

AD-A188 929

PROTOTYPE REAL-TIME MONITOR REQUIREMENTS(U)
CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIV PITTSBURGH PA SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
INST R D'IPPOLITO ET AL NOV 87 CMU/SEI-87-TR-36

1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

ESD-TR-87-199 F19628-85-C-0003

F/G 12/5

NL





Technical Report
CMU/SEI-87-TR-36
ESD-TR-87-199

2



Carnegie-Mellon University
Software Engineering Institute

DTIC FILE COPY

Prototype Real-Time Monitor: Requirements

Richard D'Ippolito
Kenneth Lee
Charles Plinta
Michael Rissman
Roger Van Scoy

November 1987

DTIC
ELECTE
JAN 27 1988
S & D

AD-A188 929

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release
Distribution Unlimited

88 1 22 018

Technical Report

CMU/SEI-87-TR-36

ESD-TR-87-199

November 1987

Prototype Real-Time Monitor: Requirements



Richard D'Ippolito
Kenneth Lee
Charles Plinta
Michael Rissman
Roger Van Scoy

Dissemination of Ada Software Engineering

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	



Approved for public release.
Distribution unlimited.

Software Engineering Institute
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

This technical report was prepared for the

SEI Joint Program Office
ESD/XRS
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731

The ideas and findings in this report should not be construed as an official DoD position. It is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange.

Review and Approval

This report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

FOR THE COMMANDER


Karl Shingler
SEI Joint Program Office

This work was sponsored by the U.S. Department of Defense.

This document is available through the Defense Technical Information Center. DTIC provides access to and transfer of scientific and technical information for DoD personnel, DoD contractors and potential contractors, and other U.S. Government agency personnel and their contractors. To obtain a copy, please contact DTIC directly: Defense Technical Information Center, Attn: FDRA, Cameron Station, Alexandria, VA 22304-6145.

Copies of this document are also available through the National Technical Information Services. For information on ordering, please contact NTIS directly: National Technical Information Services, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161.

Table of Contents

Preface	1
1. User's Problem Statement	3
2. Requirements Analysis	5
3. Designer's Problem Statement	7
3.1. Definitions of Terms	7
3.2. User's Needs	7
3.3. Designer's Technical Problem-Related Additions	8
3.4. External Considerations	8
4. Real-Time Monitor Requirements	9
4.1. User Interface Requirements	9
4.2. System Interface Requirements	10
4.3. General Requirements	10
5. Prototype Requirements	11
5.1. Fully Implemented Requirements	11
5.2. Partially Implemented Requirements	12
5.3. User-Implemented Requirements	12
5.4. Unimplemented Requirements	12

Prototype Real-Time Monitor: Requirements

Abstract. The requirements imposed by flight simulators and good software engineering practice on Ada¹ systems force software engineers to seek new solutions to the problem of monitoring executing software. This report examines some of these requirements and, based on these requirements, defines a subset for implementation as a prototype real-time monitor (RTM).

Preface

Intended Audience

This document is intended to be read and used by systems engineering professionals to design and produce a real-time monitor package that meets all of the requirements stated in this report.

Associated Documents

- *Prototype Real-Time Monitor: Executive Summary* [Van Scoy 87a]
- *Prototype Real-Time Monitor: Design* [Van Scoy 87b]
- *Prototype Real-Time Monitor: User's Manual* [Van Scoy 87c]
- *Prototype Real-Time Monitor: Ada Code* [Van Scoy 87d]

Context of Report

The prototype RTM in this report was built to address two specific technical questions raised by the Ada Simulator Validation Program (ASVP) contractors:

1. How can user tools find, access, and display data hidden in the bodies of Ada applications?
2. How can user tools be layered on top of Ada applications?

The prototype is documented by this report because the ASVP contractors needed a monitor tool, but did not have the contract resources to develop one. The prototype RTM is intended to be a simple tool that is easily rehosted and extended. It is not intended to be an example of what a well-documented system should include. Since it was a prototyping effort, no standard documentation or development methods were applied. Also, we did not attempt to solve all the traditional "monitor" problems.

¹Ada is a registered trademark of the U.S. Government (Ada Joint Program Office).

1. User's Problem Statement

There is a large class of computer programs that run in real time and are used to control mechanical apparatus. These programs are generally characterized by some sort of high-speed signal transfer interface to transmit and obtain signals from the real world apparatus that is being controlled. It is the nature of these programs that they must be capable of reacting to and providing the real world stimuli without interruption or pause, or data may be lost. This could result in errors in the control of, or the possible destruction of, the real world apparatus.

