

AD

BAL-TR-2861

TECHNICAL REPORT BRL-TR-2861

RANDOM PROCESSES, TURBULENCE AND
DISORDERING FIELDS

G. DOMOKOS
S. KOVESI-DOMOKOS
C. K. ZOLTANI

OCTOBER 1987

PROPERTY OF U.S. AIR FORCE
AEDC TECHNICAL LIBRARY

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

TECHNICAL REPORTS
FILE COPY

DESTRUCTION NOTICE

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. DO NOT return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161.

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 Exp. Date: Jun 30, 1986	
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) BRL--TR-2861			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Johns Hopkins University		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SLCBR-IB-A	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Ballistic Research Laboratory		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Baltimore, MD 21218			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)					
			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102A	PROJECT NO. 1L161102AH43	TASK NO. 00
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Random Processes, Turbulence and Disorder Fields					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) G. Domokos*, S. Kovesi-Domokos*, and C. K. Zoltani					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical Report		13b. TIME COVERED FROM Jan 86 TO Jan 87		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)	
15. PAGE COUNT					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION *Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Random Processes, fluctuations, inverse powers		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP			
19	01				
21	02				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The statistical theory of random processes is cast into a Lagrangian form. The formalism requires the existence of an arbitrarily weak random stirring force, playing the role of a disordering field. In scale invariant systems the coupling strength of the weak stirring force can be scaled out and it disappears from the theory. The formalism is applied to the turbulent flow of an incompressible fluid; in particular, we derive the Feynman rules by means of which the distribution of fluctuations around an average flow can be determined. As an illustration, we discuss a stationary flow between two parallel planes. An expansion in inverse powers of the Reynolds number (analogous to a semiclassical expansion in Quantum Field Theory) is outlined. In particular, we compute the vorticity correlation function to leading order in inverse powers of the Reynolds number.					
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS				21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Csaba K. Zoltani				22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (301) 278-6108	
				22c. OFFICE SYMBOL SLCBR-IB-A	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	5
2.	GENERAL FORMALISM.....	6
3.	TURBULENCE IN AN INCOMPRESSIBLE VISCOUS FLUID.....	9
4.	FLUCTUATIONS IN A FLOW BETWEEN PARALLEL PLANES.....	11
5.	DISCUSSION.....	14
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	15
	REFERENCES.....	16
	DISTRIBUTION LIST.....	17

I. INTRODUCTION

There are many classical, nonlinear systems exhibiting some kind of chaotic behavior. Examples include the turbulent flow of a fluid, usually described by means of the Navier-Stokes equations, and the behavior of liquids, gases or antiferromagnets above the critical point, among others.

In this paper, we propose to reexamine and further develop an approach to the description of such systems, originally proposed by Martin, Siggia and Rose,¹ and reformulated by DeDominicis and Peliti.² This approach promises to yield some qualitative insight into problems of the kind outlined above; moreover, if sufficiently developed, it may be combined with numerical methods in order to increase the accuracy of the results or reduce computing time. We use the formulation of ref. 2 and restrict our attention to autonomous systems. From a qualitative point of view, the method consists of the following. One considers a system described by a set of deterministic nonlinear equations governing the behavior of the dynamical variables. In the approach of refs. 1 and 2, the system is then perturbed by a random force. It is then shown that this theory of a "randomly stirred" system is formally equivalent to a quantum field theory: one can define it, for instance, in terms of a suitable path integral, and compute fluctuations induced by the random stirring force by means of familiar and internally consistent methods.

However, the approach as described in refs. 1 and 2, is, to a certain degree, incomplete from a physical point of view. It is not clear that a system has to be randomly stirred in order to become chaotic: for example, a fluid flow can apparently become turbulent under the influence of some perfectly smooth, non-fluctuating external force, such as a constant pressure gradient in a pipe. Although one may argue that in a "real" flow there is always some randomness present (such as thermal fluctuations in the fluid, roughness of the wall of the pipe, etc.), a recent numerical simulation carried out by Deissler³ seems to indicate that this is not crucial in the development of turbulence and probably in the chaotic behavior of other systems either. Intuitively, one would like to "switch off" the random stirring force in some smooth manner, and make sure that chaotic behavior persists even if the random perturbation is weak. (This, to a certain extent, would

¹P. C. Martin, E. D. Siggia and H. A. Rose, "Statistical Dynamics of Classical Systems," Phys. Rev., Vol. A8, pp. 423-440, 1973.

²C. DeDominicis and L. Peliti, "Field-Theory, Renormalization and Critical Dynamics Above T_c : Helium, Antiferromagnets and Liquid-Gas Systems," Phys. Rev., Vol. B18, pp. 353-376, 1978.

³R. G. Deissler, "Is Navier-Stokes Turbulence Chaotic?," Phys. Fluids, Vol. 29, pp. 1453-1457, 1986.

justify the conjecture expressed by Martin and DeDominicis⁴ that at least some important properties of a turbulent flow are independent of the agitation mechanism.) From the technical point of view, the formalism, as it stands, does not readily lend itself to the development of a systematic loop expansion around some non-trivial, average solution represented by a non-trivial saddle point of the path integral, unless the stirring force is kept nonzero, since all propagators are proportional to the correlation function of the stirring force.

