

NO-A187 541

DEBRIS CHARGE STATES IN HANE (HIGH ALTITUDE NUCLEAR
EXPLOSION) AND IN THE (U) NAVAL RESEARCH LAB
WASHINGTON DC E HYMAN ET AL 30 OCT 87 NRL-MR-6085

1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

MR-87-518

F/G 19/11

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

DTIC FILE COPY

2

Naval Research Laboratory

Washington, DC 20375-5000



NRL Memorandum Report 6085

AD-A187 541

Debris Charge States in HANE and in the NRL Laser Experiment

E. HYMAN


*Science Applications International Corporation
McLean, Virginia 22101*

M. MULBRANDON AND J. L. GIULIANI, JR.

*Geophysical and Plasma Dynamics Branch
Plasma Physics Division*

October 30, 1987

This report was sponsored by DNA under "Weapons Phenomenology and Code Development"
Work Unit Code & Title: RB RC/00158, Plasma Structure Evolution.



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

631

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

AD-A187541

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) NRL Memorandum Report 6085		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Naval Research Laboratory	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) Code 4780	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Washington, DC 20375-5000		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Defense Nuclear Agency	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) RAAE	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 47-0889-07 (MIPR No. 87-518)	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Washington, DC 20305		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 62715H	PROJECT NO. S99QMXBC - 00102
		TASK NO. 00102	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. DN580-072
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Debris Charge States in Hane and in the NRL Laser Experiment			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Hyman*, E., Mulbrandon, M. and Giuliani, J.L., Jr.			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Interim	13b. TIME COVERED FROM TO	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1987 October 30	15. PAGE COUNT 23
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *This report was sponsored by DNA under "Weapons Phenomenology and Code Development." Work Unit Code and Title: RB RC/00158, Plasma Structure Evolution.			
17. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	HANE (High Altitude Nuclear Explosion)	
		NRL Laser Experiment, Debris Charge State,	
		Scaling, Recombination Rates	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			
We show that in the NRL laser experiment the expanding debris ions remain highly charged, in contrast to the situation in a high altitude nuclear explosion (HANE) in which recombination to a low charge state is rapid. The difference in the two cases is a result of (1) a failure in the scaling of debris density relative to a HANE in the early expansion phase and (2) a failure in scaling of the energy deposition time. Results based on simple idealized models for the HANE and laser experiment are presented and these are compared with more sophisticated calculations. (Keyman)			
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL J.D. HUBA		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 202-767-3630	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL Code 4780

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SCALING AND DEBRIS RECOMBINATION	2
III.	RESULTS	4
IV.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	8
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	11
	REFERENCES	11
	APPENDIX I	12

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	



DEBRIS CHARGE STATES IN HANE AND IN THE NRL LASER EXPERIMENT

I. Introduction

It is reasonably well established that the charge state, z , of HANE debris drops from very high values to z close to 1 on disassembly time scales. Both observations and disassembly calculations suggest this result. A calculation by Clark and Jacobs at NRL⁽¹⁾ estimates that the charge state drops to $z \sim 1$ by the time the burst has expanded to ~ 200 meters radius, at which point the charge state is frozen in. That is, by this time the density has dropped to the point that recombination is too slow to be important and, further, future temperature decreases will not be sufficient to increase the recombination coefficient enough to offset the density decrease.

At the Naval Research Laboratory a laser target experiment, PHAROS II⁽²⁾, has been designed and operated to model on a reduced scale some of the physics occurring in an actual HANE. Simulations of the laser experiment using a hydro-chemistry-radiation code HANEX⁽³⁾ predict very high charge states ($z \sim 10$) for the laser experiment in the forward moving debris, which persist, at least, until interaction with the background gas becomes important. We have identified two critical differences between the laser experiment and the HANE event that are responsible for the disparity in the results:

- (1) a failure in density scaling in the adiabatic expansion phase, and
- (2) a difference in scaled times for the deposition of the laser/nuclear energy, during disassembly.

The laser experiment was designed to scale relative to HANE in the following way,⁽⁴⁾

$$\begin{aligned} t_H &= 10^6 t_L \\ r_H &= 10^6 r_L \\ n_H &= 10^{-6} n_L \\ M_H &= 10^{12} M_L \\ E_H &= 10^{12} E_L \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where t , r , n , M , and E are respectively time, expansion radius, background density, debris mass, and energy for HANE (H) and the laser experiment (L). It follows from Eq. 1, that 2-body reaction rate time scales should be related by $\tau_H = 10^6 \tau_L$ (since $\tau = (n\alpha)^{-1}$, where α is the rate coefficient).

In this note, we will show that there is a breakdown in scaling, and that recombination is much less effective in the laser experiment than it is in HANE, with the result that the laser debris remains highly charged. In Section II we will show that during the debris expansion, recombination times are the same in HANE and in the laser experiment (they do not scale, as suggested by Eq. 1). Also, "problem time" scales like 10^4 , not 10^6 , during the expansion, as we will see. In Section III we introduce an idealized HANE model and an idealized model for the laser experiment to clarify the essential distinctions. In Section IV we compare these results with HANEX code calculations and present our conclusions.

II. Scaling and Debris Recombination

To evaluate the importance of recombination (or ionization) in reducing (increasing) the average charge state of the debris, it is convenient to introduce the dimensionless parameter, ξ , the fraction of ions that will recombine or ionize in a time interval δt

$$\xi = \frac{\delta t}{\tau} \tag{2}$$

where $\tau = (N_e \alpha)^{-1}$, (N_e = electron density) is the recombination (ionization) time. In this discussion we neglect 3-body recombination, which is unimportant at the high temperatures associated with the early debris expansion. It is included in our model, however. We choose δt to be the time scale for changes in the density and temperature (an expansion time scale). Then the expanding plasma charge state will be in equilibrium if ξ for both recombination and ionization are large. If ξ becomes less than unity the plasma may no longer be in equilibrium. In particular, if ξ for recombination processes becomes and stays much less than unity, then that charge state will be frozen at its existing value, i.e., recombination will not occur.

