

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

12

DTIC FILE COPY
AD

TECHNICAL REPORT ARCCB-TR-87015

**SOME RESULTS ON ORTHOTROPIC
HIGH PRESSURE CYLINDERS**

AD-A182 535

G. PETER O'HARA

JUNE 1987

DTIC
ELECTE
JUL 21 1987
S
D
CE



**US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT
AND ENGINEERING CENTER
CLOSE COMBAT ARMAMENTS CENTER
BENÉT WEAPONS LABORATORY
WATERVLIET, N.Y. 12189-4050**

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless ~~so~~ designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade name(s) and/or manufacturer(s) does not constitute an official indorsement or approval.

DESTRUCTION NOTICE

For classified documents, follow the procedures in DoD 5200.22-M, Industrial Security Manual, Section II-19 or DoD 5200.1-R, Information Security Program Regulation, Chapter IX.

For unclassified, limited documents, destroy by any method that will prevent disclosure of contents or reconstruction of the document.

For unclassified, unlimited documents, destroy when the report is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER ARCCB-TR-87015	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) SOME RESULTS ON ORTHOTROPIC HIGH PRESSURE CYLINDERS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) G. Peter O'Hara		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army ARDEC Benet Weapons Laboratory, SMCAR-CCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189-4050		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS AMCMS No. 6920.OR.8970.021 PRON No. 1A62ZHFCNMLC
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS US Army ARDEC Close Combat Armaments Center Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000		12. REPORT DATE June 1987
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 25
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Cylinder Stress Strain Composite		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) With the current emphasis on composite materials, it has become necessary to use the thick-wall cylinder equations for cylindrically orthotropic materials. This report is a preliminary investigation of these equations. The most important result is that many of the old ideas of high pressure cylinders will have to be changed. When the cylinder is very thin (wall ratio near 1.0), the simple thin-wall equations are adequate. However, as the thickness increases, stress variation through the wall becomes large and the full thick-wall (CONT'D ON REVERSE)		

20. ABSTRACT (CONT'D)

solution is necessary. In orthotropic (composite) cylinders this transition happens at a lower wall ratio. Furthermore, the maximum useful wall ratio may become smaller for a composite cylinder. (Keywords) →

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF SYMBOLS	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
GEOMETRY	2
Thick-Wall Equations	2
RESULTS	6
DISCUSSION	7
CONCLUSION	7
REFERENCES	8
APPENDIX A	13
APPENDIX B	18

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

1. Cylinder Geometry.	9
2. Solution Error Versus Wall Ratio.	10
3. Growth Potential Versus Wall Ratio.	11
4. Failure Pressure Versus Wall Ratio.	12

Accession For	
DTIC SERIAL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Normal or	
Dist	Special
A-1	



LIST OF SYMBOLS

- a = the inner radius
- A = the material compliance matrix
- b = the outer radius
- C = the cylinder geometry parameter
- D = simplified orthotropic material parameter
- E_h = engineering modulus in the hoop direction
- E_r = engineering modulus in the radial direction
- h = a material constant for axial load equations
- i = matrix row index
- j = matrix column index
- k = orthotropic material parameter
- p = internal pressure
- P = axial force
- PP = plane-strain axial force
- q = external pressure
- r = radius
- T = calculated constant for the axial load equations
- W = wall ratio
- x = radial direction
- y = circumferential or hoop direction
- z = axial direction
- β_{ij} = compliance for the cylindrical problem
- ϵ_i = strain in the i direction
- σ_i = stress in the i direction

- 1 = matrix position for the x direction
- 2 = matrix position for the y direction
- 3 = matrix position for the z direction
- [] = matrix of values

INTRODUCTION

The effective use of composites for high pressure cylinders requires that the thick-wall cylinder equations be rewritten to include cylindrically orthotropic material properties. This process adds several complicating factors to the analysis. First, is the addition of two more moduli of elasticity and two more Poisson's ratios to the material properties. Second, is that axial stress in the cylinder is no longer a constant in elastic analysis. In the new case an axial force will produce a correction to all three principal stresses which are a function of radius.

Several factors which simplify the analysis of cylinders are common to both the isotropic and orthotropic analysis. The principal stress directions correspond to the principal geometry directions of both the cylinder and the applied pressures. This fact eliminates shear effects from the analysis. The next simplification is that the geometry can be nondimensionalized by dividing by the internal radius and it is specified by the wall ratio ($W = b/a$). The two loads are internal and external pressure which are also nondimensional. In fact, stress can be specified as a function of either applied pressure when the ratio of internal to external pressure is a constant.