Quite clearly, this calls for a more careful examination of the role played by a random, typically Gaussian, stirring force in the theory of nonlinear systems in which we are interested. It turns out that, within the framework of the formalism created by Martin, Siggia, Rose, DeDominicis, and Peliti (MSRDP), loc. cit., one can associate a Hamiltonian system with every autonomous, but possibly dissipative system stirred by a random force. That Hamiltonian system becomes singular, in a sense to be specified later, if from the outset, the stirring force is set equal to zero. In some simple and physically interesting cases, typically, Gaussian stirring of equal strength at every frequency and wave number, the functional integration over canonical momenta can be carried out in a closed form. This establishes a relationship between the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian forms of the path integral, as long as the stirring force is not exactly zero.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next Section we consider some general properties of systems described by the class of equations, of the form $\partial_t X - F(X) = \epsilon f(x,t)$, where f is a Gaussian stirring force and ϵ is a parameter characterizing the strength of its coupling to the system of interest, while all dynamical variables are collectively denoted by X , where X is an element of some vector field. We explicitly exhibit the correspondence between the MSRDP path integral and a Hamiltonian system and derive the Lagrangian form of the path integral which is suitable for the development of a systematic approximation scheme. In Section 3 the formalism is applied to the turbulent flow of a viscous incompressible fluid. In particular, we derive the general form of the Feynman rules necessary for the computation of the non-Gaussian part of the velocity distribution. In Section 4 this formalism is illustrated on a flow which is Poiseuille on the average; in particular, we compute the two-point velocity correlation function in the Gaussian approximation. The results are summarized and discussed in Section 5.

2. GENERAL FORMALISM

For the sake of completeness, we start with a brief review of the procedure of DeDominicis and Peliti (ref. 2). Consider a "classical" system described by an equation of the type

⁴P. C. Martin and C. DeDominicis, "The Long Distance Behavior of Randomly Stirred Fluids," Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp., No. 64, pp. 108-123, 1978.

$$\partial_t X - F(X) = \epsilon f, \quad (2.1)$$

where f is a Gaussian random force and ϵ is a numerical parameter, which ultimately will be made vanishingly small. The correlation operator of f is denoted by K and the scalar product is then denoted by the symbol $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

The principal result of DeDominicis and Peliti is that the characteristic functional of the stochastic process defined in the preceding can be written in the form:

$$G[j] = \int DX Df \text{Det}(\partial_t - \delta F / \delta X) \delta(\partial_t X - F(X) - \epsilon f) e^{i\langle j, X \rangle} e^{-\langle f, K^{-1} f \rangle / 2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here, DX and Df stand for an appropriately normalized functional measure and j is an arbitrary source function. The cumulants of the distribution are generated by the functional $W[j] = i^{-1} \ln G[j]$. In what follows, we are mainly interested in the cumulants of any given distribution. Hence, it is convenient to define an equivalence class of functionals of the source j by calling two functionals equivalent if their ratio is independent of the source j . Following the tradition of quantum field theory, members of this equivalence class are identified by the conventional equality sign ($=$). With this, we now have, using a Fourier representation of the delta functional,

$$G[j] = \int DX Df DP \text{Det}(\partial_t - \delta F / \delta X) e^{i\langle j, X \rangle} e^{-\langle f, K^{-1} f \rangle / 2} e^{i\langle P, (\partial_t X - F(X) - \epsilon f) \rangle}. \quad (2.3)$$

Integration over the stirring force can be readily performed. The result is:

$$G[j] = \int DX DP e^{i\langle j, X \rangle} \text{Det}(\partial_t - \delta F / \delta X) \exp i\{\langle P, \partial_t X \rangle - H\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $H = \langle P, F \rangle + i\epsilon^2 \langle P, KP \rangle / 2$. (We note that the correlation operator is, by necessity, a Hermitean one, thus, $\langle f, Kg \rangle = \langle Kf, g \rangle$ for any admissible pair of vectors f and g .) In what follows, one can set the correlation operator, K , proportional to the unit operator without any substantial loss of generality. (Indeed, such a choice of K is desirable from an intuitive point of view: one "stirs" the system by a white noise, i.e., the correlation function of the stirring force is proportional to $\delta(t-t')$ and the stirring is of the same strength at every wave number.) With this simplification, let us now take a look at the functional integral, eq. (2.4). Quite obviously, this integral is of the canonical form (see e.g., Itzykson and Zuber⁵). One is integrating over the phase space of variables X, P , with a weight $\exp i\{\langle P, \partial_t X \rangle - H\}$.

Clearly, the quantity in curly brackets can be identified with the

⁵C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, "Functional Methods," Quantum Field Theory, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980, Chapter IX, pp. 425-474.

canonical form of the action governing the fluctuations, while H plays the role of the Hamiltonian. The important point to notice is that if one insisted on discarding the coupling between the stirring and the system under consideration, the latter would become a singular Hamiltonian system (in the sense of classical mechanics): one cannot pass freely between the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formulations of the problem at hand since the second derivative operator, $\delta^2 H / \delta P \delta P$, is singular (in this case, identically zero) when $\epsilon = 0$. (Given the fact that the integration over the canonical momenta, P , is a Gaussian one, reflecting the Gaussian nature of the stirring, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the analysis of the "classical" Hamiltonian system defined implicitly by eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) and the actual system under consideration.)

Let us now accept a unit correlation operator of the stirring force. One can then readily perform the integration over the canonical momenta, thus arriving at the "Lagrangian" form of the functional integral, viz.,

$$G[j] = \int DX e^{i\langle j, X \rangle} \text{Det}(\partial_t - \delta F / \delta X) \exp - \epsilon^{-2} 1/2 \langle (\partial_t X - F), (\partial_t X - F) \rangle. \quad (2.5)$$

In general, it is possible to exponentiate the functional determinant appearing in eqs. (2.4) and (2.5) by introducing the usual Fadeev-Popov ghost fields, cf., Itzykson and Zuber, loc. cit.. We denote the ghost fields by u and v ; then using the standard expression of a functional determinant in terms of an integral over Grassmann fields, we get the final expression for the generating functional:

$$G[j] = \int DX Du Dv e^{i\langle j, X \rangle} \exp - \{ \epsilon^{-2} 1/2 \langle (\partial_t X - F), (\partial_t X - F) \rangle - \Gamma \}, \quad (2.6)$$

where Γ stands for the ghost part of the action, viz.,

$$\Gamma = \langle u, (\partial_t - \delta F / \delta X) v \rangle. \quad (2.7)$$

The expression of the characteristic functional obtained in eq. (2.6) and (2.7) has the advantage that it allows one to perform a consistent loop expansion (in powers of ϵ^2) in a standard fashion, cf. Itzykson and Zuber, loc. cit., Ch. 6. In essence, ϵ^2 plays the same role in this formalism as Planck's constant does in quantum field theory. It follows that it is impossible to put $\epsilon = 0$ from the outset: this is a singular limit in the same sense as the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ is in quantum theory. In a general system all one can do is to rescale the variables of the functional integration in a manner analogous to a quantum field theory with a single coupling constant; in this way, a "small ϵ " expansion can be converted to a "weak nonlinearity" expansion. A practically important exception to this scaling argument is given by a scale invariant system, notably the hydrodynamics of a viscous incompressible fluid. In this case, a scale transformation can be chosen such that the small parameter ϵ is altogether eliminated from the theory. This case will be studied in the next Section.

