

AD-A161 805

(12)

AD E440 305

TECHNICAL REPORT ARLCB TR 85035

20000801189

**PREDICTING CATASTROPHIC OUTSIDE DIAMETER
INITIATED FATIGUE FAILURE OF THICK-WALLED
CYLINDERS USING LOW CYCLE FATIGUE DATA**

JOSEPH A. KAPP

SEPTEMBER 1985

DTIC
ELECTE
NOV 5 1985
S B



**US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER
LARGE CALIBER WEAPON SYSTEMS LABORATORY
BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY
WATERVLIET N.Y. 12189**

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

DTIC FILE COPY

Reproduced From
Best Available Copy

DISCLAIMER

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade name(s) and/or manufacture(s) does not constitute an official indorsement or approval.

DISPOSITION

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER ARLCB-TR-85035	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD A141 005	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) PREDICTING CATASTROPHIC OUTSIDE DIAMETER INITIATED FATIGUE FAILURE OF THICK-WALLED CYLINDERS USING LOW CYCLE FATIGUE DATA		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final
7. AUTHOR(s) Joseph A. Kapp		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Armament Research & Development Center Benet Weapons Laboratory, SMCAR-LCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189-5000		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Armament Research & Development Center Large Caliber Weapon Systems Laboratory Dover, NJ 07801-5001		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS AMCMS NO. 6111.02.H600.011 PRON NO. 1A325B541A1A
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE September 1985
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 13
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the IX Airapt High Pressure Conference, 25-28 July 1985		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Thick-Walled Cylinders Residual Stress Effects Fatigue Predictive Methods Autofrettage Pressure Vessels		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A procedure is presented to predict fatigue failure of thick-walled cylinders containing discontinuities at the outside diameter (OD). Both crack initiation life and crack growth are considered. The elastic-plastic strains at an OD discontinuity are estimated using elastic analysis and stress concentration factors. The strain estimates are then used in conjunction with low cycle fatigue data to determine the initiation life. Crack growth life is estimated (CONT'D ON REVERSE)		

20. ABSTRACT (CONT'D)

by integration of a power law relationship. The results obtained by using this analysis method compared to measured fatigue life data for several OD initiated failures in thick-walled cylinders agrees to within about ten percent.

TABLE OF CONTENTS


	<u>Page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11
INTRODUCTION	1
PROCEDURE	1
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	5
REFERENCES	8

TABLES

I. RESULTS FOR THICK-WALLED CYLINDER WITH TYPE B EXTERNAL NOTCHES	6
II. RESULTS FOR THICK-WALLED CYLINDER WITH TYPE B EXTERNAL NOTCHES	6
III. RESULTS FOR THICK-WALLED CYLINDER WITH TYPE A EXTERNAL NOTCH	7

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

1. The two notch configurations considered, a single notch (Type A) and four notches (Type B).	9
2. Low cycle fatigue data for the steel used to manufacture the cylinders studied.	10
3. Comparison of stabilized cyclic stress-strain curves for $\Delta\epsilon = 0.5$ percent.	11



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Mr. B. Brown for much of the fatigue life data reported herein, Mr. R. Abbott for performing the low cycle fatigue tests, and E. Fogarty for preparing the manuscript.

INTRODUCTION

Thick-walled cylinders are often autofrettaged to enhance their fatigue lives (ref 1). The greater fatigue life results because of the compressive residual stresses at the inner diameter (ID). If the cylinder also contains a discontinuity at the outside diameter (OD) where the residual stresses are tensile, the combination of stress concentration and tensile operating and residual stresses often results in shortened fatigue life due to crack initiation at the OD.

Several attempts have been made to model this behavior experimentally (refs 2-4) and analytically (ref 5). This report presents a two-step prediction method which results in very accurate estimates of total fatigue life. The first step is to determine the crack initiation life through the use of low cycle fatigue data. A small semi-circular crack is then assumed to exist. Using known stress intensity factor solutions corrected for curvature, crack propagation life is predicted by integrating a power law relationship that accounts for mean stress effects (ref 6). The total fatigue life is then the sum of the initiation life and the propagation life. Fatigue lives predicted by this method agree very well with the measured lives of several thick-walled cylinders that fail from the OD.

PROCEDURE

Two different OD notch configurations shown in Figure 1 were studied, a single notch, Type A, and four notches, Type B. We assume that the material at the roots of these notches responds to service loading in the same manner

References are listed at the end of this report.

as a smooth cylindrical low cycle fatigue sample. By estimating the strain range that the material at the notch tip is subjected to, we should be able to determine the number of cycles to initiate a crack. This is accomplished with the assistance of the strain range versus cycles-to-failure plot shown in Figure 2. The plot was developed using smooth cylindrical specimens of the same steel used to manufacture the pressure vessels studied.

