


DIAGNOSTIC STUDY OF ATMOSPHERE-TERRAIN INTERACTION
LEADING TO THE FORMATI.. (U) JACKSON STATE UNIV MS DEPT
OF PHYSICS AND ATMOSPHERIC SERVICES.. P J FETERIS

ML^c

UNCLASSIFIED 30 SEP 85 ARO-19612.1-GS-H DRAG29-82-G-0110 F/G 4/2

[illegible][illegible]



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

②

DIAGNOSTIC STUDY OF ATMOSPHERE-TERRAIN INTERACTION
LEADING TO THE FORMATION OF DUST CLOUDS AND
POOR VISIBILITIES OVER NEAR EAST
DESERT AREAS

FINAL REPORT

AUTHOR: PIETER J. FETERIS

30 SEPTEMBER 1985

U. S. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE

GRANT NUMBER
DAAG29-82-G0110

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS AND ATMOSPHERIC SERVICES
JACKSON STATE UNIVERSITY
JACKSON, MS 39217

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

DTIC
ELECTE
FEB 10 1986
S D

AD-A163 890

FILE COPY

FOR REPRODUCTION PURPOSES

ADA 163890

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER ARO 19612.1-GS-H		2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. N/A	
3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER N/A		4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Diagnostic Study of Atmosphere-Terrain Interaction Leading to the Formation of Dust Clouds and Poor Visibilities over Near East Desert Areas	
5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED May 24, 1982 through Oct 31, 1985		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(s) Pieter J. Feteris		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAG 29-82-G-0010	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Physics and Atmospheric Sciences Jackson State University, Jackson, MS 39217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office Post Office Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709		12. REPORT DATE 30 September 1985	
13. NUMBER OF PAGES 22		14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	
15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) NA			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Poor visibility Near East Dust Desert areas Fog Areal Distribution of Climatology of Frequency of Anomalies Calendar of Human Activities			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			

SEE NEXT PAGE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

ABSTRACT

To compare the frequency of occurrence of poor visibilities in areas and during periods of intense agricultural, industrial and military activity with that in sparsely populated areas unaffected by war and military manoeuvres, three years of surface reports from 850 stations in the Near East and adjacent North Africa were screened for visibilities coded as 90 through 95 and 9 through 10.

Seasonal maps of the location of stations reporting poor visibilities, a calendar of poor visibility for each station and maps of the distribution of those stations reporting fog rain, fog/snow/drifting snow and sand/dust were prepared for most of the three year period. Only the most reliable and important results are shown. In mountainous regions poor visibilities occurred frequently all year round. Low frequencies of poor visibility reports were found in Eastern Tunisia, Western Lybia, West Africa and the Sudan. (It must be remembered that during World War II the desert battle between the British and the German armies in North Africa caused noticeable deterioration of visibility in Western Egypt.) It is recommended that this climatology be completed.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

1. Preface

The author wishes to express his thanks to Dr. Walter Saucier, Senior Atmospheric Scientist A.R.O. and Dr. Lewis Berkofsky, Blaustein Institute for Desert Research, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Israel, for guidance during the initial stages of this Project and to Mr. Paul Mulder and Mr. Gregg Walters for assistance in writing the programs for retrieval of data from the NAVY SPOT and NMC ADP archives at the Scientific Computing Division of the National Center for Atmospheric Research in Boulder, Colorado. Mrs. Debra Jackson typed the manuscript.

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

2. Table of Contents

	Page
1. Preface	3
2. Table of Contents	4
3. List of illustrations and tables	5
4. Preliminary Climatology of Poor Visibilities over the Near East and Adjacent Mediterranean and North Africa ...	6
4.a Problem Studied	6
4.a.1. Introduction	6
4.a.2. Statement of the Problem	7
4.a.3. Approach	10
4.a.3.1. Data Collection	10
4.b. Statement of Preliminary Results	15
4.b.1 Recommendations	19
4.c. List of Publications and Technical Reports	21
4.d. List of Participating Scientific Personnel and Advanced Degrees Earned While Employed on the Project	21
4.e. List of Students Employed and Hours Worked	21
5. Bibliography	22

3. List of Illustrations and Tables

		Page
<u>Illustrations</u>		
Figure 1 -	Worksheet for Evaluation of Poor Visibilities and Concurrent Soil and Weather Conditions...	11
Figure 2	Working map for a particular season	13
Figure 3	Three-year calendar of days with poor visibility for station 40928	14
Figure 4	Map showing the distribution of poor visibilities for 1977	16
Figure 5	Areas in which poor visibility was reported. during limited periods in 1977	17
<u>Tables</u>		
Table 1	Percentage of stations reporting poor visibility on a given number of days in 1977	18

4. Preliminary Climatology of Poor Visibilities over the Near East and adjacent Mediterranean and North Africa.

4.a Problem studied.

