

AD-A171 099

CLEAN-BURNING DIESEL ENGINES (U) SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INST
SAN ANTONIO TX BEULVOIR FUELS AND LUBRICANTS RESEARCH
FACILITY H E DIETZMANN ET AL. MAR 86 BFLAF-213

1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

DAAR70-85-0007

F/G 21/7

ML

END
COPY
1-1
G H



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A171 099

12

CLEAN-BURNING DIESEL ENGINES — Phase III

**INTERIM REPORT
BFLRF No. 215**

By

H.E. Dietzmann

L.R. Smith

**Engines, Emissions, and Vehicle Research Division
Southwest Research Institute
San Antonio, Texas**

Prepared for

**Belvoir Fuels and Lubricants Research Facility (SwRI)
Southwest Research Institute
San Antonio, Texas**

Under Contract to


**U.S. Army Belvoir Research, Development
and Engineering Center
Materials, Fuels and Lubricants Laboratory
Fort Belvoir, Virginia**

Contract No. DAAK70-85-C-0007

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

March 1986

DTIC FILE COPY



86 8 3 090

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Trade names cited in this report do not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial hardware or software.

DTIC Availability Notice

Qualified requestors may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Technical Information Center, Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314.

Disposition Instructions

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

ADA 171099

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS None		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY N/A		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE None		4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Interim Report BFLRF No. 215		
5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Southwest Research Institute		
6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SwRI		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Department of Emissions Research/Engines, Emissions and Vehicle Research Division, 6220 Culebra Rd., San Antonio, Texas 78284		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Belvoir Research Development & Engineering Center		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) STRBE-VF		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER DAAK70-85-C-0007:WD23
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.
				WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) CLEAN-BURNING DIESEL ENGINES - PHASE III (U)				
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Dietzmann, Harry E. and Smith, Lawrence R.				
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim Report		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 6/85 TO 12/85		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1986 March
15. PAGE COUNT 54				
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION This work was performed under a contract issued to Belvoir Fuels and Lubricants Research Facility (SwRI). The work was performed for the Logistics Support Lab., STRBE-GMW, Fort Belvoir, VA.				
17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Forklift Particulate Teledyne TMD-20 Diesel Engines Aldehydes Isuzu C-240 Emissions Smoke Peugeot XD2P	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Gaseous and particulate emissions were measured from diesel forklift engines under a variety of steady-state conditions. An EPA certification fuel was used to determine CO, CO ₂ , NO, HC, particulate, aldehydes, smoke and SO ₂ emission rates from Isuzu C-240, Peugeot XD3P, and Teledyne TMD-20 diesel engines. Emission rates were reported in g/hp-hr, g/hr, and observed concentration, i.e., ppm, percent, or mg/m ³ .				
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Mr. F.W. Schaeckel			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (703) 664-3576	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL STRBE-VF

DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR

83 APR edition may be used until exhausted.
All other editions are obsolete.


SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Unclassified

FOREWORD

Work Directive 18, "Clean Burning Diesel Engines," was issued on September 13, 1982 under Contract DAAK70-82-C-0001 to the U.S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Command (MERADCOM; currently the Belvoir Research, Development and Engineering Center). Phase III of this work was initiated in March 1985 under Contract DAAK70-85-C-0007, Work Directive 23. The engineering and analytical efforts of this program were conducted by the Department of Emissions Research of Southwest Research Institute, 6220 Culebra Road, San Antonio, Texas 78284. This program was identified within Southwest Research Institute as Project 02-8341-175.

This project was under the overall supervision of Harry E. Dietzmann, Manager of the Chemical Analysis Section. He was assisted by Dr. Lawrence R. Smith (chemical analysis) and Mr. Ernie Krueger (engine testing). Emission testing was initiated in July 1985 and was completed in December 1985. Mr. Tim Lee of Belvoir Research, Development and Engineering Center, STRBE-GMW, was the technical officer, Mr. James Stephens served as the overall program manager, and Mr. F. W. Schaekel, Belvoir Research, Development and Engineering Center, STRBE-VF, served as Contracting Officers Representative.



✓

A-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	7
A. Objective	7
B. Scope	8
II. DESCRIPTION OF FACILITIES, ENGINES AND PROCEDURES	9
A. Engine Description	9
B. Fuel Description	13
C. Dynamometer Description	13
D. Gaseous Emissions (Group I)	13
II. ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES FOR UNREGULATED EMISSIONS	21
A. Description of Analytical Procedures	21
B. Accuracy of the Analytical Procedures	23
IV. RESULTS	25
A. Group I Emissions	25
B. Group II Emissions	30
C. Group III Emissions	34
D. Trend Validation	34
V. SUMMARY	40
REFERENCES	42
APPENDICES	
A. 13-Mode Gaseous Emissions Results	43
B. Summary of Aldehyde and Ketones Emission Rates From Isuzu C-240, Teledyne TMD-20, and Peugeot XD3P Diesel Engines	53

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Views of Isuzu C-240 on the Test Stand	12
2	Views of the Teledyne TMD-20 on the Test Stand	14
3	Views of Peugeot XD3P on the Test Stand	16
4	Several Views of Gaseous and Particulate Emissions Instrumentation	18
5	Gaseous Emissions Cart Flow Schematic	20
6	Several Views of Group II and III Sampling and Analysis Systems	22

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Test Matrix for Emission Testing of Isuzu C-240, Teledyne TMD-20, and Peugeot XD3P Diesel Engines Using EPA DF-2 Certification Fuel (Phillips DF-2 Emissions Fuel)	8
2	Summary of Engine Performance Tests Before and After 80-Hour Engine Break-In on Isuzu C-240, Teledyne TMD-20, and Peugeot XD3P	10
3	Break-In Schedule for Isuzu C-240 and Teledyne TMD-20	11
4	Break-In Schedule for Peugeot XD3P	15
5	Fuel Inspection Data	17
6	List of Group I Emission Measurement Equipment	19
7	Sampling and Analysis Methodology for Unregulated Emissions	23
8	Unregulated Emission Procedural Sample Rates and Accuracy	24
9	Gaseous and Smoke Emissions (Group I) from Isuzu C-240 Diesel Engine	26
10	Gaseous and Smoke Emissions (Group I) from Teledyne TMD-20 Diesel Engine	27
11	Gaseous and Smoke Emissions (Group I) from Peugeot XD3P Diesel Engine	28
12	Summary of Composite 13-Mode Emission Results	29
13	Comparison of Isuzu C-240, Teledyne TMD-20 and Peugeot XD3P Smoke Opacities with the MIL-T-52932C Fuel	29
14	Summary of Particulate Emissions from Isuzu C-240, Teledyne TMD-20, and Peugeot XD3P Diesel Engines	31
15	Summary of Sulfur Dioxide Emissions from Isuzu C-240, Teledyne TMD-20, and Peugeot XD3P Diesel Engines	32

LIST OF TABLES (CONT'D)

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
16	Comparison of Isuzu C-240, Teledyne TMD-20, and Peugeot XD3P Particulate Emission Rates with the MIL-T-52932C Specification	33
17	Summary of Formaldehyde Emissions from Isuzu C-240, Teledyne TMD-20, and Peugeot XD3P Diesel Engines	35
18	Summary of Total Aldehyde Emissions from Isuzu C-240, Teledyne TMD-20, and Peugeot XD3P Diesel Engines	35
19	Trend Validation of Emissions from Isuzu C-240 Diesel Engine	37
20	Trend Validation of Emissions from Teledyne TMD-20 Diesel Engine	38
21	Trend Validation of Emissions from Peugeot XD3P Diesel Engine	39

I. INTRODUCTION

The United States Army is investigating the possibility of replacing the currently used electric forklift with diesel engine-powered forklifts in handling hazardous materials. Electric-powered forklifts have no noise or air pollution problems, however, the logistic problems associated with field operations have prompted the U.S. Army to investigate other possible alternatives. The diesel engine has many advantages, i.e., mobility, cost, maintenance, however, the use of diesels in areas of limited ventilation is of concern.

This program is the third in a series of programs conducted to characterize gaseous, particulate, and unregulated emissions from diesel engines considered as potential candidates for forklift vehicles used to handle hazardous materials. The first program was conducted to characterize exhaust emissions from a Deutz F3L 912W engine and a Perkins 4.2032 engine operating on a MIL-F-46162A(MR) fuel.^{(1)*} The second program involved four diesel forklift engines, a Deutz F3L 912W, a Deutz F4L 912W, a Perkins 4.2032, and a Perkins 4.2482; two test fuels, MIL-F-46162B(ME) (a high sulfur reference fuel) and an EPA certification fuel (DF-2 emissions certification fuel); and included engine operation with selected induced faults.⁽²⁾ This third study expands the emissions data base for potential diesel engine-powered forklifts to include three additional engines, an Isuzu C-240, a Teledyne TMD-20, and a Peugeot XD3P operating on an EPA certification fuel.

A. Objective

The objective of this program was to expand the exhaust emissions characterization data base of diesel engines considered as potential candidates for forklift vehicles to include the emission characterization of three additional diesel-powered engines. This emission characterization was accomplished on engines provided by Belvoir R,D&E Center and included gaseous and particulate emissions of potential concern when these engines are operated in confined areas. The method used to evaluate these engines was the modal steady-state procedure used in the 13-mode Federal Test Procedure.⁽³⁾

*Numbers in parentheses designate references at the end of the report.

B. Scope

Three diesel forklift engines were provided by Belvoir R,D&E Center for this study, an Isuzu C-240, a Teledyne TMD-20, and a Peugeot XD3P. The test fuel was an EPA DF-2 certification fuel and was obtained from Phillips Petroleum Company. Emission characterization was accomplished over the test matrix in Table 1. Emission rates are presented in g (or mg)/hp-hr, g (or mg)/hr, and observed concentrations.

TABLE 1. TEST MATRIX FOR EMISSION TESTING OF ISUZU C-240, TELEDYNE TMD-20, AND PEUGEOT XD3P DIESEL ENGINES USING EPA DF-2 CERTIFICATION FUEL (PHILLIPS DF-2 EMISSIONS FUEL)

<u>Engine Speed</u>	<u>Engine Load</u>	<u>Emission Measurement</u>
Idle	--	Group I, II, III
Peak Torque	2%	Group I, II, III
Peak Torque	25%	Group I, II
Peak Torque	50%	Group I
Peak Torque	75%	Group I
Peak Torque	100%	Group I
Rated	2%	Group I, II
Rated	25%	Group I
Rated	50%	Group I, II
Rated	75%	Group I
Rated	100%	Group I, II, III

Group I - includes CO, CO₂, HC, NO_x (NO + NO₂), Smoke
Group II - includes particulates and SO₂
Group III - includes aldehydes

II. DESCRIPTION OF FACILITIES, ENGINES, AND PROCEDURES

A. Engine Description

This program involved emission mapping for gaseous, particulate, smoke, aldehydes, and sulfur dioxide emissions on three diesel forklift engines. The three diesel engines were tested using an EPA DF-2 emissions certification fuel. Engines in this study included an Isuzu C-240, Teledyne TMD-20, and a Peugeot XD3P. New engines were supplied by Belvoir R&D Center.

1. Isuzu C-240

The first engine tested in this program was an Isuzu C-240 engine rated at 43 hp at 2400 rpm. This four-cylinder, water-cooled engine was delivered to Southwest Research Institute (SwRI) in April 1985. A performance map was accomplished on the engine upon receipt at SwRI and after an 80-hour engine break-in. The engine performance data, both before and after engine break-in, are presented in Table 2, and the engine break-in schedule is presented in Table 3. Views of the Isuzu C-240 on the test stand are illustrated in Figure 1.

Hydrocarbon emissions during the initial 13-mode emissions test on the Isuzu C-240 were unstable. Although the 13-mode was a valid test, the unsteady hydrocarbon emissions were of concern. As a result of these concerns, two additional 13-mode emission tests were conducted. The hydrocarbon emissions were stable during these tests, and these results were averaged for comparison purposes.

2. Teledyne TMD-20

The Teledyne TMD-20 was supplied new by Belvoir R,D&E Center. This three-cylinder, water-cooled diesel engine was rated at 37.7 hp at 2230 rpm and underwent the 80-hour break-in schedule presented in Table 3. The engine performance data for the Teledyne TMD-20 before and after the 80-hour break-

TABLE 2. SUMMARY OF ENGINE PERFORMANCE TESTS BEFORE AND AFTER 80-HOUR ENGINE BREAK-IN ON ISUZU C-240, TELEDYNE TMD-20, AND PEUGEOT XD3P

Engine Speed, rpm	Isuzu C-240 ^a		Teledyne TMD-20 ^b		Peugeot XD3P ^c	
	Before 80-hr Break-in	After 80-hr Break-in	Before 80-hr Break-in	After 80-hr Break-in	Before 50-hr Break-in ^d	After 50-hr Break-in ^d
800	12.0	13.7	--	--	--	--
900	13.9	15.5	--	--	14.8	14.3
1000	15.8	17.3	16.0	15.9	17.2	16.7
1100	18.0	19.1	17.8	17.8	19.7	19.2
1200	20.0	21.3	19.6	19.6	22.4	21.5
1300	22.1	23.5	21.5	21.5	24.6	24.1
1400	23.6	26.1	23.5	23.5	27.3	26.6
1500	25.1	27.8	25.3	25.7	30.0	29.0
1600	26.8	29.8	27.2	27.7	32.8	31.6
1700	28.9	31.8	29.0	29.5	35.3	33.7
1800	30.7	34.4	30.6	31.2	37.4	35.9
1900	32.7	36.9	32.3	32.7	39.4	37.9
2000	34.8	38.7	33.9	34.7	41.3	39.8
2100	36.3	40.1	35.5	36.2	43.6	41.8
2200	37.6	41.4	37.0	37.5	45.5	43.7
2300	38.9	43.1	37.1	35.6	47.7	45.5
2400	41.1	44.7	--	--	--	--

^aRated HP = 43

Rated Speed = 2400 rpm

^bRated HP = 37.7

Rated Speed = 2300 rpm

^cRated HP = 47.5

Rated Speed = 2300

^dEngine had already accumulated 30 hours of operation when received at SwRI.