If the debris expansion scaling satisfied Eq. 1, then according to Eq. 2, ξ for HANE and the laser experiment would be the same. However, this is not the case for debris recombination during the early expansion of the debris. Here, the ambient density is negligible compared to the debris density. Both in the laser experiment and in the HANE the debris density starts from the solid state. Thus, debris density is not scaled at all in the expansion phase; it is the same for HANE and the laser.

If the laser experiment were strictly a scaled down HANE (mass scaled by 10^{-12}) with the same initial temperature, the time scale for recombination (and ionization), τ , would be identical. However, if mass (M) scales $\sim 10^{12}$, and because debris densities are the same, the expansion radius scales $\sim (M)^{1/3} \sim 10^4$. Since expansion velocities are comparable, time (δt) scales $\sim 10^4$, also. Then, from Eq. 2, for HANE $\xi \sim 10^4$ times larger than in a laser experiment size HANE.

In the real experiment the temperature of the debris never exceeds 10^3 eV, while in HANE the temperature is greater than 10^4 eV at early times. If the laser stayed cooler than in a HANE, increased recombination at lower temperatures might compensate somewhat for the ξ discrepancy. However, in

the HANE event, the energy is released and deposited in a time $\sim 10^{-6}$ sec. In the laser, scaling of problem time by 10^{-4} implies an appropriate deposition time $\sim 10^{-10}$ sec, but the actual deposition time is $\sim 10^{-8}$ sec. Thus, there is a scaling discrepancy of order 10^{-2} . In other words, the laser energy is deposited over a time period a factor of 10^2 too long compared to a HANE. Thus, while the HANE debris is expanding adiabatically, and cooling accordingly, the laser debris expands but is maintained at a high temperature as long as the laser is on.⁽³⁾ Thereafter, the debris expands and cools adiabatically but the temperature remains higher than in a HANE, at the same densities. This higher temperature also decreases recombination processes in the laser experiment.

Thus, recombination is less effective in the laser experiment, first, because of scaling which requires $\xi_R(\text{HANE}) = 10^4 \xi_R(\text{LASER})$ and, second, because the long laser energy deposition time delays cooling. In the next section we illustrate the result of these effects using simplified models for the HANE and the laser experiment.

III. Results

The recombination coefficient is made up of 3 parts: radiative recombination, dielectronic recombination, and 3-body recombination. Their general dependence with temperature is quite different and explains their relative importance in different regimes. At intermediate temperatures dielectronic recombination tends to be larger than radiative and 3-body is unimportant. Dielectronic falls off somewhat faster than radiative ($\sim T_e^{-3/2}$ vs. $\sim T_e^{-1}$) with increasing temperature. At lower temperatures dielectronic drops off exponentially while radiative continues to increase. At very low temperatures 3-body will dominate even at low densities because it increases like $T_e^{-9/2}$ as T_e decreases.⁽⁵⁾ In Appendix I we present expressions for each of these rate coefficients.

Table 1 shows "typical" HANE parameters for ideal adiabatic expansion of aluminum into a vacuum. The plasma expands radially at constant velocity $v = 1 \times 10^8$ cm/sec, according to

$$n = n_0 (r_0/R)^3; \quad (3)$$

where

$$R = vt$$

Assuming adiabatic expansion with $\gamma = 5/3$,

$$T = T_0 (r_0/R)^2 \quad (4)$$

T_0 , M_0 and r_0 are the temperature, density, and radius when the expansion begins. Included in the Table are temperature (T), debris ion density (n),

TABLE 1

T (ev)	n (cm^{-3})	t (sec)	R (cm)	ξ_{R3}	ξ_{RR}	ξ_{RD}	ξ_I	z
1.0E+05	1.0E+23	1.0E-06	1.0E+02	0.0E+00	5.5E+04	-----	1.2E+07	13
2.2E+04	1.0E+22	2.2E-06	2.2E+02	0.0E+00	3.8E+04	-----	4.0E+06	13
4.6E+03	1.0E+21	4.6E-06	4.6E+02	7.4E+00	2.6E+04	-----	6.7E+05	13
1.0E+03	1.0E+20	1.0E-05	1.0E+03	2.3E+00	1.1E+04	2.5E+04	4.7E+04	12
2.2E+02	1.0E+19	2.2E-05	2.2E+03	1.7E+00	2.4E+03	4.5E+04	5.1E+04	10
4.6E+01	1.0E+18	4.6E-05	4.6E+03	2.7E+00	4.5E+02	1.3E+03	5.9E+03	6
1.0E+01	1.0E+17	1.0E-04	1.0E+04	1.9E+01	6.4E+01	5.0E+01	5.6E-01	4
2.2E+00	1.0E+16	2.2E-04	2.2E+04	9.2E+00	5.3E+00	9.1E+02	6.5E+01	2
4.6E-01	1.0E+15	4.6E-04	4.6E+04	8.5E+00	3.2E-01	2.0E+01	7.8E-01	1
1.0E-01	1.0E+14	1.0E-03	1.0E+05	4.4E+02	2.3E-01	6.3E-06	5.9E-18	1
2.2E-02	1.0E+13	2.2E-03	2.2E+05	4.9E+03	1.7E-01	0.0E+00	5.9E-19	1

time (t), and radial expansion (R). We have calculated the parameter, ξ , for 3-body recombination (ξ_{R3}), radiative recombination (ξ_{RR}), dielectronic recombination (ξ_{RD}), and ionization (ξ_I). The last column is the approximate value of the dominant charge state, z . In the calculation of ξ we have approximated the expansion time scale δt , by the problem time t , given in the Table. The parameters ξ are calculated assuming recombination from z to $z - 1$ and ionization from $z - 1$ to z . In a cooling plasma if at least one of the ξ 's for recombination is greater than unity the plasma is in equilibrium and the charge state, z , is determined by the temperature, T .