The form of the equations used was proposed by Voyiadjis, Kiouisis, and Hartley (ref 1) in a 1985 paper dealing with the residual stresses in metal cylinders that have been subjected to large plastic deformation. However, it has been necessary to go back to the original work of Lekhnitskii (ref 2) and

¹G. Z. Voyiadjis, P. D. Kiouisis, and C. S. Hartley, "Analysis of Residual Stresses in Cylindrically Anisotropic Materials," Experimental Mechanics, Vol. 25, No. 2, June 1985, pp. 145-147.

²S. G. Lekhnitskii, Theory of Elasticity of an Anisotropic Elastic Body, Holden-Day Inc., San Francisco, 1963.

develop the equations more fully. In the metallic problem the difference in the engineering properties is not very great and some simplifying assumptions are possible. In contrast, the composite materials show a very large difference in properties with direction and the full set of equations is necessary. The assumptions that will be used in this report are that of a linear elastic cylindrically orthotropic material.

GEOMETRY

The problem is that of a long cylinder (Figure 1) with flat ends. The cylinder has a constant inner radius 'a' and outer radius 'b'. The ends are fully constrained in the axial direction or the classic plane-strain condition. The material is assumed to be cylindrically orthotropic with its principal axes coincident with the cylinder. This assumption provides for constant engineering properties in each of the three coordinate directions: radial, circumferential, and axial. While this report will only use the internal pressure load, the equations are written for constant pressure loads on either the internal or external surfaces. Also, this report will only use the plane-strain end condition, but will quote the equations for axial loads necessary to calculate other end load conditions.

Thick-Wall Equations

For this work, Hooke's Law is used in the following form:

$$[e] = [A][\sigma]$$

This must be modified for use in the cylindrical problem and a new material compliance matrix is generated in using the transformation equation

$$\beta_{ij} = A_{ij} - \frac{A_{i3}A_{3j}}{A_{33}}$$

In general, this new matrix must be transformed to supply the proper values as the function of the angular position in the cylinder. However, in the case of a cylindrically orthotropic material, this matrix has constant values.

In order to solve thick-wall cylinder problems for a variety of end load conditions, i.e., the generalized plane-strain, it is necessary to have two sets of equations. The first set of four equations is the plane-strain solution for a cylinder under a combination of internal and external pressure. The second set is for the cylinder with an axial load applied. With these equations the stresses can be calculated for any end load condition by superposition. Both sets of equations contain a single equation for stress in the radial, tangential, and axial directions. However, each set contains a fourth equation. In the pressure load set this equation calculates the total axial load generated by the plane-strain condition. In contrast, in the axial load set the fourth equation calculates a rather complicated constant used in the other three equations. The eight equations were taken from Reference 2 and corrected for several minor typographical errors, and all terms were eliminated which are zero for orthotropic materials. The resulting equations are given below at the 'a' equation in a pair (1a, 2a, ... 8a). The 'b' equation is the same equation in the form suggested by Reference 1. The conversion is done in two simple steps.

$$1 = \frac{b^{2k}}{b^k - 1} \frac{b^{2k}}{b^{k+1} - 1} = \frac{b^{2k} b^{2k}}{b^k - 1} \frac{b^{2k}}{b^{k+1} - 1}$$

Next, make the following substitution:

$$C_0 = \frac{a}{b}$$

¹G. Z. Voyiadjis, P. D. Kiousis, and C. S. Hartley, "Analysis of Residual Stresses in Cylindrically Anisotropic Materials," Experimental Mechanics, Vol. 25, No. 2, June 1985, pp. 145-147.

²S. G. Lekhnitskii, Theory of Elasticity of an Anisotropic Elastic Body, Holden-Day, Inc., San Francisco, 1963.

The equations for pressure load are:

$$\sigma_r = \frac{pa^{k+1} - qb^{k+1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} r^{k-1} + \frac{qa^{k-1} - pb^{k-1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} a^{k+1}b^{k+1}r^{-k-1} \quad (1a)$$

$$\sigma_r = \left[\frac{pC_0^{k+1} - q}{(1-C_0^{2k})} \right] \left(\frac{r}{b} \right)^{k-1} + \left[\frac{qC_0^{k-1} - p}{(1-C_0^{2k})} \right] C_0^{k+1} \left(\frac{b}{r} \right)^{k+1} \quad (1b)$$

$$\sigma_\theta = \frac{pa^{k+1} - qb^{k+1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} kr^{k-1} - \frac{qa^{k-1} - pb^{k-1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} ka^{k+1}b^{k+1}r^{-k-1} \quad (2a)$$

$$\sigma_\theta = \left[\frac{pC_0^{k+1} - q}{(1-C_0^{2k})} \right] k \left(\frac{r}{b} \right)^{k-1} - \left[\frac{qC_0^{k-1} - p}{(1-C_0^{2k})} \right] k C_0^{k+1} \left(\frac{b}{r} \right)^{k+1} \quad (2b)$$

