

AD-A189 371

GENERALIZED LEVINSON'S THEOREM AND QUANTUM STICKING  
COEFFICIENTS AT 0 K. (U) STATE UNIV OF NEW YORK AT  
BUFFALO DEPT OF CHEMISTRY S G CHUNG ET AL. DEC 87

1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

UBUFFALO/DC/87/TR-61 N00014-86-K-0043

F/G 20/10

NL





AD-A189 371

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-86-K-0043

TECHNICAL REPORT No. 61

Generalized Levinson's Theorem and Quantum Sticking Coefficients at 0 K

by

S. G. Chung and Thomas F. George

Prepared for Publication

in

Journal of the Physical Society of Japan

Departments of Chemistry and Physics  
State University of New York at Buffalo  
Buffalo, New York 14260

December 1987

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the  
United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale;  
its distribution is unlimited.

DTIC  
ELECTE  
DEC 30 1987  
S D  
G D

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS			
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE						
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) UBUFFALO/DC/87/TR-61			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Depts. Chemistry & Physics State University of New York		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION			
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Fronczak Hall, Amherst Campus Buffalo, New York 14260			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Chemistry Program 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217			
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Contract N00014-86-K-0043			
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Chemistry Program 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Generalized Levinson's Theorem and Quantum Sticking Coefficients at 0 K						
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) S. G. Chung and Thomas F. George						
13a. TYPE OF REPORT		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)		15. PAGE COUNT
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Prepared for Publication in the Journal of the Physical Society of Japan						
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	GENERALIZED LEVINSON'S THEOREM		He ATOM ON He LIQUID	
			QUANTUM STICKING COEFFICIENT		AGREEMENT WITH EXPERIMENT	
			ZERO DEGREES KELVIN			
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)  Based on the generalized Levinson's theorem, some general properties of quantum sticking coefficients of an atom at 0 K are derived. Good agreement is obtained with experimental results for a ${}^4_1\text{He}$ atom colliding with a liquid ${}^4_2\text{He}$ surface.						
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. David L. Nelson			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 696-4410		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL	

Generalized Levinson's Theorem and Quantum Sticking Coefficients at 0 K

S. G. Chung and Thomas F. George<sup>†</sup>

Department of Physics, Western Michigan University,  
Kalamazoo, Michigan 49008

<sup>†</sup>Departments of Chemistry and Physics & Astronomy  
239 Fronczak Hall,  
State University of New York at Buffalo,  
Buffalo, New York 14260

Abstract

Based on the generalized Levinson's theorem, some general properties of quantum sticking coefficients of an atom at 0 K are derived. Good agreement is obtained with experimental results for a  $^4\text{He}$  atom colliding with a liquid  $^4\text{He}$  surface.

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTL TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

The problem of quantum sticking coefficients  $\alpha$  of an atom colliding with a solid surface has long been a matter of controversy. Lennard-Jones and Devonshire<sup>1</sup> first calculated  $\alpha$  based on the distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA), giving a vanishing coefficient at  $T = 0$  K, or equivalently, when the wave number  $k$  of the incident atom goes to zero. The result, however, disagreed with the results of classical numerical calculations<sup>2</sup> and experiments both predicting unity instead of zero for sticking coefficients at  $T \rightarrow 0$ . As a possible explanation for such a disagreement between DWBA and experiments, Goodman<sup>3</sup> and Garcia and Ibanez<sup>4</sup> pointed out that a Morse potential<sup>5</sup> (exponentially decaying at large distance) used by Lennard-Jones and Devonshire for describing an adatom-surface interaction is unrealistic. They considered an algebraically decaying potential and obtained finite sticking coefficients. Their result, however, is inconclusive because the WKB approximation was erroneously used for the scattering states of the adatom. Other explanations have looked at correlated motions of the surface and the adatom. Krowles and Suhl<sup>6</sup> presented a polaron picture that when the adatom is near the surface, it becomes heavier due to virtual-phonon creations inside the matter. Although some enhancement was found for sticking coefficients, it still turned out that  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, Doyen<sup>7</sup> considered self-trapping of an atom near a surface with a static distortion, obtaining the trivial results of  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . Although the self-trapping picture is in general more appropriate than the polaron picture for a short-range potential, Doyen's argument has a deficiency: Doyen assumed the existence of a resonant localized state for an atom near the surface as a quantum mechanical analog of the fact that in classical theory, the atom strikes the surface with probability of unity, but the existence or nonexistence of such a state in quantum theory is the very core of the issue.