We end these general considerations by exhibiting a conservation law intrinsic to the general formalism, provided the system is autonomous, i.e., F is independent of t . Given any autonomous Lagrangian system, the Legendre transform of the Lagrangian with respect to the time derivatives of the dynamical variables (the "energy") is time independent. A straightforward application of this theorem to the Lagrangian appearing in eq. (2.5), viz., $L = 1/2 \langle (\partial_t X - F), (\partial_t X - F) \rangle$ leads to the conservation of the quasi-energy

$$Q = 1/2 \langle (\partial_t X - F), (\partial_t X + F) \rangle. \quad (2.8)$$

Unfortunately, the quasi-energy is not a positive functional. However, just like the Lagrangian, Q vanishes for an unperturbed ($\epsilon = 0$) solution of the field equations. Therefore, its magnitude characterizes the randomness of the response of the system under the influence of arbitrarily small random perturbations.

3. TURBULENCE IN AN INCOMPRESSIBLE VISCOUS FLUID

We now apply the general formalism outlined in the previous section to the turbulent flow of a viscous, incompressible fluid. The equations governing the flow are:

$$N^i[v] \equiv \partial_t v^i + (v^s \partial_s) v^i + \partial^i p - \nu \nabla^2 v^i = \epsilon f^i, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\partial_i v^i = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where v^i stand for the components of the velocity, p is the pressure and f is a perturbing Gaussian random force with unit correlation operator. In order to apply the formalism outlined in Sec. 2, we introduce the momentum canonically conjugate to the velocity field, w_i . Then, using eq. (2.4), the action entering the expression of the characteristic functional reads:

$$A = \int d^3x dt \{ w_i N^i[v] - 1/2 \epsilon^2 w_i w_i \}. \quad (3.3)$$

One readily convinces oneself that the part of the action linear in w_i is invariant under the following scale transformation:

$$t = \lambda t'; \quad x = \lambda^{1/2} x'; \quad v = \lambda^{-1/2} v'; \quad p = \lambda^{-1} p'; \quad w = \lambda^{-1} w', \quad (3.4)$$

where λ is an arbitrary positive scale factor and v, w stand for the magnitudes of the velocity and momentum vectors. (This is just the usual scaling law of the Navier-Stokes equations; in particular, the Reynolds number is an invariant of the transformation.) Therefore, upon choosing $\lambda = \epsilon^{-4}$, the coefficient of the term quadratic in the canonical momentum becomes unity: the small parameter disappeared from the theory altogether. (More precisely, it was shifted into the boundary condi-

tions, which, however, can be adjusted accordingly.) We can now go directly to eq. (2.5); the "Lagrangian" form of the action reads:

$$A = 1/2 \int d^3x dt N^i[v] N_i[v]. \quad (3.5)$$

This action has to be supplemented by the ghost contribution, Γ , cf., eqs. (2.6) and (2.7). On denoting the ghost fields by ξ and η , respectively, we have:

$$\Gamma = \int dt d^3x \{ \xi_i (\partial_t - \nu \nabla^2 - v^r \partial_r) \eta^i + \xi_i v^i_j \eta^j \}. \quad (3.6)$$

In order to develop a systematic loop expansion to the functional integral with this action, we need to determine an average flow which is a solution, V^i , of the unperturbed Navier-Stokes equations, $N^i[V] = 0$ and of the subsidiary condition, $\partial_i V^i = 0$. The solution to these equations, together with $\xi = \eta = 0$ (which is the only saddle point of Γ), determine a saddle point of the integrand in the functional integral expression of the generating functional. Let us suppose that such a solution has been found. We can then expand the action around the saddle point noting that the fluctuations, $u^i \equiv v^i - V^i$, have to be divergence-free. In order to satisfy the transversality condition, $T \equiv \partial_i u^i = 0$, one has to insert the standard Fadeev-Popov factor, $\delta(T) \cdot \text{Det}(\delta T / \delta u)$, under the functional integral. The determinant is, however, independent of the integration variables, and thus no ghost has to be introduced for this constraint. It is further a straightforward matter to show that the effect of the delta functional is merely to project out the transverse part of the propagator, by means of the projector, $T_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \nabla^{-2} \partial_i \partial_j$.

After inserting $v^i = V^i + u^i$ into the action and using $N^i[V] = 0$, the action splits up as follows:

$$A = A[V] + A_0[u] + A_1[u], \quad \Gamma = \Gamma_0[\xi, \eta] + \Gamma_1[\xi, \eta, u], \quad (3.7)$$

where the subscripts 0 and 1 stand for the quadratic ("kinetic") and higher order ("interaction") parts of the action describing the fluctuations, respectively. The general expression of the various pieces can be best described as follows. Introduce the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} l_i &= (\partial_t - \nu \nabla^2 + v^r \partial_r) u_i + v_{i,r} u^r, \\ L_{ik} &= (\partial_t - \nu \nabla^2 + v^r \partial_r) \delta_{ik} + v_{i,k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We then have

$$A_0[u] = 1/2 \int dt \int d^3x [l_i l^i + \mu^{-2} (\partial_i u^i)^2],$$

$$A_1[u] = 1/2 \int dt \int d^3x \{ 2(l^i u^k_{i,k}) + (u^i u_{k,i})(u^r u^k_r), \}$$

$$r_0 = \int dt \int d^3x \xi^i L_{ik} \eta^k,$$

$$r_1 = \int dt \int d^3x \xi^i (u^r_{,r} \delta_{ik} + u_{i,k}) \eta^k. \quad (3.9)$$

From here one immediately reads off the general Feynman rules needed for the computation of the non-Gaussian part of the distribution. In particular, the ghost propagator is given by L^{-1} whereas the propagator of the velocity fluctuations is given by $(L^\dagger L)^{-1}$. Clearly, in order to proceed any further, the average flow has to be specified. In the next section, this procedure is illustrated for the important example of a flow which is, on the average, Poiseuille.