TABLE 3. BREAK-IN SCHEDULE FOR ISUZU C-240 AND TELEDYNE TMD-20

Step	Time per Step	Total Time	Engine Speed, rpm		Load, %
			Isuzu C-240	Teledyne TMD-20	
1a	0:15 min	0:15	1000	1000	0
1b	0:15 min	0:30	1400	1400	20
1c	0:15 min	0:45	1600	1600	30
1d	0:15 min	1:00	2000	2000	30
1e	0:15 min	1:15	2200	2100	50
1f	0:15 min	1:30	2400	2230	50
1g	0:15 min	1:45	2400	2230	75
1h	0:15 min	2:00	2400	2230	100
2	1:00	3:00	2000	2000	30
3	1:00	4:00	2200	2100	50
4	1:00	5:00	2400	2230	50
7	7 hours cycling time (total time 12:00 hours)	0:05	1200	1200	0
		0:25	1600	1600	60
		0:05	1200	1200	0
		0:25	1800	1800	60
8	8 hours cycling time (total time 20:00 hours)	0:05	1600	1600	0
		0:25	1200	1200	70
		0:05	1600	1600	0
		0:25	2000	2000	70
9	10 hours cycling time (total time 30:00 hours)	0:05	1600	1600	80
		0:25	2000	2000	80
		0:05	1800	1800	80
		0:25	2200	2230	80
10	10 hours cycling time (total time 40:00 hours)	0:15	1800	1800	90
		0:15	2200	2100	90
		0:15	2000	2000	90
		0:15	2400	2230	90

Repeat entire sequence to give a total of 80 hours




FIGURE 1. VIEWS OF ISUZU C-240 ON THE TEST STAND

in are illustrated in Table 2. Figure 2 illustrates the Teledyne TMD-20 on the test stand.

3. Peugeot XD3P

The Peugeot XD3P, a four-cylinder, water-cooled engine had already undergone 30 hours of operation when received at SwRI. This engine was rated at 47.5 hp at 2300 rpm. Since the engine had already accumulated 30 hours of operation, only 50 additional hours of engine break-in were conducted on the engine. This modified break-in schedule is presented in Table 4. The engine performance data for the Peugeot XD3P before and after the abbreviated engine break-in are illustrated in Figure 2. Figure 3 illustrates the Peugeot XD3P on the test stand.

B. Fuel Description

All testing in this program was conducted using EM-627-F, an EPA DF-2 certification fuel. This fuel met EPA certification specifications and was obtained from Phillips Petroleum Company. The fuel inspection data on the test fuel are presented in Table 5.

C. Dynamometer Description

A 250-hp Midwest wet gap eddy current dynamometer determined the load on the three test engines. Fuel was measured using a Flotron. An 8-inch stainless steel dilution tunnel was used to collect particulate samples. All equipment was calibrated prior to testing using accepted applicable procedures, i.e., Federal Register, SAE, EPA Recommended Practice, etc. Several views of the test equipment are illustrated in Figure 4.

D. Gaseous Emissions (Group I)

The measurement of gaseous emissions was accomplished using analytical equipment, procedures, and calculations specified in the Federal Register⁽³⁾ for 13-mode certification testing. The specific analytical instruments used in this



FIGURE 2. VIEWS OF TELEDYNE TMD-20 ON THE TEST STAND

TABLE 4. BREAK-IN SCHEDULE FOR PEUGEOT XD3P

Step	Time per Step	Total Time	RPM	% Power	Load, lbs
1	10 hours cycling time (total time 40:00 hours)	0:05	1800	90	74.9
		0:25	2200	90	74.5
		0:05	2000	90	74.3
		0:25	2300	90	74.7
2	1:00	41:00	1600	30	24.6
3	1:00	42:00	1600	50	41.0
4	1:00	43:00	1800	30	24.9
5	1:00	44:00	1800	50	41.6
6	1:00	45:00	1800	80	66.6
7	7 hours cycling time (total time 52:00 hours)	0:05	1200	0	0.0
		0:25	1600	60	49.2
		0:05	1200	0	0.0
		0:25	1800	60	49.9
8	8 hours cycling time (total time 60:00 hours)	0:05	1600	0	0.0
		0:25	1200	70	52.3
		0:05	1600	0	0.0
		0:25	2000	70	57.8
9	10 hours cycling time (total time 70:00 hours)	0:05	1600	80	65.6
		0:25	2000	80	66.1
		0:05	1800	80	66.6
		0:25	2200	80	66.2
10	10 hours cycling time (total time 80:00 hours)	0:05	1800	90	74.9
		0:25	2200	90	74.5
		0:05	2000	90	74.3
		0:25	2300	90	74.7






FIGURE 3. VIEWS OF PEUGEOT XD3P ON THE TEST STAND


TABLE 5. FUEL INSPECTION DATA

<u>Fuel Property</u>	<u>Test Method</u>	<u>EPA Specifications</u>	<u>EM-627-F</u>
Cetane Number	D 613	42-50	46.2
Gravity, °API	D 287	33-37	35.2
Total Sulfur, Wt %	D 3120	0.2-0.5	0.35
Aromatics (FIA), Vol %	D 1319	27 min	32.1
Flash Point, °F (°C)	D 93	130 (54) min	162 (72)
Kinematic Viscosity, cSt	D 445	2.0-3.2	2.52
Particulate Matter	D 2276	NA ^a	2.1
Cloud Point, °F (°C)	D 2500	NA	+12 (-11)
Pour Point, °F (°C)	D 97	NA	0
Distillation Range, °F (°C)	D 86		
IBP		340-400 (149-204)	375 (191)
5% Recovered			415 (213)
10% Recovered		400-460 (204-238)	431 (222)
20% Recovered			451 (233)
30% Recovered			469 (243)
40% Recovered			487 (253)
50% Recovered		470-540 (243-282)	505 (263)
60% Recovered			523 (273)
70% Recovered			543 (284)
80% Recovered			567 (297)
90% Recovered		550-610 (288-321)	598 (314)
95% Recovered			628 (331)
EBP		580-660 (304-349)	653 (345)

^aNot Applicable




Particulate Sampling Controls



Gaseous Emissions Cart



Dilution Tunnel



Heated HC and NO_x Interface

FIGURE 4. SEVERAL VIEWS OF GASEOUS AND PARTICULATE EMISSIONS INSTRUMENTATION

study are listed in Table 6, and several views of this equipment are also illustrated in Figure 4. A flow schematic of the gaseous emissions instrumentation is shown in Figure 5. One set of gaseous, particulate, and unregulated emissions instrumentation was used to obtain emissions data on this program.

TABLE 6. LIST OF GROUP I EMISSION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT

<u>Exhaust Species</u>	<u>Chemical Symbol</u>	<u>Detection Technique</u>	<u>Instrument</u>
Carbon Monoxide	CO	NDIR ^a	Beckman 315B
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	NDIR ^a	Beckman 315B
Oxides of Nitrogen	NO _x	CL ^b	SwRI w/EPA Design
Hydrocarbons	HC	FID ^c	SwRI w/Beckman 402 Detector
Smoke	--	Opacity	PHS Smokemeter

^aNDIR denotes nondispersive infrared

^bCL denotes chemiluminescent analyzer

^cFID denotes flame ionization detector




FIGURE 5. GASEOUS EMISSIONS CART FLOW SCHEMATIC

III. ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES FOR UNREGULATED EMISSIONS


The analytical procedures used to measure the unregulated emissions are summarized in this section. Detailed descriptions of most of the procedures, along with discussions of their development, validation, and qualification, are available in Interim Report II, "Analytical Procedures for Characterizing Unregulated Pollutant Emissions From Motor Vehicles," developed in a related EPA project.⁽⁴⁾ Several views of Group II and III sampling systems are shown in Figure 6.

A. Description of Analytical Procedures

The unregulated emissions evaluated in this project, along with the methods for sampling and the procedures used in the analyses, are listed in Table 7. Aldehydes and ketones represent a group of compounds. This procedure separates and identifies a number of individual components. The analytical procedures involved in this project are briefly described in the following subsections.

1. Aldehydes and Ketones

The collection of aldehydes (formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acrolein, propionaldehyde, crotonaldehyde, isobutyraldehyde, benzaldehyde, and hexanaldehyde) and ketones (acetone and methylethylketone) is accomplished by bubbling exhaust through glass impingers containing an acetonitrile solution of 2,4 dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) and perchloric acid. The aldehydes and ketones (also known as carbonyl compounds) react with the DNPH to form their respective phenylhydrazone derivatives. For analysis, a portion of the acetonitrile solution is injected into a liquid chromatograph equipped with an ultraviolet detector. External standards of the aldehydes and ketone DNPH derivative are used to quantify the results. Detection limits for this procedure are on the order of 0.01 ppm aldehyde or ketone in exhaust.



Aldehyde and SO₂ Sampling System



Aldehyde Analytical System (HPLC)



SO₂ Analytical Instrumentation (IC)



Particulate Filter Balance

FIGURE 6. SEVERAL VIEWS OF GROUP II AND III SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS SYSTEMS

TABLE 7. SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY
FOR UNREGULATED EMISSIONS

Compound	Sampling	Method of Analysis
Aldehydes and Ketones	Impinger	Dinitrophenylhydrazone derivative. Liquid chromatograph with ultraviolet detector (LC-UV).
Sulfur Dioxide	Impinger	Ion chromatograph
Particulates	47-mm filter	Weighed using microbalance

2. Sulfur Dioxide

The concentration of sulfur dioxide in exhaust is determined as sulfate using an ion chromatograph. Sulfur dioxide is collected and converted to sulfate by bubbling dilute exhaust through two glass impingers containing 3-percent hydrogen peroxide absorbing solution. The samples are analyzed on the ion chromatograph and compared to standards of known sulfate concentrations. The detection limit for this procedure is on the order of 0.05 ppm sulfur dioxide in exhaust.

3. Particulate

The "particulate" is collected on 47-mm Pallflex filters. The amount of "particulate" collected is determined by weighing the filter on a microbalance before and after sampling. The detection limit for this procedure is on the order of 50 μ g particulate per cubic meter of exhaust for a 10 minute sampling period.

B. Accuracy of the Analytical Procedures

A difficult, but very important, endeavor was the determination of procedural accuracy for each analytical method. The primary difficulty involved those procedures in which the exhaust compounds are trapped or absorbed, an extraction or subsequent reaction is performed, and then a portion of the

extraction is analyzed. The decision was reached to initially define the accuracy in terms of a "minimum detection value" (MDV). The MDV, as used in this report, is defined as the value above which it can be said that the compound has been detected in the exhaust (i.e., at a measured value equal to the MDV, the accuracy is equal to plus or minus the MDV). While the determination of accuracy over the entire range of each procedure was beyond the scope of this project, the nominal accuracy for each of the procedures has been estimated to be on the order of $\pm 10\%$ (± 25 for aldehydes other than formaldehyde) at the levels observed in this program.

For compounds collected by bag samples, the MDV can be determined from the instrument detection limits only, and is independent of the sampling rate and duration. However, for compounds which are concentrated in impingers or traps, the MDV is dependent on the instrument detection limit, chemical workup, sampling rate, and sampling duration. The MDVs listed in Table 8 were derived using the listed sampling rate and a 10-minute sampling period.

TABLE 8. UNREGULATED EMISSION PROCEDURAL SAMPLE RATES AND ACCURACY

	Sample Flow, <u>ℓ/min</u>	Procedural Minimum Detection Values		MDV for 10 min SS Test, <u>mg/hour</u>
		<u>ppm</u>	<u>μg/m³</u>	
<u>Aldehydes and Ketones</u>	4			
Formaldehyde		0.01	15	2
Acetaldehyde		0.01	20	2
Acrolein		0.01	25	3
Propionaldehyde		0.01	25	3
Acetone		0.01	25	3
Crotonaldehyde		0.01	30	3
Isobutyraldehyde		0.01	30	3
Methylethylketone		0.01	30	3
Benzaldehyde		0.01	45	5
Hexanaldehyde		0.01	40	5
<u>Sulfur Dioxide</u>	4	0.05	135	15
<u>Particulate</u>	14	----	50	5

IV. RESULTS

This section presents the results of emission tests conducted on the three engines evaluated in this study for the Group I (CO, CO₂, NO_x, HC, and smoke), Group II (particulate and sulfur dioxide), and Group III (aldehydes and ketones) emissions.