In this paper, we develop a new theory of quantum sticking coefficients at  $T = 0$  K. We have recently generalized Levinson's theorem to an atom dynamically interacting with a one-dimensional (1-d) matter system.<sup>8</sup> Based on the theorem, we derive here the following properties of  $\alpha$  at  $T = 0$  K: the small- $k$  dependence of  $\alpha(k)$  is determined by the long-distance behavior of adatom-surface potentials. For potentials decaying faster than  $x^{-2}$ , where  $x$  is the adatom-surface separation  $\alpha(k) \propto k$  for small  $k$ . For potentials decaying as  $x^{-2}$  or slower,  $\alpha(0)$  can be finite. The theory encourages experiments on  $\alpha$  at small  $k \leq 0.1 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ . For a  ${}^4\text{He}$  atom colliding with a liquid  ${}^4\text{He}$  surface, the present theory predicts a critical wave number  $\sim 0.01 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  below which  $\alpha(k)$  depends on  $k$  approximately linearly, which is in good agreement with experiment.<sup>9</sup>

Let us consider the adatom + 1-d matter Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{tot}} = H(\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}) + V(\tilde{x}, x) + K(p) \quad , \quad (1)$$

where  $H(\tilde{x}, \tilde{p})$  is a 1-d matter Hamiltonian with  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{p}$ , respectively, representing position and momentum vectors for atoms in the 1-d matter,  $V(\tilde{x}, x)$  is an interaction between the adatom and 1-d matter, and  $K(p)$  is the kinetic energy of adatom. A scattering eigenstate of (1) with a wave number  $k$  and a boundary condition that  $\psi(\tilde{r}_c, k) = 0$ , where  $\tilde{r}_c$  is an appropriate constant vector independent of  $k$ , can be written as

$$\psi(\tilde{r}, k) = \frac{i}{2k} [f(-k)F(\tilde{r}, k) - f(k)F(\tilde{r}, -k)] \quad . \quad (2)$$

Here  $\tilde{r} \equiv (\tilde{x}, x)$ ,  $f(k) \equiv F(\tilde{r}_c, k)$  is a Jost function, and  $F(\tilde{r}, k)$  is a Jost solution having an asymptotic form  $e^{-ikx} \phi_0(\tilde{x})$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\phi_0(\tilde{x})$  is the ground state of  $H(\tilde{x}, \tilde{p})$  ( $T = 0$  K).

We have recently shown that Levinson's theorem<sup>10</sup> in static potential scattering applies to our case in a similar manner:<sup>8</sup>

$$N_b = \delta(+0)/\pi \quad , \quad (3)$$

where  $N_b$  is the number of bound states of  $H_{tot}$ , and  $\delta(k)$  is a scattering phase shift of the adatom.

Based on the generalized Levinson's theorem (3), we now derive some general properties of quantum sticking coefficients  $\alpha(k)$ , at  $T = 0$  K. From time-dependent scattering theory,<sup>11</sup> one can write  $\alpha(k)$  as

$$\alpha(k) = \frac{2\pi M_a}{\hbar^2 k} \sum_f \delta(E_k - E_f) |\langle f | V_f | k^+ \rangle|^2 \quad , \quad (4)$$

where  $\sum_f$  means a summation over final states  $|f\rangle$ ,  $V_f$  represents a final channel interaction, e.g., creation of phonons, and  $|k^+\rangle$  is the scattering eigenstate (2) with a trivial change of normalization. Let us consider  $k \ll 1$  such that the S-matrix element  $S(k) \equiv e^{2i\delta(k)} - 1$ , and a large distance  $x = R$  such that

$$-U(R) \equiv -V(\bar{x}_0, R) / (\hbar^2 / 2M_a) = k^2 \quad , \quad (5)$$

where  $\bar{x}_0$  represents the mean position of the matter when the adatom-matter distance is large. Let us assume, for the moment, an inequality

