

AD-A179 543

USE OF 16S RIBOSOMAL RNA SEQUENCES TO INFER
RELATIONSHIPS AMONG ARCHAEABACTERIA(U) INDIANA UNIV AT
BLOOMINGTON DEPT OF BIOLOGY G J OLSEN ET AL 16 APR 87

1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

NR0014-86-K-0268

F/G 6/3

ML





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1963 O - 348-094

u
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

DTIC FILE COPY

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (U)			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS NA	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY NA			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Distribution Unlimited	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE NA			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) NA	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Department of Biology, Indiana University		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) NA	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 800 N. Quincey Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Jordan Hall 142 Bloomington, IN 47405		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00014-86-K-0268		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) ONR	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 800 N. Quincey Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO 61153B	PROJECT NO. RR04106	TASK NO. 4412-016
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Use of 16S Ribosomal RNA Sequences to infer Relationships among Archaeobacteria: Annual Report (U)				
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Olsen, Gary J., and Pace, Norman R.				
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Annual		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 4/15/86 TO 4/14/87		15. PAGE COUNT 10
14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1987, 4, 16				
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION				
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD 08	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Archaeobacteria; Eubacteria; Eukaryotes; 16S Ribosomal RNA; Phylogeny; rRNA; RNA Sequencing; Molecular Clock; Urkingdoms; Microbial Ecology; Hydrothermal Vents; Hot Springs; Metazoa	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) We have increased the amount of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) sequence data that can be gathered by dideoxynucleotide-terminated sequencing from rRNA-specific primers with reverse transcriptase. We have compiled phylogenetically useful, partial or complete sequences of the 16S rRNA from about 220 organisms and organelles. An aligned collection of published 16S rRNA sequences is available in printed form or in several electronically accessible forms. The 16S rRNA data were used to infer the relationships among the archaeobacteria, and of the archaeobacteria to the eubacteria and eukaryotes. Our programs for phylogenetic tree inference are available for use in the VAX/VMX operating system environment. We examined sources of systematic error in the inference of molecular phylogenies and concluded that lineage-to-lineage variations in the rate of accumulating mutations in the rRNA gene can lead to significant errors, which can be decreased by using models of sequence evolution that acknowledge that all sites in the molecule are not equally mutable. Keywords:				
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (U)	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Eli Schnell			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 696-4760	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL ONR

DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR

83 APR edition may be used until exhausted

All other editions are obsolete.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

A

4

21 088



Use of 16S Ribosomal RNA Sequences to Infer

Relationships among Archaeobacteria

ANNUAL REPORT

Goals

- a) Use the phylogeny of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) sequences to determine the evolutionary relationships among archaeobacteria.
- b) Insure that 16S rRNA sequence data for the best-characterized archaeobacterial species are available to provide an overall phylogenetic framework within which other species can be placed.
- c) Maximize the reliability of the inferred phylogenies and define the limitations of these phylogenies.
- d) Actively work with other investigators to produce 16S rRNA sequence data from the archaeobacteria of greatest interest to them so that a unified body of data will accumulate relating many diverse archaeobacteria.

Accomplishments

(numbers in text refer to the listing of publications and presentations below)

Because of the general applicability of the sequencing, data collection, and data analysis techniques which form the core of our ONR funded project, there is substantial synergism between the ONR project and the other phylogenetic and natural microbial populations work in the laboratory. In order to fully reflect the progress on the ONR project, portions of the overlapping work are included below.

Rapid rRNA sequencing methodology

The techniques for rapidly determining partial 16S rRNA sequences by dideoxynucleotide-terminated sequencing from "universal" 16S-rRNA-specific primers with reverse transcriptase have been refined to yield more data per molecule (in particular by decreasing the number of sequence positions of ambiguous identity). The current protocol will appear in a forthcoming volume of Methods in Enzymology devoted to cyanobacteria (10). In addition, we are approximately three-fourths through the writing of a comprehensive "laboratory manual" which explains all of the procedures (from RNA isolation through phylogenetic analysis) at a level appropriate for non-molecular biologists.

