

7
ND-A177 473


ARCHITECT/ENGINEER LIABILITY IN CONSTRUCTION
CONTRACTING(U) NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA
M E MAYNARD DEC 86


1/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 14/1

ML





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963 - A

AD-A177 473

2

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



DTIC
ELECTE
MAR 1 1 1987
S D

THESIS

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER LIABILITY
IN CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTING

by

Mark Ernest Maynard

December 1986

Thesis Advisor:

James M. Fremgen

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

UIC FILE COPY

87 3

10 010

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED
TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited		
2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Naval Postgraduate School		
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Postgraduate School		6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 36		7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Monterey, California 93943-5000	
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Monterey, California 93943-5000		8a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	
8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO		PROJECT NO	
		TASK NO		WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO	
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Architect/Engineer Liability in Construction Contracting					
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) MAYNARD, Mark E.					
13a TYPE OF REPORT Master's Thesis		13b TIME COVERED FROM TO		14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1986 December	
15 PAGE COUNT 164					
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17 COSATI CODES			18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Architect, Engineer, Liability, Construction, Contracting		
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) This thesis investigates possible relationships between the amount of Architect/Engineer firm (A/E) liability and A/E costs and/or construction costs. A/E's provide plans and specifications for Government construction projects. Any changes which occur during the course of construction can lead to A/E liability when the corrective work includes unproductive costs. The data for this thesis includes the A/E design costs, construction costs, and the amount of A/E liability for each project. The projects were classified as not complex, complex, and very complex based on the construction characteristics of each project. Regression analysis was used in the search for a predictive mathematical equation. The best equation explained only 29.0% of the variation in A/E liability. Consequently, this study did not establish any significant relationship between the amount of A/E liability and A/E costs and/or construction costs. The background research did indicate that the A/E should have a much greater involvement in a project during construction. It is recommended that the project A/E be required to make site visits at least weekly.					
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS			21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL James M. Fremgen			22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (408) 646-2044		22c OFFICE SYMBOL 54Fm

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

Architect/Engineer Liability in Construction Contracting

by

Mark Ernest Maynard
Lieutenant, Civil Engineer Corps, United States Navy
B.S.C.E., University of Washington, 1978


Submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MANAGEMENT


from the


NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
December 1986


Author:



Mark E. Maynard

Approved by:


James M. Fremgen, Thesis Advisor


James R. Duke, Second Reader


Willis R. Greer, Jr., Chairman, Department
of Administrative Sciences


Kneale T. Marshall, Dean of Information
and Policy Science

ABSTRACT

This thesis investigates possible relationships between the amount of Architect/Engineer firm (A/E) liability and A/E costs and/or construction costs. A/E's provide plans and specifications for Government construction projects. Any changes which occur during the course of construction can lead to A/E liability when the corrective work includes unproductive costs. The data for this thesis includes the A/E design costs, construction costs, and the amount of A/E liability for each project. The projects were classified as not complex, complex, and very complex based on the construction characteristics of each project. Regression analysis was used in the search for a predictive mathematical equation. The best equation explained only 29.0% of the variation in A/E liability. Consequently, this study did not establish any significant relationship between the amount of A/E liability and A/E costs and/or construction costs. The background research did indicate that the A/E should have a much greater involvement in a project during construction. It is recommended that the project A/E be required to make site visits at least weekly.



Availability Codes	
DLA	Available for Access
141	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION TO ARCHITECT/ENGINEER LIABILITY.....	5
II. WHAT IS ARCHITECT/ENGINEER LIABILITY?.....	7
III. METHOD OF RESEARCH.....	17
IV. ANALYSIS.....	23
V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	51
APPENDIX A COMPILED RESEARCH DATA.....	54
APPENDIX B NEW CONSTRUCTION INDEX.....	60
APPENDIX C REGRESSION ON NOT COMPLEX PROJECTS.....	61
APPENDIX D REGRESSION ON COMPLEX PROJECTS.....	90
APPENDIX E REGRESSION ON VERY COMPLEX PROJECTS.....	112
APPENDIX F REGRESSION ON ALL PROJECTS.....	131
APPENDIX G CHART OF COMPLEXITY.....	157
LIST OF REFERENCES.....	158
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	160
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST.....	162

I. INTRODUCTION TO ARCHITECT/ENGINEER LIABILITY

A. INTRODUCTION

The Government has tasked the military construction project manager with the duty of documenting design deficiencies and attempting to recover the additional costs incurred as a result of the design deficiency. Errors or omissions in the design lead to additional costs to the Government in the form of change orders to the construction contract. When these design deficiencies result from negligence on the part of the designer, the Architect/Engineer firm (A/E) that designed the project for the Government may be liable for these additional costs. Historically, there has been little or no success in recovery of these costs from the A/E.

This study was done with the underlying purpose of finding ways of improving the recovery of these A/E liability costs and minimizing the number of design errors. If a manager could predict the incidence and amount of A/E liability on future contracts, then the manager could place more emphasis on minimizing the damages to all parties. As the Government successfully pursues A/E liability, the A/Es will improve their design work and eliminate many of the design deficiencies. Fewer

deficiencies mean less management effort in handling construction change orders; in other words, a "win-win" situation. Data from over one hundred construction projects from 1976 to 1984 were evaluated and analyzed through regression analysis. No usable mathematical equation was found to predict the amount of A/E liability on future projects. However, the results indicated a higher chance of A/E liability occurrence in very complex projects.

The background of A/E liability and its evolution are contained in Chapter II. The origins of the data for this thesis and the method of research are presented in Chapter III. The steps used in the search for a mathematical model predicting the amount of A/E liability are described in Chapter IV. Finally, the conclusions and recommendations are contained in Chapter V.

II. WHAT IS ARCHITECT/ENGINEER LIABILITY?

A. INTRODUCTION

A/Es provide plans and specifications for construction projects. These plans and specifications are then advertized and awarded to a construction contractor. During the course of construction, changes to the plans and specifications involving design errors or omissions can lead to A/E liability. The corrective work to the design problem may involve paying the construction contractor for unproductive costs. (Unproductive costs are those costs which add no value to the construction project.) The costs may include rework, impact, or extended overhead costs. Rework takes place when the correction to the design requires work-in-place to be removed and then replaced in a different configuration. The construction contractor receives additional compensation for this rework, but no additional value is added to the project. Impact costs relate to additional moves within a job, set-backs in the learning curve of the craftsmen who have been pulled off a job while awaiting the design change, come-backs or return trips by specialty trades, and crew size inefficiency. A design change during construction can affect the efficiency of the construction contractor's

scheduling. The resulting impact costs add to the project's cost, but do not add value. Extended overhead costs of the construction contractor include the overhead costs (field office, equipment, personnel, etc.) of staying mobilized longer than originally planned. The Critical Path Method (CPM) of scheduling is typically used on construction contracts. The CPM can prove that a corrective design extended the critical path and caused the construction contractor to stay mobilized longer than planned. The A/E is justly responsible for these unproductive costs.

B. PRIVITY OF CONTRACT

An owner of property contracts with an A/E for design services and then contracts with a construction contractor to complete the work identified on the plans and specifications. There typically has been no contractual arrangement between the construction contractor and the A/E. Parties to a contract have a legal right to sue each other for nonperformance if necessary. This right is a consequence of privity of contract, which means that there is a direct and immediate legal relationship between the parties to a contract. The construction contractor could not sue the A/E for damages resulting from an improper set of plans and specifications, because there was no legal contract between the A/E and the construction contractor. By the late 1950's the courts began to reevaluate this premise.

The Federal Courts in a California district ruled on a case in 1958. The construction contractor placed defective concrete in a Government building project, and the concrete subsequently had to be removed and replaced. The Government contracted with the A/E for the design of the building and supervision during construction. The construction contractor sued the A/E for the rework costs that resulted because of negligent supervision. The A/E's lawyers argued the case should be dismissed because their client did not have a contract with the construction contractor. The court held that the rule requiring privity in this type of case was no longer valid. The court concluded that an A/E negligent in performance may be held liable to the construction contractor or any third party. The courts reasoning was that:

Considerations of reason and policy impel the conclusion that the position and authority of a supervising architect are such that he ought to labor under a duty to the prime contractor to supervise the project with due care under the circumstances, even though his sole contractual relationship is with the owner, here the United States. Altogether too much control over the contractor necessarily rests in the hands of the supervising architect for him not to be placed under a duty imposed by law to perform without negligence his functions as they affect the contractor. The power of the architect to stop the work done is tantamount to a power of economic life or death over the contractor. It is only just that such authority, exercised in such a relationship, carry commensurate legal responsibility.[Ref. 1, p. 6]

This ruling implied that the A/E could be exposed to potential liability from any professional contacts, whether a contractual relationship existed or not.

The key to the above case, as well as the many that have followed, is that privity of contract no longer can be used as a legal defense by A/Es against construction contractors. Where the A/E actions or inactions lead a construction contractor down a path where the contractor suffers economic damage, and it can be shown that the A/E was negligent, the A/E is liable to the construction contractor for the economic damages. Negligence by the A/E leads to A/E liability. "One is not 'negligent' unless he fails to exercise that degree of reasonable care that would be exercised by a person of ordinary prudence under all the existing circumstances in view of probable danger of injury" [Ref. 2] A/Es can only be found negligent if they fail to exercise ordinary skill in their involvement in a project.

C. ORDINARY SKILL

A/Es are expected to use ordinary skill and care in all aspects of their involvement in a construction project. There are numerous types of deficiencies that may occur if normal or ordinary skill is not exercised.

They include

defects and deficiencies in the preparation of the plans and specifications; the failure to properly supervise the project; the unreasonable delay by the architect in preparation of revised plans and specifications; unreasonable delay in the issuance of change orders; failure to properly check or approve submittals, such as shop drawings; and the improper directives that an architect may give to anyone working on the project.[Ref. 1, p. 8]

Along with these items, risk factors exist concerning the A/E liabilities for:

- a) Failure to observe traditional responsibilities to employ reasonable judgement, diligence and care.
- b) Failure to deploy adequate numbers of personnel to perform work on a timely basis.
- c) Failure to take "all measures" in counseling a client about his options, or to bring about full analysis of all risks among project participants.
- d) Failure to, provide all legal, code, regulatory, safety, equal employment opportunity or QA/QC requirements in design.
- e) Failure to warn or properly evaluate an owner's potential risk in accepting an innovation in concept or new material.
- f) Failure to provide adequate contract conditions for guidance of suppliers and contractors to define interface responsibilities noting proper accountability for same.[Ref. 3, p. 7, Vol. 1]

In any of the above events the A/E's responsibilities are "to exercise the 'ordinary, reasonable technical skill, ability and competence' that would be expected of a qualified professional in a similar situation" [Ref. 1, p. 7].

The failure to use due care while involved in a construction project does not automatically cause A/E liability. If a design error existed in a project, and the A/E corrected the error in such a way as to prevent damage to the construction contractor, there would be no liability. Even if the arrangement between the owner and the A/E specifies the highest standards of the profession, "the architect will only be held to the normal standard of the profession in actions brought by third parties for negligence" [Ref. 1, p. 46].

The architect's liability is tied directly to the obligations assumed under his contract with the owner. To the extent that the architect contracts to assume broader responsibility in connection with the administration of the construction project, he must be prepared to discharge that responsibility with the same care, skill, knowledge and dispatch that a court will find an ordinary member of his profession would exercise in the performance of such obligations. His failure to do so will subject him to either (1) derivative liability to contractors in suits by owners for indemnification for delay damages being sought by the contractor by virtue of the architect's breach of his contract with the owner; or (2) direct liability to the contractor for delay damages resulting from his failure to perform with due care the obligations undertaken. [Ref. 1, p. 49]

Negligence for A/Es is tied directly to their obligations and to their skill and care in performing those obligations. A good definition of negligence is as follows:

A person is negligent when he fails to exercise ordinary care. Ordinary care is the degree of care which the great mass of mankind ordinarily exercises under the same or similar circumstances. A person fails to exercise ordinary care when, without intending to do any wrong, he does an act or omits a precaution under circumstances in which a person of ordinary intelligence and prudence ought reasonably to foresee that such act or omission will subject him or his property, or the person or property of another to an unreasonable risk or damage [Ref. 4, p. 31]

The A/Es are at risk in any contract with an owner for services pertaining to a construction project. If the construction contractor is economically damaged because the A/E failed to use ordinary skills, the A/E can be held liable to the construction contractor for those damages. What happens in this situation when the owner is the United States Government?

D. THE GOVERNMENT AS THE OWNER

For most Government construction projects an A/E provides the design. This usually includes the plans and specifications, as well as follow-on services of construction site visits, design error or omission corrections, and submittal review. Submittals are product descriptions of the equipment the construction contractor intends to install. These submittals are sent to the A/E for review to insure that the equipment complies with the contract specifications.

The Congress of the United States authorizes and appropriates the money for Military Construction projects. Organizations such as Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (WESTDIV) will then contract with an A/E firm for design services. The completed plans and specifications are then advertized and awarded, in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulations, to the low responsive responsible bidder for construction. The owner's representative in the field is the Resident Officer in Charge of Construction (ROICC), who has day to day inspection responsibilities. The ROICC submits routine correspondence, submittals, and requests for information from the construction contractor to the A/E as well as to WESTDIV. It is the ROICC's responsibility to take steps necessary to insure a timely response for this information.

The request for information and correspondence often points out design deficiencies (errors or omissions) in the plans and specifications. The correction of these deficiencies usually causes economic damage to the construction contractor. The Government then must pay the construction contractor for the amount of the damages incurred. In the past the Government rarely pursued remuneration for these damages caused by the A/E. The Government just did not hold the A/E liable for their actions.

On July 14, 1977, the Comptroller General of the United States, in a report to Congress, advised the Congress that the agencies of the Federal Government have been required to pay to construction contractors large amounts of money because of negligent errors and/or omissions in the plans and specifications prepared by A/Es engaged by the Government. The report states that the agencies have not made a serious effort to document the design deficiencies (to describe the deficiency and the extent and the character of the A/E's involvement and responsibility) although required to do so by regulations and procedures established for such agencies. Secondly, that the agencies were not attempting to recover from the A/E the additional cost incurred by the Government as a result of these design deficiencies. Finally, the agencies have not been evaluating the A/E's performance properly and have not coordinated the information regarding the A/E's performance and have not disseminated the information to the other agencies employing A/E's. [Ref. 5, p. 1]

The above GAO report has lead to several changes in the way the Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) does business. The NAVFAC Contracting Manual contains the following discussion:

- a. For the purpose of these criteria, a design deficiency is any deficiency in the designs, drawings and specifications that may result in the Government incurring damages. A-E liability for a design deficiency may

result from either an A-E's negligent failure to meet the standard of care reasonably associated with the A-E profession or its breach of a contractual duty of skill and care in performing design services. The determination of A-E liability requires answering affirmatively the following questions:

- (1) Is the construction modification attributable to a design deficiency?
- (2) Does the design deficiency stem from an error or omission by the A-E?
- (3) Does the design deficiency by the A-E result from the A-E's negligent failure to meet the standard of care reasonably associated with the A-E profession or from a breach of contractual duty?
- (4) Has the Government suffered damage as a result of the design deficiency?

b. Irrespective of whether A-E liability is pursued under a tort or a contractual theory, the professional standard of care to be applied is the same standard used in cases involving alleged malpractice of doctors, lawyers and other professionals who sell their services for compensation. This standard essentially requires an A-E to exercise such reasonable care, skill and diligence as one in that profession would ordinarily exercise under similar circumstances. Failure to exercise normal skill and competence is usually negligence. However, consideration should be given to representations of special skills and abilities made by the A-E and relied upon by the Navy in the selection process. If negligence is believed to be involved, documentation should clearly state so. An A-E's performance must be negligent in order for the Government to collect for damages.[Ref. 6, p. J-2]

Once again, the A/E failure to exercise ordinary skill and competence leads to negligence. The economic damage to the Government from a design deficiency must be evaluated. The construction contractor is entitled to all valid costs incurred as a result of a change order to the plans and

specifications. The Government is responsible for paying those costs "for additional work which would have increased the original bid had the additional work been reflected in the original contract documents" [Ref. 5, p. P2]. The cost for this additional work is considered value added. However, unproductive costs associated with the additional work (for which the construction contractor is also entitled to compensation) are considered in the A/E liability category. The unproductive A/E liability costs are generally limited to the following:

- (a) Tear out and replacement costs;
- (b) Restocking and rehandling of materials delivered but no longer required;
- (c) Delay costs (extended overhead and impact costs);
- (d) Government investigation and design costs;
- (e) A/E field visits costs;
- (f) Input costs on unitemized work. [Ref. 5, p.2]

Normally the A/E will not pay any of these costs at the time of the change. This means that the Government must pay the construction contractor for not only the value added portion of the change but also all of the costs associated with A/E liability. The Government must then pursue remuneration for the A/E liability.

III. METHOD OF RESEARCH

A. INTRODUCTION

Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command provided the data source for this study. WESTDIV's primary mission includes the design, construction and maintenance of public works, public utilities, special facilities, public housing and vehicle management. WESTDIV, located in San Bruno, California, provides support to United States Navy activities and some other Federal Agency activities in the western part of the United States and Alaska. An extensive information data base provides documentation of all WESTDIV awarded A/E contracts and construction contracts. From this data base the following information was obtained for each project: the A/E contract number; the A/E's name; the design costs, the Construction Contract Support Service costs; the amount of A/E liability, if any; the settlement amount; the construction contract number, the contractor's name; the award amount; the construction modification amount; and the type of facility. These data were used to study relationships leading to an A/E liability prediction model. Assuming a significant relationship existed, the incidence and amount of A/E liability

could be predicted. Management could use this information to predict the incidence of A/E liability. Management would then be more alert for opportunities to minimize design differences.

B. THE SAMPLE

The primary data source came from the "A-E liability processing status log" (the log) of 1 July 1986. The log was composed of about 110 projects, from 1976 to 1984, which contained at least one design coded change order. A project with a construction contract change order resulting from a design error or omission is considered a design coded change. The log included the A/E contract number and the Construction Contract number, the title and location of the project, the A/E Responsibility Board meeting action date, the date a letter was sent to the A/E requesting settlement, the amount of potential liability, the status of processing, and the settlement received. The A/E Responsibility Board (the board) is composed of members from the design, construction, and contracts departments, a legal counsel, and a recorder, and is chaired by the head of the acquisition department. The board "prepares final determination to pursue or not pursue A-E liability..." [Ref. 7, p. 5].

The A/E and construction contract numbers from the log were used to create two more files from the data base. The first file, the A/E costs,

contained the total A/E costs associated with each project. These costs included the initial award amount, any modifications to the contract during project design, and Construction Contract Support Services (CCSS). CCSS is for follow-on services such as construction site visits, submittal reviews, etc. The second file, the construction contractor costs, provided the total cost paid to the construction contractor for each project. The costs included the initial award amount plus any modifications to the contract.

The three sources of data were then compiled for a total usable data base of 91 projects. For a few projects the contract numbers on the Log were not listed correctly. This led to no data or erroneous data on the A/E cost or the construction contract cost files. There were also a few inadvertent omissions or errors in entering the contract number into the data base to obtain the cost files. Only those projects which had the data from all three sources were used.

C. LIABILITY

When an apparent design deficiency occurs during the construction of a project, the ROICC is required to submit a Determination and Findings (D&F) to WESTDIV. "A D&F is a written statement of relevant facts, determinations, findings, and recommendations pertinent to change orders involving potential A-E liability" [Ref. 8, p. 3]. This D&F is reviewed by

several people within WESTDIV. These include the Engineer in Charge of the project, the head of the Design Department, the head of the Construction Department, and the A/E Responsibility Board. All of the above people make recommendations to pursue or not pursue A/E liability. In fact, most of the D&Fs do not lead to A/E liability. Where the head of the Construction Department and head of Design Department agree not to pursue A/E liability, the D&F is not forwarded to the board.

This study included only those projects where a D&F was forwarded to the board. If the board recommended no liability, then compiled research data (Appendix A) show no liability for the particular project. When the board recommended pursuing liability, the estimated potential liability amount is shown in Appendix A. The board's determination on A/E liability was considered the most appropriate place to first consider a project as containing A/E liability. When the board recommends pursuing A/E liability, a letter so stating is forwarded to the A/E. During this study, efforts were taken to prove a relationship existed between the incident of A/E liability and job complexity.

D. JOB COMPLEXITY

The job complexity was broken into three category codes, not complex (NC), complex (C), and very complex (VC). These codes were assigned on the

basis of the following list of construction project characteristics:

Number	Weight	Characteristic
1.	1	Additions to existing structures, mechanical systems, electrical systems, etc.
2	1	Multi-story construction
3.	2	Very specialized construction (e.g., missile magazines)
4.	1	Very hazardous construction
5.	1	Special security requirements
6	1	Exceptional unforeseen conditions

The project was considered not complex if from zero to one of the above characteristics was present, complex if two to three were present, and very complex if four or more were present. Equal weight was given to all of the characteristics, with the exception of the very specialized construction, which was given a double weight. The nature of specialized construction alone was considered enough to increase the complexity of a construction project at least into the complex range. The complexity codes were assigned to the 91 projects studied in an objective manner based on the presence or absence of the six construction project characteristics. The complexity codes were then used to establish the analysis groups for the data collected. [Ref. 9, p. B-IX]

E. ANALYSIS

The three complexity codes (not complex, complex and very complex) determined in which group each contract was to be analyzed. For example, in the not complex group two different regression models were set up. First, the amount of liability was regressed against the A/E costs and then the amount of liability was regressed against the construction costs. These regressions were done for all three complexity codes to determine existing correlation patterns. The regression analysis was then performed on the combined data from the three complexity groups. The actual analysis procedures and results are described in the next chapter.

IV. ANALYSIS

A. INTRODUCTION

The primary goal of analyzing the 91 projects in Appendix A was to establish a relationship to predict the amount of A/E liability on future contracts. Regression was used to show the relationship. "Regression is a technique of quantifying relationships between variables" [Ref. 10, p. 1 Chap.2]. The regression analysis was done with a Minitab computer software program on the Naval Postgraduate School's IBM 3033 computer. First the data from Appendix A were compiled into three groups - not complex, complex, and very complex projects. Then, in each group, A/E liability (column #8 in Appendix A) was regressed against the A/E costs (column #4 in Appendix A), against construction costs (column #6 in Appendix A), and finally against both A/E costs and construction costs in a multiple regression analysis. Two explanatory variables, A/E costs and construction costs, were used because they could be reliably measured. The explanatory variables are used in an equation to predict the dependent variable, A/E liability in this case.

For each project, the award amount for the design contract and all contract modification costs are included in the A/E costs column (column #3 in Appendix A). These costs are known with certainty at the time of award of the construction contract. The project design is complete and all of the costs for the design have been paid. Although the construction contract support services (CCSS) costs are negotiated with the A/E at about the same time as the construction contract award, they may be amended during the course of the construction. The historical data for the 91 projects studied included the CCSS costs. At the time of award of a new construction contract, the CCSS costs would not be known with certainty. Therefore, the CCSS costs could skew the results of a prediction model. The CCSS costs of the 91 projects were removed from the A/E design costs and not included in this study (the data in columns #3 and #4 in Appendix A did not include CCSS costs).

