AD-A162 462 PHOTOCHEMICAL REACTIONS OF (N(5)-PENTAMETHYLCYCLPENTADIENYL)-DICARBONYLIR..(U) MASSACHUSETTS INST OF TECH CAMBRIDGE DEPT OF CHEMISTRY C L RANDOLPH ET AL. 11 DEC 85 TR-8 F/G 7/5 1/1 NL

UNCLASSIFIED





(12)

AD-A162 462

n Data Entered)

TION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
ONR TR 8		

4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Photochemical Reactions of (η^5-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)-Dicarbonyliron-alkyl and -Silyl Complexes: Reversible Ethylene Insertion into an Iron-Silicon Bond and Implications for the Mechanism of Transition Metal-CAtalyzed Hydrosilation of Alkenes	Interim Technical Rep.
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
Claudia Randolph and Mark S. Wrighton	N00014-84-K-0553
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139	NR-051-579
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Arlington, VA 22217	December 11, 1985
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 42
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) unclassified
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for Public release; ~~reproduction is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government;~~ distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Prepared for publication in the Journal of the American Chemical Society.

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Matrix isolation, photochemistry, hydrosilation, photocatalysis

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

see attached

DTIC FILE COPY

DTIC ELECTE DEC 17 1985 S D B

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Abstract

Near-UV irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in a methylcyclohexane matrix at ~77 K results in dissociative loss of CO, as evidenced by the appearance of an absorption at 2132 cm^{-1} due to free CO in the IR spectrum. The 366 nm quantum yield for CO loss from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ at 298 K is shown to be at least 0.22±0.03 moles/einstein as measured by the photosubstitution yield for formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)PPh_3$ in methylcyclohexane containing ~0.05 M PPh_3. Photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in the presence of $HSiR'_3$ (R' = Me, Et, alkane solution or pure $HSiEt_3$) results in the loss of CO and the oxidative addition of $HSiR'_3$ to form trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)(SiR'_3)H$ which has been characterized by IR and ^{1}H-NMR. The 366 nm quantum yield for this reaction is 0.20±0.02 moles/einstein. Photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in C_2H_4 saturated alkane solution results in the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$, as evidenced by the growth of a single band in the IR spectrum and the ^{13}C-NMR when 99% ^{13}C-enriched C_2H_4 is used. The C_2H_4 then inserts reversibly into the Fe-Si bond. In the absence of added $2e^-$ donor ligands the C_2H_4 insertion product can undergo β-hydrogen transfer to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$ and $Me_3Si(C_2H_3)$. In the presence of CO $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ is formed. $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ has been isolated and characterized. Near-UV photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ in an alkane solution at 298 K results in both β-hydrogen and β-$SiMe_3$ transfer. This is evidenced by the appearance of both $Me_3Si(C_2H_3)$ and C_2H_4 in the ^{1}H-NMR. The ratio of β-hydrogen transfer to β-$SiMe_3$ transfer is ~2:1. Photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2R$ (R = Me, Et) in an alkane solution containing $HSiR'_3$ results in the formation of RH and trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiR'_3)_2H$. The 366 nm quantum yield for this process, 0.7±0.1, is consistent with CO loss as the

primary step. Preliminary evidence based on the growth of a visible absorption in the UV-VIS spectrum is given for the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2(SiMe_3)(Me)H$ at 173 K by photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ in the presence of $HSiMe_3$. The results reported here provide examples of all the reactions postulated to occur in the hydrosilation of alkenes by a mechanism which involves olefin insertion into a M-Si bond as a key step.

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

CONTRACT N00014-84-K-0553

Task No. NR 051-579

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 8

"Photochemical Reactions of (η^5-Pentamethylcyclpentadienyl)-Dicarbonyliron-Alkyl and -Silyl Complexes: Reversible Ethylene Insertion into an Iron-Silicon Bond and Implications for the Mechanism of Transition Metal-Catalyzed Hydrosilation of Alkenes"

by

Claudia L. Randolph and Mark S. Wrighton

Prepared for Publication

in the

Journal of the American Chemical Society

Department of Chemistry
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

December 11, 1985

[Prepared for Publication as an Article in the

Journal of the American Chemical Society]

PHOTOCHEMICAL REACTIONS OF (η^5-PENTAMETHYLCYCLOPENTADIENYL)-DICARBONYLIRON-ALKYL AND -SILYL COMPLEXES: REVERSIBLE ETHYLENE INSERTION INTO AN IRON-SILICON BOND AND IMPLICATIONS FOR THE MECHANISM OF TRANSITION METAL-CATALYZED HYDROSILATION OF ALKENES

Claudia L. Randolph and Mark S. Wrighton*

Department of Chemistry

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

*Address correspondence to this author

Abstract

Near-UV irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in a methylcyclohexane matrix at ~77 K results in dissociative loss of CO, as evidenced by the appearance of an absorption at 2132 cm^{-1} due to free CO in the IR spectrum. The 366 nm quantum yield for CO loss from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ at 298 K is shown to be at least 0.22±0.03 moles/einstein as measured by the photosubstitution yield for formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)PPh_3$ in methylcyclohexane containing ~0.05 M PPh_3. Photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in the presence of $HSiR'_3$ (R' = Me, Et, alkane solution or pure $HSiEt_3$) results in the loss of CO and the oxidative addition of $HSiR'_3$ to form trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)(SiR'_3)H$ which has been characterized by IR and ^{1}H-NMR. The 366 nm quantum yield for this reaction is 0.20±0.02 moles/einstein. Photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in C_2H_4 saturated alkane solution results in the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$, as evidenced by the growth of a single band in the IR spectrum and the ^{13}C-NMR when 99% ^{13}C-enriched C_2H_4 is used. The C_2H_4 then inserts reversibly into the Fe-Si bond. In the absence of added $2e^-$ donor ligands the C_2H_4 insertion product can undergo β-hydrogen transfer to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$ and $Me_3Si(C_2H_3)$. In the presence of CO $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ is formed. $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ has been isolated and characterized. Near-UV photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ in an alkane solution at 298 K results in both β-hydrogen and β-$SiMe_3$ transfer. This is evidenced by the appearance of both $Me_3Si(C_2H_3)$ and C_2H_4 in the ^{1}H-NMR. The ratio of β-hydrogen transfer to β-$SiMe_3$ transfer is ~2:1. Photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2R$ (R = Me, Et) in an alkane solution containing $HSiR'_3$ results in the formation of RH and trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiR'_3)_2H$. The 366 nm quantum yield for this process, 0.7±0.1, is consistent with CO loss as the

primary step. Preliminary evidence based on the growth of a visible absorption in the UV-VIS spectrum is given for the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2(SiMe_3)(Me)H$ at 173 K by photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ in the presence of $HSiMe_3$. The results reported here provide examples of all the reactions postulated to occur in the hydrosilation of alkenes by a mechanism which involves olefin insertion into a M-Si bond as a key step.

