



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20380

10

3900
RDD21-09-01
5 DEC 1985

AD-A163 353

From: Commandant of the Marine Corps

Subj: REVISED MARINE CORPS REQUIRED OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY (ROC)
NO. INS 1.01C, SHOULDER-LAUNCHED MULTIPURPOSE ASSAULT
WEAPON (SMAW)

Ref: (a) MCO 3900.4C
(b) CMC ltr RCC-25-yks of 1 Sep 81

Encl: (1) ROC No. INS 1.01C SMAW

1. In accordance with the procedures set forth in reference (a), the subject revised ROC (enclosure (1)) is hereby established and promulgated. This ROC replaces and supersedes ROC No. INS 1.01B (SMAW) which was promulgated by reference (b).

2. There is a continuing requirement to upgrade the quality of all operational requirements documents in order to make them more useful. In consonance with this effort, all comments or recommendations regarding the content of this requirement document should be made within the guidelines set forth in reference (a) and addressed to the Commanding General, Marine Corps Development and Education Command, Quantico, Virginia 22134-5001. Requests for changes to the distribution list should be made to the Commandant of the Marine Corps (RDA).

Ray M. H.
RAY M. H.
Major General
Deputy Commandant
of the Marine Corps

RECEIVED
JAN 27 1986

DTIC FILE COPY

00 1 3 010

When approved
and sale; its
is limited.

DISTRIBUTION LIST **REQUIRED OPERATIONAL CAPABILITIES**

(CURRENT AS OF 850812)

<u>Marine Corps</u>	<u>Copies</u>
CG, FMFLANT, (Attn: G-3) Norfolk, VA 23515-5001	(5)
CG, FMFPAC, (Attn: G-3) Camp Smith, HI 96861-5001	(5)
CG, MCDEC, Quantico, VA 22134-5080 (Attn: DevCtr D037)[2-(C) 10-(U)]	(1)
CG, I MAF, Camp Pendleton, CA 92055-5401	(1)
CG, III MAF, FPO San Francisco, CA 96606-8401	* (5)
CG, 1st MarDiv (Attn: G-3), Camp Pendleton, CA 92055-5501	(5)
CG, 2d MarDiv, Camp Lejeune, NC 28542-5501	(5)
CG, 3d MarDiv, FPO San Francisco, CA 96602-8601	* (5)
CG, 4th MarDiv, 4400 Dauphine St, New Orleans, LA 70146	(1)
CG, 1st MAW, FPO San Francisco, CA 96603-8701	* (1)
CG, 2d MAW, MCAS, Cherry Point, NC 28533-6001	(1)
CG, 3d MAW (Attn: G-3), MCAS, El Toro, CA 92079-6001	(5)
CG, 4th MAW, 4400 Dauphine St, New Orleans, LA 70146	(1)
CG, 1st MarBDE, (G-3) PMF, MCAS, Kaneohe, HI, 96863-8901	* (3)
CG, LFTCLANT, U.S. Naval Phib Base, Norfolk, VA 23521	(2)
CG, LFTCPAC, U.S. Naval Phib Base, San Diego, CA 92155	(2)
CG, 1st PSSG, (Attn: CSS CPS) Camp Pendleton, CA 92055-5701	(1)
CG, 2d PSSG, FMFLANT, MCB Camp Lejeune, NC 28542-5701	(3)
CG, 3d PSSG, FPO San Francisco, CA 96604-8801	* (1)
CG, 4th MAB, FPO New York, NY 09502-8504	* (1)
CG, MCAGCC, Twentynine Palms, CA 92278-5001	(1)
CG, MCLB, Albany, GA 31704-5001	(1)
CO, MAWTS-1, MCAS, Yuma, AZ 85369-6073	(1)
CO, MAD, NAS, Patuxent River, MD 20670	(1)
CO, MCC&E School, MCAGCC, Twentynine Palms, CA 92278-5020	(1)
CO, AIRTEVRON Five, China Lake, CA 93555	(1)
MARCOR AIDE, ASN (RE&S), Rm 4E736, Pentagon, Wash, DC 20350	(1)
MCLNO, ADEA (Mode-MC), Ft Lewis, WA 98433-5000	(1)
MCLNO, USA Avn Bd, Ft Bragg, NC 28307	(1)
MCLNO, Directorate of Combat Dev, Ft Knox, KY 40121	(1)
MCLNO, RDT&E, DCD, USAFAS (ATSP-CD-A), Ft Sill, OK 73503	(1)
MCLNO, USAAVNC, ATZQ-D-MCLNO, Ft Rucker, AL 36362	(1)
MCLNO, USA ElecProvGnd (STEPP-USMC), Ft Huachuca, AZ 85613	(1)
MCLNO, USA CECOM, Ft Monmouth, NJ 07703	(2)
MCLNO, USA Missile Cmd, USAMICOM (DRDMI-USMC), Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898	(1)
MCLNO, USA Tank-Automotive Cmd, Warren, MI 48090	(1)
MCLNO, USA Test&Eval Cmd, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5056	(1)
MCLNO, USA Armament Material Readiness Cmd (MCLNO-LMC), Rock Island, IL 61299	(1)
MCLNO, USA CbtDev Experimentation Cmd, Ft. Ord, CA 93941	(1)
MCLNO, USA Natick R&D Cmd, Natick, MA 01760	(1)
MCLNO, NTEC, (N-001), Orlando, FL 32813	(1)
MCLNO, NWL/DL (C5), Dahlgren, VA 22448	(2)
MCLNO, USA TRADOC (ATFE-MC), Ft. Monroe, VA 23651	(2)
MCLNO, NWC (Code 03A3), China Lake, CA 93555	(1)

