
1·0
1·1
1·25
1·4
1·6
1·8
2·0
2·2

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-84-K-0365

R&T Code 4007001-6

Technical Report No. 50

Fibonacci and Nonadjacent Numbers On the Characterization of Fibonacci Numbers as Maximal Independent Sets of Vertices of Certain Trees

by

Sherif El-Basil

Prepared for Publication

in the

Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan

University of Georgia
Department of Chemistry
Athens, Georgia 30602

August 11, 1987

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. 50	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER A183898
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Fibonacci and Nonadjacent Numbers: On the Characterization of Fibonacci Numbers as Maximal Independent Sets of Vertices of Certain Trees		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Sherif El-Basil		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-84-K-0365
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of Georgia Department of Chemistry Athens, GA 30602		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 4007001-6
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Arlington, VA 22217		12. REPORT DATE August 11, 1987 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 20
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) 15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

To be published in Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Graph Theory
Fibonacci Numbers
Nonadjacent Numbers
King Patterns
Benzenoid Hydrocarbons

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Fibonacci numbers are identified for the first time as maximal independent sets of vertices of certain caterpillar trees. Their relation to king patterns of certain classes of polyomino graphs as well as polyhex graphs is illustrated.

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

S N 0102-LF-014-6601

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Bull Chem Soc Japan
accepted

Fibonacci and Nonadjacent Numbers

On the Characterization of Fibonacci Numbers as Maximal Independent Sets of Vertices of Certain Trees.

Sherif El-Basil*

Chemistry Department, University of Georgia

Athens, Georgia, 30602 U.S.A.

Abstract

Fibonacci numbers are identified for the first time as maximal independent sets of vertices of certain caterpillar trees. Their relation to king patterns of certain classes of polyomino graphs as well as polyhex graphs is illustrated.

Key Words:

Graph Theory;
Fibonacci Numbers
Nonadjacent Numbers;
King Patterns
Benzenoid Hydrocarbons.

*Permanent Address: Faculty of Pharmacy, Kasr El-Aini Street, Cairo, Egypt.

1. Introduction

More than a decade ago Hosoya[1] defined the concept of nonadjacent numbers in chemistry. Thus for a connected nondirected simple graph, G, the quantity p(G,k) is defined to be the number of ways of choosing k disconnected lines from graph G with p(G,O) being taken to be unity. The Z-counting polynomial, H(G;x) is defined as

$$H(G;x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} p(G,k)\, x^k \qquad (1)$$

where m is the maximum number of k. The Z-index is the sum of the p(G,k) numbers, i.e.,

$$Z(G) = H(G;1) \qquad (2)$$

The above topological index was found to be applicable in many different areas including chemistry, mathematics, dimer statistics, and informatics.[2] The recent revival of interest in graph theory led to a natural extension of the p(G,k) numbers to include other nonadjacent mathematical objects abstracted from molecular graphs. Thus when the concept is applied to benzenoid hydrocarbons[3] p(G,k) becomes r(B,k) i.e. the number of selections of k nonadjacent resonant sextets from the benzenoid graph B. In Clar sextet theory[4] the nonadjacent concept has been extended to sets of nonadjacent vertices o(C,k) chosen from the corresponding Clar graph[5], $\mathcal{C}$. Further, the latter concept was also recently applied[6] to king polynomials of polyomino graphs.[7] In addition the nonadjacent concept relates to rook theory[8]. Thus, there is a one-to-one correspondence between labelled bipartite graphs with a + b vertices and a chess board, R, with a rows and b columns such that $p(G,k) = \rho(R,k)$ where the latter function counts the number of ways in which one can arrange k non-attacking rooks on R, taking $\rho(R,o) = 1$.

Some interesting relations arise for certain types of graphs. Thus the set $\{Z(G_n = L_n)\}$, where L_n is a path on n vertices is the set of Fibonacci numbers,[9] $\{F_n\}$, defined by

$$F_n = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} \binom{n-k}{k} \tag{3}$$

while the set $\{Z(G_n = C_n)\}$, C_n being a cycle on n vertices, generates the Lucas sequence[9], $\{L_n\}$, where

$$L_n = F_n + F_{n-2} \ ; \ n \geq 2 \tag{4}$$

The binomial functions of Eq. (3) are coefficients of the Chebyshev polynomials[10]. Because the Fibonacci numbers are well studied any relations to other fields such as chemistry or physics should be interesting. Two classical relations to the Fibonacci numbers are known in chemistry:

(1) The numbers of Kekulé structures, K, of the zigzag nonbranched benzenoid hydrocarbons (phenanthrene, chrysene, picene, fulminene,(benzo[c]picene), ...) are defined by[11]

$$K_n = F_{n+1} \tag{5}$$

n, is the number of rings in the polyhex graph.