The construction costs (columns #5 and #6 in Appendix A) contain only the amount originally awarded for the contract. This figure is known with certainty at the time of award. Although the construction modification costs were known for the 91 projects studied, only an estimate could be used for a new project. The uncertainty of the modification costs could skew the results of a prediction model. Therefore, the modification costs

of the 91 projects were deducted from the construction costs and not included in this study.

Prior to running any analysis, the data were adjusted for the effects of inflation. Inflation can cause contamination of the data and skew or invalidate the regression model. "The data should be expressed in constant dollars to avoid contamination by changes in the price levels over time" [Ref. 10, p. 31, Chap. 2]. The inflation adjustment is made by using the New Construction Index which is obtained by studying the variations in costs from year to year of similar construction projects. The differences in costs are due to inflation or deflation. A base year is arbitrarily picked and set equal to 100. Dividing 100 by the index number for another year provides a correction factor for that year. When multiplied by the correction factor, the construction costs for that year can then be compared to the base year without effects of inflation. The New Construction Index used 1977 as the base year [Ref. 11 and 12]. The index and the correction factor were displayed in Appendix B for the years 1975 through 1984. The data in Appendix A were adjusted to 1977 constant dollars. Columns #4, 6, 8, and 10 of Appendix A contain the inflation adjusted costs - #4, adjusted A/E costs; #6, adjusted construction costs; #8, adjusted A/E liability; and #10, adjusted amount of liability settlement. The years of the contracts

for the design and construction of the project are listed in column #1 in Appendix A. When the year for the design and the construction is the same, only one year is listed.

As stated above, the explanatory variables are known with certainty, not only for the the 91 projects studied but also for any future project at the time of construction contract award. Now all that is needed is a mathematical equation to predict the amount of A/E liability on future contracts by reference to the values of the known variables.

B. REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF NOT COMPLEX PROJECTS

There were 34 projects in the not complex (NC) category. Appendix C contains the data and all of the regression analysis results. The A/E and construction cost figures in Appendix A were recorded to the nearest thousand dollar level, so after the data from the 34 NC projects were entered into the computer the A/E costs and the construction costs were both multiplied by 1000. There are five columns of data in Appendix C. They are C1, a numerical listing of the projects; C2, the A/E costs in thousands; C3, construction costs in thousands; C4, A/E liability; C5, the A/E costs; and C6, the construction costs. The first regression of A/E liability (C4) against the A/E costs (C5) was performed. The equation for each regression is provided in the Appendix. In this case the equation is: A/E liability

(C4) = 21366 + 0.0257 x A/E costs(C5). This equation has a coefficient of determination (R^2) of only 4.9%. This means that 4.9% of the sample variation in A/E liability, the dependent variable, can be explained by the change in A/E costs, the independent variable. [Ref. 10, p. 13, Chap. 2] An R^2 of 4.9% means the regression equation is a very poor predictor.

From here on only the R^2 value will be discussed; the equations will not be included in the body of this paper unless the regression equation is significant, as determined by R^2 and other factors. Appendix C contains all of the equations. A histogram of A/E liability costs (C4) was plotted to determine if a normal distribution of data existed. (A histogram is a graph of a frequency distribution in the form of a series of rectangles, each proportional in width to the range of values within a class and proportional in height to the number of items falling in the class.) The midpoint of the range and the count of the number of items falling in each range, along with a graphical presentation of the distribution, are included in Appendix C. Regression models are based on "...the assumption that the values of the dependent variable are normally distributed" [Ref. 10, p. 3, Chap. 4]. The data for the dependent variable, A/E liability costs (C4), were not normally distributed. The data can be transformed in several ways, however, to obtain a more normal distribution. The logarithmic, square root and

reciprocal functions were the only ones used during this study. For instance, the square root of each dependent data point of A/E liability (C4) was taken and then plotted on a histogram. The square root of A/E liability (C10) was the new variable. The histogram of the square root of A/E liability (C10) was more normally distributed than the histogram of A/E liability (C4). The square root of A/E liability (C10) was then regressed against A/E costs (C5) for an R^2 of 6.6%. R^2 values of less than 30% for the regression model indicate a very poor predictor. Therefore, other regressions were tried.

The square root of A/E liability (C10) was then regressed against the construction costs (C6) to produce an R^2 of 0.5%. The A/E liability (C4) was then regressed against the construction costs (C6) to see if a better model could be obtained without the transformation. R^2 was 1.7%. The dependent variable may also be transformed. First the histograms of A/E costs (C5) and construction costs (C6) were plotted to determine that the data were not normally distributed for either. Three transformations of A/E costs (C5) were then tried (the reciprocal, the logarithm, and the square root) and the associated histograms were plotted. The square root of A/E costs (C13) produced the most normal distribution. Three transformations of the construction costs (C6) were tried (the reciprocal, the logarithm,

and the square root) and the associated histograms were plotted. The square root of construction costs (C17) produced the most normal distribution. The square root of A/E liability (C10) was regressed against the square root of A/E costs (C13) for an R^2 of 5.0%. The square root of A/E liability (C10) was regressed against the square root of construction costs (C17) for an R^2 of 5.9%.

Next, a multiple regression was performed. The square root of A/E liability (C10) was regressed against both the square root of A/E costs (C13) and the square root of construction costs (C17), producing an R^2 of 6.8%. Not only was the R^2 value very low, but the t-ratio values of 0.54 for the square root of A/E costs (C13) and 0.77 for the square root of construction costs (C17) were also too low. The t-ratio provides a test for the statistical significance of the regression line. "A high t-value indicates that the independent variable is important in explaining the value of Y. Generally, t-values of greater than two are desired..."[Ref. 10, p. 17, Chap. 1]

At this point in the analysis no significant relationship had been found, so a plot of the A/E liability (C4) against the A/E costs (C5) and a plot of A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6) were reviewed for outliers. Data points which are far beyond the main body of data are considered outliers, outliers can skew the regression analysis and should be eliminated

During the regression analysis the computer program notes unusual observations. These unusual observations in this study included data points, by number, with a large influence on the regression equation. Outlier data points #9, 14 and 25 are circled in Appendix C on the plot of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5). Outlier data point #8 is circled in Appendix C on the plot of A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6). These four data points were all outside the main body of the data because of extremely high construction costs or A/E design costs relative to the other NC data points. These four data points were then deleted from the NC data. The NC data were then printed showing only 30 rows of data elements. Note that column C1 contains the original numerical listing for the project. The same process was used to evaluate the revised NC data. First the square root of A/E liability (C20) transform was calculated and its histogram was plotted. The regression of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) produced an R^2 of 10.9% and the regression of the square root of A/E liability (C20) against A/E costs (C5) resulted in an R^2 of 12.1%. Next, the A/E liability (C4) was regressed against the construction costs (C6) and generated an R^2 of 26.2%. The regression of the square root of A/E liability (C20) against the construction costs (C6) produced an R^2 of 21.6%. These values of R^2 are much better than what was obtained before deleting the outliers. However,

the values are still very low. Some additional steps were taken to try for improved R^2 values.

The square root of A/E costs (C21) was calculated and the histograms for A/E costs (C5) and the square root of A/E costs (C21) were plotted, and they show that the square root of A/E costs (C21) is more normally distributed. Regressing the square root of A/E liability (C20) against the square root of A/E costs (C21) resulted in an R^2 of only 3.8%. The square root of construction costs (C22) was calculated and the histograms for construction costs (C6) and the square root of construction costs (C22) were plotted, indicating that the square root of construction costs (C22) is more normally distributed. The square root of A/E liability (C20) was then regressed against the square root of construction costs (C22), producing an R^2 of 20.9%. Once again the plots of A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6) and A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) were reviewed for outliers. Outlier data points #5, 16 and 20 were circled on the plots and then deleted from the NC data.

After the revised data were printed, the square root of A/E liability (C30) was calculated and its histogram plotted. The regression of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) resulted in an R^2 of 29.0%, while the regression of the square root of A/E liability (C30) against A/E costs (C5)

produced an R^2 of 26.9%. The square root of A/E costs (C31) and its histogram were calculated and plotted. The regression of the square root of A/E liability (C30) against the square root of A/E costs (C31) generated an R^2 of 19.0%. An R^2 value of 28.5% resulted from the regression of A/E liability (C4) against the construction costs (C6). The histogram of the construction costs (C6) was plotted and compared to the following transformations: the square root of construction costs (C32) and the logarithm of construction costs (C33). None of these histograms was very normally distributed; however, the logarithm of construction costs (C33) was the closest. The A/E liability (C4) was regressed against the logarithm of construction costs (C33), resulting in an R^2 of 16.1%. The regression of the square root of A/E liability (C30) against the logarithm of construction costs (C33) produced an R^2 of 16.3%. The multiple regression of A/E liability (C4) against both A/E costs (C5) and construction costs (C6) produced an R^2 of 32.9%. However, the t-ratios for both independent variables were too low and the equation should not be used. Another multiple regression was tried - A/E liability (C4) against both the square root of A/E costs (C31) and the square root of construction costs (C32). This produced an R^2 of 24.4%. The regression of A/E liability (C4) against the square root of construction costs (C32) resulted in an R^2 of 22.1%.

while the regression of the square root of A/E costs (C30) against the square root of construction costs (C32) produced an R^2 of 17.6%.

From this point in the analysis the plots of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) and A/E liability against (C4) construction costs (C6) were again reviewed. Two more outliers were deleted from the data and the regression analysis was started over again. The resulting regressions, using both nontransformed and transformed data, generated R^2 values of less than 15%, less than those produced before deleting the last two outliers. One more data point was deleted in an effort to improve the regression equations. Once again, the resulting R^2 values were very low. No more data points were then deleted. The regression equations were not improving with the deletion of more data and the data base was getting too small to be representative of the initial data.

After deleting seven data elements the best result was an R^2 of 29.0%. The regression equation is: A/E liability (C4) = $-4741 + 0.0898 \times \text{A/E costs (C5)}$. The t-ratio for the independent variable was 3.19. This means that 29.0% of the variation in A/E liability can be explained by the change in A/E costs. Even though this was the best relationship for A/E liability, the regression equation does not provide enough of a relationship to be useful in predicting A/E liability.

C. REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF COMPLEX PROJECTS

There were 46 projects in the complex category from the data in Appendix A. Appendix D contains the data and all of the regression analysis. The A/E costs and the construction costs were each multiplied by one thousand so that all of the data were in the same units. The five columns in Appendix D contain the same types of data as the five columns in Appendix C. The first two regressions run were A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5), producing an R^2 of 0.0%, and A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6), resulting in an R^2 of 1.0%. The histogram of A/E liability (C4) was plotted and then compared to the histogram of the transformation of the square root of A/E liability (C10). The square root of A/E liability (C10) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), resulting in an R^2 of 0.4%. Then the square root of A/E liability (C10) was regressed against construction costs (C6), producing an R^2 of 0.8%. The histograms of A/E costs (C5) and construction costs (C6) were then plotted and compared to several transforms. The reciprocal, logarithm, and square root transforms were tried on the A/E costs (C5); the resulting histograms indicated that the logarithm of A/E costs (12) was the most normal. The logarithm and the square root transforms were then tried on the construction costs (C6); the respective histograms indicated that the square root of construction costs

(C15) was the most normal. A/E liability (C4) was then regressed against the logarithm of A/E costs (C12), producing an R^2 of 2.9%. The square root of A/E liability (C10) was regressed against the logarithm of A/E costs (C12), resulting in an R^2 of 5.8%. Two more regressions were then performed. The first was A/E liability (C4) against the square root of construction costs (C15), resulting in an R^2 of 1.9%. The second was the square root of A/E liability (C10) against the square root of construction costs (C15), producing an R^2 of 2.6%. A multiple regression of the square root of A/E liability (C10) against the logarithm of A/E costs (C12) and the square root of construction costs (C15) generated an R^2 of 5.8%. None of the R^2 values was significant, so the plots of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) and A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6) were reviewed for outliers. Data elements * 4, 38 and 40 are outliers and have been circled on the plots in Appendix D.

Those three data elements were then deleted from the set of complex data, and the revised data were printed in Appendix D. The A/E liability (C4) was transformed into the square root of A/E liability (C20) and both histograms were plotted. The square root of A/E liability (C20) was more normally distributed. Four regressions were then run to check for a good model. The A/E liability (C4) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), the

square root of A/E liability (C20) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), A/E liability (C4) was regressed against construction costs (C6), and the square root of A/E liability (C20) was regressed against construction costs (C6). These four regressions generated respective R^2 values of 5.4%, 6.2%, 0.9%, and 0.7%. The A/E costs (C5) were then transformed into the square root of A/E costs (C21) and the logarithm of A/E costs (C22). The histograms of all three were plotted, and indicated that the square root of A/E costs (C21) was more normal. The construction costs (C6) were then transformed into the square root of construction costs (C23), and the histogram indicated this was a normal distribution. The following five regressions were then run: A/E liability (C4) against the square root of A/E costs (C21), the square root of A/E liability (C20) against the square root of A/E costs (C21), A/E liability (C4) against the square root of construction costs (C23), the square root of A/E liability (C20) against the square root of construction costs (C23), and finally, the multiple regression of the square root of A/E liability (C20) against both the square root of A/E costs (C21) and the square root of construction costs (C23). The respective R^2 values of these five regressions were 7.2%, 8.5%, 3.0%, 3.3%, and 8.5%. None of the R^2 values was significant, so the plots of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) and A/E liability (C4) against construction costs

(C6) were reviewed for outliers. Data elements # 4, 11 and 33 are outliers and have been circled on the plots in Appendix D.

The three outliers were then deleted from the set of complex data, and the revised data were printed in Appendix D. The A/E liability (C4) was transformed into the square root of A/E liability (C30), and both histograms were plotted. The square root of A/E liability (C30) was more normally distributed. Four regressions were then run to check for a good model. The A/E liability (C4) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), the square root of A/E liability (C30) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), A/E liability (C4) was regressed against construction costs (C6), and the square root of A/E liability (C30) was regressed against construction costs (C6). These regressions produced R^2 values of 1.6%, 3.6%, 8.4%, and 7.3%, respectively.

The A/E costs (C5) were then transformed into the square root of A/E costs (C31) and both histograms were plotted, indicating that the square root of A/E costs (C31) was a little more normally distributed. The construction costs (C6) were transformed into the square root of construction costs (C32) and the logarithm of construction costs (C33). The three associated histograms were plotted, indicating that the construction costs (C6) were the most normal. Regressions against the square root of construction costs (C32) and the logarithm of construction

costs (C33) were not run because the construction costs (C6) was more normally distributed. The following three regressions were run: A/E liability (C4) against the square root of A/E costs (C31), the square root of A/E liability (C30) against the square root of A/E costs (C31), and the multiple regression of the square root of A/E liability (C30) against both the square root of A/E costs (C31) and the construction costs (C6). These tests resulted in respective R^2 values of 4.2%, 6.9%, and 8.8%. The R^2 value of 8.8% was disregarded because of the very low t-ratio values of 0.79 for the square root of A/E costs (C31) and 0.90 for the construction costs (C6). None of the R^2 values was significant, so the plots of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) and A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6) were reviewed for outliers. However, no more data points were deleted. The regression equations were not improving with the deletion of more data, and the data base was becoming too small to be representative of the initial data.

The regression of the square root of A/E liability (C20) against the square root of A/E costs (C21), after deleting three data elements, produced the best R^2 value of 8.5%. The regression equation is: the square root of A/E liability (C20) = $45.5 + 0.119 \times$ the square root of A/E costs (C21). The t-ratio for the independent variable was 1.95. This means that

8.5% of the sample variation in the square root of A/E liability can be explained by the change in the square root of A/E costs. Even though this was the best relationship for A/E liability, the regression equation does not provide enough of a relationship to be useful in predicting A/E liability.

D. REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF VERY COMPLEX PROJECTS

There were 11 projects in the very complex category from the data in Appendix A. Appendix E contains the data and all of the regression analyses. The A/E costs and the construction costs were each multiplied by one thousand, so all of the data were in the same units. The five columns in Appendix E contain the same types of data as the five columns in Appendices C and D. The first two regressions run were A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5), with an R^2 of 3.5%, and A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6), resulting in an R^2 of 0.0%. The histogram of A/E liability (C4) was plotted and then compared to the histogram of the transformation of the square root of A/E liability (C10). The square root of A/E liability (C10) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), resulting in an R^2 of 5.4%. Then the square root of A/E liability (C10) was regressed against construction costs (C6), producing an R^2 of 0.5%. The histograms of A/E costs (C5) and construction costs (C6) were then plotted and compared to several transforms. The square root, logarithm, and reciprocal transforms

were tried on the A/E costs (C5); the resulting histograms indicated the square root of A/E costs (C11) was the most normal. The square root and the logarithm transforms were tried on the construction costs (C6); the respective histograms indicated that the logarithm of construction costs (C15) was the most normal. A/E liability (C4) was then regressed against the square root of A/E costs (C11), producing an R^2 of 2.6%. The square root of A/E liability (C10) was regressed against the square root of A/E costs (C11), resulting in an R^2 of 4.2%. Two more regressions were then performed. The first was A/E liability (C4) against the logarithm of construction costs (C15), resulting in an R^2 of 1.5%. The second was the square root of A/E liability (C10) against the logarithm of construction costs (C15), producing an R^2 of 0.2%. A multiple regression of the square root of A/E liability (C10) against the A/E costs (C4) and the construction costs (C6) generated an R^2 of 5.8%. None of the R^2 values was significant, so the plots of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) and A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6) were reviewed for outliers. Data element #3 is an outlier and has been circled on the plots in Appendix E.

Data element #3 was then deleted from the set of very complex data, and the revised data were printed in Appendix E. The A/E liability (C4) was transformed into the square root of A/E liability (C20) and both histograms

were plotted, indicating that the square root of A/E liability (C20) was more normally distributed. Four regressions were then run to check for a good model. The A/E liability (C4) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), the square root of A/E liability (C20) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), A/E liability (C4) was regressed against construction costs (C6), and the square root of A/E liability (C20) was regressed against construction costs (C6). These regressions resulted in R^2 values of 9.7%, 6.6%, 9.3%, and 11.6%, respectively. The A/E costs (C5) were then transformed into the square root of A/E costs (C21), the logarithm of A/E costs (C22) and the reciprocal of A/E costs (C23). The histograms of all four were plotted, indicating that the square root of A/E costs (C21) was most normal. The construction costs (C6) were then transformed into the square root of construction costs (C24) and the logarithm of the construction costs (C25). The histograms indicated the logarithm of the construction costs (C25) was a normal distribution. The following five regressions were then run: A/E liability (C4) against the square root of A/E costs (C21), the square root of A/E liability (C20) against the square root of A/E costs (C21), A/E liability (C4) against the logarithm of construction costs (C25), the square root of A/E liability (C20) against the logarithm of construction costs (C25), and finally the multiple regression of the square root of A/E liability

(C20) against both the A/E costs (C5) and the construction costs (C6). The resulting R^2 values were 8.1%, 5.4%, 2.6%, 5.6%, and 17.3%, respectively. None of the R^2 values was significant, so the plots of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) and A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6) were reviewed for outliers. Data element #9 is an outlier and has been circled on the plots in Appendix E.

This data element was then deleted from the set of very complex data and the revised data were printed in Appendix E. The A/E liability (C4) was transformed into the square root of A/E liability (C30), and both histograms were plotted. The square root of A/E liability (C30) was more normally distributed. Four regressions were then run to check for a good model. The A/E liability (C4) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), the square root of A/E liability (C30) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), A/E liability (C4) was regressed against construction costs (C6), and the square root of A/E liability (C30) was regressed against construction costs (C6). The respective R^2 values were 14.7%, 6.8%, 8.4%, and 9.9%. The A/E costs (C5) were then transformed into the square root of A/E costs (C31), the logarithm of A/E costs (C32) and the reciprocal of A/E costs (C33). The four histograms were plotted, indicating the square root of A/E costs (C31) was a little more normally distributed. The construction costs (C6) were

transformed into the square root of construction costs (C34) and both histograms were plotted, indicating the square root of construction costs (C34) was a little more normally distributed. The following five regressions were then run: A/E liability (C4) against the square root of A/E costs (C31), the square root of A/E liability (C30) against the square root of A/E costs (C31), the A/E liability (C4) against the square root of construction costs (C34), the square root of A/E liability (C30) against the square root of construction costs (C34), and the multiple regression of A/E liability (C4) against both the square root of A/E costs (C31) and the square root of construction costs (C34). These regressions resulted in respective R^2 values of 17.3%, 7.7%, 6.0%, 8.5% and 21.6%. The R^2 value of 21.6% was disregarded because of the very low t-ratio values of -1.09 for the square root of A/E costs (C31) and -0.57 for the square root of construction costs (C34). None of the R^2 values was significant, so the plots of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) and A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6) were reviewed for outliers. No more data points were deleted. The regression equations were not improving significantly with the deletion of more data, and the data base was becoming too small to be representative of the initial data.

The regression of A/E liability (C4) against the square root of A/E costs (C31), after deleting two data elements, produced the best R^2 value of 17.3%. The regression equation is: A/E liability (C4) = 72507 - 19.8 x the square root of A/E costs (C31). The t-ratio for the independent variable was -1.21. This means that 17.3% of the sample variation in A/E liability can be explained by the change in the square root of A/E costs but not significantly because of the low t-ratio. Even though this was the best relationship for A/E liability, the regression equation does not provide enough of a relationship to be useful in predicting A/E liability.

The three data sets (not complex, complex and very complex) reviewed did not produce a significant relationship for predicting the future amount of A/E liability. One last effort to form a predictive model was attempted. The three data sets were combined into one total data set, which was studied next.

E. REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF ALL PROJECTS

The three categories of projects combine for a total of 91 projects. Appendix F contains the data, compiled in order of complexity from not complex to very complex, and all of the regression analyses. The A/E costs and the construction costs were each multiplied by one thousand so that all of the data were in the same units. The five columns in Appendix F contain

the same types of data as the five columns in Appendices C, D and E. After the histogram of A/E liability (C4) was plotted and then compared to the histogram of the square root of A/E liability (C10), the first four regressions were run. These were: A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5), the square root of A/E liability (C10) against A/E costs (C5), A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6), and the square root of A/E liability (C10) against construction costs (C6). These regressions resulted in the respective R^2 values of 0.1%, 0.5%, 1.9%, and 1.6%. The histograms of A/E costs (C5) and construction costs (C6) were then plotted and compared to several transforms. The square root, logarithm, and reciprocal transforms were tried on the A/E costs (C5); the resulting histograms indicated the logarithm of A/E costs (C12) was the most normal. The square root, logarithm, and reciprocal transforms were tried on the construction costs (C6); the respective histograms indicated that the square root of construction costs (C14) was the most normal. A/E liability (C4) was then regressed against the logarithm of A/E costs (C12), producing an R^2 of 2.7%. The square root of A/E liability (C10) was regressed against the logarithm of A/E costs (C12), resulting in an R^2 of 4.9%. Two more regressions were then performed. The first was A/E liability (C4) against the square root of construction costs (C14), resulting in an R^2 of 3.5%. The second was the

square root of A/E liability (C10) against the square root of construction costs (C14), producing an R^2 of 5.0%. A multiple regression of the square root of A/E liability (C10) against the logarithm of A/E costs (C12) and the square root of construction costs (C14) generated an R^2 of 6.1%. None of the R^2 values was significant, so the plots of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) and A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6) were reviewed for outliers. Data elements #38, 74, 81, 83, and 86 are outliers and have been circled on the plots in Appendix F.