We wish to report three aspects of the photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2R$ complexes, R = Me, Et, $CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ and $SiMe_3$, which relate to a proposed mechanism for hydorsilation catalysis. These are (i) photochemically induced insertion of C_2H_4 into the Fe-Si bond of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$, (ii) transfer of either the $-SiMe_3$ group or a β-H group upon photolysis of $\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$, and (iii) reductive elimination of alkane, RH, upon photoinduced oxidative addition of $HSiR'_3$ (R' = Me, Et) to $\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2R$, R = Me, Et.

A commonly proposed mechanism[1] for transition metal-catalyzed hydrosilation of olefins, equation (1), involves the key steps of insertion of



(1)

the olefin into the M-H bond of an $R_3Si\text{-}M\text{-}H$ complex, equation (2), followed by the reductive elimination of the alkyl group and the silyl group to form an alkylsilane, equation (3). This mechanism has been favored, in part, because



(2)



(3)

olefin insertion into M-H bonds is well documented.[2] A few examples exist of olefin insertion into M-C bonds[3] and, although a few examples of the insertion

of fluoroalkenes and fluoroalkynes have been found,[4] there are no examples of insertion of unactivated olefins into a M-Si bond. Precedent for the second key step of the commonly proposed mechanism, reductive elimination of alkylsilane, has recently been reported for $Fe(CO)_4(alkyl)(SiR_3)$,[5] but it should be noted that the rate at 298 K is slow.

A second mechanism, Scheme I, has been postulated for the $Fe(CO)_5$ photocatalyzed hydrosilation of alkenes.[6] It has also been suggested as a mechanism in $M_3(CO)_{12}$ (M = Fe, Ru, Os)[7] and $R_3SiCo(CO)_4$[8] photocatalyzed hydrosilation. This mechanism involving olefin insertion into the M-Si bond as a key step was postulated to explain the formation of vinylsilanes as significant products under hydrosilation conditions, equation (4). In some



(4)

cases the yield of the vinylsilane product substantially exceeds the yield of the alkylsilane (based on Si) depending on the catalyst and reaction conditions.[6-8] No precedent has, however, existed in the literature for the insertion of alkenes into M-Si bonds. Although β-H elimination from M-alkyl complexes is well documented,[9] β-H elimination from $M-CH_2CH_2SiR_3$ complexes has not been studied.

In the course of our study of the photochemistry of $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2R$ complexes, we have found examples of insertion of C_2H_4 into a M-Si bond,



Scheme I. Proposed mechanism for hydrosilation catalysis via C_2H_4 insertion into a M-Si bond adapted from reference 6.

equations (5)and (6). The reverse reaction, β-Si transfer, competes with, β-H

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3 \xrightarrow[C_2H_4]{h\nu} (\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3 \quad (5)$$

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3 \xrightarrow[CO]{\Delta} (\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3 \quad (6)$$

transfer following light-induced extrusion of CO from the alkene insertion product, equations (7)-(9). We have also found that loss of CO from

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3 \xrightarrow[-CO]{h\nu} (\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)CH_2CH_2SiMe_3 \quad (7)$$

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)CH_2CH_2SiMe_3 \xrightarrow[+CO]{\Delta} (\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H + Me_3Si(C_2H_3) \quad (8)$$

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)CH_2CH_2SiMe_3 \xrightarrow[+CO]{\Delta} (\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3 + C_2H_4 \quad (9)$$

$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2(alkyl)$ species in the presence of $HSiR'_3$ yields irreversible loss of alkane, <u>not</u> the formation of $(alkyl)SiR'_3$, equation (10). The results

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2R \xrightarrow[HSiR'_3]{h\nu} [(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(R)(SiR'_3)H] + CO$$

$$\downarrow \Delta,\ HSiR'_3$$

$$\underline{trans}\text{-}(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiR')_3)_2H + RH \quad (10)$$

R = Me, Et R' = Me, Et

reported here suggest that a hydrosilation mechanism involving alkene insertion into a M-Si bond may be more important than previously thought, and at least, the results provide an example of each of the essential steps in the mechanism shown in Scheme I.

Experimental

Materials. Hexanes (HPLC grade, Baker) were freshly distilled under Ar from CaH_2. Methylcyclohexane (MCH, Photorex grade, Baker) was freshly distilled from Na under Ar. 1-Pentene (99.5%, Baker) and triethylsilane (Aldrich) were passed through neutral activated alumina prior to use. Trimethylsilane (Petrarch) and CO (CP grade, Matheson) were used as received. Triphenylphosphine (Aldrich) was recrystallized from absolute EtOH prior to use. ^{13}C-enriched (99%) CO and C_2H_4 were obtained from Cambridge Isotopes. $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2R$, R = Me, Et,[10] CH_2SiMe_2H, and $SiMe_3$,[11] were available from previous work. All manipulations of organometallic complexes were carried out under Ar using a Vacuum Atmospheres dry box or conventional Schlenk line techniques.

Instrumentation. IR spectra were recorded using either a Nicolet 7199 or a Nicolet 60SX Fourier transform spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker 250 MHz (proton) or Bruker 270 MHz (proton) Fourier transform spectrometer. UV-VIS spectra were recorded on either a Cary 17 or Hewlett-Packard Model 8451A Diode Array spectrometer.

Irradiations. Irradiations of samples in IR cells were performed using a Bausch and Lomb SP208 high-pressure Hg lamp equipped with a Pyrex filter and a 10 cm water filter to suppress IR and short wavelength UV emissions. A Hanovia 550 W medium-pressure Hg lamp was used in the irradiations of low-temperature samples in NMR tubes and in the synthesis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$. Room temperature samples in NMR tubes were irradiated using two General Electric blacklight bulbs (355±20 nm). Quantum yields for PPh_3 and alkene substitution and $HSiR'_3$ addition were measured at 366 nm in a merry-go-round[12] using a Hanovia 550 W medium-pressure Hg lamp

equipped with Corning glass filters to isolate the 366 nm Hg emission. For the 366 nm quantum yields 3.0 ml, freeze-pump-thaw degassed, samples in hermetically sealed 13 x 100 mm Pyrex ampules were used. Quantum yields for formation of $[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2]_2$ from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ were measured at 355±20 nm using a General Electric blacklight bulb (355±20 nm) with the 3.0 ml samples positioned about 1 inch from bulb. Ferrioxalate actinometry[13] was used to determine light intensity, typically ~10^{-7} einsteins/min for 366 nm emission of the Hanovia in the merry-go-round and ~10^{-6} einsteins/min for the blacklight.

Low-Temperature Spectra. Low-temperature IR and UV-VIS spectra were obtained by using a Precision Cell, Inc. Model p/N 2100 variable temperature cell with CaF_2 windows. Liquid N_2 or dry ice/acetone were used as coolants. Low-temperature NMR samples were prepared by irradiating the sample in an NMR tube immersed in a dry ice/actone bath contained in a quartz dewar. Samples were removed from the dry ice/acetone bath and immediately transferred to the cooled probe of the NMR spectrometer.