The three curves in Figure 2 are for three different strain ratios ($R = \epsilon_{\min}/\epsilon_{\max}$). This was included because the presence of autofrettage residual stresses will change R for the same internal pressure cycle. The three conditions tested were $R = 0$, no autofrettage; $R = 0.5$, high tensile residual stress; and $R = 0.25$, in between. The results show that the longest life was obtained with $R = 0$, the shortest with $R = 0.25$, and for $R = 0.5$, cycles-to-failure fell between these two maxima, although at large values of strain range there was no difference in the cycles-to-failure. The reason for these differences is explained by the stabilized cyclic strain curves that developed. When $\Delta\epsilon$ was large there was essentially no difference in the hysteresis loops developed, thus no measurable difference in life, whereas the cyclic stress-strain behavior developed at smaller strain ranges was different. Often under these conditions, the cyclic stress-strain curves were simply elastic loadings and unloadings.

Figure 3 shows the actual results for $\Delta\epsilon = 0.5$ percent. The cumulative damage that results in failure of the specimen is a combination of two factors, the initial damage from the first loading to maximum strain and the cyclic loading. We would expect that the larger the initial strain the shorter the life, and the greater the amount of compression during cyclic

loading the shorter the life. Because of the strain hardening of the steel, the $R = 0$ sample saw the most compression and the least initial strain, conversely, $R = 0.5$ had the least compression and the most initial strain, while the $R = 0.25$ saw intermediate values of each. The results of these tests suggest that the combination of two factors contributing to life is synergistic with the $R = 0.25$, the in between case being the worst.

Relating these low cycle fatigue results to OD failure was accomplished in the following manner. Two parameters had to be determined: strain range $\Delta\epsilon$ and R . By using an elastic analysis these two values can be estimated. The minimum stress in an autofrettaged cylinder is $k_t \sigma_A$, where k_t is the stress concentration factor and σ_A is the elastic residual stress. Similarly, the maximum stress would be the minimum stress plus $k_t \sigma_p$, where σ_p is the stress due to pressure. The strain applied to the material at the notch tip then are estimated as

$$\epsilon_{\min} = \frac{\sigma_{\min}}{E} = \frac{k_t \sigma_A}{E} \quad (1)$$

$$\epsilon_{\max} = \epsilon_{\min} + \frac{k_t \sigma_p}{E} \quad (2)$$

where E is Young's modulus for the material.

Using Eqs. (1) and (2) both the $\Delta\epsilon$ and R parameters are easily calculated; $\Delta\epsilon = \epsilon_{\max} - \epsilon_{\min}$, and $R = \epsilon_{\min} / \epsilon_{\max}$. For the cylinders considered below, this technique was used and k_t was either determined by finite element results available in the literature or by a Neubers Diagram (ref 7), and E was 207 GPa. Once $\Delta\epsilon$ and R were calculated, the number of cycles to crack initiation was determined by interpolation of three curves in Figure 2.

Although crack initiation may consume a significant portion of the useful fatigue life of an OD notched thick-walled cylinder, the contribution of crack propagation must also be considered. To model crack growth, the following assumptions were made. At crack initiation a small semi-circular crack was produced. This crack was assumed to act as a crack with a depth equal to the notch depth and was semi-circular. The stress intensity factor for the crack was assumed to be the stress intensity factor for a straight-fronted crack of the same depth divided by the complete elliptical integral of the second kind to account for the curvature.

$$K(\text{curved crack}) = \frac{K(\text{straight crack})}{\phi} \quad (3)$$

The K solution for an OD cracked pressurized cylinder and for an OD cracked cylinder with autofrettage residual stress have been developed elsewhere (ref 5). The value of ϕ used was that for a semi-circular crack which is 1.5708. Propagation of such a crack was assumed to follow a constitutive law given as (ref 6):

$$\frac{dc}{dN} = A K_{\max}^n \quad (4)$$

where dc/dN is the crack growth rate, $K_{\max}$ is the maximum occurring stress intensity factor during a fatigue cycle, and A and n are material properties. Using Eq. (4) accounts for the mean K effect on crack propagation and has been shown to be the most conservative growth law for crack growth in OD cracked autofrettaged cylinders (ref 5). The crack growth life was determined by integration of Eq. (4).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the analysis of several thick-walled cylinders are summarized in Tables I, II, and III. Table I is for a relatively small cylinder with Type B (Figure 1) OD notches. Fracture toughness values are given since they determine the critical crack size and thus influence the crack propagation life. The comparison of the estimated life and the actual life shows excellent agreement with many of the actual samples. The last two specimens had much longer lives than estimated. This may be the result of assuming the worst case. The actual value of the root radius was not measured for each cylinder. The minimum radius of 0.254 mm was assumed. Actual values for this dimension could be in the range from 0.254 mm to 0.508 mm. If we analyze this cylinder with a root radius of 0.508 mm, the stress concentration factor k_t is reduced from 3.0 to about 2.5. Such a reduction in k_t would increase the initiation life from 3400 cycles to 5700 cycles. Using this for initiation life increases the predicted lives of specimens 844-1R-B and 7344 to 6245 cycles and 6233 cycles, respectively, which are in much better agreement with the actual fatigue lives.

