.183E+03	.906E-01	.172E+04	.230E+04	19

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 8****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
13	.684	.100E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.200E+01	+									+
6	.316	.300E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF	+									+
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
19			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.163E+01	.955E+00	.585E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	19

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
7	.368	.100E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4	.211	.200E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3	.158	.300E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5	.263	.400E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF	+									+
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
19			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.175E+01	.138E+01	.790E+00	.385E-01	.391E+01	19

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .4015E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .3650E+04

END OF YEAR 11

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
DI ISSUED	.340E+03	.106E+03	.311E+00	.163E+03	.701E+03	38
TIME TO 30%	.601E+03	.118E+03	.196E+00	.453E+03	.921E+03	20
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.312E+03	.664E+02	.213E+00	.265E+03	.359E+03	2
CONG. DELAY	.810E+02	.242E+01	.299E-01	.784E+02	.831E+02	11
TYPE PROJECT	.193E+01	.842E+00	.436E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	57
CONSTR. CHANGES	.133E+01	.117E+01	.878E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	57
TIME COMPLETE	.196E+04	.224E+03	.114E+00	.172E+04	.264E+04	22
DELIVERY STATUS	.145E+01	.858E+00	.590E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	22
PRIORITY	.227E+01	.118E+01	.518E+00	.167E+00	.392E+01	22

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.61	1.831	5	4
2	LEECC	6	3.17	2.144	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.43	2.512	6	6
4	BDEE	7	3.00	1.669	7	0
5	BASE	1	.14	.344	1	0
6	MDEE	4	2.40	1.523	4	0
7	MPROG	5	2.09	1.748	5	1
8	AFRCE	9	4.99	2.681	9	3
9	COE	18	7.47	4.273	18	5

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0164
7	FRC	OPEN	.0237
8	DI	CLOSED	.1507
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0548
10	CORPS	OPEN	.1155
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0301
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.4002
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9763
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9763

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 5****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
20	.351	.100E+01	*****					+
23	.404	.200E+01	*****				C	+
12	.211	.300E+01	*****					C +
2	.035	.400E+01	***					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
57			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.193E+01	.842E+00	.436E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	57

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
19	.333	.000E+00	*****									+
13	.228	.100E+01	*****			C						+
12	.211	.200E+01	*****				C					+
13	.228	.300E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
57			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.133E+01	.117E+01	.878E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	57

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

TIME COMPLETE

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.160E+04	+									+
0	.000	.170E+04	+									+
7	.318	.180E+04	*****									+
3	.136	.190E+04	*****		C							+
6	.273	.200E+04	*****				C					+
1	.045	.210E+04	***				C					+
3	.136	.220E+04	*****					C				+
1	.045	.230E+04	***						C			+
0	.000	.240E+04	+							C		+
0	.000	.250E+04	+							C		+
0	.000	.260E+04	+							C		+
1	.045	INF	***								C	+
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
22			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME COMPLETE	.196E+04	.224E+03	.114E+00	.172E+04	.264E+04	22

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 8****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
17	.773	.100E+01	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.200E+01	+					C			+
5	.227	.300E+01	+	+	+	+	+				C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
22			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.145E+01	.858E+00	.590E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	22

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
4	.182	.100E+01	+	+	+						+
7	.318	.200E+01	+	+	+	C					+
2	.091	.300E+01	+	+	+	C					+
9	.409	.400E+01	+	+	+						C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
22			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.227E+01	.118E+01	.518E+00	.167E+00	.392E+01	22

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .4380E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .4015E+04

END OF YEAR 12

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
DI ISSUED	.398E+03	.199E+03	.501E+00	.180E+03	.726E+03	5
TIME TO 30%	.545E+03	.123E+03	.226E+00	.361E+03	.932E+03	23
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.500E+02	.000E+00	.000E+00	.500E+02	.500E+02	1
CONG. DELAY	.674E+02	.133E+02	.197E+00	.550E+02	.987E+02	23
TYPE PROJECT	.236E+01	.856E+00	.363E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	81
CONSTR. CHANGES	.111E+01	.121E+01	.109E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	81
TIME COMPLETE	.193E+04	.186E+03	.964E-01	.169E+04	.239E+04	20
DELIVERY STATUS	.150E+01	.889E+00	.592E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	20
PRIORITY	.223E+01	.137E+01	.614E+00	.109E+00	.370E+01	20

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.48	1.705	5	0
2	LEECC	6	3.05	2.460	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.45	2.399	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.47	1.799	7	0
5	BASE	1	.58	.494	1	0
6	MDEE	4	1.82	1.569	4	0
7	MPROG	5	2.06	1.583	5	1
8	AFRCE	9	5.06	3.070	9	7
9	COE	18	9.69	6.085	18	10

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0164
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0181
8	DI	CLOSED	.1096
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0685
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0968
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0438
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.3565
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9819
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9819

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 5****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
18	.222	.100E+01	*****					+
18	.222	.200E+01	*****		C			+
43	.531	.300E+01	*****					C+
2	.025	.400E+01	++					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
81			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.236E+01	.856E+00	.363E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	81

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
38	.469	.000E+00	*****					
13	.160	.100E+01	*****			C		+
13	.160	.200E+01	*****				C	+
17	.210	.300E+01	*****					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
81			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.111E+01	.121E+01	.109E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	81

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7

TIME COMPLETE

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.160E+04	+					+
1	.050	.170E+04	***					+
7	.350	.180E+04	*****		C			+
1	.050	.190E+04	***			C		+
6	.300	.200E+04	*****				C	+
1	.050	.210E+04	***				C	+
3	.150	.220E+04	*****					C +
0	.000	.230E+04	+					C +
1	.050	.240E+04	***					C
0	.000	.250E+04	+					C
0	.000	.260E+04	+					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
20			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME COMPLETE	.193E+04	.186E+03	.964E-01	.169E+04	.239E+04	20

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 8****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
0	.000	.000E+00		+	+	+	+	+	+
15	.750	.100E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.200E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
5	.250	.300E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF		+	+	+	+	+	+
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
20				0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.150E+01	.889E+00	.592E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	20

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
0	.000	.000E+00		+	+	+	+	+	+
5	.250	.100E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
3	.150	.200E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
3	.150	.300E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
9	.450	.400E+01		+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	INF		+	+	+	+	+	+
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
20				0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.223E+01	.137E+01	.614E+00	.109E+00	.370E+01	20

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .4745E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .4380E+04

END OF YEAR 13

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
DI ISSUED	.291E+03	.138E+03	.473E+00	.115E+03	.691E+03	30
TIME TO 30%	.505E+03	.129E+03	.255E+00	.354E+03	.887E+03	18
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.165E+03	.652E+02	.395E+00	.119E+03	.211E+03	2
CONG. DELAY	.632E+02	.202E+02	.319E+00	.494E+02	.907E+02	15
TYPE PROJECT	.233E+01	.780E+00	.335E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	79
CONSTR. CHANGES	.108E+01	.124E+01	.115E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	79
TIME COMPLETE	.206E+04	.210E+03	.102E+00	.185E+04	.273E+04	15
DELIVERY STATUS	.167E+01	.976E+00	.586E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	15
PRIORITY	.203E+01	.145E+01	.714E+00	.599E-01	.369E+01	15

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.35	1.693	5	5
2	LEECC	6	2.95	2.205	6	4
3	REQ	6	3.59	2.424	6	6
4	BDEE	7	3.26	2.120	7	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	2.56	1.810	4	0
7	MPROG	5	2.08	1.799	5	2
8	AFRCE	9	5.75	3.234	9	9
9	COE	18	9.75	5.365	18	12

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0055
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0164
8	DI	CLOSED	.0685
9	DISTR	OPEN	.0414
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0548
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0356
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.3345
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9836
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9836

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 5****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
14	.177	.100E+01	*****					+
26	.329	.200E+01	*****			C		+
38	.481	.300E+01	*****					C+
1	.013	.400E+01	**					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
79			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.233E+01	.780E+00	.335E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	79

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
39	.494	.000E+00	*****								+
13	.165	.100E+01	*****				C				+
9	.114	.200E+01	*****					C			+
18	.228	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
79			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.108E+01	.124E+01	.115E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	79

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

TIME COMPLETE

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.160E+04	+								+
0	.000	.170E+04	+								+
0	.000	.180E+04	+								+
3	.200	.190E+04	*****								+
3	.200	.200E+04	*****			C					+
5	.333	.210E+04	*****				C				+
3	.200	.220E+04	*****							C	+
0	.000	.230E+04	+							C	+
0	.000	.240E+04	+							C	+
0	.000	.250E+04	+							C	+
0	.000	.260E+04	+							C	+
1	.067	INF	****								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
15			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
TIME COMPLETE	.206E+04	.210E+03	.102E+00	.185E+04	.273E+04	15

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 8****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
10	.667	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+				C				+
5	.333	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
15			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.167E+01	.976E+00	.586E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	15

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
6	.400	.100E+01	*****								+
1	.067	.200E+01	***			C					+
1	.067	.300E+01	***				C				+
7	.467	.400E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
15			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.203E+01	.145E+01	.714E+00	.599E-01	.369E+01	15

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5110E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .4745E+04

END OF YEAR 14

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
DI ISSUED	.447E+03	.173E+03	.386E+00	.326E+03	.747E+03	9
TIME TO 30%	.586E+03	.187E+03	.319E+00	.346E+03	.102E+04	21
HOST BASE ASSIGN			NO VALUES RECORDED			
CONG. DELAY	.738E+02	.544E+01	.736E-01	.691E+02	.798E+02	18
TYPE PROJECT	.206E+01	.782E+00	.379E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	84
CONSTR. CHANGES	.148E+01	.133E+01	.901E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	84
TIME COMPLETE	.193E+04	.166E+03	.857E-01	.157E+04	.223E+04	23
DELIVERY STATUS	.143E+01	.843E+00	.588E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	23
PRIORITY	.152E+01	.122E+01	.802E+00	.493E-01	.390E+01	23

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.18	1.481	5	5
2	LEECC	6	2.65	2.001	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.51	2.599	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.00	1.810	6	0
5	BASE	1	.14	.342	1	0
6	MDEE	4	1.28	1.514	4	0
7	MPROG	5	1.91	1.820	5	0
8	AFRC	9	4.73	3.839	9	0
9	COE	18	8.98	5.713	18	9

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	OPEN	.0147
8	DI	CLOSED	.0685
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0544
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0548
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0329
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	CLOSED	.2772
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9853
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9853

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 5****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
23	.274	.100E+01	+	*****				+
33	.393	.200E+01	+	*****				+
28	.333	.300E+01	+	*****				C
0	.000	.400E+01	+					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
84			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO. OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.206E+01	.782E+00	.379E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	84

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
32	.381	.000E+00	*****								+
11	.131	.100E+01	*****			C					+
10	.119	.200E+01	*****				C				+
31	.369	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
84			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.148E+01	.133E+01	.901E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	84

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

TIME COMPLETE

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
1	.043	.160E+04	***								+
0	.000	.170E+04	+ C								+
5	.217	.180E+04	***** C								+
4	.174	.190E+04	*****			C					+
6	.261	.200E+04	*****				C				+
2	.087	.210E+04	*****					C			+
4	.174	.220E+04	*****							C	+
1	.043	.230E+04	***								C
0	.000	.240E+04	+								C
0	.000	.250E+04	+								C
0	.000	.260E+04	+								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
23			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
TIME COMPLETE	.193E+04	.166E+03	.857E-01	.157E+04	.223E+04	23

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 8****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL	LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ				+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00			+					+
18	.783	.100E+01			*****					+
0	.000	.200E+01			+				C	+
5	.217	.300E+01			*****					C
0	.000	INF			+					C
0	.000	INF			+					C
---					+	+	+	+	+	+
23					0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.143E+01	.843E+00	.588E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	23

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL	LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ				+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00			+					+
11	.478	.100E+01			*****					+
4	.174	.200E+01			*****			C		+
5	.217	.300E+01			*****				C	+
3	.130	.400E+01			*****					C
0	.000	INF			+					C
---					+	+	+	+	+	+
23					0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.152E+01	.122E+01	.802E+00	.493E-01	390E+01	23

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5475E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .5110E+04

END OF YEAR 15

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
DI ISSUED	.347E+03	.880E+02	.254E+00	.185E+03	.567E+03	22
TIME TO 30%	.564E+03	.844E+02	.150E+00	.384E+03	.758E+03	22
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.494E+02	.000E+00	.000E+00	.494E+02	.494E+02	1
CONG. DELAY	.602E+02	.168E+02	.279E+00	.457E+02	.790E+02	30
TYPE PROJECT	.224E+01	.875E+00	.391E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	63
CONSTR. CHANGES	.146E+01	.127E+01	.868E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	63
TIME COMPLETE	.202E+04	.173E+03	.856E-01	.179E+04	.237E+04	18
DELIVERY STATUS	.144E+01	.856E+00	.592E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	18
PRIORITY	.193E+01	.135E+01	.700E+00	.114E-02	.376E+01	18

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.54	1.824	5	5
2	LEECC	6	3.04	1.802	6	4
3	REQ	6	3.51	2.714	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.44	2.036	6	0
5	BASE	1	.05	.218	1	0
6	MDEE	4	1.64	1.917	4	0
7	MPROG	5	1.90	1.948	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	4.55	3.936	9	9
9	COE	18	8.78	6.565	18	18

GATE STATISTICS

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NFJFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	OPEN	.0126
7	FRC	OPEN	.0189
8	DI	CLOSED	.0822
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0411
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0658
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0329
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.3672
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9811
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9811

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 5

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
16	.254	.100E+01	*****					+
18	.286	.200E+01	*****			C		+
27	.429	.300E+01	*****					C +
2	.032	.400E+01	***					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
63			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.224E+01	.875E+00	.391E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	63

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
21	.333	.000E+00	*****	+	+	+	+	+	+
13	.206	.100E+01	*****				C		+
8	.127	.200E+01	*****					C	+
21	.333	.300E+01	*****						C
0	.000	INF	+						C
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
63				0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.146E+01	.127E+01	.868E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	63

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

TIME COMPLETE

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
0	.000	.160E+04	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.170E+04	+						+
1	.056	.180E+04	****						+
5	.278	.190E+04	*****			C			+
2	.111	.200E+04	*****				C		+
5	.278	.210E+04	*****					C	+
0	.000	.220E+04	+					C	+
4	.222	.230E+04	*****						C
1	.056	.240E+04	****						C
0	.000	.250E+04	+						C
0	.000	.260E+04	+						C
0	.000	INF	+						C
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
18				0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
TIME COMPLETE	.202E+04	.173E+03	.856E-01	.179E+04	.237E+04	18

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 8****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
14	.778	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+				C				+
4	.222	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
18			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.144E+01	.856E+00	.592E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	18