At very early times the plasma is in equilibrium and is stripped. (Dielectronic recombination is not defined for a stripped ion.) As the adiabatic expansion continues and density and temperature drop, the plasma remains in equilibrium and the charge state begins to drop. Both dielectronic recombination and radiative recombination are important. Eventually, the temperature is sufficiently low that the charge state drops to $z \sim 1$ ($n \sim 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$). At this time dielectronic and 3 body recombination are comparable. As density and temperature are reduced further ($n < 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) 3-body recombination begins to dominate. Here, we need to stress that we have used a model of an ideal adiabatic expansion. In the real case, each recombination releases energy, much of which ends up heating the electrons. Thus, the plasma will no longer cool adiabatically and the temperature will not drop so precipitously. As the density continues to decrease below $\sim 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the debris is likely to be frozen at z close to 1.

The effect of the failure of density scaling during the expansion can be made clear by scaling the HANE expansion of Table 1 to the size of a laser experiment. If we maintain the values of temperature and density,

but alter t and R by a factor of 10^{-4} , all ξ 's will be reduced by this factor. The ξ 's will then be at most of order 1. They drop below unity at a density $\sim 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Thus, the charge state freezes at $10 > z > 6$, as the plasma falls out of equilibrium. The scaling of ξ , by itself, prevents recombination of the plasma down to $z \sim 1$, as in a HANE.

Now, we consider an idealized laser experiment, shown in Table 2 and defined as follows. Starting with the HANE parameters of Table 1, scale time and expansion radius by 10^{-4} . Density drops as in HANE according to a spherical expansion with constant velocity. Assume, however, that temperature is maintained at 500 eV to about 5 nsec. Thereafter, it drops adiabatically. The constant high temperature is due to the continued laser energy deposition. This provides a rough approximation to a laser experiment.

TABLE 2

T (ev)	n (cm^{-3})	t (sec)	R (cm)	ξ_{R3}	ξ_{RR}	ξ_{RD}	ξ_I	z
5.0E+02	1.0E+21	4.6E-10	4.6E-02	6.1E-02	3.5E+00	7.4E-01	1.6E+02	11
5.0E+02	1.0E+20	1.0E-09	1.0E-01	1.3E-03	7.5E-01	1.6E-01	3.4E+01	11
5.0E+02	1.0E+19	2.2E-09	2.2E-01	2.8E-05	1.6E-01	3.4E-02	7.3E+00	11
5.0E+02	1.0E+18	4.6E-09	4.6E-01	6.1E-07	3.5E-02	7.4E-03	1.6E+00	11
1.1E+02	1.0E+17	1.0E-08	1.0E+00	3.4E-06	2.7E-02	8.6E-07	7.6E-03	11
2.3E+01	1.0E+16	2.2E-08	2.2E+00	2.8E-04	2.1E-02	0.0E+00	2.8E-10	11
5.0E+00	1.0E+15	4.6E-08	4.6E+00	1.8E-02	1.6E-02	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	11
1.1E+00	1.0E+14	1.0E-07	1.0E+01	2.0E-01	1.2E-02	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	11
2.3E-01	1.0E+13	2.2E-07	2.2E+01	1.2E+00	9.6E-03	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	11

The first table entry shown is for an ion density of 10^{21} cm^{-3} . Maximum heating takes place at electron densities $\sim 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Although the laser does not penetrate to higher electron densities thermal conduction maintains the high temperature in the first table entry.

From Table 2 the plasma is in equilibrium at a temperature of 500 eV with $z \geq 11$ as the dominant charge state. When the temperature begins to drop, at densities $\leq 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the ξ 's for recombination are less than unity. The expansion has reduced densities too much to allow for effective recombination at these temperatures. Eventually, when the temperature drops to very low values ($< 1 \text{ eV}$) corresponding to a density $\sim 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, 3-body recombination would reduce the z value of the debris. Once again, in the real laser experiment recombination will release energy to the electrons, maintaining a higher temperature and suppressing recombination. Furthermore, in the real laser experiment an ambient background gas will stop the expansion, halting the temperature decline. From that point the operative scaling becomes that of Eq. 1. We note, finally, that if in Table 2 we maintained the temperature and density values but scaled t and R by a factor of 10^4 , the ξ 's would be increased by that factor. Clearly, recombination to lower charge states would rapidly ensue. A HANE size laser experiment would recombine.

IV. Discussion and Conclusion

We compare the above results to a HANEX code simulation of the laser experiment. The state of aluminum target ions without the effects of background coupling was obtained by running the HANEX code with a background nitrogen density of 10^{-6} Torr . The target contained 40 cells, 13 of which blow off the front side. The initial conditions were chosen to match the series of shots described in the experimental coupling study of

Ripin, et al.⁽⁶⁾ The target was 5.6 micron thick aluminum foil. The laser pulse length was 10 nsec with a full width half maximum of 4 nsec. The nominal laser energy was 100 joules with 50 joules within a radius of 125 microns. This produced a forward debris mass of 0.2 micrograms in a cone of half angle 40 degrees. In Table 3 we present the time history of a representative cell with an outward velocity of 5.2×10^7 cm/sec. For comparison $\xi_R = \xi_{RR} + \xi_{RD} + \xi_{R3}$ and ξ_I were calculated using the same rates as used for Table 2. The average charge state, $\bar{z} = N_e/n$, is given.

