

2

DTIC FILE COPY

88-001

AD-A190 248



UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY

WEST POINT, NEW YORK

TRENDS IN ADMISSION VARIABLES THROUGH THE CLASS OF 1991

DTIC ELECTED
S JAN 1 1 1988 D
E

OFFICE OF INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH

NOVEMBER 1987

DUTY-HONOR-COUNTRY

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

87

12

TRENDS IN ADMISSION VARIABLES THROUGH THE CLASS OF 1991

Report No. : USMA-OIR-88-001
Project No. : 657
Prepared By : Mr. Jack Wright
Programmer : Mr. Ted Davidson
Typist : Mrs. Carol Durham
November 1987

ABSTRACT

The United States Military Academy uses the Whole Candidate concept in the selection of candidates for admission. This concept encompasses three broad areas: academics, leadership potential, and physical condition and aptitude. This report compares the pre-USMA performance of members of the Class of 1991 with previous classes in these three areas.

NOTE: Any conclusions in this report are not to be construed as official U.S. Military Academy or Department of the Army positions unless so designated by other authorized documents.

OFFICE OF INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH
UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY
WEST POINT, NEW YORK 10996-1585

Index to Tables and Figures

	<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Title</u>
Table 1	6	Comparison of Number of Cadets and Candidates at Each CEER Score Level -- Class of 1991.
Table 2	7	Comparison of Number of Cadets and Candidates at Each ACEER Score Components -- Class of 1991.
Table 3	8	Summary of Whole Candidate Score Components -- Class of 1991.
Table 4	9	Means and Standard Deviations for all Admission Variables for Candidates and Cadets -- Class of 1991.
Table 5	10	Comparison of Number of Cadets at Each LPS Level -- Class of 1991.
Table 6	11	Comparison of Number of Cadets and Candidates at Each Whole Candidate Score Level -- Class of 1991.
Table 7	19	Selected High School Activities and Awards of Cadets -- Classes of 1982-1991.
Table 7a	20	Selected Characteristics of Cadets -- Classes of 1982-1991.
Figure 1	12	Trends of SAT-Verbal Scores for Candidates and Cadets -- Classes of 1982-1991.
Figure 2	13	Trends of SAT-Math Scores for Candidates and Cadets -- Classes of 1982-1991.
Figure 3	14	Trends of High School Rank Scores of Candidates and Cadets--Classes of 1982-1991.

Index to Tables and Figures

	<u>Page Number</u>	<u>Title</u>
Figure 4	15	Trends of CEER Scores for Candidates and Cadets -- Classes of 1982-1991.
Figure 5	16	Trends of Leadership Potential Scores for Candidates and Cadets--Classes of 1982-1991.
Figure 6	17	Trends of Physical Aptitude Exam Scores for Candidates and Cadets--Classes of 1982-1991.
Figure 7	18	Trends of Whole Candidate Scores for Candidates and Cadets--Classes of 1982-1991.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. PURPOSE. This report contains information, for rapid reference, comparing the pre-USMA performance of members of the Class of 1991 with previous classes in the areas of academics, leadership potential, and physical condition and aptitude.

II. METHODOLOGY. Data displayed in this report were obtained from the following sources:

- A. Academic Performance: High School Transcripts, American College Tests and College Entrance Examination Board Tests.
- B. Physical Performance: Physical Aptitude Exam.
- C. Leadership Potential: An index developed from combining extracurricular and athletic activities with high school faculty evaluation.

III. RESULTS.

A. The mean SAT-Math score (644) for cadets in the Class of 1991 is the highest in the past ten years, and the mean SAT-Verbal score (562) is the fourth highest in the past ten years. Cadet performance in high school and on College Board exams has resulted in a mean CEER score of 609, which is the second highest on record. The academic qualifications of cadets place them in the top 10-15% of college bound high school seniors.

B. The Class of 1991 Physical Aptitude Exam score for men (576) is the highest in the last ten years and eleven points higher than the average of the previous nine years.

C. (The mean Leadership Potential Score (595) is three points lower than for the Class of 1990, and four points below the average of the previous nine years.)

D. The mean Whole Candidate Score (6015) for cadets in the Class of 1991 is twenty points below the high of 6035 set by the Class of 1989, but sixty points higher than the average of the previous nine years.