Syntheses. $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ was prepared by 5h of irradiation (with a Hanovia 550 W Hg lamp) of a ~0.002 M solution (50 ml) of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in C_2H_4-saturated methylcyclohexane contained in a Pyrex vessel at 196 K. The solution was then warmed to room temperature under a vigorous CO purge. The CO purge was continued for approximately 15 minutes after the solution reached room temperature. An IR spectrum then showed the presence of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$ (~20%), $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ (~50%), $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ (~20%) and $[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2]_2$. CCl_4 (5 ml) was added to the solution to react with $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$ to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Cl$. The solution was then concentrated to about 10 ml and chromatographed on an alumina column. Elution with hexanes gave a single yellow band which was

fractionated into 5 ml portions as it came off of the column. IR showed the first 4 fractions to be pure $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$. The remaining fractions were a mixture of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ and $(\eta^5C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$. The $[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2]_2$ and the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Cl$ remained at the top of the column. The solutions containing pure $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ were combined and reduced to dryness, leaving the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ as a yellow powder. The $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ was further purified by sublimation at 40°C ($\sim 10^{-3}$ atm). The $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ was characterized by mass spec ($M^+ = 349$) and elemental analysis (Schwarzkopf). Calcd: C--58.60; H--8.12. Found C--58.63; H--8.15. The ^1H- and ^{13}C-NMR spectra of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ are as expected.

$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ was also synthesized from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(C)_2CH_2SiMe_2H$. An C_2H_4-saturated solution of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2SiMe_2H$ was irradiated at 198 K for 1 h to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)H$.[11] This solution was then warmed to and left at ~225 K (dry ice/acetonitrile) for about 1 h to allow the rearrangement of the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)H$ to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$. The solution was then warmed to room temperature under a vigorous CO purge. The work-up was the same as that used for the synthesis from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$. The product was identified as $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ by its ^1H-NMR spectrum.

Attempts to synthesize $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ from the reaction of $Na^+[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5M_5)Fe(CO)_2]^-$ with $ClCH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ were not successful. Apparently the $Na^+[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2]^-$ is a strong enough nucleophile to cause the $ClCH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ to undergo an elimination, a reaction typical of β-halosilanes.[14]

Results and Discussion

Photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$. Near-UV irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ at 298 K in the presence of PPh_3 results in the clean, quantum-efficient, formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(PPh_3)SiMe_3$, equation (11). The

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3 + PPh_3 \xrightarrow{h\nu} (\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(PPh_3)SiMe_3 \qquad (11)$$

quantum yield for this reaction at 366 nm in alkane with 0.07 M PPh_3 is 0.21±0.03 moles/einstein and with 0.04 M PPh_3 is 0.22±0.03 moles/einstein. The lack of significant change in the quantum yield for PPh_3 substitution of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ with change in the PPh_3 concentration suggests that the mechanism for this reaction is dissociative loss of CO. The photosubstitution of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ by phosphines is well-known,[15] and dissociative loss of CO from various $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5R'_5)Fe(CO)_2R$ complexes is known to be efficient.[10,16]

In an attempt to observe the 16e⁻ $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)SiMe_3$, the parent dicarbonyl was irradiated in an alkane matrix at low temperature. The IR spectral changes in the CO region accompanying reaction of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ induced by near-UV photolysis in methylcyclohexane matrix at 77 K are shown in Figure 1. The disappearance of starting material is accompanied by the appearance of CO, as evidenced by the growth of an absorption at 2132 cm^{-1} due to uncomplexed CO. An absorbance assigned to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)SiMe_3$ grows in at 1902 cm^{-1}. As is the case for other $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5R_5)Fe(CO)_2R'$ complexes, the low-temperature matrix photoreaction of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ is very slow.[10,16,17] In fact, $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ is the only $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5R'_5)Fe(CO)_2R$ complex from which photoinduced dissociative loss of CO can be detected at 77K in an alkane matrix,[10,16] except in those

cases where R' can act as an intramolecular trap for the 16e$^-$ species, e.g. R' = η^1-$CH_2C_6H_5$,[16] CH_2SiMe_2H.[11] An hour of irradiation with a high-pressure Hg lamp equipped with a quartz filter results in the comsumption of only ~1% of the starting material; under these conditions, $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2SiMe_2H$, for example, can be substantially (>50%) converted to product in 1 min.[11]

Near-UV irradiation of 5 x 10^{-3} M $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ at 298K in alkane solution (no added ligands) results in the formation of $[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2]_2$ in about 75% yield. The disappearance quantum yield for 355 nm excitation is 0.05±0.01 moles/einstein. Irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ under similar conditions, but in a CO-saturated alkane solution, results in little net photochemistry. Irradiation in a ^{13}CO-saturated alkane solution results in the rapid formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(^{13}CO)SiMe_3$, as evidenced by the growth of two bands at 1965 and 1896 cm^{-1} in the IR spectrum.[18] The suppression of dimer formation by CO indicates that the mechanism of dimer formation involves a 16e$^-$ intermediate arising from CO loss, but low quantum yield for formation of Me_3Si radicals cannot be unambigously ruled out. Formation of M-M bonded products via photogenerated 16e$^-$ species has been implicated in photoreactions of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_3Me$[19] and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$.[20] This is in contrast to the photochemistry of other $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5R_5)Fe(CO)_2R$ complexes in which Fe-Fe bonded product has been shown, at least in part, to arise form M-C bond cleavage.[16] In any event, light-induced homolysis of the Fe-Si bond in $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ is very quantum inefficient in comparison to light-induced loss of CO.

Near-UV irradiation of $\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in a 0.03 M $HSiMe_3$ methylcyclohexane solution results in the oxidative addition of $HSiMe_3$ to

yield trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$, equation (12), as indicated by the

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3 + HSiMe_3 \xrightarrow[-CO]{h\nu} \text{trans-}(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H \quad (12)$$

disappearance of the absorption at 2120 cm^{-1} associated with the Si-H bond of $HSiMe_3$ and the growth of a single band in the IR spectrum at 1926 cm^{-1}. Quantitative analysis of the IR spectral changes shows that one Si-H bond is consumed for every $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ molecule reacted. The ^1H-NMR spectrum, Table II, is consistent with the formulation of the product as a trans-isomer. In particular, a hydride resonance is observed and there is only one resonance for the two $-SiMe_3$ groups. The integration of the ^1H-NMR resonances is consistent with the proposed structure. The same reaction has been reported for $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2SiCl_3$ in the presence of $HSiCl_3$ to yield fully characterized trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)(SiCl_3)_2H$.[21] Attempts to isolate the trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$ have not been successful. The complex is labile with respect to loss of $HSiMe_3$ in the absence of $HSiMe_3$. In the presence of 1 atm CO, the complex gradually reacts (~25% in 2 hrs at 298K) to form only $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$, consistent with reductive elimination of $HSiMe_3$ followed by CO uptake as the mechanism for reaction. There is no evidence for formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$ in the reaction, ruling out reductive elimination of Si_2Me_6.

Irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in methylcyclohexane solution which is 0.8 M in $HSiEt_3$ results in the growth of a single IR band at 1922 cm^{-1}. We assign the 1922 cm^{-1} feature to trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)(SiEt_3)H$, though some trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)SiEt_3)_2H$ may also be present, vide infra. When the solution stands in the dark, a second IR band at 1977 cm^{-1} grows. This band is

attributed to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiEt_3$ from reductive elimination and reaction with CO. The low energy band of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiEt_3$ (1925 cm^{-1}) overlaps the single band of trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiR'_3)_2H$. Irradiation of a toluene-d_8 solution of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ which is ~0.05 M in $HSiEt_3$ results in the growth of two Fe-H resonances at -13.35 and -13.31 ppm in the ^{1}H-NMR spectrum. These resonances are attributed to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)(SiEt_3)H$ and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiEt_3)_2H$. Free $HSiMe_3$ also appears in the NMR spectrum, confirming that silane exchange occurs. Slow conversion to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiEt_3$ is ultimately found. The quantum yield for disappearance of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ when photolyzed at 366 nm in an alkane solution which is 0.1 M in $HSiEt_3$ is 0.20±0.02 moles/einstein.

Of particular interest is the photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in the presence of C_2H_4. The IR spectral changes accompanying near-UV photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in an C_2H_4-saturated methylcyclohexane solution at 198K are shown in Figure 2. The IR spectral bands associated with the starting dicarbonyl decline and a single IR band at 1929 cm^{-1} appears. This band is attributed to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$. The band at 1929 cm^{-1} persists upon warming of the solution to 298K. The same spectrum is obtained when $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ is irradiated at 298K in an C_2H_4-saturated methylcyclohexane solution, Figure 3. Within 5 minutes at 298K, thermal reaction of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ occurs to the extent of ~50% disappearance. Four new features appear in the IR spectrum: 2001, 1943, 1985, and 1932 cm^{-1}. The two smaller bands at 2001 cm^{-1} and 1943 cm^{-1} are attributed to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$. The two larger bands at 1985 and 1932 cm^{-1} appear to be a new $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2R$ complex. The photochemistry was carried out on a synthetic scale, resulting in the isolation of $(\eta^5\text{-}Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$, species having IR absorptions at 1985 and 1932 cm^{-1}. The ^{1}H- and ^{13}C-NMR

spectra of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$, Table II and Figure 4, are consistent with the formulation of the C_2H_4 insertion product. Thus, equations (5) and (6) summarize the results of irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5M_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in the presence of C_2H_4.

The reaction of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ with C_2H_4 has been investigated by ^{1}H-NMR spectroscopy at 298K and 200K in order to more fully characterize the labile $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$. Near-UV irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in C_2H_4-saturated toluene-$\underline{d}_8$ solution at 200K results in the appearance of a new $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)$ resonance at 1.28 ppm. Three new resonances, each of which integrates as three protons relative to the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)$ resonance, also appear at 0.75, 0.68, and 0.20 ppm. These are assigned to the $-SiMe_3$ protons of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$. The -Me groups are apparently inequivalent at 200K. Unfortunately the ^{1}H resonances of the complexed C_2H_4 cannot be detected. Thus, ^{1}H-NMR spectroscopy does not provide additional characterization of the C_2H_4 photosubstitution product. However, irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in the toluene-$\underline{d}_8$ at 200K in the presence of 99% ^{13}C-enriched C_2H_4 monitored by ^{13}C-NMR shows a signal consistent with bound C_2H_4. The spectrum shows two inequivalent (but coupled) carbons at 36 and 40 ppm relative to Me_4Si with a J_{CC} of 43 Hz. The formulation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ rests on the 1929 cm^{-1} IR feature, the ^{13}C-NMR, and the analogy to ^{13}CO and PPh_3 substitution of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ (vide supra).

An ^{1}H-NMR spectrum of a 200 K sample of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ warmed to 298K or a sample prepared by near-UV photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in an C_2H_4-saturated toluene-$\underline{d}_8$ solution at 298K shows a sharp resonance at 1.39 ppm assigned to the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)$ protons. A broad resonance ($-SiMe_3$) which integrates as nine protons relative to the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)$ resonance also appears at 0.37 ppm.

As for the 200K experiment, no resonance for coordinated C_2H_4 could be detected. The broad -$SiMe_3$ resonance and the lack of a resonance for coordinated C_2H_4 indicate that the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ may be fluxional at 298K. Within about 5 min at 298K the ^{1}H-NMR spectrum show features due to $Me_3Si(C_2H_3)$, $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$ and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ formation at the expense of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$. When the 200K sample of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(^{13}C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ is warmed to 298K and monitored by ^{13}C-NMR the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2{}^{13}CH_2{}^{13}CH_2SiMe_3$ is clearly observed.

Interestingly, the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ complex can be produced from the photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2SiMe_2H$ in the presence of C_2H_4. Near-UV irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2SiMe_2H$ in C_2H_4 (or $^{13}C_2H_4$) -saturated toluene-$\underline{d}_8$ at 200K results only in the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)H$, as shown by ^{1}H-NMR and ^{13}C-NMR spectroscopy.[11] Warming the sample to 225K and then recooling the sample to 200K results in an ^{1}H-NMR spectrum which is the same as that obtained when $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ is irradiated in the presence of the C_2H_4 at 200K. $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)H$ has already been shown to rearrange at 225K and react with ligands to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(L)(SiMe_3)$, L = CO, PPh_3, PEt_3.[11]

The insertion of the C_2H_4 into the Fe-Si bond at room temperature has been investigated by the photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in a methylcyclohexane solution under an atmosphere of about 0.5 atm C_2H_4 and about 0.5 atm ^{13}CO, Figure 5. Upon photolysis both $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(^{13}CO)SiMe_3$ and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ are formed. The $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ then reacts with the ^{13}CO to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(^{13}CO)CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$, as indicated by the appearance of bands at 1970 and 1900 cm^{-1} in the IR spectrum.[22] A small additional amount of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(^{13}CO)SiMe_3$ is also formed, as indicated by

the slight growth of the bands at 1964 and 1895 cm^{-1}. The lack of any primary formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(^{13}CO)CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ is consistent with relatively slow C_2H_4 insertion into the Fe-Si bond.

Interestingly, we observe no features in the IR spectrum that can be assigned to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ upon irradition of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in a C_2H_4-saturated alkane solution. Such would be expected, but the species may be too labile with respect to formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ to allow detection.