TABLE 3

t (nsec)	R (cm)	n (cm ⁻³)	N _e (cm ⁻³)	T (ev)	T _e (ev)	ξ_R	ξ_I	$\bar{z}$
3.38	-0.002	6.5E+20	7.0E+21	200.	211.	1.0E+02	1.6E+02	10.8
3.90	0.002	1.5E+20	1.7E+21	453.	489.	5.5E+00	1.9E+02	11.1
4.42	0.012	5.8E+19	6.5E+20	482.	573.	2.2E+00	1.0E+02	11.2
4.91	0.026	1.9E+19	2.1E+20	382.	590.	7.9E-01	3.8E+0	11.3
5.38	0.044	7.1E+18	8.0E+19	293.	570.	3.3E-01	1.5E+01	11.3
5.85	0.062	3.5E+18	3.9E+19	235.	550.	1.8E-01	7.8E+00	11.3
6.55	0.097	1.4E+18	1.5E+19	170.	478.	8.6E-02	2.9E+00	11.3
8.46	0.174	3.8E+17	4.3E+18	105.	269.	4.1E-02	4.0E-01	11.3
11.9	0.384	5.9E+16	6.6E+17	48.	76.	2.6E-02	8.7E-04	11.2
31.0	1.441	1.6E+15	1.7E+16	6.	7.	3.7E-02	1.1E-24	11.2
54.0	2.699	2.5E+14	2.8E+15	2.	2.	2.2E-01	1.7E-25	11.2

At early times when the laser pulse is still on, the ion and electron densities fall due to expansion but the electron temperature stays high first because the laser energy is being absorbed, and then, because of electron thermal conduction from the region of the target that is still

being heated. These effects were accounted for in Table 2 by assuming a constant temperature of 500 ev during the laser pulse. The debris expansion velocity is about a factor of 2 smaller than that of Table 2. This only changes the time scale for expansion by that amount, so Table 3 can be compared directly if time (t) and the ξ 's in Table 2 are increased by a factor of 2. We can see that after the laser is turned off (~ 10 nsec) the forward moving debris expands and cools adiabatically, as in our models.

The HANEX code includes laser absorption, radiation transport, time dependent chemistry, thermal conduction, etc. The agreement between code results and the simple models presented in Table 2 after the end of the laser pulse demonstrates that the controlling factors after 10 nsec are, in fact, adiabatic expansion and the recombination rates we discussed.

In conclusion, the simple model calculations given in Section III illustrate the essential differences between HANE and the laser experiment: a low debris charge state in the former and a high charge state in the latter. The primary effect follows from the breakdown of density scaling in the early debris expansion. A secondary effect is a result of the long deposition time of the laser energy, maintaining a higher temperature in the laser experiment than in a HANE at the same densities, even though at earliest times HANE temperatures are higher. We note that the results are essentially unchanged if we reduce this temperature even by a factor of 2 or 3. Recall, that even a scaled down HANE, in which the temperatures are an order of magnitude lower at corresponding densities still resulted in $10 > z > 6$. That is, the primary effect is the debris density scaling breakdown. Finally, we may ask to what extent charge exchange with an ambient background will reduce the debris charge state. We will present detailed simulation results in a separate report. However, we believe that

at high background density (0.5 - 5. Torr), where mixing of debris and background is limited, high charge states will persist. At lower background density (0.01 - 0.5 Torr) charge exchange may substantially reduce the charge states.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Defense Nuclear Agency.

References

1. Clark, R. and V. Jacobs, unpublished.
2. B.H. Ripin, A.W. Ali, H.R. Griem, J. Grun, S.T. Kacenjar, C.K. Manka, E.A. McLean, A.N. Mostovych, S.P. Obenschain, J.A. Stamper, in Laser Interaction & Related Plasma Phenomena, Vol. 7, p. 857-877, ed. by H. Hora & G. Miley, Plenum 1986.
3. Giuliani, J.L. and M. Mul Brandon, "Numerical Simulations of the Laser-Target Interaction and Blast Wave Formation in the DNA/NRL Laser Experiment," NRL Memo No. 5762, 1986.
4. Longmire, C., M. Alme, R. Kilb, L. Wright, "Scaling of Debris-Air Coupling," MRC Report AMRC-R-338(1981).
5. See e.g., Zel'dovich and Raizer, "Physics of Shock Waves and High-Temperature Hydrodynamic Phenomena," Vol. I, Academic Press (1966), equation 6.104.
6. Ripin, B.H., E.A. McLean, J.H. Stamper, J. Grun, C.K. Manka, A.N. Mostovych, "Loss of Collisional Blast Wave Coupling with Pressure," ETHANL 7, 24 (1987).

Appendix I

The rate coefficients for aluminum used to calculate the ξ 's for Tables 1 and 2 are given by the following expressions:

Recombination $z \rightarrow z - 1$:

$$\alpha_{RR} = A_R T_e^{-\eta}$$

$$\alpha_{RD} = A_D e^{-\left(\frac{E1_D}{T_e}\right)} \left[1 + B_D e^{-\left(\frac{E2_D}{T_e}\right)} \right] / T_e^{3/2}$$

$$\alpha_{R3} = \text{MAX}(\alpha_{L_{R3}}, \alpha_{H_{R3}}), \text{ where}$$

$$\alpha_{L_{R3}} = \frac{8.75 \times 10^{-27} z^3}{T_e^{9/2}} N_e \text{ MIN} \left[1, \frac{E_{\infty}}{100 T_e} \right] \quad (\text{low temperature})$$

$$\alpha_{H_{R3}} = \frac{N_e e^{\left(\frac{E_{\infty}}{T_e}\right)}}{6 \times 10^{21} T_e^{3/2}} \alpha_I \quad (\text{high temperature})$$