IV. CONCLUSION. The U.S. Military Academy continues to enroll outstanding cadets.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background.

1. This report, along with the reports, Characteristics of the Class of 1991 (September 1987) and New Cadets and Other College Freshmen, Class of 1991 (due Spring, 1988), comprise the three general reports prepared by the Office of Institutional Research to describe the Class of 1991 at the time the class entered the Military Academy.

2. The same reports were prepared for the Classes of 1971 through 1990 and similar reports are planned for each future class when it enters the Military Academy.

B. Purpose. This report compares the pre-USMA performance of members of the Class of 1991 with previous classes in the areas of academics, leadership, physical condition and aptitude. It is intended to serve as a reference source by USMA officials.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Data Collection. Data displayed in this report were obtained from the following sources:

1. Academic performance: High School Transcripts, American College Tests, and College Entrance Examination Board Tests.
2. Physical performance: Physical Aptitude Exam.
3. School activities and awards: Self-reported by cadets on questionnaires administered during Cadet Basic Training.
4. Leadership potential: An index developed from combining extracurricular and athletic activities with high school faculty evaluations.

B. Definitions.

1. CEER A composite score of College Entrance Examination Board Scores (SAT-V, SAT-M) and High School Rank.
2. HSR High School Rank Score.
3. LPS Leadership Potential Score.
4. PAE Physical Aptitude Examination.
5. SAT-V Scholastic Aptitude Test - Verbal.
6. SAT-M Scholastic Aptitude Test - Mathematics.
7. ACT-EN American College Test - English.

- 8. ACT-MA American College Test - Mathematics.
- 9. ACT-NS American College Test - Natural Science.
- 10. ACEER A composite score of 3 ACT tests (the T Social Science test is not used in calculating the ACEER) and HSR.
- 11. WCS Whole Candidate Score. A combination of CEER (or ACEER), LPS and PAE.

III. RESULTS

A. Academic Characteristics.

1. The mean College Entrance Examination Board, American College Test scores, and High School Rank scores for the Classes of 1984 through 1991 for admitted cadets are:

	1991			1990	1989	1988	1987	1986	1985
	M	F	Total						
SAT-V	562	567	562	569	565	559	560	549	552
SAT-M	648	612	644	636	637	636	631	620	623
ACT-EN	24.2	24.5	24.2	23.6	24.4	23.5	23.4	23.3	23.2
ACT-MA	29.5	28.2	29.3	29.1	29.2	29.1	28.9	28.6	28.9
ACT-NS	29.5	27.8	29.4	29.3	29.4	29.2	28.7	28.7	28.7
HSR	578	611	581	580	575	583	580	570	556

2. The mean SAT-V score for men in the Class 1991 is higher than that of 85% of male college bound seniors nationwide who took the test; and the mean score for women cadets is higher than 85% of female college bound high school seniors. The mean SAT-M score of male cadets is higher than 84% of the college bound seniors; while the mean score for women cadets is above 95% of college bound females. The means of cadets on the American College Test scores are also well above the national average of students tested under the ACT Program. On average, cadets outscored 86% of college bound seniors on ACT-English. The mean ACT-Math for male cadets is higher than 94% of men in the norm group; that for female cadets is above 95% of the women. Male cadets' average ACT-Natural Science is above 83%, and female cadets averaged above 88% of the female college bound seniors.

3. Entering cadets differ from the national SAT norm group of all college bound high school seniors on several dimensions. In the national norm group, men outperform women on both verbal and math tests, averaging 10 points higher on SAT-V and 47 points on SAT-M. Among entering cadets, women outperform men by an average 5 points on SAT-V. Male cadets outscore women on SAT-M by an average of 36 points.

4. Each candidate is evaluated either on College Board scores and High School Rank (CEER) or American College Test scores and HSR (ACEER). If both are available, the higher of the two is used. HSR is a measure of the candidate's rank in his/her graduating class rescaled to a 200-800 scale to be consistent with other admission variables. Table 1 (page 6) provides a comparison of the number of cadets within each CEER range with the number of fully examined candidates (with nominations) within the same range, noting the percent offered admission and percent admitted. Table 2 shows a similar comparison of candidates and cadets evaluated using ACEER scores. Table 3 shows the distribution, at 50 point intervals, of Whole Candidate Score components for cadets in the Class of 1991.