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
5	.278	.100E+01	*****								+
4	.222	.200E+01	*****								+
4	.222	.300E+01	*****								+
5	.278	.400E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
18			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.193E+01	.135E+01	.700E+00	.114E-02	.376E+01	18

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 9/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5840E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .5475E+04

END OF YEAR 16

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
DI ISSUED	.328E+03	.560E+02	.171E+00	.180E+03	.401E+03	19
TIME TO 30%	.570E+03	.708E+02	.124E+00	.367E+03	.647E+03	19
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.183E+02	.000E+00	.000E+00	.183E+02	.183E+02	1
CONG. DELAY	.718E+02	.403E+01	.561E-01	.683E+02	.832E+02	17
TYPE PROJECT	.208E+01	.862E+00	.414E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	73
CONSTR. CHANGES	.155E+01	.127E+01	.820E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	73
TIME COMPLETE	.192E+04	.181E+03	.940E-01	.162E+04	.233E+04	24
DELIVERY STATUS	.133E+01	.761E+00	.571E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	24
PRIORITY	.199E+01	.899E+00	.452E+00	.388E+00	.381E+01	24

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.20	1.479	5	0
2	LEECC	6	3.14	1.971	6	3
3	REQ	6	3.50	2.626	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.62	2.106	7	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	4	1.98	1.74	4	0
7	MPROG	5	2.08	2.064	5	0
8	AFRCE	9	5.03	2.990	9	4
9	COE	18	8.03	4.746	18	2

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0093
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0102
8	DI	CLOSED	.1233
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0548
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0986
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0329
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	CLOSED	.3109
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9898
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9898

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 5****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
23	.315	.100E+01	*****					+
22	.361	.200E+01	*****			C		+
27	.370	.300E+01	*****					C+
1	.014	.400E+01	++					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
73			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.208E+01	.862E+00	.414E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	73

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES											
OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
23	.315	.000E+00	*****								+
13	.178	.100E+01	*****			C					+
11	.151	.200E+01	*****				C				+
26	.356	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
73			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.155E+01	.127E+01	.820E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	73

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7

TIME COMPLETE											
OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.160E+04	+								+
2	.083	.170E+04	*****								+
4	.167	.180E+04	*****	C							+
7	.292	.190E+04	*****			C					+
4	.167	.200E+04	*****				C				+
1	.042	.210E+04	***					C			+
5	.208	.220E+04	*****								C
0	.000	.230E+04	+								C
1	.042	.240E+04	***								C
0	.000	.250E+04	+								C
0	.000	.260E+04	+								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
24			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
TIME COMPLETE	.192E+04	.181E+03	.940E-01	.162E+04	.233E+04	24

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 8****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
20	.833	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+					C			+
4	.167	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
24			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.133E+01	.761E+00	.571E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	24

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
3	.125	.100E+01	*****								+
10	.417	.200E+01	*****			C					+
8	.333	.300E+01	*****					C			+
3	.125	.400E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
24			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.199E+01	.899E+00	.452E+00	.388E+00	.381E+01	24

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/13/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5840E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .5840E+04

END OF SIMULATION RUN

Appendix E: Simulation Two - Conceptual Model With Changes in the Design Phase




Figure 10. Simulation Two - System Contractor Produces Facility Designs




Figure 11. Simulation Two - System Contractor Produces Facility Designs

Appendix F: Simulation Model with System Contractor
Accomplishing Facility Design

```
*****
*
*
*      INTEGRATED SYSTEMS AND FACILITIES ACQUISITION MODEL
*
*      SIMULATING WEAPON SYSTEM CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR FACILITY DESIGN
*
*      USING
*
*      * * * * *
*      *      SLAM II VERSION 2.1      *
*      * * * * *
*
*
*      COPYRIGHT 1983 BY PRITSKER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
*
*      ALL RIGHTS RESERVED
*
*
*      SLAM SOFTWARE IS PROPRIETARY TO AND A TRADE SECRET OF PRITSKER &
*      ASSOCIATES, INC. ACCESS TO AND USE OF THIS SOFTWARE IS GRANTED
*      UNDER THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SOFTWARE LICENSE AGREEMENT
*      BETWEEN PRITSKER & ASSOCIATES, INC., AND LICENSEE, IDENTIFIED BY
*      NUMBER AS FOLLOWS:
*
*      LICENSE AGREEMENT NUMBER: 83-0408-1
*
*      THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE AGREEMENT SHALL BE STRICTLY
*      ENFORCED. ANY VIOLATION OF THE AGREEMENT MAY VOID LICENSEE'S
*      RIGHT TO USE THE SOFTWARE.
*
*
*      PRITSKER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
*      P.O. BOX 2413
*      WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47906
*      (317)463-5557
*
*****
```

ECHO OF INPUT PROGRAM

```

1 GEN, BLAKE & MARCHBANKS, FACILITY MODEL, 8/19/85, 1, Y, Y, Y, Y, Y, 1, 72;
2 LIMITS, 62, 11, 2000;
3 INTLC, XX(1)=0, XX(2)=0, XX(3)=0, XX(4)=0, XX(5)=0, XX(6)=0, XX(7)=0;
4 INTLC, XX(9)=0, XX(10)=0, XX(13)=0, XX(14)=0, XX(15)=0, XX(88)=0, XX(99)=1;
5 PRIORITY/1, LVF(9)/2, LVF(9)/3, LVF(9)/4, LVF(9)/5, LVF(9)/8, LVF(9);
6 PRIORITY/9, LVF(9)/10, LVF(9)/14, LVF(9)/17, LVF(9)/18, LVF(9);
7 PRIORITY/21, LVF(9)/22, FIFO/24, LVF(9)/28, LVF(9)/30, LVF(9)/31, HVF(7);
8 PRIORITY/32, HVF(7)/33, HVF(7)/34, LVF(9)/35, LVF(9)/37, LVF(9)/38, LVF(9);
9 PRIORITY/41, LVF(9)/42, LVF(9)/45, LVF(9)/46, LVF(9)/47, LVF(9)/48, LVF(9);
10 PRIORITY/49, LVF(9)/50, LVF(9)/51, LVF(9)/52, LVF(9)/55, LVF(9)/56, LVF(9);
11 PRIORITY/57, LVF(9)/58, LVF(9);

```

```

12 ;
13 ;

```

14 ; EXPLANATION OF FILE PRIORITIES:

```

15 ;

```

```

16 ;     PRIORITIZED FILES, LVF(9)= LOWEST VALUE FIRST BASED ON VALUE
17 ;     RECORDED IN FILE NO. 9.  FILE 9 IS THE ASSIGNED PROJECT PRIORITY.
18 ;     HVF(7)= HIGHEST VALUE FIRST BASED ON VALUE IN FILE NUMBER 7.  FILE
19 ;     7 RECORDS THE NUMBER OF RESOURCE UNITS REQUIRED FOR AN ACTIVITY.
20 ;     THUS IT WILL PROCESS THOSE REQUIRING THE MOST RESOURCES FIRST.
21 ;     FIFO= FIRST IN FIRST OUT.  ALL FILES NOT OTHERWISE SPECIFIED USE
22 ;     FIFO PROCESSING.

```

```

23 ;
24 ;

```

```

25 ;     *****
26 ;     *                                     *
27 ;     *   INTEGRATED SYSTEMS AND FACILITIES   *
28 ;     *           ACQUISITION MODEL           *
29 ;     *                                     *
30 ;     *           TIME UNIT IS ONE DAY         *
31 ;     *           DAY 1, 366, ECT. = 1 JAN     *
32 ;     *                                     *
33 ;     *           REV H - 15 AUG 85             *
34 ;     * (SYSTEM CONTRACTOR AS FAC. DESIGNER) *
35 ;     *****

```

```

36 ;
37 ;

```

```

38 ;

```

```

39 ;     RESOURCE STATEMENTS REPRESENT INDIVIDUALS ASSIGNED TO THE
40 ;     VARIOUS ORGANIZATIONS INVOLVED IN THE ACQUISITION OF AIR FORCE
41 ;     FACILITIES UNDER THE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM.

```

```

42 ;     THE NUMBER IN PARENTHESIS REPRESENTS THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE
43 ;     ASSIGNED TO THE FUNCTIONAL AREA REPRESENTED.  THE OTHER NUMBERS
44 ;     REPRESENT THE FILES IN WHICH PROJECTS ARE AWAITING ACTION BY THE
45 ;     ORGANIZATION WHERE THE RESOURCE IS EMPLOYED.  THE RESOURCE WILL
46 ;     CONSIDER THE ORDER OF THE FILE LIST WHEN SELECTING A PROJECT TO
47 ;     SERVICE NEXT.

```

```

48 ;
49 ;

```

```

50 ;
51 ;
52 ;
53 NETWORK;
54     RESOURCE/LEECD(5),17,7,43,15,36,13,11,18; AIR STAFF, PROGRAMS.
55     RESOURCE/LEECC(6),40,39,47,51; AIR STAFF, CONSTRUCTION.
56     RESOURCE/REQ(6),4,22,1; BASE PROGRAMMERS & ENGRS.
57     RESOURCE/BDEE(7),33,9; BASE ENGINEERING.
58     RESOURCE/BASE(1),2; MAJCOM MISSION PLANNERS.
59     RESOURCE/MDEE(5),32; MAJCOM, ENGINEERING.
60     RESOURCE/MPROG(6),5,20,52,3; MAJCOM PROGRAMMERS
61     RESOURCE/AFRCE(9),19,35,31,23,12,46,55,58,50; AFRCE PROJECT
        MANAGERS (PM).
62     RESOURCE/COE(18),26,27,29,34,41,25,48,49,56,57,45; CORPS OF
63 ; ENGINEERS (COE) PMs.
64 ;
65 ; GATES ARE USED TO CONTROL THE FLOW OF PROJECTS THROUGH THE
66 ; MCP PROCESS. PROJECTS STOP AT CLOSED GATES AND ACCUMULATE IN
67 ; THE FILE ASSIGNED TO THE GATE. WHEN THE GATE IS OPEN PROJECTS
68 ; ARE ALLOWED TO PASS. EITHER ALL OF THE PROJECTS IN THE FILE OR
69 ; A SPECIFIED NUMBER MAY PASS BEFORE THE GATE CLOSURES. GATE OPER-
70 ; ATION IS CONTROLLED BY THE MODEL SEGMENTS LISTED PRIOR TO THE
71 ; MAIN PROGRAM. GATE OPENING IS DEPENDENT EITHER ON THE PASSAGE
72 ; OF TIME OR THE ACCUMULATION OF A DEFINED NUMBER OF PROJECTS.
73     GATE/CALL,CLOSED,8; PROJECT CALL FOR ALL
        MODERNIZATION PROJS.
74     GATE/CALLN,CLOSED,10; PROJECT CALL FOR ALL
75 ; NON-MODERNIZATION PROJ.
76 ; --1 NOV XX.
77     GATE/NEWFY,CLOSED,42; NEW FY, 1 OCT XX.
78     GATE/NEED,CLOSED,44; IDENTIFY REQ.
79     GATE/OTHER,CLOSED,6; PROJS. OTHER MAJCOMS.
80     GATE/CONG28,CLOSED,16; HOLD FOR TITLE 10.
81     GATE/FRC,CLOSED,14; PUT IN GROUPS OF 5.
82     GATE/DI,CLOSED,24; WAITING FOR DI.
83     GATE/DISTR,CLOSED,21; WAITING AT AFRCE.
84     GATE/CORPS,CLOSED,28; WAITING FOR COE PM.
85     GATE/REV30,CLOSED,30; 30% PROJ REVIEW.
86     GATE/CALL2,CLOSED,37; PROJS TO CONG. ABOUT
87 ; 1 JANUARY EACH YEAR.
88     GATE/DI100,CLOSED,38; PROJECTS SURVIVES THE
89 ; F-PANEL. COE NOTIFY
90 ; AE TO CONTINUE DESIGN.
91     GATE/HOLD,OPEN,53;
92     GATE/HOLD1,OPEN,54;
93 ;
94 ;
95 ; MODEL SEGMENT A ** RELEASE PROJECTS FOR PROGRAMMING **
96 ;
97 ;
98 ;
99 ;

```

100	CREATE,,40,,1;	
101	A1 GOON;	
102	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;	
103	A1A OPEN,NEED,1;	FACILITY REQUIREMENTS
104	ASSIGN,XX(88) = TNOW + UNFRM(70,99);	PLAN (FRP) IS PRODUCED
105	GOON,1;	EVERY 365 DAYS. EACH
106	ACT,,XX(4).GE.20,A2;	PLAN IDENTIFIES A NEED
107	ACT,1,,A1A;	FOR 20 NON-MODERNIZA-
108	;	TION PROJECTS.
109	;	
110	A2 CLOSE,NEED;	
111	ASSIGN,XX(4) = 0;	PROJECT COUNTER.
112	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TRIB(1) + 365 - TNOW;	
113	ACT,TRIB(1),,A1 ;	RECYCLE TO STATEMENT
114	;	A1 EVERY 365 DAYS.
115	;	
116	;	
117	CREATE,365,45;	
118	ACT;	
119	A3 ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;	
120	A4 GOON;	
121	A4A OPEN,OTHER,1;	RELEASE 250 PROJECTS
122	ACT,,XX(6).GE.250,A5 ;	FROM OTHER MAJCOMS
123	ACT,1,,A4 ;	EACH YEAR.
124	A5 CLOSE,OTHER;	
125	ASSIGN,XX(6) = 0;	
126	A6 GOON,1;	
127	ACT,,TNOW - TRIB(1).GE.165,A7;	CALL OCCURS IN AUGUST
128	ACT/90,2,,A6;	FOR THE SUBMISSION OF
129	A7 OPEN,CALL2;	PROJS. WITH 35% DESIGN
130	;	COMPLETE FOR SUBMISSION
131	;	TO CONGRESS ON 1 JAN.
132	AWAIT(36),LEECD/2;	WAIT FOR 2 STAFFERS.
133	ACT,90;	ACCEPT PROJECTS FOR
134	A8 CLOSE,CALL2;	NEXT 60 DAYS.
135	FREE,LEECD/2;	
136	GOON;	
137	TERMINATE;	
138	;	
139	;	
140	;	
141	;	
142	MODEL SEGMENT B	** AIR STAFF PROJECT CALL **
143	;	
144	;	
145	;	
146	;	
147	CREATE,,180,,1;	INITIALIZE AT DAY 180.
148	B0 GOON,2;	1 JULY EACH YEAR.
149	ACT,,B8;	
150	ACT;	