The five data elements were then deleted from the set of data and the revised data were printed in Appendix F. The A/E liability (C4) was transformed into the square root of A/E liability (C20) and both histograms were plotted, indicating the square root of A/E liability (C20) was more normally distributed. Four regressions were then run to check for a good model. The A/E liability (C4) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), the square root of A/E liability (C20) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), A/E liability (C4) was regressed against construction costs (C6), and the square root of A/E liability (C20) was regressed against construction costs (C6). The respective R^2 values were 4.8%, 6.1%, 0.6%, and 0.4%. The A/E costs (C5) were then transformed into the logarithm of A/E costs (C21) and the histograms were plotted, indicating that the logarithm of A/E costs

(C21) was more normal. The construction costs (C6) were then transformed into the square root of construction costs (C22) and both histograms were plotted, indicating the square root of the construction costs (C22) was a normal distribution. The following five regressions were then run: A/E liability (C4) against the logarithm of A/E costs (C21), the square root of A/E liability (C20) against the logarithm of A/E costs (C21), A/E liability (C4) against the square root of construction costs (C22), the square root of A/E liability (C20) against the square root of construction costs (C22), and finally, the multiple regression of the square root of A/E liability (C20) against both the A/E costs (C5) and the square root of construction costs (C22). The resulting R^2 values were 3.6%, 44%, 3.0%, 3.3%, and 6.6%, respectively. None of the R^2 values was significant, so the plots of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) and A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6) were reviewed for further outliers. Data elements #8, 9, 45, 71, 81, and 86 are outliers and have been circled on the plots in Appendix F.

Those six data elements were then deleted from the set of data and the revised data were printed in Appendix F. The A/E liability (C4) was transformed into the square root of A/E liability (C30) and both histograms were plotted, indicating the square root of A/E liability (C30) was more

normally distributed. Four regressions were then run to check for a good model. The A/E liability (C4) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), the square root of A/E liability (C30) was regressed against A/E costs (C5), A/E liability (C4) was regressed against construction costs (C6), and the square root of A/E liability (C30) was regressed against construction costs (C6). Respective R^2 values were 21.6%, 17.5%, 11.7%, and 10.2%. The A/E costs (C5) were then transformed into the logarithm of A/E costs (C31) and both histograms were plotted, indicating the logarithm of A/E costs (C31) was a little more normally distributed. The construction costs (C6) were transformed into the square root of construction costs (C32) and histograms were plotted, indicating that the square root of construction costs (C32) was normally distributed. The following five regressions were then run: A/E liability (C4) against the logarithm of A/E costs (C31), the square root of A/E liability (C30) against the logarithm of A/E costs (C31), the A/E liability (C4) against the square root of construction costs (C32), the square root of A/E liability (C30) against the square root of construction costs (C32), and the multiple regression of A/E liability (C4) against both the A/E costs (C5) and the square root of construction costs (C32). These regressions resulted in respective R^2 values of 8.4%, 7.6%, 14.0%, 13.1% and 23.0%. The R^2 value of 23.0% was disregarded because of

the very low t-ratio value of 1.19 for the square root of construction costs (C32). None of the R^2 values was significant, so the plots of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) and A/E liability (C4) against construction costs (C6) were reviewed again for outliers. No more data points were deleted. The regression equations were not improving with the deletion of more data, and the data base was becoming too small to be representative of the initial data.

The regression of A/E liability (C4) against A/E costs (C5) after deleting eleven data elements produced the best R^2 value of 21.6%. The regression equation is: A/E liability (C4) = 9302 + 0.0460 x A/E costs (C5). The t-ratio for the independent variable was 4.64. This means that 21.6% of the sample variation in A/E liability can be explained by the change in A/E costs. Even though this was the best relationship for A/E liability, the regression equation does not provide enough of a relationship to be useful in predicting A/E liability.

F. CONCLUSIONS

A good mathematical equation to predict the amount of A/E liability on future projects was not found by regression analysis. One other relationship was then tried. A chart of the complexity of the projects was assembled and is shown in Appendix G. Six ranges of A/E liability (A/E)

were established, as follows: 0 (no liability), $0 < A/E \leq \$10,000$, $\$10,000 < A/E \leq \$50,000$, $\$50,000 < A/E \leq \$100,000$, $\$100,000 < A/E \leq \$500,000$, and $A/E > \$500,000$. The number of projects in each range from each complexity category was then listed. The percent of this number with respect to the total in the complexity category was calculated. Then the percent of this number in each range for all categories was calculated. Along the bottom of the chart the number of projects in each range was totaled. The percent of this number within the total 91 projects was calculated. The percent of projects with liability within each complexity category was calculated and shown on the bottom of the chart. Finally, for each category, the percent of the total was multiplied by the percent with liability. From these figures it can be seen that there is about an equal likelihood of an occurrence of A/E liability in the not complex and complex categories and a slightly higher chance of occurrence in the very complex projects. Since the number of very complex projects was limited there was little significance to the higher chance of A/E liability. Also, from the chart it can be seen that nearly three quarters (73.7%) of the projects had an A/E liability amount of less than \$50,000.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. CONCLUSIONS

This study found no significant relationships between A/E costs and /or construction costs and the amount of A/E liability. Although the data indicate that the very complex projects are more likely to have A/E liability than the complex or not complex projects, the relationship is not significant. No usable mathematical equation for predicting the amount of A/E liability was obtained through regression analysis. No usable information for predicting the incidence of A/E liability was found by this study.

B. RECOMMENDATIONS

The data studied did not lead to a mathematical prediction model. Therefore, recommendations are based on the literature researched. The construction manager should require the A/E that designed the project to be actively involved with the construction of the project. The A/E should be informed of any required changes to the design during the course of construction. In the case of a large change order or one in which A/E liability may be present, the A/E should be required to make a site visit.

During and subsequent to the site visit the A/E should provide one or more possible solutions to the construction problem.

The Government will be better off with greater A/E involvement. If there is any question concerning the responsibility for a construction problem, the Government should offer to pay the A/E site visit costs and any design/redesign costs associated with the construction problem. The A/E's design/redesign will probably be the best for the situation, because the A/E is most familiar with the criteria for the original design of the project. In the case where the construction problem is a result of an error or omission in the original design, the site visit and design/redesign costs may then be recovered from the A/E. Although the design/redesign costs may be difficult to recover, they are very small in comparison to the change order construction costs; and the potential to save on construction costs is great.

If the Government pursues A/E liability, the A/E community will attempt to provide a better design to minimize liability. With greater involvement of the A/E, better and more timely solutions to construction problems should result in lower change order costs. This means the Government will be getting improved designs from the A/E community. When construction problems arise, the problem will be resolved in a less costly manner - a "win-win" situation for all parties, especially the Government.

Future studies of A/E liability could be very beneficial to the Government. The A/E liability programs at all of the Navy's Engineering Field Divisions and large Officer in Charge of Construction offices could be analyzed to determine the best features, which could then be implemented at all divisions and commands. A couple of the attributes of these features could be as follows: a low A/E liability for the agency, measured by actual dollar or percent; and timely settlement of A/E liability, measured in days from the board decision to pursue until the settlement of A/E liability. New projects originating from WESTDIV are supposed to have weekly site visits by the A/E. These projects could also be analyzed to determine if the change order costs and the A/E liability are less than in the group studied for this thesis.

APPENDIX A
COMPILED RESEARCH DATA

#1 Job Description Year Designed Year Constructed	#2 Complexity	#3 A/E costs (000)	#4 adj A/E costs	#5 Const costs (000)	#6 adj Const costs	#7 A/E lia	#8 adj A/E lia	#9 Set amt	#10 adj set amt
1. Sub Training Fac. 76D 80C	NC	74	95	52	48	0	0	0	0
2. Bldg Envir Control 76D 78C	NC	92	116	664	584	4000	3520	0	0
3. Rpr Hydrostatic Relief 76D 78C	C	918	1166	1487	1308	4977	4380	IP	0
4. Barracks 76	NC	197	250	4890	6210	0	0	0	0
5. Steam/ Condensate Mod. 76	C	317	403	2732	3470	0	0	0	0
6. Life Safety Upgrade 76D 80C	C	811	1030	1876	1745	5804	5398	IP	-
7. Gas Processing Plant 76D 79C	VC	6702	8512	18567	15411	12234	10154	6117	5077
8. Elk Hills Tank Farm 76D 77C	C	7614	9670	10100	10100	21000	21000	0	0
9. Causeway/Bridge Alts 76D 77C	C	827	1050	13236	13236	0	0	0	0
10. Alter Hanger #3 77	C	14	14	2426	2426	25500	25500	0	0
11. A/C Maint Training Fac 77	C	391	391	5454	5454	12191	12191	12191	12191
12. Hanger Improvements 77	NC	166	166	3180	3180	0	0	0	0
13. Pipe & Copper Shop 77	VC	589	589	6928	6928	0	0	0	0
14. Rigging Shop 77	C	578	578	7690	7690	67519	67519	24547	24547
15. A/C Maint Hanger 77	C	636	636	8833	8833	0	0	0	0
16. Comp Med/Dent Clinic 77	VC	1347	1347	9912	9912	1616460	1616460	IP	-

COMPILED RESEARCH DATA									
#1 Job Description Year Designed Year Constructed	#2 Complexity	#3 A/E costs (000)	#4 adj A/E costs	#5 Const costs (000)	#6 adj Const costs	#7 A/E lia	#8 adj A/E lia	#9 Set amt	#10 adj set amt
17. Base Engr Maint Fac 77	NC	13	13	3524	3524	100000	100000	0	0
18. Op Training Bldg Add 77	C	147	147	1945	1945	0	0	0	0
19. Oil Spill Prev Fac 77	C	352	352	1774	1774	115896	115896	5874	5874
20. Salt Wtr Sys Imprv 77D 81C	C	374	374	3206	2853	211921	188610	IP	-
21. SIMA Fac First Incre 77	C	561	561	5158	5158	0	0	0	0
22. Med/Den Clinic 77	VC	766	766	7947	7947	132298	132298	IP	-
23. Alter/Addn Br Hosp 77D 78C	C	48	48	452	398	0	0	0	0
24. Industrial Waste Fac 77	C	723	723	4300	4300	13498	13498	IP	-
25. Pipe & Boiler Shop 77	VC	1349	1349	20566	20566	4950	4950	IP	-
26. Steam Plant 77D 81C	VC	10156	10156	5500	4896	37038	24064	IP	-
27. Barracks 77	NC	412	412	6882	6882	100000	100000	100000	100000
28. SRB Fac 78	C	1539	1354	8686	7644	4228	3720	0	0
29. Industrial Sec Fence 78	NC	30	26	364	320	35000	30800	7800	6864
30. Helo Escape Train Fac 78	C	74	65	1087	957	0	0	0	0
31. Family Housing Repair 78	NC	414	364	36124	31789	0	0	0	0
32. Pier Improvements 78	C	418	368	4062	3575	84858	74675	72433	63741

COMPILED RESEARCH DATA									
#1 Job Description Year Designed Year Constructed	#2 Complexity	#3 A/E costs (000)	#4 adj A/E costs	#5 Const costs (000)	#6 adj Const costs	#7 A/E lia	#8 adj A/E lia	#9 Set amt	#10 adj set amt
33. Weap Sys Sup Fac 79	C	435	361	4729	3925	10275	8528	IP	-
34. Flight Simulator Bldg 79	C	515	427	2539	2107	13470	11180	IP	-
35. Barracks 79	NC	282	234	4415	3664	195182	162001	IP	-
36. Com/Nex Complex 79	NC	664	551	9309	7726	107961	89608	IP	-
37. Elk Hills Tank Farm 80	C	820	763	5558	4613	18809	15611	5000	4150
38. Base Maint Fac 80	NC	204	190	2222	2066	5046	4693	2023	1881
39. Orgn Maint Fac Impro 80	C	337	313	6224	5788	95658	88962	IP	-
40. Operational Train Fac 80	C	379	352	3977	3699	44103	41016	IP	-
41. Barracks 80	NC	525	488	5861	5451	43899	40826	IP	-
42. Operational Train Fac 80	C	481	447	4382	4075	108732	101121	14500	13485
43. Child Care Center 80D 83C	NC	190	177	1925	1386	23944	17240	23944	17240
44. Adv Lghtwt Torp Shop 80	C	624	580	7200	6692	100000	93000	0	0
45. Repair Housing 80	NC	2010	1869	8585	7984	13514	12568	IP	-
46. Tac Veh Maint Shop 80	NC	281	261	2684	2496	0	0	0	0
47. Aircraft Maint Hgr 80	C	112	104	7049	6556	0	0	0	0
48. Rpr Piers 10 & 11 80	C	75	70	1084	1008	0	0	0	0

COMPILED RESEARCH DATA									
#1 Job Description Year Designed Year Constructed	#2 Complexity	#3 A/E costs (000)	#4 adj A/E costs	#5 Const costs (000)	#6 adj Const costs	#7 A/E lia	#8 adj A/E lia	#9 Set amt	#10 adj set amt
49. Elect Distribution Proj 80	C	79	73	1050	977	3130	2911	700	651
50. Rpr Gateway Roofs 80	C	1162	1082	4199	3905	49106	45669	IP	-
51. Int Maint Fac 80D 81C	C	336	312	1875	1669	31097	27676	IP	-
52. Emergency Pwr Lift 80	NC	4	4	144	120	3710	3079	0	0
53. Data Processing Ctr 80	NC	446	415	4950	4604	10033	9331	0	0
54. Mission Ctrl Complex 80	VC	786	731	5538	5150	95248	88581	IP	-
55. Operational Train Fac 81	C	125	111	732	651	5000	4450	0	0
56. Applied Inst Bldg 81	NC	473	421	3214	2860	22839	20327	11000	9790
57. Barracks 81	NC	967	861	13780	12264	84247	74980	IP	-
58. Barracks 81	NC	469	417	4053	3607	1131	1007	500	445
59. Nex Complex 81	NC	168	150	2144	1908	28858	25684	IP	-
60. Bowling Alley 81D 82C	NC	29	26	170	153	1794	1615	641	577
61. Reroof Bldgs 81	NC	5	4	1086	967	82195	73154	IP	-
62. Engr Lab 81	C	555	494	5243	4666	700	623	IP	-
63. Rpr Hanger Doors 81	C	86	77	879	791	7278	6551	1000	900
64. Dry Dock Mooring 81	VC	1254	1116	15824	14083	62400	55536	IP	-

COMPILED RESEARCH DATA									
#1 Job Description Year Designed Year Constructed	#2 Complexity	#3 A/E costs (000)	#4 adj A/E costs	#5 Const costs (000)	#6 adj Const costs	#7 A/E lia	#8 adj A/E lia	#9 Set amt	#10 adj set amt
65. Barracks 81	NC	325	289	5545	4935	6127	5453	IP	-
66. Barracks 81	NC	1282	1141	8990	8001	138826	123555	IP	-
67. Applied instr Bldg 81	NC	473	421	1952	1737	5797	5159	1750	1558
68. Utility Plant 81	C	1679	1494	2927	2634	162260	146034	IP	-
69. Rpr Control/Telemet 81	C	68	61	574	511	3425	3048	3205	2852
70. Alt Sat Cont Fac 81D 83C	VC	1107	985	1588	1143	67397	48526	IP	-
71. Child Care Center 81	NC	223	198	952	847	3098	2757	1648	1467
72. Ocean Systems Lab 81	C	703	626	4722	4203	6166	5488	IP	-
73. Child Care Center 81	NC	94	84	695	619	2743	2441	IP	-
74. Dinning Fac Mod 81	C	176	157	1788	1591	8284	7373	IP	-
75. Barracks 81	NC	459	409	5131	4567	10015	8913	IP	-
76. Dining Fac 81	NC	120	107	960	854	22150	19714	IP	-
77. Trident Motor test Fac 81	VC	2379	2117	6844	6091	224403	199719	IP	-
78. Cold Storage Whse 81	C	104	93	800	712	230000	204700	0	0
79. Roof Repairs 82	NC	177	159	97	87	0	0	0	0
80. LCAC Complex 82D 83C	VC	3814	3433	7499	5399	24334	17520	IP	-

COMPILED RESEARCH DATA									
#1 Job Description Year Designed Year Constructed	#2 Complexity	#3 A/E costs (000)	#4 adj A/E costs	#5 Const costs (000)	#6 adj Const costs	#7 A/E lia	#8 adj A/E lia	#9 Set amt	#10 adj set amt
81. Elect/Comm Shop 82	C	237	213	2295	2066	1522	1370	IP	-
82. Guided Missile Lab 82	C	859	773	6166	5549	851484	766336	IP	-
83. Barracks 82	NC	524	472	1455	1295	71414	63558	0	0
84. Parachute Rpr Shop 82	C	208	187	1549	1394	6623	5961	IP	-
85. Storm Drain System 82D 83C	NC	120	108	185	133	3000	2160	IP	-
86. Rpr Elect Service 82D 83C	C	75	68	57	41	0	0	0	0
87. Racquetball Courts 82	NC	213	192	179	161	8000	7200	IP	-
88. Addn To Aeromedical 82	C	89	80	779	701	1689	1520	IP	-
89. Maint Hanger 83	C	729	525	5240	3773	13344	9608	IP	-
90. Training bldg 83D 84C	C	90	65	982	658	2136	1431	480	322
91. Radar Fac Mod 84	C	78	52	779	522	27617	18503	IP	-

APPENDIX B

NEW CONSTRUCTION INDEX*

year	index	100/ index	correction factor
75	66	$100/66 =$	1.52
76	79	$100/79 =$	1.27
77	100	$100/100 =$	1.00
78	114	$100/114 =$	.88
79	121	$100/121 =$	.83
80	108	$100/108 =$	.93
81	112	$100/112 =$	.89
82	111	$100/111 =$	.90
83	138	$100/138 =$	.72
84	150	$100/150 =$	.67

* Data from ref. 10 and 11

APPENDIX C
REGRESSION ON NOT COMPLEX PROJECTS

COLUMN
C1 NUMERICAL LISTING OF THE PROJECTS
C2 THE A/E COSTS IN THOUSANDS
C3 THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS IN THOUSANDS
C4 A/E LIABILITY COSTS
C5 THE A/E COSTS
C6 THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS

MTB > let c5 = 1000*c2
MTB > let c6 = 1000*c3
MTB > print c1-c6

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	95	48	0	95000	48000
2	2	116	584	3520	116000	584000
3	3	250	6210	0	250000	6210000
4	4	166	3180	0	166000	3180000
5	5	13	3524	100000	13000	3524000
6	6	412	6882	100000	412000	6882000
7	7	26	320	30800	26000	320000
8	8	364	31789	0	364000	31788992
9	9	234	3664	162001	234000	3664000
10	10	551	7726	89608	551000	7726000
11	11	190	2066	4693	190000	2066000
12	12	488	5451	40826	488000	5451000
13	13	177	1386	17240	177000	1386000
14	14	1869	7984	12568	1869000	7984000
15	15	261	2496	0	261000	2496000
16	16	4	120	3079	4000	120000
17	17	415	4604	9331	415000	4604000
18	18	421	2860	20327	421000	2860000
19	19	861	12264	74980	861000	12264000
20	20	417	3607	1007	417000	3607000
21	21	150	1908	25684	150000	1908000
22	22	26	153	1615	26000	153000
23	23	4	967	73154	4000	967000
24	24	289	4935	5453	289000	4935000
25	25	1141	8001	123555	1141000	8001000
26	26	421	1737	5159	421000	1737000
27	27	198	847	2757	198000	847000
28	28	84	619	2441	84000	619000
29	29	409	4567	8913	409000	4567000
30	30	107	854	19714	107000	854000
31	31	159	87	0	159000	87000
32	32	472	1295	63558	472000	1295000
33	33	108	133	2160	108000	133000
34	34	192	161	7200	192000	161000

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

The regression equation is
C4 = 21366 + 0.0257 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	21366	9725	2.20
C5	0.02569	0.02003	1.28

s = 41998 R-sq = 4.9% R-sq(adj) = 1.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	2900965120	2900965120
Error	32	56441643008	1763801344
Total	33	59342606336	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
9	234000	162001	27377	7435	134624	3.26R
14	1869000	12568	69380	31733	-56812	-2.07RX
25	1141000	123555	50678	17841	72877	1.92 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > hist c4

Histogram of C4 N = 34

Midpoint	Count	
0	19	*****
20000	5	*****
40000	2	**
60000	1	*
80000	3	***
100000	2	**
120000	1	*
140000	0	
160000	1	*

MTB > let c10 = sqrt(c4)

MTB > hist c10

Histogram of C10 N = 34

Midpoint	Count	
0	6	*****
50	10	*****
100	4	****
150	4	****
200	2	**
250	3	***
300	3	***
350	1	*
400	1	*

MTB > regr c10 1 c5

The regression equation is
C10 = 102 + 0.000082 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	101.86	26.52	3.84
C5	0.00008192	0.00005463	1.50

s = 114.5 R-sq = 6.6% R-sq(adj) = 3.6%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	29497	29497
Error	32	419770	13118
Total	33	449266	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
9	234000	402.5	121.0	20.3	281.5	2.50R
14	1869000	112.1	255.0	86.5	-142.9	-1.90 X
25	1141000	351.5	195.3	48.7	156.2	1.51 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 1 c6

The regression equation is
C10 = 123 + 0.000001 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	122.97	24.63	4.99
C6	0.00000143	0.00000358	0.40

s = 118.2 R-sq = 0.5% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	2242	2242
Error	32	447025	13970
Total	33	449266	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	31788992	0.0	168.5	101.8	-168.5	-2.80RX
9	3664000	402.5	128.2	20.3	274.3	2.36R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is
C4 = 25973 + 0.00096 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	25973	8898	2.92
C6	0.000964	0.001292	0.75

s = 42694 R-sq = 1.7% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	1014430976	1014430976
Error	32	58328178688	1822755584
Total	33	59342606336	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	31788992	0	56624	36766	-56624	-2.61RX
9	3664000	162001	29506	7329	132495	3.15R
25	8001000	123555	33687	9030	89868	2.15R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > hist c5

Histogram of C5 N = 34

Midpoint	Count
0	7 *****
200000	14 *****
400000	9 *****
600000	1 *
800000	1 *
1000000	0
1200000	1 *
1400000	0
1600000	0
1800000	1 *

MTB > hist c6

Histogram of C6 N = 34

Midpoint	Count	
0	18	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
5000000	11	XXXXXXXXXXXX
10000000	4	XXXX
15000000	0	
20000000	0	
25000000	0	
30000000	1	X

MTB > let c11 = 1/c5

MTB > hist c11

Histogram of C11 N = 34

Midpoint	Count	
0.00000	27	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
0.00002	2	XX
0.00004	2	XX
0.00006	0	
0.00008	1	X
0.00010	0	
0.00012	0	
0.00014	0	
0.00016	0	
0.00018	0	
0.00020	0	
0.00022	0	
0.00024	0	
0.00026	2	XX

MTB > let c12 = logt(c5)

MTB > hist c12

Histogram of C12 N = 34

Midpoint	Count	
3.6	2	XX
4.0	1	X
4.4	2	XX
4.8	2	XX
5.2	12	XXXXXXXXXXXX
5.6	12	XXXXXXXXXXXX
6.0	2	XX
6.4	1	X

