

AD-A176 346

HYDROGEN PRODUCTION FROM LIQUID HYDROCARBONS  
DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM(U) PARSONS (RALPH M) CO PASADENA  
CALIF J B O'HARA ET AL. 01 SEP 86 DAAK70-85-C-0092


1/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 21/4

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

12

Report DAAK70-85-C-0092

**AD-A176 346**

# **HYDROGEN PRODUCTION FROM LIQUID HYDROCARBONS DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM**

J.B. O'Hara, T.K. Chow, and J.K. Ling  
The Ralph M. Parsons Company  
100 West Walnut Street  
Pasadena, California 91124


1 September 1986

Final Technical Report  
Period 26 August 1985 to 1 September 1986

Approved for Public Release - Distribution is Unlimited

Prepared for:

BELVOIR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND  
ENGINEERING CENTER  
DIRECTORATE FOR LOGISTIC SUPPORT  
STRBE-FGC  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606



**DTIC FILE COPY**

**87 2 3 019**

Report DAAK70-85-C-0092

# HYDROGEN PRODUCTION FROM LIQUID HYDROCARBONS DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM

J.B. O'Hara, T.K. Chow, and J.K. Ling  
The Ralph M. Parsons Company  
100 West Walnut Street  
Pasadena, California 91124

1 September 1986

Final Technical Report  
Period 26 August 1985 to 1 September 1986

Approved for Public Release - Distribution is Unlimited

Prepared for:

BELVOIR RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND  
ENGINEERING CENTER  
DIRECTORATE FOR LOGISTIC SUPPORT  
STRBE-FGC  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606

|                    |                                            |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Accession For      |                                            |
| NTIS GRA&I         | <input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> |
| DTIC TAB           | <input type="checkbox"/>                   |
| Unannounced        | <input type="checkbox"/>                   |
| Justification      |                                            |
| By                 |                                            |
| Distribution/      |                                            |
| Availability Codes |                                            |
| Dist               | Avail and/or<br>Special                    |
| A-1                |                                            |



## **NOTICE**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States nor any agency thereof, nor any of its employees, nor any of its contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, make any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use or the result of such use of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed in this report or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

## **DISCLAIMERS**

"The views, opinions and/or findings contained in the report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation." The citation of tradenames and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government endorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

## **DISPOSITION**

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to originator.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                   | READ INSTRUCTIONS<br>BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER<br>DAAK70-85-C-0092                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.<br><b>AD-A176346</b>                                                                                        | 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER               |
| 4. TITLE (and Subtitle)<br>Hydrogen Production from Liquid Hydrocarbons<br>Demonstration Program                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED<br>Final<br>08/26/85 -09/01/86                                                                 | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER            |
| 7. AUTHOR(s)<br>J. B. O'Hara, T. K. Chow, J. K. Ling                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)<br>DAAK70-85-C-0092                                                                                |                                             |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS<br>The Ralph M. Parsons Company<br>100 Walnut Street<br>Pasadena, CA 91124                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK<br>AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS<br>Air Force Program<br>63723F/3139/Under MIPR<br>Fv-1455-85-N0622 |                                             |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS<br>Belvoir Research, Development & Engineering<br>Center, Directorate for Logistic Support,<br>STRBE-FPC, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 12. REPORT DATE<br>1 September 1986                                                                                               |                                             |
| 13. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)<br>DCASMA<br>6230 Van Nuys Blvd.<br>Van Nuys, CA 91408                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 14. NUMBER OF PAGES<br>108                                                                                                        |                                             |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)<br>Unclassified                                                                              |                                             |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 16. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING<br>SCHEDULE                                                                                      |                                             |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)<br>Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                   |                                             |
| 18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                   |                                             |
| 19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                   |                                             |
| 20. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)<br>Reforming<br>Partial Oxidation                      Hydrogen Production<br>Syngas Production                      Fuel Cell Power Plant<br>Diesel Feedstock                      Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cell                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                   |                                             |
| 21. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)<br>This report describes a process configuration which has the potential to produce a minimum of 0.365 pound of hydrogen per pound of feed diesel consumed. The hydrogen-containing product is a suitable fuel for a phosphoric acid fuel cell power plant. A 2 mole per hour (hydrogen) demonstration plant was designed, constructed and started up. Results are summarized. |                                                                                                                                   |                                             |

DD FORM 1473 1 JAN 73 EDITION OF NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

## SUMMARY

The Air Force now uses diesel engine generators as sources of heat and electricity at selected remote sites. Simultaneously, it has investigated alternative cogeneration candidates that offer improved reliability, maintainability, and economics. One system that shows high potential is a phosphoric acid fuel cell (PAFC) power plant consisting of a fuel conditioner to convert logistic fuels such as DF-2, DF-A, and JP-4 to a hydrogen-rich gas, the PAFC to convert the hydrogen to direct current electrical power plus heat, and a power conditioner to convert the direct current power to alternating current.

The objective of the project work reported here was to define, and demonstrate, a fuel conditioner to meet performance criteria established for the Air Force Remote Site Fuel Cell Power Plant program. Key criteria included high fuel-to-hydrogen conversion efficiency, rapid startup and load following capability, and minimum water consumption during operations,

A preliminary process design was developed that has the potential to convert logistic fuels to a hydrogen-rich fuel gas suitable for feed to a PAFC and meet the project performance criteria. Key elements of the "hybrid" design included (1) a high temperature steam reformer (HTSR) to convert approximately 55% of the carbon content of the feed logistic fuel to oxides of carbon (carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide) and (2), an autothermal reformer (ATR) to convert the remainder of the hydrocarbon feedstock to a mixture of hydrogen and carbon oxides. Other processing steps included two shift conversion units to increase the ratio of hydrogen-to-carbon monoxide, a desulfurization step to reduce the sulfur content of the product fuel gas to less than 10 parts per million by weight (ppmw), and ancillaries to assure high thermal efficiency and high efficiency in converting logistic fuels to hydrogen.

A demonstration plant (DP) capable of producing 2 pound moles per hour (mole/hr) of hydrogen at a concentration of 50% volume minimum, dry basis, was designed and equipment procurement and construction completed in a 4-month period. The DP was commissioned, an operating manual prepared, operators trained, and the DP was started. Limited experimental data was obtained during a 17 hour test run before a forced shutdown. Principal reasons for shutdown included failures of an electrically heated steam superheater and the autothermal reformer (ATR) high temperature electrical heaters.

Analysis of project results led to the following conclusions:

- (1) A process design has been developed that has the potential to achieve project goals. This process configuration uses sour reforming to process the high sulfur logistic fuels feedstock followed by shift reaction and desulfurization.
- (2) Additional operations are required to adequately demonstrate the performance of the process configuration. The one month's operating time was not adequate because of startup problems primarily caused by high temperature electrical heaters.
- (3) The results of a 17 hour run indicate that the DP can produce hydrogen-containing product gas with less than 1 ppmv hydrogen sulfide.
- (4) Product hydrogen concentration was of the order of 35 mole percent. Contaminants exhibiting higher-than-design concentrations included methane, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen. Control of these constituents as described below will produce a product gas with at least 53% hydrogen, which will meet project objectives:
  - (a) Convert all methane to oxides of carbon and hydrogen in the HTSR and ATR.

(b) Convert 90% of the carbon monoxide to hydrogen by reaction with steam in the shift reactors.

(c) Reduce the air feed rate to the ATR.

Each of the above changes are achievable.

- (5) Failures of high temperature electrical heaters caused forced shutdown of the DP. Design deficiencies of the ATR contributed to failure of the ATR electrical heaters.
- (6) Equipment modifications/additions/repairs have been defined that are judged adequate to provide confidence that the DP can operate reliably to demonstrate the performance of the process configuration.
- (7) Candidate materials of construction have been defined for the 1800°F-plus operation in sulfur-containing environments. These materials performed satisfactorily for the brief operating period.
- (8) Solids, tentatively identified as naphthalene and related compounds, collected in the product gas exit area, causing a malfunction of the product gas meter. To eliminate/control these compounds, oxidation conditions must be controlled in the process and procedures incorporated to assure delivery of clean product gas to the fuel cell.

We recommend that the DP be modified and improved as defined in Section 11 of this report and that operations be resumed to demonstrate that the fuel conditioner process configuration tested is capable of efficiently and reliably converting logistic fuels to a specification hydrogen-rich gas stream suitable for use as fuel for a PAFC.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## PREFACE

The work reported here is one portion of the Air Force Remote Site Fuel Cell Power Plant program whose objective is to demonstrate logistic fueled fuel cell power plants at a remote site in Alaska in the early 1990s. The prime objective of Parsons work summarized in this report is to demonstrate the performance of fuel processor technology having the potential to form an integral part of fuel cell power plants that are more reliable, and offer lower lifecycle cost, than the diesel-engine cogeneration systems now used in Alaskan remote site radar installations.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# CONTENTS

1  
1  
1  
1

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Title</u>                                                     | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1              | Introduction.....                                                | 1           |
| 2              | Process Selection.....                                           | 3           |
|                | A. Selection Criteria.....                                       | 3           |
|                | B. Selection Rationale.....                                      | 4           |
| 3              | Process Design.....                                              | 7           |
|                | A. Process Description.....                                      | 7           |
|                | B. Heat and Material Balance.....                                | 19          |
| 4              | Mechanical Design.....                                           | 21          |
| 5              | Reliability Analysis.....                                        | 29          |
|                | A. Introduction.....                                             | 29          |
|                | B. Preliminary Hazards Analysis.....                             | 29          |
|                | C. Reliability Assessment, 2 mole/hr<br>Demonstration Plant..... | 29          |
|                | D. Maintainability Assessment.....                               | 30          |
|                | E. Availability Calculation.....                                 | 30          |
|                | F. Reliability Analysis, 20 mole/hr Fuel<br>Conditioner.....     | 30          |
|                | G. References.....                                               | 32          |
| 6              | Demonstration Plant Construction/Commissioning.....              | 33          |
|                | A. Detailed Engineering.....                                     | 33          |
|                | B. Procurement.....                                              | 33          |
|                | C. Facilities Preparation.....                                   | 33          |
|                | D. Construction/Commissioning.....                               | 34          |
| 7              | Operations Plan.....                                             | 37          |
|                | A. Operating Manual.....                                         | 37          |
|                | B. Operations/Test Plan.....                                     | 37          |
|                | C. Program Operation Schedule.....                               | 38          |
|                | D. Planned Test Data Collection Frequency.....                   | 42          |
|                | E. Analytical Procedures.....                                    | 43          |
| 8              | Startup and Operations Results.....                              | 45          |
|                | A. Catalyst Preparation.....                                     | 45          |
|                | B. Catalyst Physical Tests.....                                  | 46          |
|                | C. Operating Procedure.....                                      | 47          |
|                | D. Analytical Procedures.....                                    | 52          |

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Contd)

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Title</u>                                   | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------|
|                | E. Hydrogen Production Run.....                | 52          |
|                | F. Shutdown Operation.....                     | 54          |
|                | G. Results Overview.....                       | 54          |
|                | H. Data Summary.....                           | 56          |
|                | I. Data Analysis.....                          | 64          |
|                | J. Hydrogen Production Rate.....               | 67          |
|                | K. Space Velocity.....                         | 69          |
|                | L. Reaction Conditions.....                    | 70          |
|                | M. Operations Discussion.....                  | 70          |
| 9              | Equipment Performance.....                     | 71          |
|                | A. Electrical Heaters.....                     | 71          |
|                | B. Autothermal Reformer.....                   | 71          |
|                | C. Other Problem Areas.....                    | 72          |
| 10             | Conclusions.....                               | 75          |
| 11             | Recommendations.....                           | 77          |
|                | A. Operation.....                              | 77          |
|                | B. Autothermal Reformer (ATR).....             | 77          |
|                | C. High Temperature Steam Reformer (HTSR)..... | 78          |
|                | D. Steam Superheater (SSH).....                | 78          |
|                | E. Reformer Feed Temperatures.....             | 81          |
| Appendix A     | Safety and Preliminary Hazards Analyses.....   | A-1         |
| Appendix B     | Excerpt From Operating Manual.....             | B-1         |
| Appendix C     | DF-2 and Feedwater Analyses.....               | C-1         |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>Figure<br/>No.</u> | <u>Title</u>                                                                | <u>Page</u> |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1                     | Process Flow Diagram.....                                                   | 9           |
| 2                     | Sketch, High Temperature Steam Reformer (HTSR) Reactor.....                 | 11          |
| 3                     | Sketch, Autothermal (ATR) Reactor.....                                      | 15          |
| 4                     | 2 mole/hr Heat Profile, Reactor Section.....                                | 18          |
| 5                     | Piping and Instrument Diagram.....                                          | 25          |
| 6                     | Photograph of Demonstration Plant (View A).....                             | 34          |
| 7                     | Photograph of Demonstration Plant (View B).....                             | 35          |
| 8                     | Photograph of Demonstration Plant (View C).....                             | 35          |
| 9                     | HTSR Operating Temperature Profile vs Time.....                             | 49          |
| 10                    | ATR Operating Temperature Profile vs Time.....                              | 51          |
| 11                    | Feed Rates and Gas Compositions as a Function of Time.....                  | 59          |
| 12                    | High Temperature Steam Reformer Thermocouple and<br>Catalyst Locations..... | 60          |
| 13                    | Autothermal Thermocouple and Catalyst Locations.....                        | 61          |
| 14                    | High Temperature Steam Reformer Axial Temperature<br>Profile.....           | 62          |
| 15                    | Autothermal Axial Temperature Profile.....                                  | 63          |
| 16                    | Autothermal Reactor, Design Modification Details.....                       | 79          |
| 17                    | Autothermal Reactor, Design Modification Sections and<br>Details.....       | 80          |

# LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Table<br/>No.</u> | <u>Title</u>                                                                                                         | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1                    | 2.0 Mole/hr Demonstration Plant (DP) Heat and Material Balance.....                                                  | 12          |
| 2                    | Catalyst Characteristics.....                                                                                        | 14          |
| 3                    | Demonstration Plant Equipment List.....                                                                              | 22          |
| 4                    | List of Space Velocities.....                                                                                        | 24          |
| 5                    | Chemical Composition of Alloys Suitable for Operating Temperatures of 1600°F to 2000°F and Pressure of 125 psig..... | 27          |
| 6                    | Room and Elevated Temperature Mechanical Properties of Candidate Alloys.....                                         | 28          |
| 7                    | Reliability Analysis Summary.....                                                                                    | 31          |
| 8                    | Demonstration Run Schedule, Experiment A.....                                                                        | 39          |
| 9                    | Demonstration Run Schedule, Experiment B, Series I.....                                                              | 40          |
| 10                   | Demonstration Run Schedule, Experiments B-II, C and D.....                                                           | 41          |
| 11                   | Operating Data Summary: Product Gas Analysis.....                                                                    | 54          |
| 12                   | Operating Temperature Profiles Summary: Product Gas Analysis.....                                                    | 57          |
| 13                   | Operating Data Summary: Feed Stream Flow Rates.....                                                                  | 58          |
| 14                   | Calculated Product Gas Flow Rates.....                                                                               | 64          |
| 15                   | Product Gas Consistency Data.....                                                                                    | 65          |
| 16                   | Postulated Product Gas Compositions.....                                                                             | 67          |

SECTION 1

## SECTION 1

### INTRODUCTION

The work reported here represents a contribution to the U.S. Air Force Remote Site Fuel Cell Power Plant program. The objective was to demonstrate viable performance of a technology to convert liquid fuels JP-4, DF-2, and DF-A to a hydrogen-rich gas stream suitable for use in a phosphoric acid fuel cell (PAFC) and to make the demonstrated process available for future competitive procurement by the Government.

The work defines, and tests performance of, a process technology potentially capable of achieving the above-stated objectives at a remote Alaskan site. DF-2 was used as the liquid hydrocarbon feedstock for design and operations testing because it represents the most difficult of the three candidate fuels to process. Satisfactory performance with DF-2 will provide the basis for design/operation of fuel conditioners capable of processing DF-A and JP-4.

The performance requirements for the fuel conditioner are more demanding than the corresponding requirements for industrial hydrogen plants. Key added challenges consist of:

- (1) A logistic fuel, as typified by DF-2, is more difficult to process than lower molecular weight historical industrial hydrogen plant feedstocks because DF-2 characteristics include:
  - (a) Significantly higher average boiling point.
  - (b) Higher sulfur content.
  - (c) Presence of complex high boiling point antioxidants, cetane improvers, and corrosion inhibitor additives.
  - (d) High propensity to form carbon.

- (2) Required rapid startups from cold standby condition to full hydrogen delivery.
- (3) Rapid electrical load-following transient capability.
- (4) High hydrogen-from-fuel feedstock production efficiency.

Processing these high boiling, high sulfur, complex, and variable chemical composition feedstocks to meet the indicated stringent performance criteria places the fuel conditioner at the cutting edge of hydrogen production technology.

The following sections will describe the basis for selection of the preferred process configuration; the process and mechanical design of the demonstration plant (DP); the safety and reliability factors for the process plant; the plant's construction, commissioning, startup, and operations; and interpretation of the operating results relative to the project objective. Conclusions and recommendations are also presented.

These results provide the basis for future work to design, construct, and operate prototype fuel conditioners that will achieve the Remote Site Fuel Cell Power Plant program objectives.



## SECTION 2

### PROCESS SELECTION

#### A. SELECTION CRITERIA

There are approximately 120 hydrogen producing facilities in the U.S. with a combined production capacity of about 100 billion scfd/yr. Feedstocks range from natural gas to naphtha. These hydrogen plant feedstocks have nil sulfur content. End uses for hydrogen include ammonia and methanol manufacture, hydrocracking, hydrotreating, hydrodesulfurization, chemical, pharmaceutical, food, metal, and electronic industries. Unit plant capacities range from 0.1 to 75 million scfd.

The industrial experience available from the above cited hydrogen plants provides limited basis for preferred facilities design and operation of the demonstration plant (DP). A key distinguishing factor for the DP is that sulfur contaminants in the higher boiling feedstocks (for example, end points to 786°F for DF-2) make it difficult and costly to completely remove the sulfur by conventional means and then produce hydrogen using standard "sweet" reforming catalysts and conditions. There is, therefore, an incentive to investigate use of an alternative process scheme using sulfur-resistant catalysts capable of operating at high temperatures. The high temperature and high sulfur content environment requires specialized alloys and equipment fabrication techniques.

Other differences between conventional hydrogen manufacture and the DP design were summarized in Section 1.