A. Group I Emissions

Thirteen-mode emission tests were used as the primary basis of comparison for the Group I emissions, i.e., CO, CO₂, NO_x, HC, and smoke opacity. Results of 13-mode emission tests conducted on the three engines are presented in Tables 9 through 11. Computer printouts of the 13-mode gaseous emission tests are included in Appendix Tables A-1 through A-4. Two 13-mode emission tests were run on the Isuzu C-240 (Tables A-1 and A-2) and the results have been averaged for these two tests in Appendix Tables A-5 (g/hp-hr), A-6 (g/hr), and A-7 (ppm or percent). With the exception of a reversal in trend for the CO emissions at 100 percent load, all three engines produced decreasing brake specific emission rates with increasing engine load.

For comparison purposes, the 13-mode gaseous emissions in g/hp-hr have been summarized in Table 12 along with the MIL-T-52932C gaseous emission specifications. Carbon monoxide and oxides of nitrogen emissions were well within the MIL-T-52932C specifications for all three engines. Hydrocarbon emissions for the Teledyne TMD-20 were within specifications; however, the hydrocarbon emissions for the Isuzu C-240 and Peugeot XD3P were equivalent to the MIL-T-52932C (when rounded to tenth of a gram per hp-hr) specification. The Peugeot XD3P gave the lowest CO and NO_x emissions of the three engines, while the Teledyne TMD-20 gave the lowest HC and BSFC for the three engines.

Table 13 compares the smoke opacity results for the three engines with the MIL-T-52932C specifications. All three engines were within the smoke opacity specification at all specified modes. The highest smoke opacity was observed at 100-percent engine power at both peak torque and rated speeds for all three engines.

TABLE 9. GASEOUS AND SMOKE EMISSIONS (GROUP I) FROM ISUZU C-240 DIESEL ENGINE^a

Mode	Test Condition		Fuel Consump., lb/hr	Smoke Opacity	Emission Rate, g/hr			Emission Rate, g/hp-hr			Exhaust Concentration		
	Speed	Load, %			HC	CO	NO _x	HC	CO	NO _x	HC, ppmC	CO, ppm	NO _x , ppm
1	Idle	--	1.0	0.8	1	8	9	--	--	--	45	206	123
2	Peak Torque	2	3.5	0.9	11	46	24	10.85	46.15	23.54	163	350	102
3	Peak Torque	25	6.1	1.0	29	35	48	3.07	3.77	5.14	413	259	200
4	Peak Torque	50	8.9	2.0	11	23	67	0.55	1.22	3.61	153	178	298
5	Peak Torque	75	12.7	2.6	7	22	70	0.26	0.80	2.48	105	177	318
6	Peak Torque	100	19.2	15.5	4	314	53	0.11	8.24	1.40	60	2528	250
7	Idle	--	1.3	2.0	2	11	12	--	--	--	73	206	128
8	Rated	100	21.7	7.5	1	149	75	0.03	3.36	1.69	13	1028	310
9	Rated	75	14.2	2.0	6	34	107	0.19	1.07	3.37	73	225	425
10	Rated	50	10.4	2.1	14	30	85	0.68	1.41	4.04	175	192	315
11	Rated	25	7.1	2.1	6	36	60	0.58	3.30	5.58	80	235	220
12	Rated	2	4.7	2.0	10	50	31	16.33	83.82	51.36	123	319	108
13	Rated	--	1.3	2.1	3	16	12	--	--	--	120	294	118

^aAverage of two 13-mode tests

Composite 13-Mode Summary
 BSHC = 0.506 gram/bhp-hr
 BSCO = 3.769 gram/bhp-hr
 BSNO_x = 3.167 gram/bhp-hr
 BSFC = 0.544 lb/bhp-hr

TABLE 10. GASEOUS AND SMOKE EMISSIONS (GROUP I) FROM TELEDYNE TMD-20 DIESEL ENGINE

Mode	Test Condition Speed	Load, %	Fuel Consump., lb/hr	Smoke Opacity	Emission Rate, g/hr			Emission Rate, g/bhp-hr			Exhaust Concentration			CO ₂ , %
					HC	CO	NO _x	HC	CO	NO _x	HC, ppmC	CO, ppm	NO, ppm	
1	Idle	--	1.0	1.9	4	13	3	--	--	--	170	255	40	1.78
2	Peak Torque	2	2.9	0.7	7	39	23	8.72	45.39	27.43	90	235	95	1.59
3	Peak Torque	25	4.9	0.8	4	16	36	0.44	1.86	4.25	68	149	225	4.21
4	Peak Torque	50	7.2	1.7	3	13	56	0.19	0.79	3.38	55	120	335	6.05
5	Peak Torque	75	10.2	2.2	3	12	73	0.14	0.47	2.93	62	111	450	8.90
6	Peak Torque	100	14.8	7.0	2	74	60	0.06	2.24	1.81	38	748	380	13.69
7	Idle	--	1.2	1.7	4	17	4	--	--	--	145	284	42	1.78
8	Rated	100	17.1	11.5	1	220	67	0.03	6.07	1.85	18	1943	370	13.69
9	Rated	75	12.0	2.0	2	15	79	0.06	0.56	2.89	25	130	430	9.32
10	Rated	50	8.9	1.7	2	14	62	0.10	0.78	3.39	30	120	340	6.81
11	Rated	25	6.0	1.7	5	25	39	0.53	2.82	4.33	75	206	210	4.48
12	Rated	2	3.7	1.7	26	48	17	25.55	47.94	17.23	405	385	93	2.64
13	Rated	--	1.3	1.9	6	20	4	--	--	--	168	304	40	1.73

Composite 13-Mode Summary
 BSHC = 0.380 gram/bhp-hr
 BSCO = 2.948 gram/bhp-hr
 BSNO_x = 2.966 gram/bhp-hr
 BSFC = 0.494 lb/bhp-hr

TABLE 11. GASEOUS AND SMOKE EMISSIONS (GROUP 1) FROM PEUGEOT XD3P DIESEL ENGINE

Mode	Test Condition		Fuel Consump., lb/hr	Smoke Opacity	Emission Rate, g/hr			Emission Rate, g/hp-hr			Exhaust Concentration		
	Speed	Load, %			HC	CO	NO _x	HC	CO	NO _x	HC, ppmC	CO, ppm	NO _x , ppm
1	Idle	--	0.9	0.5	4	20	5	--	--	--	197	437	65
2	Peak Torque	2	4.4	1.7	26	83	13	30.95	98.23	15.46	305	490	45
3	Peak Torque	25	6.4	1.5	12	33	27	1.18	3.14	2.63	165	225	110
4	Peak Torque	50	9.4	1.5	10	20	44	0.49	0.98	2.16	132	139	180
5	Peak Torque	75	13.5	1.7	11	18	58	0.34	0.59	1.87	140	130	245
6	Peak Torque	100	19.0	6.3	8	49	55	0.19	1.17	1.32	105	355	240
7	Idle	--	1.1	0.7	6	20	7	--	--	--	205	375	75
8	Rated	100	21.4	5.5	2	87	54	0.05	1.93	1.21	27	577	215
9	Rated	75	14.8	1.2	5	21	57	0.14	0.62	1.67	57	139	220
10	Rated	50	10.3	1.1	5	24	42	0.24	1.06	1.88	67	158	165
11	Rated	25	6.9	1.4	6	32	26	0.50	2.81	2.32	72	206	100
12	Rated	2	4.5	1.5	18	71	12	17.44	68.36	11.79	215	427	43
13	Idle	--	1.3	1.1	5	23	7	--	--	--	145	355	60

Composite 13-Mode Summary

BSHC = 0.527 gram/bhp-hr

BSCO = 2.240 gram/bhp-hr

BSNO_x = 1.856 gram/bhp-hr

BSFC = 0.503 lb/bhp-hr

TABLE 12. SUMMARY OF COMPOSITE 13-MODE EMISSION RESULTS

Engine Description	13-Mode Emission Results, g/hp-hr			
	HC	CO	NO _x	BSFC ^a
Isuzu C-240	0.506	3.769	3.167	0.544
Teledyne TMD-20	0.380	2.948	2.966	0.494
Peugeot XD3P	0.527	2.240	1.856	0.503
MIL-T-52932C Specification	0.5	5.0	6.0	NAB ^b

^aBSFC expressed in lb fuel/hr^bNA - denotes not applicable

TABLE 13. COMPARISON OF ISUZU C-240, TELEDYNE TMD-20, AND PEUGEOT XD3P SMOKE OPACITIES WITH THE MIL-T-52932C FUEL

Mode	Engine Power, %	Engine Speed	Smoke Opacity			
			MIL-T-52932C Specifications	Isuzu C-240	Teledyne TMD-20	Peugeot XD3P
1	--	Idle	5.0	0.8	1.9	0.5
2	2	Peak Torque	5.0	0.9	0.7	1.7
3	25	Peak Torque	5.0	1.0	0.8	1.5
4	50	Peak Torque	5.0	2.0	1.7	1.5
5	75	Peak Torque	NR	2.6	2.2	1.7
6	100	Peak Torque	NR	15.5	7.0	6.3
7	--	Idle	5.0	2.0	1.7	0.7
8	100	Rated	NR	7.5	11.5	5.5
9	75	Rated	NR	2.0	2.0	1.2
10	50	Rated	5.0	2.1	1.7	1.1
11	25	Rated	5.0	2.1	1.7	1.4
12	2	Rated	5.0	2.0	1.7	1.5
13	--	Idle	5.0	2.1	1.9	1.1

NR - denotes not regulated

B. Group II Emissions

Specific analyses included in the Group II analyses were particulate and sulfur dioxide. The emissions were measured at six engine speed and load conditions (idle, peak torque speed/2-percent load, peak torque speed/25-percent load, rated speed/2-percent load, rated speed/50-percent load, and rated speed/100-percent load). Particulate emissions are presented in Table 14 as g/hr, g/hp-hr, and mg/m³. Sulfur dioxide emissions are presented in Table 15 as g/hr, g/hp-hr, and ppm. Due to procedural sampling difficulties, it was necessary to calculate the sulfur dioxide emission rates from the engine fuel flow rates and from the sulfur content in the test fuel for the Isuzu C-240 and Teledyne TMD-20 engines. For the Isuzu engine, two of the test points gave measured sulfur dioxide emission rates within 6 percent of the calculated emission rates, however for continuity, only the calculated values are presented in Table 15. These procedural sampling difficulties were solved after the Isuzu C-240 and Teledyne TMD-20 engines had been tested and removed from the test stand. The Peugeot engine, which was tested after the difficulties were solved, gave similar measured and calculated values. The particulate and sulfur dioxide emissions data were compared for the three engines in the study as well as with data reported in an earlier study, "Clean Burning Diesel Engines - Phase II"(2), for two Deutz and two Perkins forklift engines also operating on the EPA DF-2 certification fuel. The results of these comparisons are presented below:

- At idle conditions, the Isuzu C-240 gave lower particulate emissions (g/hr) than either the Teledyne or Peugeot engines. The particulate rate was also lower than the particulate rates for the four engines tested in the previous study.(2)
- The Peugeot XD3P gave higher particulate rates than the Isuzu or the Teledyne engines at the 2-percent load condition for both rated and peak torque speeds.
- At 100-percent load/rated speed, both the Isuzu C-240 and Teledyne TMD-20 gave particulate rates (g/hp and g/hp-hr) higher than those observed for the Peugeot engine and the four engines previously tested.(2)

TABLE 14. SUMMARY OF PARTICULATE EMISSIONS FROM ISUZU C-240,
TELEDYNE TMD-20, AND PEUGEOT XD3P DIESEL ENGINES

Engine Speed	Load, %	Particulate Emission Rate, g/hr		
		Isuzu C-240	Teledyne TMD-20	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	0.29	3.83	3.15
Peak Torque	2	4.84	5.10	11.86
Peak Torque	25	7.69	4.57	9.95
Rated	2	10.34	12.05	37.89
Rated	50	10.01	4.12	9.89
Rated	100	53.1	66.6	23.85

Engine Speed	Load, %	Particulate Emission Rate, g/hp-hr		
		Isuzu C-240	Teledyne TMD-20	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	NA	NA	NA
Peak Torque	2	7.96	7.90	18.6
Peak Torque	25	0.81	0.51	0.95
Rated	2	11.49	15.1	29.2
Rated	50	0.48	0.23	0.44
Rated	100	1.22	1.86	0.51

Engine Speed	Load, %	Particulate Concentration, mg/m ³		
		Isuzu C-240	Teledyne TMD-20	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	6	66	61
Peak Torque	2	39	53	90
Peak Torque	25	63	47	75
Rated	2	73	114	264
Rated	50	69	38	68
Rated	100	352	626	165

TABLE 15. SUMMARY OF SULFUR DIOXIDE EMISSIONS FROM ISUZU C-240,
TELEDYNE TMD-20, AND PEUGEOT XD3P DIESEL ENGINES

Engine Speed	Load, %	Sulfur Dioxide Emission Rate, g/hr		
		Isuzu C-240*	Teledyne TMD-20*	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	2.62	3.62	2.51
Peak Torque	2	10.69	8.76	9.44
Peak Torque	25	17.74	15.24	16.76
Rated	2	14.27	10.86	12.99
Rated	50	32.01	26.29	35.81
Rated	100	70.67	53.05	68.75

Engine Speed	Load, %	Sulfur Dioxide Emission Rate, g/hp-hr		
		Isuzu C-240*	Teledyne TMD-20*	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	NA	NA	NA
Peak Torque	2	17.29	13.53	10.49
Peak Torque	25	1.87	1.69	1.60
Rated	2	15.86	13.58	9.99
Rated	50	1.52	1.43	1.60
Rated	100	1.62	1.48	1.46

Engine Speed	Load, %	Sulfur Dioxide Concentration, ppm		
		Isuzu C-240*	Teledyne TMD-20*	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	21	25	18
Peak Torque	2	33	34	27
Peak Torque	25	54	59	48
Rated	2	38	39	35
Rated	50	83	93	94
Rated	100	176	187	180

*Calculated from the fuel consumption rate and the percentage of sulfur
in the fuel

- Sulfur dioxide emissions (g/hr and g/hp-hr) were similar for the three engines.
- On a g/hp-hr basis, the three engines in this study gave sulfur dioxide emission rates similar to those recorded in earlier work.⁽²⁾

The particulate emission rates have also been compared to the MIL-T-52932C particulate specification in Table 16. All three engines gave particulate emission rates within the MIL-T-52932C specifications for the 25-percent load/peak torque speed and 50-percent load/rated speed modes. Comparable data are not available for the remaining modes.