MTB > let c13 = sqrt(c5)

MTB > hist c13

Histogram of C13 N = 34

Midpoint	Count	
0	2	XX
200	4	XXXX
400	12	XXXXXXXXXXXX
600	12	XXXXXXXXXXXX
800	1	X
1000	2	XX
1200	0	
1400	1	X

```
MTB > let c15 = 1/ c6
MTB > hist c15
```

Histogram of C15 N = 34

Midpoint	Count	
0.000000	22	*****
0.000002	5	*****
0.000004	1	*
0.000006	2	**
0.000008	2	**
0.000010	0	
0.000012	1	*
0.000014	0	
0.000016	0	
0.000018	0	
0.000020	1	*

```
MTB > let c16 = logt(c6)
MTB > hist c16
```

Histogram of C16 N = 34

Midpoint	Count	
4.8	2	**
5.2	4	****
5.6	3	***
6.0	5	*****
6.4	9	*****
6.8	9	*****
7.2	1	*
7.6	1	*

```
MTB > let c17 = sqrt(c6)
MTB > hist c17
```

Histogram of C17 N = 34

Midpoint	Count	
0	1	*
500	6	*****
1000	7	*****
1500	5	*****
2000	7	*****
2500	3	***
3000	3	***
3500	1	*
4000	0	
4500	0	
5000	0	
5500	1	*

MTB > regr c10 1 c13

The regression equation is
C10 = 81.0 + 0.0947 C13

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	81.01	41.69	1.94
C13	0.09466	0.07299	1.30

s = 115.5 R-sq = 5.0% R-sq(adj) = 2.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	22431	22431
Error	32	426836	13339
Total	33	449266	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C13	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	114	316.2	91.8	34.6	224.4	2.04R
9	484	402.5	126.8	19.9	275.7	2.42R
14	1367	112.1	210.4	66.1	-98.3	-1.04 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 1 c17

The regression equation is
C10 = 87.4 + 0.0251 C17

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	87.40	35.16	2.49
C17	0.02514	0.01778	1.41

s = 115.0 R-sq = 5.9% R-sq(adj) = 2.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	26428	26428
Error	32	422838	13214
Total	33	449266	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C17	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	5638	0.0	229.1	73.8	-229.1	-2.60RX
9	1914	402.5	135.5	20.3	267.0	2.36R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 2 c13 c17

The regression equation is
C10 = 74.6 + 0.0504 C13 + 0.0175 C17

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	74.57	42.79	1.74
C13	0.05038	0.09350	0.54
C17	0.01751	0.02288	0.77

s = 116.2 R-sq = 6.8% R-sq(adj) = 0.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	30352	15176
Error	31	418914	13513
Total	33	449266	


SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C13	1	22431
C17	1	7922

Unusual Observations


Obs.	C13	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	603	0.0	203.7	88.3	-203.7	-2.69RX
9	484	402.5	132.5	21.3	270.0	2.36R
14	1367	112.1	192.9	70.4	-80.8	-0.87 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



```

MTB > delete 25 c1-c6
MTB > delete 14 c1-c6
MTB > delete 9 c1-c6
MTB > delete 8 c1-c6
MTB > print c1-c6

```

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	95	48	0	95000	48000
2	2	116	584	3520	116000	584000
3	3	250	6210	0	250000	6210000
4	4	166	3180	0	166000	3180000
5	5	13	3524	100000	13000	3524000
6	6	412	6882	100000	412000	6882000
7	7	26	320	30800	26000	320000
8	10	551	7726	89608	551000	7726000
9	11	190	2066	4693	190000	2066000
10	12	488	5451	40826	488000	5451000
11	13	177	1386	17240	177000	1386000
12	15	261	2496	0	261000	2496000
13	16	4	120	3079	4000	120000
14	17	415	4604	9331	415000	4604000
15	18	421	2860	20327	421000	2860000
16	19	861	12264	74980	861000	12264000
17	20	417	3607	1007	417000	3607000
18	21	150	1908	25684	150000	1908000
19	22	26	153	1615	26000	153000
20	23	4	967	73154	4000	967000
21	24	289	4935	5453	289000	4935000
22	26	421	1737	5159	421000	1737000
23	27	198	847	2757	198000	847000
24	28	84	619	2441	84000	619000
25	29	409	4567	3913	409000	4567000
26	30	107	854	19714	107000	854000
27	31	159	87	0	159000	87000
28	32	472	1295	63558	472000	1295000
29	33	108	133	2160	108000	133000
30	34	192	161	7200	192000	161000

```

MTB > let c20 = sqrt(c4)
MTB > hist c20

```

Histogram of C20 N = 30

Midpoint	Count	
0	5	*****
50	10	*****
100	3	***
150	4	****
200	2	**
250	3	***
300	3	***

```

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

```

The regression equation is
 $C4 = 10380 + 0.0537 C5$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	10380	9220	1.13
C5	0.05371	0.02901	1.85

s = 31306 R-sq = 10.9% R-sq(adj) = 7.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	3359245824	3359245824
Error	28	27441987584	980070912
Total	29	30801231872	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	13000	100000	11078	8927	88922	2.96R
6	412000	100000	32507	7411	67493	2.22R
16	861000	74980	56621	18640	18359	0.73 X
20	4000	73154	10594	9129	62560	2.09R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 1 c5

The regression equation is
C20 = 72.5 + 0.000178 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	72.53	28.75	2.52
C5	0.00017769	0.00009046	1.96

s = 97.62 R-sq = 12.1% R-sq(adj) = 9.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	36771	36771
Error	28	266839	9530
Total	29	303610	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	13000	316.2	74.8	27.8	241.4	2.58R
16	861000	273.8	225.5	58.1	48.3	0.62 X
20	4000	270.5	73.2	28.5	197.2	2.11R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is
C4 = 7927 + 0.00583 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	7927	7229	1.10
C6	0.005827	0.001846	3.16

s = 28486 R-sq = 26.2% R-sq(adj) = 23.6%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	8081002496	8081002496
Error	28	22720229376	811436544
Total	29	30801231872	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	3524000	100000	28460	5409	71539	2.56R
16	12264000	74980	79387	18374	-4407	-0.20 X
20	967000	73154	13561	6125	59593	2.14R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 1 c6

The regression equation is
 $C20 = 71.7 + 0.000017 C6$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	71.69	23.40	3.06
C6	0.00001660	0.00000598	2.78

s = 92.19 R-sq = 21.6% R-sq(adj) = 18.8%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	65626	65626
Error	28	237984	8499
Total	29	303610	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C20	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
5	3524000	316.2	130.2	17.5	186.0	2.06R
16	12264000	273.8	275.3	59.5	-1.5	-0.02 X
20	967000	270.5	87.7	19.8	182.7	2.03R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > let c21 = sqrt(c5)

MTB > hist c5

Histogram of C5 N = 30

Midpoint	Count
0	5 *****
100000	5 *****
200000	7 *****
300000	3 ***
400000	6 *****
500000	2 **
600000	1 *
700000	0
800000	0
900000	1 *

MTB > hist c21

Histogram of C21 N = 30

Midpoint	Count
100	3 ***
200	2 **
300	5 *****
400	7 *****
500	3 ***
600	6 *****
700	3 ***
800	0
900	1 *

MTB > regr c20 1 c21

The regression equation is
 $C20 = 74.8 + 0.0930 C21$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	74.80	43.90	1.70
C21	0.09300	0.08790	1.06

s = 102.1 R-sq = 3.8% R-sq(adj) = 0.4%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	11670	11670
Error	28	291940	10426
Total	29	303610	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C21	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	114	316.2	85.4	35.1	230.8	2.41R
16	928	273.8	161.1	45.8	112.7	1.24 X
20	63	270.5	80.7	38.9	189.8	2.01R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > let c22 = sqrt(c6)

MTB > hist c6

Histogram of C6 N = 30

Midpoint	Count
0	7 *****
1000000	7 *****
2000000	4 ****
3000000	2 **
4000000	2 **
5000000	4 ****
6000000	1 *
7000000	1 *
8000000	1 *
9000000	0
10000000	0
11000000	0
12000000	1 *

MTB > hist c22

Histogram of C22 N = 30

Midpoint	Count
0	1 *
500	6 *****
1000	7 *****
1500	5 *****
2000	6 *****
2500	3 ***
3000	1 *
3500	1 *

MTB > regr c20 1 c22

The regression equation is
C20 = 39.8 + 0.0544 C22

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	39.83	32.99	1.21
C22	0.05439	0.02000	2.72

s = 92.62 R-sq = 20.9% R-sq(adj) = 18.1%

Analysis of Variance


SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	63423	63423
Error	28	240187	8578
Total	29	303610	

Unusual Observations


Obs.	C22	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
16	3502	273.8	230.3	45.0	43.5	0.54 X

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > plot c4 c6



MTB > plot c4 c5



```
MTB > delete 20 c1-c6
MTB > delete 16 c1-c6
MTB > delete 5 c1-c6
MTB > print c1-c6
```

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	95	48	0	95000	48000
2	2	116	584	3520	116000	584000
3	3	250	6210	0	250000	6210000
4	4	166	3180	0	166000	3180000
5	6	412	6882	100000	412000	6882000
6	7	26	320	30800	26000	320000
7	10	551	7726	89608	551000	7726000
8	11	190	2066	4693	190000	2066000
9	12	488	5451	40826	488000	5451000
10	13	177	1386	17240	177000	1386000
11	15	261	2496	0	261000	2496000
12	16	4	120	3079	4000	120000
13	17	415	4604	9331	415000	4604000
14	18	421	2860	20327	421000	2860000
15	20	417	3607	1007	417000	3607000
16	21	150	1908	25684	150000	1908000
17	22	26	153	1615	26000	153000
18	24	289	4935	5453	289000	4935000
19	26	421	1737	5159	421000	1737000
20	27	198	847	2757	198000	847000
21	28	84	619	2441	84000	619000
22	29	409	4567	8913	409000	4567000
23	30	107	854	19714	107000	854000
24	31	159	87	0	159000	87000
25	32	472	1295	63558	472000	1295000
26	33	108	133	2160	108000	133000
27	34	192	161	7200	192000	161000

```
MTB > let c30 = sqrt(c4)
MTB > hist c30
```

Histogram of C30 N = 27

Midpoint	Count
0	5 *****
50	10 *****
100	3 ***
150	4 ****
200	2 **
250	1 *
300	2 **

```
MTB > regr c4 1 c5
```

The regression equation is
 $C4 = -4741 + 0.0898 C5$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-4741	8193	-0.58
C5	0.08981	0.02814	3.19

s = 23098 R-sq = 29.0% R-sq(adj) = 26.1%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	5435138048	5435138048
Error	25	13337370624	533494784
Total	26	18772508672	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	412000	100000	32260	6477	67740	3.06R
7	551000	89608	44744	9700	44864	2.14R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c30 1 c5

The regression equation is
 $C30 = 27.8 + 0.000287 C5$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	27.79	27.52	1.01
C5	0.00028688	0.00009453	3.03

s = 77.60 R-sq = 26.9% R-sq(adj) = 24.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	55458	55458
Error	25	150526	6021
Total	26	205985	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	412000	316.2	146.0	21.8	170.2	2.29R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > let c31 = sqrt(c5)

MTB > hist c31

Histogram of C31 N = 27

Midpoint	Count
100	1 *
200	2 **
300	5 *****
400	7 *********
500	3 ***
600	6 *****
700	3 ***

MTB > regr c30 1 c31

The regression equation is
 $C30 = -0.6 + 0.214 C31$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-0.63	43.71	-0.01
C31	0.21366	0.08837	2.42

s = 81.72 R-sq = 19.0% R-sq(adj) = 15.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	39037	39037
Error	25	166948	6678
Total	26	205985	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C31	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	642	316.2	136.5	22.4	179.7	2.29R
12	63	55.5	12.9	38.5	42.6	0.59 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is
C4 = 2402 + 0.00617 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	2402	6474	0.37
C6	0.006173	0.001955	3.16

s = 23169 R-sq = 28.5% R-sq(adj) = 25.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	5352509440	5352509440
Error	25	13419999232	536799744
Total	26	18772508672	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	6882000	100000	44884	9829	55116	2.63R
7	7726000	89608	50094	11324	39514	1.95 X
25	1295000	63558	10396	4956	53162	2.35R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > hist c6

Histogram of C6 N = 27

Midpoint	Count	
0	7	*****
1000000	6	*****
2000000	4	****
3000000	2	**
4000000	1	*
5000000	4	****
6000000	1	*
7000000	1	*
8000000	1	*

MTB > let c32 = sqrt(c6)

MTB > hist c32

Histogram of C32 N = 27

Midpoint	Count	
400	7	*****
800	4	****
1200	4	****
1600	4	****
2000	3	***
2400	3	***
2800	2	**

```
MTB > let c33 = logt(c6)
MTB > hist c33
```

Histogram of C33 N = 27

Midpoint	Count	
4.6	1	*
4.8	0	
5.0	2	**
5.2	3	***
5.4	0	
5.6	1	*
5.8	2	**
6.0	2	**
6.2	4	****
6.4	3	***
6.6	5	*****
6.8	4	****

```
MTB > regr c4 1 c33
```

The regression equation is
C4 = - 81832 + 16367 C33

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-81832	45522	-1.80
C33	16367	7479	2.19

s = 25103 R-sq = 16.1% R-sq(adj) = 12.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	3017996800	3017996800
Error	25	15754510336	630180352
Total	26	18772504576	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C33	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	6.84	100000	30080	7606	69920	2.92R
7	6.89	89608	30903	7899	58705	2.46R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

```
MTB > regr c30 1 c33
```

The regression equation is
C30 = - 233 + 54.7 C33

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-232.9	150.6	-1.55
C33	54.67	24.73	2.21

s = 83.02 R-sq = 16.3% R-sq(adj) = 13.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	33668	33668
Error	25	172316	6893
Total	26	205985	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C33	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	6.84	316.2	140.9	25.2	175.3	2.22R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c4 2 c5 c6

The regression equation is
C4 = - 3932 + 0.0526 C5 + 0.00345 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-3932	8154	-0.48
C5	0.05258	0.04193	1.25
C6	0.003455	0.002904	1.19

s = 22908 R-sq = 32.9% R-sq(adj) = 27.3%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	6177984512	3088992256
Error	24	12594520064	524771584
Total	26	18772504576	

SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C5	1	5435138048
C6	1	742846976

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	412000	100000	41508	10084	58492	2.84R
25	472000	63558	25361	12899	38197	2.02R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c4 2 c31 c32

The regression equation is
C4 = - 11958 + 35.3 C31 + 9.63 C32

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-11958	13191	-0.91
C31	35.33	41.54	0.85
C32	9.628	9.451	1.02

s = 24319 R-sq = 24.4% R-sq(adj) = 18.1%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	4578418688	2289209344
Error	24	14194089984	591420416
Total	26	18772508672	

SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C31	1	3964684800
C32	1	613734144

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C31	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	642	100000	35979	9205	64021	2.84R
7	742	89608	41031	9878	48577	2.19R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c4 1 c32

The regression equation is
C4 = - 3977 + 15.9 C32

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-3977	9220	-0.43
C32	15.850	5.950	2.66

s = 24184 R-sq = 22.1% R-sq(adj) = 19.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	4150585600	4150585600
Error	25	14621921280	584876800
Total	26	18772504576	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C32	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	2623	100000	37603	8954	62397	2.78R
7	2780	89608	40079	9760	49529	2.24R
25	1138	63558	14060	4804	49498	2.09R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c30 1 c32

The regression equation is
C30 = 35.4 + 0.0468 C32

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	35.36	31.42	1.13
C32	0.04680	0.02028	2.31

s = 82.41 R-sq = 17.6% R-sq(adj) = 14.3%

Analysis of Variance


SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	36183	36183
Error	25	169802	6792
Total	26	205985	

Unusual Observations


Obs.	C32	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	2623	316.2	158.1	30.5	158.1	2.07R
25	1138	252.1	88.6	16.4	163.5	2.02R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



MTB > delete 7 c1-c6

MTB > delete 5 c1-c6

MTB > print c1-c6

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	95	48	0	95000	48000
2	2	116	584	3520	116000	584000
3	3	250	6210	0	250000	6210000
4	4	166	3180	0	166000	3180000
5	7	26	320	30800	26000	320000
6	11	190	2066	4693	190000	2066000
7	12	488	5451	40826	488000	5451000
8	13	177	1386	17240	177000	1386000
9	15	261	2496	0	261000	2496000
10	16	4	120	3079	4000	120000
11	17	415	4604	9331	415000	4604000
12	18	421	2860	20327	421000	2860000
13	20	417	3607	1007	417000	3607000
14	21	150	1908	25684	150000	1908000
15	22	26	153	1615	26000	153000
16	24	289	4935	5453	289000	4935000
17	26	421	1737	5159	421000	1737000
18	27	198	847	2757	198000	847000
19	28	84	619	2441	84000	619000
20	29	409	4567	8913	409000	4567000
21	30	107	854	19714	107000	854000
22	31	159	87	0	159000	87000
23	32	472	1295	63558	472000	1295000
24	33	108	133	2160	108000	133000
25	34	192	161	7200	192000	161000

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

The regression equation is
C4 = 2067 + 0.0397 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	2067	5319	0.39
C5	0.03968	0.01974	2.01

s = 14542 R-sq = 14.9% R-sq(adj) = 11.2%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	854694400	854694400
Error	23	4863979520	211477360
Total	24	5718671360	

Unusual Observations						
Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	26000	30800	3098	4897	27702	2.02R
23	472000	63558	20793	5666	42765	3.19R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

```
MTB > let c40 = sqrt(c4)
MTB > hist c40
```

Histogram of C40 N = 25

Midpoint	Count	
0	5	*****
40	7	*****
80	6	*****
120	1	*
160	4	****
200	1	*
240	1	*

```
MTB > regr c40 1 c5
```

The regression equation is
C40 = 45.0 + 0.000160 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	44.97	23.72	1.90
C5	0.00016045	0.00008803	1.82

s = 64.86 R-sq = 12.6% R-sq(adj) = 8.8%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	13978	13978
Error	23	96766	4207
Total	24	110744	

Unusual Observations						
Obs.	C5	C40	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	26000	175.5	49.1	21.8	126.4	2.07R
23	472000	252.1	120.7	25.3	131.4	2.20R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

```
MTB > regr c4 1 c6
```

The regression equation is
C4 = 9881 + 0.00057 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	9881	4607	2.15
C6	0.000566	0.001675	0.34

s = 15729 R-sq = 0.5% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	28279680	28279680
Error	23	5690392576	247408368
Total	24	5718671360	

Unusual Observations						
Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	6210000	0	13398	7707	-13398	-0.98 X
23	1295000	63558	10615	3366	52943	3.45R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c40 1 c6

The regression equation is
C40 = 76.9 + 0.000002 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	76.95	20.29	3.79
C6	0.00000211	0.00000738	0.29

s = 69.27 R-sq = 0.4% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	391	391
Error	23	110353	4798
Total	24	110744	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C40	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	6210000	0.0	90.0	33.9	-90.0	-1.49 X
23	1295000	252.1	79.7	14.8	172.4	2.55R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > let c41 = sqrt(c5)
MTB > hist c41

Histogram of C41 N = 25

Midpoint	Count
100	1 *
200	2 **
300	5 *****
400	7 ********
500	3 ***
600	5 *****
700	2 **

MTB > let c42 = sqrt(c6)
MTB > hist c42

Histogram of C42 N = 25

Midpoint	Count
200	2 **
400	4 ****
600	1 *
800	2 **
1000	2 **
1200	2 **
1400	3 ***
1600	2 **
1800	2 **
2000	0
2200	3 ***
2400	2 **

MTB > regr c4 1 c41

The regression equation is
C4 = - 1091 + 27.3 C41

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-1091	8306	-0.13
C41	27.34	17.48	1.56

s = 14992 R-sq = 9.6% R-sq(adj) = 5.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	549422336	549422336
Error	23	5169250304	224750000
Total	24	5718671360	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C41	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
23	687	63558	17691	5215	45867	3.26R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c40 1 c41

The regression equation is
C40 = 33.6 + 0.107 C41

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	33.56	36.94	0.91
C41	0.10748	0.07777	1.38

s = 66.68 R-sq = 7.7% R-sq(adj) = 3.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	8493	8493
Error	23	102251	4446
Total	24	110744	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C41	C40	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	161	175.5	50.9	25.6	124.6	2.02R
23	687	252.1	107.4	23.2	144.7	2.31R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c4 1 c42

The regression equation is
C4 = 7660 + 2.73 C42

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	7660	6272	1.22
C42	2.734	4.425	0.62

s = 15639 R-sq = 1.6% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	93355456	93355456
Error	23	5625315328	244578912
Total	24	5718667264	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C42	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
23	1138	63558	10771	3153	52787	3.45R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c40 1 c42

The regression equation is
C40 = 65.8 + 0.0125 C42

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	65.79	27.58	2.39
C42	0.01252	0.01946	0.64

s = 68.77 R-sq = 1.8% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance


SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	1958	1958
Error	23	108786	4730
Total	24	110744	

Unusual Observations


Obs.	C42	C40	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
23	1138	252.1	80.0	13.9	172.1	2.55R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



MTB > delete 23 c1-c6

MTB > print c1-c6

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	95	48	0	95000	48000
2	2	116	584	3520	116000	584000
3	3	250	6210	0	250000	6210000
4	4	166	3180	0	166000	3180000
5	7	26	320	30800	26000	320000
6	11	190	2066	4693	190000	2066000
7	12	488	5451	40826	488000	5451000
8	13	177	1386	17240	177000	1386000
9	15	261	2496	0	261000	2496000
10	16	4	120	3079	4000	120000
11	17	415	4604	9331	415000	4604000
12	18	421	2860	20327	421000	2860000
13	20	417	3607	1007	417000	3607000
14	21	150	1908	25684	150000	1908000
15	22	26	153	1615	26000	153000
16	24	289	4935	5453	289000	4935000
17	26	421	1737	5159	421000	1737000
18	27	198	847	2757	198000	847000
19	28	84	619	2441	84000	619000
20	29	409	4567	8913	409000	4567000
21	30	107	854	19714	107000	854000
22	31	159	87	0	159000	87000
23	33	108	133	2160	108000	133000
24	34	192	161	7200	192000	161000

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

The regression equation is
C4 = 5212 + 0.0168 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	5212	4127	1.26
C5	0.01680	0.01602	1.05

s = 11094 R-sq = 4.8% R-sq(adj) = 0.4%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	135394944	135394944
Error	22	2707927296	123087600
Total	23	2843322112	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
5	26000	30800	5649	3785	25151	2.41R
7	488000	40826	13410	4919	27416	2.76R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is
C4 = 6759 + 0.00102 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	6759	3339	2.02
C6	0.001016	0.001195	0.85

s = 11186 R-sq = 3.2% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	90405440	90405440
Error	22	2752916736	125132576
Total	23	2843322112	

Unusual Observations						
Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	320000	30800	7084	3071	23716	2.20R
7	5451000	40826	12295	4673	28531	2.81R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > let c50 = sqrt(c4)
MTB > hist c50

Histogram of C50 N = 24

Midpoint	Count
0	5 *****
20	0
40	4 *****
60	4 *****
80	3 *****
100	2 ****
120	0
140	3 *****
160	1 *
180	1 *
200	1 *