$$kR < 1 \quad . \quad (6)$$

Under these conditions we have for  $R < x < 1/k$

$$|k^+\rangle \cong -2ik\phi_0(\bar{x}) \quad . \quad (7)$$

We now claim that once (7) is realized, then for  $0 < x < R$

$$|k^+\rangle \propto k \quad , \quad (8)$$

where  $x = 0$  denotes the surface. This can be seen as follows: over the region  $R' < x < R$ , where  $R'$  is such that  $k^2 \ll -U(R') \ll (-U(x))_{\max}$ ,  $|k^+\rangle$  smoothly changes from its behavior at  $x = R'$  to its behavior at  $x = R$ . The behavior of  $|k^+\rangle$  in this region must be somewhere between the following two extreme cases: (a)  $U(x) = U(R)$  and (b)  $U(x) = U(R')$ . But one can easily see that in both cases the requirement of smoothness of  $|k^+\rangle$  at  $x = R$  leads to  $|k^+\rangle \propto k$  at  $R' < x < R$ . This fact, in turn, leads to  $|k^+\rangle \propto k$  at  $0 < x < R'$ , because in this region  $|k^+\rangle$  can depend on  $k$  only through a constant prefactor which, however, again by smoothness of  $|k^+\rangle$  at  $x = R'$ , must be proportional to  $k$ . In this way we reach (8).

From (4) and (8) we have

$$\alpha(k) \propto k \quad . \quad (9)$$

We remember, however, that (9) is derived under the condition (6) and  $S(k) = 1$ . Little is known about the small- $k$  dependence of  $S(k)$ . Our analysis and numerical calculations below for a Morse potential (see Fig. 1) show that the latter condition imposes a stronger restriction on  $k$  than (6). We now analyze (6) for typical long-distance behaviors of the potential  $U(x)$ . Let us introduce a standard number  $\beta < 1$  in analogy to the "standard value" in the Lindemann melting formula.<sup>12</sup> With this  $\beta$  we can predict for each given potential a critical wave

number  $k_c$  below which  $\alpha(k)$  depends on  $k$  linearly (approximately when the condition  $S(k) - 1$  is not fulfilled). We have obtained the following results:

$$(i) \text{ For } U(x) = -\gamma e^{-\kappa x}, \quad \alpha(k) \propto k \text{ at } k \leq k_c, \\ \text{where } -(k_c/\kappa) \ln(k_c^2/\gamma) = \beta. \quad (10a)$$

$$(ii) \text{ For } U(x) = -\gamma x^{-n} \quad (n > 2), \quad \alpha(k) \propto k \text{ at } k \leq k_c, \\ \text{where } \gamma^{1/n} k_c^{1-2/n} = \beta. \quad (10b)$$

$$(iii) \text{ For } U(x) = -\gamma x^{-n} \quad (n \leq 2), \quad k_c = 0, \text{ which strongly} \\ \text{indicates a possibility of finite } \alpha(0). \quad (10c)$$

Numerical calculations below for a Morse potential (10a) with  $\gamma = 48 \text{ \AA}^{-2}$  and  $\kappa = 1.4 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  (numbers for the W-He system) show that  $k_c = 0.1 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ , which leads to  $\beta = 0.6$ . The  $^4\text{He}$  atom colliding on a liquid  $^4\text{He}$  surface is described by a van der Waals potential (10b) with  $n = 3$  and  $\gamma = 20 \text{ \AA}$ . Using  $\beta = 0.6$  we have  $k_c = 0.01 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ , in good agreement with experiment.<sup>9</sup>

We have performed a numerical calculation on a simple self-trapping model. The model assumes a harmonic lattice,  $H_{ph} = \sum \hbar \omega_q a_q^\dagger a_q$ , a surface atom plus an adatom interacting through a Morse potential, and the surface atom seeing another Morse potential created by the end atom of the 1-d lattice in its equilibrium (taken to be  $x = 0$ ),

$$H_{s+a} = P_s^2/2M_s + \tilde{U}(x_s) + P_a^2/2M_a + U(x_a - x_s). \quad (11)$$

The dynamical interaction between the harmonic lattice and the surface atom (one-phonon process) takes the form

$$H_{\text{int}} = - \sum_q \left( \frac{\hbar}{2N_\ell M_s \omega_q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (a_q^\dagger + a_{-q}) \frac{dU(x_s)}{dx_s} \Theta(t) \quad (12)$$