Marine Corps (cont.)

MCLNO, NCEL, Port Hueneme, CA 93403 (2)
MCLNO, NOSC, (Code 033) San Diego, CA 92152 (1)
MCLNO, HQ, USA Mat Dev & Readiness Cmd, 5001 Eisenhower Ave, (DRCGS-F), Alexandria, VA 22333 (1)
MCLNO, Naval Air DevCtr (Code 09L2), Warminster, PA 18974 (1)
MCLNO, Directorate of Combat Developments, USAADASCH Ft Bliss, TX 79916 (1)
MCRep, (Code 03A3) Naval Post Grad Scol, Monterey, CA 93940 (1)
MCRep, USA Armor School, Ft Knox, KY 40121 (1)
MCRep, Engineer School, Ft Belvoir, VA 22060 (1)
MCRep, Nuclear Wpns Trng Ctr Pac, NAS North Island, San Diego, CA 92135 (1)
Dir, MCOAG, 2000 N. Beauregard St, Alexandria, VA 22311 (1)
Dir, MCOTEA, Quantico, VA 22134-5000 (2)

Army

DC/S for RD&A (DAMA-WSZ-B) DA, Wash, DC 20310 (1)
DC/S for RD&A (DAMA-CS), (Attn: MCLNO) DA, Wash, DC 20310 (1)
Chief of Eng, DA, Rm 1E668, The Pentagon, Wash, DC 20310 (2)
Cmndt, USA C&SC (Attn: Doc Ctr, Library Div), Ft Leavenworth, KS 66027 (1)
Cdr, USACAC, (Attn: ATZL-CAM-I), Ft Leavenworth, KS 66027 (2)
Cdr, USA MICOM, DRSMI-ROC, Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809 (1)
Cdr, (Attn: ATZI-DCD) Ft Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216 (1)
Cdr, USA Natick Labs, R&D Cmd, Natick, MA 01760 (DRDNA-EML) (1)
CAC LNO, USA CAC Ln Off, (Attn: ATZL-CAA-L), Ft Richardson, AK 99505 (1)

Navy

CNR, Code 100M, 800 N. Quincy St., Arlington, VA 22217 (1)
CNO (OP-098), RM 5D760, The Pentagon, Wash, DC 20350 (1)
Dir, Office of Program Appraisal, Rm 5D760, The Pentagon, Wash, DC 20350 (1)
Cdr, Space & Naval Warfare Systems Command (PDE 154) Wash, DC 20363-5100 (1)
Cdr, Nav Sup Sys Cmd, R&T (SUP 033), Wash, DC 20360 (1)
Cdr, Nav Sea Sys Com (Code PMS-310) (1)
Cdr, Naval Surface Force, U.S. PacFlt, San Diego, CA 92155 (1)
Cdr, NavSurFor, (N66) U.S. LantFlt, Norfolk, VA 23511 (1)
CO, U.S. Navy Resch Lab (Code 2627), Wash, DC 20375 (1)
Cdr, D. W. Taylor Nav Ship R&D Ctr (0111) Bethesda, MD 20084 (1)
Cdr, Naval Surface Wpns Ctr (Code 730), White Oak, MD 20910 (1)
Cdr, Naval Air Test Ctr (CT 252), Patuxent River, MD 20670 (1)
Cdr, NOSC, San Diego, CA 92150 (1)
CO, Naval Underwater Sys Ctr (TechLib), Newport, RI 02841 (1)
CO, NAVEODTECHCEN, Indian Head, MD 20640 (1)

Navy (cont.)