MTB > regr c50 1 c5

The regression equation is
C50 = 54.6 + 0.000090 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	54.64	21.92	2.49
C5	0.00009015	0.00008509	1.06

s = 58.93 R-sq = 4.9% R-sq(adj) = 0.5%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	3899	3899
Error	22	76409	3473
Total	23	80308	

Unusual Observations						
Obs.	C5	C50	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	26000	175.5	57.0	20.1	118.5	2.14R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c50 1 c6

The regression equation is
C50 = 66.8 + 0.000004 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	66.78	17.91	3.73
C6	0.00000357	0.00000641	0.56

s = 60.00 R-sq = 1.4% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	1116	1116
Error	22	79192	3600
Total	23	80308	

Unusual Observations						
Obs.	C6	C50	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
7	5451000	202.1	86.2	25.1	115.8	2.12R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

```
MTB > let c51 = sqrt(c5)
MTB > hist c51
```

Histogram of C51 N = 24

Midpoint	Count	
100	1	*
200	2	**
300	5	*****
400	7	*****
500	3	**
600	5	*****
700	1	*

```
MTB > regr c50 1 c51
```

The regression equation is
C50 = 51.2 + 0.0528 C51

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	51.19	33.77	1.52
C51	0.05283	0.07278	0.73

s = 59.71 R-sq = 2.3% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	1879	1879
Error	22	78430	3565
Total	23	80308	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C51	C50	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	161	175.5	59.7	23.2	115.8	2.11R
7	699	202.1	88.1	22.9	114.0	2.07R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

```
MTB > regr c4 1 c51
```

The regression equation is
C4 = 4495 + 10.0 C51

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	4495	6354	0.71
C51	10.02	13.69	0.73

s = 11233 R-sq = 2.4% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	67520800	67520800
Error	22	2775801600	126172800
Total	23	2843322368	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C51	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	161	30800	6110	4368	24690	2.39R
7	699	40826	11492	4301	29334	2.83R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

```
MTB > let c52 = sqrt(c6)
MTB > hist c52
```

Histogram of C52 N = 24

Midpoint	Count
200	2
400	4
600	1
800	2
1000	2
1200	1
1400	3
1600	2
1800	2
2000	0
2200	3
2400	2

```
MTB > let c53 = logt(c6)
MTB > hist c53
```

Histogram of C53 N = 24

Midpoint	Count
4.6	1
4.8	0
5.0	2
5.2	3
5.4	0
5.6	1
5.8	2
6.0	2
6.2	3
6.4	3
6.6	5
6.8	2

```
MTB > regr c4 1 c52
```

The regression equation is
C4 = 4969 + 3.13 C52

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	4969	4494	1.11
C52	3.133	3.148	1.00

s = 11121 R-sq = 4.3% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	122523760	122523760
Error	22	2720798464	123672656
Total	23	2843322112	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C52	C4	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
5	566	30800	6741	3091	24059	2.25R
7	2335	40826	12284	4146	28542	2.77R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

```
MTB > regr c50 1 c52
```

The regression equation is
C50 = 57.0 + 0.0138 C52

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	57.02	24.05	2.37
C52	0.01382	0.01684	0.82

s = 59.51 R-sq = 3.0% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	2384	2384
Error	22	77924	3542
Total	23	80308	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C52	C50	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
7	2335	202.1	89.3	22.2	112.8	2.04R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c50 2 c51 c52

The regression equation is

$$C50 = 53.5 + 0.017 C51 + 0.0108 C52$$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	53.47	34.92	1.53
C51	0.0168	0.1173	0.14
C52	0.01079	0.02725	0.40

s = 60.89 R-sq = 3.1% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	2461	1230
Error	21	77848	3707
Total	23	80308	


SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C51	1	1879
C52	1	582

Unusual Observations


Obs.	C51	C50	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	161	175.5	62.3	24.6	113.2	2.03R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



APPENDIX D

REGRESSION ON COMPLEX PROJECTS

COLUMN

C1 NUMERICAL LISTING OF THE PROJECTS
 C2 THE A/E COSTS IN THOUSANDS
 C3 THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS IN THOUSANDS
 C4 A/E LIABILITY COSTS
 C5 THE A/E COSTS
 C6 THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS

MTB > let c5 = 1000*c2

MTB > let c6 = 1000*c3

MTB > print c1-c6

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	1166	1308	4380	1166000	1308000
2	2	403	3470	0	403000	3470000
3	3	1030	1745	5389	1030000	1745000
4	4	9670	10100	21000	9670000	10100000
5	5	1050	13236	0	1050000	13236000
6	6	14	2426	25500	14000	2426000
7	7	391	5454	12191	391000	5454000
8	8	578	7690	67519	578000	7690000
9	9	636	8833	0	636000	8833000
10	10	147	1945	0	147000	1945000
11	11	352	1774	115896	352000	1774000
12	12	374	2835	188610	374000	2835000
13	13	561	5158	0	561000	5158000
14	14	48	398	0	48000	398000
15	15	723	4300	13498	723000	4300000
16	16	1354	7644	3720	1354000	7644000
17	17	65	957	0	65000	957000
18	18	368	3575	74675	368000	3575000
19	19	361	3925	8528	361000	3925000
20	20	427	2107	11180	427000	2107000
21	21	763	4613	15611	763000	4613000
22	22	313	5788	88962	313000	5788000
23	23	352	3699	41016	352000	3699000
24	24	447	4075	101121	447000	4075000
25	25	580	6692	93000	580000	6692000
26	26	104	6556	0	104000	6556000
27	27	70	1008	0	70000	1008000
28	28	73	977	2911	73000	977000
29	29	1082	3905	45669	1082000	3905000
30	30	312	1669	27676	312000	1669000
31	31	111	651	4450	111000	651000
32	32	494	4666	623	494000	4666000
33	33	77	791	6551	77000	791000
34	34	1494	2634	146034	1494000	2634000
35	35	61	511	3048	61000	511000
36	36	626	4203	5488	626000	4203000
37	37	157	1591	7373	157000	1591000
38	38	93	712	204700	93000	712000
39	39	213	2066	1370	213000	2066000
40	40	773	5549	766336	773000	5549000
41	41	187	1394	5961	187000	1394000
42	42	68	41	0	68000	41000
43	43	80	701	1520	80000	701000
44	44	525	3773	9608	525000	3773000
45	45	65	658	1431	65000	658000
46	46	52	522	18503	52000	522000

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

The regression equation is
C4 = 45900 + 0.0014 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	45900	19537	2.35
C5	0.00137	0.01275	0.11

s = 120866 R-sq = 0.0% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	169121776	169121776
Error	44	642773352448	14608482304
Total	45	642942435328	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
4	9670000	21000	59165	116646	-38165	-1.21 X
40	773000	766336	46961	17916	719375	6.02R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is
C4 = 32702 + 0.00408 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	32702	27891	1.17
C6	0.004085	0.006254	0.65

s = 120300 R-sq = 1.0% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	6175002624	6175002624
Error	44	636767502336	14471987200
Total	45	642942500864	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
4	10100000	21000	73960	45258	-52960	-0.48 X
5	13236000	0	86771	63766	-86771	-0.85 X
40	5549000	766336	55370	22096	710966	6.01R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > hist c4

Histogram of C4 N = 46

Midpoint	Count
0	36
100000	7
200000	2
300000	0
400000	0
500000	0
600000	0
700000	0
800000	1

```
MTB > let c10 = sqrt(c4)
MTB > hist c10
```

Histogram of C10 N = 46

Midpoint	Count	
0	14	*****
100	18	*****
200	4	****
300	6	*****
400	2	**
500	1	*
600	0	
700	0	
800	0	
900	1	*

```
MTB > regr c10 1 c5
```

The regression equation is
C10 = 135 + 0.000007 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	135.44	27.18	4.98
C5	0.00000737	0.00001773	0.42

s = 168.1 R-sq = 0.4% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	4881	4881
Error	44	1243660	28265
Total	45	1248540	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
4	9670000	144.9	206.7	162.3	-61.8	-1.40 X
40	773000	875.4	141.1	24.9	734.3	4.42R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

```
MTB > regr c10 1 c6
```

The regression equation is
C10 = 122 + 0.000005 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	121.75	38.89	3.13
C6	0.00000532	0.00000872	0.61

s = 167.7 R-sq = 0.8% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	10482	10482
Error	44	1238058	28138
Total	45	1248540	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
4	10100000	144.9	175.5	63.1	-30.6	-0.20 X
5	13236000	0.0	192.2	88.9	-192.2	-1.35 X
40	5549000	875.4	151.3	30.8	724.1	4.39R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > hist c5

Histogram of C5 N = 46

Midpoint	Count
0	30
1000000	15
2000000	0
3000000	0
4000000	0
5000000	0
6000000	0
7000000	0
8000000	0
9000000	0
10000000	1

MTB > hist c6

Histogram of C6 N = 46

Midpoint	Count
0	11
2000000	13
4000000	11
6000000	6
8000000	3
10000000	1
12000000	0
14000000	1

MTB > let c11 = 1/c5

MTB > hist c11

Histogram of C11 N = 46

Midpoint	Count
0.00000	29
0.00001	11
0.00002	5
0.00003	0
0.00004	0
0.00005	0
0.00006	0
0.00007	1

```
MTB > let c12 = logt(c5)
MTB > hist c12
```

Histogram of C12 N = 46

Midpoint	Count	
4.0	1	*
4.4	0	
4.8	11	*****
5.2	6	*****
5.6	17	*****
6.0	10	*****
6.4	0	
6.8	1	*

```
MTB > let c13 = sqrt(c5)
MTB > hist c13
```

Histogram of C13 N = 46

Midpoint	Count	
0	1	*
400	21	*****
800	17	*****
1200	6	*****
1600	0	
2000	0	
2400	0	
2800	0	
3200	1	*

```
MTB > let c14 = logt(c6)
MTB > hist c14
```

Histogram of C14 N = 46

Midpoint	Count	
4.6	1	*
4.8	0	
5.0	0	
5.2	0	
5.4	0	
5.6	1	*
5.8	7	*****
6.0	3	***
6.2	7	*****
6.4	5	*****
6.6	11	*****
6.8	8	*****
7.0	2	**
7.2	1	*

```
MTB > let c15 = sqrt(c6)
MTB > hist c15
```

Histogram of C15 N = 46

Midpoint	Count	
0	1	*
500	3	***
1000	10	*****
1500	10	*****
2000	11	*****
2500	6	*****
3000	4	****
3500	1	*

NO-A177 473


ARCHITECT/ENGINEER LIABILITY IN CONSTRUCTION
CONTRACTING(U) NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA
M E MAYNARD DEC 86


2/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F/G 14/1

ML





U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1964

MTB > regr c4 1 c12

The regression equation is
C4 = - 162157 + 38306 C12

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-162157	183401	-0.88
C12	38306	33473	1.14

s = 119122 R-sq = 2.9% R-sq(adj) = 0.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	18583924736	18583924736
Error	44	624358588416	14189965312
Total	45	642942500864	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C12	C4	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
4	6.99	21000	105427	54188	-84427	-0.80 X
6	4.15	25500	-3335	47168	28835	0.26 X
40	5.89	766336	63396	22798	702940	6.01R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 1 c12

The regression equation is
C10 = - 272 + 75.6 C12

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-272.0	251.7	-1.08
C12	75.56	45.94	1.64

s = 163.5 R-sq = 5.8% R-sq(adj) = 3.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	72308	72308
Error	44	1176233	26733
Total	45	1248541	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C12	C10	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
4	6.99	144.9	255.8	74.4	-110.9	-0.76 X
6	4.15	159.7	41.3	64.7	118.4	0.79 X
38	4.97	452.4	103.4	32.8	349.0	2.18R
40	5.89	875.4	172.9	31.3	702.5	4.38R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c15

The regression equation is
C4 = 10166 + 21.6 C15

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	10166	43442	0.23
C15	21.59	23.42	0.92

s = 119730 R-sq = 1.9% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	12185374720	12185374720
Error	44	630757130240	14335385600
Total	45	642942500864	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C15	C4	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
5	3638	0	88709	48802	-88709	-0.81 X
40	2356	766336	61021	23470	705315	6.01R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 1 c15

The regression equation is
C10 = 80.3 + 0.0353 C15

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	80.28	60.32	1.33
C15	0.03527	0.03251	1.08

s = 166.2 R-sq = 2.6% R-sq(adj) = 0.4%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	32526	32526
Error	44	1216014	27637
Total	45	1248540	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C15	C10	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
5	3638	0.0	208.6	67.8	-208.6	-1.37 X
38	844	452.4	110.0	37.0	342.4	2.11R
40	2356	875.4	163.4	32.6	712.0	4.37R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 2 c12 c15

The regression equation is
C10 = - 286 + 79.2 C12 - 0.0036 C15

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-285.7	308.7	-0.93
C12	79.17	65.50	1.21
C15	-0.00356	0.04559	-0.08

s = 165.4 R-sq = 5.8% R-sq(adj) = 1.4%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	72475	36237
Error	43	1176066	27350
Total	45	1248540	

SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C12	1	72308
C15	1	167


Unusual Observations

Obs.	C12	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
4	6.99	144.9	256.0	75.3	-111.1	-0.75 X
6	4.15	159.7	37.0	84.9	122.7	0.86 X
38	4.97	452.4	104.7	37.0	347.8	2.16R
40	5.89	875.4	172.1	33.2	703.3	4.34R


R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



MTB > delete 40 c1-c6

MTB > delete 38 c1-c6

MTB > delete 4 c1-c6

MTB > print c1-c6
ROW C1 C2

C3

C4

C5

C6

MTB > print c1-c6
ROW C1 C2

C3

C4

C5

C6

1	1	1166	1308	4380	1166000	1308000
2	2	403	3470	0	403000	3470000
3	3	1030	1745	5389	1030000	1745000
4	5	1050	13236	0	1050000	13236000
5	6	14	2426	25500	14000	2426000
6	7	391	5454	12191	391000	5454000
7	8	578	7690	67519	578000	7690000
8	9	636	8833	0	636000	8833000
9	10	147	1945	0	147000	1945000
10	11	352	1774	115896	352000	1774000
11	12	374	2835	188610	374000	2835000
12	13	561	5158	0	561000	5158000
13	14	48	398	0	48000	398000
14	15	723	4300	13498	723000	4300000
15	16	1354	7644	3720	1354000	7644000
16	17	65	957	0	65000	957000
17	18	368	3575	74675	368000	3575000
18	19	361	3925	8528	361000	3925000
19	20	427	2107	11180	427000	2107000
20	21	763	4613	15611	763000	4613000
21	22	313	5788	88962	313000	5788000
22	23	352	3699	41016	352000	3699000
23	24	447	4075	101121	447000	4075000
24	25	580	6692	93000	580000	6692000
25	26	104	6556	0	104000	6556000
26	27	70	1008	0	70000	1008000
27	28	73	977	2911	73000	977000
28	29	1082	3905	45669	1082000	3905000
29	30	312	1669	27676	312000	1669000
30	31	111	651	4450	111000	651000
31	32	494	4666	623	494000	4666000
32	33	77	791	6551	77000	791000
33	34	1494	2634	146034	1494000	2634000
34	35	61	511	3048	61000	511000
35	36	626	4203	5488	626000	4203000
36	37	157	1591	7373	157000	1591000
37	39	213	2066	1370	213000	2066000
38	41	187	1394	5961	187000	1394000
39	42	68	41	0	68000	41000
40	43	80	701	1520	80000	701000
41	44	525	3773	9608	525000	3773000
42	45	65	658	1431	65000	658000
43	46	52	522	18503	52000	522000

MTB > let c20 = sqrt(c4)
MTB > hist c4

Histogram of C4 N = 43

Midpoint	Count	
0	26	*****
20000	7	*****
40000	2	**
60000	1	*
80000	2	**
100000	2	**
120000	1	*
140000	1	*
160000	0	
180000	1	*

MTB > hist c20

Histogram of C20 N = 43

Midpoint	Count	
0	11	*****
50	10	*****
100	9	*****
150	3	***
200	2	**
250	2	**
300	3	***
350	1	*
400	1	*
450	1	*

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

The regression equation is
C4 = 15351 + 0.0272 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	15351	10079	1.52
C5	0.02718	0.01772	1.53

s = 43670 R-sq = 5.4% R-sq(adj) = 3.1%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	4486594560	4486594560
Error	41	78189297664	1907055872
Total	42	82675892224	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
10	352000	115896	24919	6790	90977	2.11R
11	374000	188610	25517	6725	163092	3.78R
15	1354000	3720	52158	17730	-48438	-1.21 X
33	1494000	146034	55963	20051	90071	2.32RX

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 1 c5

The regression equation is
C20 = 82.7 + 0.000077 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	82.74	26.69	3.10
C5	0.00007697	0.00004694	1.64

s = 115.7 R-sq = 6.2% R-sq(adj) = 3.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	35966	35966
Error	41	548496	13378
Total	42	584461	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
10	352000	340.4	109.8	18.0	230.6	2.02R
11	374000	434.3	111.5	17.8	322.8	2.82R
15	1354000	61.0	187.0	47.0	-126.0	-1.19 X
33	1494000	382.1	197.7	53.1	184.4	1.79 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is
C4 = 21906 + 0.00153 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	21906	10774	2.03
C6	0.001529	0.002527	0.61

s = 44706 R-sq = 0.9% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	731589632	731589632
Error	41	81944313856	1998641664
Total	42	82675892224	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
4	13236000	0	42143	26014	-42143	-1.16 X
10	1774000	115896	24618	7835	91278	2.07R
11	2835000	188610	26241	6919	162369	3.68R
33	2634000	146034	25933	7023	120101	2.72R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 1 c6

The regression equation is
C20 = 104 + 0.000003 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	104.07	28.68	3.63
C6	0.00000349	0.00000673	0.52

s = 119.0 R-sq = 0.7% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	3816	3816
Error	41	580646	14162
Total	42	584461	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
4	13236000	0.0	150.3	69.2	-150.3	-1.55 X
11	2835000	434.3	114.0	18.4	320.3	2.72R
33	2634000	382.1	113.3	18.7	268.9	2.29R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > let c21 = sqrt(c5)

MTB > hist c5

Histogram of C5 N = 43

Midpoint	Count	
0	11	*****
200000	6	*****
400000	12	*****
600000	6	*****
800000	2	**
1000000	3	***
1200000	1	*
1400000	2	**

MTB > hist c21

Histogram of C21 N = 43

Midpoint	Count	
100	1	*
200	3	***
300	9	*****
400	3	***
500	1	*
600	9	*****
700	5	*****
800	4	****
900	2	**
1000	3	***
1100	1	*
1200	2	**

MTB > let c22 = logt(c5)

MTB > hist c22

Histogram of C22 N = 43

Midpoint	Count	
4.2	1	*
4.4	0	
4.6	1	*
4.8	8	*****
5.0	3	***
5.2	3	***
5.4	3	***
5.6	10	*****
5.8	8	*****
6.0	4	****
6.2	2	**

```
MTB > let c23 = sqrt(c6)
MTB > hist c23
```

Histogram of C23 N = 43

Midpoint	Count	
0	1	*
500	3	***
1000	9	*****
1500	10	*****
2000	11	*****
2500	5	*****
3000	3	***
3500	1	*

```
MTB > regr c4 1 c21
```

The regression equation is
C4 = 2792 + 41.1 C21

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	2792	15101	0.18
C21	41.11	23.11	1.78

s = 43267 R-sq = 7.2% R-sq(adj) = 4.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	5923262464	5923262464
Error	41	76752617472	1872014848
Total	42	82675826688	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C21	C4	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
10	593	115896	27185	6599	88711	2.07R
11	612	188610	27936	6621	160674	3.76R
33	1222	146034	53046	16084	92988	2.32R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

```
MTB > regr c20 1 c21
```

The regression equation is
C20 = 45.5 + 0.119 C21

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	45.53	39.86	1.14
C21	0.11922	0.06100	1.95

s = 114.2 R-sq = 8.5% R-sq(adj) = 6.3%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	49805	49805
Error	41	534656	13040
Total	42	584461	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C21	C20	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
11	612	434.3	118.4	17.5	315.9	2.80R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c4 1 c23

The regression equation is
C4 = 9661 + 10.4 C23

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	9661	16853	0.57
C23	10.385	9.275	1.12

s = 44234 R-sq = 3.0% R-sq(adj) = 0.6%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	2453259264	2453259264
Error	41	80222683136	1956650752
Total	42	82675892224	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C23	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
4	3638	0	47445	19504	-47445	-1.20 X
10	1332	115896	23494	7420	92402	2.12R
11	1684	188610	27148	6748	161462	3.69R
33	1623	146034	26516	6757	119518	2.73R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 1 c23

The regression equation is
C20 = 67.0 + 0.0292 C23

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	67.01	44.73	1.50
C23	0.02917	0.02462	1.19

s = 117.4 R-sq = 3.3% R-sq(adj) = 1.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	19360	19360
Error	41	565101	13783
Total	42	584461	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C23	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
4	3638	0.0	173.2	51.8	-173.2	-1.64 X
10	1332	340.4	105.9	19.7	234.6	2.03R
11	1684	434.3	116.1	17.9	318.2	2.74R
33	1623	382.1	114.4	17.9	267.8	2.31R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 2 c21 c23

The regression equation is
C20 = 45.9 + 0.120 C21 - 0.0005 C23

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	45.87	46.22	0.99
C21	0.11997	0.07949	1.51
C23	-0.00047	0.03120	-0.01

s = 115.6 R-sq = 8.5% R-sq(adj) = 3.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	49808	24904
Error	40	534654	13366
Total	42	584461	


SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C21	1	49805
C23	1	3

Unusual Observations


Obs.	C21	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
1	1080	66.2	174.9	53.9	-108.7	-1.06 X
11	612	434.3	118.5	17.7	315.8	2.76R
33	1222	382.1	191.7	54.2	190.4	1.86 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



```
MTB > delete 33 c1-c6
MTB > delete 11 c1-c6
MTB > delete 4 c1-c6
MTB > print c1-c6
```

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	1166	1308	4380	1166000	1308000
2	2	403	3470	0	403000	3470000
3	3	1030	1745	5389	1030000	1745000
4	6	14	2426	25500	14000	2426000
5	7	391	5454	12191	391000	5454000
6	8	578	7690	67519	578000	7690000
7	9	636	8833	0	636000	8833000
8	10	147	1945	0	147000	1945000
9	11	352	1774	115896	352000	1774000
10	13	561	5158	0	561000	5158000
11	14	48	398	0	48000	398000
12	15	723	4300	13498	723000	4300000
13	16	1354	7644	3720	1354000	7644000
14	17	65	957	0	65000	957000
15	18	368	3575	74675	368000	3575000
16	19	361	3925	8528	361000	3925000
17	20	427	2107	11180	427000	2107000
18	21	763	4613	15611	763000	4613000
19	22	313	5788	88962	313000	5788000
20	23	352	3699	41016	352000	3699000
21	24	447	4075	101121	447000	4075000
22	25	580	6692	93000	580000	6692000
23	26	104	6556	0	104000	6556000
24	27	70	1008	0	70000	1008000
25	28	73	977	2911	73000	977000
26	29	1082	3905	45669	1082000	3905000
27	30	312	1669	27676	312000	1669000
28	31	111	651	4450	111000	651000
29	32	494	4666	623	494000	4666000
30	33	77	791	6551	77000	791000
31	35	61	511	3048	61000	511000
32	36	626	4203	5488	626000	4203000
33	37	157	1591	7373	157000	1591000
34	39	213	2066	1370	213000	2066000
35	41	187	1344	5961	187000	1394000
36	42	68	41	0	68000	41000
37	43	80	701	1520	80000	701000
38	44	525	3773	9608	525000	3773000
39	45	65	658	1431	65000	658000
40	46	52	522	18503	52000	522000

```
MTB > let c30 = sqrt(c4)
MTB > hist c4
```