151 B1	OPEN,CALL;	USAF CALL FOR PROJS
152	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;	(MODERNIZATION ONLY).
153 B2	AWAIT(7),LEECD/1;	
154	ACT,30;	MAJCOM SUBMISSION PER.
155 B3	CLOSE,CALL;	SUBMISSION PERIOD OVER.
156	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW - TRIB(1);	CALCULATE EXPIRED TIME.
157	GOON,2;	
158	ACT,,,B6;	
159	ACT;	
160 B4	GOON,1;	
161	ACT,,NNACT(10).EQ.0,B5;	
162	ACT,1,,B4;	
163 B5	FREE,LEECD/1;	RELEASE LEECD STAFFER.
164	TERMINATE;	
165 B6	GOON,1;	
166	ACT,90 - TRIB(1);	1 OCT XX--BEGIN NEW
167	OPEN,NEWFY;	FY FOR FUNDING.
168	ACT/91,30;	1 NOV XX--CALL FOR
169	OPEN,CALLN;	NON-MODERNIZATION
170	GOON;	PROJECTS BY USAF.
171	AWAIT(43),LEECD/1;	LEECD STAFF PROJECTS.
172	ACT,60;	
173	CLOSE,NEWFY;	
174	CLOSE,CALLN;	
175 B7	GOON,1;	
176	ACT,,NNACT(10).EQ.0,B5;	
177	ACT,1,,B7;	
178 B8	GOON,1;	
179	ACT,365,,B0;	RECYCLE TO STATEMENT
180 ;		B0 EVERY 365 DAYS.
181 ;		
182 ;		
183 ;		
184 ;	MODEL SEGMENT C	** STAFF TITLE 10, 2807 ACTION **
185 ;		
186 ;		
187 ;		
188 ;		
189	CREATE,,,1;	
190 C2	GOON,1;	CHECK EVERY 30 DAYS FOR
191	ACT,,NNQ(9).GE.5,C3;	FIVE OR MORE PROJECTS
192	ACT,30,,C2;	REQUIRING CONG. ACTION.
193 C3	GOON,1;	
194	ACT,,NNQ(15).GT.0,C2;	
195	ACT,,NNQ(15).LE.0;	
196	AWAIT(15),LEECD/2;	STAFF AT LEAST FIVE
197	ASSIGN,XX(1) = UNFRM(21,45);	PROJECTS AT A TIME.
198 ;		XX(1)= PROCESSING TIME.
199	OPEN,CONG28;	
200	ACT/92,2;	
201 C4	GOON,1;	
202	ACT,,NNQ(16).EQ.0,C5;	

203	ACT,1,,C4;	
204	C5 CLOSE,CONG28,1;	PROCESS UNTIL ALL
205	ACT,,NNACT(20).EQ.0,C6;	PROJECTS WAITING FOR
206	ACT,1,,C5;	CONGRESSIONAL ACTION
207	C6 FREE,LEECD/2;	HAVE COMPLETED ACT/20
208	ACT,,,C2;	IN MAIN PROGRAM.
209	;	
210	;	
211	;	
212	;	
213	MODEL SEGMENT D	** FACILITY PANEL ACTION **
214	;	
215	;	
216	;	
217	;	
218	CREATE,,,,,1;	
219	D1 GOON,1;	
220	ACT,,NNQ(14).GT.0.AND.NNQ(14).EQ.XX(2),D3;	
221	ACT,,NNQ(14).GT.0.AND.NNQ(14).EQ.XX(15),D6;	
222	ACT,1,,D1;	
223	D3 AWAIT(11)/LEECD/1;	
224	CLOSE,HOLD;	TEMPORARY DELAY WHILE
225	CLOSE,HOLD1;	PROJS. ENTER FACILITY
226	D4 OPEN,FRC;	PANEL (F-PANEL).
227	ACT,2;	F-PANEL CONVENES.
228	GOON,1;	
229	ACT,,NNQ(14).EQ.0,D5;	
230	ACT,2,,D4;	
231	D5 CLOSE,FRC;	END TEMPORARY DELAY.
232	OPEN,HOLD;	NOTE: GATES HOLD & HOLD1 ARE
233	OPEN,HOLD1;	USED IN FIRST 2 OR 3
234	ASSIGN,XX(2) = 0;	YEARS OF THE MODEL RUN.
235	ACT,,,D7A;	THEY CONTINUE TO OPEN
236	D6 AWAIT(13),LEECD/1;	& CLOSE THROUGHOUT THE
237	CLOSE,HOLD;	SIMULATION PERIOD, BUT
238	CLOSE,HOLD1;	DO NOT CAUSE DELAY OF
239	D6A OPEN,FRC;	PROJECTS. THEY ACT TO
240	ACT,2;	ARTIFICIALLY CLOSE GATE
241	GOON,1;	OTHER SHOULD IT BE OPEN
242	ACT,,NNQ(14).EQ.0,D7;	WHEN GATE FRC OPENS.
243	ACT,1,,D6A;	
244	D7 CLOSE,FRC;	
245	OPEN,HOLD;	
246	OPEN,HOLD1;	
247	ASSIGN,XX(15) = 0;	
248	D7A GOON,2;	
249	ACT,,,D1;	
250	ACT/93,5;	
251	D8 GOON,1;	WHEN ALL PROJS. FINISH
252	ACT,,NNACT(21).EQ.0,D9;	ACTIVITY 21 IN THE MAIN
253	ACT,1,,D8;	PROGRAM, THEN RELEASE
254	D9 FREE,LEECD/1;	LEECD STAFFER.

```

255     TERMINATE;
256     GOON;
257
258 ;
259 ;
260 ;
261 ;
262 ;     MODEL SEGMENT E         ** DISTRIBUTE DESIGN INSTRUCTIONS **
263 ;
264 ;
265 ;
266 ;
267     CREATE,30,30,3,,1;
268 E0    GOON,1;
269     ASSIGN,ATRIB(5) = TNOW - ATRIB(3);
270     GOON,1;
271     ACT,,ATRIB(5).GE.16,E5A;
272     ACT,1;
273     GOON,1;
274     ACT,,NNQ(21).GT.0.AND.NNQ(21).LE.10,E2;
275     ACT,,NNQ(21).EQ.0,E0;
276     ACT,,NNQ(21).GT.10,E1;
277     ACT,,,E5A;
278 E1    ASSIGN,ATRIB(4) = 2;
279     ACT,,,E3;
280 E2    ASSIGN,ATRIB(4) = 1;
281 E3    AWAIT(19/1),AFRCE/ATRIB(4),BALK(E5A);
282     AWAIT(20/1),MPROG/ATRIB(4),BALK(E5)
283     ACT/94;
284     OPEN,DISTR,1;                                USAF DISTRIBUTES DI'S.
285     ACT,,TNOW.GE.ATRIB(3) + 5,E4;
286     ACT,5;
287 E4    CLOSE, DISTR;
288     ACT,UNFRM(5,15);                                PROCESS DI
289     FREE,MPROG/ATRIB(4);
290 E5    FREE,AFRCE/ATRIB(4);                                FREE AFRCE AND MAJCOM
291 E5A   TERMINATE;                                AFTER RECEIPT OF DI.
292 ;
293 ;     MODEL SEGMENT E1       ** 35% DESIGN BEING PROCESSED AT USAF **
294 ;
295 ;
296     CREATE,,250,,1,1;
297     ACT;
298 E6    ASSIGN,ATRIB(1) = TNOW;
299 E7    GOON,1;
300     ACT,,NNQ(38).GT.0,E8;
301     ACT,5,,E7;
302 E8    AWAIT(39),LEECC/1;                                WAIT FOR LEECC
303     ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 1;                                STAFFER.
304     ACT/95;
305 E9    OPEN,DI100;                                ISSUE APPROVAL TO
306     GOON,1;                                PROCEED WITH DESIGN.

```

307	ACT,,XX(3).GT.0.AND.XX(3).LE.5,E13;	
308	ACT,,XX(3).GT.5.AND.XX(3).LE.10,E10;	
309	ACT,,XX(3).GT.10,E11;	
310	ACT,5,,E9;	
311	E10 ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 2;	INCREASE REQUIREMENT
312	ACT,,,E12;	FOR LEECC STAFF BY 1.
313	E11 ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 3;	INCREASE REQUIREMENT
314	ACT,,,E12;	FOR LEECC STAFF BY 2.
315	E12 ASSIGN,ATRIB(4) = ATRIB(3) - 1;	INCREASED STAFFING
316	AWAIT(40),LEECC/ATRIB(4);	BASED ON # OF PROJECTS
317	E13 GOON;	RECORDED IN XX(3).
318	ACT,2;	
319	CLOSE,DI100;	RELEASE COMPLETED.
320	ASSIGN,XX(3) = 0;	
321	GOON,2;	
322	ACT,,,E16;	
323	ACT,UNFRM(30,50);	
324	E14 GOON,1;	
325	ACT,,NNACT(41).EQ.0,E15;	
326	ACT,,NNACT(41).NE.0;	
327	ACT,1,,E14;	
328	E15 FREE,LEECC/ATRIB(3);	RELEASE LEECC STAFF.
329	TERMINATE;	
330	E16 GOON,1;	
331	ACT,,NNQ(38).GT.0,E8;	WAIT FOR MORE PROJS.
332	ACT,1,,E16;	
333	;	
334	;	
335	;	
336	;	
337	;	
338	;	
339	;	
340	MODEL SEGMENT F	** ISSUE DESIGN INSTRUCTION TO CORPS **
341	;	
342	;	
343	;	
344	;	
345	;	
346	;	
347	;	
348	CREATE,,,1;	
349	F1 GOON,1;	
350	ACT,,NNQ(24).GE.10,F2;	CHECK FOR 10 OR MORE
351	;	IN FILE 24.
352	ACT,,NNQ(24).GT.0.AND.NNQ(24).LT.10.AND.NNACT(25).EQ.0,F3;	
353	ACT,,,F7;	
354	F2 ASSIGN,ATRIB(3)= 2;	ASSIGN 2 AFRCE PROJECT
355	ACT,,,F4;	MANAGERS (PM).
356	F3 ASSIGN,ATRIB(3)= 1;	ASSIGN 1 AFRCE PM.
357	F4 GOON;	
358	AWAIT(23),AFRCE/ATRIB(3);	

359 F5	OPEN,DI,1;	AFRCE FORWARDS DESIGN
360	ACT,,NNQ(24).EQ.0,F6;	INSTRUCTION TO COE.
361	ACT,1,,F5;	
362 F6	GOON,1	
363	ACT/97,5;	
364	CLOSE,DI;	
365	ACT,UNFRM(4,8);	PROCESS FOR COE ISSUE.
366	FREE,AFRCE/ATRIB(3);	
367 F7	GOON,1;	
368	ACT,1,,F1;	
369	;	
370	;	
371	;	
372	;	
373	MODEL SEGMENT G	** ARCHITECT-ENGINEER (AE) SELECTION **
374	;	
375	;	
376	;	
377	;	
378	CREATE,,,,1;	
379 G1	GOON;	
380	ACT;	
381	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 0;	RESET COUNTER.
382	GOON,1;	
383	ACT,,NNQ(28).EQ.0,G7;	
384	ACT,,NNQ(28).LT.5.AND.NNQ(24).EQ.0.AND.NNACT(26).EQ.0,G2;	
385	ACT,,NNQ(28).EQ.5,G2;	
386	ACT,,NNQ(28).GT.5,G5;	
387	ACT,,,G7;	
388 G2	AWAIT(25),COE/1;	WAIT FOR COE PM.
389	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 1;	ASSIGN 1 COE PM.
390	ASSIGN,XX(8) = UNFRM(20,35);	ASSIGN TIMES FOR:
391	ASSIGN,XX(9) = UNFRM(3,8);	XX(8)---PREP DESIGN
		SCHEDULE.
392	ASSIGN,XX(10) = EXPON(10,3);	XX(9)---PREPARE CBD
		ANNOUNCEMENT.
393 G3	OPEN,CORPS,1;	XX(10)---AE SELECTION.
394	ACT/98,4;	
395	GOON,1;	
396	ACT,,XX(7).LE.5.AND.XX(7).GT.0,G6;	ASSIGN GROUPS OF 5.
397	ACT,,XX(7).GT.5,G4;	
398	ACT,1,,G3;	
399 G4	AWAIT(26),COE/1;	ASSIGN ADDITIONAL COE
400	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 2;	(NOW 2 ASSIGNED).
401	ACT,,,G6;	
402 G5	AWAIT(27),COE/2;	DI ISSUED TO COE.
403	GOON;	
404	ASSIGN,XX(8) = UNFRM(20,45);	
405	ASSIGN,XX(9) = UNFRM(5,9);	
406	ASSIGN,XX(10) = EXPON(10,3);	
407	GOON;	
408	OPEN,CORPS,1;	

409	ACT/98,4;	
410	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 2;	ASSIGN 2 COE PMs.
411	G6 CLOSE,CORPS;	
412	ASSIGN,XX(7) = 0;	RESET COUNTER.
413	GOON,2;	START DUAL PATH.
414	ACT,1,,G1;	
415	ACT;	
416	AWAIT(12),AFRCE/1;	
417	ACT,XX(10);	AE SELECTION BOARD.
418	FREE,AFRCE/1;	
419	GOON;	
420	ACT,UNFRM(5,21);	NEGOTIATE WITH AE.
421	GOON;	
422	ACT,9;	
423	GOON;	
424	ACT,UNFRM(5,20);	PREPARE,SUBMIT AND
425	FREE,COE/ATRIB(3);	REVIEW AUDIT OF AE.
426	TERMINATE;	
427	G7 GOON;	
428	ACT,1,,G1;	
429	TERMINATE;	
430	GOON;	
431	;	
432	;	
433	;	
434	CREATE,,,,,1;	ACCOMPLISH 30% DESIGN
435	G8 GOON,1;	REVIEW.
436	;	
437	ACT,,NNQ(30).GT.0.AND.NNQ(30).LT.5.AND.NNACT(31).EQ.0,G9;	
438	ACT,,NNQ(30).EQ.5,G9;	
439	ACT,,NNQ(30).GT.5.AND.NNQ(30).LE.15,G10;	
440	ACT,,NNQ(30).GT.15,G11;	
441	ACT,1,,G8	
442	G9 ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 1;	ASSIGNING NUMBER OF
443	ACT,,,G12;	RESOURCES REQUIRED
444	G10 ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 2;	BASED ON NUMBER OF
445	ACT,,,G12;	PROJECTS WAITING.
446	G11 ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 4;	
447	G12 GOON,1;	SELECT ONE OF THE
448	ACT,,NNQ(29).EQ.0,G12A;	FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES.
449	ACT,,NNQ(29).NE.0;	
450	GOON,1;	
451	ACT,1,,G8;	
452	GA2 AWAIT(29),COE/ATRIB(7);	
453	ASSIGN,XX(21) = EXPON(3);	TIME FOR COE TO DIST-
454	ASSIGN,XX(22) = TNOW;	RIBUTE TO REVIEWERS.
455	OPEN,REV30;	
456	ACT/99,1;	
457	CLOSE,REV30;	
458	GOON,2;	TAKE BOTH ACTIVITIES.
459	ACT,5,,G8;	GO TO G8.
460	ACT;	CONTINUE TO NEXT.