4.a.1 Introduction

The ingredients for strong visibilities in dry air are wind and a source of dust which is free to blow: There are two kinds of blowing dust, namely natural blowing dust and man-made blowing dust. Both affect visibility and certain types of remote sensing and communications in an adverse way. In moist air the ingredients are fog droplets forming on natural aerosols and smog caused by chemical interactions among industrial effluents and water vapor. Natural dust caused by flow over loose fine-grained soil can be divided in several types (Wigner and Peterson, 1982)

- a) dust devils (limited extent and occurrence)
- b) thunderstorm outflow (duration up to 30 minutes)
- c) frontal dust: several hours in advance of a cold front and approximately an hour after passage of a cold front (haboobs)
- d) trough-induced (4 to 8 hours)
- e) associated with deep cyclones (12-36 hours)

Man-made Dust is generated as follows:

Ploughs, trucks, tractors, military vehicles and bulldozers moving over silt and clay may cause dust in any type of weather except rain. The removal of this dust is mainly by horizontal flow. If the winds are weak at all levels day-time convection will only cause the fine clay particles to be redistributed in the vertical. The concentration of this man-made dust in the lowest 100 feet would decrease during the day-time as the silt particles are spread over a deep layer (Berkofski 1982). At night and under stable conditions most of the generated dust will remain confined to a rather shallow layer and continue to

accumulate as military or industrial activity goes on. Hence, heavy vehicular traffic over fine soil would cause prolonged periods of poor visibility in a stagnant airmass with only shallow day-time convection and during cyclogenetic activity with winds of over 40 kt over silty soil. In the latter case enormous amounts of particles are lifted into the troposphere and transported thousands of kilometers away from their source (Brandli et al., 1977).

Poor visibilities not caused by dust can be classified into radiation fogs and advection fogs. Radiation fogs occur in any region where a shallow layer of moist stagnant air is capped by dry air. Such areas can be over and near marshy terrain, close to bodies of warm water and where convective day-time rains have fallen and sufficiently moistened the soil. Advection fogs are found in low-lying coastal areas adjacent to cool sea surfaces over which moist, warm air is slowly moving or where any air has stagnated long enough to become super-saturated. Smogs are encountered in an downwind of industrial areas. They occur when the rate of input of aerosols, produced by industrial processes, fuel combustion and solid waste disposal, exceeds the rate of removal by the wind.

4.a.2. Statement of Problem

While naturally occurring fog and dust can in principle be monitored and predicted when terrain, vegetation, soil structure airflow, surface temperature and moisture are known, fog and dust produced by human activities, such as transportation, inadvertent explosions or leakage of effluents and military activity, cannot be predicted on the basis of historic data and are mainly estimated on the basis of numerical simulations and diffusion models. However, in the past cattle-marketing, ploughing and

harvesting in semi-arid regions has taken place at regular and somewhat predictable intervals, battles have been fought, industry has been developed. It is quite conceivable that in some regions and during some periods these activities occurred on a scale large enough to cause local anomalies in the climatic trend and oscillation of visibility and aerosol concentrations in the lower atmosphere. An example are the five to tenfold increases of the frequency of occurrence of visibilities below 700 meters reported in Burg el Arab in the Western desert of Egypt during 1941 and 1942 when the North African desert war between the British and German Armies was waged in full force (El Fandy, 1953). The problem at hand is to separate temporary anomalies in the frequency of poor visibility occurrence from the climatological backgrounds and to link these anomalies to human activities. Whatever these human activities are, they always produce a source of pollutants. Hence local aerosol concentration in populated areas will frequently be the result of a balance between source input and removal by ventilation and increase with decreasing windspeed. Downwind from the source horizontal advection causes deterioration of visibility when the wind blows from a certain direction while the correlation between visibility and wind speed decreases with distance.

