

AD-A185 281

ERGODIC PROPERTIES OF STATIONARY STABLE PROCESSES (U)

1/1

NORTH CAROLINA UNIV AT CHAPEL HILL CENTER FOR

STOCHASTIC PROCESSES S CAMBANIS ET AL. 1987 TR-59


UNCLASSIFIED

AFOCR-TR-87-1035

F/G 12/3

NL

END
DATE
FORMED
11 '87



UNC FILE COPY

1. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		2. DOCUMENTATION PAGE	
3. AD-A185 281		4. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
5. 1a. UN		6. 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT	
7. 2b. SI		Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited	
8. 2c. NA		9. 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
10. 2d. NA		11. 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
12. 2e. NA		13. AFOSR-TR- 87-1035	
14. 2f. NA		15. 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	
16. 2g. NA		17. 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	
18. 2h. NA		18. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION	
19. 2i. NA		19. AFOSR/NM	
20. 2j. NA		20. 6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)	
21. 2k. NA		21. Statistics Dept.	
22. 2l. NA		22. Phillips Hall 039-A	
23. 2m. NA		23. Chapel Hill, NC 27514	
24. 2n. NA		24. 6d. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)	
25. 2o. NA		25. Building 410	
26. 2p. NA		26. Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448	
27. 2q. NA		27. 6e. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	
28. 2r. NA		28. AFOSR	
29. 2s. NA		29. 6f. OFFICE SYMBOL	
30. 2t. NA		30. NM	
31. 2u. NA		31. 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	
32. 2v. NA		32. F49620 85 C 0144	
33. 2w. NA		33. 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.	
34. 2x. NA		34. PROGRAM, ELEMENT NO.	
35. 2y. NA		35. PROJECT NO.	
36. 2z. NA		36. TASK NO.	
37. 2aa. NA		37. WORK UNIT NO.	
38. 2ab. NA		38. 6.1102F	
39. 2ac. NA		39. 2304	
40. 2ad. NA		40. AS	
41. 2ae. NA		41. 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)	
42. 2af. NA		42. Ergodic properties of stationary stable processes	
43. 2ag. NA		43. 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	
44. 2ah. NA		44. Cambanis, S., Hardin, Jr. C.D. and Weron, A.	
45. 2ai. NA		45. 13a. TYPE OF REPORT	
46. 2aj. NA		46. preprint	
47. 2ak. NA		47. 13b. TIME COVERED	
48. 2al. NA		48. FROM 9/86 TO 9/87	
49. 2am. NA		49. 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day)	
50. 2an. NA		50. Mar. 84	
51. 2ao. NA		51. 15. PAGE COUNT	
52. 2ap. NA		52. 18	
53. 2aq. NA		53. 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	
54. 2ar. NA		54. Stochastic Processes and their Applications 24 (1987) 1-18	
55. 2as. NA		55. North Holland	
56. 2at. NA		56. 17. COSATI CODES	
57. 2au. NA		57. FIELD GROUP SUB. GR.	
58. 2av. NA		58. 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
59. 2aw. NA		59. Keywords and phrases: stable processes, ergodic theory, stationary processes, spectral representations	
60. 2ax. NA		60. 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
61. 2ay. NA		61. We derive spectral necessary and sufficient conditions for stationary symmetric stable processes to be metrically transitive and mixing. We then consider some important classes of stationary stable processes: Sub-Gaussian stationary processes and stationary stable processes with a harmonic spectral representation are never metrically transitive, the latter in sharp contrast with the Gaussian case. Stable processes with a harmonic spectral representation satisfy a strong law of large numbers even though they are not generally stationary. For doubly stationary stable processes, sufficient conditions are derived for metric transitivity and mixing, and necessary and sufficient conditions for a strong law of large numbers.	
62. 2az. NA		62. 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	
63. 2ba. NA		63. UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT ☐ OTIC USERS ☐	
64. 2bb. NA		64. 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
65. 2bc. NA		65. UNCLASSIFIED	
66. 2bd. NA		66. 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	
67. 2be. NA		67. Peggy Ravitch	
68. 2bf. NA		68. 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)	
69. 2bg. NA		69. 919-962-2307	
70. 2bh. NA		70. 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL	
71. 2bi. NA		71. AFOSR/NM	

ERGODIC PROPERTIES OF STATIONARY STABLE PROCESSES

Stamatis CAMBANIS, Clyde D. HARDIN, Jr.,* and Aleksander WERON**

*Center for Stochastic Processes, Department of Statistics, University of North Carolina,
Chapel Hill, NC 27514, USA*

Received 12 August 1985
Revised 22 May 1986

AFOSR-TR- 87 - 1035

We derive spectral necessary and sufficient conditions for stationary symmetric stable processes to be metrically transitive and mixing. We then consider some important classes of stationary stable processes: Sub-Gaussian stationary processes and stationary stable processes with a harmonic spectral representation are never metrically transitive, the latter in sharp contrast with the Gaussian case. Stable processes with a harmonic spectral representation satisfy a strong law of large numbers even though they are not generally stationary. For doubly stationary stable processes, sufficient conditions are derived for metric transitivity and mixing, and necessary and sufficient conditions for a strong law of large numbers.

AMS 1980 Subject Classification: Primary 60E07, 60G10, 47D10, 28D10.

stable processes * ergodic theory * stationary processes * spectral representations

1. Introduction

Stationary symmetric α -stable ($S\alpha S$) processes have been characterized in [12] and form a richer, and therefore more unyielding class of processes than the stationary Gaussian processes. For instance, while all stationary Gaussian processes which are continuous in probability have a harmonic spectral representation, this is not so in the stable case; and when $1 < \alpha < 2$ the class of $S\alpha S$ moving averages is disjoint from the class of regular $S\alpha S$ processes with a harmonic representation, whereas in the Gaussian case, these two classes coincide (cf. [5]).

Using their description developed in [12], we derive necessary and sufficient conditions for stationary $S\alpha S$ processes to be metrically transitive (Theorem 1) and mixing (Theorem 2). We then consider some important special classes of stationary $S\alpha S$ processes. We show that sub-Gaussian stationary processes are never metrically transitive (Theorem 3). $S\alpha S$ moving averages are of course mixing, and stationary

Research supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research Contract No. AFOSR F49620 82 C 0009.

* Now at The Analytic Sciences Corporation, Reading, MA 01867

** Now at the Wroclaw Polytechnic, Wroclaw, Poland

S α S solutions of linear, constant coefficient, stable stochastic differential equations are strongly mixing; the latter is the continuous time analog of a result in [13] for discrete time autoregressive S α S processes and is established likewise. Stationary S α S processes with a harmonic spectral representation are never metrically transitive (Theorem 4), in sharp contrast with the Gaussian case. Also S α S processes with a harmonic spectral representation satisfy a strong law of large numbers (Theorem 5) even though they are not generally stationary; this is an L_α analog of results in [10] for L_2 -stationary processes. Finally in Section 6 we introduce doubly stationary S α S processes—a new class of S α S stationary processes with “stationary” spectral representations which includes Gaussian, α -sub-Gaussian and S α S moving average processes—and give sufficient conditions for metric transitivity (Theorem 6) and mixing (Theorem 7), as well as necessary and sufficient conditions for them to satisfy the strong law of large numbers (Theorem 8).