Histogram of C4 N = 40

Midpoint	Count	
0	18	*****
10000	10	*****
20000	2	**
30000	2	**
40000	1	*
50000	1	*
60000	0	
70000	2	**
80000	0	
90000	2	**
100000	1	*
110000	0	
120000	1	*

MTB > hist c30

Histogram of C30 N = 40

Midpoint	Count	
0	10	*****
50	10	*****
100	9	*****
150	3	***
200	2	**
250	2	**
300	3	***
350	1	*

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

The regression equation is
C4 = 16045 + 0.0118 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	16045	7774	2.06
C5	0.01183	0.01522	0.78

s = 32200 R-sq = 1.6% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	625817344	625817344
Error	38	39400640512	1036858880
Total	39	40026456064	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
1	1166000	4380	29835	12921	-25455	-0.86 X
9	352000	115896	20208	5117	95688	3.01R
13	1354000	3720	32058	15592	-28338	-1.01 X
19	313000	88962	19747	5211	69215	2.18R
21	447000	101121	21332	5176	79789	2.51R
22	580000	93000	22905	5887	70095	2.21R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c30 1 c5

The regression equation is
C30 = 82.1 + 0.000056 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	82.08	24.10	3.41
C5	0.00005643	0.00004719	1.20

s = 99.83 R-sq = 3.6% R-sq(adj) = 1.1%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	14247	14247
Error	38	378719	9966
Total	39	392966	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
1	1166000	66.2	147.9	40.1	-81.7	-0.89 X
9	352000	340.4	101.9	15.9	238.5	2.42R
13	1354000	61.0	158.5	48.3	-97.5	-1.12 X
19	313000	298.3	99.7	16.2	198.5	2.02R
21	447000	318.0	107.3	16.0	210.7	2.14R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is

C4 = 8304 + 0.00399 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	8304	8208	1.01
C6	0.003993	0.002135	1.87

s = 31056 R-sq = 8.4% R-sq(adj) = 6.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	3375790592	3375790592
Error	38	36650668032	964491264
Total	39	40026456064	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
7	8833000	0	43577	13222	-43577	-1.55 X
9	1774000	115896	15388	5648	100508	3.29R
21	4075000	101121	24577	5349	76544	2.50R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c30 1 c6

The regression equation is

C30 = 68.0 + 0.000012 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	67.98	25.88	2.63
C6	0.00001164	0.00000673	1.73

s = 97.91 R-sq = 7.3% R-sq(adj) = 4.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	28690	28690
Error	38	364276	9586
Total	39	392966	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
7	8833000	0.0	170.8	41.7	-170.8	-1.93 X
9	1774000	340.4	88.6	17.8	251.8	2.62R
21	4075000	318.0	115.4	16.9	202.6	2.10R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

```
MTB > let c31 = sqrt(c5)
MTB > hist c5
```

Histogram of C5 N = 40

Midpoint	Count	
0	11	*****
200000	6	*****
400000	11	*****
600000	6	*****
800000	2	**
1000000	2	**
1200000	1	*
1400000	1	*

```
MTB > hist c31
```

Histogram of C31 N = 40

Midpoint	Count	
100	1	*
200	3	***
300	9	*****
400	3	***
500	1	*
600	8	*****
700	5	*****
800	4	****
900	2	**
1000	2	**
1100	1	*
1200	1	*

```
MTB > let c32 = sqrt(c6)
MTB > hist c6
```

Histogram of C6 N = 40

Midpoint	Count	
0	2	**
1000000	11	*****
2000000	8	*****
3000000	1	*
4000000	8	*****
5000000	4	****
6000000	1	*
7000000	2	**
8000000	2	**
9000000	1	*

```
MTB > hist c32
```

Histogram of C32 N = 40

Midpoint	Count	
400	1	*
800	9	*****
1200	8	*****
1600	3	***
2000	11	*****
2400	5	*****
2800	3	***

```
MTB > let c33 = logt(c6)
MTB > hist c33
```

Histogram of C33 N = 40

Midpoint	Count
4.6	1 *
4.8	0
5.0	0
5.2	0
5.4	0
5.6	1 *
5.8	6 *****
6.0	3 ***
6.2	7 *****
6.4	3 ***
6.6	11 *****
6.8	7 *****
7.0	1 *

```
MTB > regr c4 1 c31
```

The regression equation is
 $C4 = 7095 + 24.1 C31$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	7095	11629	0.61
C31	24.12	18.72	1.29

s = 31768 R-sq = 4.2% R-sq(adj) = 1.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	1675502336	1675502336
Error	38	38350954496	1009235456
Total	39	40026456064	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C31	C4	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
9	593	115896	21406	5061	94490	3.01R
13	1164	3720	35162	12361	-31442	-1.07 X
19	559	88962	20590	5023	68372	2.18R
21	669	101121	23222	5417	77899	2.49R
22	762	93000	25465	6280	67535	2.17R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

```
MTB > regr c30 1 c31
```

The regression equation is
 $C30 = 49.6 + 0.0968 C31$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	49.64	35.93	1.38
C31	0.09676	0.05783	1.67

s = 98.14 R-sq = 6.9% R-sq(adj) = 4.4%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	26960	26960
Error	38	366006	9632
Total	39	392966	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C31	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
9	593	340.4	107.0	15.6	233.4	2.41R
13	1164	61.0	162.2	38.2	-101.2	-1.12 X
19	559	298.3	103.8	15.5	194.5	2.01R
21	669	318.0	114.3	16.7	203.7	2.11R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c30 2 c31 c6

The regression equation is

C30 = 48.2 + 0.0575 C31 + 0.000008 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	48.21	36.05	1.34
C31	0.05750	0.07263	0.79
C6	0.00000760	0.00000847	0.90

s = 98.39

R-sq = 8.8%

R-sq(adj) = 3.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	34758	17379
Error	37	358208	9681
Total	39	392966	

SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C31	1	26960
C6	1	7798


Unusual Observations

Obs.	C31	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
1	1080	66.2	120.2	50.7	-54.1	-0.64 X
9	593	340.4	95.8	20.1	244.6	2.54R
21	669	318.0	117.6	17.2	200.4	2.07R


R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



APPENDIX E

REGRESSION ON VERY COMPLEX PROJECTS

C1 NUMERICAL LISTING OF THE PROJECTS
 C2 THE A/E COSTS IN THOUSANDS
 C3 THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS IN THOUSANDS
 C4 A/E LIABILITY COSTS
 C5 THE A/E COSTS
 C6 THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS

MTB > let c5 = 1000xc2
 MTB > let c6 = 1000xc3
 MTB > print c1-c6

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	8512	15411	10154	8512000	15411000
2	2	589	6928	0	589000	6928000
3	3	1347	9912	1616460	1347000	9912000
4	4	766	7947	132298	766000	7947000
5	5	1349	20566	4950	1349000	20566000
6	6	10156	4896	24064	10156000	4896000
7	7	731	5150	88581	731000	5150000
8	8	1116	14083	55536	1116000	14083000
9	9	985	1143	48526	985000	1143000
10	10	2117	6091	199719	2117000	6091000
11	11	3433	5399	17520	3433000	5399000

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

The regression equation is
 C4 = 274527 - 0.0264 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	274527	198002	1.39
C5	-0.02643	0.04653	-0.57

s = 490781 R-sq = 3.5% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	77711671296	77711671296
Error	9	2.167797E+12	240866295808
Total	10	2.245508E+12	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	1347000	1616460	238926	163223	1377533	2.98R
6	10156000	24064	6106	371728	17958	0.06 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is
 C4 = 184115 + 0.0018 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	184115	289193	0.64
C6	0.00177	0.02785	0.06

s = 499389 R-sq = 0.0% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	1006483712	1006483712
Error	9	2.244502E+12	249389121536
Total	10	2.245508E+12	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	9912000	1616460	201651	153363	1414808	2.98R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > hist c4

Histogram of C4 N = 11

Midpoint	Count	
0	8	*****
200000	2	**
400000	0	
600000	0	
800000	0	
1000000	0	
1200000	0	
1400000	0	
1600000	1	*

MTB > let c10 = sqrt(c4)

MTB > hist c10

Histogram of C10 N = 11

Midpoint	Count	
0	2	**
200	6	*****
400	2	**
600	0	
800	0	
1000	0	
1200	1	*

MTB > regr c10 1 c5

The regression equation is
C10 = 368 -0.000024 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	368.0	144.0	2.56
C5	-0.00002424	0.00003383	-0.72

s = 356.8 R-sq = 5.4% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	65364	65364
Error	9	1145913	127324
Total	10	1211277	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	1347000	1271	335	119	936	2.78R
6	10156000	155	122	270	33	0.14 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 1 c6

The regression equation is
C10 = 338 -0.000004 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	338.5	211.9	1.60
C6	-0.00000440	0.00002041	-0.22

s = 365.9 R-sq = 0.5% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	6221	6221
Error	9	1205056	133895
Total	10	1211277	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	9912000	1271	295	112	977	2.80R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > hist c5

Histogram of C5 N = 11

Midpoint	Count
1000000	7 *****
2000000	1 *
3000000	1 *
4000000	0
5000000	0
6000000	0
7000000	0
8000000	0
9000000	1 *
10000000	1 *

MTB > hist c6

Histogram of C6 N = 11

Midpoint	Count
2000000	1 *
4000000	1 *
6000000	4 ****
8000000	1 *
10000000	1 *
12000000	0
14000000	1 *
16000000	1 *
18000000	0
20000000	1 *

```
MTB > let c11 = sqrt(c5)
MTB > hist c11
```

Histogram of C11 N = 11

Midpoint	Count	
800	4	****
1200	3	***
1600	1	*
2000	1	*
2400	0	
2800	1	*
3200	1	*

```
MTB > let c12 = logt(c5)
MTB > hist c12
```

Histogram of C12 N = 11

Midpoint	Count	
5.8	3	***
6.0	2	**
6.2	2	**
6.4	1	*
6.6	1	*
6.8	0	
7.0	2	**

```
MTB > let c13 = 1/c5
MTB > hist c13
```

Histogram of C13 N = 11

Midpoint	Count	
0.0000000	1	*
0.0000002	2	**
0.0000004	1	*
0.0000006	0	
0.0000008	3	***
0.0000010	1	*
0.0000012	0	
0.0000014	2	**
0.0000016	1	*

```
MTB > let c14 = sqrt(c6)
MTB > hist c14
```

Histogram of C14 N = 11

Midpoint	Count	
1000	1	*
1500	0	
2000	1	*
2500	4	****
3000	2	**
3500	0	
4000	2	**
4500	1	*

MTB > let c15 = logt(c6)
MTB > hist c15

Histogram of C15 N = 11

Midpoint	Count	
6.0	1	*
6.2	0	
6.4	0	
6.6	1	*
6.8	4	****
7.0	2	**
7.2	2	**
7.4	1	*

MTB > regr c4 1 c11

The regression equation is
C4 = 335756 - 92 C11

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	335756	313153	1.07
C11	-91.9	186.2	-0.49

s = 492884 R-sq = 2.6% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	59099402240	59099402240
Error	9	2.186409E+12	242934284288
Total	10	2.245508E+12	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C11	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	1161	1616460	229146	160078	1387313	2.98R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c10 1 c11

The regression equation is
C10 = 425 - 0.085 C11

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	425.0	228.2	1.86
C11	-0.0848	0.1357	-0.63

s = 359.1 R-sq = 4.2% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	50400	50400
Error	9	1160876	128986
Total	10	1211276	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C11	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	1161	1271	327	117	945	2.78R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c4 1 c15

The regression equation is
C4 = - 967939 + 170443 C15

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-967939	3196534	-0.30
C15	170443	466054	0.37

s = 495830 R-sq = 1.5% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	32881381376	32881381376
Error	9	2.212627E+12	245847490560
Total	10	2.245509E+12	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C15	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	7.00	1616460	224506	164052	1391954	2.97R
9	6.06	48526	64611	398745	-16085	-0.05 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 1 c15

The regression equation is
C10 = - 15 + 46 C15

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-15	2363	-0.01
C15	45.9	344.5	0.13

s = 366.5 R-sq = 0.2% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	2381	2381
Error	9	1208896	134322
Total	10	1211277	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C15	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	7.00	1271	306	121	965	2.79R
9	6.06	220	263	295	-43	-0.20 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 2 c5 c6

The regression equation is
C10 = 401 -0.000024 C5 -0.000004 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	400.6	237.7	1.69
C5	-0.00002395	0.00003585	-0.67
C6	-0.00000377	0.00002108	-0.18

s = 377.7 R-sq = 5.8% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	69921	34960
Error	8	1141356	142669
Total	10	1211277	


SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C5	1	65364
C6	1	4557

Unusual Observations


Obs.	C5	C10	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
3	1347000	1271	331	128	940	2.65R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



MTB > delete 3 c1- c6

MTB > print c1-c6

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	8512	15411	10154	8512000	15411000
2	2	589	6928	0	589000	6928000
3	4	766	7947	132298	766000	7947000
4	5	1349	20566	4950	1349000	20566000
5	6	10156	4896	24064	10156000	4896000
6	7	731	5150	88581	731000	5150000
7	8	1116	14083	55536	1116000	14083000
8	9	985	1143	48526	985000	1143000
9	10	2117	6091	199719	2117000	6091000
10	11	3433	5399	17520	3433000	5399000

MTB > let c20 = sqrt(c4)

MTB > hist c4

Histogram of C4 N = 10

Midpoint	Count
0	2 **
20000	3 ***
40000	1 *
60000	1 *
80000	1 *
100000	0
120000	0
140000	1 *
160000	0
180000	0
200000	1 *

MTB > hist c20

Histogram of C20 N = 10

Midpoint	Count
0	1 *
50	1 *
100	1 *
150	2 ***
200	1 *
250	1 *
300	1 *
350	1 *
400	0
450	1 *

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

The regression equation is
C4 = 75451 - 0.00582 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	75451	27846	2.71
C5	-0.005820	0.006268	-0.93

s = 65390 R-sq = 9.7% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	3686365184	3686365184
Error	8	34206769152	4275846144
Total	9	37893132288	

Unusual Observations		Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
Obs.	C5	C4			
9	2117000	199719	63130	21367	136588
					2.21R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c20 1 c5

The regression equation is
C20 = 233 -0.000010 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	232.73	60.37	3.86
C5	-0.00001023	0.00001359	-0.75

s = 141.8 R-sq = 6.6% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	11401	11401
Error	8	160770	20096
Total	9	172171	

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is
C4 = 87153 - 0.00331 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	87153	38202	2.28
C6	-0.003312	0.003662	-0.90

s = 65554 R-sq = 9.3% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	3514463488	3514463488
Error	8	34378670080	4297330688
Total	9	37893132288	

Unusual Observations		Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
Obs.	C6	C4			
9	6091000	199719	66979	22921	132740
					2.16R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c20 1 c6

The regression equation is
C20 = 272 -0.000008 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	271.54	80.37	3.38
C6	-0.00000791	0.00000770	-1.03

s = 137.9 R-sq = 11.6% R-sq(adj) = 0.6%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	20022	20022
Error	8	152149	19019
Total	9	172171	

MTB > hist c5

Histogram of C5 N = 10

Midpoint	Count	
1000000	6	*****
2000000	1	*
3000000	1	*
4000000	0	
5000000	0	
6000000	0	
7000000	0	
8000000	0	
9000000	1	*
10000000	1	*

MTB > hist c6

Histogram of C6 N = 10

Midpoint	Count	
2000000	1	*
4000000	1	*
6000000	4	****
8000000	1	*
10000000	0	
12000000	0	
14000000	1	*
16000000	1	*
18000000	0	
20000000	1	*

MTB > let c21 = sqrt(c5)

MTB > hist c21

Histogram of C21 N = 10

Midpoint	Count	
800	4	****
1200	2	**
1600	1	*
2000	1	*
2400	0	
2800	1	*
3200	1	*

MTB > let c22 = logt(c5)

MTB > hist c22

Histogram of C22 N = 10

Midpoint	Count	
5.8	3	***
6.0	2	**
6.2	1	*
6.4	1	*
6.6	1	*
6.8	0	
7.0	2	**

```
MTB > let c23 =1/c5
MTB > hist c23
```

Histogram of C23 N = 10

Midpoint	Count	
0.0000000	1	*
0.0000002	2	**
0.0000004	1	*
0.0000006	0	
0.0000008	2	**
0.0000010	1	*
0.0000012	0	
0.0000014	2	**
0.0000016	1	*

```
MTB > let c24 =sqrt(c6)
MTB > hist c24
```

Histogram of C24 N = 10

Midpoint	Count	
1000	1	*
1500	0	
2000	1	*
2500	4	****
3000	1	*
3500	0	
4000	2	**
4500	1	*

```
MTB > let c25 = logt(c6)
MTB > hist c25
```

Histogram of C25 N = 10

Midpoint	Count	
6.0	1	*
6.2	0	
6.4	0	
6.6	1	*
6.8	4	****
7.0	1	*
7.2	2	**
7.4	1	*

```
MTB > regr c4 1 c21
```

The regression equation is
C4 = 90066 - 21.1 C21

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	90066	43348	2.08
C21	-21.12	25.13	-0.84

s = 65973 R-sq = 8.1% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	3073592064	3073592064
Error	8	34819543040	4352442368
Total	9	37893132288	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C21	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
9	1455	199719	59339	20912	140380	2.24R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c20 1 c21

The regression equation is
C20 = 258 - 0.0367 C21

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	257.70	93.76	2.75
C21	-0.03665	0.05436	-0.67

s = 142.7 R-sq = 5.4% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	9258	9258
Error	8	162913	20364
Total	9	172171	

MTB > regr c4 1 c25

The regression equation is
C4 = 261352 - 29724 C25

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	261352	441563	0.59
C25	-29724	64511	-0.46

s = 67928 R-sq = 2.6% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	979619328	979619328
Error	8	36913516544	4614189056
Total	9	37893132288	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C25	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	6.06	48526	81280	54633	-32754	-0.81 X
9	6.78	199719	59681	21741	140038	2.18R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 1 c25

The regression equation is
C20 = 838 - 93 C25

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	837.7	926.7	0.90
C25	-92.9	135.4	-0.69

s = 142.6 R-sq = 5.6% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	9578	9578
Error	8	162593	20324
Total	9	172171	

Obs.	C25	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	6.06	220.3	274.7	114.7	-54.4	-0.64 X

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 2 c5 c6

The regression equation is
 C20 = 297 -0.000010 C5 -0.000008 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	297.24	90.92	3.27
C5	-0.00000952	0.00001369	-0.70
C6	-0.00000760	0.00000798	-0.95


s = 142.6 R-sq = 17.3% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance


SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	29867	14933
Error	7	142304	20329
Total	9	172171	

SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C5	1	11401
C6	1	18466

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



MTB > delete 9 c1-c6
 MTB > print c1-c6

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	8512	15411	10154	8512000	15411000
2	2	589	6928	0	589000	6928000
3	4	766	7947	132298	766000	7947000
4	5	1349	20566	4950	1349000	20566000
5	6	10156	4896	24064	10156000	4896000
6	7	731	5150	88581	731000	5150000
7	8	1116	14083	55536	1116000	14083000
8	9	985	1143	48526	985000	1143000
9	11	3433	5399	17520	3433000	5399000

MTB > let c30 = sqrt(c4)
 MTB > hist c4

Histogram of C4 N = 9

Midpoint	Count
0	2 ***
20000	3 ***
40000	1 *
60000	1 *
80000	1 *
100000	0
120000	0
140000	1 *

MTB > hist c30

Histogram of C30 N = 9

Midpoint	Count
0	1 *
50	1 *
100	1 *
150	2 **
200	1 *
250	1 *
300	1 *
350	1 *

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

The regression equation is
 C4 = 56571 - 0.00461 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	56571	19431	2.91
C5	-0.004614	0.004197	-1.10

s = 43622 R-sq = 14.7% R-sq(adj) = 2.5%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	2299405056	2299405056
Error	7	13320302592	1902900224
Total	8	15619706880	

MTB > regr c30 1 c5

The regression equation is
C30 = 200 -0.000008 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	200.14	52.84	3.79
C5	-0.00000815	0.00001141	-0.71

s = 118.6 R-sq = 6.8% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	7179	7179
Error	7	98505	14072
Total	8	105684	

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is
C4 = 60926 - 0.00205 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	60986	27635	2.21
C6	-0.002052	0.002557	-0.80

s = 45205 R-sq = 8.4% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	1315051520	1315051520
Error	7	14304653312	2043521792
Total	8	15619702784	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
3	7947000	132298	44683	15334	87615	2.06R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c30 1 c6

The regression equation is
C30 = 227 -0.000006 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	227.48	71.30	3.19
C6	-0.00000578	0.00000660	-0.88

s = 116.6 R-sq = 9.9% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	10449	10449
Error	7	95235	13605
Total	8	105684	

MTB > hist c5

Histogram of C5 N = 9

Midpoint	Count	
1000000	6	*****
2000000	0	
3000000	1	*
4000000	0	
5000000	0	
6000000	0	
7000000	0	
8000000	0	
9000000	1	*
10000000	1	*

MTB > hist c6

Histogram of C6 N = 9

Midpoint	Count	
2000000	1	*
4000000	1	*
6000000	3	***
8000000	1	*
10000000	0	
12000000	0	
14000000	1	*
16000000	1	*
18000000	0	
20000000	1	*

MTB > let c31 = sqrt(c5)

MTB > hist c31

Histogram of C31 N = 9

Midpoint	Count	
800	4	****
1200	2	**
1600	0	
2000	1	*
2400	0	
2800	1	*
3200	1	*

MTB > let c32 = logt(c5)

MTB > hist c32

Histogram of C32 N = 9

Midpoint	Count	
5.8	3	***
6.0	2	**
6.2	1	*
6.4	0	
6.6	1	*
6.8	0	
7.0	2	**

MTB > let c33 = 1/c5
MTB > hist c33

Histogram of C33 N = 9

Midpoint	Count
0.0000000	1 *
0.0000002	2 **
0.0000004	0
0.0000006	0
0.0000008	2 **
0.0000010	1 *
0.0000012	0
0.0000014	2 **
0.0000016	1 *

MTB > let c34 = sqrt(c6)
MTB > hist c34

Histogram of C34 N = 9

Midpoint	Count
1000	1 *
1500	0
2000	1 *
2500	3 ***
3000	1 *
3500	0
4000	2 **
4500	1 *

MTB > regr c4 1 c31

The regression equation is
C4 = 72507 - 19.8 C31

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	72507	28676	2.53
C31	-19.83	16.36	-1.21

s = 42948 R-sq = 17.3% R-sq(adj) = 5.5%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	2707759616	2707759616
Error	7	12911947776	1844563968
Total	8	15619706880	