CO, Naval Coastal Sys Ctr, Panama City, FL 32401 (1)
CO, USN Wpns Eval Fac (Code 60), Kirtland AFB,
Albuquerque, NM 97117 (1)
CO, Navy Personnel R&D Ctr, San Diego, CA 92152 (1)
CO, Naval Medical R&D Cmd, NNMCC, Bethesda, MD 20014 (2)
CO, Nav Sub Med Rsch Lab, NSB, New London, Groton, CT 06340 (1)
MGR, NARDIC, 5001 Eisenhower Ave, (Rm 8S58) Alexandria,
VA 22333 (1)
MGR, NARDIC, 1030 E. Green St., Pasadena, CA 91106 (1)
MGR, NARDIC, Air Force Wright Aeronautical Lab/TST, Area B,
Bldg 22, Rm S122, Wright Patterson AFB, OH 45433 (1)
ONAS, Office of Naval Acquisition Support, Wash, DC 20360-5000 (1)

Air Force

C/S, USAF (AF/RDQM), Rm 5D179, The Pentagon, Wash, DC 20330 (2)
TAC/DRP, Langley AFB, VA 23365 (1)
Dir, Air Univ Library, Maxwell AFB, AL 36112 (AUL3T-66-598) (1)
MCLNO, HQ ESD/OCW, HANSCOM AFB, MA 01730 (1)

Department of Defense

USDRE, Room 3E1044, The Pentagon, Wash, DC 20350
[Attn: DUSD (TWP)] (3)
USDRE, Room 2C330, The Pentagon, Wash, DC 20350
[(Attn: AMRAD Cte (MC/Nav Mbr)] (1)
Administrator, DTIC, Cameron Station, Alexandria, VA 22314 (10)
Dir, JTC³A-ROR, Ft Monmouth, NJ 07703-5513 (2)
Dir, NSA [R2 (4), P2 (2)] Ft George G. Meade, MD 20775 (6)

CMC Codes:

A
CC
INT
L
M
P
RES
RP
T



Handwritten signature: *Antoine*

Availability Codes	
Ave	Code
A-1	

REQUIRED OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY (ROC)

NO. INS 1.01C FOR A

SHOULDER-LAUNCHED MULTIPURPOSE ASSAULT WEAPON (SMAW)

1. STATEMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT. ^{*This document describes*} The Marine Corps ~~has a~~ requirement for a high payload-to-weight ratio assault weapon with a family of rounds that will enable the Marine infantryman to destroy a variety of ground targets found on the modern battlefield. These targets include field fortifications (bunkers), urban structures, automatic weapon positions, and armor. The SMAW, with the dual mode assault round, has achieved required initial operational capability (IOC) of 1st qtr FY85. The required IOC for the high explosive antiarmor (HEAA) round is 1st qtr FY88 (FY87 desired). Other rounds will be included in the family as their essential characteristics are defined. Future revisions to this ROC will identify these requirements.

2. THREAT AND OPERATIONAL DEFICIENCY

a. Threat. Potential enemy threats confronting the Marine Corps in the near-to-long-range period are described by the Marine Corps Long-Range Plan (MLRP) and the Marine Corps Midrange Objectives Plan (MMROP).

b. Operational Deficiency. In the modern combat environment and the one envisioned for the future, the emphasis on mobility, speed, maneuverability, and sophisticated weaponry will place a commensurate emphasis on protective structures for men and equipment. These field and urban area fortification targets are the type built within the tactical limitations of time for specific terrain defense, rather than extensive fixed installation-type defenses requiring sophisticated engineering/construction techniques. They pose a threat in all types of tactical situations, from simple ambush sites encountered in counterinsurgency operations to more deliberate beach or avenue-of-approach defenses encountered in a full-scale conventional warfare attack. Urban terrain, moreover, affords a multitude of materials and techniques for establishing field fortification-type defenses, and the threat of these targets to Marine Corps forces is expected to increase dramatically with the increasing urbanization of the littoral areas of the world. Current intelligence indicates that field/urban-type defenses and fortifications are likely to consist of earth/sand/timber composite structures, earth/sand/concrete composite structures, and brick/masonry/sandbag composite structures. Despite the overall improvements achieved in deliverable firepower over the last two decades, the Marine infantryman still does not possess a weapon capable of defeating field and urban area fortifications as well as armored vehicles. The rockets and recoilless weapons in the current inventory are marginally effective in antfortification and antipersonnel roles. Present manportable

10

HEAA ammunition warheads, while capable of penetrating hard positions, are inadequate in terms of behind-the-target effects. Basically, the infantry commander does not have an adequate mix of assault ammunition which is optimized for the array of targets facing him on the battlefield.

3. OPERATIONAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL CONCEPTS

a. **Operational Concept.** Marine amphibious forces of the future can expect to be employed at all levels of warfare in geographical areas exhibiting extremes of physical environment and climatic conditions. With the increasing importance of the city in both developed and developing nations, a growing segment of military planners have come to agree that future weapons must be assessed to ensure the achievement of tactical goals in the urban environment. The combat qualities of the SMAW and its family of rounds will provide the infantry with a substantial increase of assault combat power to defeat enemy fortifications, armored vehicles, and most tanks used by conventional and mechanized forces.

b. Organizational Concept

(1) The SMAW and its family of rounds will be employed in the assault section of the weapons platoon of the rifle company to engage and defeat ground targets to include field fortifications (bunkers), automatic weapon positions, urban structures, armored vehicles, and tanks (except for frontal glaciis hits on newer Soviet tanks). The initial basis of issue for the weapon in the reuseable launcher configuration is six per rifle company. The term "defeat" as used in this paragraph is defined as:

(a) A firepower kill that constitutes neutralization of the defending weapon and crew within the target.

(b) A structure kill that denies the enemy a useable fortified position from which he can interfere with friendly force missions.

(c) A vehicle kill that denies mobility.

(2) Operation of the SMAW shall not involve the application of unusual infantry skills, training, or logistic support requirements.

4. ESSENTIAL CHARACTERISTICS. The performance characteristics essential for the SMAW are as follows:

a. The SMAW shall be an "all-up" system ready for use without field assembly.

b. The weapon shall be readily transportable and operable by one man.

c. The SMAW, with dual mode assault round in a ready-to-fire configuration shall not weigh more than 30 lbs (13.5kg).

d. The SMAW with HEAA round in a ready-to-fire configuration shall not weigh more than 34 lbs (15.3 kg; 30 lbs desired).

e. The physical dimensions shall be such so as not to interfere with its portability in tactical vehicles and helicopters in the shoulder sling carry position.

f. The observable firing signature of the SMAW shall be minimal; i.e., no greater than that of a typical shoulder launched rocket system.

g. The backblast associated with firing shall be minimal. It is desired that the weapon permit employment, with precaution, from an enclosed position of at least 4 meters x 4 meters with ventilation equivalent to an open door (no less than 2 square meters).

h. The SMAW shall be capable of mounting a night sight in order to engage targets under reduced visibility (fog, smoke, rain, low light), and the operator shall not be required to make reference to aiming tables or charts for accurate firing. It is desired that the SMAW be capable of employment under typical night visibility conditions without serious degradation of accuracy.

i. The SMAW shall be capable of accurate, predictable hits at slant ranges of 250 meters for launch angles from 15° above the horizon to 15° below the horizon. At slant ranges of 100 meters or less, the weapon shall be capable of accurate, predictable hits for launch angles from 60° above the horizon to 60° below the horizon.

j. Waterproofing and dustproofing of the weapon shall be optimized in order to:

(1) Preclude sand and dust contamination of interior surfaces and operating mechanisms.

(2) Ensure an operable and safe system after immersion in salt or fresh water.

k. Firing noise shall meet the requirements of MIL-STD-1474B.

l. The SMAW shall have a total system functional reliability (all components function as intended) of 0.95.

m. The SMAW with the dual mode warhead (MK 118 Mod 0) shall have a probability of single shot kill (PSSK) of 0.7 at ranges

from 25 meters to 200 meters and shall have not less than PSSK of 0.5 at 250 meters against assault targets described below:

(1) Field fortifications (bunkers) characterized by at least one meter thick sidewall sand/earth/concrete composite material construction.

(2) Field fortifications (bunkers) characterized by at least 1 meter thick sidewall sand/earth/concrete composite material construction with the concrete portion of the composite not more than eight inches thick.