TABLE 16. COMPARISON OF ISUZU C-240, TELEDYNE TMD-20, AND PEUGEOT XD3P PARTICULATE EMISSION RATES WITH THE MIL-T-52932C SPECIFICATION

Mode	Engine Power, %	Engine Speed	Particulate Emissions Rate, g/hr			
			MIL-T-52932C Specifications	Isuzu ^a C-240	Teledyne ^a TMD-20	Peugeot ^a XD3P
1	--	Idle	NR	0.29	3.83	3.15
2	2	Peak Torque	NR	4.84	5.10	11.86
3	25	Peak Torque	15.0	7.69	4.57	9.95
4	50	Peak Torque	15.0	ND	ND	ND
5	75	Peak Torque	NR	ND	ND	ND
6	100	Peak Torque	NR	ND	ND	ND
7	--	Idle	NR	ND	ND	ND
8	100	Rated	NR	53.1	66.6	23.85
9	75	Rated	NR	ND	ND	ND
10	50	Rated	15.0	10.01	4.12	9.89
11	25	Rated	15.0	ND	ND	ND
12	2	Rated	NR	10.34	12.05	37.89
13	--	Idle	NR	ND	ND	ND

^aAverage of two tests (Modes 1, 2, 8)

NR - denotes not regulated

ND - denotes no data (not in test plan)

C. Group III Emissions

Aldehyde and ketone emissions were evaluated in the Group III analyses. This section presents the results of these analyses for the three test engines. The Group III analyses were performed at idle, peak torque speed/2-percent load, and rated speed/100-percent load. Formaldehyde (the predominate aldehyde detected) and total aldehydes (summation of the 10 aldehydes and ketones evaluated in this study) are presented in Tables 17 and 18, respectively. Emission rates for each of the nine aldehydes and ketones (isobutyraldehyde and methylethylketone are not separated in the analysis and are reported as one compound) are reported individually in Appendix Table B-1. In general, these results are summarized as follows:

- Formaldehyde was the predominate aldehyde detected, generally accounting for 33 to 47 percent of the total aldehydes and ketones detected.
- For all three engines, the formaldehyde as well as the total aldehyde and ketone emissions were ordered as follows: Idle peak torque speed/2-percent load > rated speed/100-percent load.
- Idle and peak torque speed/2-percent load formaldehyde emissions were generally equivalent or higher in this study than in the earlier study⁽²⁾ and inversely the rated speed/100-percent load emissions were equivalent or lower than emissions recorded in the earlier study.⁽²⁾

D. Trend Validation

Validation of Group I emissions was accomplished for six modes of the EPA 13-mode cycle. These modes included 2-, 50-, and 100-percent load at rated speed, 2- and 25-percent load at peak torque speed, and idle. The modes include the worst case conditions, i.e., 2-percent load at both speeds. In general, as load is applied, emission rates (g/hp-hr) decrease quite rapidly. During the validation of emission trends, triplicate tests were conducted at 2 percent load at peak torque, 100 percent load at rated speed, and idle, while only duplicate tests

TABLE 17. SUMMARY OF FORMALDEHYDE EMISSIONS FROM ISUZU C-240, TELEDYNE TMD-20, AND PEUGEOT XD3P DIESEL ENGINES

Engine Speed	Load, %	Formaldehyde Emission Rate, mg/hr		
		Isuzu C-240	Teledyne TMD-20	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	168	506	626
Peak Torque	2	377	945	2400
Rated	100	158	72	199

Engine Speed	Load, %	Formaldehyde Emission Rate, mg/hp-hr		
		Isuzu C-240	Teledyne TMD-20	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	--	--	--
Peak Torque	2	753	1350	2667
Rated	100	3.61	1.99	4.18

Engine Speed	Load, %	Formaldehyde Concentration, mg/m ³		
		Isuzu C-240	Teledyne TMD-20	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	3.31	9.25	12.2
Peak Torque	2	3.00	9.64	18.2
Rated	100	1.02	0.68	1.38

TABLE 18. SUMMARY OF TOTAL ALDEHYDE^a EMISSIONS FROM ISUZU C-240, TELEDYNE TMD-20, AND PEUGEOT XD3P DIESEL ENGINES

Engine Speed	Load, %	Total Aldehyde Emission Rate, mg/hr		
		Isuzu C-240	Teledyne TMD-20	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	441	1098	1432
Peak Torque	2	1144	2090	5533
Rated	100	336	184	427

Engine Speed	Load, %	Total Aldehyde Emission Rate, mg/hp-hr		
		Isuzu C-240	Teledyne TMD-20	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	--	--	--
Peak Torque	2	2288	2986	6148
Rated	100	7.68	5.08	8.94

Engine Speed	Load, %	Total Aldehyde Concentration, mg/m ³		
		Isuzu C-240	Teledyne TMD-20	Peugeot XD3P
Idle	--	8.72	20.1	27.9
Peak Torque	2	9.15	21.5	42.1
Rated	100	2.18	1.73	2.95

^aIncludes formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acrolein, propionaldehyde, crotonaldehyde, isobutyraldehyde + methylethylketone, benzaldehyde, and hexanaldehyde

were run on the remaining three modes. Results of the trend validation tests are summarized in Tables 19, 20, and 21. The trends of high brake specific emissions at low load (i.e., 2 percent) and drastically reduced emissions once load is applied are confirmed in the tables. The reversal in the trend of decreasing BSCO with increasing load at the 100 percent load point was also confirmed.

TABLE 19. TREND VALIDATION OF EMISSIONS FROM ISUZU C-240 DIESEL ENGINE

Engine Condition		Run ^a	Measured Exhaust Concentrations				Mass Emissions Rate, g/hr			Brake Specific Emission Rate g/hp-hr		
Speed, rpm	Load, %		HC, ppmC	CO, ppm	CO ₂ , %	NO _x , ppm	HC	CO	NO _x	HC	CO	NO _x
2000	2	1	163	350	2.35	102	11	46	24	10.85	46.15	23.54
		2	100	304	2.32	90	7	40	22	8.94	53.67	28.89
		3	130	304	2.27	70	9	40	16	17.24	79.7	31.09
		Avg	131	319	2.31	87	9	42	21	12.34	59.85	27.84
2000	25	1	413	259	4.11	200	29	35	48	3.07	3.77	5.14
		2	265	274	3.95	160	17	35	36	1.84	3.71	3.82
		3	NR ^c	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
		Avg	339	267	2.69	180	23	35	42	2.46	3.75	4.48
2400	2	1	123	319	2.67	108	10	50	31	16.33	83.82	51.36
		2	140	324	2.54	75	11	52	21	12.61	57.57	23.28
		3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
		Avg	132	322	2.61	92	11	51	26	14.47	70.70	37.32
2400	50	1	175	192	6.10	315	14	30	85	0.68	1.41	4.04
		2	290	314	6.13	225	23	47	59	1.08	2.24	2.81
		3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
		Avg	233	253	6.12	270	19	39	72	0.88	1.83	3.43
2400	100	1	13	1028	13.69	310	1	149	75	0.03	3.36	1.69
		2	65	956	13.40	240	6	149	64	0.13	3.45	1.48
		3	30	956	13.55	170	2	139	42	0.06	3.18	0.95
		Avg	36	980	13.55	240	3	146	60	0.07	3.33	1.37
725 ^b	--	1	73	206	2.22	128	2	11	12	--	--	--
		2	75	245	2.12	110	1	9	7	--	--	--
		3	130	294	2.17	100	2	10	6	--	--	--
		Avg	93	248	2.17	113	2	10	8	--	--	--

^aRun 1 - Group I only; Run 2 - Group I, II; Run 3 - Group I, II, III

^bMode 7 of 13-mode emissions test

^cNR - denotes not required in test plan

TABLE 20. TREND VALIDATION OF EMISSIONS FROM TELEDYNE TMD-20 DIESEL ENGINE

Engine Condition Speed, rpm	Load, %	Run ^a	Measured Exhaust Concentrations				Mass Emissions			Brake Specific Emission Rate, g/hp-hr		
			HC, ppmC	CO, ppm	CO ₂ , %	NO _x , ppm	HC	CO	NO _x	HC	CO	NO _x
2000	2	1	90	235	1.59	95	7	39	23	8.72	45.39	27.43
		2	177	406	2.27	63	10	43	13	15.92	72.07	21.27
		3	140	406	2.22	60	8	46	12	12.32	70.62	18.89
		Avg	136	349	2.03	73	8	43	16	12.32	62.69	22.53
2000	25	1	68	149	4.21	225	4	16	36	0.44	1.86	4.25
		2	77	177	4.15	185	4	19	36	0.47	2.08	4.05
		3	NR ^c	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
		Avg	73	163	4.18	205	4	18	36	0.46	1.97	4.15
2230	2	1	405	385	2.64	93	26	48	17	25.55	47.94	17.23
		2	445	544	2.48	60	27	66	13	32.68	78.75	15.86
		3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
		Avg	425	465	2.56	77	27	57	15	29.12	63.35	16.55
2230	50	1	30	120	6.81	340	2	14	62	0.10	0.78	3.39
		2	82	158	6.72	265	5	18	52	0.27	0.97	2.84
		3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
		Avg	56	139	6.77	303	4	16	57	0.19	0.88	3.12
2230	100	1	18	1943	13.69	370	1	220	67	0.03	6.07	1.85
		2	55	2613	13.69	340	3	284	63	0.09	7.95	1.76
		3	45	2387	12.98	290	3	280	57	0.08	7.73	1.57
		Avg	39	2314	13.45	339	2	261	62	0.07	7.25	1.73
1040 ^b	--	1	168	304	1.73	40	6	20	4	--	--	--
		2	280	385	1.73	30	9	24	3	--	--	--
		3	200	355	1.59	30	6	22	3	--	--	--
		Avg	216	348	1.68	33	7	22	3	--	--	--

^aRun 1 - Group I only; Run 2 - Group I, II; Run 3 - Group I, II, III

^bMode 7 of 13-mode emissions test

CNR - denotes not required in test plan

TABLE 21. TREND VALIDATION OF EMISSIONS FROM PEUGEOT XD3P DIESEL ENGINE

Engine Condition Speed, rpm	Load, %	Run ^a	Measured Exhaust Concentrations				Mass Emissions Rate, g/hr			Brake Specific Emission Rate, g/hp-hr		
			HC, ppmC	CO, ppm	CO ₂ , %	NO _x , ppm	HC	CO	NO _x	HC	CO	NO _x
2100	2	1	305	409	2.32	45	26	83	13	30.95	98.23	15.46
		2	210	406	2.17	40	13	50	7	16.62	63.65	9.50
		3	260	511	2.02	35	20	78	8	22.50	87.76	9.20
		Avg	258	469	2.17	40	20	70	9	23.36	83.21	11.39
2100	25	1	165	225	4.01	110	12	33	27	1.18	3.14	2.63
		2	82	206	3.89	110	7	33	26	0.64	3.13	2.47
		3	NR ^c	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
		Avg	124	216	3.95	110	10	33	27	0.91	3.14	2.55
2300	2	1	215	427	2.43	43	18	71	12	17.44	68.36	11.79
		2	280	511	2.32	40	22	78	9	16.39	59.16	7.00
		3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
		Avg	248	469	2.38	42	20	75	11	16.92	63.76	9.40
2300	50	1	67	158	6.22	165	5	24	42	0.24	1.06	1.88
		2	78	206	6.13	190	6	30	43	0.27	1.36	1.92
		3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
		Avg	73	182	6.18	178	6	27	43	0.26	1.21	1.90
2300	100	1	27	577	12.94	215	2	87	54	0.05	1.93	1.21
		2	45	375	12.43	255	4	55	59	0.08	1.36	1.92
		3	65	304	12.57	255	6	49	63	0.12	1.02	1.32
		Avg	46	419	12.65	242	4	64	59	0.08	1.44	1.48
800 ^b	--	1	205	375	1.83	75	6	20	7	--	--	--
		2	85	294	1.59	55	4	25	7	--	--	--
		3	130	345	1.64	50	6	30	7	--	--	--
		Avg	140	338	1.69	60	5	25	7	--	--	--

^aRun 1 - Group I only; Run 2 - Group I, II; Run 3 - Group I, II, III^bMode 7 of 13-mode emissions test

CNR - denotes not required in test plan

V. SUMMARY

This section summarizes emission results from the Isuzu C-240, the Teledyne TMD-20, and the Peugeot XD3P engines for Groups I, II, and III emissions. Data for the Group I emissions provided the following observations:

- Carbon monoxide and oxides of nitrogen emissions were well within the MIL-T-52932C specifications for all three engines. Hydrocarbon emissions for the Teledyne TMD-20 were also within specification; however, the hydrocarbon emissions for the Isuzu C-240 and the Peugeot XD3P were equivalent to the MIL-T-52932C specification.
- With the exception of a reversal in trend for the CO emissions at 100-percent load, all three engines produced decreasing brake specific emission rates with increasing engine load.
- All three engines were within the MIL-T-52932C smoke specifications at all specified modes.