Sequencing efforts in the laboratory have focused on the accumulation of partial sequences of 16S rRNAs from members of two eubacterial groups: the sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (in collaboration with Arthur Harrison, University of Missouri, Columbia) and the cyanobacteria (14, 17). Also, we have taught the rapid rRNA sequencing technique to, and have collaborated with, Michael Ghiselin (California Academy of Science), Rudolf Raff (Indiana University), Elizabeth Raff (Indiana University), and Marilyn Milberger (Craig Nelson laboratory, Indiana University) for the purpose of inferring the relationships among the metazoan phyla (5, 11, 15, 20). Several additional investigators have visited our laboratory for assistance in learning to do rapid rRNA sequencing: Dan Distel (Scripps Institute of Oceanography), to study sulfur-oxidizing symbionts of clams (13); Reinhardt Rossen (Institute for Great Lakes Research), to study lake microbiology; Peggy Romero (Howard Gest laboratory, Indiana University), to study an unusual group of photosynthetic bacteria; Farooq Azam and Michelle Pontius-Brewer (both from Scripps Institute of Oceanography), to study ocean microbiology; Jed Fuhrman (State University of New York, Stony Brook), to study ocean microbiology; Colleen Cavanaugh (Harvard University), to study sulfur- and methane-oxidizing symbionts of marine invertebrates; and Tineke Burger-Wiersma (University of Amsterdam), to study the free-living prochlorophyte, Prochlorothrix hollandica. We have also collaborated with Paul Romaniuk (University of Victoria, British Columbia, Canada) on a phylogenetic analysis of the genus Campylobacter (8).

One reason for accumulating 16S rRNA sequences from diverse organisms is to provide a data base for use in phylogenetically "identifying" rRNA genes which are isolated directly from the DNA present in the biomass of a natural population (3, 4, 9, 19). The rRNA gene characterizations provide an overview of the component organisms in the population, without requiring laboratory cultivation of the organisms. We had previously isolated (as recombinant DNAs) the rRNA genes from the microbial community of a 91°C hot spring (Octopus Hot Spring in Yellowstone National Park). Three rRNA genes (two eubacterial and one archaeobacterial) from this population have now been partially sequenced and are nearly ready for phylogenetic analysis. We have also assisted David Ward (Montana State University, Bozeman), who is using these techniques to characterize the microbial mat communities associated with Octopus Hot Spring.

Analysis of archaeobacterial phylogeny

Approximately 220 phylogenetically useful, partial or complete 16S rRNA sequences from a wide variety of organisms and organelles have been compiled. Analyses of the sequence relationships within the archaeobacteria and the relationships of the archaeobacteria to other organisms have been published (1, 2, 16, 18). The analyses render untenable the suggestions of Lake and colleagues (Lake et al., 1985) that the eubacteria derive from photosynthetic archaeobacteria (halobacteria). Instead, our analyses support a view in which the archaeobacteria form a distinct (holophyletic) group. (See below for a discussion of alternative approaches to the sequence data analysis.)

Availability of analysis programs

We have written a detailed description of our tree inference method for a Methods in Enzymology volume on ribosomes (7). Our phylogenetic tree inference programs have been improved so that it is possible to examine

systematically the best alternatives to the "optimal tree." We are providing copies of our sequence analysis and tree inference programs (which are dependent upon the VAX/VMS computing environment) to several institutions: the University of Illinois, Urbana; Montana State University, Bozeman; the University of Victoria; National Jewish Center for Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Denver; the State University of New York, Stony Brook; the Dana-Farber Cancer Research Center, Boston; and Kings College, London.

Availability of 16S rRNA sequence data

The published 16S rRNA sequences in our data collection are available either individually or in aligned form. We can provide them in a printed copy, on nine-track tape, by dial-up connection to our MicroVAX (running the VMS operating system), or by BITNET electronic mail. The format of the aligned sequences is a text file, arranged similarly to a published sequence alignment. The nucleotides are supplied in IUB recommended representation, and the alignment gaps are represented by hyphens. Minor changes of format could be made to accomodate other needs.