$$\sigma_z = -\frac{1}{A_{33}} \left[\frac{pa^{k+1} - qb^{k+1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} (A_{13} + kA_{23}) r^{k-1} + \frac{qa^{k-1} - pb^{k-1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} a^{k+1}b^{k+1} (A_{13} - kA_{23}) r^{-k-1} \right] \quad (3a)$$

$$\sigma_z = \frac{-1}{A_{33}} \left[\frac{(pC_0^{k+1} - q)}{(1-C_0^{2k})} \right] (A_{13} + kA_{23}) \left(\frac{r}{b} \right)^{k-1} + \left[\frac{qC_0^{k-1} - p}{(1-C_0^{2k})} \right] (A_{13} - kA_{23}) C_0^{k+1} \left(\frac{b}{r} \right)^{k+1} \quad (3b)$$

$$PP = -\frac{2\pi}{A_{33}(b^{2k} - a^{2k})} \left[(qb^{k+1} - pa^{k+1})(b^{k+1} - a^{k+1}) \frac{A_{13} + kA_{23}}{1+k} + (qa^{k-1} - pb^{k-1})(b^{k-1} - a^{k-1}) a^2 b^2 \cdot \frac{A_{13} - kA_{23}}{1-k} \right] \quad (4a)$$

$$PP = \frac{2\pi}{A_{33}(1-C_0^{2k})} \left[b^2(q-PC_0^{k+1})(1-C_0^{k+1}) \frac{A_{13} + kA_{23}}{1+k} \right. \\ \left. + a^2(qC_0^{k-1}-p)(1-C_0^{k-1}) \frac{A_{13} - kA_{23}}{1-k} \right] \quad (4b)$$

The equations for axial load are:

$$\sigma_r = \frac{Ph}{T} \left(1 - \frac{b^{k+1} - a^{k+1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} r^{k-1} - \frac{b^{k-1} - a^{k-1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} a^{k+1}b^{k+1}r^{-k-1} \right) \quad (5a)$$

$$\sigma_r = \frac{Ph}{T} \left[1 - \frac{(1-C_0^{k+1})}{(1-C_0^{2k})} \left(\frac{r}{b}\right)^{k-1} - \frac{(1-C_0^{k-1})}{(1-C_0^{2k})} C_0^{k+1} \left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{k+1} \right] \quad (5b)$$

$$\sigma_\theta = \frac{Ph}{T} \left(1 - \frac{b^{k+1} - a^{k+1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} kr^{k-1} + \frac{b^{k-1} - a^{k-1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} ka^{k+1}b^{k+1}r^{-k-1} \right) \quad (6a)$$

$$\sigma_\theta = \frac{Ph}{T} \left(1 - \frac{(1-C_0^{k+1})}{(1-C_0^{2k})} k \left(\frac{r}{b}\right)^{k-1} + \frac{(1-C_0^{k-1})}{(1-C_0^{2k})} kC_0^{k+1} \left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{k+1} \right) \quad (6b)$$

$$\sigma_z = \frac{P}{T} - \frac{Ph}{TA_{33}} \left[A_{13} + A_{23} - \frac{b^{k+1} - a^{k+1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} (A_{13} + kA_{23}) r^{k-1} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{b^{k-1} - a^{k-1}}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} a^{k+1}b^{k+1}(A_{13} - kA_{23}) r^{-k-1} \right] \quad (7a)$$

$$\sigma_z = \frac{P}{T} - \frac{Ph}{TA_{33}} \left[A_{13} + A_{23} - \frac{(1-C_0^{k-1})}{(1-C_0^{2k})} (A_{13} + kA_{23}) \left(\frac{r}{b}\right)^{k-1} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{(1-C_0^{k-1})}{1 - C_0^{2k}} (A_{13} - kA_{23}) C_0^{k+1} \left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{k+1} \right] \quad (7b)$$

$$T = \pi(b^2 - a^2) - \frac{2\pi h}{A_{33}} \left[\frac{b^2 - a^2}{2} (A_{13} + A_{23}) - \frac{(b^{k+1} - a^{k+1})^2}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} \cdot \frac{A_{13} + kA_{23}}{k + 1} - \frac{(b^{k-1} - a^{k-1})^2 a^2 b^2}{b^{2k} - a^{2k}} \cdot \frac{A_{13} - kA_{23}}{k - 1} \right] \quad (8a)$$

$$T = \pi(b^2 - a^2) - \frac{2\pi h}{A_{33}} \left[\frac{b^2 - a^2}{2} (A_{13} + A_{23}) - \frac{b^2(1 - C_0^{k+1})^2}{(1 - C_0^{2k})} \frac{(A_{13} - kA_{23})}{(k+1)} - \frac{a^2(1 - C_0^{k-1})^2}{(1 - C_0^{2k})} \frac{(A_{13} - kA_{23})}{(k-1)} \right] \quad (8b)$$

