

AD-A166 197

LARGE DIFFUSIVITY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR IN PARABOLIC
SYSTEMS(U) BROWN UNIV PROVIDENCE RI LEFSCHETZ CENTER
FOR DYNAMICAL SYSTE... J K HALE JAN 85 LCDS-85-1


1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

AFOSR-TR-86-0041 DARG29-83-K-0029

F/G 12/1

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

LARGE DIFFUSIVITY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR
IN PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

by

Jack K. Hale

January 1985

LCDS Report #85-1

AD-A166 197

DTIC
STIC
1986

SECRET
D
AR

Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems

Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited.

DTIC FILE COPY

2

LARGE DIFFUSIVITY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR
IN PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

by

Jack K. Hale

January 1985

LCDS Report #85-1

DTIC
ELECTE
APR 01 1986
S D D

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFOSR)
NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DTIC
This technical report has been reviewed and is
approved for public release in accordance with AFOSR 190-12.
Distribution is unlimited.
MATTHEW J. KISTNER
Chief, Technical Information Division

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS														
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.														
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE																	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) LCDS #85-1			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFOSR-TR- 86-0041														
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Brown University		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Air Force Office of Scientific Research													
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems Division of Applied Mathematics Providence RI 02912			7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Directorate of Mathematical & Information Sciences, Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448														
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) NM		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AFOSR-84-0376													
8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS. <table border="1"><tr><td>PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102F</td><td>PROJECT NO. 2304</td><td>TASK NO. A9</td><td>WORK UNIT NO.</td></tr></table>			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102F	PROJECT NO. 2304	TASK NO. A9	WORK UNIT NO.								
PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102F	PROJECT NO. 2304	TASK NO. A9	WORK UNIT NO.														
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) LARGE DIFFUSIVITY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR IN PARABOLIC SYSTEMS																	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Jack K. Hale																	
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) JAN 85													
				15. PAGE COUNT 20													
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION																	
17. COSATI CODES <table border="1"><tr><td>FIELD</td><td>GROUP</td><td>SUB. GR.</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>			FIELD	GROUP	SUB. GR.										18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB. GR.															
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) For systems of reaction-diffusion equations with Neumann boundary conditions, it is shown that the solutions are asymptotic to the solutions of an ordinary differential equation if the diffusivity is large. The methods apply also to reaction-diffusion systems with time delays.																	
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS ☐			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED														
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Marc Q. Jacobs			22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (202) 767- 4940		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL NM												

DD FORM 1473, 83 APR

EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

LARGE DIFFUSIVITY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR
IN PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

by

Jack K. Hale

Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems
Division of Applied Mathematics
Brown University
Providence, Rhode Island 02912

January 1985

This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grant No. DMS 8205355, by the U.S. Army Research Office under grant No. DAAG 29-83-K-0029 and by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under grant No. AF-AFOSR 84-0376.

Large Diffusivity and Asymptotic Behavior
in Parabolic Systems

by

Jack K. Hale

Abstract

For systems of reaction-diffusion equations with Neumann boundary conditions, it is shown that the solutions are asymptotic to the solutions of an ordinary differential equation if the diffusivity is large. The methods apply also to reaction-diffusion systems with time delays.

Applied Mathematics; differential equations



Accession For	
NTIS	CRA&I
DTIC	TAB
Unannounced	
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

1. Introduction and Statement of Results.

Many models of chemical, biological and ecological problems involve systems of reaction-diffusion equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial u / \partial t &= D \Delta u + f(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ (1.1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial u / \partial n = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial \Omega$$

where Ω is a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $\partial \Omega$ smooth, $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_N)$, where each $d_j > 0$ is a constant and $f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a C^2 -function. Other types of boundary conditions may also occur.