(3) Urban fortified targets characterized by sidewall construction of at least three rows of brick and mortar (.33 meters) and one sandbag layer.

n. The SMAW with the dual mode assault rocket warhead (MK 118 Mod 0) shall have a minimum single shot hit probability of 0.60 for a 1-meter-high by 2-meter-wide target at a maximum recommended employment range of 250 meters when fired from a fixed position at the targets described in the preceding paragraph.

o. The SMAW with the HEAA round shall have a minimum single shot hit probability of 0.75 for a 2.3 meter high by 2.3 meter wide target at a maximum recommended employment range of 250 meters when fired from a fixed position, and a 0.30 at a range of 500 meters.

p. The HEAA round penetration of rolled homogeneous armor (RHA) per MIL-STD-19560 shall not be less than 600mm (800mm desired) at zero degree obliquity.

q. It is desired that the sighting system permit firing when the gunner is in a defilade position.

r. A spotting rifle is initially required to enhance gunner accuracy and training. Other range estimating systems should be considered for future models as a product improvement.

s. The weapon and/or its ammunition must be capable of being stored for periods of not less than five years (ten years desired) without significant loss of reliability and must be reactively impervious to environmental temperatures ranging from -40°F (-40°C) to +140°F (+60°C).

t. Each type of round developed for the SMAW must be compatible with the existing launcher, optical sight, and firing mechanism.

u. Nuclear hardening is not required for this weapon system.

5. INTER/INTRAOPERABILITY AND STANDARDIZATION REQUIREMENTS The introduction of this weapon will affect Mission Area-211.1

(Infantry Systems/Light Weapons). It is a unique one-of-a-kind weapon, fielded only in the U.S. and is compatible with all other Marine Corps weapons and systems.

6. RELATED EFFORTS

a. The U.S. Army user community, represented by the Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) and the U.S. Army Infantry School (USAIS), has developed a requirement in the form of an LOA between TRADOC and the U.S. Army Material Command (AMC) for a Military Operations in Urban Terrain (MOUT) Assault Weapon.

b. The Marine Corps monitored several U.S. Army development programs that had been related to the SMAW development program inasmuch as certain component technology was applicable. The Lightweight Recoilless Gun (LWRG) and the Minimum Signature Envelope Recoilless (MISER) programs are two recoilless propulsion exploratory development programs which were conducted at the U.S. Army Armament Munitions and Chemical Command (AMCCOM). The LWRG is a conventional hot gas recoilless system, and the MISER is a trapped-piston launch system that has a very low blast and noise signature. Other systems, including the Special Hard-Target Assault Weapon, Lightweight (SHAWL) and the Liquid Discharge Eject (LDE) Weapon System, were developed by the U.S. Army Missile Command (MICOM). The SHAWL is a high explosive follow-through warhead concept which employs the Viper Launcher, rocket motor, and some warhead hardware. The LDE rocket, like the MISER, has a very low blast and noise signature. All of these Army systems are exploratory development efforts requiring additional development and/or have limited effectiveness against the target spectrum defined in this requirement document. The U.S. Army has recently decided to buy the 84mm AT4 light antiarmor weapon (LAW). It is a disposable round of ammunition weighing 14.6 lbs, allowing proliferation on the battlefield. The SMAW is a crew served weapon weighing 30-34 lbs with a reusable launcher. There are 18 SMAW launchers per infantry battalion and the HEAA round will provide an antiarmor capability for the fielded system.

7. TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY AND ENERGY/ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

a. **Technical Feasibility.** A Naval Surface Weapons Center, Dahlgren Technical Report of August 1974 indicated that nine different types of warheads and six launcher systems had been evaluated for the Marine Corps. As a result of those evaluations, the SMAW concept was shown to be feasible. Subsequently, advanced development efforts for the warhead and fuze were completed during 1980. By mating the proven MK 118, Mod 0, HE Dual-Mode SMAW warhead and the dual-mode fuze with an existing Israeli B300 launcher and propulsion device, the technical risk was minimized. Subsequently, the SMAW successfully passed the MSARC milestones and was released for production. Development of an HEAA round for the system is also considered a low technical risk program.

b. Energy/Environmental Impacts. The small amount of explosive and rocket propellant used in the 83 millimeter SMAW warhead and rocket will have no significant impact upon the environment, and no impact on the consumption of energy.

8. LIFE CYCLE COST FORECAST. See annex A.

9. MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS. The SMAW will be fielded in the assault section, weapons platoon, rifle company of the infantry battalion which is currently structured with seven enlisted personnel. As part of the Ground Force Structure Enhancement Program the assault section will be expanded to a 13-man section in fiscal years 1990 and 1991 with the addition of six 0351 ammunition bearers. This will require an additional 486 Marines for the regular force.