The two common material parameters are:

$$k = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{11}}{\beta_{22}}}, \quad h = \frac{A_{23} - A_{13}}{\beta_{11} - \beta_{22}}$$

These equations have been implemented as four FORTRAN subprograms: a function to set up the material constants and return simplified material parameter for printout, a subroutine to calculate the plane-strain force, a subroutine to return pressure stresses, and a subroutine to return stresses due to axial loads (see Appendix A). These can be incorporated easily into any other stress analysis program.

RESULTS

The new solution has been used to produce three plots. In each of these plots a parameter is plotted versus a wall ratio range of 1.0 to 2.5 and is calculated for the plane-strain end condition. The three parameters are solution error, growth potential, and failure pressure for failure in simple tension. The hoop stress (circumferential) at the bore is used in all cases because this is the critical stress in thick cylinders. Solution error is the

percent error when compared with the standard strength of materials solution for thin cylinders. Growth potential is the percent reduction in hoop stress when the wall is increased in thickness by one percent. The failure pressure assumes that the tube will fail in hoop tension at a stress of 100,000 pressure units. There are five curves on each plot for five values of the orthotropic material parameter D . D is the ratio defined by dividing the engineering modulus in the hoop direction by the modulus in the radial direction. For D equal to one, the tube material is isotropic and for D equal to 128, the material is highly orthotropic.

DISCUSSION

All three of these plots show the potential for serious problems with thick-wall composite tubes. The problems result from the low radial stiffness of the tubes relative to the higher hoop stiffness. It appears that the ratio of hoop stiffness divided by radial stiffness ($D \approx E_h/E_r$) is an important design parameter. As this ratio becomes larger, the tube has diminished ability to transfer load in the radial direction to the outer fibers. Therefore, the outer fibers may not have the ability to carry load as well as the material in the same position in an isotropic material.

CONCLUSION

The behavior of an orthotropic high pressure cylinder may be very different from that of an isotropic cylinder. Therefore, any composite design must proceed with extreme care. However, these equations must first be verified (Appendix B).

REFERENCES

1. G. Z. Voyiadjis, P. D. Kiouisis, and C. S. Hartley, "Analysis of Residual Stresses in Cylindrically Anisotropic Materials," Experimental Mechanics, Vol. 25, No. 2, June 1985, pp. 145-147.
2. S. G. Lekhnitskii, Theory of Elasticity of an Anisotropic Elastic Body, Holden-Day Inc., San Francisco, 1963.
- B-1. V. Verderaiame, "Development of In Situ Stiffness Properties For Shuttle Booster Filament Wound Case," NASA Technical Paper 2377, George C. Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL, August 1984.




FIG. 1

Cylinder Geometry

SOLUTION ERROR
HOOP TENSION




Figure 2

GROWTH POTENTIAL HOOP TENSION

For Composite Tubes
One Percent Wall Increase




Figure 3

FAILURE PRESSURE HOOP TENSION

THOUSANDS

For Composite Tubes

Maximum Stress = 100,000

Internal Pressure

- D = 1
- D = 2
- - - D = 6
- - - D = 32
- - - D = 120

2.4

2.2

2

1.6

1.6

1.4

1.2

1

Wall Ratio

PLANE STRAIN

$$D = E_h/E_r$$

Figure 4

APPENDIX A

SOME RESULTS ON ORTHOTROPIC HIGH PRESSURE CYLINDERS

The following four subprograms calculate stresses in a cylindrically orthotropic cylinder:

ORCYMA - is a function that sets up the material constants and returns a single parameter for use in output identification. (This must be called with each change of material.)

ORCYFO - is a subroutine that calculates the axial force due to the plane-strain end condition.