In recent years, there have been many investigations devoted to the study of stable patterns for (1.1); that is, stable solutions which are spatially dependent (see, for example, [1-8]). For the understanding of how stable patterns are created, it is obviously of interest to characterize those situations for which stable patterns do not exist and, even more particularly, those systems for which the flow is essentially determined by the ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$(1.2) \quad du/dt = f(u).$$

This latter problem has been investigated by Conway, Hoff and Smoller in [9] under the assumption that there is an invariant region Σ for (1.1). A set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an

invariant region for (1.1) if, for any initial data u_0 with $u_0(x) \in \Sigma$ for all $x \in \Omega$, one has the solution $u(t,x)$ through u_0 at $t = 0$ also in Σ for all $x \in \Omega$. In [10], [2], it is shown that an invariant region Σ for (1.1) must be a rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^N$ if all the diffusion coefficients d_j are distinct. If the diffusion coefficients are equal, then Σ can be any convex set which is positively invariant for (1.2).

Suppose Σ is an invariant region for (1.1), $M = \sup\{|\partial f(u)/\partial u| : u \in \Sigma\}$, $-\lambda$ is the first nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplacian with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions on Ω , $d = \min(d_1, \dots, d_N)$, and $\sigma = d\lambda - M$. In [9], it was shown that $\sigma > 0$ implies the solutions of (1.1) with initial data in Σ approach a solution of the ODE (1.2) as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since λ is inversely proportional to the squared diameter of Ω , the hypothesis $\sigma > 0$ says that diffusion on the domain is fast relative to the reaction term f . In fact, the estimates in [9] show that the spatial inhomogeneities are quickly damped out if σ is very large.

As remarked earlier, the hypothesis that Σ be an invariant region for (1.1) severely limits the types of equations that can be considered. In fact, as pointed out by Smoller [2;p.212], the property that Σ is an invariant region for (1.1) is not continuous with respect to the d_j . In fact, if Σ is a convex positively invariant set for

the ODE (1.2) and each $d_j = 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, then Σ is invariant for (1.1). However, if Σ is not a rectangle, then it is not invariant for (1.1) unless the d_j remain equal.

It is the purpose of this paper to begin an investigation of the behavior of the solutions of (1.1) when the constant $d\lambda$ is large and the equation (1.1) may not have an invariant region in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The methods will use properties of the flow defined by (1.1) in function space and will be applicable to situations where the equation with no diffusion is a retarded functional differential equation or a differential equation with delays. In this latter case, it is almost impossible to have an equation with an invariant region.

We now describe the results in some detail. Let $X = L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $D(A) = \{\phi \in W^{2,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) : \partial\phi/\partial n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$, $A = -\Delta : D(A) \rightarrow X$. In the usual way, one defines the fractional power spaces X^α using the operator A . If $3/4 < \alpha < 1$, then it is known that $X^\alpha \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with continuous inclusion. One can then show (see, for example, Henry [10, p.75]) that, for any $u_0 \in X^\alpha$, $\alpha > 3/4$, there is a unique solution $u(t, \cdot, u_0) \in X^\alpha$ of (1.1) through u_0 at $t = 0$ which is continuous in t, u_0 .

A set $\mathcal{A} \subset X^\alpha$ is a compact attractor for (1.1) if $\mathcal{A}$ is compact, invariant and there is a neighborhood U of $\mathcal{A}$ such that the ω -limit set of U is $\mathcal{A}$. By the ω -limit

set $\omega(U)$ of U , we mean

$$\omega(U) = \bigcap_{\tau \geq 0} \text{cl} \bigcup_{t \geq \tau} u(t, \cdot, U) .$$

In a similar way one can define a compact attractor in $\mathbb{R}^N$ for the ODE (1.2).

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a compact attractor for the ODE (1.2), then it can be considered as a subset of the constant functions in X^α and it will be a compact invariant set for the PDE (1.1). However, it need not be an attractor without some conditions on D and Ω . If $d = \min\{d_j, j=1,2,\dots,N\}$ and $-\lambda$ is the first nonzero eigenvalue of Δ with Neumann conditions, then the main result of the paper is the following

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a compact attractor for the ODE (1.2). Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ considered as a subset of the constant functions in X^α , $\alpha > 3/4$, is a compact attractor for the PDE (1.1) if $d\lambda > \delta$. More precisely, there is a neighborhood V of $\mathcal{A}$ in X^α and constants $K > 0$, $c > 0$ such that, for any $u_0 \in V$, the solution $u(t, \cdot, u_0)$ of (1.1) through u_0 at $t = 0$ satisfies

$$|u(t, \cdot, u_0) - \bar{u}(t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq Ke^{-ct}, \quad t \geq 0$$

where $\bar{u}(t) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} u(t, x, u_0) dx$ and $\bar{u}(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$d\bar{u}(t)/dt = f(\bar{u}(t)) + g(t, u_0)$$

where $|g(t, u_0)| \leq Ke^{-ct}$, $t \geq 0$.