4. FLUCTUATIONS IN A FLOW BETWEEN PARALLEL PLANES

We consider a flow taking place between two parallel planes; the pressure gradient is stationary and homogeneous; its direction is parallel to the planes. We assume that the average flow is given by Poiseuille's solution of the Navier-Stokes equations, viz., in a suitably chosen Cartesian system of coordinates, the nonvanishing component of the average velocity is

$$v_z = 1/2 U(1 - x^2/a^2), \quad (4.1)$$

where U is related to the pressure gradient and kinematic viscosity in a well-known way, and "a" stands for the half-distance between the planes confining the flow. The coordinate axes x and z are perpendicular and parallel to the planes, respectively, whereas the y -axis is perpendicular to the (x,z) plane. Our objective is to work out the expression for the action with this average flow, and then carry out the inversion

of L and $L^\dagger L$ in order to obtain the Feynman rules which ultimately determine the correlation functions. Even with this simple average flow, this is a rather tedious task and the result cannot be obtained in a closed form. In order to proceed, we rescale all quantities in order to measure them in their natural units. To this end, the unit of length is chosen to be a , the unit of time is the characteristic advection time, a/U , and velocities are measured in units of U . In addition, the fluid

is characterized by an intrinsic diffusion velocity, $u^* = \nu/a$. The

Reynolds number is defined to be $R = U/u^*$. We can choose the units in which the correlation operator of the stirring is measured such that it is proportional to $(u^*)^3 a^2$. In this way, if we go over to dimensionless quantities, the quadratic part of the action, A_0 , will be proportional

to R^3 . In these units the average flow is obviously given by $V_z = 1/2(1-x^2)$. Next, define, as in the preceding section, $l_i = L_{ik} u^k$, where u^k is the velocity fluctuation in its natural units and L_{ik} is the operator (again using natural units):

$$L_{ik} = (\partial_t - R^{-1} \nabla^2 + V^r \partial_r) \delta_{ik} + V^i_{,k}. \quad (4.2)$$

In this way we get,

$$A_0 = R^3 \int dt d^3 x l^i l_i. \quad (4.3)$$

It is now obvious that at large Reynolds numbers the action is proportional to the large number, R^3 . In the same way as in quantum field theory the semiclassical limit ($\hbar \rightarrow 0$) is obtained by rescaling the fields with an appropriate power of Planck's constant; here we remove the large number in front of A_0 by rescaling, $u^i \rightarrow R^{-3/2} u^i$. In this way, the quadratic part of the action becomes $O(1)$ in R , whereas the non-Gaussian part carries negative powers of R ; thus it is relatively unimportant for large Reynolds numbers. (In fact, one can define a systematic expansion in inverse powers of R ; here we restrict ourselves to the leading term of that expansion.) It is also easily seen that in the leading approximation to the distribution, the ghost fields decouple from the velocity fluctuations. Thus, in the leading approximation, one has a Gaussian distribution of fluctuations for $R \gg 1$. In order to compute the correlation function of the fluctuations, we now have to invert the operator $L^\dagger L$. This is best done in a mixed representation: we Fourier transform in the variables t, y, z , denoting the conjugate variables by ω, k_y, k_z , respectively. One is tempted to omit the term of $O(R^{-1})$ in the expression of L altogether. However, in this way, the viscous effects would be totally neglected. Instead, at least for the purpose of illustration, we omit the term $R^{-1} d^2/dx^2$ from eq. (4.2), thus making the distribution independent-valued⁶ in x . This is expected to be a reasonably good zeroth approximation outside the boundary layer which, in natural units, is approximately of thickness $R^{-1/2}$. In this approximation, the computation of the velocity correlation function (the propagator of the fluctuation) is a straightforward, although somewhat tedious, task: the technical complication arises from the application of the nonlocal projector, T_{ij} , on $(L^\dagger L)^{-1}$. If we are to remain in the leading approximation, however, we do not need the full propagator. The correlation function of vorticities is easier to compute: one just has

⁶I. M. Gel'fand and N.Ya. Vilenkin, Generalized Functions, Vol. 4, Academic Press, New York, 1964, Chapter III.

to take the curl of a matrix element of the operator $(L^\dagger L)^{-1}$ in both of its variables. (Obviously, for an incompressible fluid, the vorticity correlation function contains the same physical information as the full correlation function.) Denoting the components of the vorticity correlation tensor by Ω_{ik} , the result of a straightforward computation can be described as follows.

i) From the reality and symmetry of the vorticity correlation tensor in coordinate space, one readily derives a Hermiticity relationship in the mixed representation used here, viz.,

$$\Omega_{ij}(-k_y, -k_z, -\omega; x, x') = \Omega_{ji}(k_y, k_z, \omega; x', x)^* \quad (4.4)$$

ii) Taking this into account, we list a set of independent vorticity correlation functions as follows.