All factors were included in the design and process selection criteria. The fuel processor capabilities must include:

- (1) Processing DF-2, DF-A, and JP-4 petroleum feedstocks interchangeably.

- (2) Producing a hydrogen product stream with the following specifications for use in a phosphoric acid fuel cell (PAFC):

| <u>Component</u> | <u>Percent by Volume,<br/>Dry Basis</u> |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Hydrogen         | 50, minimum                             |
| Carbon monoxide  | 1, maximum                              |
| Sulfur           | 10 ppmw, maximum                        |

- (3) Achieving a minimum hydrogen yield of 0.365 lb per pound of fuel consumed. The ultimate target is 0.42 lb.
- (4) High turndown and quick transient response capabilities.
- (5) Operating with minimum water consumption.
- (6) Operating at high reliability with minimum operation supervision.
- (7) Operating with minimum maintenance.
- (8) Attaining maximum integration with a PAFC.

#### B. SELECTION RATIONALE

Partial oxidation (POX) and catalytic steam reforming are commercially proven technologies for manufacturing hydrogen from light hydrocarbon feedstocks. For feedstocks heavier than naphtha, the POX process is commercially used.

For the POX process, either air or pure oxygen can be used as the oxidant. Because nitrogen is the majority constituent of air, the POX effluent gas will be diluted by nitrogen when air is the oxidant, resulting in a hydrogen and carbon monoxide content below 50%. To ensure a greater than 50% hydrogen and carbon monoxide content in a POX effluent, pure oxygen or oxygen-enriched air must be used. This necessitates production of oxygen in the plant, which adds cost and complexity to the hydrogen manufacturing process. Also, the hydrogen-from-fuel efficiency target cannot be readily achieved using POX technology

exclusively. A process configuration using only POX technology was, therefore, rejected for this project.

The catalytic steam reforming process is a potential candidate for the fuel processor. However, commercial reforming uses essentially sulfur-free light hydrocarbon feedstocks. The conventional steam reforming catalysts have very low sulfur tolerance and operating temperatures are in the 1500°F to 1600°F temperature range.

For heavier hydrocarbon feedstocks, a catalyst that is sulfur resistant and operates at higher temperatures is required. The sulfur resistant catalyst eliminates the costly, complex, and commercially difficult total sulfur removal process step for the heavy feedstock. A high operating temperature catalyst is required to minimize formation of hydrocarbons heavier than methane in the product gas.

The project performance criteria demands minimum fuel, steam, and catalyst use, plus minimum unconverted methane in the product stream. Current information indicates that use of the high temperature steam reformer (HTSR) as the sole process step to convert DF-2 to a hydrogen and carbon oxides mixture will not economically meet the target project performance standards.

As a result of the above analyses, the "hybrid" combination of HTSR plus autothermal reformer (ATR) was selected to reduce the methane leakage to an acceptable level in the hydrogen and carbon oxides production step while retaining practical levels of temperature, steam rate, and catalyst volume. The HTSR is used to convert the DF-2 to a mixture containing hydrogen and carbon oxides plus methane and lesser amounts of higher molecular weight hydrocarbons. The residual hydrocarbons contained in the HTSR effluent are then converted to additional hydrogen and carbon oxides by contact with air and catalyst at elevated temperature in an ATR. This combined process configuration has the potential to meet the project's performance goals.

The proprietary under-development Toyo Engineering Corporation (TEC) catalyst T-12 offers the potential advantages of being sulfur resistant and capable of

operating at high temperature. It was chosen for use in the catalytic steam reforming step. Similarly, the TEC T-48 catalyst has proven to be sulfur resistant and effective for the ATR reforming step and was, therefore, selected for that use.

Heat required for endothermic reaction in the ATR is supplied by burning part of the HTSR effluent with air. The quantity of air used is controlled to assure meeting the product hydrogen concentration specification of 50 mole percent, minimum.



## SECTION 3

### PROCESS DESIGN

#### A. PROCESS DESCRIPTION

The process configuration is shown in Fig 1. The six key process steps are:

- (1) Feed preparation.
- (2) High temperature steam reformer (HTSR)
- (3) Autothermal reformer (ATR).
- (4) First stage shift reactor.
- (5) Second stage shift reactor.
- (6) Desulfurizer.

Each of these process units is described in the following paragraphs.

##### 1. Feed Preparation Section

The objective of this process section is to produce a vapor mixture of steam and DF-2 at the correct molar steam-to-carbon (s/c) ratio, temperature, and pressure for feed to the catalyst section of the HTSR, 1-1401, without forming carbon. This is done by injecting an atomized stream of liquid DF-2 into superheated steam. The resulting fuel/steam mixture enters the HTSR catalyst bed at approximately 970°F.

The DF-2 has a nominal molecular weight of 210, contains approximately 86% carbon by weight, has an end point up to 780°F, and a sulfur content up to 0.7% by weight. The demonstration plant (DP) was designed to accommodate mole s/c ratios of 3.0 to 6.0 in the HTSR.

##### 2. High Temperature Steam Reformer (HTSR)

The HTSR converts the majority of the high molecular weight hydrocarbons contained in the DF-2 to hydrogen, carbon oxides ( $\text{CO}_x$ ), and methane.

The HTSR consists of an electrically heated regenerative tube catalytic converter as depicted in Fig. 2. The catalyst is Toyo Engineering Corporation (TEC) T-12. This catalyst is contained in an annular space located between the outer tube, which is externally electrically heated, and an inner tube that transports the catalytic bed effluent out of the HTSR reactor while simultaneously transferring heat to the annular catalytic bed. Operating conditions are summarized in Table 1, to be discussed later in this report section.

Characteristics of catalysts used in the HTSR, plus other process units, are listed in Table 2.

The conversion of DF-2 carbon content to carbon oxides (carbon monoxide plus carbon dioxide) in the HTSR is approximately 55%, with the remainder of the carbon in the form of methane plus minor amounts of higher molecular weight short chain hydrocarbons. The HTSR product is the feed to the ATR, 1-2501.

### 3. Autothermal Reformer (ATR)

The ATR, 1-2501, selectively converts residual hydrocarbons contained in the HTSR effluent to a mixture of carbon oxides and hydrogen. This is done in a refractory lined catalytic convertor illustrated in Fig. 3. TEC proprietary catalyst T-48 is used, plus a minor amount of T-12 catalyst. The required endothermic heat of reaction is supplied by combustion of a portion of the feed stream with air supplemented by high temperature electric heaters.

Feed to the ATR consists of the HTSR effluent plus air. The air is preheated to 1400°F before entering the combustion zone of the ATR.

1-1902  
FEED TANK

1-1306  
STEAM GENERATOR

1-1405  
STEAM  
SUPERHEATER


1-1401  
HIGH TEMPERATURE  
STEAM REFORMER

1-2501  
AUTOTHERMAL  
REFORMER

1-1301  
FIRST SH  
FEED COO

1-1404  
AIR PREHEATER

1-1313  
HTSR/ATR EFFL.  
EXCHANGER



1-2501  
THERMAL  
REFORMER

1-1301  
FIRST SHIFT  
FEED COOLER

1-2502  
FIRST STAGE  
SHIFT REACTOR

1-2503  
SECOND STAGE  
SHIFT REACTOR

1-2504  
DESULFURIZER


1-1201  
EFFLUENT SEPARATOR

1-1313  
HTSR/ATR EFFL.  
EXCHANGER

1-1204  
K.O. DRUM

1-1302  
SECOND SHIFT  
FEED COOLER


1-1303  
EFFLUENT  
COOLER



LEGEND:

- PI - PRESSURE INDICATOR
- TI - TEMPERATURE INDICATOR
- FI - FLOW INDICATOR
- TR - TEMPERATURE RECORDER
- PC - PRESSURE CONTROL
- FC - FLOW CONTROL
- FIC - FLOW RATIO INDICATOR CONTROL
- FQ - FLOW SUMMATION
- SC - SAMPLE CONNECTION
- BFW - BOILER FEEDWATER

Figure 1. Process flow diagram.



LEGEND: T IN = INLET TEMPERATURE OF STEAM/HYDROCARBON  
TO CATALYST BED

T OB = CATALYST BED OUTLET TEMPERATURE

T OUT = HTSR EXIT TEMPERATURE

Figure 2. Sketch high temperature steam reformer (HTSR) reactor.

TABLE 1. 2 mole/hr Demonstration Plant (DP) Heat and Material Balance.  
100% Capacity Operation

| STREAM NUMBER<br>STREAM NAME | ①       | ③         | ④         | ⑤         | ⑥         | ⑨         | ⑩         | ⑪         |
|------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| H <sub>2</sub>               | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 7747.84   | 7747.84   | 7747.84   | 0.00      |
| N <sub>2</sub>               | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 7943.63   |
| CO                           | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 1969.19   | 1969.19   | 1969.19   | 0.00      |
| A                            | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 74.78     |
| O <sub>2</sub>               | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 2130.69   |
| CL                           | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 3709.08   | 3709.08   | 3709.08   | 0.00      |
| CL <sub>2</sub>              | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| CL <sub>2</sub> -            | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| CO <sub>2</sub>              | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 2721.63   | 2721.63   | 2721.63   | 0.00      |
| H <sub>2</sub> S             | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 25.32     | 25.32     | 25.32     | 0.00      |
| CO <sub>2</sub>              | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.31      | 0.31      | 0.31      | 0.00      |
| S <sub>02</sub>              | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| H <sub>2</sub> O (V)         | 0.00    | 24924.53  | 24724.63  | 24924.63  | 17511.80  | 17511.80  | 17511.80  | 532.54    |
| BZ--(V)                      | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| JP--(V)                      | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| DF--(V)                      | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| DF--2(V)                     | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 559.43    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| H <sub>2</sub> O (L)         | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| BZ--(L)                      | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| JP--(L)                      | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| DF--(L)                      | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| DF--2(L)                     | 0.00    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| ASH                          | 559.43  | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| TOTAL <sup>a</sup>           | 559.427 | 24724.633 | 24724.633 | 25484.059 | 33685.152 | 33685.152 | 33685.152 | 16597.101 |
| PSIA <sup>b</sup>            | 14.696  | 164.700   | 164.700   | 89.700    | 84.700    | 79.700    | 74.700    | 74.700    |
| GF <sup>c</sup>              | 80.000  | 165.852   | 130.000   | 969.523   | 1800.000  | 1400.000  | 1652.000  | 150.000   |
| MWBTU/HR <sup>d</sup>        | -43.314 | -2543.072 | -2326.776 | -2410.094 | -1948.846 | -2092.424 | -2003.040 | -767.157  |

<sup>a</sup>Flow rates are in pound moles per hour (lb mole/hr) x 10<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>b</sup>Operating pressures are in pounds per square inch absolute (psia).

<sup>c</sup>Operating temperatures are in degrees Fahrenheit (°F).

<sup>d</sup>Enthalpies are in million British thermal units per hour (Btu/hr). Enthalpy shown includes heat of reactions. Reference temperature is 77°F.

TABLE 1. 2 mole/hr Demonstration Plant (DP) Heat and Material Balance (Contd).  
100% Capacity Operation

| STREAM NUMBER<br>STREAM NAME | 12        | 13        | 16        | 17        | 18        | 19        | 20        | 21        |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| H2                           | 0.00      | 15902.50  | 15902.50  | 15802.50  | 19591.39  | 19531.39  | 19999.87  | 19999.87  |
| N2                           | 7943.63   | 7943.63   | 7943.63   | 7943.63   | 7943.63   | 7943.63   | 7943.63   | 7943.63   |
| CO                           | 0.00      | 4411.80   | 4411.80   | 4411.80   | 632.77    | 632.77    | 212.29    | 212.29    |
| A                            | 94.98     | 94.98     | 94.98     | 94.98     | 94.98     | 94.98     | 94.98     | 94.98     |
| O2                           | 2130.62   | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| CL                           | 0.00      | 19.40     | 19.40     | 19.40     | 19.40     | 19.40     | 19.40     | 19.40     |
| CL2                          | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| CO2                          | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| H2S                          | 0.00      | 3968.64   | 3968.64   | 3968.64   | 7747.74   | 7747.74   | 8164.66   | 8164.66   |
| CS2                          | 0.00      | 25.29     | 25.29     | 25.29     | 25.57     | 25.57     | 25.60     | 25.60     |
| S02                          | 0.00      | 0.34      | 0.34      | 0.34      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| H2O(V)                       | 6427.93   | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| BZ---                        | 0.00      | 23264.31  | 23264.31  | 23264.31  | 19484.36  | 19484.36  | 19060.96  | 19060.96  |
| JP---                        | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| DF---                        | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| H2O(L)                       | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| JP---                        | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| DF---                        | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| DE---                        | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| ASH                          | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.00      |
| TOTAL <sup>a</sup>           | 16537.191 | 55530.887 | 55530.887 | 55530.887 | 55530.387 | 55530.387 | 55521.406 | 55495.963 |
| PSIA <sup>b</sup>            | 134.700   | 64.700    | 59.700    | 58.700    | 44.700    | 39.700    | 29.700    | 24.700    |
| DEG <sup>c</sup>             | 1400.030  | 1800.544  | 1628.336  | 550.000   | 689.825   | 500.000   | 515.518   | 515.519   |
| WHRTU/HR <sup>d</sup>        | -493.763  | -2496.932 | -2586.187 | -3100.291 | -3100.291 | -3186.420 | -3186.420 | -3186.420 |

<sup>a</sup>Flow rates are in pound moles per hour (lb mole/hr) x 10<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>b</sup>Operating pressures are in pounds per square inch absolute (psia).

<sup>c</sup>Operating temperatures are in degrees fahrenheit (°F).

<sup>d</sup>Enthalpies are in million British thermal units per hour (Btu/hr). Enthalpy shown includes heat of reactions. Reference temperature is 77°F.

TABLE 2. Catalyst Characteristics.

| <u>Service</u>                      | <u>HTSR</u>      | <u>ATR</u>  | <u>1st Shift</u>                                     | <u>2nd Shift</u>                                     | <u>Desulfurizer</u> |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Manufacturer                        | TEC <sup>1</sup> | TEC         | United <sup>2</sup>                                  | United                                               | United              |
| Designation                         | T-12             | T-48        | C25-2-02                                             | C25-2-02                                             | G-72D               |
| Shape                               | Sphere           | Sphere      | Extrusion                                            | Extrusion                                            | Pellets             |
| Size, in.                           | 3/16             | 3/16        | 1/8                                                  | 1/8                                                  | 3/16                |
| Bulk Density,<br>lb/ft <sup>3</sup> | 81               | 88          | 42                                                   | 42                                                   | 70                  |
| Composition                         | Proprietary      | Proprietary | Alumina<br>Carbon<br>monoxide<br>Molybdenum<br>oxide | Alumina<br>Carbon<br>monoxide<br>Molybdenum<br>oxide | Zinc oxide          |

<sup>1</sup>Toyo Engineering Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

<sup>2</sup>United Catalysts Inc., Louisville, Kentucky, U.S.A.




Figure 3. Sketch, autothermal reformer (ATR) reactor.

The HTSR effluent is heat interchanged with the ATR effluent to increase the ATR feed temperature to approximately 1650°F, then combusted with preheated air. Following combustion, the reaction gases pass downward through the T-48 catalyst bed where essentially all the remaining hydrocarbons, still in the presence of steam, are converted to a mixture of hydrogen plus carbon oxides. The projected methane content in the final product is reduced to less than 0.05 mole percent.

The effluent is cooled in the feed-product interchanger, 1-1313, then further cooled to 550°F in the first shift feed cooler, 1-1301. This stream, now at approximately 55 psia, is ready for the first stage shift Reactor, 1-2502.

#### 4. First Stage Shift Reactor

The objective of the first stage shift reactor is to increase the concentration of hydrogen by the water gas shift reaction:



In addition to the water gas shift reaction, the cobalt-molybdenum catalyst also promotes the hydrolysis of carbonyl sulfide (COS) by the reaction:



Feed inlet temperature is 550°F. The water gas reaction is exothermic, raising the adiabatic outlet temperature to approximately 710°F. The effluent temperature is then lowered to 500°F in the second shift feed cooler, 1-1302 to prepare it for feed to the second stage shift reactor, 1-2503.

#### 5. Second Stage Shift Reactor

The objective of this conversion is to further increase the hydrogen concentration through the water gas shift reaction and reduce the carbon monoxide to a level acceptable as feed to the fuel cell.

The catalyst used in this process step is also cobalt-molybdenum based. The hydrogen concentration in the second shift outlet is increased by approximately 0.7 mole percent while the carbon monoxide concentration is reduced to less than 1.0%. The exothermic heat of the shift reaction increases the adiabatic effluent temperature to approximately 515°F. The product from the shift reactor now meets the criteria for fuel cell use with the exception of the sulfur content.

#### 6. Desulfurizer

The desulfurizer, 1-2504, reduces the sulfur concentration in the fuel cell feed stream to less than 10 ppmw. This is achieved by contacting the product gas stream with a zinc oxide bed where the hydrogen sulfide will react with the zinc oxide to form zinc sulfide and water according to the reaction:



The resulting desulfurization takes place at approximately 515°F. The heat effect is minor during the desulfurization because of the combination of small amounts of sulfur compound removed and low heat of reaction. The product gas, containing approximately 54.9 mole percent hydrogen, dry basis, represents the primary feed to the fuel cell anode.

#### 7. Heat Profile, Reactor Section

High hydrogen production efficiency requires effective heat interchange. Fig. 4 illustrates one combination of heat interchanges. It graphically depicts temperature levels and energy quantities for efficient energy recovery operations for ATR effluent cooling processes for an integrated 2 mol/hr fuel processor-fuel cell complex. The Fig. 4 example shows six heat interchanges as the ATR effluent is cooled from 1800°F to its 550°F entry temperature to the first stage shift reactor, giving up approximately 49,590 Btu/hr in the process.




Figure 4. 2 mol/hr heat profile, reactor section.