Ionization $z - 1 \rightarrow z$:

$$\alpha_I = \frac{A_I \times e^{-x}}{(x + B_I)} \quad , \quad \text{with } x = \frac{E_I}{T_e}$$

Here T_e is in ev, the α 's are in cm^3/sec and E_{∞} is the ionization energy of the $z - 1$ ion in ev. The other constants are given in the following table.

z	A_R	η	A_D	B_D	$E1_D$	$E2_D$	A_I	B_I	E_I
1	3.8E-13	7.9E-01	9.6E-10	2.0E-01	2.0E+00	6.0E-01	2.4E-06	0.0E+00	6.0E+00
2	2.1E-12	6.9E-01	2.5E-09	3.0E-01	2.9E+00	4.9E+00	2.8E-07	1.5E-01	1.9E+01
3	3.7E-12	8.2E-01	3.7E-09	0.0E+00	4.1E+01	0.0E+00	6.1E-08	2.3E-02	2.8E+01
4	8.7E-12	7.4E-01	6.2E-10	1.4E+01	2.8E+01	5.5E+01	8.0E-09	1.4E-01	1.2E+02
5	1.6E-11	7.2E-01	1.5E-09	1.1E+00	3.0E+01	7.7E+01	5.3E-09	1.3E-01	1.5E+02
6	2.4E-11	7.0E-01	2.6E-09	1.0E+00	3.1E+01	8.9E+01	2.6E-09	1.1E-01	1.9E+02
7	3.3E-11	6.9E-01	3.8E-08	8.0E-01	3.2E+01	1.2E+02	1.4E-09	1.2E-01	2.4E+02
8	4.9E-11	7.0E-01	2.2E-08	1.6E+01	2.7E+01	1.5E+02	7.0E-10	8.4E-02	2.8E+02
9	6.5E-11	7.1E-01	4.5E-08	5.2E+00	3.0E+01	1.7E+02	3.6E-10	3.0E-02	3.3E+02
10	1.1E-10	8.6E-01	1.3E-08	1.3E+01	1.8E+01	2.2E+02	2.3E-10	2.4E-01	4.0E+02
11	1.2E-10	8.3E-01	2.4E-08	0.0E+00	1.3E+03	0.0E+00	8.6E-11	2.1E-01	4.4E+02
12	1.8E-10	7.6E-01	7.9E-09	3.4E+01	2.5E+02	1.2E+03	5.3E-11	3.4E-01	2.1E+03
13	2.4E-10	7.5E-01	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	0.0E+00	2.1E-11	3.4E-01	2.3E+03

In the HANEX code (but not in the calculations of ξ in Tables 1-3) the dielectronic recombination rates are reduced to account for density effects. Also, in the code only α_{R3}^H is used for 3 body recombination because we do not encounter conditions in our high density background runs where α_{R3}^L is important.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
COMM. CMD. CONT 7 INTELL
WASHINGTON, DC 20301

DIRECTOR
COMMAND CONTROL TECHNICAL CENTER
PENTAGON RM BE 685
WASHINGTON, DC 20301
O1CY ATTN C-650
O1CY ATTN C-312 R. MASON

DIRECTOR
DEFENSE ADVANCED RSCH PROJ AGENCY
ARCHITECT BUILDING
1400 WILSON BLVD.
ARLINGTON, VA 22209
O1CY ATTN NUCLEAR
MONITORING RESEARCH
O1CY ATTN STRATEGIC TECH OFFICE

DEFENSE COMMUNICATION ENGINEER CENTER
1860 WIEHLE AVENUE
RESTON, VA 22090
O1CY ATTN CODE R410
O1CY ATTN CODE R812

DIRECTOR
DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY
WASHINGTON, DC 20305
O1CY ATTN STVL
O4CY ATTN TITL
O1CY ATTN DDST
O3CY ATTN BAAE

COMMANDER
FIELD COMMAND
DEFENSE NUCL AR AGENCY
KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87115
O1CY ATTN PCPR

DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY
DACHINA
BUILDING 2047
KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87115
O1CY ATTN THORNBURG

DIRECTOR
INTERSERVICE NUCLEAR WEAPONS SCHOOL
KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87115
O1CY ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL

JOINT PROGRAM MANAGEMENT OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20330
O1CY ATTN J-3 WWMCCS EVALUATION
OFFICE

DIRECTOR
JOINT STRAT TGT PLANNING STAFF
OFFUTT AFB
OMAHA, NB 68113
O1CY ATTN ISTPS/JLKC
O1CY ATTN JPST G. GOETZ

CHIEF
LIVERMORE DIVISION FLD COMMAND DNA
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY
P.O. BOX 808
LIVERMORE, CA 94550
O1CY ATTN PCPRL

COMMANDANT
NATO SCHOOL (CHAFB)
APO NEW YORK 09172
O1CY ATTN U.S. DOCUMENTS OFFICER

UNDER SECY OF DEF FOR RSCH & ENGRG
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, DC 20301
O1CY ATTN STRATEGIC & SPACE
SYSTEMS (SSS)

COMMANDER/DIRECTOR
ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES LABORATORY
U.S. ARMY ELECTRONIC COMMAND
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, NM 88002
O1CY ATTN DELAD-EO, P. NILES

DIRECTOR
BNI ADVANCED TECH STA
HUNTSVILLE OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1500
HUNTSVILLE, AL 35897
O1CY ATTN ATTN MEMPHIS T. PERS
O1CY ATTN ATTN W. LARSEN
O1CY ATTN ATTN J. LARSEN

PROGRAM MANAGER
BMD PROGRAM OFFICE
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333
O1CY ATTN DACS-BMT J. SHEA

CHIEF C-E- SERVICES DIVISION
U.S. ARMY COMMUNICATIONS CMD
PENTAGON RM 1B269
WASHINGTON, DC 20310
O1CY ATTN C- E-SERVICES DIVISION