Fogs tend to form over water surfaces, moist soil and swampy terrain when the windspeeds are low and the relative humidities high. Here human activity can only enhance fog formulation when the input consists of hygroscopic nuclei. In these areas industrial effluents are more likely to have an effect on visibility than traffic, military activity or cultivation.

Fog is frequent over mountain slopes and mountain tops when low level air is forced upwards by strong winds to above its condensation

level. It is doubtful whether human activities in these areas have any significant effect on visibility. Therefore one would expect visibilities in sparsely populated areas, unaffected by war or military manoeuvres, to correlate well with windspeeds and to occur regularly in certain atmospheric circulation systems. In cultivated areas or regions frequently visited by war or military manoeuvres one would expect anomalies that may correlate fairly well with windspeeds and often also with wind direction during limited periods. Stations located in those regions may show conspicuous differences in visibility from that reported by their nearest neighbors. Near cities frequencies of the poor visibilities may show a steady upward trend which is interrupted only by initiation or suspension of large scale industrial activity. To separate these cases of human deterioration of visibility from the climatological background over the desert areas of the North Africa and the Middle East is a formidable task, particularly when the war years of the 20th century have to be included. Hence this effort should be preceded by a pilot study that must clearly show the feasibility of such an endeavor. If, indeed, the suggested separation is feasible, a next study would be exploration of terrain and soil type and the history and social geography of areas in which conspicuous visibility anomalies occur.

The combined results of these studies may then be used to verify and/or adjust the results obtained by simulation of aerosol generation and removal that are to be applied over similar terrain where no historical data exist.

4.a.3 Approach

4.a.3.1 Data Collection

The minimum requirements for a historic data base on which a meaningful climatology can be based has been set at five consecutive years of measurement. From this set of data reports of visibilities below 2 miles (surface synop code numbers 90-95 and 1-10) by stations in the Near East had to be selected from the FIGGE and NCC data banks at the National Climatological Center in Asheville, N.C. These reports were to be printed in full, thus showing associated meteorological variables for future reference. Attempts to perform this task at Jackson State University failed due to inadequate computer facilities for this particular task. It was then decided to obtain this information directly on microfiche from the National Center for Atmospheric Research in Boulder, Colorado. Due to the high cost added to the funds already spent and time-manpower restrictions three instead of five years of surface data were ordered. The retrieval method that had to be applied would automatically provide additional data from North Africa. Hence, the final set of data consisted of surface reports from 850 stations at which the visibility was coded as 90 through 95 and 0 through 10. The area was confined by the longitude circles of 20°W and 40°E of latitude circle of 10°N and 40°N, the time period was 1 January 1977 through 31 December 1979. Further processing of the data had to be done by hand.

4.a.3.2 Data Analysis

Occurrence of poor visibility were tabulated for each station as shown in figure 1. Room was left for information about geographical and topographical features which were to be added by a work student - geography major who would at the same time prepare a special studies term paper

Fig 1

Worksheet for evaluation of poor visibilities
and concurrent soil and weather conditions.

DUST EVALUATION PROJECT A.R.O.

39- 1-

BLOCK 61 STATION NUMBER 224 ELEVATION LAT 16.3 LONG -0.1

SIZE: (Metropolis, medium size town, village or hamlet)

SOIL STRUCTURE: (rock, sand, silt, marshland etc).....

TCPCGRAHY: (valley, river, coastal, mountain, mountain slope, plain).....

CONTINGENCY TABLE FOR WINDSPEED-VISIBILITY RELATIONSHIP

Windspeed:	0-9 knots	10-19 knots	20-30 knots	over 30 knots	TOTALS
POOR VISIBILITY					44
GOOD VISIBILITY					
TOTALS					