461	AWAIT(31),AFRCE/ATRIB(7);	WAIT FOR REVIEWERS.
462	AWAIT(32),MDEE/ATRIB(7);	
463	AWAIT(33),BDEE/ATRIB(7);	
464	G13 GOON,1;	
465	ACT,,NNACT(36).EQ.0,G14;	HOLD REVIEWERS TILL
466	ACT,3,,G13;	ALL PROJS COMPLETE
467	G14 FREE,MDEE/ATRIB(7);	REVIEW PROCESS(CT/36
468	FREE,BDEE/ATRIB(7);	& 37 IN MAIN PROGRAM.
469	G15 GOON,1;	
470	ACT,,NNACT(37).EQ.0,G16;	
471	ACT,3,,G15;	
472	G16 FREE,AFRCE/ATRIB(7);	
473	GOON,1;	
474	FREE,COE/ATRIB(7);	
475	TERMINATE;	
476	;	
477	;	
478	;	
479	MODEL SEGMENT H ** DESIGN BY SYSTEM CONTRACTOR **	
480	(PROGRAMMING STILL DONE BY AIR FORCE)	
481	;	
482	;	
483	;	
484	;	
485	H0 AWAIT(55),AFRCE/1;	
486	AWAIT(56),COE/1;	CRITERIA REV. MEETING
487	ACT,UNFRM(1,2);	WITH SYSTEM CONTRACTOR
488	FREE,AFRCE/1;	AND HIS AE.
489	FREE,COE/1;	
490	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = UNFRM(300,500);	DESIGN TIME.
491	ASSIGN,ATRIB(4) = .35 * ATRIB(3);	TIME ALLOWED FOR 35%
492	ACT,ATRIB(4);	OF DESIGN.
493	AWAIT(57),COE/1;	
494	AWAIT(58),AFRCE/1;	
495	ACT,UNFRM(3,5);	35% COST ESTIMATE TO LEE
496	FREE,COE/1;	
497	FREE,AFRCE/1;	
498	COLCT,INT(1),TIME TO 30%;	
499	DES QUEUE(59),,,,MTH;	
500	PRO QUEUE(60),,,,MTH;	
501	MTH MATCH,11,DES/H1,PRO/H3;	PROGRAMMING THROUGH F-
502	;	PANEL & 35% COST MUST
503	;	BE COMPLETE BEFORE
504	H1 ASSIGN,ATRIB(4) = ATRIB(3) - ATRIB(4);	CONTINUE DESIGN.
505	ACT,ATRIB(4);	CONTINUE DESIGN TO 95%.
506	DE2 QUEUE(61),,,,SAM;	
507	PR2 QUEUE(62),,,,SAM;	
508	SAM MATCH,11,DE2/H5,PR2/H5;	
509	H5 ACCUM,2,2,HIGH(7),1;	
510	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 0;	
511	ASSIGN,ATRIB(4) = 0;	

512	ACT,,,H6;	RETURN TO MAIN PROGRAM
513 ;		FOR 95% REVIEW AND CON-
514 ;		STRUCTION .
515 ;		
516 ;		
517 ;		
518 ;		
519 ;	* * * * *	
520 ;	*	
521 ;	* * * * * MAIN PROGRAM * * * * *	
522 ;	*	
523 ;	* * * * *	
524 ;		
525 ;	ALL PROCESSING FOR WEAPONS SYSTEM AND OTHER MCP PROJECTS IS	
526 ;	ACCOMPLISHED IN THIS PART OF THE PROGRAM, EXCEPT THE DESIGN OF	
527 ;	WEAPONS SYSTEM PROJECTS WHICH IS ACCOMPLISHED IN MODEL SEGMENT	
528 ;	H. GATES AND MULTIPLE USE RESOURCES ARE CONTROLLED IN THE MODEL	
529 ;	SEGMENTS ABOVE.	
530	CREATE,0,30,,20;	
531	ACT,,,M0;	
532	CREATE,17,47;	
533 M0	GOON;	
534	AWAIT(44/20),NEED,BALK(M9);	GATE NEED, RELEASE IS
535	ASSIGN,TRIB(10) = XX(88);	CONTROLLED IN SEGMENT A.
536	ASSIGN,XX(99) = XX(99) + 1;	ASSIGN # TO XX(99) TO
537	ASSIGN,TRIB(11) = XX(99);	IDENTIFY EACH PROJECT.
538	ACT;	XX(88) = TIME OF FRP.
539	ASSIGN,XX(4) = XX(4) + 1;	
540	ASSIGN,TRIB(9) = UNFRM(0,4,2);	ASSIGN PRIORITY.
541	ASSIGN,TRIB(7) = 1;	IDENTIFY THE BED DOWN
542	ASSIGN,TRIB(2) = 0;	FACILITIES.
543	GOON,1;	
544	ACT,,,85,M1;	FACILITY REQUIREMENTS
545 ;		PLAN ADEQUATE TO START
546 ;		PROGRAMMING.
547	ACT,,,15;	FACILITY REQUIREMENTS
548 ;		PLAN (FRP) INADEQUATE.
549	GOON,1;	
550	ACT/2,UNFRM(140,185),,M2;	FRP REVISED.
551 M1	GOON,1;	
552	ACT,,,95,M2;	95% HAVE CONSTRUCTION
553	ACT,,,05;	SITE ASSIGNED.
554	ASSIGN,TRIB(2) = TNOW;	
555	AWAIT(2),BASE/1;	WAITING FOR SITE ASSIGN-
556	ACT/1,RNORM(90,50,1);	MENT.
557	FREE, BASE/1;	
558	ASSIGN,TRIB(2) = TNOW - TRIB(2);	TIME SITE ASSIGNED.
559 M2	ASSIGN,TRIB(1) = TNOW;	
560	AWAIT(1),REQ/1;	ASSEMBLE SITE SURVEY
561	AWAIT(9),BDEE/1;	TEAM.
562	AWAIT(52),MPROG/1;	

563	ACT/3,UNFRM(0,3);	SURVEY AT SELECTED
564	FREE,BDEE/1;	BASE.
565	FREE,MPROG/1;	
566	ACT/4,UNFRM(30,45);	PREPARE FORMS 1391 &
567 ;		PROJECT BOOKLETS (PB).
568	FREE,REQ/1;	
569	ACT,,,M3;	
570 M2A	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 4;	IDENTIFY RETURNED PROJS.
571 ;		
572 M3	AWAIT(3),MPROG/1;	MAJCOM PROGRAMMER.
573	ACT/5,UNFRM(3,10);	MAJCOM REVIEW.
574	FREE,MPROG/1;	MAJCOM PROGRAMMER.
575	ACT/6,UNFRM(7,30);	REVIEW AND COORDINATION.
576	AWAIT(4),REQ/1;	BASE PROGRAMMER.
577	ACT/7,EXPON(5,3);	REVISE PB'S.
578	FREE,REQ/1;	
579	AWAIT(5),MPROG/1;	
580	ACT/8,UNFRM(10,16);	MAJCOM REVIEW AND PREP.
581 ;		FOR TRANSMITTAL TO LEECD
582	FREE,MPROG/1;	
583	ACT,UNFRM(9,25);	PRINTING AND TRANSMITTAL.
584	GOON,2;	
585	ACT,,,H0;	START DESIGN WITH SYS.
586	ACT;	CONTRACTOR'S AE.
587	GOON,1;	
588	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.4,M7;	
589	ACT;	
590	COLCT,INT(1),START DESIGN;	
591	GOON,1;	
592	ACT,,,M7;	
593	CREATE,2,2,4;	NON-MODERNIZATION PROJS.
594	ACT,UNFRM(95,170);	FROM OTHER MAJCOMS.
595	ASSIGN,ATRIB(9) = UNFRM(0,4);	ASSIGN PRIORITY.
596	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 2;	IDENTIFY PROJS. FROM
597 ;	OTHER MAJCOMS (NON-MOD).	OTHER SOURCES.
598	ACT,,,M7;	
599	CREATE,0,0,4,250;	
600	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 3;	IDENTIFY MODERNIZATION
601 ;		PROJECTS.
602	ACT,,,M4;	
603 ;		
604	CREATE,1,10,4;	MODERNIZATION PROJS.
605	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 3;	TO HQ USAF/LEE.
606 M4	GOON;	
607	AWAIT(6/250),OTHER,BALK(M9);	GATE CONTROL IN SEG. A.
608	ASSIGN,ATRIB(4) = TNOW;	
609	ASSIGN,XX(6) = XX(6) + 1;	
610	ACT,UNFRM(115,130);	
611	GOON,1;	
612	ACT,,,80,M5;	
613	ACT,,,20;	
614	ASSIGN,ATRIB(9) = UNFRM(0,1);	20% ASSIGNED PRI. 1.

615	ACT,,M6;	
616 M5	ASSIGN,TRIB(9) = UNFRM(1,4);	ASSIGN REMAINING PRI.
617 M6	AWAIT(8),CALL;	USAF CALL FOR MODERN-
618	AWAIT(53),HOLD;	IZATION PROJECTS.
619	ASSIGN,XX(2) = XX(2) + 1;	
620	ACT,,M8;	GATE CONTROL IN SEG. B.
621 M7	AWAIT(10),CALLN;	USAF CALL FOR NON-MOD-
622	AWAIT(54),HOLD1;	ERNIZATION PROJECTS IN
623 ;		NOVEMBER.
624 ;		
625	ASSIGN,XX(15) = XX(15) + 1;	
626	ACT;	GATE CONTROL IN SEG. B.
627 M8	GOON,1;	
628	ACT/9,UNFRM(1,3);	PREPARE FOR F-PANEL.
629	GOON;	
630	ACT/10,UNFRM(2,4);	F-PANEL REVIEW.
631	AWAIT(14),FRC;	
632	GOON,1;	
633	ACT,,TRIB(7).EQ.4,M10;	
634	ACT;	
635	ASSIGN,TRIB(5) = 0;	
636	GOON,1;	
637	ACT,,TRIB(7).NE.1,M8A;	
638	ACT;	
639	GOON,1;	
640	ACT,,.75,M10;	
641	ACT,,.25,M8A;	
642 M8A	GOON,1;	
643	ACT/11,,.65,M10;	35% REJECTED.
644	ACT,,.35;	
645	GOON,1;	
646	ACT/12,,TRIB(7).EQ.1,M2A;	REJECTED BED DOWN PROJ.
647	ACT;	SENT BACK TO STATEMENT
648 M9	TERMINATE;	M2A-MAJCOM PROGRAMMERS.
649 M10	GOON,1;	
650	ACT,,TRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.TRIB(7).EQ.4,M12;	
651	ACT;	
652	GOON,1;	
653	ACT/13,,.73,M12;	
654	ACT/14,,.27;	27% REQ TITLE 10, 2807
655	ASSIGN,TRIB(8)=TNOW;	ACTION BY CONGRESS.
656	ASSIGN,XX(5)=XX(5)+1;	
657	AWAIT(16),CONG28;	
658	ACT/15,XX(1);	STAFF 2807 ACTION.
659	GOON,1;	
660	ACT/16,,.95,M11;	
661	ACT/17,,.05;	CONGRESS HAS QUESTIONS
662	GOON;	ON 5% OF THE PROJECTS.
663	ACT/18,UNFRM(2,18);	CONGRESSIONAL QUESTIONS
664 ;		RETURNED TO LEECC.
665	AWAIT(17),LEECD/1;	

666	ACT/19,UNFRM(3,10);	PREPARE CONGRESSIONAL
667	;	RESPONSE.
668	FREE,LEECD/1;	
669	M11 GOON;	
670	ACT/20,21;	WAIT 21 DAYS BEFORE
671	ASSIGN,ATRIB(5) = TNOW - ATRIB(8);	RELEASE FROM CONGRESS.
672	M12 AWAIT(18),LEECD/1;	
673	ACT/21,UNFRM(0,1);	ISSUE DI.
674	FREE,LEECD/1;	
675	GOON,1;	
676	AWAIT(21),DISTR;	RELEASE OF DI(35%)
677	GOON,1	
678	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4,M13;	
679	ACT;	
680	GOON,1;	
681	ACT,,.20,M14;	
682	ACT/22,,.80;	PROJECTS TO OTHER
683	GOON,1;	AFRCES.
684	TERMINATE;	
685	M13 COLCT,INT(1),PROJ. PROGRAMMED;	
686	ACT,,M15;	
687	M14 GOON,1;	
688	M15 GOON,1;	
689	ACT/23,,.60,M16;	
690	ACT/24,,.40;	
691	AWAIT(22),REQ/1;	BASE REVISE PB & 1391.
692	ACT/25,UNFRM(4,9);	
693	FREE,REQ/1;	
694	M16 GOON,1;	GO TO MODEL SEGMENT H
695	ACT,,ATRIB(7).NE.1.AND.ATRIB(7).NE.4,M6A;	IF WEAP. SYS. PROJ.
696	ACT,,PRO;	PROGRAMMING COMPLETE.
697	M6A AWAIT(24),DI;	DESIGN INSTRUCTION TO
698	ACT/26,UNFRM(3,6);	CORPS. ISSUED BY AFRC.
699	AWAIT(28),CORPS;	
700	ASSIGN,XX(7) = XX(7) + 1;	
701	ASSIGN,ATRIB(8) = TNOW;	
702	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = XX(8);	TIME FOR PREP. OF DE-
703	;	SIGN SCHEDULE BY COE.
704	GOON;	
705	ACT/27,XX(9);	PREPARE CBD ANNOUNCE-
706	GOON;	MENT.
707	ACT/28,UNFRM(20,55);	ADVERTIZE AND AWAIT AE
708	;	RESPONSE.
709	GOON;	
710	ACT/29,XX(10);	AE SELECTION.
711	NA GOON;	
712	ASSIGN,ATRIB(6) = TNOW - ATRIB(8);	CHECK TIME FOR PREP.
713	ASSIGN,ATRIB(6) = ATRIB(6) - ATRIB(3);	OF COE FINAL DESIGN
714	GOON,1;	SCHEDULE.
715	ACT,,ATRIB(6).GE.0,N0;	
716	ACT,1,,NA;	
717	N0 GOON,1;	