The technical part of the theorem states that the solution $u(t, \cdot, u_0)$ approaches its average value $\bar{u}(t)$ exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the space X^α . Since $\alpha > 3/4$, this implies, in particular, that the solution approaches $\bar{u}(t)$ exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. The conclusions in Theorem 1.1 are the same as the ones in Conway, Hoff and Smoller [9] mentioned above.

The proof of the theorem is given in Section 2 and uses elementary properties of Liapunov functions for ODE's and a special decomposition of (1.1). In Section 3, we consider invariant regions and show how the method presented here gives the same qualitative results as in [9], but the rates of decay are not as sharp. Generalizations to functional differential equations are given in Section 4.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

If $M \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a given set and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is given, we let $d(x, M)$ denote the distance from x to M . Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a compact attractor for the ODE (1.2). From the book of Yoshizawa [11, p.111], there is a neighborhood U of $\mathcal{A}$ and a Lipschitz continuous function $V: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $x \in U$,

$$(i) \quad V(x) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad x \in \mathcal{Q}$$

(ii) $a(d(x, \mathcal{Q})) \leq V(x) \leq b(d(x, \mathcal{Q}))$ where $a(r)$ is continuous, nondecreasing, $a(r) > 0$ if $r > 0$, and $b(r)$ is continuous, $b(0) = 0$.

$$(iii) \quad \dot{V}_{(1.2)}(x) \leq -V(x) \quad \text{where}$$

$\dot{V}_{(1.2)}(x) = \overline{\lim}_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-1} [V(u(h, x)) - V(x)]$ with $u(t, x)$ being the solution of (1.2) through x at $t = 0$.

In the following, for any $c > 0$, we let $V_c = \{x \in U: V(x) < c\}$, $\bar{V}_c = \text{Cl} V_c$. From property (ii) above, $\bar{V}_c$ is compact for any $c > 0$.

Let $W \subset X^\alpha$ be the linear subspace consisting of the constant functions, $X^\alpha = W \oplus W_\alpha^\perp$, $u = v + w$ where $v \in W$, $w \in W_\alpha^\perp$,

$$(2.1) \quad v = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx, \quad \int_{\Omega} w(x) dx = 0$$

We can identify W with $\mathbb{R}^N$ and therefore will consider v as an element of W as well as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Suppose $u(t, \cdot)$ is a solution of (1.1) and let $u(t, \cdot) = v(t) + w(t, \cdot)$, $v(t) \in W$, $w(t, \cdot) \in W_\alpha^\perp$. Then

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} dv/dt &= P(v, w) \\ \partial w / \partial t &= D\Delta w + Q(v, w) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} P(v, \phi) &= |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} f(v + \phi(x)) dx \\ Q(v, \phi)(x) &= f(v + \phi(x)) - |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} f(v + \phi(y)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$(2.4) \quad P(v, 0) = f(v), \quad Q(v, 0) = 0.$$

Also, for $\psi \in W_{\alpha}^1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_{\phi}(v, \phi)\psi](x) &= f'(v+\phi(x))\psi(x) - |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} f'(v+\phi(y))\psi(y) dy \\ &= [f'(v+\phi(x)) - f'(v)]\psi(x) + f'(v)\psi(x) \\ &\quad - |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} [f'(v+\phi(y)) - f'(v)]\psi(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_{\Omega} \psi = 0$. Since $\alpha > 3/4$, $\phi \in X^{\alpha}$ implies $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and there is a constant k such that $|\phi|_{L^{\infty}} \leq k|\phi|_{X^{\alpha}}$. Let $M_c = \sup\{|f'(v)| : v \in \bar{V}_c\}$. For any $c_1 < c$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|\phi|_{X^{\alpha}} < \delta$, $v \in V_{c_1}$ implies $v + \phi(x) \in \bar{V}_c$ for $x \in \Omega$. Since f is a C^2 -function, it follows that there is a constant N_c such that

$$|f'(v+\phi(x)) - f'(v)| \leq N_c |\phi|_{L^{\infty}} \leq N_c k |\phi|_{X^{\alpha}}$$

