AD-A168 942 WEAK CONVERGENCE OF THE VARIATIONS ITERATED INTEGRALS 1/1
AND DOLEANS-DADE EX..(U) NORTH CAROLINA UNIV AT CHAPEL
HILL CENTER FOR STOCHASTIC PROC.. F AVRAM MAR 86
UNCLASSIFIED TR-135 AFOSR-TR-86-0327 F49620-85-C-0144 F/G 12/1 NL



MICROCOP

AD-A168 942

(2)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

DTIC ELECTE JUN 0 6 1986 S D D

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS:

2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY: NA

3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT: Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited

2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE: NA

4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S): Technical Report No. 135

5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S): AFOSR-TR- 86-0327

6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION: University of North Carolina

6b. OFFICE SYMBOL *(If applicable)*:

7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION: AFOSR/NM

6c. ADDRESS *(City, State and ZIP Code)*: Center for Stochastic Processes, Statistics Department, Phillips Hall 039-A, Chapel Hill, NC 27514

7b. ADDRESS *(City, State and ZIP Code)*: Bldg. 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448

8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION: AFOSR

8b. OFFICE SYMBOL *(If applicable)*:

9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: F49620 85 C 0144

8c. ADDRESS *(City, State and ZIP Code)*: Bldg. 410 Bolling AFB, DC

10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.

PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT NO.
6.1102F	2304	A5	

11. TITLE *(Include Security Classification)*: Weak convergence of the variations, iterated integrals, and Doleans-Dade exponentials of sequences of semimartingales"

12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S): Avram, F.

13a. TYPE OF REPORT	13b. TIME COVERED	14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day)	15. PAGE COUNT
Technical	FROM 9/85 TO 8/86	March 1986	6

16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION:

17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS *(Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)*
FIELD	GROUP	SUB. GR.	Keywords:
XXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	XXXX	

19. ABSTRACT *(Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)*

If $X^{(n)}$ is a sequence of semimartingales, converging to a semimartingale X, and such that $[X^{(n)}, X^{(n)}]$ converges to $[X,X]$, then all higher order variations and all the iterated integrals of $X^{(n)}$ converge jointly to the respective functionals of X.

86 6 6 024

20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT: UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS ☐

21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL: [signature]

22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER *(Include Area Code)*: (202) 767-5027

22c. OFFICE SYMBOL: AFOSR/NM

DD FORM 1473, 83 APR EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE. UNCLASSIFIED

AFOSR-TR- 86-0327

CENTER FOR STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

Department of Statistics
University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill, North Carolina

WEAK CONVERGENCE OF THE VARIATIONS, ITERATED INTEGRALS,

AND DOLÉANS-DADE EXPONENTIALS OF SEQUENCES OF SEMIMARTINGALES

by

Florin Avram

Technical Report No. 135

March 1986

86 6 6 024

WEAK CONVERGENCE OF THE VARIATIONS, ITERATED INTEGRALS, AND DOLÉANS-DADE EXPONENTIALS OF SEQUENCES OF SEMIMARTINGALES

by

Florin Avram

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Abstract

If $X^{(n)}$ is a sequence of semimartingales, converging to a semimartingale X, and such that $[X^{(n)}, X^{(n)}]$ converges to $[X,X]$, then all higher order variations and all the iterated integrals of $X^{(n)}$ converge jointly to the respective functionals of X.

AMS 1980 Subject Classifications: Primary, 60F17; Secondary, 60H05.

Keywords and Phrases: Semimartingales, weak J_1 Skoronod topology, variations, multiple integrals, Doléans-Dade exponential.

This research supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research Contract No. F49620 85C 0144.

1. Introduction

A. Let $X_t^{(n)}$ be a sequence of semimartingales, with $t \in [0,1]$, such that

$$(1.1) \qquad X^{(n)} \xrightarrow{w(J_1)} X,$$

where X is a semimartingale, and $\xrightarrow{w(J_1)}$ denotes weak convergence on $D[0,1]$ with respect to the J_1-Skorohod topology.