These heat interchanges include:

| <u>Interchange<br/>With</u>             | <u>ATR<br/>Temperature, °F</u> |            | <u>Energy<br/>Receipt Stream<br/>Temperature, °F</u> |            | <u>Energy<br/>Transferred, Btu/hr</u> |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
|                                         | <u>In</u>                      | <u>Out</u> | <u>In</u>                                            | <u>Out</u> |                                       |
| ATR Feed Stream                         | 1,800                          | 1,605      | 1,400                                                | 1,652      | 8,315                                 |
| ATR Air Feed Stream                     | 1,605                          | 1,380      | 300                                                  | 1,400      | 8,380                                 |
| Process Stream, Feed to HTSR            | 1,380                          | 860        | 366                                                  | 1,300      | 20,631                                |
| Fuel Cell and Hydrogen Recovery Streams | 860                            | 660        | 100                                                  | 400        | 7,798                                 |
| Heat Reject by Air Cooler               | 660                            | 550        | -                                                    | -          | <u>4,465</u>                          |
| Total Heat Removed from ATR Effluent    |                                |            |                                                      |            | 49,589                                |

#### B. HEAT AND MATERIAL BALANCE

A heat and material balance for the 2 mole/hr nominal capacity DP is shown in Table 1. The projected fuel conditioner product gas composition is:

| <u>Component</u> | <u>Mole Percent, Dry Basis</u> |
|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Hydrogen         | 54.9                           |
| Carbon monoxide  | 0.6                            |
| Carbon dioxide   | 22.4                           |
| Methane          | 0.1                            |
| Argon            | 0.3                            |
| Nitrogen         | 21.8                           |
| Hydrogen sulfide | 1.6 ppmv                       |
| Carbonyl sulfide | 0.5 ppmv                       |
| Ammonia          | 0.5 ppmv                       |

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

SECTION 4

## SECTION 4

### MECHANICAL DESIGN

The 2 mole/hr demonstration unit was designed to operate with a molar steam-to-carbon (s/c) ratio in the range of 3.0 to 6.0 and process conditions defined in Table 1 of Section 3. An equipment list describing the service, size/capacity, and materials of construction for the major equipment items is presented in Table 3. Location of key temperature and pressure measurements plus sample points are shown in Fig. 5. Original design space velocities and adjusted space velocities based on actual catalyst loadings for the reactors are compared in Table 4.

Materials of construction candidates for HTSR and ATR reactors, plus supporting equipment and piping, are limited because of the stream compositions (shown previously in Table 1) and the elevated design temperatures and pressures of 1600°F to 2000°F and 125 psig, respectively. Table 5 summarizes chemical compositions and Table 6 key room and elevated temperature mechanical properties of candidate alloys.

Haynes Alloy 556 and Rolled Alloy (RA) 330 were each judged to be suitable for use in both the HTSR and ATR applications. RA 330 was used because it was quickly available. Special procedures were used to qualify welders before reactor fabrication and to monitor/test the fabrication steps.

TABLE 3. Demonstration Plant Equipment List.

| <u>Equipment</u>       | <u>Description</u>             | <u>Size Capacity</u>       | <u>Material of Construction</u> |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <u>Drums</u>           |                                |                            |                                 |
| 1-1201                 | Effluent Separator             | 4" ID x 4'-0" T-T          | 304 SS                          |
| 1-1202                 | Compressor Discharge Separator | 4" ID x 4'-0" T-T          | 304 SS                          |
| 1-1204                 | Knockout Drum                  | 12" ID x 4'-0"             | 304 SS                          |
| <u>Heat Exchangers</u> |                                |                            |                                 |
| 1-1301                 | First Shifts Feed Cooler       | 2.62 ft <sup>2</sup>       | Tube - RA 330, Shell - CS       |
| 1-1302                 | Second Shift Feed Cooler       | 0.78 ft <sup>2</sup>       | Tube - CS, Shell - CS           |
| 1-1303                 | Effluent Cooler                | 19.82 ft <sup>2</sup>      | Tube - CS, Shell - CS           |
| 1-1306                 | Stream Generator               | 100 lb/hr Steam Generation | CS                              |
| 1-1313                 | HTSR/ATR Effluent Exchanger    | 6.60 ft <sup>2</sup>       | Shell - RA 330<br>Tube - RA 330 |
| <u>Heaters</u>         |                                |                            |                                 |
| 1-1404                 | Air Preheater                  | 3" ID x 17'-0" Long        | 316 SS                          |
| 1-1405                 | Steam Superheater              | 3" ID x 34'-0" Long        | 316 SS                          |
| <u>Pumps</u>           |                                |                            |                                 |
| 1-1501                 | Fuel Feed Pump                 | 2 gal/hr                   | API S-6                         |
| 1-1504                 | Fuel Transfer Pump             | 1 gal/hr                   | API S-6                         |
| <u>Compressors</u>     |                                |                            |                                 |
| 1-1803                 | Process Air Compressor         | 10 stdft <sup>3</sup> /min | CS                              |
| <u>Tank</u>            |                                |                            |                                 |
| 1-1902                 | Feed Tank                      | 1'6" ID x 3'-0" T-T        | CS                              |

TABLE 3. Demonstration Plant Equipment List (Contd).

| <u>Equipment</u> | <u>Description</u>                     | <u>Size Capacity</u>                                          | <u>Material of Construction</u> |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <u>Reactors</u>  |                                        |                                                               |                                 |
| 1-1401           | High Temperature Steam Reformer (HTSR) | 4.5" ID x 5'-6"<br>T-T<br>1" ID<br>Regenerative<br>Inner Tube | RA 330                          |
| 1-2501           | Autothermal Reformer (ATR)             | 16" ID x 7'7" T-T                                             | RA 330                          |
| 1-2502           | First Stage Shift Reactor              | 12" ID x 6'-0" T-T                                            | 2-1/4 CR - 1 Mo                 |
| 1-2503           | Second Stage Shift Reactor             | 12" ID x 6'-0" T-T                                            | 2-1/4 CR - 1 Mo                 |
| 1-2504           | Desulfurizer                           | 1'-6" ID x 6'-0"<br>T-T                                       | CS                              |

Legend: CS - Carbon Steel  
 304 SS - 304 Stainless Steel  
 RA 330 - Rolled Alloy 330: Chromium 17.0-20.0%, Nickel 34.0-37.0, Carbon 0.08% maximum, Silicon 0.75%-1.50%, Manganese 2.0% maximum, Phosphorus 0.03% maximum, Sulfur 0.030% maximum, Copper 1.0% maximum, Iron balance.

Table 4. List of space velocities

| <u>Equipment<br/>Number</u> | <u>Description</u>            | <u>Original<br/>Design Space<br/>Velocity, hr<sup>-1</sup>*</u> | <u>Design Space<br/>Velocity Per<br/>Actual Catalyst<br/>Loading, hr<sup>-1</sup>*</u> |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1-1401                      | HTSR                          | 2070                                                            | 2180                                                                                   |
| 1-2501                      | ATR                           | 6000                                                            | 3540                                                                                   |
| 1-2502                      | First Stage<br>Shift Reactor  | 1000                                                            | 490                                                                                    |
| 1-2503                      | Second Stage<br>Shift Reactor | 1000                                                            | 690                                                                                    |
| 1-2504                      | Desulfurizer                  | 165                                                             | 210                                                                                    |

\*Standard cubic feet per hour of feed per cubic foot of catalyst.

**1-1902**  
FEED TANK  
18 PIPE 1.315" O.D.  
D.P. 150 PSIG  
D.T. 150°F  
MATERIAL: CS

**1-1306**  
STEAM  
GENERATOR  
34 KW  
MATERIAL: CS  
NOTE 3

**1-1405**  
STEAM  
SUPERHEATER  
20 KW  
MATERIAL: 316SS  
D.P. 150 PSIG  
D.T. 1300°F

**1-1901**  
HIGH TEMP  
STEAM REFORMER  
1" PIPE INSIDE  
4" PIPE X 5' 8" T.T.  
D.P. 150 PSIG  
D.T. 1820°F  
34 KW  
MATERIAL: RA-330

**1-2501**  
AUTO THERMAL  
REFORMER  
4" PIPE X 5' 8" T.T.  
D.P. 125 PSIG  
D.T. 1820°F  
MATERIAL: RA-330  
156 KW

**1-2502**  
FIRST STAGE  
SHIFT REACTOR  
12" PIPE X 6' 0" T.T.  
D.P. 125 PSIG  
D.T. 735°F  
MATERIAL: 25CR-19


**1-2503**  
SECOND STAGE  
SHIFT REACTOR  
12" PIPE X 6' 0" T.T.  
D.P. 100 PSIG  
D.T. 600°F  
MATERIAL: CS

**1-1313**  
HTSR/ATREF  
EXCHANGER  
DUTY: 13,450 BTU/HR  
MATERIAL: RA-330  
TUBES: RA-330  
D.P. 130 PSIG  
D.T. 1850°F

**1-1209**  
M.O. DRUM  
12" PIPE X 4' 0" T.T.  
D.P. 105 PSIG  
D.T. 275°F  
MATERIAL: 304L33

**1-1409**  
AIR PRE-HEATER  
66 KW  
MATERIAL: 316SS  
D.P. 130 PSIG  
D.T. 1400

**1-1316**  
WASTE HEAT BOILER  
DUTY: 67,540 BTU/HR  
MATERIAL: CS  
D.P. 75 PSIG  
D.T. 310°F






Figure 5. Piping and instrument diagram.

TABLE 5. Chemical Composition of Alloys Suitable for Operating  
Temperatures of 1600°F to 2000°F and Pressure of 125 psig.

|                           | Haynes<br>Alloy<br><u>556</u> | Rolled<br>Alloy<br><u>330</u> | Inconel<br><u>600</u> | Alloy<br><u>800</u> | HK-40<br>(Cast) | HP-Nb<br>Mod<br>(Cast) | Super-<br>therm<br>(Cast) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Carbon                    | 0.1                           | 0.08                          | 0.15                  | 0.05                | 0.40            | 0.1                    | 0.50                      |
| Silicon                   | 0.4                           | 1.25                          | 0.5                   | 0.35                | 1.50            | 1.3                    | 1.20                      |
| Manganese                 |                               | 2.0                           | 1.0                   | 0.75                | 1.50            | 1.0                    | 1.20                      |
| Chromium                  | 22.0                          | 18.5                          | 15.5                  | 20.0                | 25.0            | 25.0                   | 28.0                      |
| Nickel                    | 20.0                          | 35.5                          | 72.0                  | 32.0                | 20.0            | 35.0                   | 35.0                      |
| Cobalt                    | 20.0                          |                               |                       |                     |                 |                        |                           |
| Tungsten                  | 3.0                           |                               |                       |                     |                 |                        | 5.5                       |
| Columbium<br>and Tantalum | 0.8                           |                               |                       |                     |                 |                        |                           |
| Molybdenum                | 3.0                           |                               |                       |                     |                 |                        |                           |
| Copper                    |                               | 1.0                           | 0.5                   |                     |                 |                        |                           |
| Iron                      |                               |                               | 8.0                   |                     |                 |                        |                           |
| Aluminum and<br>Titanium  |                               |                               |                       | ≥0.7                |                 |                        |                           |
| Niobium                   |                               |                               |                       |                     |                 | 1.2                    |                           |

TABLE 6. Room and Elevated Temperature Mechanical Properties of Candidate Alloys.

|                                                   | Haynes<br>Alloy<br><u>556</u> | Rolled<br>Alloy<br><u>330</u> | Inconel<br><u>600</u>  | Alloy<br><u>800</u> | HK-40<br>(Cast) | HP-Nb<br>Mod<br>(Cast) | Super-<br>therm<br>(Cast) |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Room Temperature:                                 |                               |                               |                        |                     |                 |                        |                           |
| Ultimate<br>Tensile<br>Strength                   | 118,000                       | 85,000                        | 90,000                 | 80,000              | 75,000          | 66,000                 | 65,000                    |
| Yield<br>Strength                                 | 59,500                        | 39,000                        | 40,000                 | 35,000              |                 | 26,000                 | 36,000                    |
| Percent<br>Elongation                             | 47                            | 47                            | 45                     | 35                  | 16              | 20                     | 3                         |
| Stress to<br>Produce Rupture<br>100,000 hr (psi): |                               |                               |                        |                     |                 |                        |                           |
| 1400°F                                            | 12,800                        | 2,800                         | 3,800                  | 4,000               | 4,500           | 4,100                  | -                         |
| 1600°F                                            | 5,200                         | 1,000                         | 1,500                  | 1,600               | 2,250           | 2,600                  | 3,650                     |
| 1800°F                                            | 1,900                         | 310                           | 730                    | 620                 | 875             | 1,200                  | 1,600                     |
| 2000°F                                            |                               | -                             | 400                    | -                   | 290             | 650                    | 610                       |
| Notes:                                            | 10,000 hr                     |                               | Hot Rolled<br>Annealed |                     |                 |                        |                           |

SECTION 5

## SECTION 5

### RELIABILITY ANALYSIS

#### A. INTRODUCTION

Preliminary reliability analyses were conducted in parallel with the detailed DP design development. These analyses investigated critical areas for the demonstration plant (DP) and estimated the mean time between failure (MTBF), the mean time to repair (MTTR), and the inherent availability ( $A_i$ ) of the system. The results are summarized below.

Relevant Studies included:

- (1) Preliminary hazards analysis (PHA). To identify appropriate design criteria for safety areas.
- (2) Reliability assessment. To estimate the systems MTBF.
- (3) Maintainability assessment. To estimate the MTTR.
- (4) Availability calculation. To estimate the systems  $A_i$  to produce a hydrogen-rich gas.

#### B. PRELIMINARY HAZARDS ANALYSIS

The PHA, defined by MIL-STD-882B, was performed to obtain an initial risk assessment of the DP. Its purpose was to assess the risk for each identified potential hazard. The PHA was performed early in the program so that the safety considerations could be included in the design criteria.

#### C. RELIABILITY ASSESSMENT, 2 MOLE/HR DEMONSTRATION PLANT

The piping and instrument diagram (P&ID) for the DP was used to identify the single point failures for the items that are essential for the unit's

operation. The PHA worksheets for the 13 subsystems described in the PHA are included in Appendix A. The worksheets list single point failures. Instrument and controls used only for analytical functions were not included in single point failure listing. The analysis result indicated a MTBF of 4,471 hr or two failures per year.

Individual items, their failure rate, MTTR, and data source reference are listed in Table 7. Data sources are presented in the list of references located at the end of this section.

#### D. MAINTAINABILITY ASSESSMENT

The MTTR for unscheduled failure was estimated to be 2.3 hr per event, or about 5 hr/yr. Scheduled maintenance is estimated to be 6 hr/mo or 72 hr/yr, which is a 99.18% availability factor.

#### E. AVAILABILITY CALCULATION

The  $A_i$  of the DP is obtained by the following equation:

$$A_i = \frac{MTBF}{MTBF + MTTR} \quad (4)$$

The preliminary estimate for  $A_i$  is 99.95%. Including scheduled downtime the estimated availability is 99.13%.

#### F. RELIABILITY ANALYSIS, 20 MOLE/HR FUEL CONDITIONER

A 20 lb mole fuel processor process flow diagram was compared with the DP P&ID. It was concluded that the DP failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA) performed for the PHA and the reliability, maintainability, and availability (RAM) analyses for the DP are useful as predictors for the performance of the 20 mole/hr facility.

TABLE 7. Reliability Analysis Summary.

| <u>Tag No.</u>          | <u>Item</u>                          | <u>Quantity</u> | <u>Failure<br/>Rate<br/>X 10<sup>-6</sup>*</u> | <u>MTTR</u> | <u>Reference<br/>(see<br/>subsection G)</u> |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1-1306                  | Steam Generator                      | 1               | 19.200                                         | 4           | 1                                           |
| 1-1405                  | Steam Superheater                    | 1               | 0.400                                          | 4           | 1                                           |
| 1-1401                  | HTSR Heater                          | 1               | 2.290                                          | 8           | 1                                           |
| 1-2501                  | ATR Heater                           | 1               | 2.290                                          | 8           | 1                                           |
| 1-1501                  | Fuel Feed Pump                       | 1               | 50.000                                         | 2           | 2                                           |
| 1-1803                  | Process Air Compressor               | 1               | 9.510                                          | 2           | 1                                           |
| 1-1404                  | Air Heater                           | 1               | 2.290                                          | 2           | 1                                           |
| FV-1,-2,<br>-5          | Flow Elements                        | 3               | 7.938                                          | 2           | 2                                           |
|                         | Flow Transmitter                     | 1               | 7.800                                          | 2           | 2                                           |
|                         | Flow Indicator                       | 1               | 7.938                                          | 2           | 2                                           |
|                         | Flow Switch Low                      | 1               | 7.800                                          | 2           | 2                                           |
|                         | Flow Alarm Low                       | 1               | 1.500                                          | 2           | 2                                           |
|                         | Flow Valve Air OP                    | 3               | 2.464                                          | 2           | 2                                           |
|                         | Flow Recorder Controller             | 1               | 9.891                                          | 2           | 2                                           |
|                         | Flow Indicator Controller<br>(Ratio) | 1               | 10.147                                         | 2           | 3                                           |
| HV-1                    | Three-way Solenoid                   | 1               | 1.640                                          | 2           | 5                                           |
|                         | Hand Switch                          | 1               | 2.710                                          | 2           | 4                                           |
|                         | Temperature Transmitter              | 1               | 3.617                                          | 2           | 3                                           |
|                         | Temperature Switch High/Low          | 2               | 2.511                                          | 2           | 2                                           |
|                         | Temperature Alarm Low                | 1               | 1.500                                          | 2           | 1                                           |
| PSV-1,<br>-2, -3        | Pressure Safety Valve                | 3               | 2.517                                          | 2           | 1                                           |
| PCV-18                  | Pressure Control Valve               | 1               | 4.928                                          | 2           | 2                                           |
|                         | Flow Quantity Indicator              | 1               | 7.938                                          | 2           | 2                                           |
| 1301,<br>1302,<br>1303A | Cooler                               | 3               | 0.900                                          | 2           | 4                                           |
|                         |                                      | 83              | 223.682                                        |             |                                             |

MTBF = 4471 hr  
MTTR = 2.3 hr  
Ai = 0.9995

\*X 10<sup>-6</sup> = the number of failures expected to occur in one million hours of operation.

The predicted MTBF for the 20 mol/hr plant is projected to be at least two failures per year. The MTTR is 2.3 hr for random failures. The predicted Ai is 99.95%. Including scheduled downtime of 72 hr/yr, the estimated availability is 99.13%.

For a larger plant capacity we anticipate that electrical heating of the HTSR and ATR will be replaced by direct fired heating. Experience and judgment indicate that the reliability of the direct fired heaters will be equal to, or greater than, electrical heaters.