In (12),  $N_\ell$  is the number of lattice atoms, and the unit step function  $\Theta(t)$  means that  $|k^+\rangle$  here is a scattering eigenstate of  $H_{s+a}$ . In analogy to the second-order optical process, this is a "luminescence component" approximation thereby neglecting "Raman components", which is expected to be good for treating short-range potentials of the Morse type. We note that  $V_f$  in (4) is  $H_{\text{int}}$  at  $t > 0$ . Thus our main task is to solve the Schrödinger equation  $H_{s+a}\psi = E\psi$ .

As an example, we have considered W-W and W-He Morse potentials:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{U}(x+x_0^s) \\ U(x+x_0^a) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{D} \\ D \end{pmatrix} (e^{-2\kappa x} - 2e^{-\kappa x}) \quad (13)$$

where  $x_0^s \sim 3 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $x_0^a \sim 3.6 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $\bar{D} \sim 1 \text{ eV}$ ,  $D \sim 10 \text{ meV}$  and  $\kappa = 1.4 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ .<sup>5,13</sup> The one-body problem for Morse potentials is exactly solvable:<sup>5</sup> the W-He potential  $U(x)$  supports 4 bound states, whereas the W-W potential  $\bar{U}(x)$  supports about 200, and the energy separations of the two adjacent lowest bound states are about 10 meV. With these facts in mind, we consider following variational wave functions for the eigenstates of  $H_{s+a}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x_s, x_a) = & C\phi_0(x_s) (e^{-ikx_a} - Se^{ikx_a}) \phi(x_a) \\ & + \sum_{p=0}^2 \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} C_{pq} \phi_p(x_s) h_q(x_a) \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = 0$  for bound states and  $C = 1$  for  $|k^+\rangle$ . Three  $\phi_p$ 's are confluent hypergeometric functions representing the lowest 3 bound states of the surface W atom.<sup>5</sup>  $\phi(x_a)$  is an error function preventing the adatom from penetrating into the solid. Finally, the  $h_q$ 's are Gaussian weighted Hermite polynomials,<sup>14</sup> which are found to be best suited for describing fully correlated motions of the He atom near the surface.

The Schrödinger equation is thus converted to a set of coupled algebraic equations for the coefficients  $S$  and  $C_{pq}$ 's. The stability and convergence of the numerical calculation has been checked by changing a certain set of variational parameters as well as the number  $N$  of  $h_q$ 's. Figure 1 contains our final results for  $N = 21$ . Part a shows  $|S|$  and  $\text{Re}S$  as functions of the wave number  $k$ , demonstrating the generalized Levinson's theorem (Eq. (3)). Part b shows  $\alpha(k)$  vs.  $k$ . The approximate linear dependence of  $\alpha$  on  $k$  is seen below  $k_c \sim 0.1 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ .

To summarize, we have generalized the Levinson's theorem to an atom dynamically interacting with a 1-d matter, and based on this we have derived some general properties of quantum sticking coefficients at  $T = 0 \text{ K}$ . A generality of the present theory strongly encourages further accurate experiments on sticking coefficients in a variety of physical systems. Theoretically, on the other hand, we must determine if  $\alpha(0)$  is finite in the case  $U(x) \sim x^{-2}$  at large  $x$ . The result of this study along with details of the present paper will be reported elsewhere.

### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant CHE-8620274. We thank our colleagues in the Department of Physics & Astronomy (at Buffalo) for many useful discussions, particularly F. M. Gasparini for bringing Ref. 9 to our attention. We also thank A. C. Beri and D. Eichenauer for showing


us several important references, Y.-C. Chang for useful comments on our numerical calculations, and Y. Oono for helpful discussion on the mathematics.