We concentrate on real processes defined on the real line, but similar results hold for real sequences, as well as real processes defined on certain more general groups (see e.g. [21] where ergodic properties for harmonizable S α S processes on LCA groups are discussed). The assumption that the process is real is needed when considering metric transitivity and mixing, because of the use of the dense set of trigonometric polynomials (cf. [19, p. 163]), but is of no significance when considering laws of large numbers.

We now introduce some basic notation and properties used throughout the paper. A real random variable Y is S α S, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, if $E \exp(irY) = \exp(-c_Y |r|^\alpha)$ for all r and some $c_Y \geq 0$. A process $X = \{X_t; -\infty < t < \infty\}$ is S α S if all finite linear combinations $\sum a_i X_{t_i}$ are S α S. For a S α S random variable Y , set $\|Y\|_\alpha = c_Y^{1/\alpha}$. Then $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ defines a norm in the case $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, and a quasi-norm in the case $0 < \alpha < 1$, on the linear span of the S α S process X , $\mathcal{F}(X)$, which metrizes convergence in probability. Also, for $0 < p < \alpha$,

$$(E|Y|^p)^{1/p} = C(p, \alpha) \|Y\|_\alpha$$

where the constant $C(p, \alpha)$ depends only on p, α and not on Y [22]. Stationary S α S processes X with $0 < \alpha < 2$ have finite dimensional characteristic functions of the form

$$E \exp \left\{ i \sum_{n=1}^N a_n X_{t_n} \right\} = \exp \left\{ - \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^N a_n U_{t_n} \right) \phi \right\|_\alpha^\alpha \right\} \quad (1)$$

and thus the following spectral representation in law

$$\{X_t; -\infty < t < \infty\} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (U_t \phi)(\lambda) dZ(\lambda); -\infty < t < \infty \right\} \quad (2)$$

[12]. Here (E, Σ, μ) is a measure space, $\phi \in L_\alpha(E, \Sigma, \mu) \triangleq L_\alpha(\mu)$, $\{U_t; -\infty < t < \infty\}$ is a group of isometries on $L_\alpha(\mu)$, and Z is the canonical independently scattered S α S measure on (E, Σ, μ) ; i.e. for all disjoint sets $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \Sigma$ of finite μ -measure, $Z(E_1), \dots, Z(E_n)$ are independent with $E \exp\{irZ(E_k)\} = \exp\{-|r|^\alpha \mu(E_k)\}$, so that

for all $f \in L_\alpha(\mu)$,

$$E \exp \left\{ i \int f dZ \right\} = \exp \{ - \|f\|_\alpha^\alpha \}.$$

Denoting by $\mathcal{F}_X = \sigma\{X_t, -\infty < t < \infty\}$ the σ -algebra of X -measurable events, the shift transformation associated with the stationary SaS process is defined in the usual way for all X -measurable (i.e. $\mathcal{F}_X$ -measurable) events and random variables, so that e.g. $g(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})$ shifted by τ becomes $g(X_{t_1+\tau}, \dots, X_{t_n+\tau})$ (cf. [19]). For the notions of metric transitivity and mixing, and for laws of large numbers, it is necessary that the process $\{\eta_\tau, -\infty < \tau < \infty\}$ obtained by shifting an X -measurable random variable η be measurable. This is the case if the original stationary SaS process X is measurable, or has a measurable modification (cf. [19]). In view of the following property we assume without further notice that the group $\{U_t\}$ is strongly measurable on all of $L^\alpha(\mu)$ and that μ is σ -finite.

Theorem 0. *For a stationary SaS process X with spectral representation (2) the following are equivalent:*

- (i) X has a measurable modification,
- (ii) X is continuous in probability,
- (iii) $\{U_t\}$ is strongly measurable on $F \triangleq \overline{\text{sp}}\{U_t\phi\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$,
- (iv) $\{U_t\}$ is strongly continuous on F .

Proof. By [6], X has a measurable modification if and only if the map $L: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_\alpha(\mu)$ given by $L(t) = U_t\phi$ is measurable, since the (quasi-) norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ on $\mathcal{L}(X)$ metrizes convergence in probability, and by (1) the linear extension of the map $X_t \mapsto U_t\phi$ is an isometry of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ into $L_\alpha(\mu)$. If L is measurable, its range is separable, and we may thus assume without loss of generality that (E, Σ, μ) is σ -finite. More significantly, measurability of L implies measurability of the map $t \mapsto U_t f$ for each $f \in F$, i.e. strong measurability of the group $\{U_t\}$ on F . This, however, implies the strong continuity of $\{U_t\}$ on F (see [8, p. 616]), and hence that X is continuous in probability (since $X_t \rightarrow X_{t_0}$ in probability if and only if $\|U_t\phi - U_{t_0}\phi\|_{L_\alpha(\mu)} \rightarrow 0$). Thus (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and the proof is complete by the well known property (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). $\square$

That (i) implies (ii) when $\alpha = 2$ (in fact for all weakly stationary processes with finite second moment) was shown in [7].

2. Metric transitivity

A stationary process X is called metrically transitive or ergodic if any of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied (cf. [9]): (i) the shift invariant measurable

sets $\mathcal{J}$ of X have probability zero or one; (ii) for each X -measurable random variable η with $E|\eta| < \infty$,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \eta_\tau d\tau = E\eta \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (3)$$

where η_τ is η shifted by τ ; (iii) whenever $A \in \sigma(X_t, t \leq 0)$, $B \in \sigma(X_t, t \geq 0)$ and B_τ is the event B shifted by τ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P(A \cap B_\tau) d\tau = P(A)P(B) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (4)$$

By a result of Maruyama and Granander [19, 9], a stationary Gaussian process is metrically transitive if and only if its spectral measure has no atoms. For general stationary stable processes, we have the following characterization.

Theorem 1. *A stationary SaS process X with $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ and spectral representation (2) is metrically transitive if and only if for each $h \in \overline{\text{sp}}\{U_t\phi, -\infty < t < \infty\}_{L^\infty(\mu)}$,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|U_\tau h - h\|_\alpha^2 d\tau = 2\|h\|_\alpha^2 \quad (5)$$

and

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|U_\tau h - h\|_\alpha^{2\alpha} d\tau = 4\|h\|_\alpha^{2\alpha}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. As in the standard proof for Gaussian processes, it suffices to have (3) for r.v.'s η of the form $\eta = \exp[i \sum_{n=1}^N a_n X_{t_n}]$, and this is where the fact that X is real is used (see [19] or [9]). Then, putting $h = (\sum_{n=1}^N a_n U_{t_n})\phi$, we have $\eta_\tau = \exp[i \int_t U_\tau h dZ]$ and

$$Y_T \triangleq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \eta_\tau d\tau = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \exp\left[i \int_t U_\tau h dZ\right] d\tau.$$