#### G. REFERENCES

References noted on Table 7 are listed below:

- (1) Nonelectronic Parts Reliability Data, Reliability Analysis Center, Rome Air Development Center, Summer 1978.
- (2) Reliability/Availability Analysis for Process Equipment Design, Munitions Production Base Modernization and Expansion, RDX/HMX Expansion Facility, DRC Project No. 5XX2668, The Ralph M. Parsons Company, October 1979.
- (3) Parsons Job No. 3966-100, Safeguard Reliability Data Collection.
- (4) GIDEP Failure Data Interchange, Vol. 1, 1975, Vol. 2, 1976.
- (5) "Nuclear Plant Reliability Data System," Annual Report, Cumulative System and Component Reliability, NUREG/CR-1635, Prepared for American Public Power Association, Edison Electric Institute, Tennessee Valley Authority, and U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission by Southwest Research Institute, 1979.



## SECTION 6

### DEMONSTRATION PLANT CONSTRUCTION/COMMISSIONING

#### A. DETAILED ENGINEERING

Detailed engineering proceeded at a moderate pace during the preliminary demonstration plant (DP) design review cycle. Its pace quickened significantly following authorization to proceed with DP detailed design, procurement, and construction.

The Fig. 5 (in Section 4) shows the DP as constructed and operated. The project was schedule-driven with procurement schedules dictating equipment, controls, and materials selection in many instances.

#### B. PROCUREMENT

Procurement activity accelerated coincident with authorization to construct the DP and peaked 9 weeks later. Seventy purchase orders were generated and processed resulting in 260 material shipment receipts.

The usual procurement objective was equipment receipt in 2 to 4 weeks. Most initial vendor bids cited significantly longer delivery times. To compress the project schedule, corrective actions were taken including investigation and selection of alternative equipment, materials, and vendors.

#### C. FACILITIES PREPARATION

Plans for preparation of the DP operating area were formulated early. This included acquisition of permit approvals, utilities supply, acquisition of construction tools, and modification of the operating room to conform to fire and explosion-proof codes.

#### D. CONSTRUCTION/COMMISSIONING

Construction move-in was the third week following authorization to proceed. The DP was mechanically complete in 16 weeks. DP equipment commissioning began during the twelfth week and was completed at the end of the sixteenth week.

Photographs of the DP are shown in Fig. 6, Fig. 7, and Fig. 8.



Figure 6. Photograph of demonstration plant (view A).




Figure 7. Photograph of demonstration plant (view B).




Figure 8. Photograph of demonstration plant (view C).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## SECTION 7

### OPERATIONS PLAN

#### A. OPERATING MANUAL

An operating manual was prepared for internal operator training, plant commissioning, and operations use. This manual defined the process configuration, instructions for plant commissioning, and procedures for startup, steady-state operations, transient operations, data procurement/recording, and shutdown. An illustrative excerpt from this manual is included as Appendix B.

#### B. OPERATIONS/TEST PLAN

The objective was to complete a 400-hr demonstration run. During the course of this demonstration period the plan included steady-state operating periods plus subjecting the demonstration plant (DP) to rapid startups, rapid transient periods to simulate quick load changes in a fuel cell power plant, and multiple shutdowns/startups. Key elements of the demonstration program test plan are described in the following paragraphs.

##### 1. Cold Starts

The objective of the cold start operations was to investigate the effect of frequent and rapid heatups on the catalysts T-12 and T-48. The experimental results would provide information concerning the integrity and activity degradation of the catalysts.

##### 2. Hot Starts

The objective of the hot start operations was to investigate the effect of frequent and rapid heatups from a hot standby condition on catalysts T-12 and T-48 and the DP system. The experimental results would provide information

concerning system performance under conditions simulating required response of a Remote Site Fuel Cell Power Plant.

### 3. Operations

The objective of the program operation was to demonstrate the steady-state ability of the process to produce a hydrogen-rich gaseous product from hydrocarbon liquids fuel suitable for use in a phosphoric acid fuel cell (PAFC).

### 4. Transients

The objective of transient operations was to demonstrate load-following response capability of the system; also, the effect of transients on performance, reliability, and operability of the system.

## C. PROGRAM OPERATION SCHEDULE

The program operation schedule comprised four groups of experiments consisting of experiments A, B, C, and D. These planned experiments incorporated the cold starts, hot starts, transients, and steady-state operations discussed above. The schedule was 4 weeks duration.

The demonstration experiment schedules are depicted in Tables 8, 9, and 10. The test runs were designed to demonstrate that the proposed process is capable of consistently producing a hydrogen-rich gaseous product suitable for use as a feed to a PAFC from liquid fuel and to produce data to quantitatively confirm the ability to produce 0.365 lb of hydrogen per pound of fuel consumed. They consisted of one 264-hour continuous run spanning a period of 12 days followed by ten 16-hr duration runs to be completed over a period of 2 weeks. During the course of the scheduled 400-plus hours operation, the plan included three cold startups and eight cold (overnight) startups from intermediate temperatures.

TABLE 8. Demonstration Run, Experiment A.

| <u>Time/Day</u> | <u>M</u> | <u>T</u> | <u>W</u> | <u>T</u> | <u>F</u> | <u>S</u> | <u>S</u> | <u>M</u> | <u>T</u> | <u>W</u> | <u>T</u> | <u>F</u> |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 8:00 a.m.       | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 9:00            | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 10:00           | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 11:00           | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 12 noon         | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 1:00 p.m.       | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 2:00            | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 3:00            | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 4:00            | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 5:00            | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 6:00            | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 7:00            | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 8:00            | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 9:00            | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 10:00           | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 11:00           | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 12:00           | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 1:00 a.m.       | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 2:00            | o        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 3:00            |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          | x        |
| 4:00            |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          | x        |
| 5:00            |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          | x        |
| 6:00            |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          | x        |
| 7:00            |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          | x        |
| 8:00            |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          | x        |

Total Operation Hours: 264

o = Cold Startup 18 to 24 hours

— = Normal Operation 264 hours

x = Shutdown and place unit in cold standby 2 to 3 hours

TABLE 9. Demonstration Run, Experiment B, Series I.

| <u>Time/Day</u> | <u>Mon</u>      | <u>Tue</u> | <u>Wed</u> | <u>Thur</u> | <u>Fri</u> |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|
|                 | <u>Series I</u> |            |            |             |            |
| 8:00 a.m.       | ◇               | +          | +          | +           | +          |
| 9:00            | ◇               | +          | +          | +           | +          |
| 10:00           | ◇               | +          | +          | +           | +          |
| 11:00           | ◇               | +          | +          | +           | +          |
| 12 noon         | ◇               |            |            |             |            |
| 1:00 p.m.       | ◇               |            |            |             |            |
| 2:00            | ◇               |            |            |             |            |
| 3:00            | ◇               |            |            |             |            |
| 4:00            |                 |            |            |             |            |
| 5:00            |                 |            |            |             |            |
| 6:00            |                 |            |            |             |            |
| 7:00            |                 |            |            |             |            |
| 8:00            |                 |            |            |             |            |
| 9:00            |                 |            |            |             |            |
| 10:00           |                 |            |            |             |            |
| 11:00           |                 |            |            |             |            |
| 12:00           |                 |            |            |             |            |
| 1:00 a.m.       | o               | o          | o          | o           | x          |
| 2:00            | o               | o          | o          | o           | x          |
| 3:00            | o               | o          | o          | o           | x          |
| 4:00            | o               | o          | o          | o           | x          |
| 5:00            | o               | o          | o          | o           | x          |
| 6:00            | o               | o          | o          | o           | x          |
| 7:00            | o               | o          | o          | o           | x          |
| 8:00            | o               | o          | o          | o           | x          |

Total Operation Hours: 80

- ◇ = Quick Cold Startup - 8 hours
- = Normal Operation - Total 60 hours
- +- = Hot Startup 3 Hours - Total 12 hours
- o = Shutdown - Place Unit in Cold (overnight) Standby
- x = Shutdown - Place Unit in Cold Standby

TABLE 10. Demonstration Run, Experiment B, Series II, C and D.

| <u>Time/Day</u> | <u>Mon</u>                 | <u>Tue</u> | <u>Wed</u> | <u>Thur</u>     | <u>Fri</u>      |
|-----------------|----------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | <u>Exper. B, Series II</u> |            |            | <u>Exper. C</u> | <u>Exper. D</u> |
| 8:00 a.m.       | ◇                          | +          | +          | +               | +               |
| 9:00            | ◇                          | +          | +          | +               | +               |
| 10:00           | ◇                          | +          | +          | +               | +               |
| 11:00           | ◇                          | +          | +          | +               | +               |
| 12 noon         | ◇                          |            |            |                 |                 |
| 1:00 p.m.       | ◇                          |            |            |                 |                 |
| 2:00            | ◇                          |            |            |                 |                 |
| 3:00            | ◇                          |            |            |                 |                 |
| 4:00            |                            |            |            |                 |                 |
| 5:00            |                            |            |            |                 |                 |
| 6:00            |                            |            |            |                 |                 |
| 7:00            |                            |            |            |                 |                 |
| 8:00            |                            |            |            |                 |                 |
| 9:00            |                            |            |            |                 |                 |
| 10:00           |                            |            |            |                 |                 |
| 11:00           |                            |            |            |                 |                 |
| 12:00           |                            |            |            |                 |                 |
| 1:00 a.m.       | o                          | o          | o          | o               | x               |
| 2:00            | o                          | o          | o          | o               | x               |
| 3:00            | o                          | o          | o          | o               | x               |
| 4:00            | o                          | o          | o          | o               | x               |
| 5:00            | o                          | o          | o          | o               | x               |
| 6:00            | o                          | o          | o          | o               | x               |
| 7:00            | o                          | o          | o          | o               | x               |
| 8:00            | o                          | o          | o          | o               | x               |

Total Operation Hours: 80

◇ = Quick Cold Startup - 8 hours  
 — = Normal Operation - Total 60 hours  
 + = Hot Startup 3 Hours - Total 12 hours  
 o = Shutdown - Place Unit in Cold (overnight) Standby  
 x = Shutdown - Place Unit in Cold Standby

#### D. PLANNED TEST DATA COLLECTION FREQUENCY

Data collection procedures included:

- (1) Operating temperature and pressure profiles to be monitored continuously and recorded at 30-min intervals.
- (2) High temperature steam reformer (HTSR), autothermal reformer (ATR), and desulfurizer gas effluent compositions to be analyzed once every hour by gas chromatograph.
- (3) Total hydrocarbon and hydrocarbons heavier than methane in the HTSR effluent to be analyzed once a day.
- (4) Ammonia content in the ATR effluent to be analyzed once a week.
- (5) Steam-to-carbon ratio to be checked by dew point measurement of HTSR and ATR effluents at 30-min intervals.
- (6) First and second stage shift reactor effluent gas compositions to be analyzed every 4 hr.
- (7) Feed process water compositions to be analyzed once a week.
- (8) Two representative samples of the DF-2 feedstock to be analyzed. All DF-2 feed to be drawn from a common supply source.
- (9) DF-2 and steam feed rates to be monitored continuously and recorded at 30-min intervals.
- (10) Product gas flow rate and cumulative quantity of gas produced to be recorded at 30-min intervals.

## E. ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

### 1. Gas Analysis

Gas chromatographic techniques were used to analyze compositions of gas samples extracted from key locations in the DP. A Tracor Model 540 gas chromatograph was used, which consists of two analytical columns. A Carbosieve S-II column separates the gas components. A thermal conductivity detector was used to identify and quantify hydrogen, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, nitrogen, and methane. A Supelpak-S column separates the gas components and a flame ionization detector (FID) was used to identify and quantify hydrogen sulfide and carbonyl sulfide. The HTSR effluent was analyzed for total hydrocarbon content once per day using infrared spectrometry. Simultaneously, hydrocarbons heavier than methane in the HTSR effluent were analyzed by a second gas chromatograph with a Carbosieve S-II column. Ammonia content in the ATR effluent was analyzed once a week by bubbling the ATR effluent gas sample through a boric acid solution and determining the ammonia concentration by titration with 0.1N hydrochloric acid.

To speed up the analytical process, temperature programming techniques were used to control the velocity with which the separate gas components traverse the analytical columns. For a typical gas component, column traverse speed and time span is temperature dependent. The retention time of a gas component was controlled by manipulating the column temperature. By computer programming designated column temperatures at predetermined time spans over the entire gas spectrum, the different gas components can be identified and quantified in a timely and controlled fashion.

Moisture content of the HTSR and ATR effluent gases were analyzed once a day by a condensation method established as EPA Method 5 to confirm locally mounted dew point measurements by operating personnel.

## 2. Water Analysis

Analytical methods and procedures described in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater" 16th Edition 1985, published by American Public Health Association, APHA-AWNA-WPCF, were used for analyzing process water.

Tustin, California tap water was used to feed the DP steam generator, 1-1306. The water analysis consists of total solids, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, iron, lead, tin, chlorine, bromine, fluorine, iodine, sulfur, bacteria count, bacteria type, and electrical resistance.

## 3. DF-2 Analysis

ASTM Standard methods as specified by Military Specification MIL-F-16884G, 7 March 1973, were used to analyze DF-2:

| <u>Test</u>              | <u>ASTM Methods</u> |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Flash Point              | D 93                |
| Cloud Point              | D 2500              |
| Specific Gravity         | D 287               |
| Pour Point               | D 97                |
| Water and Sediment       | D 2709              |
| Carbon Residue           | D 524               |
| Ash                      | D 482               |
| Distillation Temperature | D 86                |
| Viscosity                | D 445               |
| Sulfur                   | D 129               |
| Copper Corrosion         | D 130               |
| Cetane Number            | D 976               |
| Accelerated Stability    | D 2274              |
| Neutralization           | D 974               |
| Aniline Point            | D 611               |
| Ignition Quality         | D 613               |



## SECTION 8

### STARTUP AND OPERATIONS RESULTS

#### A. CATALYST PREPARATION

Toyo Engineering Corporation's (TEC's) T-12 catalyst was used in the high temperature steam reformer (HTSR) and TEC's T-48, augmented by a small amount of T-12, was used in the autothermal reformer (ATR). These catalysts are introduced to the reactors in their oxidized state and prepared in situ for operations service using procedures similar to those used for conventional steam reforming catalysts, such as contact with a mixture of nitrogen ( $N_2$ ), hydrogen ( $H_2$ ), and hydrogen sulfide ( $H_2S$ ). However, for this test program, DF-2, air, and steam were fed to the reformers to produce the  $H_2$  and  $H_2S$  in situ in recognition that  $N_2$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $H_2S$  may not be available for startup of fuel conditioners at remote sites.

Thiophene was added to the purchased DF-2 to bring the sulfur content to 0.5% by weight, approximately 70% of the maximum allowable level under the pertinent specifications VV-F 800C, MIL-T-5624-L.

United Catalysts Corporation's cobalt-molybdenum (CoMo) based catalysts were used in the first and second stage shift reactors (1-2502 and 1-2503). These catalysts must be activated by being presulfided and reduced.

The refractory lining of the ATR was dried and cured in place before being exposed to higher operating temperatures. The HTSR catalyst and the ATR, including refractory and catalyst bed, were heated to 250°F at a rate of 30°F/hr. They were then held at 250°F for 10 hr, then heated at a rate of 50°F/hr to 500°F where they were held for 8 hr. The HTSR and ATR catalysts were then heated at 65°F/hr to operating temperature.

The heatup process, requiring approximately 48 hr, was continued until TI-8 of HTSR reached 1800°F, TI-14 of ATR reached 1600°F, TI-20 of the first stage

shift reactor reached 460°F, and TI-21 of the second stage shift reactor reached 470°F. The catalysts were then ready for reduction and presulfidation.

In situ generation of the reducing and presulfiding gases was begun by injection of DF-2 into the HTSR at 25% of design flow rate. The feed DF-2 was injected into superheated steam in the feed nozzle of the HTSR and the resulting DF-2/steam vapor mixture entered the catalyst bed to be reformed to produce hydrogen, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, methane, hydrogen sulfide, and some light hydrocarbons.

The steam reforming reaction is endothermic while the catalyst reduction and sulfidation reactions are exothermic. An endotherm was indicated by a gradual temperature drop at the top of the HTSR catalytic bed. No exotherm temperature increases were observed in the HTSR. Heat inputs by external electrical heaters and heat loss were factors in observed temperature responses and profiles.

The HTSR product gases passed in series through the ATR, first and second shift reactors, and the desulfurizer. Temperature "spikes" occurred in the shift reactors as recorded by TI-20 and TI-21. The DF-2 feed to the HTSR was continued until gas analysis confirmed the presence of hydrogen in the desulfurizer effluent. The demonstration plant (DP) catalysts were then judged to be ready for operation.

#### B. CATALYST PHYSICAL TESTS

The operations plan included rapid startups and abrupt transient conditions relative to normal hydrogen-producing catalyst use procedures. To illustrate, a normal catalyst heatup cycle may be in the range of 18 hr while the operations plan called for heatup periods of 3 to 8 hr. A series of laboratory tests were, therefore, conducted to determine the effect of rapid heating on the physical strength and integrity of the catalysts.

For the experiment, samples of T-12 and T-48 catalyst pellets were packed in 1-ft (length) vertical quartz tubes and placed in a tube furnace. Three such

packed tubes were placed in the furnace for each run. A slow gas stream was passed through the catalyst bed during the heating/cooling cycle. An air stream was passed during heating or cooling when the catalyst bed was below 800°F and dry nitrogen was above 800°F.

The heating/cooling cycle was:

- Step 1 = 1-1/2 hr from ambient to 400°F
- Step 2 = 1-1/2 hr from 400°F to 1800°F
- Step 3 = 1 hr @ 1800°F (constant temperature)
- Step 4 = 1-1/2 hr from 1800°F to 400°F
- Step 5 = 1-1/2 hr from 400°F to ambient

Three heating/cooling cycles were performed on each catalyst.

After three cycles, the catalyst pellets were removed from the quartz tubes and the pellets were examined for dusting, cracking, and other changes. Observation revealed that there was no noticeable change in the catalysts' physical appearance as a result of the cycles. No dusting or cracking was detected.

A pressure test, applied on the pellets, gave erratic results on the pellets before and after the cycling. The test could not detect a reproducible difference between the pressure at which the "before" and "after" catalyst pellets disintegrated.

The conclusion was that the T-12 and T-48 catalysts maintained their integrity during the three-cycle rapid heating/cooling cycle tests.