718	ACT/30,UNFRM(45,100);	ISSUE NOTICE TO PRO-
719 ;		CEED TO AE.
720	GOON;	
721	ACT/31,UNFRM(60,120);	30% DESIGN COMPLETION.
722	GOON,1;	
723	ACT,,ATRI(7).NE.1.AND.ATRI(7).NE.4,M17;	PROJS OTHER THAN
724	ACT/32,,ATRI(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRI(7).EQ.4;	WEAPON SYS PROJS.
725	GOON;	
726	ACT,,,M18;	
727 M17	GOON,1;	
728 M18	ASSIGN,ATRI(8) = TNOW - ATRI(2);	
729	GOON,1;	
730	ACT,,ATRI(2).EQ.0,M19;	SEPARATE SITE DELAYED
731	ACT,,ATRI(2).NE.0;	FROM THOSE WHICH HAD
732	GOON;	SITE ORIGINALLY.
733	COLCT,INT(8),HOST BASE ASSIGNED;	SITE ASSIGNMENT STATS.
734 M19	GOON,1;	
735	ACT,,ATRI(5).EQ.0,M20;	COLLECT STATS ONLY ON
736	ACT,,ATRI(5).NE.0;	PROJS WHICH HAD DELAY.
737	ASSIGN,ATRI(5) = TNOW - ATRI(5);	
738	COLCT,INT(5),CONG. DELAY;	
739 M20	GOON;	
740	AWAIT(30),REV30;	30% DESIGN REVIEW.
741	ASSIGN,ATRI(6) = XX(22);	
742	ACT/33,XX(21);	PREPARE FOR DIST. OF
743	GOON,1;	30% DESIGN PACKAGE.
744	ACT;	
745	ASSIGN,ATRI(6) = 45 - TNOW + ATRI(6);	TIME REMAINING FOR REV.
746	GOON,1;	
747	ACT/34,,ATRI(6).LE.0,M21;	REVIEW TIME EXPIRED.
748	ACT/35,,ATRI(6).GT.0;	REVIEW TIME REMAINING.
749	GOON;	
750	ACT/36,ATRI(6);	ACCOMPLISH REVIEW IF
751 M21	GOON,1;	TIME REMAINING.
752	ACT,UNFRM(4,12);	AFRCE COMPILES & TRANS-
753	GOON;	MITTS COMMENTS TO COE.
754	ACT,UNFRM(1,2);	DESIGN REVIEW MEETING.
755	GOON;	
756	ACT/37,UNFRM(5,15);	COE COMPILES COMMENTS.
757	GOON;	
758	ACT,UNFRM(20,30);	AE INCORPORATES COMMENTS
759	AWAIT(34),COE/1;	& RETURNS 35% TO COE.
760	ACT/38,UNFRM(2,5);	COE FORWARDS TO AFRCE.
761	FREE,COE/1;	
762	AWAIT(35),AFRCE/1;	AFRCE PREPARES 1178 AND
763	ACT,UNFRM(0,2);	FORWARDS TO LEECC.
764	FREE,AFRCE/1;	
765 H3	AWAIT(37),CALL2;	PROJECTS TO LEECC ON 1
766	ACT/39,EXPON(60);	AUG. OSD REVIEWS & IN-
767	GOON,1;	CLUDES PROJS IN BUDGET.
768	AWAIT(38),DI100;	AUTHORIZED TO PROCEED
769 ;		WITH DESIGN TO 100%.

770	ASSIGN,XX(3) = XX(3) + 1;	
771	GOON,1;	
772	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4,M22;	
773	ACT;	
774	GOON,1;	
775	ACT/40,,.95,M22;	95% TO CONG.IN BUDGET.
776	ACT,,.05;	5% DESIGN CANCELLED--
777	TERMINATE;	AND PROJ. TERMINATED.
778 M22	GOON;	
779	ACT/41,UNFRM(165,185);	CONG. REVIEWS & PASSES
780	GOON,1;	MILCON BILL.
781	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4,M23;	
782	ACT;	
783	GOON,1;	
784	ACT,,.95,M23;	95% IN MCP BILL.
785	ACT,,.05;	5% NOT INCLUDED --
786	TERMINATE;	CANCELL DESIGN & PROJ.
787 M23	GOON;	
788	ACT/42,UNFRM(60,80);	CONGRESS/OSD PROVIDE
789 ;		FUNDING FOR CONSTR.
790	GOON;	
791	ACT,UNFRM(2,3);	NOTIFY MAJCOM'S ETC.
792	GOON,1;	OF PROJECTS FUNDED.
793	ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.4,H4;	WEAPONS SYS. PROJ TO
794	ACT,,ATRIB(7).NE.1,H6;	MODEL SEGMENT H.
795	ACT;	
796	ASSIGN,ATRIB(7) = 0;	COMPLETED 95% DESIGN
797 H4	GOON,1;	FROM SYSTEM CONTRACTOR
798	ACT,,PR2;	-- MODEL SEGMENT H.
799 H6	GOON;	PROJECTS FUNDED.
800	ACT/43,UNFRM(15,25);	COMPLETE 95% DESIGN -
801 ;		REVIEW (ALL PARTIES).
802	GOON;	
803	ACT,UNFRM(17,30);	AE INCORPORATES ALL
804	GOON;	COMMENTS
805	ACT/44,UNFRM(15,25);	COE BACKCHECKS DESIGN;
806 ;		INSURES ALL COMMENTS
807	AWAIT(42),NEWFY;	ARE INCORPORATED.
808	GOON;	
809	AWAIT(41),COE/1;	
810	ACT,EXPON(2);	COE PREPARES ADVERTISE-
811 ;		MENT FOR CONSTRUCTION.
812	FREE,COE/1;	
813	ACT/45,UNFRM(35,50);	ADVERTISE FOR CONSTR.
814	GOON;	
815	ACT/46,UNFRM(4,10);	CONTRACT AWARD.
816	GOON;	
817	ACT,UNFRM(7,14);	NOTICE TO PROCEED.
818	GOON;	
819	ACT/47,UNFRM(285,720);	FACILITY CONSTRUCTION
820	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = 0;	PERIOD.
821	GOON,1;	

822	ACT,,,40,M28;	
823	ACT,,,60;	CONSTRUCTION CHANGE REQ.
824	M24 GOON,1;	ON 60% OF THE PROJECTS.
825	ACT,,,80,M26;	
826	ACT,,,05,M27;	
827	ACT,,,15;	
828	M25 GOON,1;	CUMMULATIVE CHANGES
829	AWAIT(45),COE/1;	TOTAL BETWEEN 5% AND
830	ACT,UNFRM(1,3);	15% OF PROJECTS PA.
831	FREE,COE/1	
832	AWAIT(46),AFRCE/1;	COE FORWARDS TO AFRCE.
833	ACT,EXPON(2);	
834	FREE,AFRCE/1;	AFRCE FORWARDS TO USAF.
835	AWAIT(47),LEECC/1;	USAF/LEECC PROCESSES
836	ACT/49,UNFRM(5,7);	REQUEST FOR ADDED FUNDS.
837	FREE,LEECC/1;	
838	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = ATRIB(3) + 1;	COUNT CHANGES PER PROJ.
839	GOON,1;	
840	ACT,,,55,M28;	55% NO FURTHER CHANGE.
841	ACT,,,45;	45% MORE CHANGES.
842	GOON,1;	AF AVE. IS 2.5 /PROJ.
843	ACT,ATRIB(3).EQ.2,M27;	NEXT CHANGE WILL CAUSE
844	ACT,ATRIB(3).EQ.3,M28;	INCREASE TO EXCEED 25%
845	;	OF PA. THEREFORE REQ.
846	ACT,,,M25;	CONG.ACTION(GO TO M27).
847	M26 ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = ATRIB(3) + 1;	COUNT CHANGES PER PROJ.
848	AWAIT(48),COE/1;	
849	ACT/48,UNFRM(5,7);	CUMMULATIVE COST OF
850	FREE,COE/1;	CHANGES NOT GREATER
851	;	THAN 5% OF PROJECT PA.
852	GOON,1;	
853	ACT,,,20,M28;	20% NO FURTHER CHANGE.
854	ACT,,,80;	
855	GOON,1;	CHECK # OF CHANGES.
856	ACT,ATRIB(3).EQ.3,M28;	ALLOW MAXIMUM OF 3
857	ACT,,,M24;	CHANGES PER PROJECT.
858	M27 GOON,1;	
859	ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = ATRIB(3) + 1;	COUNT CHANGES.
860	AWAIT(49),COE/1;	
861	ACT,UNFRM(1,2);	CUMMULATIVE COST OR
862	FREE,COE/1;	COST OF THIS CHANGE
863	AWAIT(50),AFRCE/1;	> 25% OF PROJ. PA.
864	ACT,EXPON(2);	AFRCE FORWARDS TO
865	FREE,AFRCE/1;	USAF/LEECC.
866	AWAIT(51),LEECC/1;	
867	ACT,UNFRM(5,7);	LEECC FORWARDS TO
868	FREE,LEECC/1;	CONGRESS.
869	ACT/50,UNFRM(45,60);	CONG. REVIEWS AND
870	GOON,1;	APPROVES FUNDING.
871	ACT,,,65,M28;	65% NO MORE CHANGES.
872	ACT,,,35;	
873	GOON,1;	

```

874 ACT,,ATRIB(3).GT.1,M28;          ALLOW MAX. OF 2
875 ACT,,M24;                      CHANGES PER PROJ.
876 M28 ASSIGN,ATRIB(8) = TNOW - ATRIB(7);
877 COLCT,INT(8),TYPE PROJECT,4,0,1;
878 ASSIGN,ATRIB(3) = TNOW - ATRIB(3);
879 COLCT,INT(3),CONSTR. CHANGES,3/0/1;
880 GOON,1;
881 ACT,,ATRIB(7).NE.1.AND.ATRIB(7).NE.4,M33;
882 ACT,,ATRIB(7).EQ.1.OR.ATRIB(7).EQ.4;
883 COLCT,INT(1),TIME COMPLETE;      STATS.ON COMPL. TIME.
884 ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = ATRIB(10) + UNFRM(100,365) + 1825;
885 ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = TNOW - ATRIB(10);
886 GOON,1;
887 ACT,,ATRIB(10).GT.0,M29;          PROJ. COMPLETED LATE.
888 ACT,,ATRIB(10).LT.0,M30;          PROJ. COMPLETED EARLY.
889 ACT,,ATRIB(10).EQ.0,M31;          PROJ. ON TIME.
890 M29 ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = 3;
891 ACT,,M32;
892 M30 ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = 1;
893 ACT,,M32;
894 M31 ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = 2;
895 M32 GOON,1;
896 ASSIGN,ATRIB(10) = TNOW - ATRIB(10);
897 COLCT,INT(10),DELIVERY STATUS,3/0/1;
898 GOON,1;
899 ASSIGN,ATRIB(9)=TNOW-ATRIB(9);
900 COLCT,INT(9),PRIORITY,4/0/1;
901 ACT,,M34;
902 M33 GOON;
903 GOON;
904 ASSIGN,ATRIB(9) = TNOW - ATRIB(9);
905 M34 GOON,1;
906 ENDNETWORK;
907 ;
908 ;
909 INIT,0,5840;                     SIMULATE 10 YRS. AFTER
910 ;                                 A 6 YR. WARM-UP PERIOD.
911 MONTR,SUMRY,2190,365
912 MONTR,CLEAR,2190,365;            COLLECT AFTER 6 YEAR
913 FIN;                             WARM-UP.

```

An explanation of the statistical values contained in the SLAM Summary Report, which immediately follows this program listing can be found in Appendix G.

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT MCP FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .2190E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .0000E+00

END WARM-UP PERIOD

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO 30%	.333E+03	.810E+02	.243E+00	.217E+03	.632E+03	120
START DESIGN	.140E+03	.372E+02	.265E+00	.824E+02	.248E+03	122
PROJ. PROGRAMMED	.293E+03	.978E+02	.334E+00	.107E+03	.676E+03	120
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.840E+02	.000E+00	.000E+00	.840E+02	.840E+02	1
CONG. DELAY	.730E+02	.974E+01	.133E+00	.456E+02	.103E+03	82
TYPE PROJECT	.214E+01	.928E+00	.434E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	101
CONSTR. CHANGES	.113E+01	.122E+01	.108E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	101
TIME COMPLETE	.151E+04	.176E+03	.117E+00	.119E+04	.194E+04	37
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	37
PRIORITY	.179E+01	.120E+01	.672E+00	.755E-01	.400E+01	37

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.39	1.713	5	5
2	LEECC	6	1.95	2.198	6	3
3	REQ	6	3.03	2.565	6	0
4	BOEE	7	1.93	2.170	7	0
5	BASE	1	.12	.328	1	0
6	MDEE	5	1.81	2.091	5	0
7	MPROG	6	1.59	1.701	6	1
8	AFRCE	9	4.42	3.408	9	2
9	COE	18	6.69	5.551	18	6

GATE STATISTICS

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0128
7	FRC	OPEN	.0203
8	DI	CLOSED	.0959
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0662
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0767
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0251
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.2634
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9797
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9797

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
36	.356	.100E+01	*****					+
16	.158	.200E+01	*****			C		+
48	.475	.300E+01	*****					C
1	.010	.400E+01	+					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
101			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.214E+01	.928E+00	.434E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	101

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
45	.446	.000E+00	*****								+
22	.218	.100E+01	*****				C				+
10	.099	.200E+01	*****					C			+
24	.238	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
101			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.113E+01	.122E+01	.108E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	101

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
37	1.000	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+								C
0	.000	.300E+01	+								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
37			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	37


AD-A161 116


ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF THE FACILITY ACQUISITION
PROCESS AS IT RELATES TO (U) AIR FORCE INST OF TECH
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH SCHOOL OF SVST L J BLAKE
SEP 85 AFIT/GEN/LSV/85S-9 F/G 15/5

4/4

UNCLASSIFIED

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

PRIORITY

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
9	.243	.100E+01	*****					+
15	.405	.200E+01	*****			C		+
3	.081	.300E+01	*****				C	+
10	.270	.400E+01	*****					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
37			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
PRIORITY	.179E+01	.120E+01	.672E+00	.755E-01	.400E+01	37

S L A M S U M M A R Y R E P O R T

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .2555E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .2190E+04

END OF YEAR 7

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO 30%	.314E+03	.653E+02	.208E+00	.225E+03	.513E+03	22
START DESIGN	.133E+03	.263E+02	.197E+00	.903E+02	.192E+03	20
PROJ. PROGRAMMED	.298E+03	.137E+03	.459E+00	.135E+03	.656E+03	20
HOST BASE ASSIGN			NO VALUES	RECORDED		
CONG. DELAY	.685E+02	.104E+02	.152E+00	.466E+02	.795E+02	13
TYPE PROJECT	.232E+01	.836E+00	.360E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	97
CONSTR. CHANGES	.137E+01	.129E+01	.943E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	97
TIME COMPLETE	.158E+04	.171E+03	.109E+00	.136E+04	.204E+04	23
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	23
PRIORITY	.157E+01	.104E+01	.662E+00	.319E-01	.391E+01	23

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.42	1.673	5	5
2	LEECC	6	3.09	2.163	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.10	2.425	6	1
4	BDEE	7	2.19	1.888	7	0
5	BASE	1	.34	.057	1	1
6	MDEE	5	2.06	1.790	5	0
7	MPROG	6	1.74	1.608	6	1
8	AFRCE	9	4.76	2.737	9	2
9	COE	18	8.14	4.429	18	4