Let us define the quantity, $B = \{i[\omega + 1/2(1-x^2)k_z] + R^{-1}(k_y^2 + k_z^2)\}$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{xx} &= -|B|^{-2} [k_y^2 (1 + \frac{x^2}{|B|^2}) + k_z^2] \delta(x - x'), \\ \Omega_{yy} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \{ |B|^{-2} (1 + \frac{x^2}{|B|^2}) \delta(x - x') \} \\ &\quad - ik_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{ x R^{-1} (k_y^2 + k_z^2) |B|^{-4} \delta(x - x') \} - \frac{k_z^2}{|B|^2} \delta(x - x'), \\ \Omega_{zz} &= - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [|B|^{-2} \delta(x - x')] - k_y^2 |B|^{-2} \delta(x - x'), \\ \Omega_{yx} &= -k_y \{ i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [|B|^{-2} (1 + \frac{x^2}{|B|^2}) \delta(x - x')] + x k_z \frac{B}{|B|^4} \delta(x - x') \}, \\ \Omega_{zx} &= -ik_z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [|B|^{-2} \delta(x - x')] + x k_y^2 \frac{B}{|B|^4} \delta(x - x'), \\ \Omega_{zy} &= k_y \{ k_z B^{-2} \delta(x - x') + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\frac{B}{|B|^4} x \delta(x - x')] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Not surprisingly, the correlation functions are proportional to δ -functions and their derivatives in x : this is to be expected for independent-valued distributions, cf., Gel'fand and Vilenkin, loc. cit. Accordingly, one has to fold the correlation functions quoted with the efficiency functions of the detectors in order to get physically meaningful expressions.

5. DISCUSSION

The formalism developed in this paper allows one to develop a systematic approximation procedure to the theory of stochastic systems originally developed in refs. 1 and 2. In particular, as it was demonstrated in the preceding section, one can obtain useful analytical results in the form of an asymptotic expansion in inverse powers of R in, at least some, turbulent flows. However, the usefulness of this technique goes beyond the large R expansion. In its present form, the formalism appears to be much more amenable to the application of standard, non-perturbative techniques extensively used in quantum field theory, such as a renormalization group analysis of the various correlation functions. The technical reason for this is that the present, Lagrangian formulation of the functional integral is better adapted to the application of such techniques than the essentially canonical formulation in refs. 1 and 2. In those papers, one was forced to use retarded, rather than Feynman propagators: this circumstance alone rendered the formalism so complicated that an efficient implementation of modern techniques was virtually impossible.

In addition, it appears that using the proposed formalism one can gain some interesting insights into the theoretical description of chaotic behavior in general and the theory of turbulence in particular.

It has been emphasized in the literature⁷ that chaotic behavior has many features which are similar to phase transitions or dynamical symmetry breaking. In this respect, it is particularly pleasing to notice that a consistent statistical description of chaotic phenomena appears to require the presence of an arbitrarily weak but nonvanishing "disordering field," just as a consistent theory of dynamical symmetry breaking requires the presence of a weak "ordering field."⁸

⁷Hao Bai-Lin, Chaos, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984, Chapter 1.

⁸G. Domokos and P. Suranyi, "Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking in Quantum Field Theory," Sov. Jour. Nuc. Phys., Vol. 2, pp. 361-367, 1966.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In carrying out this research, one of us (G. Domokos) has been supported in part by the Army Research Office, under Contract No. DAAG29-81-D-0100. The second-named author acknowledges partial support by the U.S. Department of Energy, under Grant No. DE-FG01-85ER40211.

REFERENCES

1. P. C. Martin, E. D. Siggia and H. A. Rose, "Statistical Dynamics of Classical Systems," Phys. Rev., Vol. A8, pp. 423-440, 1973.
2. C. DeDominicis and L. Peliti, "Field-Theory, Renormalization and Critical Dynamics Above T_c : Helium, Antiferromagnets and Liquid-Gas Systems," Phys. Rev., Vol. B18, pp. 353-376, 1978.
3. R. G. Deissler, "Is Navier Stokes Turbulence Chaotic?," Phys. Fluids, Vol. 29, pp. 1453-1457, 1986.
4. P. C. Martin and C. DeDominicis, "The Long Distance Behavior of Randomly Stirred Fluids," Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp., No. 64, pp. 108-123, 1978.
5. C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, "Functional Methods," Quantum Field Theory, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980, Chapter IX, pp. 425-474.
6. I. M. Gel'fand and N.Ya. Vilenkin, Generalized Functions, Vol. 4, Academic Press, New York, 1964, Chapter III.
7. Hao Bai-Lin, Chaos, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984, Chapter 1.
8. G. Domokos and P. Suranyi, "Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking in Quantum Field Theory," Sov. Jour. Nuc. Phys., Vol. 2, pp. 361-367, 1966.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
12	Administrator Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DTIC-FDAC Cameron Station, Bldg 5 Alexandria, VA 22304-6145	1	Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDRA-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5001
1	Commander USA Concepts Analysis Agency ATTN: D. Hardison 8120 Woodmont Avenue Bethesda, MD 20014-2797	1	Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDE-DW 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5001
1	HQDA/DAMA-ZA Washington, DC 20310-2500	5	Project Manager Cannon Artillery Weapons System, ARDC, AMCCOM ATTN: AMCPM-CW, AMCPM-CWW AMCPM-CWS M. Fisette AMCPM-CWA H. Hassmann AMCPM-CWA-S R. DeKleine Dover, NJ 07801-5001
1	HQDA, DAMA-CSM, Washington, DC 20310-2500		
1	HQDA/SARDA Washington, DC 20310-2500		
1	C.I.A. OIR/DB/Standard GE47 HQ Washington, D.C. 20505	2	Project Manager Munitions Production Base Modernization and Expansion ATTN: AMCPM-PBM, A. Siklosi AMCPM-PBM-E, L. Laibson Dover, NJ 07801-5001
6	National Bureau of Standards ATTN: J. Hastie M. Jacox T. Kashiwagi H. Semerjian S. Ray A. Carasso U.S. Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20234	3	Project Manager Tank Main Armament System ATTN: AMCPM-TMA, K. Russell AMCPM-TMA-105 AMCPM-TMA-120 Dover, NJ 07801-5001
1	Commander US Army War College ATTN: Library-FF229 Carlisle Barracks, PA 17013	1	Commander US Army Watervliet Arsenal ATTN: SARWV-RD, R. Thierry Watervliet, NY 12189-5001
1	US Army Ballistic Missile Defense Systems Command Advanced Technology Center P. O. Box 1500 Huntsville, AL 35807-3801	1	Commander U.S. Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-MSI Dover, NJ 07801-5001
1	Chairman DOD Explosives Safety Board Room 856-C Hoffman Bldg. 1 2461 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22331-9999	1	Commander U.S. Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-TDC Dover, NJ 07801-5001
1	Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCPM-GCM-WF 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-5001	4	Commander US Army Armament Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: AMSMC-IMP-L Rock Island, IL 61299-7300