#### C. OPERATING PROCEDURE

The reactors and vessels were heated to operating temperature and the catalysts were conditioned as described earlier. The steam feed to the HTSR was started at 60 lb/hr = 3.33 lb mole/hr. The maximum steam preheat temperature attained was 1150°F because of the maximum temperature reached in

the preheater (1-1306) and the high heat loss in the transfer line between 1-1306 and the HTSR. The design steam feed temperature to the HTSR was 1300°F.

When the steam feed rate and temperature stabilized, the DF-2 feed was started at approximately 7 lb/hr = 0.50 mole/hr of carbon resulting in a steam-to-carbon ratio (s/c) of 6.7 moles of steam per atom of carbon. Introduction of the feed to the HTSR catalyst bed resulted in temperature drops for thermocouples TI-2 through TI-7 caused by the endothermic reaction. The electrical heater controls were adjusted to bring the maximum bed temperature to 1800°F although there was a significant variation in temperature over the height of the bed, which will be defined in Fig. 9 and discussed later.

The heat required to sustain the HTSR endothermic reactions at 1800°F was estimated to be approximately 39 thousand (39 k) Btu/hr and it came from two sources.

One source was heat transfer from the nominal 1800°F catalyst bed effluent in the inner, or regenerative, tube of the HTSR to the HTSR catalyst bed. The reactor was designed to transfer adequate heat from the regenerative tube to the catalyst bed gas to reduce the inner tube gas effluent temperature to 1400°F. This heat source accounts for approximately one-third of the total endothermic reaction heat requirements. The remaining two-thirds was added by the external electrical heaters. In addition, the electrical heaters must compensate for heat loss to the surroundings, estimated to be approximately 8.6 kW or 29.4 kBtu/hr.

Measurements indicated that the HTSR effluent exiting the regenerator tube was close to its design temperature of 1400°F. TI-9, located at the 1-1313 inlet was 1260°F and the estimated heat loss through the transfer pipe from the HTSR outlet to TI-9 results in a calculated HTSR effluent temperature in the range of 1350°F to 1400°F.

The flow ratio controller FFIC-5 was bypassed for startup. The control valve FV-5 was manipulated manually to deliver air to the ATR. The air flow rate was




Figure 9. HTSR operating temperature profile versus time.

set at 280 stdft<sup>3</sup>/hr. Air entering the ATR was preheated to 1150°F by the air preheater, 1-1404. The design air preheat temperature of 1400°F was not achieved because of limitation in maximum temperature in 1-1404 and high heat loss in the transfer line from 1-1404 to the ATR inlet.

HTSR methane contained in the effluent was further reformed to hydrogen and carbon monoxide by partial oxidation in the ATR catalyst bed. The ATR electrical heaters were adjusted to supply heat to compensate for the heat loss to the surrounding environment by the ATR. The ATR catalyst bed temperature was maintained at above 1800°F as indicated by thermocouple TI-13 although other ATR thermocouples (TI-12, TI-14, and TI-15) indicated lower temperatures, in the range of 1500°F to 1650°F; see Fig. 10.

The ATR effluent, at 1650°F, exchanged heat with the HTSR effluent in the HTSR/ATR effluent exchanger (1-1313) and partially bypassed the first shift feed cooler (1-1301) by valve V-73. The combined cooled gas stream was at an average temperature of 650°F before entering the first stage shift reactor (1-2502), higher than the target value. The shift feed gas temperature was controlled by manipulating bypass valve V-73.

The adiabatic exothermic shift reaction would increase catalyst bed temperature from 650°F to approximately 800°F. However, the relatively small amount of gas going through the shift catalyst beds did not increase the catalyst bed temperatures during the operation period. The first shift reactor effluent entered the second shift reactor (1-2503) through bypass valve V-34. The second shift reactor effluent, at an average temperature of 580°F entered the desulfurizer for sulfur (H<sub>2</sub>S) removal. Individual electrical heaters were used in the shift reactors and the desulfurizer to compensate for the heat losses to the surrounding environment. The desulfurized gas was subsequently cooled in the effluent coolers (1-1303A and 1-1303B). The cooled gas/condensate mixture then entered the effluent separator (1-1201) for condensate removal before venting to atmosphere.

During normal operation, surveillance was continuous and data was recorded at 30-min intervals.




Figure 10. ATR operating temperature profile versus time

#### D. ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Analytical equipment and procedures used have been described in Section 7. Detailed DF-2 feedstock and feed water analyses are included as Appendix C.

#### E. HYDROGEN PRODUCTION RUN

All catalysts were in the oxidized state following a DP maintenance turnaround. Operations were begun by activating the electrical heaters for the HTSR, ATR, air preheater, shift reactors, knockout drum (1-1204), and the desulfurizer. Air flow was started through the air preheater; it served as the heating medium for the catalyst beds during the plant heatup period.

Electric current loadings to the electrical heaters were adjusted to achieve the following maximum HTSR and ATR catalyst hourly heatup rates.

| <u>Catalyst Temperature</u> | <u>Catalyst Heatup Rate</u> |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 60°F - 400°F                | 50°F                        |
| 400°F - 1000°F              | 70°F                        |
| 1000°F - 1800°F             | 100°F                       |

Some delays occurred because of electrical heater malfunctions and the HTSR and ATR catalyst temperatures reached 1000°F after 29 hr. Heat to the steam superheater (SSH), 1-1405, was then turned on and nitrogen flow started through it as the first step to bring the SSH on stream. Nitrogen flow continued until the SSH effluent temperature reached 1000°F at which point the nitrogen flow was sharply reduced and steam flow started.

The reactors and related DP equipment were brought to operating temperature and the catalysts conditioned by injecting DF-2 and superheated steam as described earlier to reduce/presulfide the catalysts. Multiple electrical heater/controller problems were encountered and resolved during the catalyst conditioning period.

The DP was placed on stream using the following feed rates for the first 12 hr of full operation:

| <u>Feed Stream</u> | <u>Feed Rate, mole/hr</u>   | <u>Reactant-to-Carbon Ratio</u>                                 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| DF-2               | 0.033 (0.50 mole/hr carbon) | -                                                               |
| Steam              | 3.25 to 3.55                | 6.5 to 7.1 moles steam/atom carbon                              |
| Air                | 0.13 to 0.17                | 0.26 to 0.34 $\frac{\text{moles oxygen}^*}{\text{atom carbon}}$ |

\*104% to 136% of design rate.

At the end of the twelfth operations hour, readjustment of the key process parameters was begun with the objective of bringing all parameters to design condition. At the end of the fourteenth operating hour, the key feed rates were:

| <u>Feed Stream</u> | <u>Feed Rate, mole/hr</u>   | <u>Reactant-to-Carbon Ratio</u> |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| DF-2               | 0.039 (0.58 mole/hr carbon) | -                               |
| Steam              | 2.54                        | 4.38 moles steam/mole carbon    |
| Air                | 0.16                        | 0.28 moles oxygen/mole carbon   |

The product gas analyses indicated that the DP was producing a product containing 32% to 36.5% hydrogen (see Table 11).

The steam preheater electrical heating system failed after 15-1/2 hr operation, starting a forced shutdown. Heat addition was continued by the other heaters and operation continued through 17 hr operation at which time the ATR heaters failed and the DP was shut down.

TABLE 11. Operating Data Summary: Product Gas Analysis.

| Gas<br>Composition    | Volumetric Percentage, dry basis |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                       | Operating Hours                  |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
|                       | 2                                | 5            | 7            | 10.5         | 13.5         | 15           | 16           | 17           |
| Hydrogen              | 31.90                            | 34.30        | 36.60        | 34.80        | 35.30        | 35.10        | 34.84        | 33.85        |
| Carbon Monoxide       | 3.30                             | 3.44         | 3.51         | 3.41         | 4.58         | 5.61         | 4.63         | 4.20         |
| Carbon Dioxide        | 18.60                            | 19.10        | 20.10        | 18.30        | 19.90        | 16.50        | 18.43        | 19.87        |
| Methane               | 9.06                             | 10.20        | 11.50        | 10.60        | 11.40        | 10.90        | 11.39        | 10.65        |
| Nitrogen              | <u>49.08</u>                     | <u>45.10</u> | <u>39.37</u> | <u>37.10</u> | <u>32.60</u> | <u>33.27</u> | <u>34.71</u> | <u>40.53</u> |
| Total                 | 111.94                           | 112.14       | 110.92       | 104.21       | 103.78       | 101.38       | 104.00       | 109.10       |
| Hydrogen Sulfide      | -                                | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | 0.34 ppmv    |
| Sulfur Dioxide        | -                                | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | 35.8 ppmv    |
| Methyl Mercaptan      | -                                | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | 10.6 ppmv    |
| Dimethyl<br>Mercaptan | -                                | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | -            | 91.8 ppmv    |

#### F. SHUTDOWN OPERATION

The DP experienced a forced shutdown caused initially by the SSH (1-1306) electrical heater failure. Shortly thereafter, the upper electrical heaters in the ATR failed. DF-2 fuel and process air feed were first terminated, process steam flow was continued for 15 min before complete shutdown. This was done to avoid any carbon deposition on the catalyst beds. The DP was then depressurized, purged with nitrogen, and allowed to cool to ambient temperature with all electrical heaters off.

#### G. RESULTS OVERVIEW

Data discussed here was developed during the first month's operating period of the DP. Considering the scope and complexity of the DP equipment and control systems, this may be classified as a startup period. Emphasis during this operating phase was on (1) methods of minimizing heat losses at the elevated temperatures of 1800°F-plus in the small diameter transfer pipe systems, heat

temperatures of 1800°F-plus in the small diameter transfer pipe systems, heat exchangers, and vessels; (2) procedures for achieving and maintaining the high operating temperatures; (3) establishing the performance reliability of the equipment with emphasis on the high temperature electrical heaters and their controllers; (4) establishing proper sensitivity and response characteristics for the instruments and control systems; and (5) increasing the skill level of the operating crew specific to this DP process configuration, equipment, and control system.

The initial operations period resulted in both positive and negative results. The positive results were:

- (1) A fuel gas stream containing a significant concentration of hydrogen was produced for a 15-1/2 hr period from commodity-purchased DF-2 diesel fuel doped to contain 0.5% sulfur by weight.
- (2) A preliminary operations data base was generated to guide decisions regarding final DP modifications to achieve design performance.
- (3) Operations personnel increase their skill level specific to DP performance characteristics.
- (4) The data generated indicated that the process configuration can meet the project objectives following completion of changes/improvements defined later in this report.

Negative results included:

- (1) Failure of the high temperature electrical heating elements in the SSH (1-1405) and ATR (1-2501) resulted in forced shutdown requiring corrective action before restarting the DP.
- (2) Detailed examination of the disassembled ATR after shutdown indicated design and fabrication deficiencies that need correction.

- (3) Operating temperature measurements indicated that additional preheating must be supplied to steam, air, and ATR fuel gas feeds to compensate for heat losses and achieve the projected process efficiencies. Also, additional heat input/insulation must be added to transfer lines and vessels to maintain the high operating temperatures.
- (4) Adjustments are required to the high temperature sensing and instrumentation systems to assure their longevity.

Interpretations of the data and information developed here are discussed in the following paragraphs. Recommendations designed to achieve the objective of target yields, efficiency, and capacity are also presented.

#### H. DATA SUMMARY

Summaries of experimental data recorded during the hydrogen production operating period are presented in Table 11, Table 12, and Table 13 and in Fig. 9, Fig. 10, and Fig. 11. Operating temperature profiles of the unit are shown in Table 12 and Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 while Table 13 presents feedrates and key feed mole ratios. Table 13 and Fig. 11 summarize product gas compositions as a function of time.

Axial thermocouple locations in the HTSR and ATR reactors are shown in Fig. 12 and Fig. 13, respectively. These thermocouple numbers correspond to those cited in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15.

TABLE 12. Operating Temperature Profiles Summary.

| Description            | Measuring Points | Temperature, °F |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                        |                  | Operating hours |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|                        |                  | 2               | 5    | 7    | 10.5 | 13.5 | 15   | 16   | 17   |
| Superheated Steam      | TI-9             | 1056            | 1055 | 1095 | 1199 | 1134 | 1153 | 1115 | 922  |
| HTSR Feed Temperature  | TI-2             | 447             | 430  | 439  | 454  | —*   | —    | —    | —    |
|                        | TI-3             | 1478            | 1482 | 1488 | 1334 | 1159 | 1183 | 1302 | 1506 |
|                        | TI-5             | 1836            | 1850 | 1853 | 1879 | 1869 | 1872 | 1876 | 1878 |
|                        | TI-7             | 1711            | 1740 | 1747 | 1784 | —*   | —    | —    | —    |
| HTSR Effluent          | TI-9             | 1266            | 1263 | 1278 | 1296 | 1266 | 1249 | 1251 | 1234 |
| ATR Feed Gas           | TI-10            | 1184            | 1181 | 1187 | 1191 | 1174 | 1144 | 1137 | 1102 |
| HTR Air Feed           | TI-19            | 1074            | 1137 | 1116 | 1151 | 1156 | 1154 | 1156 | 1166 |
| ATR Bed Temperature    | TI-12            | 1628            | 1641 | 1616 | 1510 | 1518 | 1480 | 1446 | 1442 |
|                        | TI-13            | 1620            | 1574 | 1614 | 1883 | 1878 | 1869 | 1704 | —    |
|                        | TI-14            | 1662            | 1681 | 1666 | 1614 | 1615 | 1574 | 1502 | 1419 |
|                        | TI-15            | 1636            | 1619 | 1626 | 1660 | 1665 | 1631 | 1492 | 1368 |
| Heat Exchanger 1-1313: | Inlet            | TI-17           | 1499 | 1487 | 1479 | 1458 | 1461 | 1451 | 1359 |
|                        | Outlet           | TI-18           | 1228 | 1228 | 1223 | 1211 | 1201 | 1185 | 1160 |
| First Shift            |                  |                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Reactor                |                  |                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Inlet                  | TI-33            | 883             | 687  | 667  | 670  | 652  | 616  | 610  | 645  |
| Outlet                 | TI-20            | 654             | 755  | 696  | 655  | 641  | 626  | 623  | 622  |
| Second Shift           |                  |                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Reactor                |                  |                 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Inlet                  | TI-34            | 608             | 683  | 636  | 598  | 583  | 563  | 558  | 558  |
| Outlet                 | TI-21            | 580             | 586  | 614  | 591  | 574  | 568  | 559  | 552  |
| Product Gas            | TI-37            | 119             | 120  | 124  | 126  | 114  | 112  | 115  | 115  |

\*Thermocouple failed

TABLE 13. Operating Data Summary: Feed Stream Flow Rates.

| Description                                      | Measuring Points | Operating hours |                 |                 |                 |                |                 |                 |                |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
|                                                  |                  | 2               | 5               | 7               | 10.5            | 13.5           | 15              | 16              | 17             |
| DF-2 Feed<br>gal/hr<br>mole/hr                   | FI-1             | 0.9735<br>0.032 | 0.9735<br>0.032 | 1.0065<br>0.034 | 0.9735<br>0.032 | 1.155<br>0.038 | 1.1715<br>0.039 | 1.0725<br>0.036 | 0.975<br>0.032 |
| Process Steam<br>lb/hr<br>mole/hr                | FRC-2            | 61.83<br>3.43   | 60.32<br>3.35   | 61.83<br>3.43   | 60.32<br>3.35   | 55.04<br>3.06  | 45.99<br>2.55   | 49.01<br>2.72   | 49.01<br>2.72  |
| Process Air<br>stdft <sup>3</sup> /hr<br>mole/hr | FFIC-5           | 282.0<br>0.74   | 296.1<br>0.78   | 235<br>0.62     | 286.7<br>0.76   | 305.5<br>0.81  | 277.3<br>0.73   | 272.6<br>0.72   | 291.4<br>0.77  |
| Steam/<br>Carbon <sup>a</sup><br>mole/atom       | -                | 7.11            | 6.94            | 6.85            | 6.75            | 5.30           | 4.40            | 5.05            | 6.05           |
| Steam/DF-2<br>lb/gal<br>mole/mole                | -                | 63.51<br>107.2  | 61.96<br>104.7  | 61.43<br>100.9  | 61.96<br>104.7  | 47.65<br>80.5  | 39.26<br>65.4   | 45.70<br>75.6   | 54.01<br>85.0  |
| Oxygen Carbon <sup>b</sup><br>mole/atom          | -                | 0.324           | 0.340           | 0.261           | 0.329           | 0.296          | 0.265           | 0.284           | 0.359          |
| Air/DF-2<br>stdft <sup>3</sup> /gal<br>mole/mole | -                | 289.7<br>23.1   | 304.2<br>24.4   | 233.5<br>18.2   | 294.5<br>23.8   | 264.5<br>21.3  | 236.7<br>18.7   | 254.2<br>18.5   | 321.1<br>24.06 |

<sup>a</sup>Design steam-to-carbon ratio: 3 mole/atom<sup>b</sup>Design oxygen-to-carbon ratio: 0.250 mole/atom




Figure 11. Feed rates and gas composition as a function of time.




Figure 12. High temperature steam reformer thermocouple and catalyst locations.




Figure 13. Autothermal reformer thermocouple and catalyst locations.




Figure 14. High temperature steam reformer  
axial temperature profile.




Figure 15. Autothermal axial temperature profile.

Calculated product gas flow rates are summarized in Table 14. Separate predictions were based on carbon and nitrogen balances instead of experimental product gas flow rate measurements. The DP was equipped with a gas flow meter (FQI-14) located at the gas exit point (see Fig. 5 in Section 3). Unfortunately, the meter malfunctioned early in the operating period and no product gas flow rates were obtained. Dismantling the gas meter revealed solid deposits that appeared to consist of naphthalene and related chemical compounds.

TABLE 14. Calculated Product Gas Flow Rates.

| Description                                                                                    | Operating hours |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                                                                                                | 2               | 5    | 7    | 10.5 | 13.5 | 15   | 16   | 17   |
| Product Gas Flow Rate, stdft <sup>3</sup> /hr<br>Calculated from Carbon Balance <sup>a</sup>   | 661             | 627  | 597  | 590  | 628  | 676  | 608  | 535  |
| Calculated Hydrogen <sup>b</sup> Production, mole/hr                                           | 0.56            | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.54 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.56 | 0.48 |
| Product Gas Flow Rate, stdft <sup>3</sup> /hr<br>Calculated from Nitrogen Balance <sup>c</sup> | 454             | 522  | 463  | 562  | 672  | 558  | 539  | 514  |
| Calculated Hydrogen <sup>b</sup> Production, mole/hr                                           | 0.38            | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.63 | 0.52 | 0.49 | 0.46 |

<sup>a</sup>Calculation was based on the assumption that all carbon entering the system exited the system as carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and methane in the product gas (Table 11).