### References


1. J. E. Lennard-Jones and A. F. Devonshire, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A 156, 6, 36 (1936).
2. B. McCarroll and G. Ehrlich, J. Chem. Phys. 38, 523 (1963).
3. F. O. Goodman, J. Chem. Phys. 55, 5742 (1971).
4. N. Garcia and J. Ibanez, J. Chem. Phys. 64, 4803 (1976).
5. P. M. Morse, Phys. Rev. 34, 57 (1929).
6. T. R. Knowles and H. Suhl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 1417 (1977).
7. G. Doyen, Phys. Rev. B 22, 497 (1980).
8. S. G. Chung and T. F. George, J. Math. Phys. 28, 1103 (1987).
9. D. O. Edwards, Physica 109 & 110 B, 1531 (1982).
10. L. I. Schiff, Quantum Mechanics (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968), pp. 344.
11. T. Y. Wu and T. Ohmura, Quantum Theory of Scattering (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1962); R. G. Newton, Scattering Theory of Waves and Particles (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966).
12. J. M. Ziman, Principles of the Theory of Solids (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1972), pp. 65.
13. L. A. Girifalco and V. G. Weizer, Phys. Rev. 114, 687 (1959); F. O. Goodman and J. D. Gillerlain, J. Chem. Phys. 57, 3645 (1972).
14. I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products (Academic Press, New York, 1980), pp. 1033.

### Figure Caption

Fig. 1 (a)  $|S|$  and  $\text{Re}S$  vs.  $K$ . It is seen that  $S \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow 0$ . (b)  $\alpha(k)$  vs.  $k$ .  
Below  $k_c \sim 0.1 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ ,  $\alpha$  has an approximate linear dependence on  $k$ .



(a)



(b)

Fig. 1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 1113 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000	2	Dr. David Young Code 334 NORDA NSTL, Mississippi 39529	1
Dr. Bernard Doua Naval Weapons Support Center Code 50C Crane, Indiana 47522-5050	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. Ron Atkins Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko, Code L52 Port Hueneme, California 93401	1	Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Code RD-1 Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12 high quality	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	1
DTNSRDC Attn: Dr. H. Singerman Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1
Dr. William Tolles Superintendent Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
		Dr. David L. Nelson Chemistry Division Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	1

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056/625/629

Dr. J. E. Jensen  
Hughes Research Laboratory  
3011 Malibu Canyon Road  
Malibu, California 90265

Dr. J. H. Weaver  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
and Materials Science  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Dr. A. Reisman  
Microelectronics Center of North Carolina  
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina  
27709

Dr. M. Grunze  
Laboratory for Surface Science and  
Technology  
University of Maine  
Orono, Maine 04469

Dr. J. Butler  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 6115  
Washington D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. L. Interante  
Chemistry Department  
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute  
Troy, New York 12181

Dr. Irvin Heard  
Chemistry and Physics Department  
Lincoln University  
Lincoln University, Pennsylvania 19352

Dr. K.J. Klaubunde  
Department of Chemistry  
Kansas State University  
Manhattan, Kansas 66506

Dr. C. B. Harris  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Berkeley, California 94720

Dr. F. Kutzler  
Department of Chemistry  
Box 5055  
Tennessee Technological University  
Cookeville, Tennessee 38501

Dr. D. DiLella  
Chemistry Department  
George Washington University  
Washington D.C. 20052

Dr. R. Reeves  
Chemistry Department  
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute  
Troy, New York 12181

Dr. Steven M. George  
Stanford University  
Department of Chemistry  
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Mark Johnson  
Yale University  
Department of Chemistry  
New Haven, CT 06511-8118

Dr. W. Knauer  
Hughes Research Laboratory  
3011 Malibu Canyon Road  
Malibu, California 90265

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056/625/629

Dr. G. A. Somorjai  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Berkeley, California 94720

Dr. J. Murday  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 6170  
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. J. B. Hudson  
Materials Division  
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute  
Troy, New York 12181

Dr. Theodore E. Madey  
Surface Chemistry Section  
Department of Commerce  
National Bureau of Standards  
Washington, D.C. 20234

Dr. J. E. Demuth  
IBM Corporation  
Thomas J. Watson Research Center  
P.O. Box 218  
Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

Dr. M. G. Lagally  
Department of Metallurgical  
and Mining Engineering  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Dr. R. P. Van Duyne  
Chemistry Department  
Northwestern University  
Evanston, Illinois 60637

Dr. J. M. White  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Texas  
Austin, Texas 78712

Dr. D. E. Harrison  
Department of Physics  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, California 93940