By Birkhoff's theorem [19], $Y_T \rightarrow E(\eta | \mathcal{J}) \triangleq Y_\infty$ a.s. Thus (3) is satisfied, i.e. $Y_\infty = E\eta$, if and only if $E|Y_\infty|^2 = |EY_\infty|^2$, if and only if $\lim_T E|Y_T|^2 = \lim_T |EY_T|^2$. But

$$EY_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \exp\left[-\|U_\tau h\|_\alpha^2\right] d\tau = \exp\left[-\|h\|_\alpha^2\right],$$

and

$$E|Y_T|^2 = \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \int_0^T \exp\left[-\|(U_\tau - U_\sigma)h\|_\alpha^2\right] d\tau d\sigma.$$

Since $|x|^2 + |y|^2 - |x - y|^2$ is a positive definite function of x and y , $\|(U_\tau - U_\sigma)h\|_\alpha^2 = \|(U_\tau h)(\lambda) - (U_\sigma h)(\lambda)\|^2$ is a positive definite function of τ and σ for each λ , and thus so is its μ -integral over $E: 2\|h\|_\alpha^2 - \|(U_\tau - U_\sigma)h\|_\alpha^2$. Since the latter

depends only on the difference $\tau - \sigma$, and is continuous, we have by Bochner's theorem

$$2\|h\|_2^2 = \|(U_\tau - U_\sigma)h\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\tau - it\sigma} d\nu(u)$$

where ν is a finite symmetric measure. Then proceeding as in [9, p. 77], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E|Y_T|^2}{[EY_T]^2} &= \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \int_0^T \exp\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\tau - it\sigma} d\nu(u)\right] d\tau d\sigma \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \int_0^T \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\tau - it\sigma} d\nu^{(k)}(u) \right\} d\tau d\sigma \\ &\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \nu^{(k)}\{0\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu^{(k)}$ is the k -fold convolution of ν . It follows that X is metrically transitive if and only if $\nu^{(k)}\{0\} = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ (and all $h \in \overline{\text{sp}}\{U_t\phi, \chi \in L^2(\mu)\} = L^2(\mu)$).

Since the function $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\tau} d\nu(u) = 2\|h\|_2^2 - \|U_t h - h\|_2^2$ is even, we have by the inversion formula

$$\nu\{0\} = 2\|h\|_2^2 - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|U_t h - h\|_2^2 d\tau$$

and thus $\nu\{0\} = 0$ if and only if (5) is satisfied. Also

$$\nu^{(2)}\{0\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu\{-x\} d\nu(x) = \sum_x \nu\{-x\} \nu\{x\} = \sum_x \nu^2\{x\} \quad (\nu \text{ is symmetric})$$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (2\|h\|_2^2 - \|U_t h - h\|_2^2) d\tau \quad (\text{by Wiener's theorem [15]})$$

$$4\|h\|_2^2 - 4\|h\|_2^2 = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|U_t h - h\|_2^2 d\tau + \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|U_t h - h\|_2^2 d\tau$$


from which it follows that $\nu^{(k)}\{0\} = 0$, $k = 1, 2$, if and only if (5) and (6) are satisfied. The proof is completed by noting that (from the above calculation) $\nu\{0\} = 0$ implies ν has no atoms and thus $\nu^{(k)}\{0\} = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$.

When a stationary S α S process X is metrically transitive we can use Birkhoff's theorem to estimate its covariation function which plays a role analogous to that of the covariance when $\alpha = 2$ [4]. Indeed when $1 < p < \alpha + 2$ we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |X_t X_{t+\tau}|^{p-1} dt \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} E\{|X_0 X_\tau|^{p-1}\} = C^p(p, \alpha) \frac{\text{Cov}(X_0, X_\tau)}{\|X_0\|_2^{p-1}},$$

where $\chi^{(p)} = |\chi|^{p-1} \text{sign}(\chi)$ and the equality follows from [3]. For $\tau = 0$ this gives the scaling constant of the process:

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |X_t|^p dt \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} E|X_0|^p = C^p(p, \alpha) \|X_0\|_2^p.$$



A-1 20

3. Mixing

A stationary process is called mixing if either of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied: (i) with A, B, B_T as in (4),

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} P(A \cap B_T) = P(A)P(B), \quad (7)$$

(ii) whenever ξ is $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ -measurable, η is $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ -measurable, $E\xi = \alpha$, $E\eta = \alpha$, and η_T is η shifted by T , we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} E(\xi\eta_T) = E\xi \cdot E\eta. \quad (8)$$

It is clear that mixing is a stronger property than metric transitivity. A stationary Gaussian process with harmonic spectral representation $X_t = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\lambda} dW(\lambda)$ is mixing if and only if its covariance $R(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it\lambda} d\mu(\lambda)$ tends to zero as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. For non-Gaussian stationary stable processes, we have the following characterization.

Theorem 2. A stationary SaS process X with $0 < \alpha < 2$ and spectral representation (2) is mixing if and only if for every $g \in \operatorname{sp}\{U(\phi, t \geq 0)\} = L^{\alpha}(\mu)$ and $h \in \operatorname{sp}\{U(\phi, t \geq 0)\} = L^{\alpha}(\mu)$,

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow \infty} |g \star U(h)|^{\alpha} = |g|^{\alpha} \cdot |h|^{\alpha}. \quad (9)$$

Proof. It suffices to have (8) for r.v.'s of the form $\eta_t = \exp[i \sum_{n=1}^N a_n X_n]$, $t \geq 0$, $\xi = \exp[i \sum_{n=1}^M b_n X_n]$, $s_n \geq 0$. Putting $h = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n U(\phi, s_n)$, $g = \sum_{n=1}^M b_n U(\phi, s_n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\xi &= E \exp \left[i \int_0^\infty g dZ \right] = \exp[-|g|], \\ E\eta_t &= E \exp \left[i \int_0^\infty U_t h dZ \right] = \exp[-|U_t h|] = \exp[-|h|], \\ E(\xi\eta_t) &= E \exp \left[i \int_0^\infty (g \star U_t h) dZ \right] = \exp[-|g \star U_t h|], \end{aligned}$$

from which (9) follows.

4. Sub-Gaussian processes

A process X is called a sub-Gaussian if its finite-dimensional characteristic functions are of the form

$$E \exp \left[i \sum_{j=1}^n a_j X_j \right] = \exp \left[- \left\{ \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_j a_k R(t_{jk}) \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right],$$

where $R(t, s)$ is a positive definite function, or equivalently, if $X_t = A^{1/2}G_t$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, where A is a positive $\alpha/2$ -stable and independent of the Gaussian process G which has mean zero and covariance function R . We show that stationary sub-Gaussian processes are not ergodic.