YY Mo DAY	N	DD	FF	W	WW	W	PPP	TT
77012812	5	14	18	3	7	3	1014.7	25
77012818	3	14	12	3	7	3	1011.0	28
77012900	0	14	12	3	7	3	1013.3	22
77012906	0	14	12	3	7	3	1013.3	17
77012912	0	14	10	3	7	4	1012.6	27
77012918	0	14	10	3	7	4	1010.6	27
77013000	0	14	10	3	7	4	1012.2	19
77013006	0	14	10	3	7	4	1012.2	15
77013012	0	14	10	3	7	4	1012.7	28
77013018	0	14	10	3	7	4	1012.7	28
77013100	0	14	10	3	7	4	1012.6	21
77013106	0	14	10	3	7	4	1012.6	17
77013112	0	14	10	3	7	4	1012.5	29
77013118	0	14	10	3	7	4	1010.0	29
77020100	0	14	10	3	7	4	1011.2	21
77020103	0	14	10	3	7	4	1010.7	13
77020212	0	14	10	3	7	4	1010.4	27
77020312	0	14	10	3	7	4	1014.4	27
77020318	0	14	10	3	7	4	1013.0	23
77020400	0	14	10	3	7	4	1015.6	23
77020406	0	14	10	3	7	4	1015.9	16
77020515	3	16	10	3	7	0	1013.7	30
77020518	0	16	10	3	7	0	1014.1	28
77020610	0	16	10	3	7	0	1015.7	28
77030212	0	16	10	3	7	0	1015.0	24
77030306	0	16	10	3	7	0	1015.8	21
77030312	0	16	10	3	7	0	1016.8	29
77030612	0	16	10	3	7	0	1013.3	29
77031612	0	16	10	3	7	0	1011.9	34
77031715	0	16	10	3	7	0	1009.4	34
77040106	6	2	17	6	31	3	1013.5	21
77040112	9	2	23	1	31	3	1014.9	25
77040118	4	4	14	2	70	7	1012.8	27
77040200	6	34	14	4	32	4	1014.6	22
77040218	0	4	8	8	7	4	1009.4	29
77040300	6	0	10	6	1		1012.3	22
77040412	0	18	12	8	7	2	1009.4	35
77041918	9	34	19	3	32	3	1004.9	36
77042000	3	34	8	10	7	0	1007.3	31
77042200	9	2	39	1	31	3	1009.5	32
77042318	7	4	10	10	7	2	1004.3	39
77042418	0	1	10	8	7	1	1005.6	36

about this topic as required by the Geography Department at Jackson State University. After the suspension of this department and the departure of the work student this task had to be postponed till after meteorological analysis would indicate major anomalies in visibility occurrence at specific locations.

Contingency tables were drafted for future use when stations with anomalies would have to be compared with the climatological background of the surrounding area.

Maps were prepared for the location of all stations reporting poor visibilities during the periods. (1) January through April (wet in the north, dry in the South), (2) May through September (dry north, wet South), and (3) October through December (generally dry everywhere). An example of such a map is shown in figure 2. Maps were also prepared for the location of stations reporting poor visibilities associated with fog and rain; snow and drifting snow and due to sand and duststorms or dust.

On a seventh map stations reporting more than 120 cases of poor visibility for 365 days (40 cases in 120 days per map) were marked by a circle, stations reporting less than 10, occurrences (4 cases per map) were marked by a cross.

To detect anomalous concentrations of days with poor visibility during suspected ploughing and harvesting periods, periods of increased military activity and the like calendars were prepared for each station. On these calendars days with poor visibility were plotted in different color codes for each of the years 1977 - 1979. An example is shown in figure 3.

Fig 2 Working map for a particular season.




Figure 3. Three-year Calendar of days with poor visibility for station 40928

BLOCK STATION	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	
40 928					o	o				+					o				o	o			o+	o+	+	+	+	+	o+			
January									o																							
February		o																														
March										+	o			+	o+	o+	o	+	o			+			o	+	+	o			+	
April			+											+	o		+	+	+		+			o				+			o	
May												+	o																			
June																																
July																					o											
August																																
September																																
October																																
November						o	o	o	o							o				o												
December									o	o									o							o	o					
o 1977 + 1978 Δ 1979																																

To be completed.

4.b. Summary of the most important results

The following results are preliminary and should be interpreted with caution. Figures 4 and 5 and Table 1 show analyses for the year 1977 only. Fig. 4 shows the areas in which poor visibility was reported at least once per season. In the blank areas stations did not report visibility during one or more seasons. This does not mean that poor visibility did not occur in those areas. As more data are incorporated in the analysis adjustments will be applied and categorical statements will be re-expressed in terms of probability and statistics. The other figures are self explanatory.




Fig. 4: Map showing the distribution of poor visibilities for 1977.

Shaded areas: poor visibilities reported during all seasons. Blank areas: no reports of poor visibility during at least one season.




Fig 5 Areas in which poor visibility was reported during limited periods in 1977
 A: late summer and early fall, B: late fall and winter, C: spring and early summer.