ORCYPR - is a subroutine that calculates stresses due to:

- (a) internal pressure
- (b) external pressure
- (c) twisting about the axis

ORCYTE - is a subroutine that calculates stresses due to an axial force.

```

C
C   - ORCYMA -
C
C   INPUT
C   IMAT = POINTER TO THE CURRENT MATERIAL COMPLIANCE MATRIX
C   STRESS = PLANE STRESS ANALYSIS ? (LOGICAL)
C
C   IN THE /MATERL/ COMMON BLOCK
C   AA = A SET OF MATERIAL COMPLIANCE PROPERTIES
C
C   OUTPUT
C   ORCYMA = A SIMPLIFIED MATERIAL CONSTANT FOR PRINTER OUTPUT ONLY
C
C   IN THE /MATERL/ COMMON BLOCK
C   THE MATERIAL CONSTANTS
C   K - K2 - KP1 - KN1 - APKA - AMKA - A33 - A13P23 - H
C
C   FUNCTION ORCYMA(IMAT,STRESS)
C   IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,K-Z)
C   LOGICAL STRESS
C   COMMON /MATERL/K,K2,KP1,KN1,APKA,AMKA,A33,A13P23,H,AA(6,6,15)
C
C   SET UP THE MATERIAL CONSTANTS
C
C   A11=AA(1,1,IMAT)
C   A22=AA(2,2,IMAT)
C   A13=AA(1,3,IMAT)
C   A23=AA(2,3,IMAT)
C   A33=AA(3,3,IMAT)
C   IF(.NOT.STRESS)THEN
C   B11=A11-A13*A13/A33
C   B22=A22-A23*A23/A33
C   ELSE
C   B11=A11
C   B22=A22
C   ENDF
C   D=B11/B22
C   K=DSQRT(D)
C   KN1=K-1.0 DO
C   KP1=K+1.0 DO
C   K2=K*2.0DO
C   APKA=A13+K*A23
C   AMKA=A13-K*A23
C   A13P23=A13+A23
C   H=0.0 DO
C   IF(B11.NE.B22)H=(A23-A13)/(B11-B22)
C   ORCYMA=D
C   RETURN
C   END

```

```

C
C   - ORCYFO -
C
C   INPUT
C   A = INNER RADIUS
C   B = OUTER RADIUS
C   PIN = INNER PRESSURE
C   POUT = OUTER PRESSURE
C
C   OUTPUT
C   PP = PLANE STRAIN FORCE
C
C   SUBROUTINE ORCYFO(A,B,PIN,POUT,PP)
C   IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,K-Z)
C   COMMON /MATERL/K,K2,KP1,KM1,APKA,AMKA,A33,A13P23,H
C   DATA PI/3.14159259 D0/
C
C   CALCULATE THE TOTAL AXIAL FORCE FOR PLANE STRAIN
C
C   CO=A/B
C   PP=B*B*(POUT-PIN*CO**KP1)*(1.0D0-CO**KP1)*APKA/(1.0D0+K)
C   IF(K.NE.1.0D0)THEN
C   PP=PP+A*A*(POUT*CO**KM1-PIN)*(1.0D0-CO**KM1)*AMKA/(1.0D0-K)
C   ENDIF
C   PP=(2.0D0*PI/(A33*(1.0D0-CO**K2)))*PP
C   RETURN
C   END

```

```

C
C   - ORCYPR -
C
C   INPUT
C   A = INNER RADIUS
C   B = OUTER RADIUS
C   R = LOCAL RADIUS
C   PIN = INNER PRESSURE
C   POUT = OUTER PRESSURE
C   M = TWISTING MOMENT
C
C   OUTPUT
C   SIG = PRINCIPAL STRESSES
C
C   SUBROUTINE ORCYPR(A,B,R,PIN,POUT,M,SIG)
C   IMPLICIT REAL*8(A-H,K-Z)
C   COMMON /MATERL/K,K2,KP1,KM1,APKA,AMKA,A33,A13P23,H
C   DIMENSION SIG(4)
C   DATA PI/3.14159259 D0/
C
C   CALCULATE THE STRESSES FROM INTERNAL PRESSURE, EXTERNAL PRESSURE
C   AND TORSION ABOUT THE AXIS
C
C   C0=A/B
C   RADIAL STRESS
C   SIG(1) = ((PIN*C0**KP1-POUT)/(1.0D0-C0**K2))*((R/B)**KM1)
C   A      +((POUT*C0**KM1-PIN)/(1.0D0-C0**K2))*C0**KP1*(B/R)**KP1
C   TANGENTIAL STRESS
C   SIG(2) = ((PIN*C0**KP1-POUT)/(1.0D0-C0**K2))*K*((R/B)**KM1)
C   A      -((POUT*C0**KM1-PIN)/(1.0D0-C0**K2))*K*C0**KP1*(B/R)**KP1
C   AXIAL STRESS
C   SIG(3)=((PIN*C0**KP1-POUT)/(1.0D0-C0**K2))*APKA*(R/B)**KM1
C   SIG(3)=SIG(3)+((POUT*C0**KM1-PIN)/(1.0D0-C0**K2))*AMKA*C0**KP1
C   A *(B/R)**KP1
C   SIG(3)=-SIG(3)/A33
C   SHEAR STRESS
C   SIG(4)=2.0D0*M*R/(PI*B**4*(1.0D0-C0**4))
C   RETURN
C   END