$v \in V_{c_1}$, $|\phi|_{X^{\alpha}} < \delta$. Therefore,

$$|[Q_{\phi}(v, \phi)\psi](x) - f'(v)\psi(x)| \leq 2k^2 N_c |\phi|_{X^{\alpha}} |\psi|_{X^{\alpha}}$$

which implies

$$(2.5) \quad |Q_{\phi}(v, \phi)\psi - f'(v)\psi|_X \leq 2k^2 N_c |\Omega|^{1/2} |\phi|_{X^{\alpha}} |\psi|_{X^{\alpha}}$$

Relation (2.5) and the triangle inequality imply that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad |Q(v, \phi)| &\leq \theta |\phi|_{X^{\alpha}} \\ \theta &= M_c k + 2k^2 N_c |\Omega|^{1/2} \delta \end{aligned}$$

in the set $v \in V_{c_1}$, $|\phi|_{X^\alpha} < \delta$.

Let $T(t)$ be the semigroup on W_α^1 , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, generated by the equation

$$\partial w / \partial t = D \Delta w \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$\partial w / \partial n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

Now, fix $\alpha > 3/4$. There is a constant $k_1 > 0$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad |T(t)w|_{X^\alpha} \leq k_1 e^{-d\lambda t} |w|_{X^\alpha}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad w \in W_\alpha^1$$

$$|T(t)w|_{X^\alpha} \leq k_1 e^{-d\lambda t} t^{-\alpha} |w|_X, \quad t \geq 0, \quad w \in W^1$$

We can now use the variation of constants formula to rewrite the initial value problem for (2.2) as

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} dv/dt &= f(v) + [P(v,w) - f(v)], \quad v(0) = v_0, \\ w(t) &= T(t)w_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s) [Q(v(s), w(s))] ds. \end{aligned}$$

For any $c_1 < c$, choose δ as before so that $|\phi|_{X^\alpha} < \delta$, $v \in V_{c_1}$ implies $v + \phi(x) \in \bar{V}_c$. Choose a constant σ , $0 < \sigma < \lambda$, let k_2 be the Lipschitz constant for V on $\bar{V}_c$, $L = \int_0^\infty s^{-\alpha} e^{-(1-\sigma/\lambda)s} ds$ and let $V(v) \geq p > 0$ for $v \in \bar{V}_c \setminus V_{c_1}$.

With the constants as in (2.6), choose $d\lambda > 0$ and $\eta < \delta$ so that

$$\zeta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k_1 L_0 (d\lambda)^{\alpha-1} < 1$$

$$(2.9) \quad p - k_2 M_c k \eta > 0$$

Let $v(t), w(t)$ satisfy (2.8). If $v(s) \in V_{c_1}$, $|w(s)|_{X^\alpha} < \eta$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$, then relation (2.6) implies that

$$\dot{V}(v(t)) \leq -V(v(t)) + k_2 |P(v(t), w(t)) - f(v(t))|$$

$$\leq -V(v(t)) + k_2 M_c k |w(t)|_{X^\alpha}$$

$$\leq -V(v(t)) + k_2 M_c k \eta$$

$$z(t) \leq k_1 e^{-d(\lambda-\sigma)t} z(0) + k_1 \theta \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-d(\lambda-\sigma)(t-s)} z(s) ds$$

where $z(t) = |w(t)|_{X^\alpha} \exp d\sigma t$

If $y(t) = \sup\{z(s), 0 \leq s \leq t\}$, then

$$z(t) \leq k_1 e^{-d(\lambda-\sigma)t} z(0) + \zeta y(t).$$

This implies that $y(t) \leq k_1 (1-\zeta)^{-1} z(0)$. This implies that

$$|w(t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq \frac{k_1}{1-\zeta} e^{-d\sigma t} |w_0|_{X^\alpha}$$

Thus, we see that

$$\dot{V}(v(t)) \leq -V(v(t)) + k_2 M_C \eta \quad (2.10)$$

$$|w(t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq \frac{k_1}{1-\tau} e^{-\delta_1 t} |w_0|_{X^\alpha}$$

if it is assumed that $v(s) \in V_{C_1}$, $|w(s)|_{X^\alpha} < \eta$.