<sup>b</sup>Calculated from Table 11, Table 12, and Table 15.

<sup>c</sup>Calculation was based on the assumption that all nitrogen entering the system exited as nitrogen in the product gas.

## I. DATA ANALYSIS

Fig. 11 indicates that the hydrogen concentration varied within reasonably narrow limits over the 8-hr time interval from the seventh to fifteenth

operating hour. Gas composition average values, standard deviations, ranges, and range ratio are summarized in the following paragraphs.

The data population consisted of the four product gas compositions listed at operating hours 7, 10.5, 13.5, and 15 in Table 11. At the 95% confidence level, the product gas composition, expressed as mole percent, dry basis, was:

|                 |   |               |
|-----------------|---|---------------|
| Hydrogen        | = | 35.45 ± 1.58  |
| Carbon monoxide | = | 4.28 ± 2.06   |
| Carbon dioxide  | = | 18.70 ± 3.34  |
| Methane         | = | 11.10 ± 0.84  |
| Nitrogen        | = | 35.59 ± 6.42  |
| Total           | = | 105.12 ± 8.18 |

The relative percentage variation was lowest for hydrogen. Additional detail is presented in Table 15.

TABLE 15. Product Gas Consistency Data.

Reference: Table 11 operating hours 7 to 15.

| <u>Component</u> | <u>Average<br/>Mole %</u> | <u>Standard<br/>Deviation</u> | <u>Range</u>       | <u>Range<br/>Ratio*</u> |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Hydrogen         | 35.45                     | 0.79                          | 34.80-36.60        | 1.05                    |
| Carbon Monoxide  | 4.28                      | 1.03                          | 3.51-5.61          | 1.60                    |
| Carbon Dioxide   | 18.70                     | 1.67                          | 16.50-20.10        | 1.22                    |
| Methane          | 11.10                     | 0.42                          | 10.40-11.50        | 1.11                    |
| Nitrogen         | <u>35.59</u>              | <u>3.21</u>                   | <u>32.60-39.37</u> | <u>1.21</u>             |
| Total            | 105.12                    | 4.09                          | 101.38-110.92      | 1.09                    |



\*Ratio Maximum/Minimum Reading

The Table 15 measured average gas compositions compare with design values as follows:


| <u>Component</u> | <u>Design</u> | <u>Experimental</u> |
|------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Hydrogen         | 55.48         | 35.45               |
| Carbon monoxide  | 1.30          | 4.28                |
| Carbon dioxide   | 22.03         | 18.70               |
| Methane          | 0.10          | 11.10               |
| Nitrogen         | <u>21.09</u>  | <u>35.59</u>        |
|                  | 100           | 100                 |

Inspection shows that three factors will be required to achieve the hydrogen purity of 50%, minimum, dry basis:

- (1) Reduce the methane content by the reactions:



- (2) Reduce the carbon monoxide content by the reaction:



- (3) Reduce the nitrogen content by control of air feed rate to the ATR.

Each of the above improvements are achievable using the teachings of industrial hydrogen plant experience and reported research and development results for the process system being demonstrated. To illustrate the impact of the changes, Table 16 summarizes the postulated compositions based on converting all methane reported in Table 15 to hydrogen and carbon monoxide and 90% of carbon monoxide to hydrogen and carbon dioxide. The hydrogen content in each of the Table 16 adjusted compositions exceeds the required 50 mole percent minimum and the compositions compare favorable with the Table 1

design targets. We, therefore, conclude that when the methane leakage is reduced to its proper level of 0.1 mole percent and the shift reaction is performing satisfactorily, the process configuration will achieve its objectives. This conclusion is consistent with the reported experience of the catalyst suppliers.

TABLE 16. Postulated Product Gas Compositions.

| Component       | Operating Hours |              |              |              |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                 | <u>7</u>        | <u>10.5</u>  | <u>13.5</u>  | <u>15</u>    |
| Hydrogen        | 58.18           | 53.22        | 55.05        | 55.50        |
| Carbon Monoxide | 0.94            | 1.00         | 1.05         | 1.11         |
| Carbon Dioxide  | 21.13           | 21.13        | 22.50        | 21.06        |
| Methane         | 0               | 0            | 0            | 0            |
| Nitrogen        | <u>24.75</u>    | <u>24.65</u> | <u>21.40</u> | <u>22.34</u> |
| Total           | 100.00          | 100.00       | 100.00       | 100.00       |

Note: The product gas compositions were postulated using Table 11 data and the assumptions that (1) all methane in the operating data is converted to carbon monoxide and hydrogen and (2) 90% of the resultant carbon monoxide content is water gas-shifted to hydrogen and carbon dioxide.

#### J. HYDROGEN PRODUCTION RATE

As mentioned earlier, the product gas flow meter malfunctioned early in the test run and was bypassed for the remainder of the run. However, the feed rates for DF-2 and air were measured and the system was pressure tested before the start of the run to assure no leakage, thereby providing the basis for indirect prediction of flow rates by nitrogen and carbon balances.

The nitrogen input to the DP came from the air feed to the ATR and the DF-2 with the DF-2 nitrogen representing approximately 1% of the total input. The nitrogen content of the product gas was measured by gas chromatography. By writing total material balances and nitrogen balances, the flow rate of the product gas stream was predicted.

Similarly, the carbon input to the DP came from the DF-2 feed and the carbon effluent was in the form of carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, methane, and higher hydrocarbons. The carbon feed rate was approximately 6 lb/hr or greater than 100 lb for the total run. Observations showed that some carbon-containing solids collected in the effluent line; these would be a small percentage of the feed carbon. Another factor was the possibility of carbon laydown. The ATR was dismantled after the run and some carbon deposition had occurred; however, it was a very small percentage of the more than 100 lb of carbon feed. Still another factor was the possibility of carbon deposition in the system other than the ATR. Pressure drop measurements indicated no significant increase in pressure drop across the system during the course of the run, again indicating that the quantity of carbon buildup should be a small percentage of the total processed by the system.

The use of nitrogen and carbon balances to predict product gas flow rates, as discussed above, provides a mechanism to predict the hydrogen production rate and compare that value with the design rate. While flow rate predictions based on the elemental balances are not 100% accurate, they do provide guidance in the absence of direct product flow rate measurement.

Estimated moisture-free gas flow rates based on carbon and nitrogen balances were presented in Table 14. The averages for the 8-hr operating period from the seventh to fifteenth hour were:

| <u>Estimating Method</u> | <u>Average Flow Rate, stdft<sup>3</sup>/hr</u> | <u>Standard Deviation</u> | <u>Range</u> | <u>Range Ratio</u> |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Carbon Balance           | 623                                            | 39                        | 597-676      | 1.13               |
| Nitrogen Balance         | 564                                            | 85                        | 463-672      | 1.45               |

The ratio of average flow rates (carbon balance/nitrogen balance) was 1.10. At the 95% confidence limits, the average flow rate was:

$$\text{Carbon Balance} = 623 \pm 78$$

$$\text{Nitrogen Balance} = 564 \pm 170$$

Pairing the above carbon-balance based average moisture-free product gas rate with the average moisture-free hydrogen concentration shown in Table 15 results in an estimated average hydrogen production rate of 0.58 lb mole/hr. Using the nitrogen-balance average gas rate indicates 0.53 mole/hr hydrogen. This represents approximately 30% of the hydrogen design production capacity at the operating pressure.

Operations at this rate was a startup decision. The unit is capable of significantly higher capacity operation.

#### K. SPACE VELOCITY

Based on the measured average feed rates, the product gas compositions, the estimated product gas rate, and the geometry of the DP catalytic beds, the experimental space velocities compare with design as follows:

| <u>Reactor<br/>Designation</u> | <u>Estimated Experimental<br/>Space Velocity,<br/>hr<sup>-1</sup>*</u> | <u>Design<br/>Space Velocity per<br/>Actual DP Catalyst<br/>Loading,<br/>hr<sup>-1</sup></u> |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| HTSR                           | 2430                                                                   | 2180                                                                                         |
| ATR                            | 3340                                                                   | 3540                                                                                         |
| First Stage<br>Shift Reactor   | 460                                                                    | 490                                                                                          |
| Second Stage<br>Shift Reactor  | 660                                                                    | 690                                                                                          |
| Desulfurizer                   | 200                                                                    | 210                                                                                          |

\*See Table 4.

These results confirm that the DP is capable of operating at its design capacity.

#### L. REACTION CONDITIONS

The DP was operated at a feed pressure of 60 psig and a product delivery pressure of 20 psig.

Temperatures in both the primary reformer (HTSR) and secondary reformer (ATR) exceeded the 1800°F target within the reactor bed; see Fig. 9 and Fig. 10. However, Fig. 14 and Fig. 15 show that the measured temperature peaked at a midpoint in the catalyst bed. In both instances, the indicated temperature at the entry to the catalyst bed was below the target of 1800°F, minimum. For effective conversion, the reactants should be at the 1800°F level throughout the catalyst bed.

#### M. OPERATIONS DISCUSSION

Investigation indicated the potential for partial thermal cracking in the vapor space above the catalytic bed in the HTSR. If confirmed, this could have been a contributor to the low hydrogen concentration. Also, olefins, which are a product of cracking reactions as well as a potential product from T-12 catalysis, are a precursor to mercaptan formation.

Fig. 9 indicates that the T-12 catalyst may not have been fully conditioned before the start of operations. HTSR TI-3 remained relatively constant at 1475°F-1500°F for 7 hr, then dropped approximately 200°F over the next hour. This indicates a significant increase in the endothermic steam reforming reaction may have occurred at that time. In a related observation, the high product carbon monoxide content indicates that the shift catalyst may also not have been fully conditioned before the start of operations.

Fig. 10 indicates that the ATR's TI-12, similarly to TI-3 in the HTSR, saw a significant drop in temperature between the seventh and eighth operating hours. This may well have been caused by an increased endotherm because of increased reforming in the T-48 catalyst bed. The increased endotherm may have been caused by the catalyst being further conditioned at that time, having been incompletely conditioned before startup.

SENDER

## SECTION 9

### EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE

#### A. ELECTRICAL HEATERS

The high temperature electrical heating systems, including the heating elements and the controllers, caused the highest incidence of operating problems. The initial and direct cause of the forced shutdown for the hydrogen production run was the failure of the electrical heaters for the steam superheater (SSH), 1-1306. Before the failure, neither the SSH nor the air preheater (1-1404) proved themselves capable of reaching their design exit temperature of 1300°F and 1400°F, respectively. Each of the controllers exhibited instability during the brief operating periods; we anticipate that these controller problems can be eliminated.

#### B. AUTOTHERMAL REFORMER

The autothermal reformer (ATR) electrical heaters failed at the end of the test run, approximately 1-1/2 hr after the SSH failure. The reactor was disassembled for inspection, analysis, and repair and the following observations recorded:

- (1) The physical appearance of the refractory combustion channel located at the exit of the burner indicated preferential combustion on half of the circular area and very little combustion on the other half.
- (2) The inner surface of the clamshell, or doughnut, electrical heaters indicated combustion in the annular space formed by the outer periphery of the catalyst tube and the inner surface of the electrical heaters.
- (3) The upper electrical heater, and high nickel heating wires, were burned in such a way to indicate that they had been exposed to temperatures in excess of 2200°F.

- (4) Product gas analysis showed high methane content and some three times as much sulfur dioxide as hydrogen sulfide.

Analysis of these observations and data led to the following hypotheses regarding ATR performance:

- (1) Hot air feed was not uniformly distributed to the burner, resulting in combustion in the 180° arc closest to the air feed pipe entering the burner.
- (2) Combustion at the burner tip was not complete. The below-design temperatures of the air and fuel gas feeds to the burner contributed to this phenomena. To illustrate, the design and actual air feed temperatures to the ATR were 1400°F and 1100°F-1200°F, respectively. Similarly, design and actual feed temperatures for the fuel gas were 1650°F and 1200°F, respectively. The non-uniform air distribution in the burner, discussed under Item 1, is also believed to be a contributing factor to the subpar combustion performance.
- (3) A portion of the reactant gases bypassed the catalyst through the annular space formed by the outer surface of the catalyst tube and the inner surface of the inner shell. This flow pattern, combined with delayed catalyst activation, resulted in high methane and sulfur dioxide content. ATR product gas analyses shown in Table III, Figure 1, and Figure 2 indicate high methane leakage.

Procedures for eliminating each of these problems have been developed. Additional details are given in the Appendix.

### C. OTHER PROBLEM AREAS

Heat losses from high temperature components can be reduced to the current design level plus electrical heat tracing.

AD-A176 346

HYDROGEN PRODUCTION FROM LIQUID HYDROCARBONS  
DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM(U) PARSONS (RALPH M) CO PASADENA  
CALIF J B O'HARA ET AL. 01 SEP 86 DAAK70-85-C-0092

2/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 21/4


NL

END

DATE

FILED

7



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

The product gas stream must be free of solids before entering the gas flow meter FQI-14. Gas cleaning can be done by quenching, scrubbing, and filtering the gas feed to FQI-14. This will eliminate naphthalene and related compounds from the gas stream.

The air preheater equipment supplier has recommended that the junction boxes serving the electrical heaters be air cooled to improve performance and eliminate the erratic behavior of the heater/controller system. This cooling system has been installed.

The mechanical performance of the remainder of the DP equipment appeared to be satisfactory. Completion of the limited number of revisions defined in this report is expected to result in reliable continuous operation.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1  
2  
3

## SECTION 10

### CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the work reported here, we conclude:

- (1) A process design has been developed that has the potential to achieve project goals. This process configuration uses sour reforming to process the high sulfur logistic fuels feedstock followed by shift reaction and desulfurization.
- (2) Additional operations are required to adequately demonstrate the performance of the process configuration. the one month's operating time was not adequate because of startup problems, primarily with high temperature electrical heaters.
- (3) A 17 hr operating run was completed. The results indicate that the demonstration plant (DP) can produce a hydrogen-containing product gas with less than 1 p/m (volume) hydrogen sulfide.
- (4) Product hydrogen concentration was of the order of 35 mole percent. Contaminants exhibiting higher-than-design concentrations included methane, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen. Control of these constituents as described below will produce a product gas with at least 53% hydrogen, which will meet the project objectives:
  - (a) Convert all methane to oxides of carbon plus hydrogen in the high temperature steam reformer (HTSR) and autothermal reformer (ATR).
  - (b) Convert 90% of the carbon monoxide to hydrogen by reaction with steam in the shift reactors.
  - (c) Reduce the air feed rate to the ATR.

Each of the above changes are achievable.

- (5) Failures of high temperature electrical heaters caused forced shutdown of the DP. Design deficiencies of the ATR contributed to failure of the ATR electrical heaters.
- (6) Equipment modifications/additions/repairs have been defined, which are judged adequate to provide confidence that the DP can operate reliably to demonstrate the performance of the process configuration.
- (7) Candidate materials of construction have been defined for the 1800°F-plus operation in sulfur-containing environments. These materials performed satisfactorily for the brief operating period.
- (8) Solids, tentatively identified as naphthalene and related compounds, collected in the product gas exist area, causing a malfunction of the product gas meter. To eliminate/control these compounds, oxidation conditions must be controlled in the process and procedures incorporated to assure delivery of clean product gas to the fuel cell.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5

## SECTION 11

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations for future operation and modifications to equipment and procedures are summarized below. These recommendations incorporate the results of (1) the operations experience summarized in the preceding report sections, (2) commercial hydrogen plant design/operations experience, and (3) inputs from the experience reported by other workers, domestic and international, for conversion of distillate hydrocarbon liquids to hydrogen-rich fuel gas.

#### A. OPERATION

Modify and improve the demonstration plant (DP) as described below, then resume operations to demonstrate that the process configuration tested is capable of efficiently and reliably producing a specification hydrogen-rich gas stream from logistic fuels suitable for use in a phosphoric acid fuel cell (PAFC).

#### B. AUTOTHERMAL REFORMER (ATR)

- (1) Install distribution fins in the hot air burner feed annular space. Weld fins to the outer surface of the central burner tube that transports the hot fuel gas to the burner tip. The increased pressure drop generated by the fins will result in a uniform flow profile for the air feed to the burner tip, ensuring uniform combustion.
- (2) Insert a refractory tube to form a confining boundary for the combustion zone. Insert the tube to surround the burner tip and to form a positive boundary from the burner tip to the catalyst surface. This is shown in detail in Fig. 16 and Fig. 17. The material of construction should be high purity alumina.

In addition to forming a combustion zone boundary, the tube will serve to minimize reactant bypassing of the ATR catalyst bed.

- (3) Insert a seal ring at the top of the ATR to eliminate reactant catalyst bed bypass. The bottom edge of the seal ring is to be welded to the top plate of the catalyst tube and the top edge to seat between the vessel shell inner refractory lining and the metal shell of the vessel head shroud (see Fig. 16). Material should be RA 330 or equivalent. This seal ring should prevent combustion gas/reactant from bypassing the catalyst bed and damaging the electrical heaters.
- (4) Increase the fuel gas and air feed temperatures to their design values of 1650°F and 1400°F, respectively. Do this by a combination of heat addition to the transfer lines and improved insulation.
- (5) Eliminate the top 12 in. heater section and replace it with Kaowool insulation. This minimizes potential for heater burnout near the combustion zone.
- (6) Switch the ATR heater temperature control from TIC-39 to TI-11. This allows more positive control of the heaters in response to the process heat demand.
- (7) Replace the failed electrical heaters.


#### C. HIGH TEMPERATURE STEAM REFORMER (HTSR)

Install additional heaters to maintain the full length of the catalyst bed at 1800°F, minimum. The addition would increase the heat input at the top section, which would increase the DF-2 conversion and decrease the hydrocarbon leakage through the catalyst bed.




#### D. STEAM SUPERHEATER (SSH)

Replace SSH 1-1405. Two primary alternatives exist:

- (1) Fired heater.
- (2) A packaged, performance-warranted electrically heated unit.









#### E. REFORMER FEED TEMPERATURES

Install a combination of heaters and supplemental insulation to assure that the following design feed temperatures are achieved:

- (1) 1300°F superheated steam temperature feed to the HTSR.
- (2) 1400°F air feed temperature to the ATR.
- (3) 1650°F fuel gas (HTSR effluent) feed temperature to the ATR.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## APPENDIX A

### SAFETY AND PRELIMINARY HAZARDS ANALYSES

#### 1. SAFETY

A preliminary system safety program was developed early in the project to define the objectives and procedures for a hazard analysis to assure safe design and operation of the demonstration plant (DP). This plan was implemented by a preliminary hazards analysis (PHA), which contributed to definition of safety equipment to be installed and procedures to be followed to assure safe operation of the DP. Key safety equipment provided for the DP is listed in Table A-1.