```


APPENDIX B

SOME RESULTS ON ORTHOTROPIC HIGH PRESSURE CYLINDERS

SOLUTION VERIFICATION

This solution was verified by comparison with a ten-element finite element solution using the ABAQUS (4.5-175) code. ABAQUS is a general nonlinear program supplied by:

Hibbitt, Karlsson, and Sorensen, Inc.
101 Medway Street
Providence, RI 02906
(401) 861-0820

For this problem only the linear portion of ABAQUS was used in combination with an eight node axisymmetric element (CAX8) and a linear elastic orthotropic material definition. It was necessary to use only a single row of ten elements for all solutions and the stresses were recovered at the nodal points. The proper use of nodal point constraints and constraint equations insured that the radial faces of the model remained flat and parallel.

The material properties were that of a graphite epoxy wrapped composite cylinder. The material was used in a major program at NASA (ref B-1). For convenience, the theoretical analysis program was written to use the same material definition record as ABAQUS. This also insured that both programs used exactly the same material information.

A total of 18 ABAQUS solutions were generated for a selection of three different wall ratios, four different loading conditions and two fiber wrap angles (material properties). Four solutions have been selected and the results are shown in Tables B-1 through B-4. The four cases were selected for no particular reason except that each of the four loads is represented.

B-1v. Verderaine, "Development of In Situ Stiffness Properties For Shuttle Booster Filament Wound Case," NASA Technical Paper 2377, George C. Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL, August 1984.

TABLE B-1

ORTHOTROPIC HIGH PRESSURE CYLINDER THEORY

SOLUTION COMPARISON WITH ABAQUS USING 10 CAX8 ELEMENTS

FOR A GRAPHITE EPOXY OPEN END CYLINDER

WALL RATIO = 1.50 - WRAP ANGLE = 0.0 DEGREES

APPLIED LOADS

INTERNAL PRESSURE = 1.0

EXTERNAL PRESSURE = 0.0

AVERAGE AXIAL STRESS = 0.0

MATERIAL STIFFNESS MATRIX

	XX	ZZ	YY	XZ	XY	ZY
XX	8.298E+9	3.586E+9	3.791E+9	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
ZZ	3.586E+9	3.298E+9	3.791E+9	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
YY	3.791E+9	3.791E+9	122.4E+9	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
XZ	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	2.550E+9	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
XY	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	6.540E+9	0.000E+0
ZX	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	6.540E+9

CALCULATED STRESSES

RADIUS	RADIAL STRESS		HOOP STRESS		AXIAL STRESS	
	THEORY	ABAQUS	THEORY	ABAQUS	THEORY	ABAQUS
1.000	-1.000	-.994	4.330	4.33	-.253	-.250
1.050	-.768	-.762	3.474	3.48	-.170	-.167
1.100	-.590	-.586	2.933	2.83	-.106	-.104
1.150	-.452	-.449	2.347	2.35	-.056	-.055
1.200	-.344	-.342	1.978	1.98	-.016	-.016
1.250	-.257	-.255	1.695	1.70	0.015	0.016
1.300	-.136	-.135	1.478	1.48	0.041	0.042
1.350	-.128	-.127	1.313	1.31	0.053	0.053
1.400	-.078	-.078	1.188	1.19	0.082	0.082
1.450	-.036	-.036	1.094	1.09	0.098	0.098
1.500	0.000	0.000	1.026	1.03	0.112	0.112

Copy available to DTIC does not permit fully legible reproduction

TABLE B-2

ORTHOTROPIC HIGH PRESSURE CYLINDER THEORY

SOLUTION COMPARISON WITH ABAQUS USING 10 CAX8 ELEMENTS

FOR A GRAPHITE EPOXY CYLINDER WITH END LOADS

WALL RATIO = 1.75 - WRAP ANGLES = 20.0,-20.0 DEGREES

APPLIED LOADS

INTERNAL PRESSURE = 0.0

EXTERNAL PRESSURE = 0.0

AVERAGE AXIAL STRESS = 1.0

MATERIAL STIFFNESS MATRIX

	XX	ZZ	YY		XZ	XY	ZY
XX	8.298E+9	3.610E+9	3.767E+9	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
ZZ	3.610E+9	11.63E+9	13.81E+9	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
YY	3.767E+9	13.81E+9	99.05E+9	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
XZ	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	-	3.017E+9	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
XY	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	-	0.000E+0	6.073E+9	0.000E+0
ZY	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	16.58E+9