Potential systematic errors in phylogenetic tree inference

We have investigated the potential for systematic errors in the phylogenies inferred from rRNA sequences resulting from disparate rates of mutation acceptance (different average "molecular clock" rates). The potential for error in the inferred phylogenetic trees can be substantially decreased by utilizing a more realistic model of sequence evolution which acknowledges that all sites in the 16S rRNA are not equally mutable (18). Specifically, we have examined the effect of assuming that the relative substitution rates across the 16S rRNA fits a log-normal distribution function. For the approximately 950 positions that we routinely analyze, the empirically determined width of the distribution is such that 95% of the sequence positions change at rates between 1/8'th and 8 times the median rate of change. Although initial studies were based upon the relationships of mitochondrial rRNAs, the observations have proven to very general. Other groups in which lineage-to-lineage differences in the rate of fixed mutation accumulation potentially influence the accurate inference of phylogenetic relationships include archaeobacteria (archaebacterial rRNAs have evolved more slowly than the rRNAs of one or both other kingdoms, and among the archaeobacteria there are also substantial variations) (1), echinoderms (5, 11), major eubacterial divisions, and chloroplasts in relation to cyanobacteria (14, 17). When we apply this alternative data treatment to the investigation of the relationships of archaeobacteria with eukaryotes and eubacteria, we arrive at the same answer as we have in the past: the archaeobacteria are distinct from these two other groups.

Comparing the sensitivity of various tree inference methods to statistical error

There has been significant controversy regarding the "correct" method of analysis of sequence data. We have taken initial steps toward a quantitative analysis of the various techniques. We originally chose a distance matrix method of phylogenetic tree inference because Schwartz and Dayhoff (1978) had presented evidence that it is statistically superior to parsimony-type analyses, and Felsenstein (1978) had demonstrated a significant source of

systematic error intrinsic to parsimony-based analyses. Because there appear to be few citations of the Schwartz and Dayhoff conclusion, we have performed similar, but more exhaustive, simulations of phylogeny reconstruction by parsimony, a distance method, and, also, cluster analysis. These studies have led us to essentially the same conclusions as Schwartz and Dayhoff, although the magnitude of the superiority of the distance method is much less for nucleotide sequences than for the amino acid sequence data considered by the previous studies.

Alternative analysis methods applied to archaeobacterial phylogeny

Wolters *et al.* (1986) have argued that a proper cladistic analysis of 16S rRNA sequences reveals a specific relationship between eukaryotes and thermophilic (sulfur-dependent) archaeobacteria, to the exclusion of the eubacteria, methanogens and halophilic archaeobacteria. By restricting their analysis to slowly varying sequence positions (conveniently identified by their lack of variation within well-established groups, i.e. positions that are conserved among eukaryotic rRNAs and conserved among eubacterial rRNAs) they limited the analysis to data for which parsimony-based methods should be appropriate. Wolters *et al.* note three 16S rRNA sequence positions (1303, 1334 and 1408 in the *Escherichia coli* sequence) at which eukaryotic and thermophilic archaeobacterial rRNAs share a common nucleotide, while the other sequences share a different nucleotide. Thus, these three positions are most parsimoniously explained by a specific eukaryote/thermophilic-archaeobacteria relationship, a view previously expressed by Lake *et al.* (1984). However, it is not clear how these authors can ignore the analogous nucleotide usage patterns at positions 338, 367, 393, 923, 973, 1211, and 1393 (*E. coli* position numbers) which all specifically relate the eukaryotes to the eubacteria, and relate all the archaeobacteria to one another. Thus, the balance of the evidence in a parsimony analysis of slowly changing 16S rRNA sequence positions is that the archaeobacteria are a group. If one similarly considers the proposal of Lake *et al.* (1985) that the eubacteria are specifically related to the halophilic archaeobacteria, then there are additional slowly changing positions in conflict: 33, 332, 551, 939, 1074, 1083, and 1344. Thus, when used with the most slowly varying sequence positions in the molecule, those at which the method should be most reliable, a parsimony analysis gives the same interkingdom relationships as the distance matrix analysis.