Theorem 3. *Sub-Gaussian stationary processes are never metrically transitive.*

Proof. Since for a zero mean normal r.v. ξ we have $E|\xi|^\alpha = D_\alpha(E\xi^2)^{\alpha/2}$, where the constant D_α does not depend on ξ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp \left\{ i \sum_{n=1}^N a_n X_{t_n} \right\} &= \exp \left\{ - \left[\frac{1}{2} E \left(\sum_{n=1}^N a_n G_{t_n} \right)^2 \right]^{\alpha/2} \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ - 2^{-\alpha/2} D_\alpha^{-1} E \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n G_{t_n} \right|^\alpha \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\{X_t, -\infty < t < \infty\} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ 2^{-1/2} D_\alpha^{-1/\alpha} \int_{\Omega} U_t G_0 dZ, -\infty < t < \infty \right\}$$

where $G_t = U_t G_0$, and Z is the canonical independently scattered S α S measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Since X is stationary, so is G . Checking condition (5) with $h = G_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|U_\tau h - h\|_\alpha^\alpha d\tau &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T E \|G_\tau - G_0\|^\alpha d\tau = \frac{D_\alpha}{T} \int_0^T (E |G_\tau - G_0|^\alpha)^{\alpha/2} d\tau \\ &= \frac{D_\alpha}{T} \int_0^T (2[R(0) - R(\tau)])^{\alpha/2} d\tau \\ &= D_\alpha [R(0)]^{\alpha/2} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(2 \left[1 - \frac{R(\tau)}{R(0)} \right] \right)^{\alpha/2} d\tau \\ &= \|h\|_\alpha^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T 2 \left[1 - \frac{R(\tau)}{R(0)} \right] d\tau \right)^{\alpha/2} \quad (\text{Jensen}) \\ &\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \|h\|_\alpha^\alpha \left(2 \left[1 - \frac{\mu\{0\}}{R(0)} \right] \right)^{\alpha/2} = 2\|h\|_\alpha^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

where $R(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\tau} d\mu(\lambda)$, and the inequality is strict for $0 < \alpha < 2$ even when $\mu\{0\} = 0$. Hence condition (5) is not satisfied and X cannot be ergodic.

The ergodic decomposition of a sub-Gaussian process X can be easily described in terms of the ergodic decomposition of the corresponding Gaussian process G (which may or may not be metrically transitive) using the fact that, modulo null

sets, the σ -field of invariant sets of X equals the smallest σ -field containing the σ -field generated by the $\alpha/2$ -stable r.v. A and the σ -field of invariant sets of Y .

5. Fourier transforms

We say that a complex S α S process X has a harmonic spectral representation if

$$X_t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda} dW(\lambda), \quad -\infty < t < \infty,$$

where W is a complex independently scattered S α S measure on $(\mathbb{R}^1, \mathcal{B}^1, \mu)$, μ finite. Then for every complex $f \in L_\alpha(\mu)$,

$$E \exp \left\{ i \operatorname{Re} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f dW \right] \right\} = \exp \left\{ - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\operatorname{Re}[f(\lambda) e^{i\theta}]|^\alpha d\nu(\lambda, \theta) \right\} \quad (10)$$

where ν is a measure on the Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}^1 \times (-\pi, \pi]$ with a marginal $\mu: \nu\{B \times (-\pi, \pi]\} = \mu(B)$ [14, 2]. X is stationary if and only if the measure W is rotationally invariant, i.e. the distribution of the process $\{e^{i\phi} W(B), B \in \mathcal{B}^1\}$ does not depend on ϕ , in which case $\nu = \mu \times (\operatorname{Leb}/2\pi)$ and for $f \in L_\alpha(\mu)$ we have

$$E \exp \left\{ i \operatorname{Re} \left[\int_{-x}^x f dW \right] \right\} = \exp \left\{ -C_\alpha \int_{-x}^x |f|^\alpha d\mu \right\}$$

where $C_\alpha = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\cos \theta|^\alpha d\theta$. Unlike the Gaussian case $\alpha = 2$, where all stationary Gaussian processes which are continuous in probability have a harmonic representation, there are stationary S α S processes with $0 < \alpha < 2$ which are continuous in probability but do not have a harmonic representation, such as sub-Gaussian processes and moving averages of S α S processes with stationary independent increments [5].

For a real (stationary) S α S process X we say that it has a harmonic representation if

$$X_t = \operatorname{Re} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda} dW(\lambda)$$

where W is as above (and is rotationally invariant). We show that when $0 < \alpha < 2$ such processes are never ergodic, in sharp contrast with the stationary Gaussian processes ($\alpha = 2$) which are ergodic if and only if the spectral measure μ has no atoms. This has also been indicated in [17]. Even though these processes are not ergodic, their spectral measure μ can be estimated consistently under the usual assumptions [18].

Theorem 4. A real stationary S α S process with a harmonic representation is never metrically transitive when $0 < \alpha < 2$.

Proof. With some minor adjustments in the proof of Theorem 1, we have that X is metrically transitive if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|(e^{i\tau\lambda} - 1)h(\lambda)\|_\alpha^\alpha d\tau &\rightarrow 2\|h\|_\alpha^\alpha, \\ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|(e^{i\tau\lambda} - 1)h(\lambda)\|_\alpha^{2\alpha} d\tau &\rightarrow 4\|h\|_\alpha^{2\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for all complex $h \in L_\alpha(\mu)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|(e^{i\tau\lambda} - 1)h(\lambda)\|_\alpha^\alpha d\tau &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(\lambda)|^\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| 2 \sin \frac{\tau\lambda}{2} \right|^\alpha d\tau \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\lambda \neq 0} |h(\lambda)|^\alpha \left\{ \frac{2}{T\lambda} \int_0^{T\lambda/2} |2 \sin u|^\alpha du \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi |2 \sin u|^\alpha du \cdot \int_{\lambda \neq 0} |h|^\alpha d\mu \\ &\triangleq D_\alpha \int_{\lambda \neq 0} |h|^\alpha d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Note that when $\alpha = 2$, $D_2 = 2$ and thus (11) is satisfied provided $\mu\{0\} = 0$. We now show that when $0 < \alpha < 2$, $D_\alpha < 2$, and thus (11) is not satisfied and X is not metrically transitive. Indeed, by Jensen's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi |2 \sin u|^\alpha du \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi (|2 \sin u|^2)^{\alpha/2} du \leq \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi |2 \sin u|^2 du \right)^{\alpha/2} \\ &= 2^{\alpha/2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We now turn our attention to laws of large numbers (LLN). We consider complex processes from which the results for their real parts follow immediately. Let X be a complex S α S process with a harmonic representation. It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{it\lambda} dt \right\} dW(\lambda) \\ &\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1_{\{0\}}(\lambda) dW(\lambda) = W\{0\} \end{aligned}$$

in probability. Thus X satisfies a weak LLN if and only if $W\{0\} = 0$. When X is stationary (i.e. W is rotationally invariant) and $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, then by Birkhoff's theorem

the above convergence is also a.s., and X satisfies a strong LLN (SLLN) if and only if $\mu\{0\} = 0$. Following the approach in [10], where L_2 -stationary processes are considered, we show that this latter property remains true even when X is not stationary.

Theorem 5. *Let X be a complex SaS process with harmonic representation and $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} W\{0\} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and X satisfies a SLLN if and only if $\mu\{0\} = 0$.