Now choose δ_1 so that $k_1(1-\tau)^{-1}\delta_1 < \eta$. If

$v_0 \in V_{C_1}$, $|w_0|_{X^\alpha} < \delta_1$ then relations (2.9), (2.10) imply that $v(t) \in V_{C_1}$, $|w(t)|_{X^\alpha} < \eta$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Relation (2.10) also implies that $|w(t)|_{X^\alpha}$ approaches zero exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the ω -limit set of every solution of (2.2) with initial value $v_0 \in V_{C_1}$ and $|w_0|_{X^\alpha} < \delta_1$ must have $w = 0$; that is, the ω -limit set of any solution of (1.1) with $u_0 = v_0 + w_0$ satisfying the above condition must lie in the set $W \cap V_{C_1}$. Furthermore

$$dv(t)/dt = f(v(t)) + [P(v(t), w(t)) - f(v(t))]$$

and the second term approaches zero exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the limit set of v must be a union of invariant sets of $dv/dt = f(v)$ which belong to V_{C_1} (see Yoshizawa [11]). However, all such invariant sets must belong to $\emptyset$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. The Case of an Invariant Region.

If equation (1.1) has an invariant region Σ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, and $v \in \Sigma$, $\phi \in W_\alpha^1$, $v + \phi(x) \in \Sigma$ for $x \in \Omega$, then

Conway, Hoff and Smoller [9] prove a more global version of Theorem 1.1. In fact, assuming the initial data $u_0 \in C^{k,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_0(x) \in \Sigma$ for $x \in \Omega$, they prove that the solution $u(t, x)$ through u_0 satisfies $|\nabla_x u(t, \cdot)|_{L^2}$, $|u(t, \cdot) - \bar{u}(t)|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ exponentially with exponent σ . To obtain the L^2 estimate on $\nabla_x u$ and an L^2 estimate on $u(t, \cdot) - \bar{u}(t)$ is not difficult using simple integration by parts and the variational characterization of the first eigenvalue of Δ . The L^∞ estimate uses more sophisticated properties of parabolic equations and the reader is referred to [9] for details.

The method used in the previous section can be applied to this case but the exponential estimate is not as precise. We briefly indicate how this can be accomplished. If $u_0 \in X^\alpha$, $\alpha > 3/4$ and $u_0(x) \in \Sigma$ for $x \in \Omega$, then the solution $u(t, x)$ through u_0 remains in Σ for all $t \geq 0$. If $\phi \in W_\alpha^1$, $v + \phi(x) \in \Sigma$ for $x \in \Omega$, then one easily shows that $|Q_\phi(v, \phi)| \leq 2|\Omega|^{1/2}kM$ where k is such that $|\phi|_{L^\infty} \leq k|\phi|_{X^\alpha}$ and $M = \sup\{|f'(v)|, v \in \Sigma\}$. Using the variation of constants formula

$$w(t) = e^{D\Delta t}w_0 + \int_0^t e^{D\Delta(t-s)}Q(v(s), w(s))ds$$

and letting $k_3 = 2k_1|\Omega|^{1/2}$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} |w(t)|_{X^\alpha} &\leq k_1 e^{-d\lambda t} |w_0|_{X^\alpha} \\ &+ 2k_3 kM \int_0^t e^{-d\lambda(t-s)} (t-s)^{-\alpha} |w(s)|_{X^\alpha} ds \end{aligned}$$

Let $z(t) = |w(t)|_{X^\alpha} \exp \beta t$, $d\lambda - \beta > 0$, $y(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} z(s)$.
Then