Lake (1987) has argued that none of the above analysis methods adequately account for events in the peripheral branches of a phylogenetic tree that can mimic the early events which defined that actual branching order, and he has proposed an analysis technique, "evolutionary parsimony," that is intended to rectify the potential problem. In particular, the technique seeks to statistically eliminate any tendency for combinations of transversion type mutations in peripheral tree branches to be confused with transversions in the central branch of a four organism, unrooted phylogenetic tree. The inference of the correct branching order is then an issue of how many sequence positions have undergone a single transversion (actually any odd number would do) mutation in the central branch of the tree and no changes at the same positions in any of the peripheral branches. Rapidly changing positions will not contribute useful information since they will almost certainly have undergone one or more changes in the peripheral branches (which, in a

multikingdom phylogeny, span billions of years). When we apply Lake's analysis technique to the most slowly changing sequence positions (those that display no intragroup variation within the eukaryotes or within the eubacteria) we arrive at the same conclusions as we do with the distance matrix analyses: the archaebacteria are a united group, distinct from the eubacteria and eukaryotes.

Plans for the Next Year

- a) Complete the "lab manual" for the rapid sequencing of rRNAs.
- b) Expand the manual to include the isolation and sequencing of 16S rRNA genes from samples of natural populations.
- c) Effort will be made to provide our compilation and alignment of 16S rRNA sequence data in additional data formats (unfortunately, standardization of formats is poor for alignments of multiple sequences).
- d) Survey the authors of previously published 16S rRNA sequences for published and unpublished revisions to the sequences. There has been a tendency for such revisions to appear (when they appear at all) in contexts where they can easily be overlooked.
- e) Cooperate with the University of Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group to integrate phylogenetic tree inference programs into the package of programs which they distribute. As an initial step, we have agreed to assist them in implementing our programs on the University of Wisconsin campus.
- f) Transfer our phylogenetic tree inference programs to a supercomputer (most likely the Cray XMP at the University of Illinois National Center for Supercomputing Applications).
- g) Continue development of phylogenetic tree inference methods which are less sensitive to known sources of systematic and random error. Particular attention is being given to dealing with site-to-site and lineage-to-lineage variations in the mutation acceptance rate.

Recent Publications

1. Woese, C.R., and Olsen, G.J. (1986). Archaeobacterial Phylogeny: Perspectives on the Urkingdoms. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 7, 161-177. Also reprinted in Archaeobacteria '85, O. Kandler and W. Zillig (Eds.). Stuttgart and New York: Gustav Fischer Verlag, pp. 161-177.
2. Pace, N.R., Olsen, G.J., and Woese, C.R. (1986). Ribosomal RNA Phylogeny and the Primary Lines of Evolutionary Descent. Cell 45, 325-326 (mini-review).