TABLE 1. List, Key Demonstration Plant Safety Equipment

| <u>Item<br/>Number</u> | <u>Description</u>                    |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1                      | Carbon Monoxide Concentration Monitor |
| 2                      | Hydrogen Concentration Monitor        |
| 3                      | Automatic Sprinklers                  |
| 4                      | Fire Extinguishers                    |
| 5                      | First Aid Kit                         |
| 6                      | Safety Blankets                       |
| 7                      | Safety Shower                         |
| 8                      | Eye Wash Fountain                     |
| 9                      | Hard Hats                             |
| 10                     | Gloves                                |
| 11                     | Safety Glasses                        |
| 12                     | Emergency Lighting                    |
| 13                     | Area Forced Ventilation               |
| 14                     | Electrical Equipment Grounding        |
| 15                     | Explosion Proof Electrical Rating     |
| 16                     | Safety Ladder                         |

## 2. PRELIMINARY HAZARDS ANALYSIS

### A. Introduction.

The PHA performed on the DP having a capacity of 2 mole/hr of hydrogen is documented in the attached worksheets presented as Table A-2. In these worksheets, an assessment of risk is made for each identified potential hazard. The assessment is performed with and without the implementation of specific corrective/preventive safety measures to demonstrate the effectiveness of the safety measures in reducing risk. The status column will document the implementation of the safety criteria, upon Government review and approval.

### B. Methodology.

The PHA was performed in accordance with MIL-STD-882B, Task 202 (see Table A-2). Hazards associated with the proposed DP design or procedures were evaluated for hazard severity and hazard probability. The basis for the analysis was DP design documents, drawings, and model. The piping and instrumentation drawings (P&IDs) provide the level of component detail to be included in the PHA.

TABLE A-2. Preliminary Hazard Analysis Worksheet for Demonstration Plant  
System Safety Program

| <u>Subsystem</u>                            | <u>Failure<br/>Mode/Hazard<br/>Description</u>                                                                                    | <u>Effect</u>                                       | <u>Risk Level</u> |             | <u>Corrective/<br/>Preventive<br/>Measures</u>                                                            | <u>New<br/>Risk Level</u> |             |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
|                                             |                                                                                                                                   |                                                     | <u>Sev</u>        | <u>Prob</u> |                                                                                                           | <u>Sev</u>                | <u>Prob</u> |
| Feed Tank                                   | Manual filling<br>operator over-<br>fill tank,<br>flammable<br>liquid hydro-<br>carbon spill                                      | Fire/<br>explosion                                  | II                | B           | Level gage<br>operator<br>training                                                                        | II                        | C           |
| Water feed<br>steam<br>generator            | Overpressure                                                                                                                      | Explosion                                           | II                | B           | Pressure relief<br>valve on<br>generator                                                                  | II                        | B           |
| Fuel feed<br>pump                           | Flammable liquid<br>hydrocarbon leak                                                                                              | Fire/<br>explosion                                  | II                | B           | Operator training                                                                                         | II                        | C           |
|                                             | Fails to operate                                                                                                                  | No fuel<br>to HTSR<br>damage to<br>HTSR<br>catalyst | III               | B           | Flow indicator<br>interlocked<br>will heat to<br>HTSR                                                     | III                       | C           |
| HTSR                                        | Overpressure<br>DP-190 psig<br>Rupture - HTSR -<br>Explosive and<br>Hazardous gases<br>and materials.<br>Very hot 1800°F<br>leaks | Damage to<br>facilities<br>Hazard to<br>personnel   | I                 | B           | PSV-6                                                                                                     | I                         | C           |
|                                             | Formation of<br>Nicarbonyl                                                                                                        |                                                     | I                 | B           | Flush with inert<br>gas on shutdown                                                                       | I                         | C           |
| Air<br>compressor                           |                                                                                                                                   |                                                     | III               | C           |                                                                                                           | III                       | C           |
| Air<br>heater                               |                                                                                                                                   |                                                     | II                | C           |                                                                                                           | II                        | C           |
| HTSR/ATR<br>EFF HT<br>Exchanger<br>190 psig | Leak flammable<br>hazardous gases                                                                                                 | Fire/<br>explosion                                  | I                 | C           | Temperature<br>indicators,<br>operator train-<br>ing hydrogen<br>detectors in<br>experiment area<br>PSV 1 | II                        | C           |

TABLE A-2. Preliminary Hazard Analysis Worksheet for Demonstration Plant  
System Safety Program (Contd)

| <u>Subsystem</u>                             | <u>Failure<br/>Mode/Hazard<br/>Description</u>                                                                       | <u>Effect</u>                 | <u>Risk Level</u> |             | <u>Corrective/<br/>Preventive<br/>Measures</u>              | <u>New<br/>Risk Level</u> |             |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
|                                              |                                                                                                                      |                               | <u>Sev</u>        | <u>Prob</u> |                                                             | <u>Sev</u>                | <u>Prob</u> |
| ATR<br>DP 190<br>psig<br>DT 1820°F           | Leak flammable<br>hazardous gases                                                                                    | Fire/<br>explosion            | I                 | C           | Temperature and<br>pressure<br>indicator                    |                           |             |
| Waste<br>heat<br>boiler                      | Leak water into<br>gases                                                                                             |                               |                   |             | Keep gas pres-<br>sures higher than<br>coolant pressure     |                           |             |
| Knockout<br>drum                             | Temperature 550°F<br>DP 90 psig<br>DT 575°F<br>Manual drain<br>valve left<br>open - hot<br>hazardous gases<br>escape | Fire/<br>harm to<br>personnel | I                 | C           | Operator, train-<br>ing                                     | I                         | C           |
| First<br>shift<br>reformer                   | DP 85 psig<br>DT 735°F<br>gas leak                                                                                   | Fire/<br>harm to<br>personnel | II                | C           | Temperature<br>and pressure<br>indicators<br>PSV 2          | II                        | C           |
| Second<br>stage<br>shift<br>reformer         | DP 85 psig<br>DT 600°F<br>gas leak                                                                                   | Fire/<br>harm to<br>personnel | II                | C           | PSV 3                                                       | II                        | C           |
| Desul-<br>furizer<br>zinc<br>oxide<br>filled | DP 85 psig<br>DT 600°F<br>Formation of<br>this by<br>reaction of<br>hydrogen and<br>zinc sulfide                     |                               | II                | B           | Flush with inert<br>gas manual<br>hazardous gas<br>detector | II                        | C           |

The following hazard categories and probability levels defined in MIL-STD-881B, Appendix A were used in qualitatively assessing the risk of each identified potential hazard:

| <u>Severity Category</u> | <u>Definition</u>                                                                               |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| I                        | <u>Catastrophic</u> - may cause death or system loss.                                           |
| II                       | <u>Critical</u> - may cause severe injury, severe occupational illness, or major system damage. |
| III                      | <u>Marginal</u> - may cause minor injury, minor occupational illness, or minor system damage.   |
| IV                       | <u>Negligible</u> - will not result in injury, occupational illness, or system damage.          |

| <u>Probability Level</u> | <u>Definition</u>                                                               |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A                        | <u>Frequent</u> - likely to occur frequently.                                   |
| B                        | <u>Reasonably Probable</u> - will occur several times in the life of an item.   |
| C                        | <u>Occasional</u> - likely to occur sometime in life on an item.                |
| D                        | <u>Remote</u> - so unlikely, it can be assumed that this hazard will not occur. |

In performing the PHA, the hazard severity and accident probability of a particular upset condition was first assessed without regard to any corrective/preventive measures that may be available. Then, assuming these particular safety measures are incorporated into the DP design, the PHA reassesses the hazard severity and accident probability. Safety measures that

are found to be effective in reducing system risk will be identified as safety design and operating criteria.

C. Summary.

Hazards associated with the DP are:

(1) Hazardous Materials:

- (a) Hydrogen ( $H_2$ ) is a colorless, odorless, flammable gas. It is nontoxic but can act as an asphyxiant by displacing air. It has a 4% to 75% flammable range. Hydrogen is noncorrosive, but vessels and piping should be designed with safety factors conforming with the ASME code for pressure piping. At elevated temperatures and pressures, possible hydrogen embrittlement adds difficulties to normal equipment design.
- (b) Carbon monoxide (CO) is a colorless, odorless, flammable toxic gas with no warning properties. It has a flammable range of 12.5% to 75%. The best prevention of carbon monoxide poisoning is good ventilation.
- (c) Hydrogen sulfide ( $H_2S$ ) is a colorless, highly toxic, flammable gas. In low concentration it has a highly distinctive rotten egg odor. It has a 4% to 46% flammable range.
- (d) Carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) is a colorless, odorless, low toxicity gas. In low concentrations it acts as an asphyxiant by displacing air. In high concentration it can paralyze the respiratory system.
- (e) Nitrogen ( $N_2$ ) is nontoxic, but can act as an asphyxiant by displacing air.

(f) When carbon monoxide (CO) at 210°F to 400°F comes in contact with metallic catalysts, carbonyls can be formed. These carbonyls, especially nickel carbonyl  $[\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4]$ , are severe health hazards. Operating parameters and procedures for startup and shutdown of the process must include either flushing the carbon monoxide from the process equipment with an inert gas or by maintaining the temperature of the reaction vessels above the carbonyl formation zone.

(g) Liquid hydrocarbon fuel such as DF-2 is a flammable liquid. Spills and leaks must be minimized.

(2) Other Hazards Control:

- (a) Electrical equipment must be well insulated, properly grounded, and provided with interlocks and written procedures to minimize sparking and shock exposure. Compliance with OSHA 1910.303, 304, 305, and the National Electrical Code is mandatory.
- (b) Fire suppression procedures must take into account the hazardous and flammable nature of the materials present.
- (c) Personnel access, evacuation procedures, and drills must be prepared.
- (d) Points of Operation. The hazards associated with machinery operation are those created by point of operation, in-going nip points, rotating parts, flying chips and sparks. Machine guards shall be provided to protect operators from these hazards. Compliance with OSHA 1910.211 is mandatory.

- (e) Training. All personnel must be trained in approved procedures for dealing with the hazards peculiar to the system or components involved in the operation. The employees shall be thoroughly instructed in the efficient and safe methods of performing their assigned work.
  - (f) Personal protective equipment consists of garments or devices to protect individuals from specific hazards that will be encountered in the performance of their jobs. These hazards must be kept to the minimum through engineering design or by changes in methods or processes. Sufficient PPE for all involved personnel will be located in the immediate vicinity of any hazardous operation. The operators must have ready access to the PPE and the equipment must be properly maintained.
  - (g) Life Safe Code. The building or structure being used for the DP shall be provided with exits sufficient to permit the prompt escape of occupants in case of fire or other emergency. The design of facilities shall be such that personal safety will not depend solely on any safeguard that can be made ineffective because of human or mechanical failure.
- (3) Safety Requirements and Procedures:
- (a) Personnel Protection:
    - Limited Access - Number of personnel in area kept to a minimum.
    - Signal (flashing light) visible to anyone in the area when hydrogen is being generated.
    - Safety shower - eye wash - within 50 ft of hazard source.

- Gas detectors (hydrogen and carbon monoxide with alarm (TBD)  
(manual or automatic TBD).
- Eye protection.

(b) Facility Protection

- Ventilation fan - (alarm for fan stoppage) in the DP area.
- Ground all electrical equipment.
- Replace glass windows with plastic sash.
- Plastic shielding for personnel.
- Nonsparking tools.
- Emergency lighting.
- PSVs on all pressurized gas lines.
- Local fire extinguishers (dry chemical or carbon dioxide).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX B

## APPENDIX B

### EXCERPT FROM OPERATING MANUAL

#### IX. Startup

Refer to P & ID, Drawing No. D-1-MP-1 Revision 4 for the following startup instructions.

##### A) Initial Startup

Toyo Engineering's catalysts T-12 and T-48 are used in the High Temperature Steam Reformer 1-1401 and the Autothermal Reformer 1-2501, respectively. United Catalysts Incorporation's Cobalt-Molybdenum oxide (CoMo)-based catalysts are used in the First Stage Shift Reactor 1-2502 and the Second Stage Shift Reactor 1-2503. The T-48 and CoMo catalysts are introduced into their respective reactors in their oxidized (non-reactive) state. Catalyst T-48 must, therefore, be activated by being reduced and the CoMo catalyst must be activated by being presulfided and reduced prior to normal operation of the test unit. The activation can normally be accomplished by using nitrogen containing hydrogen and hydrogen sulfide. However, for this test program, air, steam, and diesel fuel DF-2 shall be used, as nitrogen and hydrogen may not be available for startup of commercial units. The diesel fuel DF-2 will be doped with thiophene ( $C_4H_4S$ ) as deemed necessary to ensure the fuel feed contains the specified sulfur content of 0.5 wt percent. The startup flow will be at a reduced pressure and flow rate i.e. 20 to 50 psig and 600 SCFH, respectively.

The Autothermal Reformer (ATR) 1-2501 is a refractory lined vessel. The refractory wall shall be dried out prior to be exposed to normal operating temperature.

A correct slow dryout is essential to maximize the running life of the Autothermal reformer refractory lining. The period of natural drying at

ambient temperature should be as long as possible, but at least 24 hours to maximize the refractory life. The final dryout period should be at least 2 days, and if possible 4 to 6 days. The longer dryout period is usually preferred, but the vendor will supply a dryout curve and time for the refractory used in the furnace.

A sudden temperature rise in the early stages will result in a hard surface baking of the refractory. As the temperature rise proceeds through the refractory, the last trace of moisture from the cold heater metal wall and the refractory material will turn to steam. Since the steam cannot pass through the hard baked surface, it will force its way out through the refractory. This can result in severe cracking or spalling of refractory, which can mean relining the reformer after removing the severely damaged refractory. Quite often this also results in moving large areas of refractory from the side wall supports.

Refractory dryout will be done in concurrency with the catalyst heatup and activation.

The test unit should be prepared for the refractory dryout and catalyst reduction by establishing normal boiler feedwater (BFW) level in the kettle type Waste Heat Boiler 1-1316 and flow of BFW to 1-1316 from the BFW supply flow of BFW to 1-1316 from BFW supply. Valves V-42 and V-44 shall be opened and under manual control. Flow of BFW shall be allowed to flow to blowdown via the blowdown valve. Cooling water flow is started through the Effluent Cooler 1-1303B and the Steam Condenser 1-1317 by opening valves V-75 and V-64.

Air flow is next started. Prior to beginning air flow through the system, valves, V-2 through V-8, V-10, through V-19, V-78, V-70, V-21, V-23, V-24, V-26, V-28, V-29, V-33, V-35, V-37, V-40, V-41, V-68, V-69, V-46, V-47, V-49, V-51, V-52, V-56, V-66, V-65, V-72, V-74, V-61, V-57 and V-76 are closed. The following valves are opened for initial start-up: V-25, V-34, V-42, V-44, V-60, V-64, V-67, V-75, V-77, V-71, V-73. The Process Air Compressor 1-1803 is started. The air flows through Air Preheater 1-1404,

valves V-25, V-71 and into the High Temperature Steam Reformer (HTSR) 1-1401. Exiting the HTSR, the air flows through valve V-60 bypassing the HTSR/ATR Effluent Exchanger 1-1313. It then flows through the Autothermal Reformer (ATR) 1-2501, the HTSR/ATR Effluent Exchanger, bypass valve V-73 and onto the K.O. Drum 1-1204. Exiting the K.O. Drum, the air continues its way to the First Stage Shift Reactor 1-2502, bypass valve V-34, the Second Stage Shift Reactor 1-2503 and into Desulfurizer 1-2504. Exiting the Desulfurizer, the air flows through the Heat Exchanger Coil 1-1303A in the Waste Heat Boiler 1-1316, the Effluent Cooler 1-1303B, and into the Effluent Separator 1-1201. From the Effluent Separator, the air vents to the atmosphere via Back Pressure Control Valve PCV-18 and lines 3/4"-AA-34 and 2"-EHA-16-P. Once the flow of air is established in the route described above, the Process Air Compressor operation shall be adjusted to discharge air flow at the rate of 600 SCFH at 60 psig. Next, the electrical heaters serving the Air Preheater 1-1404, HTSR 1-1401, ATR 1-2501, K.O. Drum 1-1204, First Stage Shift Reactor 1-2502, Second Stage Shift Reactor 1-2503 and Desulfurizer 1-2504 are turned on. Current loadings to these heaters are increased slowly so that the catalyst temperatures as measured by TI-2 through TI-8, TI-11 through TI-14, TI-20 and T-21 are increased at a rate of 30-40°F per hour.

The catalyst and refractory heatup rate shall be monitored continuously and temperature readings from appropriate temperature indicators are recorded, at 30 minute intervals.

As the temperature of the ATR catalyst bed reaches 250°F, this bed temperature shall be maintained for ten hours. At the end of this holding period, current loadings to the operating heaters shall be adjusted to heat the catalysts at an hourly rate of 50°F ATR bed temperature reaches 500°F. This bed temperature shall be held eight hours. Then continue to heat the catalyst up at a rate of 65°F per hour for the remaining heatup process.

As temperatures rise and the temperature indicated by TI-20 at the outlet to First Stage Shift Reactor 1-2502 approaches 570°F, valve V-74 is opened

and the bypass valve V-73 is partially closed forcing air flow through the First Shift Feed Cooler 1-1301. The bypass valve V-73 shall be adjusted to hold the First Stage Shift Reactor Effluent at 570°F as indicated by TI-20.

When the catalyst temperatures in the HTSR and ATR reach 1000°F, valves V-12 and V-78 shall be opened, and Steam Superheater 1-1405 is turned on. Nitrogen is being preheated in Steam Superheater 1-1405 and flows into the HTSR. As soon as preheated nitrogen temperature reaches 1000°F, the steam superheater is ready for the saturated steam. Valves V-78 and V-12 are then partially closed to allow a small flow of Nitrogen to flow through the system together with steam. This is done to ensure that no vacuum is formed in the system due to steam condensate and removal in the effluent Separator 1-1202 prior its exit of the product gas line. Valves V-13 and V-14 shall be opened admitting steam into the system.

