

AD-A163 784

CONFIGURATION STUDY OF NEW LOW-LOSS MM (MILLIMETER)
WAVE GUIDING STRUCTURES(U) ENTEC ENGINEERING INC LOS
ANGELES CA C YEH 12 NOV 85 MM-85-1 ARO-22454.1-PH-5
DAG29-84-C-0025

1/1

UNCLASSIFIED


F/G 9/1

NL

END

FILED

OFF



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Mtec Engineering Inc.

(2)

AD-A163 784

MM-85-1

Configuration Study of New
Low-Loss MM Wave Guiding Structures

Final Report

Cavour Yeh

Senior Research Engineer

November 11, 1985

U.S. Army Research Office
Contract No. DAAG 29-84-C-0025

DTIC
ELECTE
FEB 10 1986
S D D

Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

Los Angeles: Suite 2032, 1100 Glendon Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90024. (213) 825-2970

86 2 7 273

DTIC FILE COPY

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER ARO 22454.1-PH-S	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. N/A	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER N/A
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Configuration Study of New Low-Loss MM Wave Guiding Structures		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Report October 1, 1984-Sept. 30, 1985
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) C. Yeh		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAG 29-84-C-0025
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS EMtec Engineering, Inc. 1100 Glendon Ave., Suite 2032 Los Angeles, CA 90024		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Dr. Robert J. Lontz, Physics U. S. Army Research Office Post Office Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709		12. REPORT DATE November 12, 1985
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) NA		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) MM Waves Dielectric Waveguide Electromagnetic Waves Guided Waves		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report summarizes the re- sult of the research carried out at EMtec Engineering, Inc., Los Angeles, under Contract DAAG 29-84-C-0025 with the Army Research Office. The primary objective of this research program was to learn whether there exists a dielectric waveguide configuration which offers lower loss figure than a circular dielectric rod. Im- portant evidence was found which showed that it is feasible to optimize a con- figuration to achieve low-loss guidance of mm waves. Future research areas are also described in this report.		

Abstract

This report summarizes the result of the research carried out at EMtec Engineering, Inc., Los Angeles, under Contract DAAG 29-84-C-0025 with the Army Research Office. The primary objective of this research program was to learn whether there exists a dielectric waveguide configuration which offers lower loss figure than a circular dielectric rod. Important evidence was found which showed that it is feasible to optimize a configuration to achieve low-loss guidance of mm waves. Future research areas are also described in this report.

Accession For

NTIS CPA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	☐

Pub. Distribution/

71

Table of Contents

Abstract

I. Introduction.....	1
II. Summary of Accomplishments.....	1
III. Future Research Areas.....	7
IV. Listings of Papers and Presentations.....	9
V. Personnel.....	9
References.....	10

I. Introduction

This is a final report on a study sponsored by the Army Research Office (DAAG 29-84-C-0025) from October 1, 1984 through September 30, 1985. The principal objective of this research was to learn whether there exists a dielectric waveguide configuration which offers lower loss figure than a circular dielectric rod. This final report gives a summary of our accomplishments during this phase of the research program.

II. Summary of Accomplishments

At millimeter (mm) or sub-millimeter (sub-mm) wavelengths, the usual metallic waveguides become unbearably small and are very difficult to manufacture. Furthermore, insufferable high conduction losses at these frequencies also render these guides less than useful.¹ A new mm or sub-mm waveguiding structure must be found. A viable/practical mm or sub-mm waveguide should possess the following characteristics:^{2,3}

- Low loss
- Flexible, may be curved or bent (can turn corners)
- Can be handled easily (this implies reasonable physical size and guided energy must not be affected by outside environment)
- Cost effective (May be manufactured at a reasonable cost)
- Connectors can be made

It appears that the most promising candidate for flexible guide is the dielectric waveguide^{4,5} while the most promising candidate for integrated millimeter circuit is the channel or stripline guide.⁶ It is envisioned that a new family of mm/sub-mm wave components, such as mixers, couplers, waveguides, at-

tenuators, polarizers, etc. can be made with purely dielectric material. There are two possible ways of realizing a low-loss millimeter/sub-millimeter (mm/sub-mm) waveguide structure: Through the use of low-loss material and/or through the use of specially configured structure. We shall first provide a brief discussion of available low-loss material suitable for guiding mm/sub-mm waves. Then results of a calculation on a specially configured low-loss structure which was the subject for the short-term innovative research, will be presented.