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &\leq k_1 z(0) + 2k_3 kM \int_0^t e^{-(d\lambda - \beta)(t-s)} (t-s)^{-\alpha} z(s) ds \\ &\leq k_1 z(0) + 2k_3 kM \int_0^\infty e^{-(d\lambda - \beta)s} s^{-\alpha} ds y(t) \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that one can choose β, d so that the coefficient θ of $y(t)$ is < 1 . Then,

$$|w(t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq k_1 (1-\theta)^{-1} e^{-\beta t} |w_0|_X, \quad t \geq 0.$$

This estimate implies that $|w(t)|_{X^\alpha}$ and so $|w(t)|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$ exponentially as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This also implies that $P(v(t), w(t)) - f(v(t)) \rightarrow 0$ exponentially and we obtain all of the conclusions of Theorem 1.1. Of course, the exponential estimate is not a good one.

4. Functional Differential Equations.

Suppose $r > 0$ is a given constant, $C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^N)$, $f: C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a given C^2 -function and consider the equation

$$\partial u(t, x) / \partial t = D\Delta u(t, x) + f(u_t(\cdot, x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (4.1)$$

$$\partial u(t, x) / \partial n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

where $u_t(\theta, x) = u(t+\theta, x)$, $\theta \in [-r, 0]$, $x \in \Omega$.

As before, let $X = L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, define the spaces X^α and choose $3/4 < \alpha < 1$. For any $\phi \in C([-r, 0], X^\alpha)$ with $\partial\phi(\theta, x)/\partial n = 0$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$, one can define a solution $u(t, x, \phi)$ of (4.1) on an interval $-r \leq t < \alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, with $u(\theta, x, \phi) = \phi(\theta, x)$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$. This solution $u(t, \cdot, \phi)$ will be in X^α , it will be continuous in t, ϕ and continuously differentiable in ϕ . The proof of this fact follows along the lines of Henry [10] or Travis and Webb [11].

If we let $T(t, \phi) \in C([-r, 0], X^\alpha)$ be defined by $T(t, \phi)(\theta) = u(t+\theta, \cdot, \phi)$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$, then $T(t, \cdot)$ defines a local semiflow on $C([-r, 0], X^\alpha)$. Positive, negative and complete orbits are defined in the usual way as well as α -limit sets, ω -limit sets, invariant sets and compact attractors.

If $\mathcal{A} \subset C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a compact attractor for the retarded functional differential equation (RFDE),

$$(4.2) \quad dv(t)/dt = f(v_t(\cdot))$$

then there is a Liapunov function V defined in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{A}$ and satisfying conditions (i)-(iii) of Section 2 (see Yoshizawa [11]).

If $\psi \in C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $v(t, \psi)$ is the solution of (4.2) through ψ at $t = 0$ and $\tilde{\psi}(\theta)(x) = \psi(x)$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$, $x \in \Omega$, then $\tilde{\psi} \in C([-r, 0], X^\alpha)$ and $u(t, x, \tilde{\psi}) = v(t, \psi)$, $x \in \Omega$, is the solution of (4.1) through $\tilde{\psi}$. Thus, every

solution of the RFDE (4.2) is a solution of (4.1).

To obtain the analogue of Theorem 1.1 for (4.1), we make the decomposition of X^α as $X^\alpha = U \oplus U_\alpha^\perp$ as was done in Section 2, where U is identified with $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $u = v + w$, $v \in U$, $w \in U_\alpha^\perp$ implies $v = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega u(x) dx$. This decomposition of X^α induces in a natural way a decomposition of $C([-r, 0], X^\alpha)$ as

$$C([-r, 0], X^\alpha) = C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^N) \oplus C([-r, 0], U_\alpha^\perp)$$

$$\phi = \xi + \psi, \quad \xi(\theta) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega \phi(\theta, x) dx$$