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	HQDA DAMA-ART-M Washington, DC 20310-2500	1	Commander US Army Aviation Systems Command ATTN: AMSAV-ES 4300 Goodfellow Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63120-1798
1	Commander US Army AMCCOM ARDEC CCAC ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL Benet Weapons Laboratory Watervliet, NY 12189-4050	1	Director US Army Aviation Research and Technology Activity Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035-1099
3	Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-MSI SMCAR-TDC SMCAR-LC LTC N. Barron Dover, NJ 07801-5001	1	Commander US Army Communications - Electronics Command ATTN: AMSEL-ED Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5301
7	Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-LCA A. Beardell D. Downs S. Einstein S. Westley S. Bernstein C. Roller J. Rutkowski Dover, NJ 07801-5001	1	Commander CECOM R&D Technical Library ATTN: AMSEL-M-L (Report Section) B.2700 Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5000
3	Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-I D. Spring SMCAR-LCE SMCAR-LCM-E S. Kaplowitz Dover, NJ 07801-5001	1	Commander US Army Harry Diamond Lab. ATTN: DELHD-TA-L 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
4	Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-LCS SMCAR-LCU-CT E. Barrieres R. Davitt SMCAR-LCU-CV C. Mandala Dover, NJ 07801-5001	1	Commander US Army Missile and Space Intelligence Center ATTN: AIAMS-YDL Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
3	Commander US Army ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-LCW-A M. Salisbury SMCAR-SCA L. Stiefel B. Brodman Dover, NJ 07801-5001	1	Commander US Army Missile Command Research, Development, and Engineering Center ATTN: AMSMI-RD Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5245
		1	Commandant US Army Aviation School ATTN: Aviation Agency Fort Rucker, AL 36360

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Commander US Army Tank Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-TSL Warren, MI 48397-5000	1	Commander US Army Research Office ATTN: Tech Library P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211
1	Commander US Army Tank Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-CG Warren, MI 48397-5000	1	Commander US Army Belvoir Research and Development Center ATTN: STRBE-WC Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5606
1	Project Manager Improved TOW Vehicle ATTN: AMCPM-ITV US Army Tank Automotive Command Warren, MI 48397-5000	1	Commander US Army Logistics Mgmt Ctr Defense Logistics Studies Fort Lee, VA 23801
2	Program Manager M1 Abrams Tank System ATTN: AMCPM-GMC-SA, T. Dean Warren, MI 48092-2498	1	Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CS-OR Fort Benning, GA 31905-5400
1	Project Manager Fighting Vehicle Systems ATTN: AMCPM-FVS Warren, MI 48092-2498	1	Commandant US Army Command and General Staff College Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027
1	President US Army Armor & Engineer Board ATTN: ATZK-AD-S Fort Knox, KY 40121-5200	1	Commandant US Army Special Warfare School ATTN: Rev & Tng Lit Div Fort Bragg, NC 28307
1	Project Manager M-60 Tank Development ATTN: AMCPM-M60TD Warren, MI 48092-2498	3	Commander Radford Army Ammunition Plant ATTN: SMCRA-QA/HI LIB Radford, VA 24141-0298
1	Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATOR-TSL White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002	1	Commander US Army Foreign Science & Technology Center ATTN: AMXST-MC-3 220 Seventh Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396
1	Commander US Army Training & Doctrine Command ATTN: ATCD-MA/ MAJ Williams Fort Monroe, VA 23651	2	Commandant US Army Field Artillery Center & School ATTN: ATSF-CO-MW, B. Willis Ft. Sill, OK 73503-5600
2	Commander US Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center ATTN: AMXMR-ATL Tech Library Watertown, MA 02172	1	Commander US Army Development and Employment Agency ATTN: MODE-ORO Fort Lewis, WA 98433-5099