MTB > regr c30 1 c31

The regression equation is
C30 = 227 - 0.0344 C31

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	227.36	78.81	2.89
C31	-0.03442	0.04497	-0.77

s = 118.0 R-sq = 7.7% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	8161	8161
Error	7	97524	13932
Total	8	105684	

MTB > regr c4 1 c34

The regression equation is
C4 = 71380 - 10.2 C34

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	71380	45808	1.56
C34	-10.21	15.22	-0.67

s = 45788 R-sq = 6.0% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	943696128	943696128
Error	7	14676008960	2096572672
Total	8	15619702784	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C34	C4	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
3	2819	132298	42594	15265	89704	2.08R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

MTB > regr c30 1 c34

The regression equation is
C30 = 265 - 0.0316 C34

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	264.7	117.6	2.25
C34	-0.03159	0.03906	-0.81

s = 117.5 R-sq = 8.5% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	9029	9029
Error	7	96656	13808
Total	8	105684	

MTB > regr c4 2 c31 c34

The regression equation is
C4 = 95595 - 18.9 C31 - 8.6 C34

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	95595	50336	1.90
C31	-18.88	17.29	-1.09
C34	-8.64	15.08	-0.57


s = 45171 R-sq = 21.6% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance


SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	3377426688	1688713216
Error	6	12242280448	2040379904
Total	8	15619706880	

SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C31	1	2707759616
C34	1	669666816

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



APPENDIX F

REGRESSION ON ALL PROJECTS

C1 NUMERICAL LISTING OF THE PROJECTS
 C2 THE A/E COSTS IN THOUSANDS
 C3 THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS IN THOUSANDS
 C4 A/E LIABILITY COSTS
 C5 THE A/E COSTS
 C6 THE CONSTRUCTION COSTS

MTB > let c5 = 1000*c2
 MTB > let c6 = 1000*c3
 MTB > print c1-c6

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	95	48	0	95000	48000
2	2	116	584	3520	116000	584000
3	3	250	6210	0	250000	6210000
4	4	166	3180	0	166000	3180000
5	5	13	3524	100000	13000	3524000
6	6	412	6882	100000	412000	6882000
7	7	26	320	30800	26000	320000
8	8	364	31789	0	364000	31788992
9	9	234	3664	162001	234000	3664000
10	10	551	7726	89608	551000	7726000
11	11	190	2066	4693	190000	2066000
12	12	488	5451	40826	488000	5451000
13	13	177	1386	17240	177000	1386000
14	14	1869	7984	12568	1869000	7984000
15	15	261	2496	0	261000	2496000
16	16	4	120	3079	4000	120000
17	17	415	4604	9331	415000	4604000
18	18	421	2860	20327	421000	2860000
19	19	861	12264	74980	861000	12264000
20	20	417	3607	1007	417000	3607000
21	21	150	1908	25684	150000	1908000
22	22	26	153	1615	26000	153000
23	23	4	967	73154	4000	967000
24	24	289	4935	5453	289000	4935000
25	25	1141	8001	123555	1141000	8001000
26	26	421	1737	5159	421000	1737000
27	27	198	847	2757	198000	847000
28	28	84	619	2441	84000	619000
29	29	409	4567	8913	409000	4567000
30	30	107	854	19714	107000	854000
31	31	159	87	0	159000	87000
32	32	472	1295	63558	472000	1295000
33	33	108	133	2160	108000	133000
34	34	192	161	7200	192000	161000
35	1	1166	1308	4380	1166000	1308000
36	2	403	3470	0	403000	3470000
37	3	1030	1745	5389	1030000	1745000
38	4	9670	10100	21000	9670000	10100000
39	5	1050	13236	0	1050000	13236000
40	6	14	2426	25500	14000	2426000
41	7	391	5454	12191	391000	5454000
42	8	578	7690	67519	578000	7690000
43	9	636	8833	0	636000	8833000
44	10	147	1945	0	147000	1945000
45	11	352	1774	115896	352000	1774000
46	12	374	2835	188610	374000	2835000
47	13	561	5158	0	561000	5158000
48	14	48	398	0	48000	398000
49	15	723	4300	13498	723000	4300000

50	16	1354	7644	3720	1354000	7644000
51	17	65	957	0	65000	957000
52	18	368	3575	74675	368000	3575000
53	19	361	3925	8528	361000	3925000
54	20	427	2107	11180	427000	2107000
55	21	763	4613	15611	763000	4613000
56	22	313	5788	88962	313000	5788000
57	23	352	3699	41016	352000	3699000
58	24	447	4075	101121	447000	4075000
59	25	580	6692	93000	580000	6692000
60	26	104	6556	0	104000	6556000
61	27	70	1008	0	70000	1008000
62	28	73	977	2911	73000	977000
63	29	1082	3905	45669	1082000	3905000
64	30	312	1669	27676	312000	1669000
65	31	111	651	4450	111000	651000
66	32	494	4666	623	494000	4666000
67	33	77	791	6551	77000	791000
68	34	1494	2634	146034	1494000	2634000
69	35	61	511	3048	61000	511000
70	36	626	4203	5488	626000	4203000
71	37	157	1591	7373	157000	1591000
72	38	93	712	204700	93000	712000
73	39	213	2066	1370	213000	2066000
74	40	773	5549	766336	773000	5549000
75	41	187	1394	5961	187000	1394000
76	42	68	41	0	68000	41000
77	43	80	701	1520	80000	701000
78	44	525	3773	9608	525000	3773000
79	45	65	658	1431	65000	658000
80	46	52	522	18503	52000	522000
81	1	8512	15411	10154	8512000	15411000
82	2	589	6928	0	589000	6928000
83	3	1347	9912	1616460	1347000	9912000
84	4	766	7947	132298	766000	7947000
85	5	1349	20566	4950	1349000	20566000
86	6	10156	4896	24064	10156000	4896000
87	7	731	5150	88581	731000	5150000
88	8	1116	14083	55536	1116000	14083000
89	9	985	1143	48526	985000	1143000
90	10	2117	6091	199719	2117000	6091000
91	11	3433	5399	17520	3433000	5399000

MTB > let c10 = sqrt(c4)
MTB > hist c4

Histogram of C4 N = 91
Each * represents 2 obs.

Midpoint	Count	
0	78	*****
200000	11	*****
400000	0	
600000	0	
800000	1	*
1000000	0	
1200000	0	
1400000	0	
1600000	1	*

MTB > hist c10

Histogram of C10 N = 91

Midpoint	Count	
0	25	*****
100	34	*****
200	10	*****
300	13	*****
400	6	*****
500	1	*
600	0	
700	0	
800	0	
900	1	*
1000	0	
1100	0	
1200	0	
1300	1	*

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

The regression equation is
C4 = 55884 + 0.0039 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	55884	21892	2.55
C5	0.00387	0.01176	0.33

s = 189558 R-sq = 0.1% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	3880218880	3880218880
Error	89	3.197973E+12	35932282880
Total	90	3.201853E+12	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
38	9670000	21000	93262	106430	-72262	-0.46 X
74	7730000	766336	58872	19871	707464	3.75R
81	8512000	10154	88786	93083	-78632	-0.48 X
83	1347000	1616460	61091	20956	1555369	8.26R
86	10156000	24064	95141	112051	-71077	-0.46 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 1 c5

The regression equation is
C10 = 149 + 0.000008 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	148.75	21.75	6.84
C5	0.00000805	0.00001169	0.69

s = 188.3 R-sq = 0.5% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	16845	16845
Error	89	3155833	35459
Total	90	3172678	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
38	9670000	144.9	226.6	105.7	-81.7	-0.52 X
74	773000	875.4	155.0	19.7	720.4	3.85R
81	8512000	100.8	217.3	92.5	-116.5	-0.71 X
83	1347000	1271.4	159.6	20.8	1111.8	5.94R
86	10156000	155.1	230.5	111.3	-75.4	-0.50 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is

C4 = 35833 + 0.00540 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	35833	26501	1.35
C6	0.005399	0.004149	1.30

s = 187894 R-sq = 1.9% R-sq(adj) = 0.8%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	59777138688	59777138688
Error	89	3.142077E+12	35304230912
Total	90	3.201853E+12	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	31788992	0	207449	115845	-207449	-1.40 X
74	5549000	766336	65790	20395	700546	3.75R
81	15411000	10154	119031	50231	-108877	-0.60 X
83	9912000	1616460	89344	30581	1527116	8.24R
85	20566000	4950	146861	70407	-141911	-0.81 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 1 c6

The regression equation is

C10 = 134 + 0.000005 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	133.56	26.41	5.06
C6	0.00000503	0.00000413	1.22

s = 187.3 R-sq = 1.6% R-sq(adj) = 0.5%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	51855	51855
Error	89	3120823	35065
Total	90	3172678	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	31788992	0.0	293.4	115.5	-293.4	-1.99 X
74	5549000	875.4	161.5	20.3	713.9	3.84R
81	15411000	100.8	211.0	50.1	-110.3	-0.61 X
83	9912000	1271.4	183.4	30.5	1088.0	5.89R
85	20566000	70.4	237.0	70.2	-166.6	-0.96 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > hist c5

Histogram of C5 N = 91
Each * represents 2 obs.

Midpoint	Count	
0	60	*****
1000000	25	*****
2000000	2	*
3000000	1	*
4000000	0	
5000000	0	
6000000	0	
7000000	0	
8000000	0	
9000000	1	*
10000000	2	*

MTB > hist c6

Histogram of C6 N = 91

Midpoint	Count	
0	36	*****
4000000	34	*****
8000000	14	*****
12000000	3	***
16000000	2	**
20000000	1	*
24000000	0	
28000000	0	
32000000	1	*

MTB > let c11 = sqrt(c5)
MTB > let c12 = logt(c5)
MTB > let c13= 1/c5
MTB > hist c11

Histogram of C11 N = 91

Midpoint	Count	
0	6	*****
400	37	*****
800	32	*****
1200	11	*****
1600	1	*
2000	1	*
2400	0	
2800	1	*
3200	2	**

MTB > hist c12

Histogram of C12 N = 91

Midpoint	Count	
3.6	2	**
4.0	2	**
4.4	2	**
4.8	13	*****
5.2	18	*****
5.6	30	*****
6.0	18	*****
6.4	3	**
6.8	2	**
7.2	1	*

MTB > hist c13

Histogram of C13 N = 91
Each * represents 2 obs.

Midpoint	Count	
0.00000	72	*****
0.00002	13	*****
0.00004	2	*
0.00006	0	
0.00008	2	*
0.00010	0	
0.00012	0	
0.00014	0	
0.00016	0	
0.00018	0	
0.00020	0	
0.00022	0	
0.00024	0	
0.00026	2	*

MTB > let c14 = sqrt(c6)
MTB > let c15 = logt(c6)
MTB > let c16 = 1/c6
MTB > hist c14

Histogram of C14 N = 91

Midpoint	Count	
0	2	**
500	9	*****
1000	18	*****
1500	15	*****
2000	19	*****
2500	13	*****
3000	9	*****
3500	2	**
4000	2	**
4500	1	*
5000	0	
5500	1	*

MTB > hist c15

Histogram of C15 N = 91

Midpoint	Count	
4.8	3	***
5.2	4	****
5.6	6	*****
6.0	16	*****
6.4	25	*****
6.8	30	*****
7.2	6	*****
7.6	1	*

MTB > hist c16

Histogram of C16 N = 91
Each * represents 2 obs.

Midpoint	Count
0.000000	68 *****
0.000002	15 *****
0.000004	1 *
0.000006	2 *
0.000008	2 *
0.000010	0
0.000012	1 *
0.000014	0
0.000016	0
0.000018	0
0.000020	1 *
0.000022	0
0.000024	1 *

MTB > regr c4 1 c12

The regression equation is
C4 = - 213919 + 49887 C12

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-213919	174134	-1.23
C12	49887	31638	1.58

s = 187078 R-sq = 2.7% R-sq(adj) = 1.6%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	87012343808	87012343808
Error	89	3.114841E+12	34998214656
Total	90	3.201853E+12	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C12	C4	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
16	3.60	3079	-34225	62233	37304	0.21 X
23	3.60	73154	-34225	62233	107379	0.61 X
38	6.99	21000	134559	51835	-113559	-0.63 X
74	5.89	766336	79822	23677	686514	3.70R
81	6.93	10154	131796	50217	-121642	-0.67 X
83	6.13	1616460	91854	28658	1524606	8.25R
86	7.01	24064	135622	52459	-111558	-0.62 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 1 c12

The regression equation is
C10 = - 211 + 66.9 C12

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-211.0	171.3	-1.23
C12	66.94	31.13	2.15

s = 184.1 R-sq = 4.9% R-sq(adj) = 3.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	156660	156660
Error	89	3016018	33888
Total	90	3172677	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C12	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
16	3.60	55.5	30.1	61.2	25.4	0.15 X
23	3.60	270.5	30.1	61.2	240.4	1.38 X
38	6.99	144.9	256.6	51.0	-111.6	-0.63 X
74	5.89	875.4	183.1	23.3	692.3	3.79R
81	6.93	100.8	252.9	49.4	-152.1	-0.86 X
83	6.13	1271.4	199.3	28.2	1072.1	5.89R
86	7.01	155.1	258.0	51.6	-102.9	-0.58 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c14

The regression equation is
C4 = - 4763 + 35.2 C14

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-4763	40523	-0.12
C14	35.15	19.60	1.79

s = 186337 R-sq = 3.5% R-sq(adj) = 2.4%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	111652241408	111652241408
Error	89	3.090202E+12	34721366016
Total	90	3.201853E+12	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C14	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	5638	0	193428	77519	-193428	-1.14 X
74	2356	766336	78041	22259	688295	3.72R
83	3148	1616460	105906	32689	1510554	8.23R
85	4535	4950	154648	56854	-149698	-0.84 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 1 c14

The regression equation is
C10 = 79.0 + 0.0420 C14

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	79.01	40.02	1.97
C14	0.04198	0.01936	2.17

s = 184.0 R-sq = 5.0% R-sq(adj) = 4.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	159240	159240
Error	89	3013438	33859
Total	90	3172677	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C14	C10	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	5638	0.0	315.7	76.6	-315.7	-1.89 X
74	2356	875.4	177.9	22.0	697.5	3.82R
83	3148	1271.4	211.2	32.3	1060.2	5.85R
85	4535	70.4	269.4	56.1	-199.0	-1.14 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c10 2 c12 c14

The regression equation is

$$C10 = -112 + 40.3 C12 + 0.0260 C14$$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-112.5	196.0	-0.57
C12	40.30	40.38	1.00
C14	0.02601	0.02512	1.04

s = 184.0 R-sq = 6.1% R-sq(adj) = 3.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	192972	96486
Error	88	2979706	33860
Total	90	3172677	

SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C12	1	156660
C14	1	36312


Unusual Observations

Obs.	C12	C10	Fit	Stdev. Fit	Residual	St. Resid
5	4.11	316.2	102.2	59.0	214.1	1.23 X
8	5.56	0.0	258.3	95.7	-258.3	-1.64 X
16	3.60	55.5	41.7	62.2	13.8	0.08 X
23	3.60	270.5	58.3	67.0	212.2	1.24 X
74	5.89	875.4	186.1	23.5	689.3	3.78R
83	6.13	1271.4	216.4	32.7	1055.0	5.83R
85	6.13	70.4	252.5	58.6	-182.2	-1.04 X
86	7.01	155.1	227.5	59.4	-72.3	-0.42 X


R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



MTB > delete 86 c1-c6
 MTB > delete 83 c1-c6
 MTB > delete 81 c1-c6
 MTB > delete 74 c1-c6
 MTB > delete 38 c1-c6
 MTB > print c1-c6

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	95	48	0	95000	48000
2	2	116	584	3520	116000	584000
3	3	250	6210	0	250000	6210000
4	4	166	3180	0	166000	3180000
5	5	13	3524	100000	13000	3524000
6	6	412	6882	100000	412000	6882000
7	7	26	320	30800	26000	320000
8	8	364	31789	0	364000	31788992
9	9	234	3664	162001	234000	3664000
10	10	551	7726	89608	551000	7726000
11	11	190	2066	4693	190000	2066000
12	12	488	5451	40826	488000	5451000
13	13	177	1386	17240	177000	1386000
14	14	1869	7984	12568	1869000	7984000
15	15	261	2496	0	261000	2496000
16	16	4	120	3079	4000	120000
17	17	415	4604	9331	415000	4604000
18	18	421	2860	20327	421000	2860000
19	19	861	12264	74980	861000	12264000
20	20	417	3607	1007	417000	3607000
21	21	150	1908	25684	150000	1908000
22	22	26	153	1615	26000	153000
23	23	4	967	73154	4000	967000
24	24	289	4935	5453	289000	4935000
25	25	1141	8001	123555	1141000	8001000
26	26	421	1737	5159	421000	1737000
27	27	198	847	2757	198000	847000
28	28	84	619	2441	84000	619000
29	29	409	4567	8913	409000	4567000
30	30	107	854	19714	107000	854000
31	31	159	87	0	159000	87000
32	32	472	1295	63558	472000	1295000
33	33	108	133	2160	108000	133000
34	34	192	161	7200	192000	161000
35	1	1166	1308	4380	1166000	1308000
36	2	403	3470	0	403000	3470000
37	3	1030	1745	5389	1030000	1745000

38	5	1050	13236	0	1050000	13236000
39	6	14	2426	25500	14000	2426000
40	7	391	5454	12191	391000	5454000
41	8	578	7690	67519	578000	7690000
42	9	636	8833	0	636000	8833000
43	10	147	1945	0	147000	1945000
44	11	352	1774	115896	352000	1774000
45	12	374	2835	188610	374000	2835000
46	13	561	5158	0	561000	5158000
47	14	48	398	0	48000	398000
48	15	723	4300	13498	723000	4300000
49	16	1354	7644	3720	1354000	7644000
50	17	65	957	0	65000	957000
51	18	368	3575	74675	368000	3575000
52	19	361	3925	8528	361000	3925000
53	20	427	2107	11180	427000	2107000
54	21	763	4613	15611	763000	4613000
55	22	313	5788	88962	313000	5788000
56	23	352	3607	41016	352000	3699000
57	24	447	4075	101121	447000	4075000
58	25	580	6692	93000	580000	6692000
59	26	104	6556	0	104000	6556000
60	27	70	1008	0	70000	1008000
61	28	73	977	2911	73000	977000
62	29	1082	3905	45669	1082000	3905000
63	30	312	1669	27676	312000	1669000
64	31	111	651	4450	111000	651000
65	32	494	4666	623	494000	4666000
66	33	77	791	6551	77000	791000
67	34	1494	2634	146034	1494000	2634000
68	35	61	511	3048	61000	511000
69	36	626	4203	5488	626000	4203000
70	37	157	1591	7373	157000	1591000
71	38	93	712	204700	93000	712000
72	39	213	2066	1370	213000	2066000
73	40	187	1394	5961	187000	1394000
74	41	68	41	0	68000	41000
75	42	80	701	1520	80000	701000
76	43	525	3773	9608	525000	3773000
77	44	65	658	1431	65000	658000
78	45	52	522	18503	52000	522000
79	46	589	6928	0	589000	6928000
80	47	766	7947	132298	766000	7947000
81	48	1349	20566	4950	1349000	20566000
82	49	731	5150	88581	731000	5150000
83	50	1116	14083	55536	1116000	14083000
84	51	985	1143	48526	985000	1143000
85	52	2117	6091	199719	2117000	6091000
86	53	3433	5399	17520	3433000	5399000

```
MTB > let c20 = sqrt(c4)
MTB > hist c4
```

Histogram of C4 N = 86

Midpoint	Count
0	47
20000	13
40000	5
60000	3
80000	6
100000	4
120000	2
140000	2
160000	1
180000	1
200000	2

MTB > hist c20

Histogram of C20 N = 86

Midpoint	Count
0	18
50	21
100	13
150	8
200	5
250	6
300	7
350	3
400	2
450	3

MTB > regr c4 1 c5

The regression equation is
C4 = 24212 + 0.0207 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	24212	7139	3.39
C5	0.02068	0.01001	2.06

s = 49579 R-sq = 4.8% R-sq(adj) = 3.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	10478141440	10478141440
Error	84	206479884288	2458093824
Total	85	216957976576	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
9	234000	162001	29050	5855	132951	2.70R
14	1869000	12568	62857	14974	-50289	-1.06 X
45	374000	188610	31945	5436	156665	3.18R
71	93000	204700	26135	6559	178565	3.63R
85	2117000	199719	67984	17316	131735	2.84RX
86	3433000	17520	95195	30128	-77675	-1.97 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 1 c5

The regression equation is
C20 = 107 + 0.000058 C5

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	106.93	17.81	6.00
C5	0.00005824	0.00002498	2.33

s = 123.7 R-sq = 6.1% R-sq(adj) = 5.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	83125	83125
Error	84	1284803	15295
Total	85	1367927	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
9	234000	402.5	120.6	14.6	281.9	2.30R
14	1869000	112.1	215.8	37.4	-103.7	-0.88 X
45	374000	434.3	128.7	13.6	305.6	2.49R
71	93000	452.4	112.3	16.4	340.1	2.77R
85	2117000	446.9	230.2	43.2	216.7	1.87 X
86	3433000	132.4	306.9	75.2	-174.5	-1.78 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is

C4 = 30614 + 0.00084 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	30614	7204	4.25
C6	0.000844	0.001177	0.72

s = 50667 R-sq = 0.6% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	1318367744	1318367744
Error	84	215639654400	2567138560
Total	85	216957976576	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	31788992	0	57433	33182	-57433	-1.50 X
9	3664000	162001	33705	5477	128296	2.55R
45	2835000	188610	33006	5630	155604	3.09R
67	2634000	146034	32836	5692	113198	2.25R
71	712000	204700	31215	6688	173485	3.45R
81	20566000	4950	47965	20267	-43015	-0.93 X
85	6091000	199719	35753	5998	163966	3.26R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 1 c6

The regression equation is

C20 = 127 +0.000002 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	127.42	18.11	7.04
C6	0.00000176	0.00000296	0.59

s = 127.3 R-sq = 0.4% R-sq(adj) = 0.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	5730	5730
Error	84	1362198	16217
Total	85	1367928	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	31788992	0.0	183.3	83.4	-183.3	-1.91 X
9	3664000	402.5	133.9	13.8	268.6	2.12R
45	2835000	434.3	132.4	14.1	301.9	2.39R
71	712000	452.4	128.7	16.8	323.8	2.56R
81	20566000	70.4	163.6	50.9	-93.2	-0.80 X
85	6091000	446.9	138.1	15.1	308.8	2.44R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > hist c5

Histogram of C5 N = 86

Midpoint	Count	
0	34	*****
400000	32	*****
800000	8	*****
1200000	8	*****
1600000	1	*
2000000	2	**
2400000	0	
2800000	0	
3200000	0	
3600000	1	*

MTB > hist c6

Histogram of C6 N = 86

Midpoint	Count	
0	36	*****
4000000	32	*****
8000000	13	*****
12000000	2	**
16000000	1	*
20000000	1	*
24000000	0	
28000000	0	
32000000	1	*

MTB > let c21 = logt(c5)