We investigate the convergence of the variations, iterated integrals and Doléans Dade exponentials of $X^{(n)}$, which are defined as follows: For Y a semimartingale,

$$(1.2) \qquad V_k(Y)_t = \begin{cases} Y_t & \text{for } k=1 \\ [Y,Y]_t = \langle Y,Y\rangle_t + \sum_{s\le t} (\Delta Y_s)^2, & \text{for } k=2 \\ \sum_{s\le t} (\Delta Y_s)^k, & \text{for } k\ge 3 \end{cases}$$

$$(1.3) \qquad I_k(Y)_t = \begin{cases} Y_t & \text{for } k=1 \\ \int_0^t I_{k-1}(Y)_{s-}\, dY_s, & \text{for } k\ge 2 \end{cases}$$

$$(1.4) \qquad E(\lambda Y)_t = \exp[\lambda Y_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}[Y,Y]_t] \prod_{s\le t} \ell(\lambda\Delta Y_s),$$

where $\ell(x) = (1+x)e^{-x+\frac{x^2}{2}}$.

$V_k(Y)$, $I_k(Y)$ and $E(\lambda Y)$ are called respectively the variations, the iterated integrals and the Doléans-Dade exponential of the semimartingale Y. It is known that V_k, I_k and E are well defined for any semimartingale Y (see Meyer, 1976). These quantities are important in the theory of multiple integration with respect to Y_t.

B. When $X_t^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} X_{i,n}$, with $X_{i,n}$ a triangular array, then

$$V_k(X^{(n)})_t = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} X_{i,n}^k,$$

$$I_k(\overset{(n)}{X})_t = \sum_{1\le i_1<\dots<i_k\le [nt]} X_{i_1,n} \cdots X_{i_k,n},$$

and

$$E(\lambda \overset{(n)}{X})_t = \prod_{i=1}^{[nt]} (1+\lambda X_{i,n}) = \sum_{k=0}^{[nt]} \lambda^k I_k(\overset{(n)}{X})_t .$$

The problem of the convergence of these "moments", "symmetric statistics", and generating function of the symmetric statistics have been studied in [1],[3-5],[7], and [9].

C. From formula 41.1 of Meyer (1976), it follows that in the semimartingale context, just like in the discrete deterministic case, I_k, $k=1,\dots, m$ and V_k, $k=1,\dots, m$ can be represented as polynomials of n variables in one another (the Newton polynomials which relate sums of powers to the sums of products). Thus, the issue of the joint convergence of I_k, $k=1,\dots, m$, and that of the convergence of V_k, $k=1,\dots, m$, are equivalent.

D. $\overset{(n)}{X} \xrightarrow{w(J_1)} X$ does not imply in general $[\overset{(n)}{X}, \overset{(n)}{X}] \to [X,X]$, as the following deterministic example from Jacod (1983) shows:

$$\overset{(n)}{X}_t = \sum_{k=1}^{[n^2 t]} \frac{(-1)^k}{n} \quad \text{converges uniformly to 0, but} \quad [\overset{n}{X},\overset{n}{X}]_t = \sum_{k=1}^{[n^2 t]} \frac{1}{n^2} \to t.$$

E. However, the following result holds:

<u>Theorem 1</u>: The following three statements are equivalent.

$$(1.5) \qquad (\overset{(n)}{X}, [\overset{n}{X},\overset{n}{X}]) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{w(J_1)} (X,[X,X]),$$

$$(1.6) \qquad (V_1(\overset{(n)}{X}),\dots, V_m(\overset{(n)}{X})) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{w(J_1)} V_1(X),\dots, V_m(X)), \quad \forall m \ge 2,$$

$$(1.7) \qquad (I_1(\overset{(n)}{X}),\dots, I_m(\overset{(n)}{X})) \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{w(J_1)} I_1(X),\dots, I_m(X)), \quad \forall m \ge 2.$$