The analogous relation in statistical physics is[12]

$$K(2xn) = F_n \tag{6}$$

where K(2xn) is the number of perfect matchings in a (2xn) rectangular lattice.

(2) Let $\gamma_i(n)$ be the number of permutation integrals[13] involving i rings in a nonbranched zigzag polyacene containing n rings (observe that $\Sigma\gamma_i = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma R_i$, where R_i is a conjugated circuit over i rings[14]), then[15]

$$\gamma_i(n) = \gamma_{i-1}(n-1) \tag{7}$$

$$\gamma_i(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\Theta} F_{\Theta-k} F_{k-1}$$

where $\Theta = n+1-i$.

Maximal Independent Sets

The vertices of a graph can be partitioned into a finite number of sets. A set of vertices in which no two vertices are adjacent is called an independent set of vertices. An independent set of vertices $\{V(r)\}$ in G is said to be maximal[16] if every vertex of $G \notin \{V(r)\}$ is adjacent to at least one of the r vertices of $\{V(r)\}$. Fig. 1 shows three graphs $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_2$ and $\mathcal{C}_3$ arbitrarily labelled as shown. There are seven maximal independent sets of vertices in $\mathcal{C}_1$, viz., {1,4}, {1,5}, {1,6}, {2,4}, {2,5}, {2,6}, {3,5} and {3,6}, while the vertices of $\mathcal{C}_2$ are partitioned into five maximal independent sets: {3}, {1,4}, {1,5}, {2,4}, {2,5}. For $\mathcal{C}_3$ there are only three such sets: {2}, {4} and {1,3}. Actually $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ are the Clar graphs[5,17] of two nonbranched systems whose ring-annellation[18] sequences are respectively $L^2A^2L^2$ and L^2AL^2 while $\mathcal{C}_3$ is the Clar graph of pyrene. In fact, quite recently Herndon and Hosoya[17,19,20] identified the number of Clar structures[17] of a benzenoid hydrocarbon as the number of maximal independent sets of vertices in the corresponding Clar graphs.

The Comb Tree Graphs

We consider a special type of tree formed by the addition of a single (monovalent) vertex to each of the n vertices of a path, L_n. The resulting caterpillars[21] containing 2n vertices are also known as comb trees. The vertices of the original path moiety of such trees will be called root vertices. A comb tree will be given the symbol $T_n(1,1,\ldots,1) \equiv T_{n,1}$. An arbitrary labelling of the set of vertices $\{V(r)\} \varepsilon\ T_{n,1}$ is shown below



Let us consider the maximal independent sets of vertices of some of the lower members of comb trees.

$V(T_{1,1}) \supset \{2\};\ \{1\} = V_m(T_{1,1})$

$V(T_{2,1}) \supset \{3,4\};\ \{1,4\},\ \{2,3\} = V_m(T_{2,1})$

$V(T_{3,1}) \supset \{4,5,6\};\ \{1,5,6\};\ \{2,4,6\};\ \{3,4,5\};\ \{1,3,5\} = V_m(T_{3,1})$

$V(T_{4,1}) \supset \{5,6,7,8\};\ \{1,6,7,8\};\{2,5,7,8\};$

$\{3,5,6,8\};\ \{4,5,6,7\};\ \{1,3,6,8\};$

$\{1,4,6,7\};\ \{2,4,5,7\} = V_m(T_{4,1})$

$V(T_{5,1}) \supset \{6,7,8,9,10\};\ \{1,7,8,9,10\};\ \{2,6,8,9,10\};$

$\{3,6,7,9,,10\};\ \{4,6,7,8,10\};\{5,6,7,8,9,10\};$

$\{1,7,3,9,10\};\ \{1,4,7,8,10\};\{1,5,7,8,9\};$

$\{2,4,6,8,10\};\ \{2,5,6,8,9\};\{3,5,6,7,9\}$

$\{1,3,5,7,9\} = V_m(T_{5,1})$.

where $V(T_{n,1})$ is the total set of vertices of $T_{n,1}$ and $V_m(T_{n,1})$ is a subset of it including all the maximum independent sets in $T_{n,1}$. Let $N(V(T_{n,1})) \equiv \zeta_n$ be the number of such sets. We observe the following results:

$\zeta_1 = 2,\ \zeta_2 = 3,\ \zeta_3 = 5,\ \zeta_4 = 8,\ \zeta_5 = 13;\ \zeta_3 = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2;\ \zeta_4 = \zeta_3 + \zeta_2;$
$\zeta_5 = \zeta_4 + \zeta_3.$

which reminds us of the Fibonacci numbers F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5 and F_6 respectively. Actually the above set counts recur in the following general way

$$\zeta_n = \zeta_{n-1} + \zeta_{n-2} \tag{8}$$

where

$$\zeta_n = F_{n+1} \tag{9}$$

There are two ways of proving (8) and (9).