If u is a solution of (4.1) with initial value u_0 and

$$u(t, x) = v(t) + w(t, x)$$

$$v(t) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega u(t, x) dx$$

then it follows from (4.1) that

$$(4.3) \quad \dot{v}(t) = P(v_t, w_t)$$

$$(4.4) \quad \dot{w}(t) = D\Delta w(t) + Q(v_t, w_t)$$

where the initial values v_0, w_0 of v, w are given by

$$(4.5) \quad v_0(\theta) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega u_0(\theta, x) dx$$

$$w_0(\theta, x) = u_0(\theta, x) - v(\theta), \quad -r \leq \theta \leq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\xi, \psi) &= |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} f(\xi + \psi(\cdot, x)) dx \\
 (4.6) \quad Q(\xi, \psi)(x) &= f(\xi + \psi(\cdot, x)) - P(\xi, \psi) \\
 \xi &\in C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^N), \quad \psi \in C([-r, 0], U_{\alpha}^{\perp}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Equation (4.4) with initial data w_0 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(t, x) &= e^{D\Delta t} w_0(0, x) + \int_0^t e^{D\Delta(t-s)} Q(v_s, w_s) ds, \quad t \geq 0 \\
 w(t, x) &= w_0(t, x), \quad t \leq 0, \\
 \text{or, for } -r \leq \theta \leq 0, \\
 w(t+\theta, x) &= e^{D\Delta(t+\theta)} w_0(0, x) + \int_0^{t+\theta} e^{D\Delta(t+\theta-s)} Q(v_s, w_s) ds, \quad t+\theta \geq 0, \\
 w(t+\theta, x) &= w_0(t+\theta, x), \quad t+\theta < 0
 \end{aligned}$$

If we define the $n \times n$ matrix function $X(\theta)$ and semi-group $S(t)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_0(\theta) &= 0 \quad \text{for } \theta < 0, \quad X_0(0) = I, \text{ the identity} \\
 [S(t)w_0](\theta, x) &= e^{D\Delta(t+\theta)} w_0(0, x), \quad t + \theta \geq 0 \\
 &= w_0(t + \theta, x), \quad t + \theta < 0
 \end{aligned}$$

then the above formula for the solution w becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad w_t(\theta, x) &= [S(t)w_0](\theta, x) \\
 &+ \int_0^t [e^{D\Delta(t-s)} X_0](\theta) Q(v_s, w_s) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$. We will write this last equation as

$$(4.8) \quad w_t = S(t)w_0 + \int_0^t e^{D(t-s)} X_0 Q(v_s, w_s) ds,$$

$$t \geq 0$$

always remembering that it is evaluated as in (4.7).

Using the estimates (2.7), equations (4.3), (4.8) and the same type of arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, one obtains the following result.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $\mathcal{A} \subset C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a compact attractor for the RFDE (4.2). Then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ considered as a subset of $C([-r, 0], X^\alpha)$, $\alpha > 3/4$, is a compact attractor for (4.1) if $d\lambda > \delta$. More precisely, there is a neighborhood V of $\mathcal{A}$ in $C([-r, 0], X^\alpha)$ and constants $K > 0$, $c > 0$ such that, for any $u_0 \in V$, the solution $u(t, x, u_0)$, $t \geq -r$, of (4.1) with $u(\theta, x, u_0) = u_0(\theta, x)$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$ satisfies

$$|u(t, \cdot, u_0) - \bar{u}(t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq Ke^{-ct}, \quad t \geq 0$$

where $\bar{u}(t) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} u(t, x) dx$, $t \geq -r$ and $\bar{u}(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$d\bar{u}(t)/dt = f(\bar{u}_t) + g(t, u_0), \quad t \geq 0$$

where $|g(t, u_0)| \leq Ke^{-ct}$, $t \geq 0$.

5. Examples.

Examples in ordinary differential equations are very easy to obtain. Conway, Hoff and Smoller [9] have several interesting ones for the case in which there is an invariant region Σ . Any two species Volterra-Lotka model for which the ode's have a unique stable limit cycle in the positive quadrant $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ would have a compact attractor $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^2$. Therefore, this model with large diffusion would have the same attractor $\mathcal{A}$ in the function space.

As remarked earlier, it is almost impossible to have an invariant region when the equation without diffusion is an RFDE. Therefore, we give an example illustrating an implication of the theory for this case.