Another series of tests of the Type B configuration is presented in Table II. The agreement for these somewhat larger cylinders is not quite as good as with the small cylinders in Table I. In all cases, fatigue life is underestimated by about 5000 cycles or about 30 percent. The same argument presented above can be applied here, namely the actual value of the root radius was not measured and could vary between 0.254 mm and 0.508 mm. Using the maximum value, k_t is reduced to about 2.9 from 3.2 increasing the

TABLE I. RESULTS FOR THICK-WALLED CYLINDER WITH TYPE B EXTERNAL NOTCHES,
 $a = 6.56$ cm, $b_1 = 10.68$ cm, $b_2 = 11.11$ cm, Pressure = 496 MPa,
Yield Strength = 1240 MPa, Root Radius = 0.254 mm, $k_t = 3.0$, 100
Percent Overstrain.

Specimen	K_{Ic} (MPa $\sqrt{m}$)	Estimated Life (Cycles)	Actual Life (Cycles)
917-3R-T	147	4151	4310
917-4R-T	152	4220	4917
7404	130	3910	3267
7414	123	3817	3948
844-1R-B	133	3945	6302
7344	132	3933	5607

TABLE II. RESULTS FOR THICK-WALLED CYLINDER WITH TYPE B EXTERNAL NOTCHES,
 $a = 8.26$ cm, $b_1 = 14.56$ cm, $b_2 = 15.08$ cm, Pressure = 372 MPa,
Yield Strength = 1170 MPa, Root Radius = 0.254 mm, $k_t = 3.2$, 100
Percent Overstrain.

Specimen	K_{Ic} (MPa $\sqrt{m}$)	Estimated Life (Cycles)	Actual Life (Cycles)
41	180	15536	24797
51	164	15133	18006
52	139	14289	19504
54	135	14156	19993
44	165	15193	20991
45	146	14637	19862

initiation life from 12,000 cycles to 15,000 cycles. This reduces the under-estimate of actual life to about 2000 cycles or about ten percent.


The response of several Type A cylinders is summarized in Table III. This kind of notch is more severe than Type B notches as can be seen in the value of k_t . Again, the analysis method yields excellent agreement with actual behavior. The estimated life for three of the four conditions considered is within about 10 percent of the actual behavior. But the technique drastically underestimates the least severe case (smallest k_t and least percent overstrain). The reason for the discrepancy is not clear, suggesting that the method of analysis may be limited to only that class of cylinders that would fail at relatively short life.

TABLE III. RESULTS FOR THICK-WALLED CYLINDER WITH TYPE A EXTERNAL NOTCH, $a = 7.75$ cm, $b = 13.5$ cm, Root Radius = 0.762 mm, $K_{Ic} = 127$ MPa $\sqrt{m}$, Yield Strength = 1170 MPa, Pressure = 386 MPa.


Specimen	Notch Depth (mm)	k_t	Percent Overstrain	Estimated Life (Cycles)	Actual Life (Cycles)
D2	10.2	6.0	100	2887	3003
D3	10.2	6.0	100	2887	2452
D4	10.2	6.0	60	4255	4079
D5	10.2	6.0	60	4255	4252
S1	5.1	3.6	100	10776	9280
S2	5.1	3.6	100	10776	11224
S3	5.1	3.6	60	15862	42985
S4	5.1	3.6	60	15862	40266

REFERENCES

1. T. E. Davidson and D. P. Kendall, The Mechanical Behavior of Materials Under Pressure, (H.L.I.D. Pugh, ed.), Elsevier Publishing, Amsterdam, 1970.
2. J. A. Kapp and J. H. Underwood, Experimental Mechanics, Vol. 22, No. 3, March 1982, pp. 96-100.
3. Robert R. Fuczak, "Effects of a R-Ratio Crack Initiation at External Discontinuities in Autofrettaged Cylinders," Experimental Mechanics, Vol. 24, No. 2, June 1984, pp. 122-128.
4. J. H. Underwood, "Fatigue Life Analysis and Tensile Overload Effects With High Strength Steel Notched Specimens," ARDC Technical Report ARLCB-TR-83040, Benet Weapons Laboratory, Watervliet, NY, November 1983.
5. J. A. Kapp and S. L. Pu, "Fatigue Design of Thick-Walled Cylinders Considering the OD as a Failure Initiation Site," Pressure Vessel Design PVP-57, (G. E. O. Widem, ed.), American Society for Mechanical Engineers, New York, 1982.
6. K. Tabeshfar and T. R. G. Williams, Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 68, No. 2, 1980, p. 25.
7. A. C. Vigural and S. K. Feuster, Advanced Strength and Applied Elasticity, Elasticity, American Elsevier Publishing Company, New York, 1975 p. 83.