Brief summary of low-loss material

A series of very detailed measurements in the mm/sub-mm wavelength range on the dielectric constant and loss tangent of groups of promising low-loss material have been performed by the MIT 'Mag-Lab' group in recent years.⁷ Results of their findings were summarized in a very comprehensive paper by Afsar and Button.⁷ Two types of material appear to possess relatively low-loss characteristic in the mm-sub-mm wavelength range: One is a crystalline type material⁵ and the other is a polymer type material.⁷ A sample list of the commercially available low-loss material is given in the following:

Crystalline material⁵

	Dielectric constant	Loss tangent
Alumina (at 10 GHz)	9.7	2×10^{-4}
Sapphire (at 10 GHz)	9.3-11.7	1×10^{-4}
Quartz (at 10 GHz)	3.8-4.8	10^{-4}
KRS - 5 (at 94.75 GHz)	30.5	1.9×10^{-2}
KRS - 6 (at 94.75 GHz)	28.5	2.3×10^{-2}
LiNbO ₃ (at 94.75 GHz)	6.7	8×10^{-3}

Polymer material⁷

	Dielectric constant	Loss tangent
Teflon (at 10 GHz) (PTFE)	2.04	2×10^{-4}
Rexolits (at 10 GHz)	2.56	2.6×10^{-3}
RT/durcoid 5880 (at 10 GHz)	2.2	9×10^{-4}
Polyethylene (at 50 GHz) (LDPE)	2.3	10^{-4}

It can be seen that the polymer material in general has much lower dielectric constant than crystalline material. The best loss-tangent is around 10^{-4} . Using a nominal dielectric constant of 2.0, the attenuation constant for plane wave in this bulk material is 1.3 dB/m at 100 GHz which is already better than the 3 dB/m loss for conventional metallic waveguides at this frequency. The attenuation constant for plane wave is calculated from the following equation:

$$\alpha = 8.686 (\pi \sqrt{\epsilon}/\lambda_0) \tan \delta \quad (1)$$

Here ϵ is the relative dielectric constant, λ_0 is the free-space wavelength and $\tan \delta$ is the loss tangent. According to Equation (1), it appears that in addition to requiring as small a loss tangent as possible lower dielectric constant is also helpful in achieving lower loss. Hence, flexible polymers such as LDPE (Polyethylene) and PTFE (Teflon) are the natural choice for the making of low-loss mm/sub-mm waveguides.

Low-loss configurations

Other than the material loss factor which we discussed above, the major factor that may influence the attenuation characteristic of guided wave along a dielectric structure is the size and shape of the waveguide. The attenuation constant for a dielectric waveguide with arbitrary cross-sectional shape and surrounded by free-space is given by the following expression:^{8,9}

$$\alpha = 8.686 \pi \tan \delta \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda_0} \right) R \quad (\text{dB}/\pi) \quad (2)$$

with

$$R = \left| \frac{\int_{A_1} (\underline{E} \cdot \underline{E}^*) dA}{\frac{\mu_0}{\epsilon_0} \int_A \underline{e}_z \cdot (\underline{E} \times \underline{H}^*) dA} \right| \quad (3)$$

Here, ϵ and $\tan\delta$ are, respectively, the relative dielectric constant and loss-tangent of the dielectric waveguide, λ_0 is the free-space wavelength, ϵ_0 and μ_0 are, respectively, the permittivity and permeability of free-space, $\underline{e}_z$ is the unit vector in the direction of propagation, A_i is the cross-sectional area of the dielectric structure, A is the total cross-sectional area of the guide, and $\underline{E}$ and $\underline{H}$ are the electric and magnetic field vectors of the guided mode under consideration. The loss factor R which is sensitive to the guide configuration and the frequency of operation could vary from a very small value to $1/\sqrt{\epsilon}$ which is the case for a plane wave propagating in a dielectric medium with dielectric constant ϵ . Typical behavior of R as a function of k^2A where k is the free-space wave number and A is the cross-sectional area of the dielectric waveguide, for the dominant mode is shown in Figure 1. It is seen that if the propagating mode is somewhat loosely bounded to the guiding structure, i.e., if k^2A is small, the attenuation factor R can be made quite small giving rise to a significantly lower attenuation constant α .

The objective of the short-term innovative research program is to perform calculation for the attenuation factor R for a number of flattened low-loss structures using the finite-element method. Results of our calculation are shown in Fig. 1 in which the attenuation factors R for the dominant ${}_{\text{e}}\text{HE}_{11}$ mode along a flattened dielectric waveguide as a function of the normalized cross-sectional area for various values of (major-axis/minor-axis) ratios, are displayed. It can be seen that for the same cross-sectional area the flatter dielectric structure yields significantly lower loss for the dominant ${}_{\text{e}}\text{HE}_{11}$ mode. This evidence points to the advantage of using flattened dielectric structure rather than the usual circular dielectric rod, to achieve low loss factor for the dominant mode. Since the guided field extends beyond the core region of the guide it is important to learn the field extent of the guided mode. We have performed such calculation for the flattened guides. Results are shown in Fig. 2.