One of the problems associated with this specific class of computer programs is obtaining accurate information about the internal states of the controlling algorithms. There are two reasons to require this information: The first is to obtain enough information to be able to evaluate the performance of the process controller. Sometimes this investigative process is more effectively carried out in real time while the system is active. Other times, a stored record of pertinent internal state data is required. The second reason is to be able to do dynamic adjustment of the process-control parameters. This is difficult because any attempt to add the extra workload of having the program report status to an external observer may introduce delays in the control algorithms, which may have the undesired effect of changing the state during the measurement.

Ada programs used for real-time simulation are a particular class of computer programs of interest. Monitoring these programs is difficult because well-engineered Ada programs enforce the general principle of information hiding: that is, modules within the program generally have access to state information about other modules only on a need-to-know basis. This makes it very difficult to introduce into the system a monitoring device that is capable of making visible (to an external observer) state information that is well hidden due to the lack of a universal repository of such information. To further compound the problem, the real-time nature of the program virtually assures that the internal states are constantly changing except for a few systems whose real-world response is extremely slow relative to the computer cycle time.

What is needed, then, is a software-state monitoring method that takes the dynamic nature of the system into account while producing state information for external display. The monitor, while extracting the information, must not introduce undue changes in the nature of the system being monitored, and it must produce the information in a timely enough fashion to be still meaningful and useful to the observer. It is important for the observer to be able to select the categories and particulars of the state information and process-controlling parameters to be monitored and for the observer to be able to maintain running histories of the information for further off-line study of the system. It is also important to be able to make timely changes in the process-controlling parameters to adjust the processes effectively.