Proof. The proof parallels that of theorems 1' and 2' in [10] as outlined on pp. 303-304. Here we only point out the main adjustments necessary when $1 < \alpha < 2$. The first step is to show that it suffices to establish the a.s. convergence along the integers since

$$Z_k = \sup_{k \leq T \leq k+1} \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt - \frac{1}{k} \int_0^k X_t dt \right| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Indeed from

$$Z_k \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{1}{k} \int_0^k |X_t| dt + \frac{1}{k} \int_k^{k+1} |X_t| dt$$

we obtain, for $1 < p < \alpha$,

$$\{EZ_k^p\}^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \left\{ E \frac{1}{k} \int_0^k |X_t|^p dt \right\}^{1/p} + \frac{1}{k} \left\{ E \int_k^{k+1} |X_t|^p dt \right\}^{1/p}.$$

By stationarity $E|X_t|^p = \text{Const} < \infty$ for all t , and thus $\{EZ_k^p\}^{1/p} \leq \text{Const } k^{-1}$ so that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} EZ_k^p < \infty$ from which it follows by the Borel-Cantelli lemma that $Z_k \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

The second step is to show that, since

$$\frac{1}{k} \int_0^k X_t dt = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{e^{ik\lambda} - 1}{ik\lambda} dW(\lambda),$$

it suffices to show

$$Y_k \triangleq \int_{-1}^1 \frac{e^{ik\lambda} - 1}{ik\lambda} dW(\lambda) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} W\{0\} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

since the remainder $R_k = \int_{-1}^1 (e^{ik\lambda} - 1)(ik\lambda)^{-1} dW(\lambda)$ tends to 0 with k a.s. Indeed we have

$$\|R_k\|_2^2 = \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{\sin k\lambda/2}{k\lambda/2} \right|^2 d\mu(\lambda) \leq \frac{\text{Const}}{k^2}.$$

Now with $X = \int f dW$, it follows from (10) that $\|\operatorname{Re} X\|_\alpha^\alpha = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\operatorname{Re} f(\lambda) e^{i\theta}|^\alpha d\nu(\lambda, \theta)$. The expression for $\|\operatorname{Im} X\|_\alpha^\alpha$ is then obtained simply by replacing f by $-if$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E|X|^p &\leq E|\operatorname{Re} X|^p + E|\operatorname{Im} X|^p = \operatorname{Const}\{\|\operatorname{Re} X\|_\alpha^p + \|\operatorname{Im} X\|_\alpha^p\} \\ &= \operatorname{Const}\left\{\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\operatorname{Re} f(\lambda) e^{i\theta}|^\alpha d\nu(\lambda, \theta)\right)^{p/\alpha} + \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\operatorname{Re} -if(\lambda) e^{i\theta}|^\alpha d\nu(\lambda, \theta)\right)^{p/\alpha}\right\} \\ &\leq \operatorname{Const}\|f\|_\alpha^p = \operatorname{Const}\|X\|_\alpha^p. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Thus for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $1 < p < \alpha$,

$$P(|R_k| > \varepsilon) \leq \frac{E|R_k|^p}{\varepsilon^p} \leq \operatorname{Const} \frac{\|R_k\|_\alpha^p}{\varepsilon^p} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Const}}{\varepsilon^p k^p}$$

and $R_k \rightarrow 0$ a.s. follows from Borel-Cantelli.

The third step is to show that it suffices to establish a.s. convergence of Y_n along the subsequence $k = 2^n$ since $\sup_{2^n \leq k \leq 2^{n+1}} |Y_k - Y_{2^n}| \rightarrow 0$ a.s. The fourth step is to show that

$$Y_{2^n} - \int_{|\lambda| \leq 2^n} dW(\lambda) \xrightarrow{n} 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and the final fifth step that

$$\int_{|\lambda| \leq 2^n} dW(\lambda) \xrightarrow{n} W\{0\} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

These steps are established by adjusting Gaposhkin's arguments in ways similar to those exhibited in steps one and two—and need not be shown here.

We finally show that $W\{0\} = 0$ if and only if $\|W\{0\}\|_\alpha = \mu\{0\} = 0$. This follows from (12) and

$$\begin{aligned} \|X\|_\alpha &\leq \|\operatorname{Re} X\|_\alpha + \|\operatorname{Im} X\|_\alpha = \operatorname{Const}\{(E|\operatorname{Re} X|^p)^{1/p} + (E|\operatorname{Im} X|^p)^{1/p}\} \\ &\leq \operatorname{Const}(E|X|^p)^{1/p}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

6. Doubly stationary processes

We introduce in this section a new class of stationary SαS processes which we term *doubly stationary*. They are, loosely, those SαS processes whose spectral representations are themselves stationary.

To be more precise, let (E, Σ, μ) be an arbitrary (finite or infinite) measure space and let $\{f_t: t \in G\}$ be a collection of measurable functions on E . G is in general some group—for the purposes of this paper, we take it to be Z or $\mathbb{R}$. Call $\{f_t\}$ *stationary* if the μ -distribution of the vector $(f_{t_1+s}, \dots, f_{t_n+s})$ is independent of $s \in G$ for each fixed choice of n and $t_j \in G$. A S α S process will be called *doubly stationary* if it has the same distribution as some process $\{X_t = \int_E f_t(\lambda) dZ(\lambda): t \in G\}$ where $\{f_t\} \subseteq L_\alpha(E, \Sigma, \mu)$ is stationary and Z is the canonical independently scattered random measure on (E, Σ, μ) . It is clear by checking characteristic functions that doubly stationary S α S processes are also (strictly) stationary. Example (iv) below shows the converse does not hold.

For stationary $\{f_t\}$ we may find, just as in the case of a stationary process, a group of measure-preserving set transformations $\{T_t\}$ of $\Sigma = \sigma\{f_t\}$ such that $f_t = T_t f_0$. (We also denote by T_t the induced map on measurable functions.) Conversely, any group of measure-preserving set transformations defines stationary functions $\{T_t f_0\}$ for arbitrary measurable f_0 . Thus a S α S process is doubly stationary if and only if it has a representation as in (2) of Section 1, where the group $\{U_t\}$ is induced by such a group $\{T_t\}$. This equivalent definition will be more useful for us, if not as picturesque.

Examples. (i) *Every mean-zero stationary Gaussian process is doubly stationary.* To see this, let $\{X_t\}$ be a mean-zero stationary Gaussian process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and let Z be the canonical independently scattered Gaussian measure on $(E, \Sigma, \mu) \triangleq (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Then $\{Y_t \triangleq \int_\Omega X_t(\omega) dZ(\omega)\}$ is seen (by checking characteristic functions) to have the same distribution as $\{X_t\}$. Hence $\{X_t\}$ is doubly stationary.

(ii) *Every stationary sub-Gaussian process is doubly stationary.* Let $\{X_t\}$ be α -sub-Gaussian on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, represented as $X_t = A^{1/2} G_t$ as in Section 4. As seen in the proof of Theorem 3 in Section 4, $\{X_t\}$ is distributed as $\{Y_t \triangleq \int_\Omega c G_t(\omega) dZ(\omega)\}$ where Z is the canonical independently scattered S α S random measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and c is a constant depending on α . $\{G_t\}$ is stationary since $\{X_t\}$ is, and thus $\{X_t\}$ is doubly stationary.

(iii) *All S α S moving averages are doubly stationary.* In this case, the group $\{T_t\}$ is the translation group on $(G, \text{Haar measure})$.