Observations for results of Group II emissions for particulate and sulfur dioxide are summarized below:

- Sulfur dioxide emissions (g/hr, and g/hp-hr) were similar for the three test engines in this study, and on a g/hp-hr basis, were similar to emissions recorded in previous forklift engine emission characterization studies.
- Brake specific particulate and sulfur dioxide emission rates are highest under low load conditions.
- Sulfur dioxide emissions (g/hr) are related to fuel consumption rates and increase with increasing engine fuel consumption.

Observations for the Group III aldehydes and ketones includes:

- Formaldehyde was the predominate aldehyde detected and accounted for

- 33 to 47 percent of the total aldehydes and ketones detected.
- For all three engines, the formaldehyde as well as the total aldehyde and ketone emissions were ordered as follows: idle peak torque/2-percent load > rated speed/100-percent load.

Results of this study have increased the data base of emissions data to allow assessment of the potential problems when operating diesel engines in areas with limited ventilation.

VI. REFERENCES

1. Dietzmann, Harry E., "Clean Burning Diesel Engines." Interim Report AFLRL No. 169, AD A145515, U.S. Army Belvoir R&D Center, Contract No. DAAK70-82-C-0001, August 1983.
2. Dietzmann, Harry E., "Clean-Burning Diesel Engines - Phase II." Interim Report AFLRL No. 178, AD A152606, U.S. Army Belvoir R&D Center, Contract No. DAAK70-85-C-0007, December 1984.
3. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 86, Subpart D, "Emission Regulations for New Gasoline-Fueled and Diesel Heavy-Duty Engines; Gaseous Exhaust Test Procedures," pp 428-460, 1 July 1982.
4. Smith, L.R., Parness, M.A., Fanick, E.R., Dietzmann, H.E., "Analytical Procedures for Characterizing Unregulated Emissions From Vehicles Using Middle-Distillate Fuels," EPA 600/2-80-068, April 1980.
5. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 86, Subpart B, "Emission Regulations for 1977 and Later Model Year New Light-Duty Vehicles and New Light-Duty Trucks; Test Procedures," (particulate tunnel and sampling system) pp 402-465, 1 July 1983.

APPENDIX A

13-MODE GASEOUS EMISSIONS RESULTS

- TABLE A-1. 13-MODE GASEOUS EMISSION RESULTS FROM ISUZU C-240
(8-19-85)
- TABLE A-2. 13-MODE GASEOUS EMISSION RESULTS FROM ISUZU C-240
(8-22-85)
- TABLE A-3. 13-MODE GASEOUS EMISSION RESULTS FROM TELEDYNE
TMD 20
- TABLE A-4. 13-MODE GASEOUS EMISSION RESULTS FROM PEUGEOT XD3P
- TABLE A-5. AVERAGE OF TWO 13-MODE EMISSION RESULTS ON ISUZU
C-240 (g/hp-hr)
- TABLE A-6. AVERAGE OF TWO 13-MODE EMISSION RESULTS ON ISUZU
C-240 (g/hr)
- TABLE A-7. AVERAGE OF TWO 13-MODE EMISSION RESULTS ON ISUZU
C-240 (ppm or percent)

TABLE A-1. 13-MODE GASEOUS EMISSIONS RESULTS FROM ISUZU C-240 (8-19-85)

13-MODE FEDERAL DIESEL EMISSION CYCLE 1979												
ENGINE: ISUZU C-240 636922				PROJECT: 02-8341-175				DATE: 8/19/85				
TEST-4				FUEL: EM-627-F								
MODE	POWER PCT	ENGINE SPEED COND / RPM	TORQUE OBS LB-FT	POWER OBS BHP	FUEL LB/MIN	AIR LB/MIN	FLOW GR/LB	INTAKE HUMID GR/LB	NOX CORR FACT	HC PPM	CO PPM	NOX PCT
1	2	IDLE / 725.	0.	0.	0.017	1.92	111.	1.11	1.11	45.	206.	2.22
2	25	INTER / 2000.	3.	1.0	0.058	5.33	111.	1.118	1.118	125.	334.	2.38
3	50	INTER / 2000.	25.	9.5	0.102	5.33	111.	1.106	1.106	365.	204.	4.21
4	75	INTER / 2000.	49.	18.5	0.147	5.27	123.	1.135	1.135	145.	187.	6.38
5	100	INTER / 2000.	74.	28.0	0.213	5.23	128.	1.114	1.114	100.	177.	9.42
6	100	INTER / 2000.	100.	38.0	0.322	5.22	128.	1.074	1.074	60.	2733.	14.13
7	100	RATED / 2400.	96.	43.8	0.025	6.14	84.	1.139	1.139	60.	206.	2.22
8	100	RATED / 2400.	70.	31.8	0.240	6.12	84.	1.014	1.014	75.	225.	8.69
9	50	RATED / 2400.	46.	21.0	0.175	6.20	100.	1.055	1.055	190.	196.	6.22
10	25	RATED / 2400.	24.	10.8	0.120	6.16	115.	1.108	1.108	80.	235.	4.35
11	2	IDLE / 725.	0.	0.	0.025	1.89	107.	1.102	1.102	135.	304.	2.22
12	2	IDLE / 725.	0.	0.	0.025	1.89	107.	1.102	1.102	135.	304.	2.22
13	2	IDLE / 725.	0.	0.	0.025	1.89	107.	1.102	1.102	135.	304.	2.22

MODE	GRAMS/LB-FUEL HC CO NOX	GRAMS/BHP-HR HC CO NOX	F/A MEAS	F/A STOICH	"PHT"	WET HC CORR FACT	F/A CALC	F/A PCT	POWER CORR FACT	BSFC CORR LB/HP-HR	MODAL WEIGHT FACTOR	MODE
1	.93	8.43	8.17	.0088	.0691	.128	.974	.0106	1.002	****	.067	1
2	2.40	12.66	6.91	.0111	.0691	.161	.974	.0115	1.004	3.488	.080	2
3	4.05	4.39	7.38	.0194	.0691	.281	.958	.0200	1.004	.640	.080	3
4	1.09	2.68	7.43	.0283	.0691	.410	.940	.0297	1.003	.474	.080	4
5	.52	1.72	5.31	.0415	.0691	.601	.917	.0432	1.005	.455	.080	5
6	.21	17.44	2.46	.0627	.0691	.908	.881	.0647	1.009	.503	.080	6
7	1.24	8.43	8.37	.0136	.0691	.198	.976	.0106	1.005	****	.067	7
8	.04	6.89	3.46	.0596	.0691	.864	.887	.0620	1.015	.488	.080	8
9	.42	2.37	7.76	.0397	.0691	.575	.924	.0400	1.011	.448	.080	9
10	1.46	2.88	8.60	.0286	.0691	.415	.943	.0291	1.009	.496	.080	10
11	.87	4.93	8.91	.0198	.0691	.287	.957	.0205	1.009	.661	.080	11
12	2.13	10.52	7.06	.0123	.0691	.179	.971	.0129	1.007	7.445	.080	12
13	2.77	12.34	8.39	.0135	.0691	.195	.976	.0107	1.001	****	.067	13

CYCLE COMPOSITE USING 13-MODE WEIGHT FACTORS

BSHC ----- = .480 GRAM/BHP-HR

BSCO ----- = 3.858 GRAM/BHP-HR

BSNOX ----- = 3.208 GRAM/BHP-HR

BSHC + BSNOX = 3.687 GRAM/BHP-HR

CORR. BSFC = .547 LBS/BHP-HR

TABLE A-2. 13-MODE GASEOUS EMISSIONS RESULTS FROM ISUZU C-240 (8-22-85)

13-MODE FEDERAL DIESEL EMISSION CYCLE 1979

ENGINE: ISUZU C-240 636922
TEST-5 FUEL: EM-627-F

PROJECT: 02-8341-175 DATE: 8/22/85

MODE	PCT	ENGINE SPEED / RPM	TORQUE OBS LB-FT	POWER OBS BHP	FUEL FLOW LB/MIN	AIR FLOW LB/MIN	INTAKE HUMID GR/LB	NOX CORR FACT	HC PPM	MEASURED		NOX PPM	CALCULATED		MODE
										CO PPM	PCT		HC CO	NOX	
1	100	725	0.	0.	0.015	1.96	82.	1.028	45.	206.	2.17	135.	1.	8.	9.
2	100	2000	3.	1.0	0.057	5.37	82.	1.035	200.	365.	2.32	103.	13.	48.	23.
3	25	2000	24.	9.0	0.100	5.33	98.	1.076	460.	314.	4.01	210.	32.	42.	50.
4	50	2000	49.	18.5	0.148	5.25	89.	1.028	160.	168.	6.30	315.	11.	22.	68.
5	75	2000	74.	28.0	0.212	5.25	89.	1.026	110.	177.	9.21	335.	7.	22.	71.
6	100	2000	100.	38.0	0.318	5.23	89.	1.025	60.	2322.	13.84	280.	4.	290.	58.
7	100	725	0.	0.	0.017	1.88	89.	1.027	85.	206.	2.22	145.	2.	8.	10.
8	100	2400	97.	44.4	0.360	6.34	85.	1.012	15.	1021.	13.69	310.	1.	147.	74.
9	75	2400	70.	31.8	0.232	6.20	85.	1.018	70.	225.	8.39	405.	6.	34.	102.
10	50	2400	46.	21.0	0.170	6.30	104.	1.070	160.	187.	5.97	290.	13.	29.	79.
11	25	2400	24.	10.8	0.115	6.26	109.	1.111	80.	235.	4.15	205.	6.	36.	56.
12	2	2400	1.	0.	0.080	6.26	105.	1.115	120.	324.	2.64	100.	10.	53.	30.
13	100	725	0.	0.	0.018	1.96	99.	1.095	105.	284.	2.22	120.	2.	13.	10.

MODE	PCT	ENGINE SPEED / RPM	TORQUE OBS LB-FT	POWER OBS BHP	FUEL FLOW LB/MIN	AIR FLOW LB/MIN	INTAKE HUMID GR/LB	NOX CORR FACT	HC PPM	CO PPM	NOX PCT	MODE
1	100	725	0.	0.	0.015	1.96	82.	1.028	45.	206.	2.17	135.
2	100	2000	3.	1.0	0.057	5.37	82.	1.035	200.	365.	2.32	103.
3	25	2000	24.	9.0	0.100	5.33	98.	1.076	460.	314.	4.01	210.
4	50	2000	49.	18.5	0.148	5.25	89.	1.028	160.	168.	6.30	315.
5	75	2000	74.	28.0	0.212	5.25	89.	1.026	110.	177.	9.21	335.
6	100	2000	100.	38.0	0.318	5.23	89.	1.025	60.	2322.	13.84	280.
7	100	725	0.	0.	0.017	1.88	89.	1.027	85.	206.	2.22	145.
8	100	2400	97.	44.4	0.360	6.34	85.	1.012	15.	1021.	13.69	310.
9	75	2400	70.	31.8	0.232	6.20	85.	1.018	70.	225.	8.39	405.
10	50	2400	46.	21.0	0.170	6.30	104.	1.070	160.	187.	5.97	290.
11	25	2400	24.	10.8	0.115	6.26	109.	1.111	80.	235.	4.15	205.
12	2	2400	1.	0.	0.080	6.26	105.	1.115	120.	324.	2.64	100.
13	100	725	0.	0.	0.018	1.96	99.	1.095	105.	284.	2.22	120.