Near-UV irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in 1-pentene at 298K results in the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)$(1-pentene)$SiMe_3$, as evidenced by the growth of a single band at 1920 cm^{-1} in the IR spectrum. Monitoring of the subsequent thermal chemistry by IR spectroscopy shows the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$. These results are interpreted as evidence that 1-pentene will also insert into the Fe-Si bond. The insertion complex has not, however, been detected. The 366 nm quantum yield for 1-pentene substitution of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in an alkane solution which is 0.1 M in 1-pentene is 0.21±0.02 moles/einstein, the same value as for photosubstitution by PPh_3.

Our findings concerning the photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in the presence of C_2H_4 are summarized in Scheme II. The primary photoprocess of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ is CO loss to form first $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)SiMe_3$. This species can be detected in low temperature matrices and can be scavenged by CO, PPh_3, C_2H_4, or $HSiR'_3$. In the case of C_2H_4, $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ is formed. This assertion is supported by the quantum yield for the reaction and by the reaction of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)H$ in the presence of C_2H_4 at 225K. $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)H$ can be considered a precursor for the thermal formation of 16e$^-$ $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)SiMe_3$ at low temperature.[11] An incoming

ligand-assisted insertion of the bound olefin into the Fe-Si bond cannot be completely ruled out. The thermal formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$ and $Me_3Si(C_2H_3)$ from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ does suggest, however, that insertion occurs by a non-associative mechanism. In the absence of sufficient CO to trap the 16e$^-$ insertion complex, $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$, β-hydride elimination occurs, vide infra.

Preliminary results showing the insertion of C_2H_4 into the Fe-Si of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ have also been obtained. The conversion of the C_2H_4 complex to the $-CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ complex is much slower than that of the C_5Me_5 complex. Irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in C_2H_4- saturated alkane results in the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$, as evidenced by the appearance of a single IR band at 1944 cm^{-1}. Thermal reaction of the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ in the presence of 1 atm CO has been monitored by IR. After 8 hours, approximately 25% of the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2CH_2)SiMe_3$ reacts, as evidenced by the decline in intensity of the 1944 cm^{-1} band. Carbonyl bands of a new $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2R$ complex appear at 2005 and 1951 cm^{-1}. By analogy to the chemistry of the C_5Me_5 complex, we attribute thses bands to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$. Photolysis of the solution containing the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ results in IR changes consistent with the formation of some $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2H$ from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ by β-hydrogen transfer in analogy to the photochemistry of the C_5Me_5 analogue described below.

Photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$. The photochemistry of the insertion complex $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ is of particular interest since β-H elimination form such complexes has been proposed as the source of vinylsilanes products in the photocatalyzed hydrosilation of olefins.[4,5,6] ^{1}H-NMR spectroscopy shows that near-UV irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ in either toluene-d_8 or benzene-d_6 results in the



Scheme II. The photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in the presence of C_2H_4.

formation of $Me_3Si(C_2H_3)$ and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$. In addition a sharp resonance at 5.24 ppm in the ^1H-NMR spectrum indicates the formation of a significant amount of C_2H_4. Analysis of the 0 to 2 ppm region of the ^1H-NMR spectrum (Cf. Table II) shows that in addition to the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$ and $Me_3Si(C_2H_3)$, near-UV irradition of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ also results in the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$. The free C_2H_4 apparently arises from a β-$SiMe_3$ transfer to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ which reacts with CO to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$. $[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5Fe(CO)_2]_2$ is formed as a secondary irradiation product from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$. The relative yields, by integration of the ^1H-NMR, of $Me_3Si(C_2H_3)$, $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ are 65%. 14% and 15%, respectively.

Irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ in benzene-$\underline{d}_6$ which is ~0.1 $\underline{M}$ in PPh_3 results in the suppression of both C_2H_4 formation and $Me_3Si(C_2H_3)$ formation. The major product, $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(PPh_3)CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$, has resonances in the ^1H-NMR spectrum at 1.46 ppm and 0.01 ppm which integrate as 15 to 9. Resonances attributable to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(PPh_3)SiMe_3$ were not detected. Thus, the photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ is summarized by equations (7)-(9) where the photoinduced CO-loss step leads to a $16e^-$ species that can be trapped by added $2e^-$ ligands.

The suppression of the formation of $Me_3Si(C_2H_3)$ from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ by carrying out the irradiation in the presence of PPh_3 is consistent with the photochemistry of the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5R_5)Fe(CO)_2(alkyl)$ complexes.[10] The room temperature photochemistry of these complexes has been shown to be dominated by CO loss. PPh_3 is able to trap the $16e^-$, CO-loss intermediate, $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5R_5)Fe(CO)(alkyl)$, thus inhibiting β-H transfer. The

inhibition of C_2H_4 formation from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ by PPh_3 shows that β-$SiMe_3$ transfer likely also arises from a CO-loss process.

The photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ is summarized in Scheme III. The product distribution resulting from β-H and β-$SiMe_3$ transfer is 2.2:1.0, a ratio not significantly different from the ratio of β-hydrogens to β-$SiMe_3$ groups, 2:1. Thus it appears that the CO-loss intermediate does not discriminate with respect to β-transfer between a β-H and a β-$SiMe_3$ group. The transfer of a β-$SiMe_3$ group is of particular importance to the proposed mechanism of hydrosilation involving insertion of C_2H_4 into the M-Si bond. Our results indicate that the insertion of C_2H_4 can be a reversible process.

Photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2$(alkyl) Complexes in the Presence of $HSiR'_3$. The ^{1}H-NMR spectrum of the product from near-UV irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ in a toluene-d_8 solution that is ~0.01 M in $HSiR'_3$ is shown in Figure 6. Interestingly, the spectrum shows that the Fe-containing product is trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$, the same as that obtained when $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ is irradiated in the presence of $HSiMe_3$. The spectrum also shows the growth of a singlet at 0.18 ppm which is assigned to CH_4. Equation (10) thus described the photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ in the presence of $HSiMe_3$.

Irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ in the presence of $HSiEt_3$ also yields a disilyl hydride compound, trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiEt_3)_2H$, as evidenced by the initial appearance of a band at 1920 cm^{-1} in the IR spectrum of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ photolyzed in pure $HSiEt_3$. Subsequently, in the dark, the 1920 cm^{-1} band declines and two new bands appear at 1977 and 1925 cm^{-1}. These are attributed to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiEt_3$. The 366 nm quantum yield for the disappearance of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ in alkane solution which is 0.1 M in $HSiEt_3$ is 0.58±0.03 moles/einstein. This quantum yield is in good agreement with the



Scheme III. The photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$.

quantum yields obtained for CO-loss from various $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5R_5)Fe(CO)_2R$ compounds.[10,16,17] We can therefore postulate the mechanism outlined in equations (13)-(16) for the photoreaction of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ with $HSiR'_3$.