COMMANDER
U.S. ARMY COMM-ELEC ENGRG INSTAL AGY
FT. HUACHUCA, AZ 85613
O1CY ATTN CCC-EMCO GEORGE LANE

COMMANDER
U.S. ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE & TECH CTR
220 7TH STREET, NE
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22901
O1CY ATTN DRXST-SD

COMMANDER
U.S. ARMY MATERIAL DEV & READINESS CMD
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333
O1CY ATTN DRCLDC J.A. BENDER

COMMANDER
U.S. ARMY NUCLEAR AND CHEMICAL AGENCY
7500 BACKLICK ROAD
BLDG 2073
SPRINGFIELD, VA 22150
O1CY ATTN LIBRARY

DIRECTOR
U.S. ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH
LABORATORY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005
O1CY ATTN TECH LIBRARY,
EDWARD BAICY

COMMANDER
U.S. ARMY SATCOM AGENCY
FT. MONMOUTH, NJ 07703
O1CY ATTN DOCUMENT CONTROL

COMMANDER
U.S. ARMY MISSILE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35809
O1CY ATTN JIM GAMBLE

DIRECTOR
U.S. ARMY TRADOC SYSTEMS ANALYSIS
ACTIVITY
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, NM 88002
O1CY ATTN ATAA-SA
O1CY ATTN TCC/F. PAYAN JR.
O1CY ATTN ATTA-TAC LTC J. HESSE

COMMANDER
NAVAL ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS COMMAND
WASHINGTON, DC 20360
O1CY ATTN NAVALEX C34 T. HUGHES
O1CY ATTN PME 117
O1CY ATTN PME 117-T
O1CY ATTN CODE 5011

COMMANDING OFFICER
NAVAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT CTR
4301 SUITLAND ROAD, BLDG. 5
WASHINGTON, DC 20390
O1CY ATTN MR. DUBBIN STIC 12
O1CY ATTN NISC-50
O1CY ATTN CODE 5404 J. GALET

COMMANDER
NAVAL OCCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92152
O1CY ATTN J. FERGUSON

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
WASHINGTON, DC 20375
O1CY ATTN CODE 4700 S.L. Ossakow,
26 CYS IF UNCLASS
(O1CY IF CLASS)
ATTN CODE 4780 J.D. HUBA, 50
CYS IF UNCLASS, O1CY IF CLASS
O1CY ATTN CODE 4701 I. VITKOVITSKY
O1CY ATTN CODE 7500
O1CY ATTN CODE 7550
O1CY ATTN CODE 7580
O1CY ATTN CODE 7551
O1CY ATTN CODE 7555
O1CY ATTN CODE 4730 E. MCLEAN
O1CY ATTN CODE 4752
O1CY ATTN CODE 4730 B. RIPIN
20CY ATTN CODE 2628

COMMANDER
NAVAL SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM
DAHLGREN, VA 22448
O1CY ATTN CAPT J.H. BURTON

OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER
WHITE OAK, SILVER SPRING, MD 20910
01CY ATTN CODE F31

DIRECTOR
STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PROJECT OFFICE
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
WASHINGTON, DC 20376
01CY ATTN NSP-2141
01CY ATTN NSSP-2722 FRED WIMBERLY

COMMANDER
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER
DAHLGREN LABORATORY
DAHLGREN, VA 22448
01CY ATTN CODE DF-14 R. BUTLER

OFFICER OF NAVAL RESEARCH
ARLINGTON, VA 22217
01CY ATTN CODE 465
01CY ATTN CODE 461
01CY ATTN CODE 402
01CY ATTN CODE 420
01CY ATTN CODE 421

COMMANDER
AEROSPACE DEFENSE COMMAND/XPD
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
ENT AFB, CO 80912
01CY ATTN XPDQQ
01CY ATTN XP

AIR FORCE GEOPHYSICS LABORATORY
HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731
01CY ATTN OPR HAROLD GARDNER
01CY ATTN LKB
KENNETH S.W. CHAMPION
01CY ATTN OPR ALVA T. STAIR
01CY ATTN PHD JURGEN BUCHAU
01CY ATTN PHD JOHN P. MULLEN

WEAPONS LABORATORY
KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87117
01CY ATTN SUL
01CY ATTN CA ARTHUR H. C. ENTHERR

APTAC
PATRICK AFB, FL 32925
01CY ATTN TN

AIR FORCE AVIONICS LABORATORY
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH 45433
01CY ATTN AAD WADE HUNT
01CY ATTN AAD ALLEN JOHNSON

DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, & ACQ
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, DC 20330
01CY ATTN AFRDQ

HEADQUARTERS
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS DIVISION
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731-5000
01CY ATTN J. DEAS
ESD/SCD-4

COMMANDER
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH 45433
01CY ATTN NICO LIBRARY
01CY ATTN ETD P. B. BALLARD

COMMANDER
ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER, AFSC
GRIFFISS AFB, NY 13441
01CY ATTN DOC LIBRARY/TSLD
01CY ATTN OCSE V. COYNE

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND/XPFS
OFFUTT AFB, NE 68113
01CY ATTN XPFS

SAMSO/MN
NORTON AFB, CA 92409
(MINUTEMAN)
01CY ATTN MNML

COMMANDER
ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER, AFSC
HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731
01CY ATTN EEP A. LORENTZEN

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
LIBRARY ROOM G-042
WASHINGTON, DC 20545
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR A. LABOWITZ

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS CENTER
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87115
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR A. LABOWITZ

EG&G, INC.
LOS ALAMOS DIVISION
P.O. BOX 809
LOS ALAMOS, NM 85544
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR J. BREEDLOVE