(iv) *There exists a stationary S α S process, continuous in probability, which is not doubly stationary.* For simplicity we take $\alpha = 1$, although this example may be altered easily to work for each $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Define $U_t: L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow L^1[0, 1]$ for real t by $(U_t f)(x) = 2^t x^{2^t-1} f(x^{2^t})$. It is easily checked that $\{U_t\}$ is a strongly continuous group of linear isometries, so that $\{X_t \triangleq \int_0^1 U_t 1_{[0,1]} dZ\}$ is a stationary S α S process continuous in probability. Here, Z is Cauchy motion on $[0, 1]$ (the canonical S1S independent increments process on $[0, 1]$). We claim that $\{X_t\}$ is not doubly stationary.

For, if $\{X_t\}$ were doubly stationary, we could find a measure space (E, Σ, μ) , a group of measure-preserving set maps $T_t: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ and a function $\phi \in L^\alpha(\mu)$ such $t \mapsto T_t \phi$ is a spectral representation for $\{X_t\}$. Since $t \mapsto U_t 1_{[0,1]}$ is also a spectral

representation for $\{X_t\}$ we must have that $\|\sum \lambda_j U_{t_j} f_0\|_{L^\alpha[0,1]} = \|\sum \lambda_j T_{t_j} \phi\|_{L^\alpha(\mu)}$ for all choices of λ_j and t_j . Hence the map $U_t 1_{[0,1]} \mapsto T_t \phi$ extends to a linear isometry of $\overline{\text{sp}}\{U_t 1_{[0,1]}\}_{L^\alpha[0,1]}$ onto $\overline{\text{sp}}\{T_t \phi\}_{L^\alpha(\mu)}$. This isometry in fact extends to all of $L^\alpha[0,1]$ by [11, Corollary 4.3], since $U_0 1_{[0,1]} = 1_{[0,1]}$ and $U_1 1_{[0,1]}(x) = 2x$ are both in $\overline{\text{sp}}\{U_t 1_{[0,1]}\}$. Call this extension M . Again by [11, Corollary 4.3], M has the form $(Mf)(x) = h(x)(Sf)(x)$ where S is induced by a regular set isomorphism of $(\mathcal{B}, \text{Lebesgue})$ to (Σ, μ) . Since $MU_t 1_{[0,1]} = T_t \phi$ we must have that, calling $\text{id}(x) = x$,

$$T_t \phi = MU_t 1_{[0,1]} = M(2^t \text{id}^{2^t-1}) = hS(2^t \text{id}^{2^t-1}) = 2^t h[S(\text{id})]^{2^t-1}.$$

Since $0 \leq \text{id} < 1$ a.e., we have that $0 \leq S(\text{id}) < 1$ a.e. $[\mu]$. If $0 \leq x < 1$ we have that $2^t x^{2^t-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. But $T_t \phi$ must be equidistributed for all t (since T_t is measure-preserving), and $2^t h[S(\text{id})]^{2^t-1}$ by the above is not, since by choosing t large enough we may for any $\varepsilon > 0$ force $\mu\{|2^t h[S(\text{id})]^{2^t-1}| < \varepsilon\}$ as close to $\mu(E)$ as desired. Therefore $\{X_t\}$ cannot be doubly stationary. $\square$

Remark. In view of the representation (2) and the fact that groups of isometries on L^α for $\alpha \neq 2$ are determined (essentially) by groups of transformations on the underlying measure space (see [16] or [11] for more details), it is natural to expect that many stationary S α S processes can be shown to be doubly stationary by "appropriately altering" the measure space upon which $\{U_t\}$ is defined.

We now turn to the ergodic properties of doubly stationary processes. For the remainder of this section, we assume that $\{X_t\}$ is a doubly stationary S α S process with spectral representation $t \mapsto T_t \phi$ where $\{T_t\}$ is a strongly measurable group induced by a group of measure-preserving set transformations on the arbitrary measure space (E, Σ, μ) , and $\phi \in L^\alpha(\mu)$. We also assume WLOG that $\Sigma = \sigma\{T_t \phi\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the invariant σ -field of $\{T_t\}$, $\mathcal{I} = \{A \in \Sigma: T_t A = A \text{ for all } t\}$.

The first result gives a sufficient condition for metric transitivity. Note that condition (13) below on our "shift" $\{T_t\}$ of Σ and condition (4) of Section 2 on the shift in $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ are of a fundamentally different nature—(13) is a kind of "asymptotic disjointness" condition, while (4) is a kind of asymptotic independence condition. This should not be too surprising, however, since it is known (see [20]) that two jointly S α S r.v.'s are independent if and only if their spectral representatives have disjoint support.

Theorem 6. $\{X_t\}$ is metrically transitive if for all sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ of finite μ -measure

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mu(A \cap T_t B) dt = 0. \quad (13)$$

Condition (13) guarantees that $\mu(E) = \infty$, for otherwise (13) would be false for $A = B = E$.

Proof. We first claim that it is enough to verify (5) and (6) of Theorem 1 for all simple functions g . The following inequalities are valid for real x and y :

$$|x|^\alpha - |y|^\alpha \leq |x+y|^\alpha \leq |x|^\alpha + |y|^\alpha \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

$$|x|^\alpha - |y|^\alpha - \alpha|x+y|^{\alpha-1}|y| \leq |x+y|^\alpha \leq |x|^\alpha + |y|^\alpha + \alpha|x|^{\alpha-1}|y| \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \alpha \leq 2.$$

The first is well-known and the second follows from [18]. Call $x = T_t g - g$, $y = g - h + T_t(h - g)$ and $z = x + y = T_t h - h$, and integrate the inequalities (using Hölder in the second) to obtain

$$\|x\|_\alpha^\alpha - \|y\|_\alpha^\alpha \leq \|z\|_\alpha^\alpha \leq \|x\|_\alpha^\alpha + \|y\|_\alpha^\alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

$$\|x\|_\alpha^\alpha - \|y\|_\alpha^\alpha - \alpha\|z\|_\alpha^{\alpha-1}\|y\|_\alpha \leq \|z\|_\alpha^\alpha \leq \|x\|_\alpha^\alpha + \|y\|_\alpha^\alpha + \alpha\|x\|_\alpha^{\alpha-1}\|y\|_\alpha,$$

$$1 \leq \alpha \leq 2.$$

Now note that for arbitrary fixed h , $\|y\|_\alpha$ can be made uniformly small in t by choosing g simple with $\|h - g\|_\alpha$ small, and that $\|z\|_\alpha$ is uniformly bounded in t . These observations coupled with the inequalities above show that if (5) holds for all simple functions g , then it holds for all $h \in \overline{\text{sp}}\{T_t \phi\}$ (and in fact for all $h \in L^\alpha(\mu)$). Squaring the inequalities above shows that the same thing can be said for condition (6). The claim is therefore true.