MODE	PCT	ENGINE SPEED / RPM	TORQUE OBS LB-FT	POWER OBS BHP	FUEL FLOW LB/MIN	AIR FLOW LB/MIN	INTAKE HUMID GR/LB	NOX CORR FACT	HC PPM	CO PPM	NOX PCT	MODE
1	100	725	0.	0.	0.015	1.96	82.	1.028	45.	206.	2.17	135.
2	100	2000	3.	1.0	0.057	5.37	82.	1.035	200.	365.	2.32	103.
3	25	2000	24.	9.0	0.100	5.33	98.	1.076	460.	314.	4.01	210.
4	50	2000	49.	18.5	0.148	5.25	89.	1.028	160.	168.	6.30	315.
5	75	2000	74.	28.0	0.212	5.25	89.	1.026	110.	177.	9.21	335.
6	100	2000	100.	38.0	0.318	5.23	89.	1.025	60.	2322.	13.84	280.
7	100	725	0.	0.	0.017	1.88	89.	1.027	85.	206.	2.22	145.
8	100	2400	97.	44.4	0.360	6.34	85.	1.012	15.	1021.	13.69	310.
9	75	2400	70.	31.8	0.232	6.20	85.	1.018	70.	225.	8.39	405.
10	50	2400	46.	21.0	0.170	6.30	104.	1.070	160.	187.	5.97	290.
11	25	2400	24.	10.8	0.115	6.26	109.	1.111	80.	235.	4.15	205.
12	2	2400	1.	0.	0.080	6.26	105.	1.115	120.	324.	2.64	100.
13	100	725	0.	0.	0.018	1.96	99.	1.095	105.	284.	2.22	120.

MODE	PCT	ENGINE SPEED / RPM	TORQUE OBS LB-FT	POWER OBS BHP	FUEL FLOW LB/MIN	AIR FLOW LB/MIN	INTAKE HUMID GR/LB	NOX CORR FACT	HC PPM	CO PPM	NOX PCT	MODE
1	100	725	0.	0.	0.015	1.96	82.	1.028	45.	206.	2.17	135.
2	100	2000	3.	1.0	0.057	5.37	82.	1.035	200.	365.	2.32	103.
3	25	2000	24.	9.0	0.100	5.33	98.	1.076	460.	314.	4.01	210.
4	50	2000	49.	18.5	0.148	5.25	89.	1.028	160.	168.	6.30	315.
5	75	2000	74.	28.0	0.212	5.25	89.	1.026	110.	177.	9.21	335.
6	100	2000	100.	38.0	0.318	5.23	89.	1.025	60.	2322.	13.84	280.
7	100	725	0.	0.	0.017	1.88	89.	1.027	85.	206.	2.22	145.
8	100	2400	97.	44.4	0.360	6.34	85.	1.012	15.	1021.	13.69	310.
9	75	2400	70.	31.8	0.232	6.20	85.	1.018	70.	225.	8.39	405.
10	50	2400	46.	21.0	0.170	6.30	104.	1.070	160.	187.	5.97	290.
11	25	2400	24.	10.8	0.115	6.26	109.	1.111	80.	235.	4.15	205.
12	2	2400	1.	0.	0.080	6.26	105.	1.115	120.	324.	2.64	100.
13	100	725	0.	0.	0.018	1.96	99.	1.095	105.	284.	2.22	120.

MODE	PCT	ENGINE SPEED / RPM	TORQUE OBS LB-FT	POWER OBS BHP	FUEL FLOW LB/MIN	AIR FLOW LB/MIN	INTAKE HUMID GR/LB	NOX CORR FACT	HC PPM	CO PPM	NOX PCT	MODE
1	100	725	0.	0.	0.015	1.96	82.	1.028	45.	206.	2.17	135.
2	100	2000	3.	1.0	0.057	5.37	82.	1.035	200.	365.	2.32	103.
3	25	2000	24.	9.0	0.100	5.33	98.	1.076	460.	314.	4.01	210.
4	50	2000	49.	18.5	0.148	5.25	89.	1.028	160.	168.	6.30	315.
5	75	2000	74.	28.0	0.212	5.25	89.	1.026	110.	177.	9.21	335.
6	100	2000	100.	38.0	0.318	5.23	89.	1.025	60.	2322.	13.84	280.
7	100	725	0.	0.	0.017	1.88	89.	1.027	85.	206.	2.22	145.
8	100	2400	97.	44.4	0.360	6.34	85.	1.012	15.	1021.	13.69	310.
9	75	2400	70.	31.8	0.232	6.20	85.	1.018	70.	225.	8.39	405.
10	50	2400	46.	21.0	0.170	6.30	104.	1.070	160.	187.	5.97	290.
11	25	2400	24.	10.8	0.115	6.26	109.	1.111	80.	235.	4.15	205.
12	2	2400	1.	0.	0.080	6.26	105.	1.115	120.	324.	2.64	100.
13	100	725	0.	0.	0.018	1.96	99.	1.095	105.	284.	2.22	120.

MODE	PCT	ENGINE SPEED / RPM	TORQUE OBS LB-FT	POWER OBS BHP	FUEL FLOW LB/MIN	AIR FLOW LB/MIN	INTAKE HUMID GR/LB	NOX CORR FACT	HC PPM	CO PPM	NOX PCT	MODE
1	100	725	0.	0.	0.015	1.96	82.	1.028	45.	206.	2.17	135.
2	100	2000	3.	1.0	0.057	5.37	82.	1.035	200.	365.	2.32	103.
3	25	2000	24.	9.0	0.100	5.33	98.	1.076	460.	314.	4.01	210.
4	50	2000	49.	18.5	0.148	5.25	89.	1.028	160.	168.	6.30	315.
5	75	2000	74.	28.0	0.212	5.25	89.	1.026	110.	177.	9.21	335.
6	100	2000	100.	38.0	0.318	5.23	89.	1.025	60.	2322.	13.84	280.
7	100	725	0.	0.	0.017	1.88	89.	1.027	85.	206.	2.22	145.
8	100	2400	97.	44.4	0.360	6.34	85.	1.012	15.	1021.	13.69	310.
9	75	2400	70.	31.8	0.232	6.20	85.	1.018	70.	225.	8.39	405.
10	50	2400	46.	21.0	0.170	6.30	104.	1.070	160.	187.	5.97	290.
11	25	2400	24.	10.8	0.115	6.26	109.	1.111	80.	235.	4.15	205.
12	2	2400	1.	0.	0.080	6.26	105.	1.115	120.	324.	2.64	100.
13	100	725	0.	0.	0.018	1.96	99.	1.095	105.	284.	2.22	120.

MODE	PCT	ENGINE SPEED / RPM	TORQUE OBS LB-FT	POWER OBS BHP	FUEL FLOW LB/MIN	AIR FLOW LB/MIN	INTAKE HUMID GR/LB	NOX CORR FACT	HC PPM	CO PPM	NOX PCT	MODE
1	100	725	0.	0.	0.015	1.96	82.	1.028	45.	206.	2.17	135.
2	100	2000	3.	1.0	0.057	5.37	82.	1.035	200.	365.	2.32	103.
3	25	2000	24.	9.0	0.100	5.33	98.	1.076	460.	314.	4.01	210.
4	50	2000	49.	18.5	0.148	5.25	89.	1.028	160.	168.	6.30	315.
5	75	2000	74.	28.0	0.212	5.25	89.	1.026	110.	177.	9.21	335.
6	100	2000	100.	38.0	0.318	5.23	89.	1.025	60.	2322.	13.84	280.
7	100	725	0.	0.	0.017	1.88	89.	1.027	85.	206.	2.22	145.

TABLE A-3. 13-MODE GASEOUS EMISSIONS RESULTS FROM TELEDYNE TMD 20

13-MODE FEDERAL DIESEL EMISSION CYCLE 1978												
ENGINE: TELEDYNE TMD 20-107PT SERIAL NO. 300392												
TEST-1 FUEL: EN-827-F PROJECT: 02-8841-175 DATE: 11/7/85												
MODE	POWER PCT	ENGINE SPEED RPM	TORQUE OBS LB-FT	FUEL FLOW LB/MIN	AIR FLOW LB/MIN	INTAKE HUMID GR/LB	NOX FACT	HC PPM	CO PPM	NOX PCT	NOX PPM	MODE
1	1	IDLE / 1040.	0.	.017	2.31	48.	.901	170.	255.	1.78	40.	1
2	2	INTER / 2000.	2.	.048	4.24	48.	.918	80.	235.	1.59	65.	2
3	25	INTER / 2000.	22.	.082	4.20	48.	.925	88.	149.	4.21	225.	3
4	50	INTER / 2000.	43.	.120	4.18	48.	.935	55.	120.	8.05	335.	4
5	75	INTER / 2000.	68.	.150	4.07	48.	.951	52.	111.	8.80	450.	5
6	100	INTER / 2000.	87.	.170	4.04	47.	.973	38.	748.	13.88	380.	6
7	100	IDLE / 1040.	0.	.020	2.25	47.	.988	145.	284.	1.78	42.	7
8	100	RATED / 2230.	85.	.285	4.43	47.	.980	18.	1943.	13.89	370.	8
9	75	RATED / 2230.	64.	.200	4.51	48.	.983	25.	130.	9.32	430.	9
10	50	RATED / 2230.	43.	.148	4.54	48.	.987	30.	120.	8.81	340.	10
11	25	RATED / 2230.	21.	.100	4.61	48.	.983	75.	208.	4.48	210.	11
12	2	RATED / 2230.	2.	.082	4.61	48.	.972	405.	385.	2.84	83.	12
13	1	IDLE / 1040.	0.	.022	2.29	48.	.907	168.	304.	1.73	40.	13

MODE	HC	CO	NOX	HC	CO	NOX	HC	CO	NOX	HC	CO	NOX	MODE
1	4.31	12.85	2.86	8.72	45.38	27.43	.0073	.0680	.105	.981	.0088	18.7	1
2	2.56	13.30	8.04	.44	1.86	4.25	.0115	.0680	.168	.864	.0077	-33.0	2
3	.78	3.23	7.37	.19	.78	3.38	.0196	.0680	.284	.961	.0188	1.2	3
4	.43	1.82	7.74	.14	.47	2.83	.0281	.0680	.421	.846	.0282	-3.0	4
5	.34	1.14	7.19	.14	.47	2.83	.0421	.0680	.810	.824	.0409	-2.7	5
6	.14	4.88	4.03	.08	2.24	1.61	.0614	.0680	.888	.888	.0618	.8	6
7	3.67	14.31	3.10	.0089	.0680	.0089	.0089	.0680	.128	.882	.0088	-3.3	7
8	.07	12.85	3.82	.03	6.07	1.85	.0648	.0680	.839	.868	.0625	-3.6	8
9	.13	1.28	6.58	.08	.56	2.88	.0447	.0680	.848	.921	.0428	-4.3	9
10	.21	1.82	7.00	.10	.78	3.38	.0329	.0680	.478	.840	.0318	-3.8	10
11	.78	4.20	6.44	.53	2.82	4.33	.0218	.0680	.317	.858	.0211	-3.5	11
12	8.83	13.00	4.87	25.65	47.94	17.23	.0135	.0680	.195	.874	.0128	-4.8	12
13	4.38	15.71	3.08	.0085	.0680	.0085	.0085	.0680	.138	.882	.0084	-11.5	13

MODE	HC	CO	NOX	HC	CO	NOX	HC	CO	NOX	HC	CO	NOX	MODE
1	4.31	12.85	2.86	8.72	45.38	27.43	.0073	.0680	.105	.981	.0088	18.7	1
2	2.56	13.30	8.04	.44	1.86	4.25	.0115	.0680	.168	.864	.0077	-33.0	2
3	.78	3.23	7.37	.19	.78	3.38	.0196	.0680	.284	.961	.0188	1.2	3
4	.43	1.82	7.74	.14	.47	2.83	.0281	.0680	.421	.846	.0282	-3.0	4
5	.34	1.14	7.19	.14	.47	2.83	.0421	.0680	.810	.824	.0409	-2.7	5
6	.14	4.88	4.03	.08	2.24	1.61	.0614	.0680	.888	.888	.0618	.8	6
7	3.67	14.31	3.10	.0089	.0680	.0089	.0089	.0680	.128	.882	.0088	-3.3	7
8	.07	12.85	3.82	.03	6.07	1.85	.0648	.0680	.839	.868	.0625	-3.6	8
9	.13	1.28	6.58	.08	.56	2.88	.0447	.0680	.848	.921	.0428	-4.3	9
10	.21	1.82	7.00	.10	.78	3.38	.0329	.0680	.478	.840	.0318	-3.8	10
11	.78	4.20	6.44	.53	2.82	4.33	.0218	.0680	.317	.858	.0211	-3.5	11
12	8.83	13.00	4.87	25.65	47.94	17.23	.0135	.0680	.195	.874	.0128	-4.8	12
13	4.38	15.71	3.08	.0085	.0680	.0085	.0085	.0680	.138	.882	.0084	-11.5	13

CYCLE COMPOSITE USING 13-MODE WEIGHT FACTORS

BSHC = .380 GRAM/BHP-HR
 BSOC = 2.848 GRAM/BHP-HR
 BSNOX = 2.988 GRAM/BHP-HR
 BSHC + BSNOX = 3.368 GRAM/BHP-HR
 CORR. BSOC = .484 LBS/BHP-HR