GATE STATISTICS

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	OPEN	.0274
8	DI	CLOSED	.1233
9	DISTR	OPEN	.1068
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0986
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0329
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	CLOSED	.3278
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9726
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9726

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
22	.227	.100E+01	*****					+
23	.237	.200E+01	*****		C			+
51	.526	.300E+01	*****					C+
1	.010	.400E+01	++					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
97			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.232E+01	.836E+00	.360E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	97

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
38	.392	.000E+00	*****									+
16	.165	.100E+01	*****			C						+
12	.124	.200E+01	*****				C					+
31	.320	.300E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
97			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.137E+01	.129E+01	.943E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	97

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
23	1.000	.100E+01	*****									+
0	.000	.200E+01	+									C
0	.000	.300E+01	+									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
23			0	20	40	60	80	100				

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	23

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL	LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ									
0	.000	.000E+00	+		+	+	+	+	+	+
7	.304	.100E+01	*****							+
8	.348	.200E+01	*****					C		+
6	.261	.300E+01	*****						C	+
2	.087	.400E+01	*****							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+		+	+	+	+	+	+
23			0		20	40	60	80	100	

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO. OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.157E+01	.104E+01	.662E+00	.319E-01	.391E+01	23

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .2920E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .2555E+04

END OF YEAR 8

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO 30%	.313E+03	.539E+02	.172E+00	.253E+03	.519E+03	20
START DESIGN	.156E+03	.386E+02	.247E+00	.104E+03	.230E+03	20
PROJ. PROGRAMMED	.344E+03	.905E+02	.263E+00	.316E+03	.681E+03	20
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.775E+02	.167E+02	.320E+00	.710E+02	.885E+02	4
CONG. DELAY	.751E+02	.267E+01	.355E-01	.733E+02	.787E+02	12
TYPE PROJECT	.197E+01	.804E+00	.408E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	69
CONSTR. CHANGES	.141E+01	.133E+01	.947E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	69
TIME COMPLETE	.158E+04	.178E+03	.113E+00	.128E+04	.202E+04	23
DELIVERY STATUS	.109E+01	.417E+00	.384E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	23
PRIORITY	.164E+01	.123E+01	.750E+00	.594E-01	.391E+01	23

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.36	1.677	5	2
2	LEECC	6	3.19	1.953	6	3
3	REQ	6	2.84	2.784	6	6
4	BDEE	7	3.25	2.279	7	0
5	BASE	1	.16	.109	1	0
6	MDEE	5	3.12	2.205	5	0
7	MPROG	6	1.45	1.832	6	3
8	AFRCE	9	6.11	2.745	9	6

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	OPEN	.0246
8	DI	CLOSED	.0685
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0438
10	CORPS	OPEN	.0643
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0301
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	CLOSED	.3312
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9754
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9754

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
22	.319	.100E+01	*****					+
28	.406	.200E+01	*****				C	+
18	.261	.300E+01	*****					C+
1	.014	.400E+01	++					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
69			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.197E+01	.804E+00	.408E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	69

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
28	.406	.000E+00	*****									+
9	.130	.100E+01	*****			C						+
8	.116	.200E+01	*****				C					+
24	.348	.300E+01	*****									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
69			0	20	40	60	80	100				

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.141E+01	.133E+01	.947E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	69

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER										
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100				
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+									+
22	.957	.100E+01	*****									+
0	.000	.200E+01	+									C
1	.043	.300E+01	***									C
0	.000	INF	+									C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
23			0	20	40	60	80	100				

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.109E+01	.417E+00	.384E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	23

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

PRIORITY

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
9	.391	.100E+01	*****					+
6	.261	.200E+01	*****				C	+
4	.174	.300E+01	*****				C	+
4	.174	.400E+01	*****					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
23			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
PRIORITY	.164E+01	.123E+01	.750E+00	.594E-01	.391E+01	23

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .3285E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .2920E+04

END OF YEAR 9

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO 30%	.349E+03	.680E+02	.195E+00	.299E+03	.515E+03	24
START DESIGN	.135E+03	.276E+02	.204E+00	.889E+02	.186E+03	20
PROJ. PROGRAMMED	.300E+03	.121E+03	.405E+00	.129E+03	.639E+03	21
HOST BASE ASSIGN	NO VALUES RECORDED					
CONG. DELAY	.636E+02	.146E+02	.229E+00	.473E+02	.757E+02	14
TYPE PROJECT	.230E+01	.874E+00	.380E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	70
CONSTR. CHANGES	.101E+01	.120E+01	.118E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	70
TIME COMPLETE	.165E+04	.129E+03	.781E-01	.142E+04	.193E+04	19
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	19
PRIORITY	.231E+01	.129E+01	.560E+00	.385E-01	.388E+01	19

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.42	1.731	5	1
2	LEEC	6	2.17	2.155	6	3
3	REQ	6	3.16	2.648	6	0
4	BDEE	7	1.44	2.048	6	0
5	BASE	1	.15	.038	1	1
6	MDEE	5	1.32	2.058	5	0
7	MPROG	6	1.55	1.566	6	0
8	AFRCE	9	4.25	3.887	9	3
9	COE	18	7.53	6.752	18	7

GATE STATISTICS

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0180
8	DI	CLOSED	.0959
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0685
10	CORPS	OPEN	.0755
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0247
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.2654
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9820
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9820

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
17	.243	.100E+01	*****					+
17	.243	.200E+01	*****			C		+
34	.486	.300E+01	*****					C+
2	.029	.400E+01	++					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
70			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.230E+01	.874E+00	.380E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	70

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
35	.500	.000E+00	*****								+
13	.186	.100E+01	*****				C				+
8	.114	.200E+01	*****					C			+
14	.200	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
70			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.101E+01	.120E+01	.118E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	70

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
19	1.000	.100E+01	*****								
0	.000	.200E+01	+								C
0	.000	.300E+01	+								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
19			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	19

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL	LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ									
0	.000	.000E+00	+		+	+	+	+	+	+
3	.158	.100E+01	*****							+
5	.263	.200E+01	*****				C			+
2	.105	.300E+01	*****					C		+
9	.474	.400E+01	*****							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+		+	+	+	+	+	+
19			0		20		40		60	
									80	
										100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.231E+01	.129E+01	.560E+00	.385E-01	.388E+01	19

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .3650E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .3285E+04

END OF YEAR 10

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO 30%	.322E+03	.622E+02	.193E+00	.265E+03	.490E+03	19
START DESIGN	.129E+03	.296E+02	.230E+00	.926E+02	.210E+03	20
PROJ. PROGRAMMED	.298E+03	.113E+03	.378E+00	.144E+03	.644E+03	14
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.796E+02	.138E+02	.154E+00	.690E+02	.750E+02	4
CONG. DELAY	.933E+02	.436E+02	.467E+00	.812E+02	.272E+03	19
TYPE PROJECT	.235E+01	.823E+00	.350E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	77
CONSTR. CHANGES	.135E+01	.132E+01	.974E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	77
TIME COMPLETE	.158E+04	.114E+03	.723E-01	.136E+04	.177E+04	17
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	17
PRIORITY	.201E+01	.124E+01	.615E+00	.167E+00	.360E+01	17

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.34	1.668	5	5
2	LEECC	6	2.97	2.380	6	6
3	REQ	6	3.16	2.504	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.65	2.138	7	2
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	5	2.54	2.085	5	2
7	MPROG	6	1.66	1.598	6	0
8	AFRCE	9	5.42	2.958	9	4
9	COE	18	8.90	4.024	18	6

GATE STATISTICS

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	OPEN	.0203
8	DI	CLOSED	.0959
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0548
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0795
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0384
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.3537
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9797
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9797

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
16	.208	.100E+01	*****					+
19	.247	.200E+01	*****		C			+
41	.532	.300E+01	*****					C+
1	.013	.400E+01	++					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
77			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.235E+01	.823E+00	.350E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	77

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
32	.416	.000E+00	*****								+
11	.143	.100E+01	*****			C					+
9	.117	.200E+01	*****				C				+
25	.325	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
77			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.135E+01	.132E+01	.974E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	77

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
17	1.000	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+								C
0	.000	.300E+01	+								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
17			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	17

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL	LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ									
0	.000	.000E+00	+		+	+	+	+	+	+
5	.294	.100E+01	*****							+
3	.176	.200E+01	*****				C			+
4	.235	.300E+01	*****					C		+
5	.294	.400E+01	*****							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+		+	+	+	+	+	+
17			0		20	40	60	80	100	

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.201E+01	.124E+01	.615E+00	.167E+00	.360E+01	17

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .4015E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .3650E+04

END OF YEAR 11

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO 30%	.304E+03	.683E+02	.224E+00	.218E+03	.503E+03	25
START DESIGN	.134E+03	.362E+02	.269E+00	.826E+02	.203E+03	20
PROJ. PROGRAMMED	.269E+03	.101E+03	.376E+00	.144E+03	.666E+03	27
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.880E+02	.596E+00	.122E+00	.880E+02	.880E+02	1
CONG. DELAY	.750E+02	.433E+02	.577E+00	.632E+02	.253E+03	19
TYPE PROJECT	.212E+01	.900E+00	.425E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	69
CONSTR. CHANGES	.170E+01	.117E+01	.688E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	69
TIME COMPLETE	.158E+04	.184E+03	.116E+00	.137E+04	.213E+04	24
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	24
PRIORITY	.217E+01	.130E+01	.599E+00	.316E+00	.392E+01	24

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.40	1.672	5	1
2	LEECC	6	3.40	1.790	6	2
3	REQ	6	3.01	2.587	6	0
4	BDEE	7	1.72	2.098	6	0
5	BASE	1	.21	.406	1	0
6	MDEE	5	1.61	2.118	5	0
7	MPROG	6	1.57	1.575	6	0
8	AFRCE	9	4.47	3.437	9	2
9	COE	18	7.93	2.78	18	1

GATE STATISTICS

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0235
8	DI	CLOSED	.0959
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0685
10	CORPS	OPEN	.0685
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0274
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.3790
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9765
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9765

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
23	.333	.100E+01	*****					+
16	.232	.200E+01	*****			C		+
29	.420	.300E+01	*****					C+
1	.014	.400E+01	**					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
69			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.212E+01	.900E+00	.425E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	69

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
14	.203	.000E+00	*****								+
18	.261	.100E+01	*****		C						+
12	.174	.200E+01	*****			C					+
25	.362	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
69			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.170E+01	.117E+01	.688E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	69

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
24	1.000	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+								C
0	.000	.300E+01	+								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
24			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	24

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
0	.000	.000E+00		+	+	+	+	+	+
6	.250	.100E+01		*****					+
6	.250	.200E+01		*****			C		+
1	.042	.300E+01		***			C		+
11	.458	.400E+01		*****					C
0	.000	INF		+					C
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
24				0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.217E+01	.130E+01	.599E+00	.316E+00	.392E+01	24

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .4380E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .4015E+04

END OF YEAR 12

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO 30%	.293E+03	.430E+02	.146E+00	.257E+03	.402E+03	18
START DESIGN	.149E+03	.396E+02	.265E+00	.945E+02	.221E+03	20
PROJ. PROGRAMMED	.302E+03	.521E+02	.129E+00	.251E+03	.398E+03	19
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.870E+02	.596E+01	.120E+00	.870E+02	.870E+02	1
CCNG. DELAY	.776E+02	.209E+00	.269E-02	.772E+02	.777E+02	12
TYPE PROJECT	.205E+01	.860E+00	.419E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	59
CONSTR. CHANGES	.125E+01	.125E+01	.100E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	59
TIME COMPLETE	.161E+04	.208E+03	.129E+00	.136E+04	.208E+04	20
DELIVERY STATUS	.110E+01	.447E+00	.407E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	20
PRIORITY	.198E+01	.143E+01	.724E+00	.599E-01	.366E+01	20

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.40	1.714	5	3
2	LEECC	6	3.01	2.231	6	5
3	REQ	6	2.83	2.692	6	0
4	BDEE	7	1.66	2.069	6	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	5	1.56	2.079	5	0
7	MPROG	6	1.50	1.587	6	0
8	AFRCE	9	4.13	3.510	9	1
9	COE	18	7.41	5.403	18	3

GATE STATISTICS

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	OPEN	.0223
8	DI	CLOSED	.0822
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0411
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0740
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0274
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2474
13	DI100	OPEN	.3548
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9777
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9777

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
18	.305	.100E+01	*****					+
22	.373	.200E+01	*****				C	+
17	.288	.300E+01	*****					C +
2	.034	.400E+01	***					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
59			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.205E+01	.860E+00	.419E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	59

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER								
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100		
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
24	.407	.000E+00	*****							+
12	.203	.100E+01	*****			C				+
7	.119	.200E+01	*****				C			+
16	.271	.300E+01	*****							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
59			0	20	40	60	80	100		

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.125E+01	.125E+01	.100E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	59

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER								
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100		
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+							+
19	.950	.100E+01	*****							+
0	.000	.200E+01	+						C	+
1	.050	.300E+01	***							C
0	.000	INF	+							C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
20			0	20	40	60	80	100		

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.110E+01	.447E+00	.407E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	20

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ								
0	.000	.000E+00	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7	.350	.100E+01	*****						+
2	.100	.200E+01	*****			C			+
4	.200	.300E+01	*****				C		+
7	.350	.400E+01	*****						C
0	.000	INF	+						C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+
20			0	20	40	60	80	100	

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.198E+01	.143E+01	.724E+00	.599E-01	.366E+01	20

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .4745E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .4380E+04

END OF YEAR 13

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO 30%	.347E+03	.961E+02	.277E+00	.233E+03	.652E+03	21
START DESIGN	.139E+03	.337E+02	.242E+00	.881E+02	.196E+03	20
PROJ. PROGRAMMED	.317E+03	.102E+03	.323E+00	.146E+03	.686E+03	38
HOST BASE ASSIGN			NO VALUES RECORDED			
CONG. DELAY	.848E+02	.481E+02	.568E+00	.718E+02	.259E+03	15
TYPE PROJECT	.237E+01	.800E+00	.338E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	95
CONSTR. CHANGES	.126E+01	.124E+01	.981E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	95
TIME COMPLETE	.155E+04	.144E+03	.926E-01	.123E+04	.183E+04	19
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	19
PRIORITY	.144E+01	.121E+01	.841E+00	.887E-01	.390E+01	19

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.40	1.757	5	5
2	LEECC	6	3.44	1.846	6	4
3	REQ	6	3.25	2.584	6	6
4	BDEE	7	2.56	2.287	6	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	5	2.43	2.277	5	0
7	MPROG	6	1.69	1.527	6	3
8	AFRCE	9	5.03	3.302	9	4
9	COE	18	8.60	4.791	18	8