3. Pace, N.R., Stahl, D.A., Lane, D.J., and Olsen, G.J. (1986). The Analysis of Natural Microbial Populations by Ribosomal RNA Sequences. Adv. Microbial Ecol. 9, 1-55.
4. Olsen, G.J., Lane, D.J., Giovannoni, S.J., Pace, N.R., and Stahl, D.A. (1986). Microbial Ecology and Evolution: A Ribosomal RNA Approach. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 40, 337-365.
5. Raff, R.A., Anstrom, J.A., Chin, J.E., Field, K.G., Ghiselin, M.T., Lane, D.J., Olsen, G.J., Pace, N.R., Parks, A.L., and Raff, E.C. (1987). Molecular and Developmental Correlates of Macroevolution. In Development as an Evolutionary Process, R.A. Raff and E.C. Raff (Eds.). New York: A.R. Liss, pp. 109-138.
6. Stahl, D.A., Lane, D.J., Olsen, G.J., Heller, D.J., Schmidt, T.M., and Pace, N.R. (1987). A Phylogenetic Analysis of certain Sulfur-Oxidizing and related Morphologically Conspicuous Bacteria by 5S Ribosomal RNA Sequences. Internat. J. Syst. Bacteriol., in press.
7. Olsen, G.J. (1987). Phylogenetic Analysis using Ribosomal RNA. Meth. Enzymol., in press.
8. Romaniuk, P.J., Zolrowska, B., Trust, T.J., Lane, D.J., Olsen, G.J., Pace, N.R., and Stahl, D.A. (1987). Campylobacter pyloridis: The Spiral Bacterium Associated with Human Gastritis is not a true Campylobacter. J. Bacteriol., in press.
9. Pace, N.R., Lane, D.J., Olsen, G.J., and Stahl, D.A. (1987). Phylogenetic Analysis of Organisms and Populations using Ribosomal RNA Sequences. Proceedings, Fourth International Symposium on Microbial Ecology, Ljubljana, Yugoslavia, August 24-29, 1986. In press.
10. Lane, D.J., Field, K.G., Olsen, G.J., and Pace, N.R. (1987). Reverse Transcriptase Sequencing of rRNA for Phylogenetic Analysis. Meth. Enzymol., in press.
11. Raff, R.A., Field, K.G., Ghiselin, M.T., Lane, D.J., Olsen, G.J., Parks, A.L., Parr, B.A., Pace, N.R., and Raff, E.C. (1987). Molecular Analysis of Distant Phylogenetic Relationships in Echinoderms. In Echinoderm Phylogeny and Evolutionary Biology, C.R.C. Paul and A.B. Smith (Eds.). London: Wiley, in press.
12. Karl, D.M., Taylor, G.T., Novitsky, J.A., Jannasch, H.W., Wirsén, C.O., Pace, N.R., Lane, D.J., Olsen, G.J., and Giovannoni, S.J. (1987). A Microbiological Study of Guaymas Basin High Temperature Hydrothermal Vents. In preparation.
13. Distel, D.L., Giovannoni, S.J., Lane, D.J., Olsen, G.J., Pace, N.R., Stahl, D.A., and Felbeck, H. (1987). Sulfur-Oxidizing Symbionts: Analysis of symbiont phylogeny, specificity, and origins by 16S ribosomal RNA sequences. In preparation.

14. Giovannoni, S.J., Turner, S., Olsen, G.J., Lane, D.J., and Pace, N.R. (1987). Evolutionary Relationships among Cyanobacteria and Chloroplasts. In preparation.
15. Field, K.G., Lane, D.J., Olsen, G.J., Giovannoni, S.J., Ghiselin, M.T., Pace, N.R., Raff, E.C., and Raff, R.A. (1987). Molecular Phylogeny of the Animal Kingdom Based on 18S Ribosomal RNA Sequences. In preparation.
16. Olsen, G.J. (1987). The Earliest Phylogenetic Branchings: Comparing rRNA-based evolutionary trees inferred with various techniques. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. In preparation.

Presentations of this work were given at the following meetings:

17. 86th Annual Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C., March 23-28, 1986.
18. Macromolecules, Genes, and Computers (organized by Dana-Farber Cancer Research Institute), Waterville Valley, New Hampshire, August 11-17, 1986.
19. Fourth International Symposium on Microbial Ecology, Ljubljana, Yugoslavia, August 24-29, 1986 (two talks).
20. American Society of Zoologists Annual Meeting, Nashville, TN, December 27-30, 1986.

Lituratione Cited

- Felsenstein, J. (1978). System. Zool. 27, 401-410.
- Lake, J.A. (1987). Mol. Biol. Evol. 4, 167-191.
- Lake, J.A., Clark, M.W., Henderson, E., Fay, S.P., Oakes, M., Scheinman, A., Thornber, J.P., and Mah, R.A. (1985). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 3716-3720.
- Lake, J.A., Henderson, E., Oakes, M., and Clark, M.W. (1984). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 3786-3790.
- Schwartz, R.M., and Dayhoff, M.O. (1978). Science 199, 395-403.
- Wolters, J., and Erdmann, V.A. (1986). J. Mol. Evol. 24, 152-166.