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Office of Naval Research ATTN: Code 473, R. S. Miller 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-9999	4	Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: S. Jacobs/Code 240 Code 730 K. Kim/Code R-13 R. Bernecker Silver Spring, MD 20903-5000
3	Commandant US Army Armor School ATTN: ATZK-CD-MS M. Falkovitch Armor Agency Fort Knox, KY 40121-5215	2	Commanding Officer Naval Underwater Systems Center Energy Conversion Dept. ATTN: CODE 5B331, R. S. Lazar Tech Lib Newport, RI 02840
2	Commander Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: SEA 62R SEA 64 Washington, DC 20362-5101	4	Commander Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Code 388, R. L. Derr C. F. Price T. Boggs Info. Sci. Div. China Lake, CA 93555-6001
1	Commander Naval Air Systems Command ATTN: AIR-954-Tech Lib Washington, DC 20360	2	Superintendent Naval Postgraduate School Dept. of Mech. Engineering Monterey, CA 93943-5100
1	Assistant Secretary of the Navy (R, E, and S) ATTN: R. Reichenbach Room 5E787 Pentagon Bldg. Washington, DC 20350	1	Program Manager AFOSR Directorate of Aerospace Sciences ATTN: L. H. Caveny Bolling AFB, DC 20332-0001
1	Naval Research Lab Tech Library Washington, DC 20375	5	Commander Naval Ordnance Station ATTN: P. L. Stang L. Torreyson T. C. Smith D. Brooks Tech Library Indian Head, MD 20640-5000
5	Commander Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: L. Harvey J. McDonald E. Oran J. Shnur R. Doyle Washington, D.C. 20375	1	AFSC/SDOA Andrews AFB, MD 20334
5	Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Code G33, J. L. East W. Burrell J. Johndrow Code G23, D. McClure Code DX-21 Tech Lib Dahlgren, VA 22448-5000	3	AFRPL/DY, Stop 24 ATTN: J. Levine/DYCR R. Corley/DYC D. Williams/DYCC Edwards AFB, CA 93523-5000
2	Comander US Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: J. P. Consaga C. Gotzmer Indian Head, MD 20640-5000	1	AFRPL/TSTL (Tech Library) Stop 24 Edwards AFB, CA 93523-5000
		1	AFATL/DLYV Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	AFATL/DLXP Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000	1	Arnold Engineering, Development Center Sverdrup Technology, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Ron Belz, MS-930 Arnold A.F. Station, TN 37389
1	AFATL/DLJE Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000		
1	AFATL/DOIL ATTN: (Tech Info Center) Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5438	1	Atlantic Research Corporation ATTN: K. King 5390 Cheorokee Avenue Alexandria, VA 22312-2302
1	NASA Langley Research Center ATTN: G.B. Northam/MS 168 Langley Station Hampton, CA 23365	1	AVCO Everett Rsch Lab ATTN: D. Stickler 2385 Revere Beach Parkway Everett, MA 02149-5936
1	NASA/Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center ATTN: NHS-22, Library Section Houston, TX 77054	1	Bio-Imaging Research, Inc. ATTN: B. G. Isaacson 425 Barclay Blvd Lincolnshire, IL 60029
1	AFELM, The Rand Corporation ATTN: Library D (Required or 1700 Main Street Classified Santa Monica CA Only) 90401-3297	1	Battelle Memorial Institute ATTN: Tech Library 505 King Avenue Columbus, OH 43201-2693
1	American Science and Engineers, Inc. ATTN: R. Chase 33-37 Broadway Arlington, VA 02174	2	Bell Laboratories ATTN: M. Sondhi F. Shepp Murray Hill, NJ 07971
2	AAI Corporation ATTN: J. Hebert J. Frankle P. O. Box 6767 Baltimore, MD 21204	2	Calspan Corporation ATTN: C. Morphy P. O. Box 400 Buffalo, NY 14225-0400
1	Aerojet Ordnance Company ATTN: D. Thatcher 2521 Michelle Drive Tustin, CA 92680-7014	1	General Electric Company Armament Systems Dept. ATTN: M. J. Bulman, Room 1311 128 Lakeside Avenue Burlington, VT 05401-4985
1	Aerojet Solid Propulsion Co. ATTN: P. Micheli Sacramento, CA 95813	1	General Electric Computer Research Medical Diagnostics Systems Program ATTN: T. Kincaid Schenectady, NY 12345
1	Arnold Engineering Development Center Air Force Department of Technology ATTN: COL L. Keel MS-900 Arnold A.F. Station, TN 37389	1	General Applied Sciences Lab ATTN: J. Erdos Merrick & Stewart Avenues Westbury Long Isld, NY 11590
		1	General Motors Rsch Labs Physics Department ATTN: R. Teets Warren, MI 48090

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	IITRI ATTN: M. J. Klein 10 W. 35th Street Chicago, IL 60616-3799	1	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ATTN: L-324/M. Constantino P. O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550-0622
1	Hercules Inc. Allegheny Ballistics Laboratory ATTN: R. B. Miller P. O. Box 210 Cumberland, MD 21501-0210	3	Los Alamos National Lab ATTN: B. Nichols T7, MS-B284 C. Mader K. Hanson P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545
1	Hercules, Inc. Bacchus Works ATTN: K. P. McCarty P. O. Box 98 Magna, UT 84044-0098	1	Massachusetts Institute of Technology Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems ATTN: A. S. Wilsky Cambridge, MA 02139-4307
1	Hercules, Inc. Radford Army Ammunition Plant ATTN: J. Pierce Radford, VA 24141-0299	1	Massachusetts Institute of Technology Dept of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: T. Toong 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02139-4307
1	Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: DELHD-RT-RD P. J. Emmerman 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20738	2	Mathematics Research Center ATTN: B. Noble J. Nohel 610 Walnut Street Madison, WI 53706
3	Hewlett-Packard Corp. ATTN: F. Charbonnier L. Bailey A. Kennedy 1700 South Baker Street McMinnville, OR 97128	3	Mayo Clinic Biodynamics Research Unit ATTN: E. L. Ritman J. H. Kinsey R. A. Robb 200 First Street, S.W. Rochester, MN 55902
1	Honeywell, Inc. - MN64 2200 Defense Systems Division ATTN: C. Hargreaves 6110 Blue Circle Drive Minnetonka MN 55436	1	Olin Corporation Badger Army Ammunition Plant ATTN: R. J. Thiede Baraboo, WI 53913
1	Institute of Gas Technology ATTN: D. Gidaspow 3424 S. State Street Chicago, IL 60616-3896	1	Olin Corporation Smokeless Powder Operations ATTN: D. C. Mann P.O. Box 222 St. Marks, FL 32355-0222
1	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ATTN: C. Westbrook P.O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550	1	Paul Gough Associates, Inc. ATTN: P. S. Gough P. O. Box 1614, 1048 South St. Portsmouth, NH 03801-1614
1	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory ATTN: L-355, A. Buckingham M. Finger P. O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550-0622		