1. **Introduction**

2. **Background**

3. **Method**

4. **Results**

5. **Conclusion**

6. **References**

7. **Appendix**

8. **Table 1**

9. **Table 2**

10. **Table 3**

11. **Table 4**

12. **Table 5**

13. **Table 6**

14. **Table 7**

15. **Table 8**

16. **Table 9**

17. **Table 10**

18. **Table 11**

19. **Table 12**

20. **Table 13**

21. **Table 14**

22. **Table 15**

23. **Table 16**

24. **Table 17**

25. **Table 18**

26. **Table 19**

27. **Table 20**

28. **Table 21**

29. **Table 22**

30. **Table 23**

31. **Table 24**

32. **Table 25**

33. **Table 26**

34. **Table 27**

35. **Table 28**

36. **Table 29**

37. **Table 30**

38. **Table 31**

39. **Table 32**

40. **Table 33**

41. **Table 34**

42. **Table 35**

43. **Table 36**

44. **Table 37**

45. **Table 38**

46. **Table 39**

47. **Table 40**

48. **Table 41**

49. **Table 42**

50. **Table 43**

51. **Table 44**

52. **Table 45**

53. **Table 46**

54. **Table 47**

55. **Table 48**

56. **Table 49**

57. **Table 50**

58. **Table 51**

59. **Table 52**

60. **Table 53**

61. **Table 54**

62. **Table 55**

63. **Table 56**

64. **Table 57**

65. **Table 58**

66. **Table 59**

67. **Table 60**

68. **Table 61**

69. **Table 62**

70. **Table 63**

71. **Table 64**

72. **Table 65**

73. **Table 66**

74. **Table 67**

75. **Table 68**

76. **Table 69**

77. **Table 70**

78. **Table 71**

79. **Table 72**

80. **Table 73**

81. **Table 74**

82. **Table 75**

83. **Table 76**

84. **Table 77**

85. **Table 78**

86. **Table 79**

87. **Table 80**

88. **Table 81**

89. **Table 82**

90. **Table 83**

91. **Table 84**

92. **Table 85**

93. **Table 86**

94. **Table 87**

95. **Table 88**

96. **Table 89**

97. **Table 90**

98. **Table 91**

99. **Table 92**

100. **Table 93**

101. **Table 94**

102. **Table 95**

103. **Table 96**

104. **Table 97**

105. **Table 98**

106. **Table 99**

107. **Table 100**

108. **Table 101**

109. **Table 102**

110. **Table 103**

111. **Table 104**

112. **Table 105**

113. **Table 106**

114. **Table 107**

115. **Table 108**

116. **Table 109**

117. **Table 110**

118. **Table 111**

119. **Table 112**

120. **Table 113**

121. **Table 114**

122. **Table 115**

123. **Table 116**

124. **Table 117**

125. **Table 118**

126. **Table 119**

127. **Table 120**

128. **Table 121**

129. **Table 122**

130. **Table 123**

131. **Table 124**

132. **Table 125**

133. **Table 126**

134. **Table 127**

135. **Table 128**

136. **Table 129**

137. **Table 130**

138. **Table 131**

139. **Table 132**

140. **Table 133**

141. **Table 134**

142. **Table 135**

143. **Table 136**

144. **Table 137**

145. **Table 138**

146. **Table 139**

147. **Table 140**

148. **Table 141**

149. **Table 142**

150. **Table 143**

151. **Table 144**

152. **Table 145**

153. **Table 146**

154. **Table 147**

155. **Table 148**

156. **Table 149**

157. **Table 150**

158. **Table 151**

159. **Table 152**

160. **Table 153**

161. **Table 154**

162. **Table 155**

163. **Table 156**

164. **Table 157**

165. **Table 158**

166. **Table 159**

167. **Table 160**

168. **Table 161**

169. **Table 162**

170. **Table 163**

171. **Table 164**

172. **Table 165**

173. **Table 166**

174. **Table 167**

175. **Table 168**

176. **Table 169**

177. **Table 170**

178. **Table 171**

179. **Table 172**

180. **Table 173**

181. **Table 174**

182. **Table 175**

183. **Table 176**

184. **Table 177**

185. **Table 178**

186. **Table 179**

187. **Table 180**

188. **Table 181**

189. **Table 182**

190. **Table 183**

191. **Table 184**

192. **Table 185**

193. **Table 186**

194. **Table 187**

195. **Table 188**

196. **Table 189**

197. **Table 190**

198. **Table 191**

199. **Table 192**

200. **Table 193**

201. **Table 194**

202. **Table 195**

203. **Table 196**

204. **Table 197**

205. **Table 198**

206. **Table 199**

207. **Table 200**

208. **Table 201**

209. **Table 202**

210. **Table 203**

211. **Table 204**

212. **Table 205**

213. **Table 206**

214. **Table 207**

215. **Table 208**

216. **Table 209**

217. **Table 210**

218. **Table 211**

219. **Table 212**

220. **Table 213**

221. **Table 214**

222. **Table 215**

223. **Table 216**

224. **Table 217**

225. **Table 218**

226. **Table 219**

227. **Table 220**

228. **Table 221**

229. <

2. Requirements Analysis

To obtain a clearer understanding of the needs and wants of the user, it is necessary to elaborate on the problem definition provided by the user. This is an appropriate step in that it allows the designer to clarify key points in the user's problem statement. Once the designer has presented an interpretation of the problem, a dialogue with the user can begin, and points of contention and misunderstanding can be resolved in an interactive process. To achieve these ends, the following paragraphs extract important phrases from the user's problem statement starting on page 3, denote them with bold and italicized text, and add additional detail based on the experience and understanding the designer brings to the problem.

To begin with, the user has stated that a ***software-state monitoring method*** is needed in this problem domain. A requirement of such a monitor is that it extract state information and update process-control parameters at a periodic rate. This periodic rate will be under user control so that the monitor can fit into any desired timing pattern.

Since both ***state information*** and ***process-control parameters*** are embodied by Ada variables, all Ada data types except the following shall be accessible via the monitor:

- access type variables²
- task type variables

Finally, given the critical nature of some ***state information*** and ***process-control parameters***, the user will have the ability to protect information (from modification via the monitor) by designating it as read-only or unprotect it by designating it as read/write (which is the default status of all information).

The ***external display*** will be a video display terminal (VDT) dedicated to monitoring use during the active participation of the monitor.

When the user requests that the monitor ***must not introduce undue changes in the nature of the system being monitored***, this dictates that the active participation of the monitor must not adversely affect the timing of the monitored system.

To be able to ***produce the information in a timely enough fashion for it to be still meaningful and useful*** is critical to the usability of the monitor. Failure to achieve this is a failure of the monitor as a whole. To achieve this, the monitor is obliged to extract as much information as possible from the monitored program during its period of active execution. If the monitor cannot process all the user's commands in a given time period, it must identify to the user those actions that were not processed.

A key function from the user standpoint is the ability to ***select the categories and particulars of the state information and the process-control parameters***. Under the heading of ***categories***, the user will be able to:

²The objects accessed are monitored; access values (i.e., addresses) are not.