A. Graph-theoretical reasoning

Define the function f such that $f: V_m(T_{n,1}) = \tilde{V}_m(T_{n,1})$ where

$$\tilde{V}_m(T_{n,1}) = \{v_i / v_i \in V_m(T_{n,1});\ i \in (1,2,\ldots,n);\ i \notin (n+1, n+2,\ldots,2n)\}$$

where v_i is a vertex whose label is i. Therefore the function f maps the set $V_m(T_{n,1})$ into a set of vertices <u>containing only root type vertices</u>. The resulting initial sets are:

$\tilde{V}_m(T_{1,1})$ = {∅}; {1}

$\tilde{V}_m(T_{2,1})$ = {∅}; {1}; {2}

$\tilde{V}_m(T_{3,1})$ = {∅}; {1}; {2}; {3}; {1,3}

$\tilde{V}_m(T_{4,1})$ = {∅}; {1}; {2}; {3}; {4}; {1,3}; {1,4}; {2,4}

$\tilde{V}_m(T_{5,1})$ = {∅}; {1}; {2}; {3}; {4}; {5};

{1,3}; {1,4}; {1,5}; {2,4};

{2,5}; {3,5}; {1,3,5}.

In general one can then write:

$\tilde{V}_m(T_{n,1})$ = {∅};

{1}; {2}; ...; {n};

{1,3}; {1,5}; ...; {2,4}; {2,5}; ...; {i,i+2}

{1,3,5}; ...; {j; j+2; j+4}; ...,

{k, k+2, k+4, k+6, ...}

The cardinalities of the above sets are nothing else but the independence numbers[5] of paths L_n. Alternatively they are simply the k-matchings of L_{n+1} (observe that L_n is the line graph[22] of L_{n+1}). The latters are indeed the graphical representations of the Fibonacci numbers[9] and since the f function is an injective (i.e. one-to-one) mapping of $V_m(T_{n,1}) \to \tilde{V}(T_{n,1})$ relations (8) and (9) become immediate.

The above ideas lead to the more general caterpillar



$T_{n,j}$; $j \geq 1$

Obviously,

$$\zeta(T_{n,j}) = \zeta(T_{n,1}) \; ; \; j \geq 1 \tag{10}$$

This is because

$$\tilde{V}_m(T_{n,j}) \equiv \tilde{V}_m(T_{n,1}); \; j \geq 1$$

Note, however, that when $j = 0$ the Fibonacci recursion is lost[17,20].

B. Coloring method

Another method of proving the above result (Eqns. 8,9) uses a special coloring scheme. Thus the vertices of a $T_{n,j}$, $(j \geq 1)$; are colored in black and white such that (i) no two black vertices are adjacent and (ii) every white vertex is adjacent to at least one black vertex. The resulting colorings then correspond to maximal independent sets of vertices. For simplicity these indices are illustrated using $T_{n,2} \equiv T_n$ but the theory can ge generalized to $T_{n,j}$.

Lemma 1

$T_{1,2} \equiv T_1$ generates two colorings, viz., α and β:



α β

The "allowed" colorings of T_2 can be identified from lemma 1 and rules i-ii as:



(1) (2) (3)

All colorings of T_3 can be obtained by connecting one of the root vertices of (1), (2) and (3) to the root vertices of α and/or β. However, because of (i), the coloring (1_α) is not allowed and thus T_3 has 3x2-1 = 5 colorings. Similarly the colorings of T_n can be obtained from those of T_{n-1} and the set $\{\alpha, \beta\}$.

<u>Theorem 1</u>

Let ζ_n be the number of colorings of T_n. Let the number of colorings in a given set which contains a black root vertex at one (arbitrarily the right) end of the tree be β_n.

Then

$$\zeta_n = \beta_{n+2} \tag{11}$$

Proof

The set $\{\beta_{n+2}\}$ is a subset of the set of colorings $\{\zeta_{n+2}\}$ in which all root vertices at the (right) end are black. Now, because of (i), in any member of $\{\beta_{n+2}\}$ the root vertex adjacent to the one at the right end, i.e. the (n+1)th vertex is necessarily white and therefore the remaining n vertices must generate the set $\{\zeta_n\}$, i.e. $\{\zeta_n\} \varepsilon \{\beta_{n+2}\}$, and Eq. (11) is proved

Theorem 2

$$\beta_{n+2} = \beta_{n+1} + \beta_n \tag{12}$$

Proof

Let w_n be the number of colorings in $\{A_n\}$ in which the (right) end root vertex is white. Obviously $\beta_n + w_n = \zeta_n$.