Now let $h = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j 1_{A_j} \in L^\alpha(\mu)$, with $\{A_j\}$ a partition of E and $A_0 = \{h = 0\}$ (and of course $c_0 = 0$). Then $\mu(A_j) < \infty$ for $j \geq 1$ and $\mu(A_0) = \infty$. Call $A_{ij}(t) = T_t A_i \cap A_j$. Then $T_t h - h = \sum c_i 1_{T_t A_i} - \sum c_j 1_{A_j} = \sum_{i,j=0}^n (c_i - c_j) 1_{A_{ij}(t)}$ where $\{A_{ij}(t)\}$ partitions E , and we have

$$\|T_t h - h\|_\alpha^\alpha = \sum_{i,j=0}^n |c_i - c_j|^\alpha \mu(A_{ij}(t)). \quad (14)$$

Condition (13) guarantees that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \int_0^T \|T_t h - h\|_\alpha^\alpha dt \\ = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \int_0^T \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^\alpha \mu(A_{i0}(t)) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n |c_i - c_j|^\alpha \mu(A_{ij}(t)) \right\} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

It is not difficult to show that (13) also guarantees that $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \int_0^T \mu(T_t B_1 \cap B_2) dt$ is $\mu(B_1)$ [resp. $\mu(B_2)$] if B_1 and B_2^c have finite measure [resp. B_1^c and B_2 have finite measure]. Thus (15) shows that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \int_0^T \|T_t h - h\|_\alpha^\alpha = \sum |c_i|^\alpha \mu(A_i) + \sum |c_i|^\alpha \mu(A_i) = 2\|h\|_\alpha^\alpha$$

and so (5) holds.

To show (6) holds, note that from (14),

$$\|T_t h - h\|_\alpha^{2\alpha} = \sum_{i,j,k,l=0}^n |c_i - c_j|^\alpha |c_k - c_l|^\alpha \mu(A_{ij}(t)) \mu(A_{kl}(t)).$$

Condition (13) applies to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \int_0^T \|T_t h - h\|_{\alpha}^2 dt \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \int_0^T \sum_{p,q=1}^n |c_p|^{\alpha} |c_q|^{\alpha} [\mu(A_{p0}(t))\mu(A_{q0}(t)) \\ & \quad + \mu(A_{p0}(t))\mu(A_{0q}(t)) + \mu(A_{0p}(t))\mu(A_{q0}(t)) \\ & \quad + \mu(A_{0p}(t))\mu(A_{0q}(t))] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It can be shown with a little thought and a little bit more computation that Condition (13) also guarantees that $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} \int_0^T \mu(T_t B_1 \cap B_2) \mu(T_t B_3 \cap B_4) dt$ is equal to $\mu(B_1)\mu(B_3)$ [resp. $\mu(B_1)\mu(B_4)$; $\mu(B_2)\mu(B_3)$; $\mu(B_2)\mu(B_4)$] if B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 [resp. B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 ; B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 ; B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4] have finite measure. Thus (16) shows that condition (6) holds, and $\{X_t\}$ must be metrically transitive.

We now give an analogous sufficient condition for mixing. As in the last result, the condition on $\{T_t\}$ here in (17) is of a fundamentally different nature than that on the shift of the process in (7) of Section 3.

Theorem 7. $\{X_t\}$ is mixing if for all $A \in \sigma\{T_t \phi; t \geq 0\}$, $B \in \sigma\{T_t \phi; t \geq 0\}$ of finite μ -measure,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap T_t B) = 0. \quad (17)$$

Again, (17) guarantees that $\mu(E) = \chi$.

Proof. We will verify (9) of Theorem 2. Applying arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 6, we see that it is enough to have (9) for simple g and h in $L^\infty(\mu)$. Let $A = \text{supp}(g) \triangleq \{g \neq 0\}$, $B = \text{supp}(h)$, and let g and h be bounded by M . Note that $\text{supp}(T_t h) = T_t B$ and that A and B are of finite measure. Since

$$\|g + T_t h\|_{\alpha}^2 = \|g\|_{\alpha}^2 + \|h\|_{\alpha}^2 + 2M^{\alpha} \mu(A \cap T_t B),$$

(17) shows that (9) holds and thus that $\{X_t\}$ is mixing.

We now look at laws of large numbers for doubly stationary processes. For simplicity we assume that $\alpha > 1$, so that $E|X_t| < \infty$ and we have (as in Section 5) that $\{X_t\}$ satisfies a SLLN if and only if it satisfies a weak LLN.

Note that for $X_t = \int_t T_t \phi dZ$, $T^{-1} \int_0^T X_t dt = \int_t (T^{-1} \int_0^T T_t \phi dt) dZ$, the change of integration being justified as in [4, Theorem 4.6]. But $T^{-1} \int_0^T T_t \phi dt$ converges in L^∞ to $\bar{E}(\phi|\mathcal{F})$ by the mean ergodic theorem (see Theorem C of the Appendix). So by the definition of the stochastic integral, $T^{-1} \int_0^T X_t dt$ converges in probability, and hence a.s., to $\int_t \bar{E}(\phi|\mathcal{F}) dZ$. This proves

Theorem 8. Let $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. Then as $T \rightarrow \infty$, $T^{-1} \int_0^T X_t dt$ converges a.s. and in probability to a random variable distributed as $\int_t \bar{E}(\phi|\mathcal{F}) dZ$. Thus $\{X_t\}$ satisfies the SLLN if and only if $\bar{E}(\phi|\mathcal{F}) = 0$ a.e. $[\mu]$.

It follows, for $1 < \alpha < 2$, that a necessary condition for metric transitivity of $\{X_t\}$ is $E(\phi | \mathcal{I}) = 0$; and that if every set of $\mathcal{I}$ has either zero or infinite μ -measure, then $\{X_t\}$ satisfies the SLLN.

These results allow us to construct examples showing that neither of the conditions "ergodicity of $\{T_t\}$ " or "metric transitivity of $\{X_t\}$ " implies the other. To mention one such example, let $1 < \alpha < 2$, $\{T_t\}$ be translation by $t \pmod{1}$ on $[0, 1]$, $\phi = 1_{[0, 1/2]} - 1_{(1/2, 1]}$, and $X_t = \int_0^1 T_s \phi \, dZ$. Then (i) $\{T_t\}$ is ergodic; (ii) $\{X_t\}$ satisfies the SLLN, and (iii) $\{X_t\}$ is not metrically transitive. (i) is obvious, and (ii) follows from (i) and Theorem 8, since $E(\phi | \mathcal{I}) = E(\phi | \mathcal{I}) = E\phi = 0$. To verify (iii), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T T_t \phi - \phi \, dt &= \int_0^1 T_t \phi - \phi \, dt \\ &= \int_0^{1/2} 2^{-\alpha-1} t \, dt + \int_{1/2}^1 2^{-\alpha-1} (1-t) \, dt \\ &= 2^{-\alpha-1} = 2^{-\alpha-2} \phi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and thus Condition (5) in Theorem 1 does not hold.

Appendix

We collect here some facts from ergodic theory needed throughout the paper. Although we expect that nothing in this presentation is new, we can find no reference for Theorems B and C. We assume that all (continuous parameter) groups are strongly measurable in order to be able to define the appropriate integrals (see [8, pp. 685-686]). We state Theorems A and C in the continuous case, but their discrete versions are also true.

Theorem A. Let $\{U_t\}$ be a group of isometries on $L^p(E, \Sigma, \mu)$, where (E, Σ, μ) is an arbitrary measure space, and $p > 1$. Then for all $\phi \in L^p(\mu)$, $T^{-1} \int_0^T U_t \phi \, dt \rightarrow P\phi$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, where the convergence is a.e. and in L^p , and P is a projection operator onto $M \triangleq \{f \in L^p(\mu) : U_t f = f \text{ for all } t\}$.