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY
P.O. BOX 808
LIVERMORE, CA 94550
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR TECH INFO
DEPT
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR L-389 R. OTT
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR L-31 R. HAGER

LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY
P.O. BOX 1663
LOS ALAMOS, NM 87545
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR J. WOLCOTT
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR R.F. TASCHER
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR E. JONES
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR J. MALIK
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR R. JEFFRIES
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR J. ZINN
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR D. WESTERVELT
01CY ATTN D. SAPPENFIELD

LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY
MS D438
LOS ALAMOS, NM 87545
01CY ATTN S.P. GARY
01CY ATTN J. BOROVSKY

SANDIA LABORATORIES
P.O. BOX 5800
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87115
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR W. BROWN
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR A.
THORNBROUGH
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR T. WRIGHT
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR D. DAHLGREN
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR 3141
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR SPACE PROJECT
DIV

SANDIA LABORATORIES
LIVERMORE LABORATORY
P.O. BOX 269
LIVERMORE, CA 94551
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR B. MURPHEY
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR T. COCK

OFFICE OF MILITARY APPLICATION
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
WASHINGTON, DC 20545
01CY ATTN DOC CON DR. YO SONG

NATIONAL OCEANIC & ATMOSPHERIC ADMIN
ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
BOULDER, CO 80302
01CY ATTN R. GRUBB

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS

AEROSPACE CORPORATION
P.O. BOX 92957
LOS ANGELES, CA 90009
01CY ATTN I. GARFUNKEL
01CY ATTN T. SALMI
01CY ATTN V. JOSEPHSON
01CY ATTN S. BOWER
01CY ATTN D. OLSEN

ANALYTICAL SYSTEMS ENGINEERING CORP
5 OLD CONCORD ROAD
BURLINGTON, MA 01803
01CY ATTN RADIO SCIENCES

AUSTIN RESEARCH ASSOC., INC.
1901 RUTLAND DRIVE
AUSTIN, TX 78758
01CY ATTN L. SLOAN
01CY ATTN R. THOMPSON

BERKELEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC.
P.O. BOX 983
BERKELEY, CA 94701
01CY ATTN J. WORKMAN
01CY ATTN C. PRETTIE
01CY ATTN S. BRECHT

BOEING COMPANY, THE
P.O. BOX 3707
SEATTLE, WA 98124
01CY ATTN G. KEISTER
01CY ATTN D. MURRAY
01CY ATTN S. HALL
01CY ATTN J. KENNEY

CHARLES STARK DRAPER LABORATORY, INC
515 TECHNOLOGY SQUARE
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139
01CY ATTN D.E. JOY
01CY ATTN D.P. GILMORE

COMSAT LABORATORIES
22300 COMSAT DRIVE
CLARKSBURG, MD 20871
01CY ATTN G. HYDE

CORNELL UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
ITHACA, NY 14850
01CY ATTN D.T. FARLEY, JR.

ELECTROSPACE SYSTEMS, INC.
BOX 1359
RICHARDSON, TX 75080
01CY ATTN H. LOGSTON
01CY ATTN SECURITY (PAUL PHILLIPS)

EOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
606 Wilshire Blvd.
Santa Monica, CA 90401
01CY ATTN C.B. GABBARD
01CY ATTN R. LELEVIER

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
SPACE DIVISION
VALLEY FORGE SPACE CENTER
GODDARD BLVD KING OF PRUSSIA
P.O. BOX 8555
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19101
01CY ATTN M.H. BORTNER
SPACE SCI LAB

GEOPHYSICAL INSTITUTE
UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA
FAIRBANKS, AK 99701
(ALL CLASS ATTN: SECURITY OFFICER)
01CY ATTN T.N. DAVIS (UNCLASS ONLY)
01CY ATTN NEAL BROWN (UNCLASS ONLY)

GTE SYLVANIA, INC.
ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS GRP-EASTERN DIV
77 A STREET
NEEDHAM, MA 02194
01CY ATTN DICK STEINHOF

HSS, INC.
2 ALFRED CIRCLE
WELFORD, MA 01730
01CY ATTN DONALD HANSEN

ILLINOIS, UNIVERSITY OF
107 COBLE HALL
150 DAVENPORT HOUSE
CHAMPAIGN, IL 61820
(ALL CORRES ATTN DAN MCCLELLAND)
01CY ATTN K. YEH

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES
1801 NO. BEAUREGARD STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22311
01CY ATTN J.M. AELN
01CY ATTN ERNEST BAUER
01CY ATTN HANS WOLFARD
01CY ATTN JOEL BENGSTON

INTL TEL & TELEGRAPH CORPORATION
500 WASHINGTON AVENUE
NUTLEY, NJ 07110
01CY ATTN TECHNICAL LIBRARY

JAYCOR
11011 TORREYANA ROAD
P.O. BOX 85154
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138
01CY ATTN J.L. SPERLING

JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY
JOHNS HOPKINS ROAD
LAUREL, MD 20810
01CY ATTN DOCUMENT LIBRARIAN
01CY ATTN THOMAS POTEMRA
01CY ATTN JOHN DASSOULAS

KAMAN SCIENCES CORP
P.O. BOX 7463
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80933
01CY ATTN T. MEAGHER

KAMAN TEMPO-CENTER FOR ADVANCED
STUDIES
816 STATE STREET (P.O. DRAWER QQ)
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93102
01CY ATTN DASIAC
01CY ATTN WARREN S. KNAPP
01CY ATTN WILLIAM MCNAMARA
01CY ATTN B. GAMBILL