Table 1 Percentage of stations reporting poor visibility on a given number of days in 1977. Blocks with less than 45 reporting stations have been omitted

BLOCK NO.	Number of Stations	Days with poor visibility reported at least once by a station							Maximum # of days
		None	1-10	11-20	21-30	31-40	41-50	50	
8	48	2.0	31.3	37.5	10.5	8.3	4.2	6.0	90
38	59	0	25.4	22.0	20.3	27.0	0	5.2	57
40	352	4.2	56.4	34.9	2.6	1.4	0.5	0	42
60	85	10.0	64.7	11.7	9.4	3.5	0.9	0	41
61	64	7.8	40.0	32.8	10.9	4.7	1.5	1.5	55
62	49	2.0	77.5	16.7	2.0	2.0	0	0	33

Tentatively one can say that along coasts and in the mountains of Spain, the Elbrus and the Hindu Kush South of 40°N poor visibilities are, perhaps, equally likely all year round. Low frequencies of poor visibility are found in Eastern Tunisia, Western Libya, West Africa and the Sudan. Elsewhere high and low frequencies seem to be intermingled. Completion of the proposed three year climatology may provide a statistically more stable background for comparison with suspected anomalies.

Table 1 shows percentage frequency distributions of stations reporting poor visibility during given numbers of days during 1977. Generally the percentage of stations reporting poor visibility on more than 60 out of 365 days is smaller than 2, although one station in Block 37 reported poor visibility in 240 days. The distributions of poor visibility occurrence are L-shaped in blocks 60 and 62 where roughly 85.1 of the stations report poor visibility on less than 20 days. Frequency distribution of duration (number of consecutive hours of poor visibility) have been prepared for only a few of these stations. Frequency distributions for Blocks 8, 38 which are mountainous and for block 61, in which silt flats are located, are more flat (that of block 38 may be even bi-modal) Block 40 which provides the most reliable statistics in partly flat, partly silty and partly mountainous.

4.b.1 Recommendations

It is recommended that this three-year climatology be finished so that more reliable back ground statistics can be produced in the proper form. Also proposed are comparisons with the climatology for the period 10 Aug.- 11 September 1983, for which U. S. plans for manoeuvres in the area were publicized, and for periods of intense Iran-Iraqi battles in Mesopotamia. There exists a catalog of atmospheric circulation types and topographical and

geological maps for the Near East and adjacent areas which will be used for the investigation of meteorology and soil conditions in regions where there is a conspicuous local increase in the number of poor visibility records.

4.c List of publications and technical reports.

None

4.d List of participating scientific personnel and advanced degrees earned by them while employed on the project.

Not applicable to Jackson State University's Physics and Atmospheric Sciences Department

4.e List of students employed and hours worked: from October 1982 through May 1984:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Degree Status</u>	<u>Hours Worked</u>
Rakesh Arya	Computer Science Graduate	646
Joseph Domoulin	Meteorology Undergraduate	186
Chukwubudem Ecijofo	Bus. Management Undergraduate	211
Uri Hannon	Computer Science Graduate	170
Sadie Jordan	Industrial Technology Graduate	1021
Benedict Nwokolo	Industrial Technology Graduate	82
Rita Nwokolo	Social Science Graduate	2975
Godwin Okafor	Bus. Management Graduate	1660
Augustine Onyeka	Bus. Management Graduate	535
Wendell Pickens	Meteorology Undergraduate	222
Dwight Stuart	Computer Science Graduate	43
Patrick Walker	Meteorology Undergraduate	214
Total man-hours		7965

5. Bibliography

- Berkofski, L., 1982: A Heuristic Investigation to Evaluate the Feasibility of Developing a Desert Dust Prediction Model. *Monthly Weather Review* 110, p. 2055-2062.
- Brandli, H. W., J. P. Ashman and D. L. Reinke, 1977: Texas Dust Blows into Florida. *Monthly Weather Review* 104, pp. 1068-1070.
- El Fandi, M. G., 1953: On the Physics of Dusty Atmospheres. *Quart J. Royal Met. Society*, 19, p. 284-287.
- Wigner, K. A. and R. E. Peterson, 1982: Duststorms over Texas. Preprints 2nd Symposium on the Composition of the Non-Urban Troposphere, May 25-28, Williamsburg, Virginia.

END

FILMED

3-86

DTIC