GATE STATISTICS

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	OPEN	.0192
8	DI	CLOSED	.1096
9	DISTR	OPEN	.0521
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0877
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0301
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.4216
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9808
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9808

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
13	.189	.100E+01	*****					+
25	.263	.200E+01	*****		C			+
51	.537	.300E+01	*****					C+
1	.011	.400E+01	++					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
95			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.237E+01	.800E+00	.338E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	95

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
38	.400	.000E+00	*****								+
19	.200	.100E+01	*****			C					+
13	.137	.200E+01	*****				C				+
25	.263	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
95			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.126E+01	.124E+01	.981E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	95

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
19	1.000	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+								C
0	.000	.300E+01	+								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
19			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	19

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
10	.524	.100E+01	*****								+
3	.158	.200E+01	*****				C				+
3	.158	.300E+01	*****					C			+
3	.158	.400E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
19			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.144E+01	.121E+01	.841E+00	.887E-01	.390E+01	19

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5110E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .4745E+04

END OF YEAR 14

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO 30%	.324E+03	.888E+02	.274E+00	.232E+03	.523E+03	22
START DESIGN	.134E+03	.330E+02	.246E+00	.963E+02	.195E+03	20
PROJ. PROGRAMMED	.316E+03	.129E+03	.409E+00	.133E+03	.652E+03	20
HOST BASE ASSIGN	.830E+02	.785E+00	.231E+00	.830E+02	.830E+02	1
CONG. DELAY	.699E+02	.186E+02	.267E+00	.449E+02	.104E+03	17
TYPE PROJECT	.211E+01	.907E+00	.429E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	62
CONSTR. CHANGES	.127E+01	.133E+01	.105E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	62
TIME COMPLETE	.153E+04	.215E+03	.141E+00	.126E+04	.218E+04	22
DELIVERY STATUS	.109E+01	.426E+00	.391E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	22
PRIORITY	.168E+01	.124E+01	.739E+00	.114E-02	.376E+01	22

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.44	1.769	5	1
2	LEECC	6	2.64	2.384	6	5
3	REQ	6	2.88	2.412	6	0
4	BDEE	7	1.65	1.815	6	0
5	BASE	1	.16	.195	1	0
6	MDEE	5	1.48	1.794	5	0
7	MPROG	6	1.68	1.719	6	3
8	AFRCE	9	4.13	3.513	9	5
9	COE	18	6.74	4.998	18	4

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	CLOSED	.0242
8	DI	OPEN	.0876
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0575
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0658
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0301
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2472
13	DI100	OPEN	.2852
14	HOLD	OPEN	.9758
15	HOLD1	OPEN	.9758

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6****

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
19	.306	.100E+01	*****					+
20	.323	.200E+01	*****			C		+
20	.323	.300E+01	*****					C +
3	.048	.400E+01	***					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
62			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO. OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.211E+01	.907E+00	.429E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	62

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
29	.468	.000E+00	*****								+
6	.097	.100E+01	*****			C					+
8	.129	.200E+01	*****				C				+
19	.306	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
62			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.127E+01	.133E+01	.105E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	62

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
21	.955	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+								C
1	.045	.300E+01	***								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
22			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.109E+01	.426E+00	.391E+00	.100E+01	.300E+01	22

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10****

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00		+					+
7	.318	.100E+01		*****					+
7	.318	.200E+01		*****			C		+
4	.182	.300E+01		*****				C	+
4	.182	.400E+01		*****					C
0	.000	INF		+					C
---				+	+	+	+	+	+
22				0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.168E+01	.124E+01	.739E+00	.114E-02	.376E+01	22

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5475E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .5110E+04

END OF YEAR 15

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO 30%	.325E+03	.620E+02	.191E+00	.228E+03	.469E+03	23
START DESIGN	.127E+03	.313E+02	.247E+00	.827E+02	.189E+03	20
PROJ. PROGRAMMED	.299E+03	.132E+03	.442E+00	.130E+03	.677E+03	18
HOST BASE ASSIGN	NO VALUES RECORDED					
CONG. DELAY	.784E+02	.626E+01	.799E-01	.686E+02	.866E+02	15
TYPE PROJECT	.220E+01	.796E+00	.362E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	90
CONSTR. CHANGES	.900E+00	.117E+01	.130E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	90
TIME COMPLETE	.156E+04	.177E+03	.113E+00	.137E+04	.195E+04	21
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	21
PRIORITY	.213E+01	.889E+00	.418E+00	.388E+00	.381E+01	21

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.42	1.706	5	1
2	LEECC	6	3.25	1.708	6	6
3	REQ	6	2.82	2.479	6	6
4	BDEE	7	2.65	2.388	6	0
5	BASE	1	.23	.479	1	1
6	MDEE	5	2.52	2.413	5	0
7	MPROG	6	1.48	1.534	6	2
8	AFRCE	9	5.27	3.591	9	6
9	COE	18	8.79	6.059	18	8

GATE STATISTICS

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	OPEN	.0190
8	DI	CLOSED	.0631
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0411
10	CORPS	OPEN	.0470
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0329
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	OPEN	.3883
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9810
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9810

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
20	.222	.100E+01	*****					+
33	.367	.200E+01	*****			C		+
36	.400	.300E+01	*****					C+
1	.011	.400E+01	**					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
90			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO. OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.220E+01	.796E+00	.362E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	90

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7****

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
50	.556	.000E+00	*****								+
15	.167	.100E+01	*****				C				+
9	.100	.200E+01	*****					C			+
16	.178	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
90			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.900E+00	.117E+01	.130E+01	.000E+00	.300E+01	90

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9****

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
21	1.000	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+								C
0	.000	.300E+01	+								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
21			0	20	40	60	80	100			

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	21

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10

PRIORITY

OBS	RELA	UPPER	CELL	LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
FREQ	FREQ				+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00			+					+
2	.095	.100E+01			*****					+
9	.429	.200E+01			*****			C		+
7	.333	.300E+01			*****				C	+
3	.143	.400E+01			*****					C
0	.000	INF			+					C
---					+	+	+	+	+	+
21					0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO. OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
PRIORITY	.213E+01	.889E+00	.418E+00	.388E+00	.381E+01	21

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5840E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .5475E+04

END OF YEAR 16

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TIME TO 30%	.342E+03	.101E+03	.295E+00	.233E+03	.530E+03	10
START DESIGN	.141E+03	.390E+02	.276E+00	.963E+02	.213E+03	20
PROJ. PROGRAMMED	.305E+03	.988E+02	.323E+00	.134E+03	.671E+03	23
HOST BASE ASSIGN			NO VALUES	RECORDED		
CONG. DELAY	.934E+02	.586E+02	.628E+00	.718E+02	.270E+03	11
TYPE PROJECT	.217E+01	.907E+00	.419E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	48
CONSTR. CHANGES	.144E+01	.129E+01	.895E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	48
TIME COMPLETE	.155E+04	.136E+03	.877E-01	.137E+04	.184E+04	16
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	16
PRIORITY	.252E+01	.124E+01	.495E+00	.260E+00	.397E+01	16

RESOURCE STATISTICS

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
1	LEECD	5	1.43	1.744	5	3
2	LEECC	6	2.34	2.004	6	3
3	REQ	6	3.19	2.647	6	0
4	BDEE	7	2.50	2.384	6	0
5	BASE	1	.00	.000	0	0
6	MDEE	5	2.37	2.431	5	0
7	MPROG	6	1.65	1.609	6	0
8	AFRCE	9	5.02	3.858	9	9
9	COE	18	8.71	6.707	18	18

GATE STATISTICS

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
1	CALL	CLOSED	.0822
2	CALLN	CLOSED	.1644
3	NEWFY	CLOSED	.2466
4	NEED	CLOSED	.0027
5	OTHER	CLOSED	.0027
6	CONG28	CLOSED	.0110
7	FRC	OPEN	.0218
8	DI	CLOSED	.0822
9	DISTR	CLOSED	.0685
10	CORPS	CLOSED	.0746
11	REV30	CLOSED	.0247
12	CALL2	CLOSED	.2466
13	DI100	CLOSED	.2766
14	HOLD	CLOSED	.9782
15	HOLD1	CLOSED	.9782

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 6

TYPE PROJECT

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
15	.313	.100E+01	*****					+
11	.229	.200E+01	*****			C		+
21	.438	.300E+01	*****					C+
1	.021	.400E+01	**					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
48			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
TYPE PROJECT	.217E+01	.907E+00	.419E+00	.100E+01	.400E+01	48

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 7

CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
18	.375	.000E+00	*****								+
6	.125	.100E+01	*****			C					+
9	.188	.200E+01	*****				C				+
15	.313	.300E+01	*****								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
48			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
CONSTR. CHANGES	.144E+01	.129E+01	.895E+00	.000E+00	.300E+01	48

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 9

DELIVERY STATUS

OBS	RELA	UPPER									
FREQ	FREQ	CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100			
			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+								+
15	1.000	.100E+01	*****								+
0	.000	.200E+01	+								C
0	.000	.300E+01	+								C
0	.000	INF	+								C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
16			0	20	40	60	80	100			

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN	STANDARD	COEFF. OF	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NO.OF
	VALUE	DEVIATION	VARIATION	VALUE	VALUE	OBS
DELIVERY STATUS	.100E+01	.000E+00	.000E+00	.100E+01	.100E+01	16

HISTOGRAM NUMBER 10

PRIORITY

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
			+	+	+	+	+	+
0	.000	.000E+00	+					+
2	.125	.100E+01	*****					+
4	.250	.200E+01	*****		C			+
4	.250	.300E+01	*****			C		+
6	.375	.400E+01	*****					C
0	.000	INF	+					C
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
16			0	20	40	60	80	100

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
PRIORITY	.252E+01	.124E+01	.495E+00	.260E+00	.397E+01	16

S L A M S U M M A R Y R E P O R T

SIMULATION PROJECT FACILITY MODEL

BY BLAKE & MARCHBANKS

DATE 8/14/1985

RUN NUMBER 1 OF 1

CURRENT TIME .5840E+04

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME .5840E+04

* * * * END OF SIMULATION RUN * * * *

Appendix G: Definition and Explanation of the SLAM Summary Report Statistics

The SLAM Summary Report displays the statistical results for each simulation run. The results are presented for time periods specified in the model network. The collection period for the integrated systems and facilities models in Appendices C, D, and F is one year. Thus a summary report is generated at the end of each simulated year.

Each SLAM Summary Report is comprised of a general data section followed by the statistical data collected within the model network. Data are collected by the collect statements included in the computer code and on the assigned files and activities in the network. The report also includes gate and resource statistics. The file and activity statistics were included only in Appendix C since they were used primarily during model verification and validation (M:162-167).

The following information is intended to assist the reader in understanding the information provided in the Summary Report. Each of the headings included in the report is reproduced below. The data labels in the heading are referenced to a definition of the data item by the code in brackets ([]). The definitions of the data items immediately follow the heading in which they are included.

SLAM SUMMARY REPORT

SIMULATION PROJECT [AA]

BY [AB]

DATE [AC]

RUN NUMBER [AD] OF [AE]

CURRENT TIME [AF]

STATISTICAL ARRAYS CLEARED AT TIME [AG]

END OF YEAR [AH]

AA - The simulation project title.

AB - The name of the model analyst.

AC - The month/day/year of the simulation run.

AD - The number of the simulation run.

AE - The number of runs to be accomplished.

AF - The current time in the simulation at which statistics are being collected.

AG - The time statistics were last collected and cleared.

AH - The value of the current simulation time in years.

STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION

	MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS
[BA]	[BB]	[BC]	[BD]	[BE]	[BF]	[BG]

BA - Title of the collected statistics.

BB - The arithmetic mean of the observed values.

BC - The standard deviation of the observed values.

BD - The coefficient of variation (standard deviation/mean)

of the observed values.

BE - The minimum value recorded.

BF - The maximum value recorded.

BG - The number of observed values.

FILE STATISTICS

FILE NUMBER	ASSOCIATED NODE TYPE	AVERAGE LENGTH	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM LENGTH	CURRENT LENGTH	AVERAGE WAIT TIME
[CA]	[CB]	[CC]	[CD]	[CE]	[CF]	[CG]

CA - The file number or model's event calendar.

CB - File type (await, calendar) assigned in the network.

CC - The average number of entities (projects or events)

CD - The standard deviation of the number of entities in the
file over the collection period.

CE - The maximum number in the file at any one time.

CF - The current number in the file.

CG - The average waiting time of all entities that entered
the file (includes those that did not wait).

REGULAR ACTIVITY STATISTICS

ACTIVITY INDEX	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTILIZATION	CURRENT UTILIZATION	ENTITY COUNT
[DA]	[DB]	[DC]	[DD]	[DE]	[DF]

DA - The activity index number assigned within the model
network.

DB - Average number of entities processing through the

- activity at one time.
- DC - The standard deviation of the number of entities is an activity at over the collection period.
- DD - The maximum number of entities in the activity at one time.
- DE - The number of entities is an activity at the current time.
- DF - The number of entities which completed the activity during the collection period.

****RESOURCE STATISTICS****

RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT CAPACITY	AVERAGE UTIL	STANDARD DEVIATION	MAXIMUM UTIL	CURRENT UTIL
[EA]	[EB]	[EC]	[ED]	[EE]	[EF]	[EG]
RESOURCE NUMBER	RESOURCE LABEL	CURRENT AVAILABLE	AVERAGE AVAILABLE	MINIMUM AVAILABLE	MAXIMUM AVAILABLE	
[EH]	[EI]	[EJ]	[EK]	[EL]	[EM]	

- EA - The resource number assigned. Simply shows order of resource title input.
- EB - The resource title assigned in the RESOURCE statement.
- EC - The current capacity or quantity of the resource assigned.
- ED - The average utilization of the resource over the collection period.
- EE - The standard deviation of resource utilization over the collection period.
- EF - The maximum number of the resource in use at one time.

EG - The quantity of the resource in use at the current time.
 EH - The resource number assigned (same as EA).
 EI - The resource title assigned by the RESOURCE statement.
 EJ - The current quantity of the resource assigned.
 EK - The average availability of the resource over the
 collection period.
 EL - Minimum number of the resource available at any one time
 during the collection period.
 EM - Maximum number available at any one time during the
 collection period.

****GATE STATISTICS****

GATE NUMBER	GATE LABEL	CURRENT STATUS	PCT. OF TIME OPEN
[FA]	[FB]	[FC]	[FD]

FA - The gate number assigned. The number corresponds to the
 order of input.
 FB - The title of the gate as specified by the GATE statement.
 FC - Current status of the gate (open or closed).
 FD - The percentage of time the gate was open during the
 collection period divided by 100.