CALCULATED STRESSES

RADIUS	RADIAL STRESS		HOOP STRESS		AXIAL STRESS	
	THEORY	ABAQUS	THEORY	ABAQUS	THEORY	ABAQUS
1.000	0.000	0.002	0.733	0.734	1.078	1.08
1.075	0.043	0.044	0.503	0.504	1.066	1.07
1.150	0.067	0.068	0.328	0.329	1.053	1.05
1.225	0.073	0.079	0.187	0.188	1.040	1.04
1.300	0.081	0.082	0.067	0.068	1.026	1.03
1.375	0.077	0.078	-0.040	0.040	1.011	1.01
1.450	0.067	0.069	-0.140	0.140	0.995	0.995
1.525	0.056	0.056	-0.236	0.236	0.978	0.978
1.600	0.040	0.040	-0.332	0.332	0.960	0.960
1.675	0.021	0.021	-0.428	0.428	0.941	0.941
1.750	0.000	0.000	-0.526	0.526	0.921	0.921

Copy available to DTIC does not
 permit fully legitimate reproduction

TABLE B-3

ORTHOTROPIC HIGH PRESSURE CYLINDER THEORY

SOLUTION COMPARISON WITH ABAQUS USING 10 CAX8 ELEMENTS

FOR A GRAPHITE EPOXY PLANE STRAIN CYLINDER

WALL RATIO = 2.00 - WRAP ANGLE = 0.0 DEGREES

APPLIED LOADS

INTERNAL PRESSURE = 0.0

EXTERNAL PRESSURE = 1.0

AVERAGE AXIAL STRESS = 0.0

MATERIAL STIFFNESS MATRIX

	XX	ZZ	YY		XZ	XY	ZY
XX	8.298E+9	3.586E+9	3.791E+9	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
ZZ	3.586E+9	8.298E+9	3.791E+9	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
YY	3.791E+9	3.791E+9	122.4E+9	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
XZ	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	-	2.550E+9	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
XY	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	-	0.000E+0	6.540E+9	0.000E+0
ZX	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	6.540E+9

CALCULATED STRESSES

RADIUS	RADIAL STRESS		HOOP STRESS		AXIAL STRESS	
	THEORY	ABAQUS	THEORY	ABAQUS	THEORY	ABAQUS
1.000	0.000	-.002	-1.078	-1.08	-.019	-.020
1.100	-.095	-.097	-1.046	-1.05	-.055	-.060
1.200	-.177	-.178	-1.127	-1.13	-.095	-.096
1.300	-.256	-.256	-1.287	-1.29	-.132	-.132
1.400	-.337	-.337	-1.507	-1.51	-.170	-.170
1.500	-.424	-.424	-1.760	-1.78	-.211	-.211
1.600	-.519	-.518	-2.103	-2.10	-.257	-.257
1.700	-.623	-.622	-2.474	-2.47	-.308	-.308
1.800	-.737	-.736	-2.892	-2.89	-.364	-.364
1.900	-.862	-.861	-3.360	-3.36	-.426	-.425
2.000	1.000	0.999	-3.878	-3.88	-.493	-.493

Copy available to DTIC users at
 permit fully legible reproduction

TABLE B-4

ORTHOTROPIC HIGH PRESSURE CYLINDER THEORY

SOLUTION COMPARISON WITH ABAQUS USING 10 CAX8 ELEMENTS

FOR A GRAPHITE EPOXY PLANE STRAIN CYLINDER

WALL RATIO = 2.00 - WRAP ANGLE = 0.0 DEGREES

APPLIED LOADS

INTERNAL PRESSURE = 1.0

EXTERNAL PRESSURE = 1.0

AVERAGE AXIAL STRESS = 0.0

MATERIAL STIFFNESS MATRIX

	XX	ZZ	YY		XZ	XY	ZY
XX	8.298E+9	3.586E+9	3.791E+9	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
ZZ	3.586E+9	8.298E+9	3.791E+9	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
YY	3.791E+9	3.791E+9	122.4E+9	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
XZ	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	-	2.550E+9	0.000E+0	0.000E+0
XY	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	-	0.000E+0	6.540E+9	0.000E+0
ZX	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	-	0.000E+0	0.000E+0	6.540E+9

CALCULATED STRESSES

RADIUS	RADIAL STRESS		HOOP STRESS		AXIAL STRESS	
	THEORY	ABAQUS	THEORY	ABAQUS	THEORY	ABAQUS
1.000	1.000	-.981	2.801	2.81	-.374	-.366
1.100	-.723	-.709	1.412	1.42	-.281	-.276
1.200	-.585	-.577	0.501	0.505	-.239	-.234
1.300	-.528	-.523	-.163	-.161	-.227	-.225
1.400	-.522	-.519	-.701	-.699	-.234	-.232
1.500	-.550	-.548	-1.179	-1.18	-.254	-.253
1.600	-.603	-.602	-1.635	-1.63	-.235	-.234
1.700	-.678	-.676	-2.093	-2.09	-.325	-.324
1.800	-.769	-.768	-2.563	-2.57	-.372	-.371
1.900	-.877	-.876	-3.071	-3.07	-.427	-.425
2.000	1.000	0.999	-3.609	-3.61	-.428	-.428