B. Physical Aptitude. The average Physical Aptitude Examination score of 576 for men in the USMA Class of 1991 is eleven points above the average score for the previous nine classes, and shows an increase over the mean score for the Class of 1990. The women in the Class of 1991 had a mean PAE score 540. It should be noted that PAE tests for men and women are scored in part on different items and thus are not comparable. The mean PAE for cadets and all candidates is shown in Table 4.

C. Leadership Potential. The Leadership Potential Score is derived from the ratings of candidates by their secondary school teachers and evaluations by admissions officials of the quality of participation by candidates in athletic and other school and community activities. The mean LPS for the Class of 1991 was 595, which is three points lower than the Class of 1990 (Table 5).

D. Overall Characteristics.

1. The Whole Candidate Score is a weighted score consisting of 60 percent CEER (or ACEER), 30 percent LPS, and 10 percent PAE. The distribution of the WCS for the Class of 1991 is shown below.

<u>Score Range</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Percent</u>
7500-8000	0	0
7000-7499	9	0.7
6500-6999	118	8.6
6000-6499	625	46.0
5500-5999	467	34.4
5000-5499	131	9.6
4500-4999	9	0.7
2000-4499	0	0

Mean = 6015 Standard Deviation = 395

2. A tabular comparison of the number of cadets whose scores fall in each WCS score range with the number of fully examined candidates within the same ranges is shown in Table 6.

E. Trends In Admissions Variables. Figures 1-7 show trend data for the Classes of 1982 through 1991. Trends for admitted cadets reflect the following:

1. SAT-V scores have declined slightly from those of the Class of 1990, but are the fourth highest in the last ten years (Figure 1). SAT-M scores for cadets have risen over the past six years after a period of decline for Classes of 1983, 1984 and 1985 (Figure 2).

2. The mean HSR for the Class of 1991 was 581 and is the fourth highest HSR of the last ten years (Figure 3).

3. The mean CEER score for the Class of 1991 was 609 and is the second highest CEER score of the last ten years (Figure 4).

4. The mean LPS for admitted cadets has remained fairly constant over a ten year period. LPS fluctuations (Classes of 1987-1988) stem in part from changes in scoring techniques and rescaling of two components of the LPS (Figure 5).¹

5. PAE scores for men show a rising trend for the Classes of 1986 through 1991. In contrast, the mean PAE among fully qualified candidates dropped significantly for the Class of 1988, due to an increase in the number of candidates scoring below 400 on the PAE (Figure 6).

6. The WCS for the Class of 1991 shows decline from the Class of 1990, but is the third highest in the last ten years (Figure 7).

¹LPS scores for the Classes of 1987 and 1988 reflect progressive changes in the LPS. The Class of 1986 was the first class to be evaluated for admission to USMA using school official evaluations of candidate leadership performance in high school as the FAS component of the LPS. Candidates to earlier classes were evaluated on leadership potential rather than performance. Mean LPS for the Class of 1986 shows a dip because data processing limitations necessitated a compromise scoring technique which reduced the mean FAS by 20-25 points, reducing the LPS by 6 to 8 points. Scoring difficulties were resolved with the Class of 1987. The EX and AT components of the LPS were rescaled for candidates to the Class of 1988, leading to an apparent depression of the LPS.

F. Selected Activities and Awards.

1. Tables 7 and 7a give information on the background, activities and awards of entering cadets of the Classes of 1982 through 1991.

2. As with previous classes, cadets in the Class of 1991 were active in diverse extracurricular and athletic activities in high school. Eighty-nine percent earned varsity letters and 59% were team captains. Twenty-seven percent held an elective class office during their senior year that included president, senior class officer, and president of the student body. Other popular activities include scouting, dramatics and debate. Seven percent of the cadets in the Class of 1991 were valedictorians.

IV. CONCLUSION

The U.S. Military Academy continues to enroll outstanding cadets.