****HISTOGRAM NUMBER [GA]****

[GB]

OBS FREQ	RELA FREQ	UPPER CELL LIM	0	20	40	60	80	100
[GC]	[GD]	[GE]	+	+	+	+	+	+
			*****	[GF]				
			+				C [GG]	
			+					
			+					
			+					
		INF	+					
---			+	+	+	+	+	+
[GH]			0	20	40	60	80	100

****STATISTICS FOR VARIABLES BASED ON OBSERVATION****

MEAN VALUE	STANDARD DEVIATION	COEFF. OF VARIATION	MINIMUM VALUE	MAXIMUM VALUE	NO.OF OBS.
[GI]	[GJ]	[GK]	[GL]	[GM]	[GN]

GA - The number of the histogram. This number corresponds to the order in which the Collect (COLCT) statement requesting the histogram appears in the model network. Since not all collect statements were coded for histogram generation these numbers will not appear in sequence.

GB - Title of the histogram. The title corresponds to the title of the collect statement.

GC - The number of values within the cell limits observed over the collection period.

GD - The relative frequency or percentage of total observations within the cell limits.

- GE - The upper limit for each cell of the histogram. The final cell includes all values above the upper cell limit of the next to last cell.
- GF - Graphic representation of the number in the cell.
- GG - Plot points of the cumulative number observed. The value represents total number of observations in the plotted cell and all those below it.
- GH - Total number of observations.
- GI - The arithmetic mean of the values observed during the collection period.
- GJ - The standard deviation of the values observed.
- GK - The coefficient of variation of the observed values (standard deviation / mean).
- GL - The minimum value observed during the collection period.
- GM - The maximum value observed during the collection period.
- GN - The total number of observations during the collection period.

For a more detailed explanation of individual statistics see Pritsker, Chapters 5 and 13 (35:150-168,488-522).

Bibliography

1. Baker, Maj Jack T. "Ground-Launched Cruise Missile in Europe: An International Challenge," Air Force Engineering and Services Quarterly, 25: 32-33 (Spring 1984).
2. Baker, Lt Col Paul, Chief, Acquisition Programming Section. Personal interview. HQ AFSC/DEPS, Andrews AFB MD, 24 July 1985.
3. Banks, Jerry and John S. Carson, II. Discrete-Event System Simulation. Englewood Cliffs NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1984.
4. Basham, 1st Lt Christopher D. Development of Facility Type Information Packages for Design of Air Force Facilities. MS Thesis, LSSR 83-82. School of Systems and Logistics, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright-Patterson AFB OH, March 1983 (AD-A125 381).
5. Cassity, Col James S., Jr. and others. "Systems Acquisition Management," Air War College Lesson 17, 1: 18-30. Air University, Maxwell AFB AL, June 1984.
6. Civil Engineering Facilities Support for Aeronautical Systems. Lecture materials distributed in SYS 400, Acquisition Management Orientation Course. School of Systems and Logistics, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright-Patterson AFB OH, Quarterly.
7. Cole, Maj John, former Strategic Air Command MCP programmer. Personal interview. AFIT/LS, Wright-Patterson AFB OH, 2 April 1985.
8. Cook, 1st Lt Charles, Chief, Programming and Environmental Planning Section. Telephone interview. 7th Civil Engineering Squadron, Carswell AFB TX, 19 June 1985.
9. Department of the Air Force. Acquisition Management, Standard Integrated Support Management System. AFLCR/AFSCR 800-24, Washington DC: Government Printing Office, 1975.
10. Department of the Air Force. Acquisition Program Management. AFR 800-2. Washington DC: HQ USAF, 13 August 1982.

11. Department of the Air Force. The Air Force Budget Process. AFP 172-4. Washington DC: Government Printing Office, 1 October 1984.
12. Department of the Air Force. Facility Construction -- Design and Construction Management. AFR 89-1. Washington DC: HQ USAF, 20 June 1978.
13. Department of the Air Force. Programming Civil Engineer Resources. AFR 86-1. Washington DC: HQ USAF, 6 August 1976.
14. Department of the Air Force. Statement of Operational Need. AFR 57-1. Washington DC: HQ USAF, 12 June 1979.
15. Department of Defense. Acquisition Management Systems and Data Requirements Control List. DOD 5000.19-L Volume II. Washington DC: Government Printing Office, 15 September 1984.
16. Department of Defense. Major Systems Acquisition. DODD 5000.1. Washington DC: Government Printing Office, 29 March 1982.
17. Department of Defense. Major Systems Acquisition Process. DODD 5000.2. Washington DC: Government Printing Office, 8 March 1983.
18. Devore, Jay L. Probability and Statistics for Engineering and the Sciences. Monterey CA: Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, 1982.
19. Engineering Management Study of the Air Force Design and Construction Management Establishment: 60% Submittal. HQ USAF Contract F49642-83-C0222. Performance, Incorporated, Fairfax VA, 23 April 1984.
20. Etheridge, Karen, Military Air Command MCP programmer. Telephone interview. MAC/DEPD, Scott AFB IL, 19 June 1985.
21. Fister, Donald, MCP construction manager. Telephone interview. Construction Division Contract Administration Branch, US Army Corps of Engineers - Louisville District, Louisville KY, 25 June 1985.
22. Gordon, Richard F. "Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) Planning," Logistics Spectrum, Journal of the Society of Logistics Engineers, 17: 22-25 (Winter 1983).

23. Hagins, James, Tactical Air Command MCP programmer. Telephone interview. TAC/DEPD, Langley AFB VA, 19 June 1985.
24. Hansen, Capt. Kevin P. A Study of Time Constraints Related to Facilities Acquisition in Support of New Weapons Systems Initial Bed Downs. MS Thesis, LSSR 57-81. School of Systems and Logistics, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright-Patterson AFB OH, September 1981 (AD-A109 777).
25. Kleb, Col George R. (USA) and Col Charles J. Sollohub (USA). Effective Integration of Facility Requirements Into Army System Acquisition Programs. Research report IR#3, AY 82/83. Industrial College of the Armed Forces, National Defense University, Fort Lesley J. McNair DC, May 1983 (AD-A137 669).
26. Law, Averill M. and W. David Kelton. Simulation Modeling and Analysis. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1982.
27. Leblanc, Maj Michale J., Chief, Programming and Environmental Assessment Section. Personal interview. 1776th Civil Engineering Squadron, Andrews AFB MD, 24 July 1985.
28. Marchbanks, Capt Richard D. "Proposal for a Decision Support System for ASD/DE Systems Facilities Branch." Unpublished report to Engineering Management Information Systems (LOGM 616). School of Systems and Logistics, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright-Patterson AFB OH, March 1985.
29. Martin, Richard, Strategic Air Command MCP programmer. Telephone interview. HQ SAC/DEPD, Offutt AFB NB, 19 June 1985.
30. McCarty, Dyke, Instructor. "The Acquisition of Major Systems." Unpublished report. School of Systems and Logistics, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright-Patterson AFB OH, March 1984.
31. Nichols, David N., MCP program development specialist. Telephone interview. HQ USAF/LEECD, Washington DC, 25 June 1985.
32. Oler, Douglas N., Chief, Special Programs Section. Personal interview. US Army Corps of Engineers - Baltimore District, Baltimore MD, 25 July 1985.
33. Owendoff, Maj James M., Headquarters Air Force MCP programmer. Personal interview. HQ USAF/LEEPD, Washington DC, 22 June 1985 and 25 July 1985.

34. Parkinson, Maj Edward L. "Getting a Handle on Cost," Air Force Engineering and Services Quarterly, 23: 20-24 (Summer 1982).
35. Pritsker, A. Alan B. and Claude Dennis Pegden. Introduction to Simulation and SLAM. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1979.
36. Records Review of KC-10 Advanced Tanker Acquisition Program. ASD/DES, Wright-Patterson AFB OH, March 1985.
37. Renninger III., Maj Warren H. "Integrated Logistics Support: A Family Approach," Lesson 17, Reading 3, Air Command and Staff College 00030B R02 8402, Air University, Maxwell AFB AL, 1983.
38. Shannon, Robert E. Systems Simulation--the Art and Science. Englewood Cliffs NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1975.
39. Shepard, Sherrill, MCP project officer. Telephone interview. Eastern Region AFRCE, Atlanta GA, 25 June 1985.
40. Skinner, Jack, Air Force projects officer. Telephone interview. Engineering Division Military Branch, US Army Corps of Engineers - Louisville District, Louisville KY, 25 June 1985.
41. Smith, Ronald L., Civil Engineer Adjunct to B-1 Bomber Program Office. Telephone interview. ASD/DES, Wright-Patterson AFB OH, 20 August 1985.
42. Tabor, Frank, Strategic Air Command MCP programmer. Telephone Interview. HQ SAC/DEPD, Offutt AFB NB, 25 June 1985.
43. Taylor, George R., Chief, Acquisition Civil Engineering Systems Facilities Branch. Personal interview. ASD/DES, Wright-Patterson AFB OH, 20 August 1985.
44. Tretone, Drew, Myrtle Beach AFB MCP programmer. Telephone interview. Myrtle Beach AFB SC, 19 June 1985.
45. U.S. Congress. Military Construction Authorization Act, 1975. Public Law No. 93-552, 93rd Congress, 2nd Session. Washington DC: Government Printing Office, 27 December 1974.

46. Whitney, Richard G. Civil Engineer Adjunct to F-16 Tactical Fighter Program Office. Lecture materials distributed in SYS 400, Acquisition Management Orientation Course. School of Systems and Logistics, Air Force Institute of Technology (AU), Wright-Patterson AFB OH, March 1985.
47. Whitney, Richard G., Civil Engineer Adjunct to F-16 Tactical Fighter Program Office. Personal interview. ASD/DES, Wright-Patterson AFB OH, 16 July 1985.

VITA

Captain Larry J. Blake was born on 7 March 1948 in Glendale, West Virginia and graduated from high school in Moundsville, West Virginia in 1966. He majored in mathematics and physics at West Liberty State College until entering the Air Force in 1969. After serving as an airborne radio and radar technician until 1974, he attended West Virginia University through the Airman Education and Commissioning Program. He graduated with a Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering degree, cum laude, and received a commission in the Air Force following Officers' Training School in 1976. After commissioning, Captain Blake was assigned to the 96th Civil Engineering Squadron, Dyess AFB, Texas as the Chief of Construction Management. In 1980 he transferred to Cape Parry, Canada where he served as contract monitor for the Distant Early Warning System Office. In 1981 Captain Blake was reassigned to the Office of the Air Force Regional Civil Engineer for Ballistic Missile Support at Norton AFB, California. There he served as project manager for the Deep Underground Basing Egress Research and Development program and as a facilities project manager for the bed down of the Peacekeeper missile. In July of 1984, Captain Blake entered the School of Systems and Logistics, Air Force Institute of Technology, as a candidate for a masters degree in Engineering Management.

Permanent address: 1200 Sixth Street
Moundsville, West Virginia 26041

VITA

Captain Richard D. Marchbanks was born on 1 May 1947 in Atlanta, Georgia and graduated from high school in College Park, Georgia in 1965. After serving in the naval submarine service until 1972, he attended Georgia Institute of Technology and received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering in 1976. Upon graduation, he received an Air Force commission through the ROTC program and was assigned as an electrical design engineer in the 3380th Civil Engineering Squadron at Keesler AFB in Mississippi. Captain Marchbanks transferred to Osan AB, Korea in 1980 and served as the Chief Electrical Engineer for the bed down of the 25th Tactical Fighter Squadron at Suwon AB, Korea. In 1981, he transferred to Suwon AB, Korea and established the Base Civil Engineering unit of the 6170th Combat Support Squadron. After serving as Base Civil Engineer at Suwon AB until 1983, he was transferred to the Aeronautical Systems Division as the systems facilities officer for the bed down of the Ground Launched Cruise Missile in Europe. In July 1984 he enrolled in the School of Systems and Logistics, Air Force Institute of Technology, as a candidate for a masters degree in Engineering Management. Captain Marchbanks is a registered professional engineer in the state of Mississippi.

Permanent address: 6410 Camp Road
Riverdale, Georgia 30274

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

AD - A161116

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS											
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited											
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE														
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFIT/GEM/LSY/85S-9			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)											
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION School of Systems and Logistics		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) AFIT/LS		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION										
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Air Force Institute of Technology Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433		7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)												
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER										
8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS. <table border="1"><tr><td>PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.</td><td>PROJECT NO.</td><td>TASK NO.</td><td>WORK UNIT NO.</td></tr></table>				PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT NO.					
PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.					TASK NO.	WORK UNIT NO.							
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) See Block 19														
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Larry J. Blake, B.S., Capt, USAF and Richard D. Marchbanks, B.S., Capt, USAF														
13a. TYPE OF REPORT MS Thesis		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) 1985 September										
				15. PAGE COUNT 343										
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION														
17. COSATI CODES <table border="1"><tr><td>FIELD</td><td>GROUP</td><td>SUB. GR.</td></tr><tr><td>13</td><td>13</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>13</td><td>02</td><td></td></tr></table>			FIELD	GROUP	SUB. GR.	13	13		13	02		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Military Construction Program, MCP, Facility Acquisition, Military Construction Program Model, Weapon System Facilities Model.		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB. GR.												
13	13													
13	02													
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Title: ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF THE FACILITY ACQUISITION PROCESS AS IT RELATES TO THE DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT OF NEW WEAPON SYSTEMS Thesis Chairman: William F. Shaw, Lt Col, USAF Assistant Professor of Systems Management Approved for public release: LKW AFB 190-17 <i>John E. Wolaver</i> 2 Oct 85 John E. WOLAVER Dean for Research and Professional Development Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433														
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS ☐			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED											
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Alan E. M. Tucker, Major, USAF		22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 513-255-4437		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL AFIT/LS										

The purpose of this investigation was to identify potential modifications in the facilities acquisition process to better integrate it into a weapons system acquisition. In order to accomplish this objective, a computer model was developed to simulate the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process and determine what changes would most favorably impact facility acquisition schedules.

Development and analysis of the model concluded that conflicts in timing came from four sources: development of the listing of facilities required to support system bed down, development of the basing and development concepts, system and equipment changes during the facility acquisition period, and the date and definition of initial operational capability (IOC).

The following additional conclusions were reached regarding the integrated systems and facilities acquisition process. First, only sixty percent of the bed down facilities would be ready by IOC unless special management attention and handling are provided during processing. Further, an increase of personnel in the various elements of facilities acquisition may have little impact upon project processing time. Finally, the traditional civil engineering management philosophy of waiting for user submittal of requirements before responding may further aggravate an already difficult scheduling problem.

The following recommendations were developed as a result of the research. First, development of facility requirements should begin earlier in the systems acquisition cycle through increased civil engineering participation in research and development efforts. Second, design of a weapon system's technical facilities should be performed by the system's contractor with contract management and technical support provided by the product division and the Army Corps of Engineers. Finally, data item descriptions for system contractor facilities related support should be reviewed and implemented creatively to more effectively use the time from identification of the facility requirements to the weapons system initial operational capability date.

END

FILMED

12-85

DTIC