- Select the state information for display.
- Select the process-control parameters for display.
- Select the state information for history recording.
- Select the process-control parameters for history recording.

Under the heading of *particulars*, the user will be able to:

- Format the display.
- Format the state information and process-control parameters. Specifically, the user will be able to define (for each monitored item):
 - units (such as meters, feet, etc.)
 - numeric representation (binary, octal, decimal, hexadecimal, scientific notation, engineering notation, floating point, fixed point)
 - rate at which the display is updated
 - rate at which the history is updated

The ability to *maintain running histories* requires that the monitor be able to save *state information* and *process-control parameters* to a permanent storage device and to provide a mechanism for retrieving that history at a later time.

Finally, the ability to *make timely changes in the process-controlling parameters* requires that the user be able to select which items to adjust and that the application of any given set of adjustments be under the user's control and occur at a known, predictable time and in a deterministic manner.

3. Designer's Problem Statement

The problem statement presented here contains four sections:

1. **Definitions of Terms:** terms whose meanings are specific to the context of this report.
2. **User's Needs:** essentially a recasting of the user's problem statement in technical terms, with no added content.
3. **Designer's Technical Program-Related Additions:** statements that come from the designer's body of expert knowledge and consist of additions of technical content related to the problem.
4. **External Considerations:** considerations either of a technical or non-technical, but not problem-related, nature.

The statements in this chapter represent the top-level framework for the solution and are approved by the user.

3.1. Definitions of Terms

The following terms have special meaning within the context of this document:

Flight simulator: The hardware and software packages exclusive of the *monitor*, used to implement and control the simulated aircraft.

Monitor: The software package (also referred to as the RTM) to be used on the flight simulator computer to obtain, store, and display system-state information to the user.

Process-controlling parameters: Internally stored coefficients that are used in the control loop equations to determine the feedback amounts and system outputs of the flight simulator.

State information: The values of internally generated and stored numbers that represent the flight simulator inputs, outputs, or intermediate results of calculations used to determine them.

User: The person operating and directing the monitor to obtain, present, and modify the flight simulator state information and process-controlling parameters. The user is not the instructor or trainee who use the flight simulator software.

3.2. User's Needs

1. The monitor will be capable of extracting all flight simulator information that is internally represented as Ada variables and provide within the monitor a flexible means to display that information on a video display terminal.
2. The monitor will be integrated into the flight simulator software so that its use will not degrade the normal operations of the flight simulator.
3. The monitor will have user-selectable flight simulator information extraction and display rates.
4. The monitor will have user-selectable display formats for the flight simulator information, with display storage and recall.

5. The monitor will have user-modifiable values for the process-controlling parameters. These modifications will become effective only in a predictable and deterministic manner.

3.3. Designer's Technical Problem-Related Additions

6. The monitor will be written in the Ada programming language.
7. The monitor will be compatible with and function with the flight simulators of the two ASVP contractors (i.e., Boeing and Burtek). This means that the monitor must be capable of being compiled, loaded, and executed with both systems.
8. The monitor will prohibit the Ada *access*³ and *task* type variables from being accessible to the monitor. We will also prohibit the Ada object *constant* from modification, as it cannot be changed after initialization.

3.4. External Considerations

9. The monitor will use good software engineering principles and produce a well-documented, extensible, modular, and maintainable system.⁴

³The objects accessed are monitored; access values (i.e., addresses) are not.

⁴That is, as long as these requirements do not conflict with the prototype nature of the development.

4. Real-Time Monitor Requirements

This chapter sets forth the requirements for a real-time monitor package (the monitor) that will be integrated into a hardware/software system used as an aircraft trainer/flight simulator. This package will be used to provide system state information to the user for the purposes of monitoring, recording, and adjusting the performance of the flight simulator software. While the contents of this document are believed to be logically consistent and sufficient to describe the required operation of the monitor, no guarantee of completeness is made.

The top-level requirements for the system are derived in Chapter 3, Designer's Problem Statement. The system is composed of the three major system objects: the *user*, the *monitor*, and the *flight simulator*. There are three possible interfaces for information exchange among the three objects. The interface between the user and the monitor is characterized by the *User's Manual* [Van Scoy 87c]. The interface between the monitor and the flight simulator is characterized by the *System Interface Manual*.⁵ The third interface is between the user and the flight simulator and is not a part of this problem.