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Physics International Company ATTN: Library H. Wayne Wampler 2700 Merced Street San Leandro, CA 94577-5602	1	Universal Propulsion Company ATTN: H. J. McSpadden Black Canyon Stage 1 Box 1140 Phoenix, AZ 85029
1	Princeton Combustion Research Lab., Inc. ATTN: N.A. Messina 475 US Highway One Monmouth Junction, NJ 08852-9650	1	Battelle Memorial Institute ATTN: Tech Library 505 King Avenue Columbus, OH 43201-2693
2	Rockwell International Rocketdyne Division ATTN: BA08 J. E. Flanagan J. Gray 6633 Canoga Avenue Canoga Park, CA 91303-2703	1	Brigham Young University Dept. of Chemical Engineering ATTN: M. Beckstead Provo, UT 84601
1	Science Applications, Inc. ATTN: R. B. Edelman 23146 Cumorah Crest Drive Woodland Hills, CA 91364-3710	1	California Institute of Tech 204 Karman Lab Main Stop 301-46 ATTN: F. E. C. Culick 1201 E. California Street Pasadena, CA 91109
1	Scientific Research Associates, Inc. ATTN: H. McDonald P.O. Box 498 Glastonbury, CT 06033	1	California Institute of Tech Jet Propulsion Laboratory ATTN: L. D. Strand 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91109-8099
3	Thiokol Corporation Huntsville Division ATTN: D. Flanagan R. Glick Tech Library Huntsville, AL 35807	1	University of Arizona Optical Sciences Center ATTN: B. Roy Frieden Tucson, AZ 85721
2	Thiokol Corporation Elkton Division ATTN: R. Biddle Tech Lib. P. O. Box 241 Elkton, MD 21921-0241	1	University of California, Berkeley Mechanical Engineering Dept. ATTN: J. Daily Berkeley, CA 94720
2	United Technologies Chemical Systems Division ATTN: R. Brown Tech Library P. O. Box 358 Sunnyvale, CA 94086-9998	1	University of California Donner Laboratory ATTN: T.F. Budinger Berkeley, CA 94720
1	Veritay Technology, Inc. ATTN: E. Fisher 4845 Millersport Hwy. P. O. Box 305 East Amherst, NY 14051-0305	1	University of California, San Diego Energy Center and Dep. Applied Mechanics ATTN: S. S. Penner La Jolla, CA 92037
		1	University of Florida Dept. of Chemistry ATTN: J. Winefordner Gainesville, FL 32611

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	University of Illinois Dept of Mech/Indust Engr ATTN: H. Krier 144 MEB; 1206 N. Green St. Urbana, IL 61801-2978	3	Georgia Institute of Tech School of Aerospace Eng. ATTN: B. T. Zinn E. Price W. C. Strahle Atlanta, GA 30332
1	University of Illinois Dept of Theoretical & Applied Mechanics ATTN: R. J. Adrian 216 Talbot Lab 104 South Wright St. Urbana, IL 61801	1	Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University ATTN: J.A. Schetz R. T. Smith Blacksburg, VA 24061
1	University of Massachusetts Dept. of Mech. Engineering ATTN: K. Jakus Amherst, MA 01002-0014	1	Institute of Gas Technology ATTN: D. Gidaspow 3424 S. State Street Chicago, IL 60616-3896
1	University of Michigan Department of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: C. M. Vest Ann Arbor, MI 48104	1	Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory Chemical Propulsion Information Agency ATTN: T. Christian Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20707-0690
1	University of Minnesota Dept. of Mech. Engineering ATTN: E. Fletcher Minneapolis, MN 55414-3368	1	Washington University Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology ATTN: M. W. Vannier 510 S. Kings Highway St. Louis, MO 63110
1	University of North Carolina Bio-Medical Engineering Department ATTN: F. A. DiBianca Chapel Hill, NC 27514	1	Massachusetts Institute of Technology Dept of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: T. Toong 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02139-4307
1	Oregon State University Department of Mathematics ATTN: K. Smith Corvallis, OR 97133	1	G. M. Faeth Pennsylvania State University Applied Research Laboratory University Park, PA 16802-7501
1	Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania Department of Radiology ATTN: G. T. Herman 3400 Spruce Street Philadelphia, PA 19104	1	Pennsylvania State University Dept. of Mech. Engineering ATTN: K. Kuo University Park, PA 16802-7501
1	Case Western Reserve University Division of Aerospace Sciences ATTN: J. Tien Cleveland, OH 44135	1	Princeton University MAE Dept. ATTN: F. A. Williams Princeton, NJ 08544
1	George Washington University School of Engineering and Applied Science ATTN: R. Goulard Washington, D.C. 20052		

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
2	Purdue University School of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: N. M. Laurendeau S. N. B. Murthy TSPC Chaffee Hall West Lafayette, IN 47907-1199	1	University of Southern California Mechanical Engineering Dept. ATTN: 0HE200, M. Gerstein Los Angeles, CA 90089-5199
1	Purdue University School of Aeronautics and Astronautics ATTN: J. R. Osborn Grissom Hall West Lafayette, IN 47906	2	University of Utah Dept. of Chemical Engineering ATTN: A. Baer G. Flandro Salt Lake City, UT 84112-1194
1	SRI International Propulsion Sciences Division ATTN: Tech Library 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025-3493	1	Washington State University Dept. of Mech. Engineering ATTN: C. T. Crowe Pullman, WA 99163-5201
			<u>Aberdeen Proving Ground</u>
1	Stanford University Dept. of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: R. Hanson Stanford, CA 94305	Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-D AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen	
1	Stanford University Department of Physic ATTN: A. Macovski Palo Alto, CA 94305	Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: AMSTE-SI-F AMSTE-CM-F, L. Nealley	
1	University of Utah Department of Mathematics ATTN: F. Stenger Salt Lake City, UT 84112	Cdr, CSTA ATTN: STECS-AS-H, R. Hendricksen	
1	Rensselaer Polytechnic Inst. Department of Mathematics Troy, NY 12181	Cdr, CRDC, AMCCOM ATTN: SMCCR-RSP-A SMCCR-MU SMCCR-SPS-IL	
2	Director Los Alamos Scientific Lab ATTN: T3, D. Butler M. Division, B. Craig P. O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87544		
1	Stevens Institute of Technology Davidson Laboratory ATTN: R. McAlevy, III Castle Point Station Hoboken, NJ 07030-5907		
1	Rutgers University Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering ATTN: S. Temkin University Heights Campus New Brunswick, NJ 08903		