Proof. The strong convergence follows from [8, p. 662] in the discrete case, and [8, p. 689] in the continuous case. That P is such a projection follows from [8, p. 662 and p. 688]. [1] shows that the convergence is also a.e.

When $\{U_t\}$ is induced by a group of measure-preserving set transformations $\{T_t\}$ on (E, Σ, μ) , we can identify the limit operator P above. In the case $\mu(E) < \infty$, it is well known that P is the conditional expectation operator given the invariant sets of $\{T_t\}$. In the case $\mu(E) = \infty$, it is the appropriate generalization of such a conditional expectation, which we now describe.

Theorem B. For (E, Σ, μ) an arbitrary measure space and Σ_0 a sub- σ -field of Σ , the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\Sigma)$ onto $L^2(\Sigma_0)$ has a unique extension by continuity to a positive contractive projection $\bar{E}(\cdot|\Sigma_0)$ of $L^p(\Sigma)$ onto $L^p(\Sigma_0)$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. $\bar{E}(\cdot|\Sigma_0)$ is characterized as the unique Σ_0 -measurable function in L^p satisfying $\int_A \bar{E}(f|\Sigma_0) d\mu = \int_A f d\mu$ for all $A \in \Sigma_0$ of finite measure.

Proof. Denote by Q the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\Sigma)$ onto $L^2(\Sigma_0)$. For all $A \in \Sigma_0$ with finite measure and $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$, 1_A is orthogonal to $f - Qf$ and so

$$\int_A Qf d\mu = \int_A f d\mu. \quad (18)$$

This characterizes Q in the sense that Qf is the unique Σ_0 -measurable function in L^2 which satisfies (18). Relation (18) also shows that Q is positive, i.e. $Qf \geq 0$ a.e. if $f \geq 0$ a.e., and $|Qf| \leq Q|f|$. This, coupled with (18) and the fact that the support of any $f \in L^2$ is σ -finite, shows that $\|Qf\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$ for any $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$. Q now extends by continuity to a positive contractive projection $\bar{E}(\cdot|\Sigma_0)$ of $L^1(\Sigma)$ onto $L^1(\Sigma_0)$ satisfying (18) for all $f \in L^1$. The Riesz Convexity Theorem [8, p. 525] shows that the last statement is true with 1 replaced by p ($1 \leq p \leq 2$).

It is clear that for $\mu(E) < \infty$, $\bar{E}(\cdot|\Sigma_0)$ is the standard conditional expectation operator $E(\cdot|\Sigma_0)$.

We can now state the ergodic theorem needed in Section 6.

Theorem C. Let (E, Σ, μ) be arbitrary, the group $\{T_t\}$ be induced by a group of measure-preserving set transformations of Σ , and $\mathcal{J} = \{A \in \Sigma: T_t A = A \text{ for all } t\}$. For $\phi \in L^p(\mu)$,

$$T^{-1} \int_0^t T_s \phi ds \rightarrow \bar{E}(\phi|\mathcal{J})$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, where the convergence is a.e. and in L^p if (i) $1 \leq p \leq 2$, or if (ii) $p = 1$ and $\mu(E) < \infty$.

Proof. Theorem A gives us that $T^{-1} \int_0^t T_s ds$ converges to a projection P on $M^2 = \{f \in L^2: T_t f = f \text{ for all } t\}$ in the appropriate senses if $1 \leq p \leq 2$. For $p = 1$, the convergence follows from [8, p. 662 and p. 675] for the discrete case, and [8, p. 689 and p. 690] for the continuous case. It remains to identify P as $\bar{E}(\cdot|\mathcal{J})$.

P as an operator on L^2 must be a contraction, being the strong limit of contractions. In a Hilbert space there is but one contractive projection onto a given subspace, namely the orthogonal projection onto that subspace. Since it is easy to verify that $M = L^2(E, \mathcal{J}, \mu)$, we have that $P = \bar{E}(\cdot|\mathcal{J})$ on L^2 , and hence that $P = \bar{E}(\cdot|\mathcal{J})$ on L^p by Theorem B. $\square$

References

- [1] A. Bellow, Ergodic properties of isometries in L^p spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1966) 566-571.
- [2] S. Cambanis, Complex symmetric stable variables and processes, in: P. K. Sen (ed.), Contributions to Statistics: Essays in Honour of Norman L. Johnson (North-Holland, New York, 1966) 65-79.
- [3] S. Cambanis, C. D. Hardin Jr. and A. Weron, Innovations and Wold decompositions of stable sequences, Center for Stochastic Processes Tech. Rep. No. 196, Statistics Dept., Univ. of North Carolina, 1985.
- [4] S. Cambanis and G. Miller, Linear problems in p th order and stable processes, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 41 (1981) 43-69.
- [5] S. Cambanis and A.R. Soltani, Prediction of stable processes: Spectral and moving average representations, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Geb. 66 (1984) 593-612.
- [6] D.E. Cohn, Measurable choice of limit points and the existence of separable and measurable processes, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Geb. 22 (1972) 161-165.
- [7] M.M. Crum, On positive definite functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 3, 6 (1956) 548-560.
- [8] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, Linear Operators I: General Theory (Interscience, New York, 1958).
- [9] H. Dym and H.P. McKean, Gaussian Processes, Function Theory, and the Inverse Spectral Problem (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
- [10] V.E. Gnaniashvili, Criteria for the strong law of large numbers for some classes of second order stationary processes and homogeneous random fields, Theor. Probability Appl. 22 (1977) 286-319.
- [11] C.D. Hardin Jr., Isometries on subspaces of L^p , Indiana Univ. Math. J. 30 (1981) 449-465.
- [12] C.D. Hardin Jr., On the spectral representation of symmetric stable processes, J. Multivariate Anal. 12 (1982) 385-401.
- [13] Y. Hosoya, Discrete time stable processes and their certain properties, Ann. Probability 6 (1978) 94-105.
- [14] Y. Hosoya, Harmonizable stable processes, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Geb. 60 (1982) 517-533.
- [15] Y. Katznelson, An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [16] J. Lamperti, On the isometries of certain function spaces, Pacific J. Math. 8 (1958) 459-466.
- [17] R. LePage, Multidimensional infinitely divisible variables and processes: Part I: Stable case, Tech. Rept. No. 292, Statistics Dept., Stanford Univ., Stanford, CA, 1980.
- [18] E. Masry, and S. Cambanis, Spectral density estimation for stationary stable processes, Stochastic Process. Appl. 18 (1984) 1-31.
- [19] Yu. A. Rozanov, Stationary Random Processes (Holden Day, San Francisco, 1967).
- [20] M. Schilder, Some structure theorems for the symmetric stable laws, Ann. Math. Statist. 41 (1975) 412-421.
- [21] A. Weron, Harmonizable stable processes on groups: Spectral, ergodic, and interpolation properties, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Geb. 68 (1985) 473-491.
- [22] V.M. Zolotarev, Mellin-Stieltjes transforms in probability theory, Theor. Probability Appl. 1 (1975) 433-460.

Approved for release by NSA on 05-10-2014 pursuant to E.O. 13526

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

DATE
FILMED
8