Fig. 1. Attenuation factor R for the $e^{HE_{11}}$ wave as a function of normalized cross-sectional area of an equivalent ellipse; $\tanh \xi_0 = b/a$, where a is the semi-major axis, b is the semi-minor axis and q is the semi-focal distance of the ellipse.

$$\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_0} = 2.065$$




Fig. 2 Normalized axial electric field extent B/λ_0 as a function of normalized cross-sectional area for the HE_{11} mode. B is the distance measured from the origin to the point of observation where $(E_x/E_{z_0})^2 = 0.1$

One notes that the field extent as measured from the center of the guide is relatively insensitive to the flatness of the guide. This means no sacrifice in having larger field extent is necessary in order to achieve lower loss factor by using flatter guides.

Let us now consider a specific numerical example: Using Teflon (with $\epsilon = 2.065$ and $\tan\delta = 2 \times 10^{-4}$) as the dielectric waveguide material, the dimensions of a typical low-loss guiding structure for a 94 GHz signal can be

$$2a = 2.4 \text{ mm}$$

$$2b = 0.8 \text{ mm.}$$

At 94 GHz, the calculated loss factor R for a 3:1 (Major: Minor axis ratio) flattened guide supporting the dominant ${}^e\text{HE}_{11}$ mode is 0.06, while R for an equivalent circular guide (with the same cross-sectional area) supporting the dominant HE_{11} mode is 0.4. So, the ratio of the attenuation constant for these two structures is

$$\alpha_{\text{flattened guide}} / \alpha_{\text{circular guide}} = 0.15.$$

This numerical example clearly demonstrates the importance in the choice of guiding configuration to obtain low-loss guidance. The short-term innovative research program provided us with the opportunity to perform analysis to confirm this initial observation.

III. Future Research Areas

Having shown that there exists a configuration which may yield lower loss factor than a circular dielectric rod, we are now in a position to propose additional research tasks as follows:

- verify experimentally the findings of the short-term innovative research program
- study the effects of shielding the low-loss structure by a layer of dielectric sheath as shown in Fig. 3.
- study the effects of curvature and explore ways to minimize bending losses.
- explore and study compatible waveguide components such as dielectric waveguide couplers, filters, branches, phase shifters, polarizers, horns, attenuators, mode converters, etc.
- study ways to improve the loss-tangent of guiding materials.

↑↑ Electric field lines of
the dominant mode.




Figure 3. The Proposed Shielded Low-Loss MM Wave
Dielectric Waveguide.

IV. Listings of Papers and Presentations

We have published one paper and made one presentation on this short-term innovative research program:

"Design of new millimeter waveguides using optical concepts"
presented at SPIE Technical Symposium East 1985, Arlington,
Virginia, 8-12 April 1985; published in SPIE Proceedings
Vol. 545.

V. Personnel

Principal Investigator:

Cavour Yeh (Senior Engineer)

Other Research Personnel

D. Lesselier (Engineer)

E. Tong (Programmer)

F. Manshadi (Programmer)

References

1. T. Yoneyama and S. Nishida, "Nonradiative dielectric waveguide for millimeter-wave integrated circuits," IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Tech., MTT-29, pp. 1182-1192 (1981).
2. J. F. Miao and T. Itoh, "Hollow Image Guides and Overlayed Image Guides Coupler", IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Tech, MTT-30, pp. 1826-1931 (1982).
3. S. T. Peng and A. A. Oliner, "Guidance and leakage properties of a class of open dielectric waveguides: Part I-Mathematical formulations," IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Tech, MTT-29, pp. 834-854 (1981).
4. K. Yamamoto, "A novel low loss dielectric waveguide for millimeter and sub-millimeter wavelength," IEEE Trans. on MTT, MTT-28, pp. 580-584 (1980).
5. William B. Bridges, "Low loss flexible dielectric waveguide for millimeter wave transmission and its application to devices," California Institute of Technology, Report #SRO-005-1 and #SRO-005-2 (1979-1982); William B. Bridges, Marvin B. Kline and Edgard Schweig, IEEE Trans. on MTT, MTT-30, pp. 286-292 (1982).
6. R. Rudokas and T. Itoh, "Passive millimeter-wave IC components made of inverted strip dielectric waveguides", IEEE Trans. on MTT, MTT-29, pp. 978-981 (1976).
7. M. N. Afsar and K. J. Button, "Millimeter-Wave dielectric Measurement of Materials", Proc. IEEE-73, pp. 131-153 (1985); R. Birch, J. D. Dromey and J. Lisurf, "The optical constants of some common low-loss polymers between 4 and 40 cm^{-1} ". Infrared Physics Vol. 21, pp. 225-228 (1981).
8. C. Yeh, "Attenuation in a dielectric elliptical cylinder", IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-11, pp. 177-184 (1963).
9. C. Yeh, "Elliptical dielectric waveguides," J. Appl. Phys. Vol. 33, pp. 3235-3243 (1962).

END

FILMED

3-86

DTIC