Copy available to DDC declassified
permanently legible reproduction

TECHNICAL REPORT INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>
CHIEF, DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING BRANCH	
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-D	1
-DA	1
-DC	1
-DM	1
-DP	1
-DR	1
-DS (SYSTEMS)	1
CHIEF, ENGINEERING SUPPORT BRANCH	
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-S	1
-SE	1
CHIEF, RESEARCH BRANCH	
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-R	2
-R (ELLEN FOGARTY)	1
-RA	1
-RM	1
-RP	1
-RT	1
TECHNICAL LIBRARY	5
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL	
TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS & EDITING UNIT	2
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL	
DIRECTOR, OPERATIONS DIRECTORATE	1
ATTN: SMCWV-OD	
DIRECTOR, PROCUREMENT DIRECTORATE	1
ATTN: SMCWV-PP	
DIRECTOR, PRODUCT ASSURANCE DIRECTORATE	1
ATTN: SMCWV-QA	

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY DIRECTOR, BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>		<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>
ASST SEC OF THE ARMY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ATTN: DEPT FOR SCI AND TECH THE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310-0103	1	COMMANDER ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL ATTN: SMCRI-ENM ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-5000	1
ADMINISTRATOR DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CENTER ATTN: DTIC-FDAC CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304-6145	12	DIRECTOR US ARMY INDUSTRIAL BASE ENGR ACTV ATTN: AMXIB-P ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-7260	1
COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-AEE	1	COMMANDER US ARMY TANK-AUTMV R&D COMMAND ATTN: AMSTA-DDL (TECH LIB) WARREN, MI 48397-5000	1
SMCAR-AES, BLDG. 321	1	COMMANDER US MILITARY ACADEMY ATTN: DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS WEST POINT, NY 10996-1792	1
SMCAR-AET-O, BLDG. 351N	1		
SMCAR-CC	1		
SMCAR-CCP-A	1		
SMCAR-FSA	1		
SMCAR-FSM-E	1	US ARMY MISSILE COMMAND REDSTONE SCIENTIFIC INFO CTR ATTN: DOCUMENTS SECT, BLDG. 4484 REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35898-5241	2
SMCAR-FSS-D, BLDG. 94	1		
SMCAR-MSI (STINFO)	2		
PICATINNY ARSENAL, NJ 07806-5000			
DIRECTOR US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T, BLDG. 305 ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005-5066	1	COMMANDER US ARMY FGN SCIENCE AND TECH CTR ATTN: DRXST-SD 220 7TH STREET, N.E. CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22901	1
DIRECTOR US ARMY MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTV ATTN: AMXSY-MP ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005-5071	1	COMMANDER US ARMY LABCOM MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY LAB ATTN: SLCMT-IML (TECH LIB) WATERTOWN, MA 02172-0001	2
COMMANDER HQ, AMCCOM ATTN: AMSMC-IMP-L ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-6000	1		

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND ENGINEERING CENTER, US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, SMCAR-CCB-TL, WATERVLIET, NY 12189-4050, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (CONT'D)

	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>		<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>
COMMANDER US ARMY LABCOM, ISA ATTN: SLCIS-IM-TL 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHI, MD 20783-1145	1	COMMANDER AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LABORATORY ATTN: AFATL/MN EGLIN AFB, FL 32543-5434	1
COMMANDER US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE ATTN: CHIEF, IPO P.O. BOX 12211 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-2211	1	COMMANDER AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LABORATORY ATTN: AFATL/MNG EGLIN AFB, FL 32542-5000	1
DIRECTOR US NAVAL RESEARCH LAB ATTN: DIR, MECH DIV CODE 26-27 (DOC LIB) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375	1 1	METALS AND CERAMICS INFO CTR BATTELLE COLUMBUS DIVISION 505 KING AVENUE COLUMBUS, OH 43201-2693	1

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND ENGINEERING CENTER, US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, SMCAR-CCB-TL, WATERVLIET, NY 12189-4050, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER

BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, CGAC

**US ARMY ARMAMENT, MUNITIONS AND CHEMICAL COMMAND
WATERVLIET, N.Y. 12180-4060**

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

SMCAR-CCB-TL

BOOK RATE

END

8-87

DTIC