Dr. R. L. Park  
Director, Center of Materials  
Research  
University of Maryland  
College Park, Maryland 20742

Dr. W. T. Peria  
Electrical Engineering Department  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Dr. Keith H. Johnson  
Department of Metallurgy and  
Materials Science  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Dr. S. Sibener  
Department of Chemistry  
James Franck Institute  
5640 Ellis Avenue  
Chicago, Illinois 60637

Dr. Arnold Green  
Quantum Surface Dynamics Branch  
Code 3817  
Naval Weapons Center  
China Lake, California 93555

Dr. A. Wold  
Department of Chemistry  
Brown University  
Providence, Rhode Island 02912

Dr. S. L. Bernasek  
Department of Chemistry  
Princeton University  
Princeton, New Jersey 08544

Dr. W. Kohn  
Department of Physics  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, California 92037

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056/625/629

Dr. F. Carter  
Code 6170  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. Richard Colton  
Code 6170  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. Dan Pierce  
National Bureau of Standards  
Optical Physics Division  
Washington, D.C. 20234

Dr. R. Stanley Williams  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

Dr. R. P. Messmer  
Materials Characterization Lab.  
General Electric Company  
Schenectady, New York 22217

Dr. Robert Gomer  
Department of Chemistry  
James Franck Institute  
5640 Ellis Avenue  
Chicago, Illinois 60637

Dr. Ronald Lee  
R301  
Naval Surface Weapons Center  
White Oak  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Dr. Paul Schoen  
Code 6190  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. John T. Yates  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260

Dr. Richard Greene  
Code 5230  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. L. Kesmodel  
Department of Physics  
Indiana University  
Bloomington, Indiana 47403

Dr. K. C. Janda  
University of Pittsburgh  
Chemistry Building  
Pittsburg, PA 15260

Dr. E. A. Irene  
Department of Chemistry  
University of North Carolina  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Dr. Adam Heller  
Bell Laboratories  
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974

Dr. Martin Fleischmann  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Southampton  
Southampton SO9 5NH  
UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. H. Tachikawa  
Chemistry Department  
Jackson State University  
Jackson, Mississippi 39217

Dr. John W. Wilkins  
Cornell University  
Laboratory of Atomic and  
Solid State Physics  
Ithaca, New York 14853

ABSTRACTS DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056/625/629

Dr. R. G. Wallis  
 Department of Physics  
 University of California  
 Irvine, California 92664

Dr. D. Ramaker  
 Chemistry Department  
 George Washington University  
 Washington, D.C. 20052

Dr. J. C. Hemminger  
 Chemistry Department  
 University of California  
 Irvine, California 92717

Dr. T. F. George  
 Chemistry Department  
 University of Rochester  
 Rochester, New York 14627

Dr. G. Rubloff  
 IBM  
 Thomas J. Watson Research Center  
 P.O. Box 218  
 Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

Dr. Horia Metiu  
 Chemistry Department  
 University of California  
 Santa Barbara, California 93106

Dr. W. Goddard  
 Department of Chemistry and Chemical  
 Engineering  
 California Institute of Technology  
 Pasadena, California 91125

Dr. P. Hansma  
 Department of Physics  
 University of California  
 Santa Barbara, California 93106

Dr. J. Baldeschwieler  
 Department of Chemistry and  
 Chemical Engineering  
 California Institute of Technology  
 Pasadena, California 91125

Dr. J. T. Keiser  
 Department of Chemistry  
 University of Richmond  
 Richmond, Virginia 23173

Dr. R. W. Plummer  
 Department of Physics  
 University of Pennsylvania  
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Dr. E. Yeager  
 Department of Chemistry  
 Case Western Reserve University  
 Cleveland, Ohio 41106

Dr. N. Winograd  
 Department of Chemistry  
 Pennsylvania State University  
 University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Dr. Roald Hoffmann  
 Department of Chemistry  
 Cornell University  
 Ithaca, New York 14853

Dr. A. Steckl  
 Department of Electrical and  
 Systems Engineering  
 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute  
 Troy, New York 12181

Dr. G.H. Morrison  
 Department of Chemistry  
 Cornell University  
 Ithaca, New York 14853

END

DATE

FILMED

MARCH

1988

DTIC