10. TRAINING REQUIREMENTS. Operation of the SMAW will not involve the application of unusual infantry skills, nor require exceptional training support requirements. The use of the 9mm spotting rifle which is an integral part of each launcher provides an inexpensive means for the SMAW gunner to acquire the familiarization and resultant skill with which to bring the 83mm main round on target. Initial training of 0351 SMAW gunners will be done at the Infantry Training Schools.

11. AMPHIBIOUS/STRATEGIC LIFT IMPACT. The SMAW must be capable of transport by all means currently available to the Marine Corps to include existing amphibious shipping, all helicopters, and cargo aircraft including the MV-22A Osprey.

Major System: SIW

Date: 0. 16. 85

LIFE CYCLE COST FORECAST
FUNDING PROFILE
(In Thousands of FY86 Constant Budget Dollars)
20 YEAR LIFE CYCLE

	PRELIM. YEARS	CURRENT YEAR	PROJECT YEAR	FY87	FY88	FY89	FY90	FY91	TO COMPLETION	TOTAL PROGRAM
Major System										
ROCKET	18,762	4,941	16,704	4,300	4,200	5,900	6,500	3,500	10,000	74,807
INF	32,447	72,410	71,659	51,645	77,800	77,382	80,479	83,822	618,764	1,166,408
QYSS FUNDED										
o HEAVY/CAL Warhead										
9mm Spot Rd.	0	0	0	0	6,932	6,899	7,141	6,864	0	27,835
Practice Rd.	0	0	0	0	32,760	32,760	32,760	32,760	524,160	655,200
o Launcher	825	600	435	0	4,458	4,458	4,458	4,458	71,328	89,160
o Dual Purpose Warhead	7,714	52,272	48,984	26,195	23,519	22,033	22,021	21,483	0	1,860
9mm Spot Rd	123,840	71,280	67,880	50,799	65,184	65,184	65,184	65,184	847,392	224,221
Practice Rd	11,893	14,724	15,360	14,254	25,750	24,860	25,091	25,973	337,649	1,421,727
Support										
INF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SHUTTLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50,080	50,080
QYSS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	187,910	187,910
NAVY INF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL PROGRAM	51,209	77,151	88,163	55,945	82,000	81,282	86,979	87,422	869,754	1,482,205

This document should not be associated with any RM or FYDP budget document. The "To Completion" column represents the difference between the funding stream (established or purposed) and the Total Life Cycle cost column which is the life cycle cost of the program by appropriation.

All costs associated with the Launcher were obtained from the cost documents provided to the ISAC III decision.

Best Available Copy

ANNEX A

Major System: SMW

Date: 07-16-85

LIFE CYCLE COST ESTIMATE
(In Thousands of FY86 Constant Budget Dollars)

20 YEAR LIFE CYCLE

PHASE/CATEGORY	SUBCATEGORY	CATEGORY	PHASE
I. RDT&E PHASE			74,807
II. INVESTMENT PHASE			1,169,603
1. SYSTEM PRODUCTION/PROCUREMENT		1,169,603	
A. Major End Item (Contractor)	1,164,605		
B. Initial Provisioning/Spares, Repair Parts	1,803		
C. Government Furnished/Added Equipment	0		
D. Other Direct System Costs	3,195		
2. SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROCUREMENT		0	
A. Ammunition	0		
B. Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles	0		
C. Guided Missiles	0		
D. Comm-Elec Equipment	0		
E. Support Vehicles	0		
F. Engineer and Other Equipment	0		
3. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		0	
III. OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT PHASE			237,795
1. OPERATIONS		224,425	
A. Operator Personnel/Training	186,105		
B. Material Consumption	38,320		
C. Energy Consumption	0		
2. MAINTENANCE		12,091	
A. Organizational Maintenance	10,854		
1) Personnel/Training	1,498		
2) Maintenance Material	0		
3) Repair Material	9,356		
4) Other	0		
B. Intermediate Maintenance	1,237		
1) Personnel/Training	307		
2) Maintenance Material	0		
3) Repair Material	523		
4) Other	407		
C. Depot Repair	0		
D. Depot Overhaul	0		
E. Unprogrammed Losses	0		
F. Software Maintenance	0		
3. INDIRECT SUPT, BASE OPS & MAINT, OTHER O/H COSTS		1,279	
A. Base Operations	1,279		
B. Other Overhead Costs	0		
4. SUPPORT EQUIPMENT O & S		0	
TOTAL LIFE CYCLE COSTS			1,482,205

Best Available Copy