TABLE A-4. 13-MODE GASEOUS EMISSIONS RESULTS FROM PEUGEOT XD3P

13-MODE FEDERAL DIESEL EMISSION CYCLE 1979												
ENGINE: PEUGEOT XD3P												
TEST-1 FUEL: EM-527-F PROJECT: 02-8841-176 DATE: 12/8/86												
MODE	POWER PCT	ENGINE SPEED RPM	TORQUE OBS LB-FT	POWER OBS BHP	FUEL FLOW LB/MIN	AIR FLOW LB/MIN	INTAKE HUMID GR/LB	MEASURED HC PPM	MEASURED CO PPM	MEASURED CO ₂ PCT	NOX PPM	CALCULATED GRAMS / HOUR HC CO NOX
1	1	IDLE / 800.	0.	0	.016	2.28	96.	197.	437.	1.78	65.	4. 20. 6.
2	2	INTER / 2100.	2.	10.4	.073	5.73	83.	305.	480.	2.32	45.	28. 83. 13.
3	25	INTER / 2100.	28.	20.5	.107	5.85	83.	185.	225.	4.01	110.	12. 33. 27.
4	60	INTER / 2100.	51.	31.1	.157	5.69	83.	132.	138.	5.97	180.	10. 20. 44.
5	75	INTER / 2100.	78.	41.8	.225	5.81	83.	140.	130.	8.79	245.	11. 18. 58.
6	100	INTER / 2100.	104.	41.8	.317	5.53	83.	105.	385.	12.70	240.	8. 48. 56.
7	100	IDLE / 800.	0.	0	.018	2.24	96.	205.	375.	1.83	75.	2. 20. 7.
8	75	RATED / 2300.	103.	45.2	.357	5.88	83.	107.	577.	12.84	215.	2. 87. 54.
9	50	RATED / 2300.	78.	34.0	.247	5.10	83.	139.	139.	8.90	220.	5. 21. 57.
10	25	RATED / 2300.	51.	22.5	.172	5.18	83.	158.	158.	8.22	185.	5. 24. 42.
11	12	RATED / 2300.	29.	11.3	.115	5.18	82.	208.	208.	4.08	100.	8. 32. 26.
12	2	RATED / 2300.	2.	1.0	.075	5.22	82.	215.	457.	2.43	43.	18. 71. 12.
13	1	IDLE / 800.	0.	0	.022	2.30	82.	145.	355.	1.78	60.	5. 23. 7.

MODE	HC	CO	NOX	GRAMS/LB-FUEL CALCULATED	F/A MEAS	F/A STOICH	"PHI" CORR	WET HC CORR	F/A CALC	F/A MEAS	POWER CORR FACT	BSFC CORR LB/HP-HR	MODAL WEIGHT FACTOR
1	4.95	21.77	5.90		.0088	.0690	.088	.978	.0087	31.4	1.008		.087
2	5.91	18.75	2.85	30.85 98.23 15.48	.0130	.0690	.188	.975	.0113	-12.6	1.030	5.087	.080
3	1.82	8.10	4.26	1.18 3.14 2.63	.0191	.0690	.277	.861	.0190	-7	1.028	.588	.080
4	1.08	2.13	4.70	.49 .98 2.18	.0278	.0690	.404	.945	.0279	-1	1.028	.447	.080
5	.78	1.35	4.32	.34 .59 1.87	.0406	.0690	.588	.823	.0405	-4	1.028	.421	.080
6	.42	2.58	2.90	.19 1.17 1.32	.0580	.0690	.840	.693	.0578	-7	1.028	.444	.080
7	5.03	18.84	6.67		.0083	.0690	.120	.978	.0089	7.9	1.008		.087
8	.11	4.08	2.55	.05 1.83 1.21	.0804	.0690	.875	.882	.0886	-2.8	1.033	.458	.080
9	.31	1.43	3.83	.14 .82 1.67	.0415	.0690	.601	.922	.0409	-1.3	1.035	.421	.080
10	.52	2.32	4.12	.24 1.08 1.88	.0285	.0690	.413	.943	.0290	1.8	1.035	.441	.080
11	.83	4.61	3.90	.50 2.61 2.32	.0188	.0690	.274	.880	.0193	1.8	1.035	.588	.080
12	4.01	16.72	2.71	17.44 88.38 11.78	.0122	.0690	.177	.974	.0118	-3.8	1.034	4.207	.080
13	3.67	17.82	5.18		.0085	.0690	.138	.980	.0087	-8.1	1.007		.087

CYCLE COMPOSITE USING 13-MODE WEIGHT FACTORS
 BSFC = .527 GRAM/BHP-HR
 BSFC = 2.240 GRAM/BHP-HR
 BSNOX = 1.858 GRAM/BHP-HR
 BSNOX + BSNOX = 2.382 GRAM/BHP-HR
 CORR. BSFC = .503 LB/BHP-HR

TABLE A-5. AVERAGE OF TWO 13-MODE EMISSION RESULTS (G/HP-HR) ON ISUZU C-240 DIESEL ENGINE

Mode	Engine Condition		Hydrocarbons			Carbon Monoxide			Oxides of Nitrogen		
			g/hp-hr			g/hp-hr			g/hp-hr		
	Speed, rpm	Load, %	8-19-85	8-22-85	Avg.	8-19-85	8-22-85	Avg.	8-19-85	8-22-85	Avg.
1	725	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
2	2000	2	8.40	13.30	10.85	44.29	48.00	46.15	24.20	22.88	23.54
3	2000	25	2.60	3.54	3.07	2.82	4.71	3.77	4.74	5.53	5.14
4	2000	50	0.52	0.58	0.55	1.27	1.17	1.22	3.53	3.69	3.61
5	2000	75	0.24	0.27	0.26	0.79	0.80	0.80	2.43	2.53	2.48
6	2000	100	0.11	0.11	0.11	8.86	7.62	8.24	1.25	1.54	1.40
7	725	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
8	2400	100	0.02	0.03	0.03	3.41	3.31	3.36	1.72	1.66	1.69
9	2400	75	0.19	0.18	0.19	1.07	1.07	1.07	3.52	3.21	3.37
10	2400	50	0.73	0.62	0.68	1.44	1.39	1.41	4.30	3.77	4.04
11	2400	25	0.58	0.58	0.58	3.29	3.30	3.30	5.94	5.22	5.58
12	2400	2	15.96	16.69	16.33	78.89	88.75	83.82	52.95	49.77	51.36
13	725	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
13-Mode Composite			0.480	0.532	0.506	3.858	3.680	3.769	3.208	3.126	3.167

TABLE A-7. AVERAGE OF TWO 13-MODE EMISSION RESULTS (PPM or PERCENT) ON ISUZU C-240 DIESEL ENGINE

Mode	Engine Condition		Emission Rate, g/hp-hr											
			Hydrocarbons			Carbon Monoxide		Oxides of Nitrogen				Carbon Dioxide, %		
	Speed, rpm	Load, %	8-19-85	8-22-85	Avg.	8-19-85	8-22-85	Avg.	8-19-85	8-22-85	Avg.	8-19-85	8-22-85	Avg.
1	725	--	45	45	45	206	206	206	110	135	123	2.22	2.17	2.20
2	2000	2	125	200	163	334	365	350	100	103	102	2.38	2.32	2.35
3	2000	25	365	460	413	204	314	259	190	210	200	4.21	4.01	4.11
4	2000	50	145	160	153	187	168	178	280	315	298	6.38	6.30	6.34
5	2000	75	100	110	105	177	177	177	300	335	318	9.42	9.21	9.32
6	2000	100	60	60	60	2733	2322	2528	220	280	250	14.13	13.84	13.99
7	725	--	60	85	73	206	206	206	110	145	128	2.22	2.22	2.22
8	2400	100	10	15	13	1034	1021	1028	310	310	310	13.69	13.69	13.69
9	2400	75	75	70	73	225	225	225	445	405	425	8.69	8.39	8.54
10	2400	50	190	160	175	196	187	192	340	290	315	6.22	5.97	6.10
11	2400	25	80	80	80	235	235	235	235	205	220	4.35	4.15	4.25
12	2400	2	125	120	123	314	324	319	115	110	108	2.70	2.64	2.67
13	725	--	135	105	120	304	284	294	115	120	118	2.22	2.22	2.22

APPENDIX B

**SUMMARY OF ALDEHYDE AND KETONES EMISSION RATES
FROM ISUZU C-240, TELEDYNE TMD-20, AND
PEUGEOT XD3P DIESEL ENGINES**

TABLE B-1. SUMMARY OF ALDEHYDE AND KETONES EMISSION RATES FROM
ISUZU C-240, TELEDYNE TMD-20, AND PEUGEOT XD3P DIESEL ENGINES

Engine Speed	Engine Load,%	Isuzu C-240			Teledyne TMD-20			Peugeot XD3P		
		mg/hr	mg/hp-hr	mg/m ³	mg/hr	mg/hp-hr	mg/m ³	mg/hr	mg/hp-hr	mg/m ³
Formaldehyde										
Idle	--	168	--	3.31	506	--	9.25	626	-	12.2
Peak Torque	2	377	753	3.00	945	1350	9.72	2400	2667	18.2
Rated	100	158	3.61	1.02	72	1.99	0.68	199	4.18	1.38
Acetaldehyde										
Idle	--	91	--	1.80	218	--	3.98	269	--	5.25
Peak Torque	2	219	437	1.75	379	541	3.90	900	1000	6.84
Rated	100	28	0.64	0.18	48	1.32	0.45	18	0.38	0.13
Acrolein										
Idle	--	79	-	1.57	191	--	3.49	233	--	4.55
Peak Torque	2	251	502	2.01	364	520	3.74	839	932	6.38
Rated	100	14	0.32	0.09	18	0.51	0.17	95	1.98	0.65
Acetone										
Idle	--	20	--	0.38	12	--	0.23	65	--	1.27
Peak Torque	2	10	20	0.08	43	61	0.44	29	32	0.22
Rated	100	25	0.57	0.16	42	1.16	0.40	33	0.70	0.23
Propionaldehyde										
Idle	--	28	--	0.54	49	--	0.90	57	--	1.11
Peak Torque	2	64	128	0.51	92	132	0.95	258	287	1.96
Rated	100	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Crotonaldehyde										
Idle	--	18	--	0.36	37	--	0.68	40	--	0.79
Peak Torque	2	50	99	0.40	89	127	0.91	254	282	1.93
Rated	100	11	0.26	0.07	ND	ND	ND	18	0.38	0.13
Isobutyraldehyde + MEK										
Idle	--	20	--	0.39	45	--	0.83	91	--	1.77
Peak Torque	2	64	127	0.51	62	89	0.64	460	511	3.49
Rated	100	84	1.92	0.54	ND	ND	ND	44	0.91	0.30
Benzaldehyde										
Idle	--	9.5	--	0.19	23	--	0.43	40	--	0.77
Peak Torque	2	69	138	0.55	99	141	1.02	218	242	1.66
Rated	100	16	0.36	0.10	3.8	0.10	0.04	19	0.41	0.13
Hexanaldehyde										
Idle	--	8.9	--	0.18	16	--	0.29	11	--	0.22
Peak Torque	2	42	83	0.33	17	25	0.18	175	195	1.33
Rated	100	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE		CDR
DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION		U.S. ARMY BELVOIR RESEARCH,
CTR		DEVELOPMENT & ENGINEERING CTR
CAMERON STATION	12	ATTN: STRBE-VF 10
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314		STRBE-BT 2
		STRBE-FMW 10
		FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-5606
DEPT. OF DEFENSE		HG, DEPT OF ARMY
ATTN: OASD (A&L) (MR DYCKMAN)	1	ATTN: DALO-TSE (COL BLISS) 1
WASHINGTON DC 20301-8000		DALO-TSZ-B (MR KOWALCZYK) 1
CDR		DALO-AV 1
DEFENSE FUEL SUPPLY CTR		DAMO-FDR (MAJ KNOX) 1
ATTN: DFSC-Q (MR MARTIN)	1	DAMA-ARZ (DR CHURCH) 1
DFSC-DF (MR FRENCH)	1	DAMA-ART (MR APPEL) 1
CAMERON STATION		WASHINGTON DC 20310
ALEXANDRIA VA 22304-6160		
CDR		CDR
DEFENSE GENERAL SUPPLY CTR		US ARMY MATERIEL DEVEL &
ATTN: DGSC-SSM (MR REYNOLDS)	1	READINESS COMMAND
ATTN: DGSC-STC (MR DOYLE)	1	ATTN: AMCDE-SS 1
RICHMOND VA 23297-5000		AMCSM-WST (LTC DACEY) 1
		5001 EISENHOWER AVE
		ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
DOD		CDR
ATTN: DUSDRE (RAT) (Dr. Dix)	1	US ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE CMD
ATTN: ROOM 3-D-1089, PENTAGON	1	ATTN: AMSTA-RG (MR WHEELOCK) 1
WASHINGTON DC 20301		AMSTA-TSL (MR BURG) 1
DEFENSE ADVANCED RES PROJ		AMSTA-MTC (MR GAGLIO),
AGENCY		AMSTA-MC, AMSTA-MV 1
DEFENSE SCIENCES OFC	1	AMSTA-UB (MR MCCARTNEY) 1
1400 WILSON BLVD		AMSTA-MLF (MR KELLER) 1
ARLINGTON VA 22209		WARREN MI 48397-5000
DEFENSE STANDARDIZATION OFFICE		DIRECTOR
ATTN: DR S MILLER	1	US ARMY MATERIEL SYSTEMS
5203 LEESBURG PIKE, SUITE 1403		ANALYSIS ACTIVITY
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041		ATTN: AMXSY-CM (MR NIEMEYER) 1
USA PLOF-AMEBASSY		AMXSY-CR (MR O'BRYON) 1
ATTN: MR J D PINZOLA	1	ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD
APO NEW YORK 09777		21005-5006
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY		CDR
DIRECTOR		US ARMY SECURITY ASSISTANCE CTR
APPLIED TECHNOLOGY LAB		ATTN: AMSAC-MP/S (MR HARVEY) 1
U.S. ARMY R&T LAB (AVSCOM)		5001 EISENHOWER AVE
ATTN: SAVDL-ATL-ATP (MR MORROW)	1	ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
SAVDL-ATL-ASV	1	CDR
FORT EUSTIS VA 23604-5577		US READINESS COMMAND
		ATTN: J4-E 1
		MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE FL 33608