MOLECULAR BIOLOGY PROGRAM DISTRIBUTION LIST

ANNUAL, FINAL, AND TECHNICAL REPORTS (One copy each except as noted)

Dr. C. E. Ballou
Department of Biochemistry
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

Dr. Rita Colwell
Department of Microbiology
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742

Dr. Lacy Daniels
Department of Microbiology
University of Iowa
Iowa City, IA 52242

Dr. Patrick P. Dennis
Department of Biochemistry
University of British Columbia
2146 Health Sciences Mall
Vancouver, B.C. V6T 1W5

Dr. Henryk Eisenberg
The Weizmann Institute of Science
Department of Polymer Research
P.O. Box 26
Rehovot 76100, Israel

Dr. James G. Ferry
Department of Anaerobic Microbiology
Virginia Polytechnic Institute
and State University
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

Dr. Robert P. Gunsalus
Department of Microbiology
UCLA
405 Hilgard Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Dr. Ramesh Gupta
Southern Illinois University
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry
Carbondale, IL 62901

Dr. Holger W. Jannasch
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
Woods Hole, MA 02543

Dr. Jordan Konisky
University of Illinois
809 South Wright Street
Champaign, IL 61820

Dr. Mary E. Lidstrom
Center for Great Lakes Studies
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
Milwaukee, WI 53201

Dr. Ralph Mitchell
Division of Applied Sciences
Harvard University
125 Pierce Hall
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. Leonard Muscatine
Department of Biology
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

Dr. David P. Nagle
Department of Botany and Microbiology
University of Oklahoma
Norman, OK 73019

Dr. Gary J. Olsen
Department of Biology
Indiana University
Jordan Hall 138
Bloomington, Indiana 47405

Dr. Gregory J. Olson
Inorganic Materials Division
Center for Materials Science
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, DC 20234

Dr. Leo Parks
Department of Microbiology
North Carolina State University
Raleigh, NC 27695

Dr. John N. Reeve
Department of Microbiology
Ohio State University
484 West 12th Avenue
Columbia, OH 43210-1292

Dr. V. Romanovsky
Office d'Etudes Marines et Atmospherique
64 rue Gabrielle
1180, Bruxelles, Belgium

Dr. Saul Roseman
Department of Biology
Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, MD 21218

Dr. David C. White
Department of Microbiology
Institute of Applied Microbiology
University of Tennessee
Knoxville, TN 37996-0845

Dr. Carl R. Woese
Genetics Department
University of Illinois
505 S. Goodwin Avenue
Urbana, IL 61801

Dr. Ralph S. Wolfe
131 Burrill Hall
University of Illinois
Urbana, IL 61801

Dr. Eli D. Schmell, Code 1141MB
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Michael T. Marron, Code 1141MB
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Margo G. Haygood
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Adminstrator (2 copies, Enclose DTIC Form 50)
Defense Technical Information Center
Building 5, Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22314

ANNUAL AND FINAL REPORTS ONLY (One copy each)
Commander
Chemical and Biological Sciences Division
Army Research Office
P. O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Directorate of Life Sciences
Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Bolling Air Force Base
Washington, DC 20332

Chemistry and Atmospheric Sciences Directorate
Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Bolling Air Force Base
Washington, DC 20332

Director
Biotechnology Division
CRDEC
Aberdeen Proving Grounds, MD 21010

Administrative Contracting Officer
ONR Resident Representative
(Address varies - obtain from your business office)

Director, Code 12
Applied Research and Technology Directorate
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Director, Code 22
Support Technology Directorate
Office of Naval Technology
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Director, Code 112
Environmental Sciences Directorate
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Director, Code 113
Chemistry Division
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

FINAL AND TECHNICAL REPORTS ONLY

Director (6 copies)
Naval Research Laboratory
Attn: Technical Information Division, Code 2627
Washington, DC 20375

END

5-87

DTIC