TYPE A



TYPE B

Figure 1. The two notch configurations considered, a single notch (Type A) and four notches (Type B).




Figure 2. Low cycle fatigue data for the steel used to manufacture the cylinders studied.




Figure 3. Comparison of stabilized cyclic stress-strain curves for $\Delta\epsilon = 0.5$ percent.

TECHNICAL REPORT INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	NO. OF COPIES
CHIEF, DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING BRANCH	
ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-D	1
-DA	1
-DP	1
-DR	1
-DS (SYSTEMS)	1
-DS (ICAS GROUP)	1
-DC	1
-DM	1
CHIEF, ENGINEERING SUPPORT BRANCH	
ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-S	1
-SE	1
CHIEF, RESEARCH BRANCH	
ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-R	2
-R (ELLEN FOGARTY)	1
-RA	1
-RM	2
-RP	1
-RT	1
TECHNICAL LIBRARY	5
ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-TL	
TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS & EDITING UNIT	2
ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-TL	
DIRECTOR, OPERATIONS DIRECTORATE	1
DIRECTOR, PROCUREMENT DIRECTORATE	1
DIRECTOR, PRODUCT ASSURANCE DIRECTORATE	1

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY DIRECTOR, BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-TL,
OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>		<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>
ASST SEC OF THE ARMY RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT ATTN: DEP FOR SCI & TECH THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20315	1	COMMANDER US ARMY AMCCOM ATTN: SMCAR-ESP-L ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299	1
COMMANDER DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CENTER ATTN: DTIC-DDA CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314	12	COMMANDER ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL ATTN: SMCRI-ENM (MAT SCI DIV) ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299	1
COMMANDER US ARMY MAT DEV & READ COMD ATTN: DRCDE-SG 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333	1	DIRECTOR US ARMY INDUSTRIAL BASE ENG ACTV ATTN: DRXIB-M ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299	1
COMMANDER ARMAMENT RES & DEV CTR US ARMY AMCCOM ATTN: SMCAR-LC SMCAR-LCE SMCAR-LCM (BLDG 321) SMCAR-LCS SMCAR-LCU SMCAR-LCW SMCAR-SCM-O (PLASTICS TECH EVAL CTR, BLDG. 351N)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	COMMANDER US ARMY TANK-AUTMV R&D COMD ATTN: TECH LIB - DRSTA-TSL WARREN, MI 48090	1
SMCAR-TSS (STINFO) DOVER, NJ 07801	2	COMMANDER US ARMY TANK-AUTMV COMD ATTN: DRSTA-RC WARREN, MI 48090	1
DIRECTOR BALLISTICS RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN: AMYBR-TSB-S (STINFO) ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005	1	COMMANDER US MILITARY ACADEMY ATTN: CHMN, MECH ENGR DEPT WEST POINT, NY 10996	1
MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTV ATTN: DRXSY-MP ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005	1	US ARMY MISSILE COMD REDSTONE SCIENTIFIC INFO CTR ATTN: DOCUMENTS SECT, BLDG. 4484 REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35898	2
		COMMANDER US ARMY FGN SCIENCE & TECH CTR ATTN: DRXST-SD 220 7TH STREET, N.E. CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22901	1

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER,
US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, SMCAR-LCB-TL,
WATERVLIET, NY 12189, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (CONT'D)

	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>		<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>
COMMANDER		DIRECTOR	
US ARMY MATERIALS & MECHANICS		US NAVAL RESEARCH LAB	
RESEARCH CENTER	2	ATTN: DIR, MECH DIV	1
ATTN: TECH LIB - DRXMR-PL		CODE 26-27, (DOC LIB)	1
WATERTOWN, MA 01272		WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375	
COMMANDER		COMMANDER	
US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE		AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LABORATORY	
ATTN: CHIEF, IPO	1	ATTN: AFATL/DLJ	1
P.O. BOX 12211		AFATL/DLJG	1
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709		EGLIN AFB, FL 32542	
COMMANDER		METALS & CERAMICS INFO CTR	
US ARMY HARRY DIAMOND LAB		BATTELLE COLUMBUS LAB	1
ATTN: TECH LIB	1	505 KING AVENUE	
2900 POWDER MILL ROAD		COLUMBUS, OH 43201	
ADELPHIA, MD 20783			
COMMANDER			
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CTR			
ATTN: TECHNICAL LIBRARY	1		
CODE X212			
DAHLGREN, VA 22448			

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER,
US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, SMCAR-LCB-TL,
WATERVLIET, NY 12189, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.