DIRECTOR US ARMY MATERIEL CMD MATERIEL SUPPORT ACTIVITY ATTN: AMXTB-T (MR STOLARICK) FORT LEWIS WA 98433	1	CDR US ARMY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND ATTN: STEAP-MT-U (MR DEAYER) ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD 21005	1
CDR US ARMY GENERAL MATERIAL & PETROLEUM ACTIVITY ATTN: STRGP-F (MR ASHBROOK) STRGP-FE, BLDG 85-3 STRGP-FT NEW CUMBERLAND PA 17070-5008	1 1 1	CDR US ARMY YUMA PROVING GROUND ATTN: STEYP-MT-TL-M (MR DOEBBLER) YUMA AZ 85364-9130	1
HQ, DEPT. OF ARMY ATTN: DAEN-DRM WASHINGTON DC 20310	1	PROJ MGR, BRADLEY FIGHTING VEHICLE SYS ATTN: AMCPM-FVS-M WARREN MI 48397	1
CDR US ARMY LABORATORY COMMAND ATTN: AMSLC-AS-SE (DR ODOM) AMSLC-TP (DR GONANO) ADELPHIA MD 20783-1145	1 1	CDR US ARMY DEVELOPMENT AND EMPLOYMENT AGENCY ATTN: MODE-FDD-CSSB (MAJ GROSSMAN) FT LEWIS VA 98433-5000	1
CDR US ARMY RES & STDZN GROUP (EUROPE) ATTN: AMXSN-UK-RA (DR OERTEL) AMXSN-UK-SE (LTC NICHOLS) BOX 65 FPO NEW YORK 09510	1 1	CDR 105TH S & T BATTALION 5TH INFANTRY DIV (MECH) FORT POLK LA 71459	1
PROG MGR, M113 FAMILY OF VEHICLES ATTN: AMCPM-M113-T WARREN MI 48397	1	CDR CHEMICAL R&D CENTER ATTN: SMCCR-MMS ABERDEEN PROVING GRD MD 21010-5423	1
CDR US ARMY FORCES COMMAND ATTN: AFLG-REG AFLG-POP FORT MCPHERSON GA 30330	1 1	PROJ MGR, MOBILE ELECTRIC POWER ATTN: AMCPM-MEP-TM 7500 BACKLICK ROAD SPRINGFIELD VA 22150	1
CDR US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LAB ATTN: SLCBR-VL-S SLCBR-TB-E ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD 21005-5006	1 1	PROJ OFF, AMPHIBIOUS AND WATER CRAFT ATTN: AMCPM-AWC-R 4300 GOODFELLOW BLVD ST LOUIS MO 63120	1
CDR US CENTRAL COMMAND ATTN: CINCCEN/CC J4-L MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE FL 33608	1	CDR US ARMY EUROPE & SEVENTH ARMY ATTN: AEAGG-FMD AEAGD-TE APO NY 09403	1 1

CDR US ARMY RESEARCH OFC ATTN: SLCRO-EG (DR MANN) 1 SLCRO-CB (DR GHIRARDELLI) 1 P O BOX 12211 RSCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709-2211	CDR AMC MATERIEL READINESS SUPPORT ACTIVITY (MRSA) ATTN: AMXMD-MO (MR BROWN) 1 LEXINGTON KY 40511-5101
PROG MGR, TACTICAL VEHICLE ATTN: AMCPM-TV 1 WARREN MI 48397	HQ, US ARMY T&E COMMAND ATTN: AMSTE-TO-O 1 AMSTE-CM-R-O 1 AMSTE-TE-T (MR RITONDO) 1 ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD 21005-5006
CDR TRADOC COMBINED ARMS TEST ACTIVITY ATTN: ATCT-CA 1 FORT HOOD TX 76544	CDR, US ARMY ARMAMENT MUNITIONS & CHEMICAL COMMAND ARMAMENT RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CTR ATTN: AMSMC-LC 1 AMSMC-SC 1 DOVER NJ 07801-5001
CDR US ARMY LEA ATTN: DALO-LEP 1 NEW CUMBERLAND ARMY DEPOT NEW CUMBERLAND PA 17070	CDR, US ARMY TROOP SUPPORT COMMAND ATTN: AMSTR-ME 1 AMSTR-S 1 AMSTR-E 1 AMSTR-WL (MR BRADLEY) 1 4300 GOODFELLOW BLVD ST LOUIS MO 63120-1798
CDR US ARMY GENERAL MATERIAL & PETROLEUM ACTIVITY ATTN: STRGP-FW (MR PRICE) 1 BLDG 247, DEFENSE DEPOT TRACY TRACY CA 95376-5051	CDR CONSTRUCTION ENG RSCH LAB ATTN: CERL-EM 1 CERL-ES (MR CASE) 1 CERL-EH 1 P O BOX 4005 CHAMPAIGN IL 61820
PROJ MGR, LIGHT ARMORED VEHICLES ATTN: AMCPM-LA-E 1 WARREN MI 48397	TRADOC LIAISON OFFICE ATTN: ATFE-LO-AV 1 4300 GOODFELLOW BLVD ST LOUIS MO 63120-1798
CDR US ARMY ORDNANCE CENTER & SCHOOL ATTN: ATSL-CD-CS 1 ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD 21005	CDR US ARMY QUARTERMASTER SCHOOL ATTN: ATSM-CD 1 ATSM-TD 1 ATSM-PFS (MR ELLIOTT) 1 FORT LEE VA 23801
CDR US ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE & TECH CENTER ATTN: AMXST-MT-1 1 AMXST-BA 1 FEDERAL BLDG CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22901	HQ US ARMY TRAINING & DOCTRINE CMD ATTN: ATCD-SL-5 (MAJ JONES) 1 FORT MONROE VA 23651-5000
PROJECT MANAGER, LIGHT COMBAT VEHICLES ATTN: AMCPM-LCV-TC 1 WARREN, MI 48397	

CDR US ARMY NATICK RES & DEV LAB ATTN: STRNA-YE (DR KAPLAN) STRNA-U NATICK MA 01760-5000	1 1	DIR US ARMY MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY ATTN: SLCMT-M SLCMT-EM (DR FOPIANO) WATERTOWN MA 02172-2796	1 1
DIRECTOR US ARMY RSCH & TECH LAB (AVSCOM) PROPULSION LABORATORY ATTN: SAVDL-PL-D (MR ACURIO) 21000 BROOKPARK ROAD CLEVELAND OH 44135-3127	1	PROG MGR, TANK SYSTEMS ATTN: AMCPM-GCM-SM AMCPM-M60 WARREN MI 48397	1 1
CDR US ARMY TRANSPORTATION SCHOOL ATTN: ATSP-CD-MS (MR HARNET) FORT EUSTIS VA 23604-5000	1	CHIEF, U.S. ARMY LOGISTICS ASSISTANCE OFFICE, FORSCOM ATTN: AMXLA-FO (MR PITTMAN) FT MCPHERSON GA 30330	1
PROJ MGR, PATRIOT PROJ OFFICE ATTN: AMCPM-MD-T-C U.S. ARMY MISSILE COMMAND REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898	1	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY	
HQ, US ARMY ARMOR CENTER AND FORT KNOX ATTN: ATSB-CD FORT KNOX KY 40121	1	CDR NAVAL AIR PROPULSION CENTER ATTN: PE-33 (MR D'ORAZIO) PE-32 (MR MANGIONE) P O BOX 7176 TRENTON NJ 06828	1 1
CDR COMBINED ARMS COMBAT DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY ATTN: ATZL-CAT-E ATZL-CAT-A FORT LEAVENWORTH KA 66027-5300	1 1	CDR NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS CMD ATTN: CODE 05M4 (MR R LAYNE) WASHINGTON DC 20362-5101	1
CDR US ARMY LOGISTICS CTR ATTN: ATCL-MS (MR A MARSHALL) ATCL-C FORT LEE VA 23801-6000	1 1	CDR DAVID TAYLOR NAVAL SHIP R&D CTR ATTN: CODE 2830 (MR BOSMAJIAN) CODE 2759 (MR STRUCKO) CODE 2831 ANNAPOLIS MD 21402	1 1 1 1
PROJECT MANAGER PETROLEUM & WATER LOGISTICS ATTN: AMCPM-PWL 4300 GOODFELLOW BLVD ST LOUIS MO 63120-1798	1	CG FLEET MARINE FORCE ATLANTIC ATTN: G4 (COL ROMMANTZ) NORFOLK VA 23511	1
CDR US ARMY ARMOR & ENGINEER BOARD ATTN: ATZK-AE-AR ATZK-AE-LT FORT KNOX KY 40121	1 1	CDR NAVAL SHIP ENGINEERING CENTER ATTN: CODE 6764 (MR. BOYLE) PHILADELPHIA PA 19112	1
		CDR NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS CMD ATTN: CODE 53545 (MR MEARNS) WASHINGTON DC 20361	1

PROJ MGR, M60 TANK DEVELOPMENT
ATTN: USMC-LNO
US ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE
COMMAND (TACOM)
WARREN MI 48397

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
HQ, US MARINE CORPS
ATTN: LPP (MAJ LANG)
LMM/2 (MAJ PATTERSON)
WASHINGTON DC 20380

CDR
NAVAL AIR DEVELOPMENT CTR
ATTN: CODE 60612
WARMINSTER PA 18974

CDR
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
ATTN: CODE 6170
CODE 6180
CODE 6110 (DR HARVEY)
WASHINGTON DC 20375

CDR
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGR CTR
ATTN: CODE 1202B (MR R BURRIS)
200 STOVAL ST
ALEXANDRIA VA 22322

COMMANDING GENERAL
US MARINE CORPS DEVELOPMENT
& EDUCATION COMMAND
ATTN: DO74 (LTC WOODHEAD)
QUANTICO VA 22134

CDR
NAVAL AIR ENGR CENTER
ATTN: CODE 92727
LAKEHURST NJ 08733

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL
RESEARCH
ATTN: OCNR-126 (MR ZIEM)
CODE 432 (DR MILLER)
ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000

CDR
NAVY PETROLEUM OFC
ATTN: CODE 43 (MR LONG)
CAMERON STATION
ALEXANDRIA VA 22304-6180

CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
ATTN: OP 413
WASHINGTON DC 20350

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HQ, USAF
ATTN: LEYSF (COL LEE)
WASHINGTON DC 20330

HQ AIR FORCE SYSTEMS CMD
ATTN: AFSC/DLF (MAJ VONEDA)
ANDREWS AFB MD 20334

CDR
US AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL
LAB
ATTN: AFWAL/POSF (MR CHURCHILL)
AFWAL/POSL (MR JONES)
AFWAL/MLSE (MR MORRIS)
AFWAL/MLBT (MR SNYDER)
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH 45433

CDR
SAN ANTONIO AIR LOGISTICS
CTR
ATTN: SAALC/SFT (MR MAKRIS)
SAALC/MMPRR
KELLY AIR FORCE BASE TX 78241

CDR
HQ 3RD USAF
ATTN: LGSF (CPT HEWITT)
APO NEW YORK 09127

CDR
WARNER ROBINS AIR LOGISTIC
CTR
ATTN: WRALC/MMTV (MR GRAHAM)
ROBINS AFB GA 31098

CDR
USAF 3902 TRANSPORTATION
SQUADRON
ATTN: LGTVP (MR VAUGHN)
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE NE 68113

CDR
DET 29
ATTN: SA-ALC/SFM
CAMERON STATION
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND
SPACE ADMINISTRATION
VEHICLE SYSTEMS AND ALTERNATE
FUELS PROJECT OFFICE
ATTN: MR CLARK 1
LEWIS RESEARCH CENTER
CLEVELAND OH 44135

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
ATTN: AWS-110 1
800 INDEPENDENCE AVE, SW
WASHINGTON DC 20590

US DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
CE-151
ATTN: MR ECKLUND 1
FORRESTAL BLDG.
1000 INDEPENDENCE AVE, SW
WASHINGTON DC 20585

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL 1
2565 PLYMOUTH ROAD
ANN ARBOR MI 48105

END

DATE
FILMED

9-86