They also imply:

$$(1.8) \qquad E(\lambda \overset{(n)}{X}) \xrightarrow{w(J_1)} E(\lambda X), \quad \forall \lambda .$$

Corollary: If

$$(1.9) \qquad \overset{(n)}{X} \xrightarrow{w(J_1)} X$$

and the condition of Jacod (1983) holds:

$$(1.10) \qquad \lim_{b\to\infty} \sup_{n\to\infty} P\{\mathrm{Var}(B^{h,n})_1 > b\} = 0$$

(where h is a truncation function and $(B^{h,n})_t$ is the previsible projection of the truncated semimartingale $\overset{n}{X}$), then (1.5), (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) hold.

Proof: cf. Jacod (1983), Theorem 5.1.1, (1.9) and (1.10) imply (1.5).

2. Proofs

Introduce the following notation: For any real number x,

$$x^{>a} := x\cdot 1_{\{|x|>a\}}$$

$$x^{\leq a} := x\cdot 1_{\{|x|\leq a\}}$$

We establish now the following:

Lemma 1: a) Suppose $\overset{(n)}{X}$ are semimartingales such that

$$(2.1) \qquad \lim_{b\to\infty} \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} P\{[\overset{(n)}{X},\overset{(n)}{X}]_1 > b\} = 0,$$

and let f(x) be any real function such that $f(x) = o(x^2)$, as $x \to 0$. Then, for all ε,

$$(2.2) \qquad \lim_{a\to 0} \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} P\{\sum_{s\leq 1} |f(\Delta X_s^{\leq a})| \geq \varepsilon\} = 0.$$

b) If the assumptions of a) hold, $\overset{(n)}{X} \xrightarrow{w(J_1)} X$ and f is a continuous, vector valued function, then:

$$(2.3) \qquad \sum_{s\leq t} f(\Delta \overset{(n)}{X}_s) \xrightarrow{w(J_1)} \sum_{s\leq t} f(\Delta X_s).$$

Proof: a) Note first that $\Sigma_{s\le t}|f(\Delta \overset{(n)}{X}_s)|<\infty$, since $\Sigma_{s\le t}\Delta \overset{(n)}{X}_s{}^2<\infty$. Let now $g(a)=\sup_{|x|\le a}|f(x)|/x^{-2}$. Then,

$$P\{\sum_{s\le 1}|f(\Delta \overset{(n)}{X}_s{}^{\le a})|>\varepsilon\}\le P\{\sum_{s\le 1}(\Delta \overset{(n)}{X}_s{}^{\le a})^2 g(a)>\varepsilon\}$$

$$\le P\{[\overset{(n)}{X},\overset{(n)}{X}]_1>\varepsilon/g(a)\}.$$

Since $g(a)\to 0$, (2.2) follows from (2.1).

b) Let $U(X)=\{u>0: P\{|\Delta X_t|\ne u, \text{ for all } t\}=0\}$. $U(X)$ is dense in R_+. For any $a\in U(X)$, and f continuous, the functional

$$S_f^a(Z)_t = \sum_{s\le t} f(\Delta Z_s{}^{>a})$$

is J_1 continuous a.s. (dist (X)). Thus, $\overset{(n)}{X}\xrightarrow{w(J_1)}X$ implies for $a\in U(X)$

$$S_f^a(\overset{(n)}{X})\xrightarrow{w(J_1)}S_f^a(X).$$

Also,

$$S_f^a(X)_t \xrightarrow[a\to 0]{a.s.\ (J_1)} S_f(X)_t := \sum_{s\le t} f(\Delta X_s).$$

The result follows now by (2.2) and Theorem 4.2 of Billingsley (1968).