4.1. User Interface Requirements

The requirements for the monitor were extracted during the composition of the *User's Manual* and the *System Interface Manual*. A reading of the user's needs (Section 3.2, paragraphs 3, 4, and 5) yields the following user-controlled actions:

- R1. Activate the monitoring process.
- R2. Deactivate the monitoring process.
- R3. Select the information to be extracted for display.
- R4. Select the rate that information is extracted.
- R5. Select the format of the displayed information in advance.
- R6. Select the format of the displayed information on demand.
- R7. Initiate the display of extracted information.
- R8. Terminate the display of extracted information.
- R9. Cause a display to be permanently stored.
- R10. Cause a stored display to be recalled for viewing.
- R11. Cause new values to be entered for process-controlling parameters.

A reading of the designer-imposed additions in Section 3.3, paragraph 7, gives the following additional user-controlled actions:

- R12. Transfer the monitor software package, including libraries, to the target hardware and compile it there.

⁵The *System Interface Manual* is the Ada package specification of the *Rtm_core*.

- R13. Provide and connect any special monitor hardware to the target hardware.
- R14. Load the monitor package together with the flight simulator package on the target hardware.
- R15. Initiate the execution of the combined system.

4.2. System Interface Requirements

A reading of the user's needs in Section 3.2, paragraphs 1 and 2, yields the following system-controlled actions:

- R16. Extract the value of flight simulator Ada variables at the monitor's request.
- R17. Service the monitor's requests to translate information for the monitor's VDT.
- R18. Provide the necessary computing and memory resources to the monitor from spare capacity.
- R19. Do not degrade the normal operational performance of the flight simulator.

A reading of the designer-imposed additions in Section 3.3, paragraphs 6 and 8, give the following additional monitor-controlled actions:

- R20. Refuse the request to extract flight simulator Ada variables if they are of task type.
- R21. Refuse the request to modify flight simulator Ada objects if they are of constant type.
- R22. Extract objects referenced when requested to extract Ada access type objects.

4.3. General Requirements

A reading of the external considerations in Section 3.4, paragraph 9, and the designer imposed additions in Section 3.3, paragraph 6, gives an extensive set of general requirements. Some of these apply to the production of the code and documents; others apply to the design and implementation of the system.

- R23. Handle imperfect inputs in a reasonable, graceful manner.
- R24. Implement the monitor in Ada.
- R25. Develop a well-engineered monitor.
- R26. Develop an extensible monitor.
- R27. Develop a modular monitor.
- R28. Develop a maintainable monitor.
- R29. Develop a well-documented monitor.

5. Prototype Requirements

Given the context of the real-time monitor described in the the *Real-Time Monitor: Executive Summary* [Van Scoy 87a], certain restrictions were imposed on the requirements presented in Chapter 4. These restrictions were imposed to limit the size of the effort (because of time and staffing constraints) and to restrict the scope of the task to the technically challenging areas. While these restrictions limit the functionality of the prototype developed, the requirements implemented still represent a meaningful and useful subset.

To develop a useful prototype, the requirements were divided into four classes:

- fully implemented by the prototype
- partially implemented by the prototype
- fully implemented by the user of the prototype
- not implemented

Each requirement class is delineated below.

5.1. Fully Implemented Requirements

These requirements are fully implemented by the prototype RTM. They were chosen because they represent a useful subset of the full system functionality and form the basis upon which extensions can be built.

- R1. Activate the monitoring process.
- R2. Deactivate the monitoring process.
- R4. Select the rate at which information is extracted.
- R7. Initiate the display of extracted information.
- R8. Terminate the display of extracted information.
- R16. Extract the value of flight simulator Ada variables at the monitor's request.
- R17. Service the monitor's requests to translate information for the monitor's VDT.
- R20. Refuse the request to monitor flight simulator Ada variables if they are of task type.
- R21. Refuse the request to modify flight simulator Ada objects if they are of constant type.
- R22. Extract objects referenced when requested to extract Ada access type objects.
- R23. Handle imperfect inputs in a reasonable, graceful manner.
- R24. Implement the monitor in Ada.
- R25. Develop a well-engineered monitor.
- R26. Develop an extensible monitor.
- R27. Develop a modular monitor.
- R28. Develop a maintainable monitor.
- R29. Develop a well documented monitor.