MTB > hist c21

Histogram of C21 N = 86

Midpoint	Count	
3.6	2	**
4.0	2	**
4.4	2	**
4.8	13	*****
5.2	18	*****
5.6	30	*****
6.0	16	*****
6.4	3	**

```
MTB > let c22 = sqrt(c6)
MTB > hist c22
```

Histogram of C22 N = 86

Midpoint	Count	
0	2	***
500	9	*****
1000	18	*****
1500	15	*****
2000	18	*****
2500	12	*****
3000	7	*****
3500	2	**
4000	1	*
4500	1	*
5000	0	
5500	1	*

```
MTB > regr c4 1 c21
```

The regression equation is
C4 = - 57772 + 16979 C21

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-57772	51989	-1.11
C21	16979	9569	1.77

s = 49895 R-sq = 3.6% R-sq(adj) = 2.5%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	7837642752	7837642752
Error	84	209120395264	2489528320
Total	85	216957976576	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C21	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	4.11	100000	12079	13465	87921	1.83 X
9	5.37	162001	33392	5390	128609	2.59R
16	3.60	3079	3387	18061	-308	-0.01 X
23	3.60	73154	3387	18061	69767	1.50 X
39	4.15	25500	12625	13183	12875	0.27 X
45	5.57	188610	36850	5618	151760	3.06R
67	6.17	146034	47062	9128	98972	2.02R
71	4.97	204700	26588	6804	178112	3.60R
85	6.33	199719	49632	10333	150087	3.07R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

```
MTB > regr c20 1 c21
```

The regression equation is
C20 = - 120 + 47.1 C21

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-120.0	130.0	-0.92
C21	47.09	23.93	1.97

s = 124.8 R-sq = 4.4% R-sq(adj) = 3.3%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	60280	60280
Error	84	1307648	15567
Total	85	1367928	

Unusual Observations						
Obs.	C21	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	4.11	316.2	73.7	33.7	242.5	2.02RX
9	5.37	402.5	132.8	13.5	269.7	2.17R
16	3.60	55.5	49.6	45.2	5.9	0.05 X
23	3.60	270.5	49.6	45.2	220.9	1.90 X
39	4.15	159.7	75.2	33.0	84.5	0.70 X
45	5.57	434.3	142.4	14.0	291.9	2.35R
71	4.97	452.4	113.9	17.0	338.5	2.74R
85	6.33	446.9	177.8	25.8	269.1	2.20R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c22

The regression equation is
C4 = 18256 + 9.01 C22

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	18256	11078	1.65
C22	9.015	5.547	1.63

s = 50041 R-sq = 3.0% R-sq(adj) = 1.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	6613970944	6613970944
Error	84	210344083456	2504096000
Total	85	216958042112	

Unusual Observations						
Obs.	C22	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	5638	0	69082	22263	-69082	-1.54 X
9	1914	162001	35511	5478	126490	2.54R
45	1684	188610	33434	5406	155176	3.12R
67	1623	146034	32886	5438	113148	2.27R
71	844	204700	25862	7352	178838	3.61R
81	4535	4950	59137	16394	-54187	-1.15 X
85	2468	199719	40504	6726	159215	3.21R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 1 c22

The regression equation is
C20 = 93.3 + 0.0236 C22

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	93.33	27.78	3.36
C22	0.02357	0.01391	1.69

s = 125.5 R-sq = 3.3% R-sq(adj) = 2.2%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	45206	45206
Error	84	1322722	15747
Total	85	1367928	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C22	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	5638	0.0	226.2	55.8	-226.2	-2.01RX
9	1914	402.5	138.4	13.7	264.1	2.12R
45	1684	434.3	133.0	13.6	301.3	2.42R
67	1623	382.1	131.6	13.6	250.6	2.01R
71	844	452.4	113.2	18.4	339.2	2.73R
81	4535	70.4	200.2	41.1	-129.9	-1.10 X
85	2468	446.9	151.5	16.9	295.4	2.38R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c20 2 c5 c22

The regression equation is

C20 = 92.3 + 0.000049 C5 + 0.0106 C22

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	92.84	27.47	3.38
C5	0.00004890	0.00002863	1.71
C22	0.01060	0.01571	0.67

s = 124.1

R-sq = 6.6%

R-sq(adj) = 4.3%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	90137	45069
Error	83	1277790	15395
Total	85	1367927	

SOURCE	DF	SEQ SS
C5	1	83125
C22	1	7013


Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C20	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
8	364000	0.0	170.4	64.1	-170.4	-1.60 X
9	234000	402.5	124.6	15.8	277.9	2.26R
45	374000	434.3	129.0	13.6	305.3	2.48R
71	93000	452.4	106.3	18.7	346.1	2.82R
81	1349000	70.4	206.9	40.8	-136.5	-1.17 X
85	2117000	446.9	222.5	44.8	224.4	1.94 X
86	3433000	132.4	285.4	81.8	-153.0	-1.64 X


R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > plot c4 c5



MTB > plot c4 c6



MTB > delete 86 c1-c6
 MTB > delete 81 c1-c6
 MTB > delete 71 c1-c6
 MTB > delete 45 c1-c6
 MTB > delete 9 c1-c6
 MTB > delete 8 c1-c6
 MTB > print c1-c6

ROW	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6
1	1	95	48	0	95000	48000
2	2	116	584	3520	116000	584000
3	3	250	6210	0	250000	6210000
4	4	166	3180	0	166000	3180000
5	5	13	3524	100000	13000	3524000
6	6	412	6882	100000	412000	6882000
7	7	26	320	30800	26000	320000
8	10	551	7726	89608	551000	7726000
9	11	190	2066	4693	190000	2066000
10	12	488	5451	40826	488000	5451000
11	13	177	1386	17240	177000	1386000
12	14	1869	7984	12568	1869000	7984000
13	15	261	2496	0	261000	2496000
14	16	4	120	3079	4000	120000
15	17	415	4604	9331	415000	4604000
16	18	421	2860	20327	421000	2860000
17	19	861	12264	74980	861000	12264000
18	20	417	3607	1007	417000	3607000
19	21	150	1908	25684	150000	1908000
20	22	26	153	1615	26000	153000
21	23	4	967	73154	4000	967000
22	24	289	4935	5453	289000	4935000
23	25	1141	8001	123555	1141000	8001000
24	26	421	1737	5159	421000	1737000
25	27	198	847	2757	198000	847000
26	28	84	619	2441	84000	619000
27	29	409	4567	8913	409000	4567000
28	30	107	854	19714	107000	854000
29	31	159	87	0	159000	87000
30	32	472	1295	63558	472000	1295000
31	33	108	133	2160	108000	133000
32	34	192	161	7200	192000	161000
33	1	1166	1308	4380	1166000	1308000
34	2	403	3470	0	403000	3470000
35	3	1030	1745	5389	1030000	1745000

36	5	1050	13236	0	1050000	13236000
37	6	14	2426	25500	14000	2426000
38	7	391	5454	12191	391000	5454000
39	8	578	7690	67519	578000	7690000
40	9	636	8833	0	636000	8833000
41	10	147	1945	0	147000	1945000
42	11	352	1774	115896	352000	1774000
43	13	561	5158	0	561000	5158000
44	14	48	398	0	48000	398000
45	15	723	4300	13498	723000	4300000
46	16	1354	7644	3720	1354000	7644000
47	17	65	957	0	65000	957000
48	18	368	3575	74675	368000	3575000
49	19	361	3925	8528	361000	3925000
50	20	427	2107	11180	427000	2107000
51	21	763	4613	15611	763000	4613000
52	22	313	5788	88962	313000	5788000
53	23	352	3699	41016	352000	3699000
54	24	447	4075	101121	447000	4075000
55	25	580	6692	93000	580000	6692000
56	26	104	6556	0	104000	6556000
57	27	70	1008	0	70000	1008000
58	28	73	977	2911	73000	977000
59	29	1082	3905	45669	1082000	3905000
60	30	312	1669	27676	312000	1669000
61	31	111	651	4450	111000	651000
62	32	494	4666	623	494000	4666000
63	33	77	791	6551	77000	791000
64	34	1494	2634	146034	1494000	2634000
65	35	61	511	3048	61000	511000
66	36	626	4203	5488	626000	4203000
67	37	157	1591	7373	157000	1591000
68	39	213	2066	1370	213000	2066000
69	41	187	1394	5961	187000	1394000
70	42	68	41	0	68000	41000
71	43	80	701	1520	80000	701000
72	44	525	3773	9608	525000	3773000
73	45	65	658	1431	65000	658000
74	46	52	522	18503	52000	522000
75	2	589	6928	0	589000	6928000
76	4	766	7947	132298	766000	7947000
77	7	731	5150	88581	731000	5150000
78	8	1116	14083	55536	1116000	14083000
79	9	985	1143	48526	985000	1143000
80	10	2117	6091	199719	2117000	6091000

MTB > hist c4

Histogram of C4 N = 80

Midpoint	Count	
0	45	*****
20000	12	*****
40000	5	*****
60000	3	***
80000	6	*****
100000	4	****
120000	2	**
140000	2	**
160000	0	
180000	0	
200000	1	*

```
MTB > let c30 = sqrt(c4)
MTB > hist c30
```

Histogram of C30 N = 80

Midpoint	Count	
0	17	████████████████████
50	20	████████████████████
100	13	████████████████████
150	7	████████████████
200	5	██████████
250	6	██████████
300	7	██████████
350	3	██████
400	1	██
450	1	█

190

```
MTB > regr c4 1 c5
```

The regression equation is
 $C4 = 9302 + 0.0460 C5$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	9302	6053	1.54
C5	0.046016	0.009926	4.64

s = 37977 R-sq = 21.6% R-sq(adj) = 20.6%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	30999834624	30999834624
Error	78	112496345088	1442260736
Total	79	143496118272	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	13000	100000	9900	5962	90100	2.40R
12	1869000	12568	95306	14856	-82738	-2.37RX
42	352000	115896	25499	4325	90396	2.40R
64	1494000	146034	78050	11339	67984	1.88 X
76	766000	132298	44550	5370	87748	2.33R
80	2117000	199719	106718	17229	93000	2.75RX

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
 X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

```
MTB > regr c30 1 c5
```

The regression equation is
 $C30 = 76.5 + 0.000114 C5$

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	76.48	17.01	4.50
C5	0.00011362	0.00002789	4.07

s = 106.7 R-sq = 17.5% R-sq(adj) = 16.5%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	188978	188978
Error	78	888001	11385
Total	79	1076978	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C5	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	13000	316.2	78.0	16.8	238.3	2.26R
12	1869000	112.1	288.8	41.7	-176.7	-1.80 X
42	352000	340.4	116.5	12.2	224.0	2.11R
64	1494000	382.1	246.2	31.9	135.9	1.33 X
80	2117000	446.9	317.0	48.4	129.9	1.37 X

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c6

The regression equation is

C4 = 13045 + 0.00468 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	13045	6767	1.93
C6	0.004678	0.001453	3.22

s = 40297 R-sq = 11.7% R-sq(adj) = 10.6%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	16838246400	16838246400
Error	78	126657953792	1623819776
Total	79	143496183808	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
17	12264000	74980	70420	13539	4560	0.12 X
36	13236000	0	74967	14878	-74967	-2.00RX
42	1774000	115896	21345	5139	94551	2.37R
64	2634000	146034	25368	4668	120666	3.01R
76	7947000	132298	50224	7905	82074	2.08R
78	14083000	55536	78929	16056	-23393	-0.63 X
80	6091000	199719	41541	5894	158178	3.97R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c30 1 c6

The regression equation is

C30 = 84.4 + 0.000012 C6

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	84.38	18.70	4.51
C6	0.00001194	0.00000401	2.97

s = 111.4 R-sq = 10.2% R-sq(adj) = 9.0%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	109626	109626
Error	78	967352	12402
Total	79	1076978	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C6	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
17	12264000	273.8	230.8	37.4	43.0	0.41 X
36	13236000	0.0	242.4	41.1	-242.4	-2.34RX
42	1774000	340.4	105.6	14.2	234.9	2.13R
64	2634000	382.1	115.8	12.9	266.3	2.41R
78	14083000	235.7	252.5	44.4	-16.8	-0.16 X
80	6091000	446.9	157.1	16.3	289.8	2.63R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > hist c5

Histogram of C5 N = 80

Midpoint	Count
0	18 *****
200000	19 *****
400000	19 *****
600000	8 *****
800000	5 *****
1000000	4 *****
1200000	3 *****
1400000	2 *****
1600000	0
1800000	1 *
2000000	0
2200000	1 *

MTB > hist c6

Histogram of C6 N = 80

Midpoint	Count
0	22 *****
2000000	20 *****
4000000	17 *****
6000000	11 *****
8000000	7 *****
10000000	0
12000000	1 *
14000000	2 **

MTB > let c31=logt(c5)

MTB > hist c31

Histogram of C31 N = 80

Midpoint	Count
3.6	2 **
3.8	0
4.0	0
4.2	2 **
4.4	2 **
4.6	1 *
4.8	8 *****
5.0	8 *****
5.2	10 *****
5.4	6 *****
5.6	17 *****
5.8	12 *****
6.0	8 *****
6.2	3 *****
6.4	1 *

```
MTB > let c32 = sqrt(c6)
MTB > hist c32
```

Histogram of C32 N = 80

Midpoint	Count	
0	2	***
500	9	*****
1000	17	*****
1500	14	*****
2000	17	*****
2500	11	*****
3000	7	*****
3500	2	**
4000	1	*

```
MTB > regr c4 1 c31
```

The regression equation is
C4 = - 88913 + 21964 C31

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-88913	44333	-2.01
C31	21964	8192	2.68

s = 41042 R-sq = 8.4% R-sq(adj) = 7.3%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	12107689984	12107689984
Error	78	131388473344	1684467456
Total	79	143496118272	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C31	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	4.11	100000	1445	11359	98554	2.50RX
14	3.60	3079	-9798	15290	12877	0.34 X
21	3.60	73154	-9798	15290	82951	2.18RX
42	5.55	115896	32911	4782	82985	2.04R
64	6.17	146034	46700	7947	99334	2.47R
76	5.88	132298	40328	6161	91970	2.27R
80	6.33	199719	50025	8988	149694	3.74R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

```
MTB > regr c30 1 c31
```

The regression equation is
C30 = - 181 + 57.1 C31

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-181.3	122.0	-1.49
C31	57.08	22.55	2.53

s = 113.0 R-sq = 7.6% R-sq(adj) = 6.4%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	81764	81764
Error	78	995215	12759
Total	79	1076978	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C31	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
5	4.11	316.2	53.5	31.3	262.8	2.42RX
14	3.60	55.5	24.3	42.1	31.2	0.30 X
21	3.60	270.5	24.3	42.1	246.2	2.35RX
80	6.33	446.9	179.7	24.7	267.2	2.42R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c4 1 c32

The regression equation is

C4 = - 1993 + 18.8 C32

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	-1993	9845	-0.20
C32	18.817	5.281	3.56

s = 39776 R-sq = 14.0% R-sq(adj) = 12.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	20089384960	20089384960
Error	78	123406778368	1582138112
Total	79	143496118272	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C32	C4	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
36	3638	0	66467	11338	-66467	-1.74 X
42	1332	115896	23070	4779	92826	2.35R
64	1623	146034	28547	4452	117487	2.97R
76	2819	132298	51054	7552	81244	2.08R
78	3753	55536	68624	11897	-13088	-0.34 X
80	2468	199719	44448	6151	155271	3.95R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

MTB > regr c30 1 c32

The regression equation is

C30 = 43.0 + 0.0498 C32

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio
Constant	43.05	27.12	1.59
C32	0.04980	0.01455	3.42

s = 109.6 R-sq = 13.1% R-sq(adj) = 11.9%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	1	140675	140675
Error	78	936304	12004
Total	79	1076978	

Unusual Observations

Obs.	C32	C30	Fit	Stdev.Fit	Residual	St.Resid
36	3638	0.0	224.2	31.2	-224.2	-2.13RX
42	1332	340.4	109.4	13.2	231.1	2.12R
64	1623	382.1	123.9	12.3	258.3	2.37R
78	3753	235.7	229.9	32.8	5.7	0.05 X
80	2468	446.9	165.9	16.9	281.0	2.60R

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.

X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

The regression equation is

$$C4 = 688 + 0.0372 C5 + 7.49 C32$$

s = 37875 R-sq = 23.0% R-sq(adj) = 21.0%


SOURCE	DF	SS	MS
Regression	2	33037799424	16518897664
Error	77	110458372096	1434524160
Total	79	143496118272	

Unusual Observations

R denotes an obs. with a large st. resid.
X denotes an obs. whose X value gives it large influence.

A scatter plot showing the relationship between variables C4 (Y-axis) and C5 (X-axis). The Y-axis ranges from 0 to 180,000 with major ticks every 60,000. The X-axis ranges from 0 to 2,000,000 with major ticks every 400,000. The plot contains approximately 2,000 data points, represented by 'x' marks. Most points are clustered near the origin (C5 < 800,000, C4 < 60,000). There are several distinct clusters of points at higher C4 values, particularly around C4 = 120,000. A few points are located at very high C5 values, near 2,000,000, with C4 values around 10,000 and 190,000.

MTB > plot c4 c6



APPENDIX G

CHART OF COMPLEXITY

project type	Ranges					
	0	0- \$10,000	\$10,000- \$50,000	\$50,000- \$100,000	\$100,000- \$500,000	\$500,000 & above
NOT COMPLEX						
# of projects in range	6	11	9	5	3	0
% of # in range to total NC projects	17.7	32.4	26.5	14.7	8.8	0
% of NC projects to total in range	35.3	35.3	42.3	41.7	30.0	0
COMPLEX						
# of projects in range	10	14	12	4	5	1
% of # in range to total C projects	21.7	30.4	26.1	8.7	10.9	2.2
% of C projects to total in range	58.8	53.9	50.0	33.3	50.0	50.0
VERY COMPLEX						
# of projects in range	1	1	3	3	2	1
% of # in range to total VC projects	9.1	9.1	27.3	27.3	18.2	9.1
% of VC projects to total in range	5.9	3.8	12.5	25.0	20.0	50.0
Total						
# of projects in range	17	26	24	12	10	2
% of # in range to all 91 projects	18.7	28.6	26.4	13.2	11.0	2.2

% of total (1)	% with A/E liability (2)	% with A/E liability of total 1x2
NC 34/91 = 37.3%	28/34 = 82.3%	30.7
C 46/91 = 50.6%	36/46 = 78.3%	39.6
VC 11/91 = 12.1%	10/11 = 90.9%	11.0

LIST OF REFERENCES

1. Cushman, Robert F., Esquire, ed., Avoiding Liability in Architecture, Design and Construction, New York: Wiley-Interscience, 1983.
2. Black's Law Dictionary, revised fourth edition, by The Publishers Editorial Staff, West Publishing Co. 1968, P. 1184.
3. Construction Risks and Liability Sharing, 2 Vols., Publication 048-4, Conference Proceedings, American Society of Civil Engineers, 1979.
4. Lee, David M., ed., Liability in Construction Management, Publication 383-1, Conference Proceedings, American Society of Civil Engineers, 1983.
5. Myers, John F., Esquire, "Claims by the Federal Government Against its A/E." in Guidelines for Improving Practice section, Architect and Engineers Professional Liability, Volume XIV, Number 3, 1984.
6. U.S. Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Contracting Manual, NAVFAC P-68, Feb 1985, Including change 10 of 6 Aug 1986.
7. U.S. Department of the Navy, Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Instruction 4330.42E, Architect/Engineer (A/E) Design Deficiencies: Procedures for Determining A/E Liability and Processing of Action in Connection Therewith, 13 Feb 1984.
8. "Special Law on Defective Design Voided," in Court Decisions section, Civil Engineering, Apr 1986, P. 30.
9. Peck, Dale Wayne, The Policy and Regulatory Responsibilities of Construction Management, Thesis, Univ. of Washington, May 1981.

10. Liao, Shu S., "Analytical Techniques For Financial Planning and Control," manuscript draft, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, 1986.
11. Economic Indicators, 99th Congress, 2nd Session, Prepared for the Joint Economic Committee by the Council of Economic Advisers; U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C., August 1986, P. 19.
12. Economic Indicators, 97th Congress, 2nd Session, Prepared for the Joint Economic Committee by the Council of Economic Advisers; U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C., July 1982, P. 19.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

"AE Firm Liable under Six Year Statute," in Court Decisions sections, Civil Engineering, Oct 1985, P. 26.

"Construction Date Holds in Defective Design Case," in Court Decisions section, Civil Engineer, Mar 1986, P.27.

"Contractor Can't Sue Engineer," in Court Decisions section, Civil Engineering, Feb 1986, P. 22.

Cottingham, Jack and Akenhead, Robert, Site Investigation and the Law, Publication 0188-6, American Society of Civil Engineers, 1984.

"Design Error: Engineer vs Builder," in Court Decisions section, Civil Engineering, Dec 1985, P. 22.

"Designer Held Liable for Water Leakage," In Court Decisions section, Civil Engineering, May 1986, P. 36.

"Engineer Held Negligent," in Court Decisions section, Civil Engineering, May, 1986, P. 36.

Howell, Edward B. and Richard P., Untangling the Web of Professional Liability, 2nd ed., Design Professionals Insurance Company, 1976

Lunch, Milton F., "The Liability Crisis," White Paper, NSPE Publication Number 1975, National Society of Professional Engineers, Dec.1985.

O'Brien, James J., CPM in Construction Management, 3rd ed., New York: McGraw-Hill, 1984.

"Overly Strict Inspections: A Contractor Can Get Even," in Legal Trends section, Civil Engineering, Aug 1986, p.34.

Quinn, Stephen B., Ed., Professional Inspection of Construction, Publication 421-8, Symposium Proceedings, American Society of Civil Engineers, 1984.

Rowland, Henry J., The Causes and Effects of Change Orders on the Construction Process, Thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, Nov 1981

Schoumacher, Bruce H., "Limiting Liability in the Professional Service Agreement," Specifying Engineer, Jan. 1985, PP.47-48,53,56.

U.S. Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Contracting Manual, NAVFAC P-68, Feb 1985, including change 10 of 6 Aug 1986.

U.S. Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Instruction 4335.3A, Architect/Engineer (A/E) Design Deficiencies: Guidelines for Establishing and Potential A/E Contractor Liability, 31 Oct 1983.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No. Copies
1.	Defence Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22304-6145	2
2.	Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5002	2
3.	Commanding Officer, (Code C35) Naval School, Civil Engineer Corps Officers Naval Construction Battalion Center Port Hueneme, California 93043	1
4.	CDR Charles E. Allen, CEC, USN, (Code 09AA) Western Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command PO Box 727 San Bruno, California 94066	1
5.	Alan Polette, (Code 04A2.3) Western Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command PO Box 727 San Bruno, California 94066	1
6.	James E. Maynard 19328 1st N.W. Seattle, Washington 98177	1
7.	Professor James M. Fremgen, Code 54Fm Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5000	1

- | | | |
|-----|--|---|
| 8. | LCDR J. R. Duke, SC, USN, Code 54Dc
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California 93943-5000 | 1 |
| 9. | Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange
U.S. Army Logistics Management Center
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801-6043 | 1 |
| 10. | LT Mark E. Maynard
Naval Construction Training Center
Port Hueneme, California 93043 | 2 |
| 11. | Robert E. Hansen
7582 Acacia Ave.
Mentor, Ohio 44060 | 1 |

END

4-1-87

DTIC