TABLE 1

Comparison of the Number of Cadets (Evaluated Using CEER)
 With the Number of Fully Examined Candidates (Evaluated Using
 CEER) at Each CEER Score Level for the Class of 1991

<u>Score Ranges</u>	<u>No. of Candidates</u>	<u>Offered Admission</u>		<u>Entered</u>		<u>Percent Yield*</u>
		<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	
750-800	26	13	50%	8	31%	62%
700-749	163	95	58	51	31	54
650-699	556	280	50	192	35	69
600-649	1092	409	38	328	30	80
550-599	1104	291	26	233	21	80
500-549	707	160	23	132	19	83
450-499	346	36	10	31	9	86
400-499	130	5	4	4	3	80
350-399	35	0	0	0	0	0
300-349	13	0	0	0	0	0
250-299	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249	2	0	0	0	0	0
Number	4147			979		
Mean	583			609		
SD	73			61		

* Yield = $\frac{N \text{ Entered}}{N \text{ Offered}}$

TABLE 2

Comparison of the Number of Cadets (Evaluated Using ACEER) With the Number of Fully Examined Candidates (Evaluated Using ACEER) at Each CEER Score Level for the Class of 1991

Score Ranges	No. of Candidates	Offered Admission		Entered		Percent Yield*
		N	%	N	%	
750-800	6	4	67%	4	67%	100%
700-749	58	30	52	18	31	60
650-699	190	100	53	73	38	73
600-649	366	146	40	108	30	74
550-599	520	130	25	100	19	77
500-549	402	79	20	67	17	85
450-499	175	11	6	9	5	82
400-449	79	1	1	1	1	100
350-399	18	0	0	0	0	0
300-349	11	0	0	0	0	0
250-299	2	0	0	0	0	0
200-249	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	1832			380		
Mean	570			605		
SD	74			61		

* Yield = $\frac{N \text{ Entered}}{N \text{ Offered}}$

TABLE 3

Summary of Whole Candidate Score Components
For The Class of 1991

Score Ranges	Academic				Male Physical Aptitude (PAE)		Female Physical Aptitude (PAE)		Leadership Potential (LPS)	
	CEER		ACEER		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
750-800	8	1	4	1	26	2	1	1	2	1
700-749	51	5	18	5	57	5	8	5	30	2
650-699	192	20	73	19	140	12	8	5	265	20
600-649	328	34	108	28	224	19	17	11	357	26
550-599	233	24	100	26	269	22	27	17	414	31
500-549	132	14	67	18	272	23	42	27	193	14
450-499	31	3	9	2	151	13	31	20	79	6
400-449	4	4	1	3	65	5	20	13	19	1
350-399	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
300-349	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
250-299	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200-249	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	979		380		1204		155		1359	
MEAN	609		605		576		540		595	
S.D.	61		61		80		80		51	

TABLE 4

Means and Standard Deviations for CEER
LPS, PAE, and WCS, Class of 1991

	CEER			LPS			PAE**			WCS		
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD
A*	7926	561	84	14493	541	81	6171	523	104	12082	5463	632
N*	4180	583	73	6052	565	73	6052	527	104	5997	5702	529
C*	979	609	61	1359	595	61	1204	576	80	1359	6015	395

**PAE for admitted cadets is shown for male cadets only, so that more valid comparisons can be made with prior years. Means and standard deviations of PAE for candidates are for male and female combined.

Means and Standard Deviations for ACEER, ACT-EN,
ACT-MA, and ACT-NS, Class of 1991

	ACEER			ACT-EN			ACT-MA			ACT-NS		
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD
A	3536	544	86	3534	22.2	3.9	3535	25.7	5.4	3536	27.6	4.6
N	1832	570	74	1832	23.1	3.6	1832	27.3	4.6	1832	28.6	4.0
C	380	605	61	380	24.2	3.0	380	29.3	3.9	380	29.4	3.4

Means and Standard Deviations for SAT-V, SAT-M,
and HSR, Class of 1991

	SAT-V			SAT-M			HSR		
	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD
A	7925	521	94	7921	591	95	13151	534	112
N	4180	542	87	4180	618	80	6012	553	109
C	979	562	73	979	644	63	1359	581	104

*A = All candidates
*N = Fully qualified candidates
*C = Admitted candidates

TABLE 5

Comparison of the Number of Cadets With the Number of Fully Examined and Nominated Candidates at Each Leadership Potential Level for the Class of 1991

Score Ranges	Number of Candidates	Offered Admission		Entered		Percent Yield*
		N	%	N	%	
750-800	2	2	100%	2	100%	100%
700-749	85	50	59	30	35	60
650-699	668	358	54	265	40	74
600-649	1354	477	35	357	26	75
550-599	1621	525	32	414	26	79
500-549	1173	256	22	193	17	75
450-499	1173	95	8	79	7	83
400-449	696	27	4	19	3	70
350-399	331	0	0	0	0	0
300-349	82	0	0	0	0	0
250-299	19	0	0	0	0	0
200-249	1	0	0	0	0	0
NUMBER	6052		1359			
MEAN	565		595			
SD	73		61			