5.2. Partially Implemented Requirements

These requirements contribute to the baseline functionality of the monitor, but are restricted to limit the scope of the implementation (i.e., items of the "bell and whistle" variety were deliberately excised from the requirements). The requirements are listed, with the restrictions shown in *italics*.

- R3. Select the information to be extracted for display: *not all the variables in the flight simulator are available, as noted elsewhere, but additional restrictions depend on the sophistication of the address generation scheme used by the implementation (see the Prototype Real-Time Monitor: Design [Van Scoy 87b] for additional information).*
- R5. Select the format of the displayed information in advance: *decimal output for integers and scientific notation for floats; no other notations (octal, binary, etc.).*
- R6. Select the format of the displayed information on demand: *see restrictions for previous requirement.*
- R11. Cause new values to be entered for process-controlling parameters: *only variables which are accessible can be modified, see above restrictions on accessibility, and then only using values that are compatible with the Ada type of the variable.*
- R12. Transfer the monitor software package, including libraries, to the target hardware and compile it there: *system dependencies were removed wherever possible and isolated when necessary.*

5.3. User-Implemented Requirements

These requirements are imposed on the user because they represent system level needs about which the monitor has no knowledge:

- R12. Transfer the monitor software package, including libraries, to the target hardware and compile it there.
- R14. Load the monitor package together with the flight simulator package on the target hardware.
- R15. Initiate the execution of the combined system.
- R18. Provide the necessary computing and memory resources from spare capacity to the monitor.
- R19. Do not degrade the normal operational performance of the flight simulator:

5.4. Unimplemented Requirements

These requirements are not implemented because they are extensions to the baseline requirements established above.

- R9. Cause a display to be permanently stored.
- R10. Cause a stored display to be recalled for viewing.
- R13. Provide and connect any special monitor hardware to the target hardware.

References

- [Van Scoy 87a] Van Scoy, R.
Prototype Real-Time Monitor: Executive Summary.
Technical Report CMU/SEI-87-TR-35, Software Engineering Institute, November, 1987.
- [Van Scoy 87b] Van Scoy, R., C. Plinta, T. Coddington, R. D'Ippolito, K. Lee, and M. Rissman.
Prototype Real-Time Monitor: Design.
Technical Report CMU/SEI-87-TR-38, Software Engineering Institute, November, 1987.
- [Van Scoy 87c] Van Scoy, R., C. Plinta, T. Coddington, R. D'Ippolito, K. Lee, and M. Rissman.
Prototype Real-Time Monitor: User's Manual.
Technical Report SEI-CMU/SEI-87-TR-37, Software Engineering Institute, November, 1987.
- [Van Scoy 87d] Van Scoy, R.
Prototype Real-Time Monitor: Ada Code.
Technical Report CMU/SEI-87-TR-39, Software Engineering Institute, November, 1987.

AD-A188929

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS NONE	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY N/A		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) CMU/SEI-87-TR-36		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) ESD-TR-87-199	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION SEI JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE	
6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SEI		7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) ESD/XRS1 HANSCOM AIR FORCE BASE, MA 01731	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY PITTSBURGH, PA 15213			
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION SEI JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER F1962885C0003	
8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SEI JPO			
8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE JPO PITTSBURGH, PA 15213		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. PROJECT NO. TASK NO. WORK UNIT NO.	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) PROTOTYPE REAL-TIME MONITOR: REQUIREMENTS		N/A N/A N/A N/A	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) RICHARD D'IPPOLITO, ET AL			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT FINAL		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____	
		14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) NOVEMBER 1987	
		15. PAGE COUNT 22	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION			
17. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB. GR.	
		ADA, REQUIREMENTS, REAL-TIME MONITOR, FLIGHT SIMULATOR	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			
THE REQUIREMENTS IMPOSED BY FLIGHT SIMULATORS AND GOOD SOFTWARE ENGINEERING PRACTICE ON ADA SYSTEMS FORCE SOFTWARE ENGINEERS TO SEEK NEW SOLUTIONS TO THE PROBLEM OF MONITORING EXECUTING SOFTWARE. THIS REPORT EXAMINES SOME OF THESE REQUIREMENTS AND, BASED ON THESE REQUIREMENTS, DEFINES A SUBSET FOR IMPLEMENTATION AS A PROTOTYPE REAL-TIME MONITOR (RTM).			
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS ☒		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED, UNLIMITED	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL KARL SHINGLER		22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (412) 268-7630	
		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL SEI JPO	

END

FILMED

MARCH, 19 88

DTIC