Proof of Theorem 1:

By Lemma 1b, we have (1.5) $\Rightarrow$ (1.6), and in fact the same type of argument yields (1.5) $\Rightarrow$ (1.8), as follows: Assume for convenience $\lambda=1$ and $1\in U(X)$, let

$$f(x) = [\ell n(1+x)-x+\frac{x^2}{2}]|_{\{|x|\le 1\}},$$

and let $T: D_{[0,1]}\to D_{[0,1]}$ be defined by:

$$T(Z)_t := \prod_{s\le t}\ell(\Delta Z_s{}^{>1}) = \prod_{s\le t}(1+\Delta Z_s{}^{>1})\exp\{-\Delta Z_s{}^{>1}+\frac{1}{2}(\Delta Z_s{}^{>1})^2\}.$$

Since the Doléans-Dade exponential

$$E(X)_t = \exp\{X_t - \frac{1}{2}[X,X]_t + \sum_{s\le t} f[\Delta X_s^{\le 1}]\} \cdot T(X)_t,$$

it remains only to note that the functional:

$$X^a : D^{(2)}[0,1] \to D^{(4)}[0,1]$$

$$X(Z_1,Z_2) = (Z_1,Z_2,S_f^a(Z_1),T_{Z_1})$$

is continuous a.s., if both spaces are endowed with the respective J_1 topologies. Letting then $a \to 0$, as in the proof of Lemma 1, one gets:

$$(\overset{(n)}{X}_t, [\overset{(n)}{X},\overset{(n)}{X}]_t, \sum_{s\le t} f(\Delta \overset{(n)}{X}_s^{\le 1}), \prod_{s\le t} \ell(\Delta \overset{(n)}{X}_s^{>1}))$$

$$\xrightarrow{w(J_1)} (X_t,[X,X]_t, \sum_{s\le t} f(\Delta X_s^{\le 1}), \prod_{s\le t} \ell(\Delta X_s^{>1})),$$

since $\ell n(1+x) - x + \frac{x^2}{2} = o(x^2)$, and since (1.5) implies (2.1). Finally, applying the continuous functional

$$\rho : D^{(4)}[0,1] \to D_{[0,1]},$$

$$\rho(Z_1,Z_2,Z_3,Z_4) = \exp[Z_1 - \frac{1}{2}Z_2 + Z_3] \cdot Z_4,$$

we get that

$$E(\lambda \overset{(n)}{X}) \xrightarrow{w(J_1)} E(\lambda X).$$

Since (1.6) is equivalent to (1.7) (by the use of the polynomial mapping), and (1.6) trivially implies (1.5), Theorem 1 is proved. □

References

1. Avram, F., Taqqu, M.S.: Symmetric Polynomials of Random Variables Attracted to an Infinitely Divisible Law. To appear in Z. für Wahr.

2. Billingsley, P.: Convergence of probability measures. Wiley: New York, (1968).

3. Dynkin, E.B., Mandelbaum, A.: Symmetric statistics, Poisson point processes and multiple Wiener integrals. Ann. of Statistics 11, 739-745, (1983).

4. Denker, M., Grillenberger, Chr., Keller, G.: A note on invariance principles for von Mises' statistics. Metrika (to appear 1985).

5. Feinsilver, P.J.: Special functions, probability semigroups and Hamilton flows. Lect. Notes in Math., 696. Springer Verlag: New York (1978).

6. Jacod, J.: Theorèmes Limite Pour Les Processus. École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIII--1983. Lect. Notes in Math. 1117. Springer Verlag: New York (1983).

7. Mandelbaum, A., Taqqu, M.S.: Invariance principle for symmetric statistics. Ann. of Statistics 12, 483-496 (1984).

8. Meyer, P.-A.: Un cours sur les integrales stochastiques. Sem. Probl X. Lect. Notes Math. 511, 245-400. Springer Verlag: New York (1976).

9. Rubin, H., Vitale, R.A.: Asymptotic distribution of symmetric statistics. Ann. of Statistics 8, 165-170 (1980).

END

DTIC

7 - 86