* Yield = $\frac{N \text{ Entered}}{N \text{ Offered}}$

TABLE 6

Comparison of the Number of Cadets With the Number of Fully Examined and Nominated Candidates at Each Whole Candidate Score Level for the Class of 1991

Score Ranges	Number of Candidates	Offered Admission		Entered		Percent Yield*
		N	%	N	%	
7500-7749	1	0	0%	0	0%	0%
7250-7499	1	1	100	0	0	0
7000-7249	17	11	65	9	53	82
6750-6999	78	58	74	25	32	43
6500-6749	222	145	65	93	42	64
6250-6499	544	352	65	240	44	68
6000-6249	912	475	52	385	42	81
5750-5999	1102	343	31	274	25	80
5500-5749	1130	230	20	193	17	84
5250-5499	910	119	13	94	10	79
5000-5249	523	44	8	37	7	84
4750-4999	245	7	3	5	2	71
4500-4749	194	5	3	4	2	80
4250-4499	70	0	0	0	0	0
4000-4249	21	0	0	0	0	0
3750-3999	17	0	0	0	0	0
3500-3749	6	0	0	0	0	0
3250-3499	3	0	0	0	0	0
3000-3249	1	0	0	0	0	0
NUMBER	5997			1359		
MEAN	5702			6015		
SD	529			395		

*Yield = $\frac{N \text{ Entered}}{N \text{ Offered}}$



VERA CLASSES

Figure 1

TRENDS OF MEAN SAT VERBAL SCORES FOR CANDIDATES AND ENTERING CADETS. CLASSES OF 1982-1991 AND ALL COLLEGE BOUND HIGH SCHOOL SENIORS NATIONWIDE.





FIGURE 2
USMA CLASSES


TRENDS OF MEAN SAT MATH SCORES FOR CANDIDATES AND ENTERING CADETS, CLASSES OF 1982-1991 AND ALL COLLEGE BOUND HIGH SCHOOL SENIORS NATIONWIDE.



USMA CLASSES

FIGURE 3


TRENDS OF MEAN HIGH SCHOOL RANK SCORES FOR CANDIDATES AND CADETS—CLASSES OF 1982-1991.



USMA CLASSES

Figure 4

TRENDS OF MEAN CEER SCORE FOR CANDIDATES AND ENTERING CADETS - CLASSES 1982-1991.



USMA CLASSES

Figure 5

TRENDS OF MEAN LEADERSHIP POTENTIAL SCORES. CANDIDATES AND ENTERING CADETS --





FIGURE 6

TRENDS OF MEAN PAF SCORE FOR CANDIDATES AND ENTERING CADETS OF THE CLASSES OF 1982-1991 (PAF FOR ADMITTED CADETS IS FOR MALE CADETS ONLY).



USMA CLASSES
FIGURE 7

TRENDS OF MEAN WHOLE CANDIDATE SCORE FOR CANDIDATES AND ENTERING CADETS ---
CLASSES OF 1982-1991.

TABLE 7

Selected High School Activities and Awards of Entering Cadets in the Classes of 1982 Through 1991