Now from rule (i) and lemma 1:

$$\zeta_{n+1} = 2\zeta_n - \beta_n$$

and

$$w_n = \beta_{n+1} = \zeta_n - \beta_n$$

$$\text{i.e. } \zeta_n = \beta_n + \beta_{n+1} = \beta_{n+2}$$

Eqs. (11) and (12) lead to Eqs. (8) and (9).

Coloring polynomial

A counting coloring polynomial, $\xi(T_{n,j};x)$ is conveniently defined by

$$\xi(T_{n,j};x) = \sum_{r}^{m} \Theta(r)x^r \qquad (13)$$

where $\Theta(r)$ is the number of colorings of $T_{n,j}$ containing r black vertices and m is the maximum value of r. Then $\xi(T_{n,j};1) = \zeta_n$. Inspection of the first few coloring polynomials of any $T_{n,j}$, $j \geq 1$, induces Eq. (14), viz.,

$$\xi(T_{n,j};x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} \binom{n-k}{k} x^{mn-(m-1)k} \qquad (14)$$

As a corollary when j=1 the above function becomes simply a monomial in x.

A Special Class of Benzenoid Hydrocarbons

Figure 2 shows a homologous series of benzenoid hydrocarbons denoted as $B(T_{n,1})$'s. The number of Clar structures in which maximum numbers of hexagons are assigned to have resonant sextets of this series conforms to Eqs. (8) and (9). In principle homologation can extended infinitely, however the graph above the $B(T_{6,1})$ polyhex graph is no long planar. It is interesting to notice that

$$D(B(T_{n,1})) = T_{n,1}$$

where D(G) is the dualist graph[23] of G.

On King Patterns

Motoyama and Hosoya[7] were the first to define king polynomials and king patterns for lattices and polyomino graphs and showed their potential in dimer statistics and the problem of Kekulé count in chemistry. Balasubramanian and Ramaraj[6] demonstrated recently the equivalence between king polynomials and what they called color polynomials[6] of the dualist graphs of the appropriate lattice type. Fig. 3 shows a special type of polyomino which corresponds to $T_{n,2}$. But extension to any $T_{n,j}$ is possible. Their king patterns are Fibonacci numbers.

<u>Correspondence with king pattern[7], domino pattern[7] and the matching pattern[1,24]</u>

A king pattern, {K}, is simply a way of placing kings (circles) on chessboard so that no two kings can take each other. A Kekulé pattern (or dimer pattern), {M}, can be generated by identifying the cells in the chessboard that contain kings as the vertical bonds in the dimer pattern and the empty cells as horizontal bonds (c.f. Fig. 4). A "domino pattern", {D}, can also be obtained from the dimer pattern by paving horizontal and vertical rectangles which correspond to horizontal and vertical dimers in the dimer pattern. These relations are depicted in Fig. 4. The set $\{\zeta\}$ is nothing else but the dualist graphs[23] corresponding to the modified polyominos, {P}. Hence one can define two rules of placing kings (circles) in {P} analogous to coloring rules (i), (ii), viz.,

(i') No two kings are allowed to occupy adjacent cells.

(ii') Every empty cell is adjacent to at least one occupied cell.

The resulting patterns generate Fibonacci numbers. The last set in Fig. 4, {L}, shows the corresponding matchings in path L_4. The following interesting relation is observed. Let $d\{K_i\}$ be the dualist graph[23] of a member K_i from set {K}, and $L\{L_i\}$ be the line graph[25] of the corresponding member L_i, then

$$d\{K_i\} = L\{L_i\}. \tag{15}$$

The last relation is significant since it is well known that the matching polynomials of the paths may be written as Chevyshev polynomials[26] in (x/2).

Conclusion

Fibonacci numbers have been identified for the first time as maximal independence sets of vertices of certain caterpillar trees. Mappings of such sets to well known topological functions such as perfect matchings of a path as well as certain king patterns are discovered.

Acknowledgments

I thank the U.S. Office of Naval Research for partial support of this work. Illuminating remarks of Professor R.B. King are greatly appreciated. Travel assistance from the Fullbright Commission in Cairo is acknowledged.