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me \underset{\Delta}{\overset{h\nu}{\rightleftharpoons}} (\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)Me + CO \qquad (13)$$

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)Me + HSiR'_3 \rightleftharpoons (\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiR'_3)(Me)H \qquad (14)$$

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiR'_3)(Me)H \longrightarrow (\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)SiR'_3 + MeH \qquad (15)$$

$$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(Co)(SiR'_3) + HSiR'_3 \rightleftharpoons (\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiR'_3)_2H \qquad (16)$$

Some evidence for the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(Me)(SiMe_3)H$ postulated in equation (14) can be seen in the UV-VIS spectral changes that occcur when $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ is irradiated in methylcyclohexane containing 0.01 M $HSiMe_3$, Figure 7. Upon irradiation at 173K a significant visible absorption band appears at about 510 nm. A band at 318 nm also appears. An IR spectrum of the sample does not show the presence of $[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2]_2$, a possible decomposition product with a significant visible absorption. The only product band appearing in the IR spectrum is at 1925 cm^{-1}. Warming of the sample to 298K results in the loss of visible absorption. Irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ in the presence of $HSiMe_3$ at 298K to form trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$ does not result in the growth of a visible absorption. Only an absorption at 320 nm appears. An IR spectrum of the sample confirms the formation of trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$ by the growth of a band at 1926 cm^{-1}. A UV-VIS spectrum of a sample of trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$ prepared by irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ in methylcyclohexane containing 0.01 M in $HSiMe_3$ at 298K is the same as the spectrum of the trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$ formed from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$.

Cooling of the sample to 173K does not result in the growth of a visible absorption at 510 nm. Thus, the visible absorption that appears when $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ is irradiated at 173K in the presence of $HSiMe_3$ is not trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$. We assign the absorption at 510 nm to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(Me)(SiMe_3)H$. Unfortunately, an ^1H-NMR of the species could not be obtained owing to low solubility and low temperature necessary to observe the 510 nm absorption.

The photochemical reaction of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ to yield CH_4 following oxidative addition of Si-H to a monocarbonyl is analogous to the photoreactivity of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2SiMe_2H$.[11] This complex undergoes oxidative addition of the β-Si-H at 77K to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)H$. The $Fe(CH_2SiMe_2)$ is formulated as a metallasilacyclopropane having Fe-Si and Fe-C σ-bonds. The single CO absorption of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)$ is at ~1926 cm^{-1} -- very close to that for the feature found at 1925 cm^{-1} from irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ in $HSiMe_3$ at 173K. The similarity of the CO absorption suggests that the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(Me)(SiMe_3)H$ is very similar electronically to the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)(H)$. But surprisingly, the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)H$ is less labile than the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(Me)(SiMe_3)H$. Only above approximately 225K does $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)H$ undergo reductive elimination of C-H and reaction with CO to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$. Interestingly no reductive elimination of Si-H to reform $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2SiMe_2H$ can be detected.[11] Presumably, geometric factors dominate the lability of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(Me)(SiMe_3)H$ compared to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(CH_2SiMe_2)H$.

It is important to note that evidence for the reductive elimination of $MeSiR'_3$ from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(Me)(SiR'_3)H$ has not been found. The elimination of $R'SiR_3$ from $R'\text{-}M\text{-}SiR_3$ complexes is a crucial step in the postulated mechanism for

hydrosilation that involves alkene insertion into the M-H bond.[1] If reductive elimination of $MeSiR_3$ did occur from $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(Me)(SiR_3)H$, it would give rise to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)H$. We have found[20] that photoreaction of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$ gives CO loss to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)H$, but in the presence of $HSiEt_3$, $[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2]_2$ results and there is no evidence for the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe)(CO)(SiEt_3)_2H$. Note that trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiEt_3)_2H$ is the only product formed from 298K irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ in the presence of $HSiEt_3$. In the presence of $HSiMe_3$, irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Meg)Fe(CO)_2Me$ yields no $SiMe_4$; CH_4 accounts for all of the reacted Fe-Me species. Thus, for the case at hand, $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(Me)(SiR'_3)H$, it appears that reductive elimination of alkane (CH_4) is much more facile than elimination of Me-SiR'_3.

The reductive elimination of alkane following oxidative addition of $HSiR'_3$ to 16e$^-$ $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)R$ complexes appears general. Near-UV irradiation of either $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2C_2H_5$ or $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ in pure $HSiEt_3$ at 298K results in the growth of a single IR band at 1920 cm^{-1}. This IR spectral change is consistent with the formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiEt_3)_2H$. The intermolecular addition of $HSiR'_3$ to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)R$ is, however, in competition with intramolecular β-elimination. Irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ complexes in alkane with moderate $HSiMe_3$ concentration (~0.05 M) results in formation of both trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$ and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$. ^{1}H-MNR spectroscopy shows that irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2C_2H_5$ in toluene-d_8 which is about 0.01 M in $HSiMe_3$ does result in the formation of C_2H_6 and trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$, as evidenced by the appearance of an ^{1}H-NMR resonance at 0.82 ppm for C_2H_6 and 0.51 and -13.31 for trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$. There is, however, a significant yield of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$ and C_2H_4 arising from β-H elimination.

The reductive elimination of alkylsilane, $H\text{-}CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$, from the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiR'_3)(CH_2CH_2SiR'_3)H$ complexes is an example of a crucial step in the hydrosilation of alkenes via alkene insertion into the $M\text{-}SiR'_3$ bond. Our results show that this is a facile reaction. In addition, our results show that oxidative addition of silane to $16e^-$ M-R complexes is in competition with β-H elimination from these complexes. This competition is consistent with reports that in the hydrosilation of olefins, the yields of vinylsilane, equation (4), (compared to alkylsilane, equation (1)) are inversely proportional to the concentration of silane.[6]

Conclusions

The photochemistry of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2R$ complexes in the presence of silanes and olefins provides a precedent for all of the reactions postulated to occur in catalytic hydrosilation of olefins via alkene insertion into a M-Si bond, the mechanism shown in Scheme I. Photoinduced alkene insertion into the Fe-Si bond of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ has been demonstrated. In addition, the reaction of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ upon photolysis to form $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ is evidence that the insertion of C_2H_4 into an M-Si bond is a reversible reaction and should be drawn as such. Reductive elimination of R-H, R = Me, Et, $CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ upon oxidative addition of $HSiR'_3$, R' = Me, Et to photogenerated $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)R$ has also been demonstrated. Interestingly, the intermediate in this reaction, $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiR'_3)(R)H$, does not eliminate R-$SiR'_3$. Such an elimination, though very slow, has been demonstrated for $(CO)_4FeR(SiMe_3)$[5] and is a crucial step in a hydrosilation mechanism via olefin insertion into an M-H bond. While our results do not rule out this traditional mechanism for hydrosilation, they do demonstrate that a mechanism involving olefin insertion into an M-Si bond is viable and must be considered as an alternative.