When steam starts flowing through the Steam Superheater into the HTSR, the Process Air Compressor 1-1803 is shut down and valves V-25 and V-71 closed. Valve V-74 is then closed and valves V-73 and V-61 are opened. As valve V-61 is opened, BFW flows to quench the ATR effluent superheated steam prior to its entering the K.O. Drum 1-1204. Valve V-61 shall be adjusted to control the flow of BFW such that the First Stage Shift Reactor Effluent stays at 570°F as indicated by TI-20. Current loading to the Steam Superheater shall be adjusted to achieve a steam superheat temperature of 1000°F initially and then increase the superheat temperature to accommodate a catalyst heatup rate of 65°F per hour. The maximum superheated steam temperature exiting the Steam Superheater shall be set and held at 1300°F. Steam flow rate shall be increased to half the design flow rate and held at 40 lb per hour. Current loading to the electrical heaters of the HTSR and ATR shall be increased continuously until the catalyst temperatures in the HTSR and ATR reach 1800°F During the entire heatup process, a duration of approximately 18 to 36 hours is contemplated, under no circumstance shall the rate of rise of catalyst temperature be more than 65°F per hour.

This heatup process is continued until TI-8 of HTSR reaches 1800°F, TI-14 of ATR reaches 1800°F, TI-20 of the First Stage Shift Reactor reaches 570°F and TI-21 of the Second Stage Shift Reactor reaches 550 °F minimum. When the desired catalyst temperatures are achieved, the catalysts are ready for reduction and pre-sulfidation. Valves in the feed system V-7 and V-10 are opened; Fuel Feed Pump 1-1501 is started and adjusted to deliver 25 percent of the design flow. At this point, the Fuel Feed Pump is pumping DF-2 fuel from the Feed Tank 1-1902 and recycling it back to the Feed Tank via bypass valve V-10. When a steady pump operation is established, a small amount of the DF-2 feedstock is admitted to the HTSR via the small bypass valve V-18 and the HTSR inlet atomizing nozzle for a duration of 2 minutes. The atomized DF-2 fuel is vaporized by being injected into the superheated steam. As the vapor mixture of superheated steam and DF-2 enters the catalyst bed, some reaction steam reforming the DF-2 will take place producing a synthesis gas (syngas) containing mainly  $H_2$ , CO,  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$  some light hydrocarbons and some  $H_2S$ . Hydrogen in the syngas will help to reduce the catalyst and  $H_2S$  will presulfide the catalyst. The activated (reduced and presulfided) catalyst initiates further steam reforming reaction of the still unreacted DF-2. The described catalyst activation reactions and DF-2 steam reforming reactions propagate down the HTSR catalyst bed. Though the steam reforming reaction is endothermic, the accompanied catalyst reduction and sulfidation reactions are exothermic. As a result, some temperature rises are expected in the catalyst bed where reduction dominates reforming. These are evident in temperature spikes recorded by the thermocouples TI-2 through TI-8. The syngas and steam exiting the HTSR enters the ATR and reduces the T-48 catalysts. The syngas then flows through the First and Second Stage Shift Reactors reducing and presulfiding the CoMo catalysts. The reduction reactions will be evident in temperature spikes recorded by TI-11 through TI-14 and TI-20 and TI-21. Exiting the Second Stage Shift Reactor, the syngas and steam enters the Desulfurizer for  $H_2S$  removal. The ZnO will convert some of the COS to  $H_2S$  as well. The desulfurized gas will then be cooled in the Effluent Coolers 1-1303A and 1-1303B prior to venting to atmosphere.

Thermocouple readings throughout the system shall be closely monitored until the temperature spikes pass from the system. At this point, valve V-18 will be opened for another 10 minutes, the DF-2 fuel admission procedure shall be repeated. Intermittent admission of the DF-2 fuel to the system shall be repeated until there is no longer any evidence of temperature spikes. The catalysts are now reduced, sulfided and active. The test run shall be ready for commissioning to normal operation. Continuous exposure of the catalysts to steam alone at elevated temperatures will slowly reoxidize the catalysts. Caution should therefore be taken not to expose the reduced catalysts solely to steam for more than 30 minutes at elevated temperature.

B) Cold Start Per Catalyst Vendor Recommendations

Cold start refers to starting up the test unit from ambient temperature. All catalysts are in their reduced and active state and are being kept in a nitrogen atmosphere.

Since the catalysts are in their reduced state, air and steam cannot be used as heating media for catalyst heat up. For a commercial unit operation, a storage tank for storing anode effluent gas will be installed. Anode effluent gas will be used as heating media for startups other than the initial startup. For the 2.0 mole per test unit, nitrogen will be used as heating medium since anode gas is not available.

For this cold start process, follow the operating procedures of the initial startup except that nitrogen is used instead of air and steam. Prior to beginning nitrogen flow through the system, valves V-12, V-78, V-25, V-71, V-40, and V-65 shall be opened and valves V-23, V-24, PCV-18, and V-80 shall be closed. Operating status of the other valves in the system are described in the initial startup procedures. At this point, nitrogen is introduced into the HTSR via valves V-12 and V-78 from the N<sub>2</sub> gas cylinders. Nitrogen begins to flow through the system in the route described for the initial startup except that it is not vented to atmosphere as valve PCV-18 is closed. Instead, it flows to the startup

recycle line 1"-AA-51 towards the suction of Process Air Compressor 1-1803. The latter is started recycling nitrogen in the route described above. Nitrogen is introduced to the HTSR until the Process Air Compressor operation is established to discharge nitrogen flow at the rate of 600 SCFH at 60 psig. Next, valves V-12 and V-78 are closed and electrical heaters serving Air Preheater 1-1404, HTSR 1-1401, ATR 1-2501, K.O. Drum 1-1204, First Stage Shift Reactor 1-2502, Second Stage Shift Reactor 1-2503 and Desulfurizer 1-2504 are turned on. Current loadings to these heaters are increased slowly so that the catalyst temperatures as measured by TI-2 through TI-8, TI-11 through TI-14, TI-20 and TI-21 are increased at a rate of 50-100°F per hour.

The catalyst heatup rate shall be monitored continuously and temperature readings from appropriate temperature indicators are recorded at 30 minute intervals. As temperature rise and the temperature indicated by TI-33 at the inlet to First Stage Shift Reactor 1-2502 approaches 570°F, valve V-74 is opened and the bypass valve V-73 is partially closed forcing nitrogen flow through the First Shift Feed Cooler 1-1301. The bypass valve V-73 shall be adjusted to hold the First Stage Shift Reactor Effluent at 570°F as indicated by T-20. Current loadings to the electrical heaters of HTSR, ATR and Air Preheater shall be increased continuously until the catalyst temperatures in the HTSR and ATR reach 1800°F and 1400°F respectively. During the entire heatup process, a duration of approximately 18 to 24 hours is contemplated, under no circumstance shall the rate of rise of catalyst temperature be more than 100°F per hour.

C) 8 Hours Cold Startup

Cold startup refers to starting up the test unit from ambient temperature in its cold standby status. All catalyst are in their reduced and active state and are being kept in a nitrogen atmosphere.

The startup operation is the same as the "Cold Start Per Catalyst Vendor Recommendation" described in Section IX B except that the rate of catalyst heatup is somewhat higher.

For startup operation procedures, follow those in Section IX B. For catalyst heatup, current loadings to electrical heaters of HTSR, ATR and Air Preheater shall be adjusted to attain rise of temperature in catalyst beds at a rate of 100°F per hour from ambient temperature to 400°F and 350°F per hour from 400 to 1800°F. During the entire heatup process, a duration of approximately eight (8) hours is contemplated.

When the desired catalyst temperatures are achieved, the test unit is ready for normal operation.

D) 3 Hour "Cold" Startup

This startup operation refers to starting up the test unit from its cold (overnight) standby status. The test operation program is scheduled in certain instance to shut down the test unit after a 16 hour duration run. The shutdown unit will be depressurized and purged with nitrogen and kept in nitrogen atmosphere at slightly above atmospheric pressure overnight. All electrical heaters are turned off. In the following morning, the catalysts temperatures will drop to approximately 1000°F in the HTSR and ATR, and 250°F in the shift reactors and desulfurizer.

The startup operation is the same as the "Cold Start Per Catalyst Vendor Recommendation" described in Section IX B except that the rate of catalyst heatup is somewhat higher.

For startup operation procedures, follow those in Section IX B. For catalyst heatup, current loadings to electrical heaters of HTSR, ATR and Air Preheater shall be adjusted to achieve a catalyst bed temperature of 1800°F in the HTSR and ATR, and 550°F in the shift reactors and desulfurizer. During the entire heatup process, a duration of approximately 3 hours is contemplated.

E) Hot Startup

Hot startup refers to starting up the test unit from its hot standby status. This startup operation normally takes place after a short interruption of the process operation while the test unit is being kept hot and ready for normal operation.

For operation procedures follow the description of the Normal Operation--steady state in Section X A.

F) Data Records

Table 9 tabulates the pertinent data points to be recorded during all phases of startup operation. Operation data should be taken at 30 minute intervals.

XI. Shutdown

A) Normal Shutdown

During a normal shutdown, the DF-2 fuel and air feed are first terminated, process steam flow shall be continued for 5 to 10 minutes prior to complete shutdown. This is done to avoid any carbon deposition on the catalyst beds due to the lack of presence of steam.

If the shutdown is to be of short duration, say within 30 minutes, the catalyst may be kept under normal operating pressure with steam provided that no condensation of steam would occur. Heaters associated with all reactors shall be on and maintained the equipment temperatures to as close to the operating temperatures as possible. If the shutdown is to be for an extended period, the test unit should be depressurized and purged with pure nitrogen. (In the case of operating the commercial unit, anode off gases will be used in lieu of nitrogen).

During shutdown operation, caution must be taken not to allow any synthesis gas to remain in the ATR. the nickel containing catalyst T-48 used in the ATR would form nickel carbonyl  $\text{Ni(CO)}_6$  with CO under favorable conditions as follows:

- o Nickel is present in an active form--reduced catalyst
- o Carbon monoxide is present in the gas phase
- o High pressure
- o Optimum temperature range for reaction is 210-400°F.

Nickel carbonyl is poisonous to both catalyst and human beings. To mitigate carbonyl formation, the systems will always be purged with nitrogen before cooling.

#### 1) Hot Standby

During this four week operating program, the operation of test run is expected to be interrupted periodically. This interruption would cause the unit to be shutdown. If the shutdown is of short duration, say within 30 minutes, the catalyst may be kept under normal operating pressure with steam provided that no condensation of steam would occur. If the shutdown is to be of longer than 30 minutes, the test unit should be depressurized, purged with pure nitrogen and kept in a nitrogen atmosphere at slightly above atmospheric pressure. During this period of standby mode, heaters on the HTSR, ATR, Shift Reactors and Desulfurizers shall be on and maintain the equipment temperatures to as close to the operating temperatures as possible.

To start up the system from hot standby, follow the operating procedures described in the Startup Section IX E "Hot Startup."

## 2) Cold (Overnight) Standby

For a period of two weeks, the test unit shall be run for 16 hours per day and then shut down for the next day operation. The test unit shall be placed on cold (overnight) standby after each operating day shutdown.

The following operating procedures are recommended:

Prior proceed to shutdown, check that a complete set of the final data set is logged. Then terminate the DF-2 fuel to the HTSR and the air flow to the ATR by turning off the Fuel Feed Pump 1-1501 and the Process Air Compressor and closing valves V-19 and V-24. The superheated steam flow shall be continued for 5 more minutes prior to shut off. At this point, the back pressure control valve PCV-18 can be fully opened allowing the system to be purged with nitrogen. Valves V-14, V-10, V-61, V-73, V-34 and V-68 shall be in their closed positions. Valves V-12, V-78 and V-11 shall be opened admitting Nitrogen from nitrogen gas cylinders into the system and purge through PCV-18 for 15-20 minutes.

At this point, nitrogen can be admitted into the system with PCV-18 closed. Electrical heaters on HTSR, ATR, K.O. Drum, Shift Reactors and Desulfurizer are turned off. The system can be held at cold (overnight) standby mode with nitrogen at slightly above atmospheric pressure. To start up the system from cold (overnight) standby, follow the operating procedures described in the Startup Section IX C (8 hours Cold Startup).

## 3) Cold Standby

For the second, third and fourth weeks operation, the test unit shall be run for 16 hours on the last day and then shut down for the weekend. The test unit shall be placed on cold standby and be ready for startup the following Monday morning.

The operating procedures for this operation are the same as those described for the cold (overnight) standby mode in Section XI A2.

B) Complete (Extended) Shutdown

Complete shutdown should not be undertaken unless it is required to replace catalysts or to perform equipment maintenance. Since the catalysts are in their active (reduced) state and are pyrophoric, the catalysts will have to be deactivated prior to their exposure to atmosphere.

For complete shutdown of the test unit, follow the normal shutdown--cold (overnight) standby procedures, described in Section XI A2, up to the nitrogen purge step. At this point superheated steam instead of nitrogen is used to purge the system. All the heaters shall be on if necessary to maintaining the HTSR catalyst bed, ATR catalyst bed above 1000°F and the shift catalyst beds and ZnO bed above 500°F. A small flow of air (5 percent of normal flow rate) is admitted to the HTSR via the bypass valve V-26 and Valve V-71 for a period of 2 minutes. Similar to the reduction procedure, the exothermic heat of oxidation will be reflected in a series of temperature spikes. Thermocouples TI-2 through TI-8, TI-11 through TI-14, TI-20 and TI-21 are monitored closely until all of the temperature spikes have passed through the system. When the system temperature profile returns to normal, a small flow of air is admitted to the HTSR via the bypass valve V-26 and valve V-71 for a period of 2 minutes once again. This procedure is repeated each time after the temperature spikes vanish until there is no longer any system response to the admission of air. At this point the catalysts are considered oxidized and deactivated. It can then be purged with air and all the heaters can be turned off. The system will then be allowed to cool down to ambient temperature.

C) Emergency Shutdown

Emergency shutdowns may be caused by equipment failure, utility failure or by maloperation of the plant. The following describes some of the predicted potential emergency shutdown situations and essential procedures to shutdown the demonstration unit to avoid catalyst and/or equipment damage.

1) Loss of process steam.

In the event of loss of process steam due to any reason, the demonstration unit must be shutdown immediately to avoid carbon deposition on catalysts. The following shutdown procedures must be carried out immediately.


- A) Trip Fuel Feed Pump 1-1501
- B) Open valves V-78, V-12 and PCV-18 admitting N<sub>2</sub> to purge the system.
- C) Shut down Air Preheater 1-1404
- D) Close Valve V-24
- E) Finally follow the normal shutdown procedures as described in Section XI A 1,2, or 3 depends on the current situation.

2) High temperature runaway in the ATR 1-2501.

In the event of occurrence of temperature runaway in the ATR, control valve FV-5 will be tripped and closed automatically. The demonstration unit must be shutdown immediately. The following shutdown procedures must be carried out immediately.

- A) Trip Fuel Feed Pump 1-1501
- B) Shutdown Air Preheater 1-1404
- C) Close Valve V-24
- D) Finally follow the normal shutdown procedures as described in Section XI A 1,2 or 3 depends on the current situation.

In general, in an emergency, it should be endeavored to reduce the status of the plant to that corresponding to some phase of the initial startup or normal shutdown operation. At that time, circumstances will dictate whether to continue in the startup sequence or in the shutdown sequence.



# APPENDIX C

## DF-2 AND FEEDWATER ANALYSIS

### 1. DF-2 ANALYSIS:

| <u>Determination</u>                                    | <u>ASTM Method</u> | <u>Specifications</u> | <u>Results</u> |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 1. API Gravity at 60°F                                  | D287               | 32.0 - 38.0           | 34.5           |
| 2. Cloud Point, °F                                      | D2500              | 24 Max                | 13             |
| 3. Distillation 90% evaporated, °F                      |                    | 640 max               | 626            |
| End Point, °F                                           |                    | 700 max               | 673            |
| 4. Water and Sediment, Volume %                         | D1796              | 0.05 max              | 0.05           |
| 5. Flash Point, °F                                      | D93                | 140 min               | 130            |
| 6. Ash, %                                               | D482               | 0.01 max              | 0.00010        |
| 7. Carbon Residue, % (on 10% bottoms)                   | D524               | 0.35 max              | 0.10           |
| 8. Viscosity at 40°C                                    | D445               | 1.9 to 4.1            | 3.18           |
| 9. Sulfur, %                                            | D129               | 0.7 max               | 0.042*         |
| 10. Copper Corrosion (3 hr. at 212°F)                   | D130               | No. 2 max             | 1A             |
| 11. Cetane Number                                       | D976               | 40 min                | 49.8           |
| 12. Oxidation Stability (accelerated method), mg/100 ml | D2274              | 2.0 max               | 0.4            |
| 13. Pour Point, °F                                      | D97                | 15 max                | 8              |
| 14. Particulate Contamination                           | D2276              |                       |                |
| Total Contaminant, mg/L                                 |                    | 10 max                | 0.4            |
| Ash Residue, mg/L                                       |                    | 1.3 max               | 0.4            |
| 15. Gross Heat of Combustion, million Btu/Barrel        | D240               | 5.7 min               | 5.76           |
| 16. Total Acidity, mg KOH/gm                            | D974               | --                    | 0.008          |

\*Sulfur content as received. The as-received DF-2 was "spiked" with thiophene to achieve a 0.5 weight percent sulfur content in the demonstration plant DF-2 feed.

| <u>Determination</u>              | <u>ASTM<br/>Method</u> | <u>Specifications</u> | <u>Results</u> |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 17. Aniline Point, °F             | D611                   | --                    | 69.5           |
| 18. Cetane Index Ignition Quality | D613                   | --                    | 43.4           |

## 2. WATER ANALYSIS:

| <u>Determination</u>   | <u>Concentration/Result</u> |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total Solids           | <310 mg/L                   |
| Total Suspended Solids | <0.4 mg/L                   |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 309 mg/L                    |
| Iron                   | 0.03 mg/L                   |
| Lead                   | <0.03 mg/L                  |
| Tin                    | 0.04 mg/L                   |
| Chlorine               | 58.6 mg/L                   |
| Bromine                | 35 mg/L                     |
| Fluorine               | 0.15 mg/L                   |
| Iodine                 | <0.1 mg/L                   |
| Sulfur                 | <0.02 mg/L                  |
| Coliform Type Bacteria | <2.2 -/me                   |
| Conductivity           | 790 $\mu$ mho/cm            |

DATE  
FILMED  
6-8