Consider the scalar differential difference equation

$$(5.1) \quad \dot{v}(t) = -\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \mu\right)v(t-1)[1 + v(t)]$$

in a neighborhood of $\mu = 0, v = 0$. Zero is always a solution of this equation. Also, for $\mu < 0$, the origin is asymptotically stable. At $\mu = 0$, the linearized equation has two eigenvalues on the imaginary axis with the remaining ones having negative real parts. For $\mu > 0$ there is a Hopf bifurcation to a stable periodic orbit. (See, for example, Hale [13], Chow and Mallet-Paret [14], Stech [15].) Therefore, there is a $\mu_0 > 0$ and a neighborhood W of zero in $C([-1,0], \mathbb{R})$ such that (5.1) has a compact

attractor $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ in W and $\mathcal{A}_\mu = \{0\}$ for $-\mu_0 \leq \mu \leq 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_\mu = W_\mu^u(0) \cup \gamma_\mu$ for $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0$, where γ_μ is the periodic orbit obtained from the Hopf bifurcation and $W_\mu^u(0)$ is the unstable manifold of the zero solution.

Theorem 4.1 implies that $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ is an attractor for the equation

$$\partial u(t,x)/\partial t = d\Delta u(t,x) - (\frac{\pi}{2} + \mu)u(t-1,x)[1+u(t,x)] \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$\partial u(t,x)/\partial n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

in $C([-1,0], X^\alpha)$, $\alpha > 3/4$, if $d\lambda > \delta$ where δ is sufficiently large. Furthermore, the estimates in Theorem 4.1 show, in particular, that the orbit γ_μ is asymptotically orbitally stable in $C([-1,0], X^\alpha)$ if $d\lambda > \delta$.

It is clear that the above remarks remain valid for any RFDE in a neighborhood of a Hopf bifurcation. In particular, a stable Hopf bifurcation remains stable if $d\lambda$ is sufficiently large which is a result previously obtained by Yoshida [16].

References

- [1] R. Aris, The Mathematical Theory of Diffusion and Reaction in Permeable Catalysts, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1975.
- [2] J. Smoller, Shock Waves and Reaction-Diffusion Equations, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [3] J. Auchmuty and G. Nicolis, "Dissipative Structures, Catastrophes and Pattern Formation," Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 71(1974), 2748-2751.
- [4] P. Fife, "Pattern Formation in Reacting and Diffusing Systems," J. Chem. Phys., 64(1976), 554-564.
- [5] P. Fitzhugh, "Mathematic Models of Excitation and Propagation in Nerves," Biological Engineering, H.P. Schwann, Ed., McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- [6] L. Howard and N. Kopell, "Plane Wave Solutions to Reaction-Diffusion Equations," Studies in Appl. Math., 52(1973), 291-328.
- [7] R. May, Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems, Princeton Univ. Press, 1974.
- [8] H.G. Othmer, "Current Problems in Pattern Formation," Lecture Notes in Math. and Life Sci., Vol. 9, 1977.
- [9] E. Conway, D. Hoff and J. Smoller, "Large Time Behavior of Solutions of Systems of Nonlinear Reaction-Diffusion Equations," SIAM J. Appl. Math., 35(1978), 1-16.
- [10] D. Henry, Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 840, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [11] T. Yoshizawa, Stability Theory by Liapunov's Second Method, Math. Soc. of Japan, Tokyo, 1966.
- [12] C.C. Travis and G.F. Webb, "Existence and Stability for Partial Functional Differential Equations," Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 200(1974), 394-418.
- [13] J.K. Hale, Theory of Functional Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

- [14] S.-N. Chow and J. Mallet-Paret, "Integral Averaging and Hopf Bifurcation," J. Differential Eqns., 26(1977), 112-159.
- [15] H.W. Stech, Hopf Bifurcation Calculations for Functional Differential Equations," Preprint, 1983.
- [16] K. Yoshida, "The Hopf Bifurcation and its Stability for Semilinear Diffusion Equations with Time Delay Arising in Ecology," Hiroshima Math. J., 12(1982), 321-348.

END
FILMED

5-86

DTIC