Acknowledgements. We thank the National Science Foundation and the Office of Naval Research for partial support of this research.

References

1. a) Chalk, A. J.; Harrod, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 16. b) Speier, J. L. Adv. Organometal. Chem. 1979, 17, 407.

2. a) Green, M. K. H.; Mahtab, R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 262; b) Mahmoud, A.; Rest, A. J.; Alt, H.G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 187; c) Doherty, N. M.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 2670; d) Roe, S. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 771.

3. a) Evitt, E. R.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 7003; b) Flood, T. C.; Bitler, S. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 198, 106, 6076; d) Schmidt, G. F. ; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 1443.

4. a) Bichler, R. E. J.; Booth, M. R.; Clark, H. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1970, 24, 145; b) Clark, H. C.; Hauw, T. L. J. Organomet. Chem., 1972, 42, 429; c) Schrieke, R. R.; West, B. O. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 1969, 5, 141.

5. a) Blakeney, A. J.; Gladysz, J. A. Inorganica Chimica Acta, 1980, 53, L25; b) Brinkman, K. C.; Blankeney, A. J.; Krone-Schmidt, W.; Gladysz, J. A. Organometallics, 1984, 9, 1325.

6. a) Schroeder, M. A.; Wrighton, M. S. J. Organomet. Chem., 1977, 128, 345; b) Harrod, J. F.; Chalk, A. J.; in "Organic Synthesis via Metal Carbonyls", vol. 2, Wiley, New York, 1977, pp. 690-693. In particular see the product distribution from thermal catalysis of alkene hydrosilation by $Fe(CO)_5$: Friedlina, R. K.; Chukovskays, E. C.; Tsao, J.; Nesmeyanov, A. N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1960, 132, 374; Nesmeyanov, A. N.; Friedlina, R. K.; Chukovsksya, E. C.; Petrova, R. G.; Belyavksy Tetrahedron, 1961, 17, 61.

7. Austin, R. G.; Paonessa, R. S.; Giordano, P. J.; Wrighton, M. S. Adv. Chem. Ser., 1978, 168, 189.

8. Reichel, C. L.; Wrighton, M. S. Inorg. Chem., 1980, 19, 3858.

9. Collman, J. P.; Hegedus, L. S. "Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry", University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA 1980.

10. Kazlauskas, R. J.; Wrighton, M. S. Organometallics, 1982, 1, 602.

11. Randolph, C. L.; Wrighton, M. S. Organometallics, to be submitted for publication; b) Randolph, C. L.; Ph.D. Thesis M.I.T., 1985.

12. Moses, F. G.; Liu, R. S. H. ; Monroe, B. M. Mol. Photochem., 1969, 1, 245.

13. Hatchard, C. G.; Parker, C. A. Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A, 1956, 235.

14. Eaborn, C. "Organosilicon Compounds", Butterworth Scientific Publications: London, 1960.

15. a) Chan, T. M.; Connolly, J. W.; Hoff, C. D.; Millich, F. J. Organometal. Chem., 1978, 152, 287; b) Treichel, P. M.; Komar, D. A. J. Organometal. Chem., 1981, 206, 77; c) Cerveau, G.; Chauviere, G.; Colomer, E.; Corriu, R. J. P. J. Organometal. Chem., 1981, 210, 343.

16. Blaha, J. P., Wrighton, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 2694.

17. Randolph, C. L.; Blaha, J. P.; Wrighton, M. S. Unpublished results.

18. The correct assignment of these bands was determined from the positions of the bands for the naturally abundant $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(^{13}CO)SiMe_3$ in the IR spectrum of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$.

19. Tyler, D. R. Inorg. Chem., 1979, 172, 309.

20. Randolph, C. L.; Wrighton, M. S. Organometallics, to be submitted for publication; Randloph, C. L.; Ph.D. Thesis, M.I.T., 1985.

21. a) Jetz, W.; Grahm, W. A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 3375; b) Manojlovic-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Ibers, J. A. Inorg. Chem., 1970, 9, 447; c) Jetz, W.; Graham, W. A. G. Inorg. Chem., 1971, 10, 4.

22. The correct assignment of these bands was dete.ined from the positions of the bands for naturally abundant $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(^{13}CO)CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ in the IR spectrum of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$.

Table I. IR and UV-Visible Spectral Data for Relevant Complexes[a]

Complex	(Temp.K)	νCO, cm^{-1} (ε, M^{-1} cm^{-1} or Rel. Abs.)	λ,nm (ε, M^{-1} cm^{-1})
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$	298	1980(6500) 1927(7900)	250(sh)(~9400) 285(5000) 333(1900)
	77	1978(0.8) 1921(1.0)	
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)SiMe_3$	77	1902	
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(PPh_3)SiMe_3$	298	1895	
trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$	298	1926	~275(sh),320, ~400(sh)
	173	1925	320,~400(sh)
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$	298	1985(0.8)1932(1.0)	
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)(Me)H$	173	1925	318,510
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$	198	1929	
	298	1929	
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)$(1-pentene)$SiMe_3$	298	1920	
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$	298	1993(7700) 1939(7750)	
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$	298	2002(6210) 1945(6060)	340(1500)
$[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2]_2$	298	1930(12700) 1761(7400)	533(1520),420(2980) 362(10100)
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiEt_3$	298	1977 1925	

[a] All data for alkane solutions.

Table II. NMR Data for Relevant Complexes[a]

Compound	^{1}H, δ ppm[b]		^{13}C-NMR, δ ppm[b]	
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$	C_5Me_5	1.54(s,15)	C_5	94.6
	$SiMe_3$	0.53(s,9)	Me_5	9.8
			$SiMe_3$	6.7
			CO	217.8
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$	C_5Me_5	1.42(s,15)		
	Me	0.06(s,3)		
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$	C_5Me_5	1.61(s,15)		
	Fe-H	-11.5(s,1)		
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$	C_5Me_5	1.46(s,15)	C_5	94.6
	$-CH_2CH_2$	1.25(m,4.5)[c]	Me_5	9.1
	$-SiMe_3$	0.12(s,9)	$SiMe_3$	-1.7
			CH_2CH_2	26.1, 7.5
			CO	220.0
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(PPh_3)SiMe_3$[d]	C_5Me_5	1.40(s,15)		
	$SiMe_3$	0.38(s,9)		
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(PPh_3)CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$	C_5Me_5	1.46(s,15)		
	$SiMe_3$	0.01(s,9)		
$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$ (200K)	C_5Me_5	1.28(s,15)		
	$SiMe_3$	0.75(s,3)		
		0.68(s,3)		
		0.20(s,3)		
(298K)	C_5Me_5	1.39(s,15)		
	$SiMe_3$	0.37(s,9)[e]		
trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$	C_5Me_5	1.45(s,15)	C_5	94.0
	$(SiMe_3)_2$	0.51(s,18)	Me_5	10.1
	Fe-H	-13.31(s,1)	$(SiMe_3)_2$	9.6
			CO	215.6

[a] All data for toluene-d_8 solutions at 298K unless otherwise noted.