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
Senior Class President	65(5%)	77(6%)	68(5%)	77(5%)	67(5%)	89(6%)	90(6%)	90(6%)	90(6%)	85(6%)
Senior Class Officer	177(13%)	187(13%)	235(16%)	242(16%)	214(15%)	224(16%)	224(16%)	230(16%)	219(16%)	175(13%)
Student Body President	73(5%)	81(6%)	63(4%)	76(5%)	85(6%)	70(5%)	81(6%)	93(7%)	107(8%)	105(8%)
Debate Team	160(12%)	159(11%)	158(11%)	170(11%)	170(12%)	170(12%)	192(14%)	180(13%)	155(12%)	169(13%)
Varsity Lettermen	1161(83%)	1151(82%)	1228(84%)	1268(84%)	1210(85%)	1237(87%)	1190(85%)	1248(88%)	1158(87%)	1195(89%)
Team Captain	599(43%)	629(45%)	688(74%)	692(46%)	690(49%)	690(48%)	692(50%)	810(57%)	740(56%)	791(59%)
Scouts, All	748(54%)	761(54%)	733(50%)	735(48%)	639(45%)	629(44%)	623(45%)	601(42%)	643(48%)	475(35%)
Scouts, Eagle	142(11%)	182(13%)	174(12%)	138(10%)	122(10%)	111(9%)	113(9%)	138(10%)	117(9%)	110(8%)
Boys/Girls St Representative	275(20%)	310(22%)	333(23%)	297(20%)	312(22%)	346(24%)	297(21%)	331(23%)	306(23%)	353(26%)
High School Dramatic Club	215(16%)	209(15%)	229(16%)	219(14%)	219(15%)	237(17%)	228(16%)	212(15%)	180(14%)	166(12%)
Bausch & Lomb Science Award	30(2%)	28(2%)	30(2%)	26(2%)	39(3%)	56(4%)	43(3%)	51(4%)	38(3%)	27(2%)
American Legion Award	128(9%)	138(10%)	136(9%)	154(10%)	138(10%)	146(10%)	146(10%)	119(8%)	139(11%)	155(12%)

TABLE 7a

Selected Characteristics of Cadets in the Classes of 1981 Through 1991

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
Cadets with 1 or more months of college	213(15%)	260(19%)	215(14%)	174(11%)	204(14%)	156(11%)	169(12%)	194(14%)	173(13%)	218(16%)
Sons or Daughters of Alumni of USMA	57(4%)	59(4%)	54(4%)	59(4%)	51(4%)	41(3%)	47(3%)	37(3%)	58(4%)	63(5%)
Attended USMA Preparatory School	211(15%)	207(15%)	191(13%)	203(13%)	186(13%)	173(12%)	199(14%)	185(13%)	170(13%)	181(13%)
Attended Public High Schools	1259(86%)	1194(85%)	1201(86%)	1246(85%)	1281(84%)	1209(85%)	1174(82%)	1177(81%)	1118(84%)	1167(87%)
Rank in High School Class:										
Top Fifth	1055(76%)	1021(73%)	1003(69%)	1062(70%)	1031(73%)	1219(85%)	1162(83%)	1205(84%)	1151(87%)	1163(86%)
Second Fifth	241(17%)	253(18%)	335(23%)	340(22%)	283(20%)	151(11%)	159(11%)	144(10%)	102(8%)	112(8.3%)
Third Fifth	89(6%)	102(7%)	102(7%)	92(6%)	88(6%)	46(3%)	50(4%)	46(3%)	50(4%)	59(4.4%)
Fourth Fifth	8(1%)	18(1%)	16(1%)	17(1%)	13(1%)	6(1%)	11(1%)	8(1%)	4(0%)	12(1.0%)
Bottom Fifth	2(0%)	3(0%)	4(0%)	5(0%)	3(0%)	2(0%)	5(0%)	4(0%)	4(0%)	1(0%)
Valedictorians	86(6%)	77(6%)	58(4%)	67(4%)	89(6%)	119(8%)	102(7%)	109(8%)	132(10%)	99(7.4%)
Salutatorians	62(4%)	58(4%)	60(4%)	65(4%)	89(6%)	93(6%)	78(6%)	100(7%)	72(5%)	83(6.2%)
N =	1396	1398	1466	1538	1420	1435	1401	1428	1328	1359

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited		
2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) USMA-OIR-88-001		
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Ofc of Inst. Resch.			6b OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) MAOR	5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) West Point, New York 10996-1585			7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION United States Military Academy		
8a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION			8b OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) West Point, New York 10996	
8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) TRENDS IN ADMISSION VARIABLES THROUGH THE CLASS OF 1991			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Wright, Jack B.			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Annual			13b. TIME COVERED FROM 1977 TO 1987	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1987 November 30	15. PAGE COUNT 21
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION This report covers Class Years 1982 through 1991					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Admissions		
			Characteristics		
			Freshmen		
			CEER		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The United States Military Academy uses the Whole Candidate Concept in the selection of candidates for admission. This concept encompasses three broad areas: academics, leadership potential, and physical condition and aptitude. This report compares the pre-USMA performance of members of the Class of 1991 with previous classes in these three areas. <i>← to p 14</i>					
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Jack B. Wright			22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (914) 938-4324/2614	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL MAOR	