LINKABIT CORP
10453 ROSELLE
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121
01CY ATTN IRWIN JACOBS

LOCKHEED MISSILES & SPACE CO., INC
P.O. BOX 504
SUNNYVALE, CA 94088
O1CY ATTN DEPT 60-12
O1CY ATTN D.R. CHURCHILL

LOCKHEED MISSILES & SPACE CO., INC.
3251 HANOVER STREET
PALO ALTO, CA 94304
O1CY ATTN MARTIN WALT DEPT 52-12
O1CY ATTN W.L. IMHOF DEPT 52-12
O1CY ATTN RICHARD G. JOHNSON
DEPT 52-12
O1CY ATTN J.B. CLADIS DEPT 52-12

MARTIN MARIETTA CORP
ORLANDO DIVISION
P.O. BOX 5837
ORLANDO, FL 32805
O1CY ATTN R. HEFFNER

MCDONNELL DOUGLAS CORPORATION
5301 BOLSA AVENUE
HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92647
O1CY ATTN N. HARRIS
O1CY ATTN J. MOULE
O1CY ATTN GEORGE MROZ
O1CY ATTN W. OLSON
O1CY ATTN R.W. HALPRIN
O1CY ATTN TECHNICAL
LIBRARY SERVICES

MISSION RESEARCH CORPORATION
735 STATE STREET
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101
O1CY ATTN P. FISCHER
O1CY ATTN W.F. CREVIER
O1CY ATTN STEVEN L. GUTSCHE
O1CY ATTN R. BOGUSCH
O1CY ATTN R. HENDRICK
O1CY ATTN RALPH KILB
O1CY ATTN DAVE SOWLE
O1CY ATTN F. FAJEN
O1CY ATTN M. SCHREIBER
O1CY ATTN CONRAD L. LONGMIRE
O1CY ATTN B. WHITE
O1CY ATTN R. STAGAT

MISSION RESEARCH CORP.
1720 RANDOLPH ROAD, S.E.
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87106
O1CY ATTN STELLINGWATER
O1CY ATTN ALME
O1CY ATTN KARI HI

MITRE CORP
WESTGATE RESEARCH PARK
1820 DOLLY MADISON BLVD
MCLEAN, VA 22101
O1CY ATTN W. HALL
O1CY ATTN W. FOSTER

PACIFIC-SIERRA RESEARCH CORP
12340 SANTA MONICA BLVD.
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025
O1CY ATTN E.C. FIELD, JR.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
IONOSPHERE RESEARCH LAB
318 ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING EAST
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802
(NO CLASS TO THIS ADDRESS)
O1CY ATTN IONOSPHERIC RESEARCH LAB

PHOTOMETRICS, INC.
4 ARROW DRIVE
WOBBURN, MA 01801
O1CY ATTN IRVING L. KOFSKY

PHYSICAL DYNAMICS, INC.
P.O. BOX 3027
BELLEVUE, WA 98009
O1CY ATTN E.J. FREMOUW

PHYSICAL DYNAMICS, INC.
P.O. BOX 10367
OAKLAND, CA 94610
ATTN A. THOMSON

R & D ASSOCIATES
P.O. BOX 9695
MARINA DEL REY, CA 90291
O1CY ATTN FORREST GILMORE
O1CY ATTN WILLIAM B. WRIGHT, JR.
O1CY ATTN WILLIAM J. KARZAS
O1CY ATTN H. ORY
O1CY ATTN C. MACDONALD
O1CY ATTN BRIAN LAMB
O1CY ATTN MORGAN GROVER

RAYTHEON CO.
528 BOSTON POST ROAD
SUDBURY, MA 01776
O1CY ATTN BARBARA ADAMS

RIVERSIDE RESEARCH INSTITUTE
330 WEST 42nd STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10036
O1CY ATTN VINCE TRAPANI

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS
INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED
1150 PROSPECT PLAZA
LA JOLLA, CA 92037
01CY ATTN LEWIS M. LINSON
01CY ATTN DANIEL A. HAMLIN
01CY ATTN E. FRIEMAN
01CY ATTN E.A. STRAKER
01CY ATTN CURTIS A. SMITH

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION
1710 GOODRIDGE DR.
MCLEAN, VA 22102
01CY J. COCKAYNE
01CY E. HYMAN

SRI INTERNATIONAL
333 RAVENSWOOD AVENUE
MENLO PARK, CA 94025
01CY ATTN J. CASPER
01CY ATTN DONALD NEILSON
01CY ATTN ALAN BURNS
01CY ATTN G. SMITH
01CY ATTN R. TSUNODA
01CY ATTN DAVID A. JOHNSON
01CY ATTN WALTER G. CHESNUT
01CY ATTN CHARLES L. RINO
01CY ATTN WALTER JAYE
01CY ATTN J. VICKREY
01CY ATTN RAY L. LEADABRAND
01CY ATTN G. CARPENTER
01CY ATTN G. PRICE
01CY ATTN R. LIVINGSTON
01CY ATTN V. GONZALES
01CY ATTN D. MCDANIEL

TECHNOLOGY INTERNATIONAL CORP
75 WIGGINS AVENUE
BEDFORD, MA 01730
01CY ATTN W.P. BOQUIST

TRW DEFENSE & SPACE SYS GROUP
ONE SPACE PARK
REDONDO BEACH, CA 90278
01CY ATTN R. K. PLEBUCH
01CY ATTN S. ALTSCHULER
01CY ATTN D. DEE
01CY ATTN D/ STOCKWELL
SNTF/1575

VISIDYNE
SOUTH BEDFORD STREET
BURLINGTON, MA 01803
01CY ATTN W. REIDY
01CY ATTN J. CARPENTER
01CY ATTN C. HUMPHREY

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH
PITTSBURGH, PA 15213
01CY ATTN: N. ZABUSKY

END

FEB.

1988

DTic