[b] Chemical shifts vs. $Si(CH_3)_4$; peak multiplicity and relative integration are given in parentheses for ^{1}H-NMR.

[c] An AA':BB' system, see Figure 4.

[d] Benzene-d_6 solution.

[e] This is a broadened singlet.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. IR difference spectral changes accompanying near-UV irradiation of ~0.005 M of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ at 77K in methylcyclohexane matrix for 1 h. The loss of bands due to the dicarbonyl (1978, 1921 cm^{-1}) is accompanied by the appearance of bands due to free CO (2132 cm^{-1}) and a monocarbonyl product (1902 cm^{-1}), $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)SiMe_3$.

Figure 2. IR difference spectral changes accompanying near-UV irradiation of 0.01 M $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ at 198K in an C_2H_4-saturated methylcyclohexane solution. Three irradiation times are shown. The loss of bands due to the dicarbonyl is accompanied by the appearance of a band at 1929 cm^{-1} assigned to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$.

Figure 3. IR difference spectral changes resulting from near-UV photolysis of 0.01 M $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ at 298K in C_2H_4-saturated methylcyclohexane. Trace 0 shows the spectrum taken immediately after 30s photolysis. The only absorption appearing in the spectrum is at 1929 cm^{-1} and is assigned to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$. Trace 1 shows the thermal chemistry which occurs within 5 minutes after irradiation. The small absorptions at 2002 and 1945 cm^{-1} are attributed to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2H$. The absorptions at 1985 and 1932 cm^{-1} are due to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$.

Figure 4. The ^1H- and ^{13}C-NMR spectra of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ in toluene-d_8 at 298K. Cf. Table II for assignments.

Figure 5. IR difference spectral changes resulting from near-UV photolysis of a methylcyclohexane solution of 0.01 M of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ under ~0.05 atm ^{13}CO and ~0.5 atm C_2H_4. Trace 0 shows the spectrum taken immediately after 30s photolysis. The absorbances appearing at 1964 and 1895 cm^{-1} are due to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(^{13}CO)SiMe_3$. The absorbance at 1930 cm^{-1} is attributed to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$. With time, as indicated by the arrows, the absorption at 1930 cm^{-1} declines and two new bands at 1970 and 1900 cm^{-1} appear due to thermal formation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(^{13}CO)CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$ at the expense of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(C_2H_4)SiMe_3$.

Figure 6. ^1H-NMR spectrum at 298K of trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$ and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ generated by the photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ in toluene-d_8 containing ~0.01 M $HSiMe_3$. The resonance at 0.18 ppm is due to CH_4. The resonances at 1.53 and 0.53 ppm are due to the C_5Me_5 and $-SiMe_3$ protons of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ formed via reaction of CO with trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$ which has the hydride resonance at -13.3 ppm. Cf. Table II for assignments.

Figure 7. UV-VIS spectral changes accompanying the photochemical reaction of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2R$; R = Me, $SiMe_3$ with $HSiMe_3$. It should be noted that the sharp band at 305 nm in all spectra is an artifact of the cell. Top: The UV-VIS spectral changes accompanying the near-UV irradiation of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ (0.002 M) in methylcyclohexane containing ~0.01M $HSiMe_3$ at 173K. Trace 0 is the spectrum of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$. Trace 2 shows the changes occurring upon irradiation. The absorption at 510 nm is attributed to $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)(Me)H$. An IR spectrum of the sample shows a single product band at 1925 cm^{-1}. The extent converversion is ~50%. Middle: Trace 0 shows the

UV-VIS spectrum of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ (0.004M) in methylcyclohexane at 173K. Trace 1 shows the UV-VIS spectrum of trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$ at 173K. The trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$ was generated by photolysis of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ (0.004 M) in the presence of 0.01 M $HSiMe_3$ at 298K to effect 90% conversion of the $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3$ to trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$. Bottom: The UV_VIS spectral changes accompanying the near-UV irradiation at 298K of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$ (0.001 M) in methylcyclohexane containing ~0.01 M $HSiMe_3$. Trace 0 shows the spectrum of $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me$. Trace 2 shows the spectrum of trans-$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$ generated upon photolysis. An IR spectrum of the sample shows a single product band at 1926 cm^{-1}. The extent conversion is 95%.


0.006
-0.002
-0.010
ABSORBANCE CHANGE
2132
1902
hν, 77K
MCH
OC–Fe–SiMe3
C
O
2100
2000
1900
1800
WAVENUMBERS (cm-1)


1929
$(OC)_2Fe$-$SiMe_3$ + C_2H_4 $\xrightarrow[196\,K]{h\nu}$
0.065
-0.033
0.131
ABSORBANCE CHANGE
2100
2000
1900
WAVENUMBERS (cm^{-1})


$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3 + C_2H_4$
hν, RT → 0
dark → 1
1985
1932
1
0
1
0
1
0
0.069
-0.027
-0.123
ABSORBANCE CHANGE
2100
2000
1900
WAVENUMBERS (cm⁻¹)


$(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2CH_2CH_2SiMe_3$
9.1
94.6
-1.7
1.46
220
26.1
7.5
220
100
90
30
20
10
0
δC, ppm relative to TMS
0.12
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
δH, ppm relative to TMS


$(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2SiMe_3 \xrightarrow{h\nu} {}^{13}CO, C_2H_4$
1930
1964
1970
1900
1895
0.008
0.003
-0.014
0.26
ABSORBANCE CHANGE
2000
1900
1800
WAVENUMBERS (cm^{-1})


+ HSiMe3
hν
1.53
0.53
CH4
-13.3
x8
4
2
1
0
δ, ppm relative to TMS


$(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)\ Fe(CO)_2Me + HSiMe_3 \xrightarrow{h\nu\ 173K}$
0 = $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2\ SiMe_3$ (173K)
1 = trans - $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2\ H$ (173K)
$(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)_2Me + HSiMe_3 \xrightarrow{h\nu\ 298K}$
trans - $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Fe(CO)(SiMe_3)_2H$
ABSORBANCE
WAVELENGTH (nm)
1.2
1.0
0.6
0.2
300
400
500

DL/413/83/01
GEN/413-2

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No. Copies
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 413 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2
Dr. Bernard Douda Naval Weapons Support Center Code 5042 Crane, Indiana 47522	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Washington, D.C. 20360	1
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
DTNSRDC Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Dr. William Tolles Superintendent Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1
Dr. David Young Code 334 NORDA NSTL, Mississippi 39529	1
Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. Ron Atkins Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Code RD-1 Washington, D.C. 20380	1
U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	1
Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1
Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1

END

FILMED

1-86

DTIC