References

1. H. Hosoya, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 44, 2332 (1971).
2. H. Hosoya in "Mathematics and Computational Concepts in Chemistry," Ellis Horwood (1986).
3. H. Hosoya and T. Yamaguchi, Tetrahedron Lett. 4659 (1975).
4. E. Clar, "The Aromatics Sextet," John Wiley, New York (1972). A nice review is found in: I. Gutman, Bull. Soc. Chim. Beograd, 47, 453 (1982).
5. I. Gutman, Z. Naturforsch, 37a, 69 (1982); I. Gutman and S. El-Basil, Z. Naturforsch, 39a, 276 (1984).
6. K. Balasubramanian and R. Ramaraj, J. Comput. Chem. 6(5), 447 (1985).
7. A. Motoyama and H. Hosoya, J. Math. Phys., 18, 1485 (1977).
8. J. Riordan, "An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis," Wiley, New York (1958), Chapts. 7 and 8.
9. H. Hosoya, The Fibonacci Quarterly, 11(3), 255 (1973); 14(2), 173 (1974).
10. T.J. Rivlin, "The Chebyshev Polynomials," John Wiley, New York (1974).
11. A.T. Balaban and I. Tomescu, Croat. Chem. Acta, 57, 391 (1984); Match, 17, 91 (1985).
12. H. Hosoya, Comp. & Maths. with Appls. 12B, 271 (1986).
13. W.C. Herndon and M.L. Ellzey Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 6631 (1974).
14. M. Randić, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 444 (1977); Tetrahedron, 33, 1905 (1977).
15. I. Gutman and S. El-Basil, Chem. Phys. Letters, 115, 416 (1985).
16. N. Christofides, "Graph Theory, An Algorithmic Approach," Academic Press, New York (1975), Chapt. 3.
17. S. El-Basil, Theor. Chim. Acta, 70, 53 (1986).
18. S. El-Basil, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 56, 3152 (1983).
19. W.C. Herndon and H. Hosoya, Tetrahedron, 40, 3987 (1984).

20. S. El-Basil, Disc. Appl. Math. (1986) in press.

21. F. Harary and A.J. Schwenk, Discrete Math., 6, 359 (1973).

22. F. Harary, "Graph Theory," Addison-Wesley, Reading (1969), Chapt. 8.

23. c.f., A.T. Balaban and F. Harary, Tetrahedron, 24, 2505 (1968).

24. Actually the first contributions to the theory of matching came from statistical physics: O.J. Heilmann and E.H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 1412 (1970); Commun. Math. Phys. 25, 190 (1972); H. Kunz, Phys. Lett. 32A, 311 (1970); C. Gruber and H. Kunz, Commun. Math. Phys. 22, 133 (1971).

25. Line graphs are defined in: F. Harary, Graph Theory, (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Read. Mass. 1972) p. 71.

26. T.J. Rivilin, The Chebyshev Polynomials, (New York, John Wiley 1974).

Fig. Legends

Fig. 1

Three labelled (Clar) graphs.

Fig. 2

A homologous series of benzenoid hydrocarbons. The Clar counts, ζ, of the individual members are Fibonacci numbers i.e. $\zeta(B(T_{j,1})) = F_{j+1}$.

Fig. 3

A homologous series of "bidentate" polyomino graphs. Every graph corresponds to a caterpillar tree $T_{n,2}$, n = 1,2,3,4,5 ... . Relation to other objects is shown in Figure 4.

Fig. 4

Fibonacci colorings of $T_{2,2}$ and the corresponding patterns in chemistry and physics. The set {K} is the king pattern, {M} is the dimer pattern, {D} the domino pattern and {P} is a special polyomino pattern. The set {L} is the matchings of L_4.


6
1
5
4
3
2


5
1
4
3
2


1
4
2
3



$B(T_{1,1})$ $B(T_{2,1})$ $B(T_{3,1})$



$B(T_{4,1})$ $B(T_{5,1})$



$B(T_{6,1})$



$P(T_{1,2})$
$P(T_{2,2})$
$P(T_{3,2})$
$P(T_{4,2})$
$P(T_{5,2})$


{ζ}
{K}
{M}
{D}
{P}
{L}

DL/1113/87/2

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No. Copies
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 1113 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000	2
Dr. Bernard Douda Naval Weapons Support Center Code 50C Crane, Indiana 47522-5050	1
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko, Code L52 Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12 high quality
DTNSRDC Attn: Dr. H. Singerman Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Dr. William Tolles Superintendent Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000	1
Dr. David Young Code 334 NORDA NSTL, Mississippi 39529	1
Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. Ron Atkins Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Code RD-1 Washington, D.C. 20380	1
U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	1
Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1
Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1

END
9-87
DTIC